229

PREVENTION OF EXPOSURE TO MYCOTOXINS FROM FOOD AND FEED

Maja PERAICA¹, Ana-Marija DOMIJAN¹, Željko JURJEVIĆ², and Bogdan CVJETKOVIĆ²

Institute for Medical Research and Occupational Health, Zagreb¹, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zagreb, Zagreb², Croatia

Received May 2002

Mycotoxins are metabolites of moulds that may be found in food and feed of plant and animal origin. This paper gives a short review of the agronomical methods and food and feed storage recommendations for the prevention of mould contamination. It describes the practical methods of feed decontamination and the use of feed additives where mycotoxin contamination prevention has failed. However, these methods should be avoided as much as possible because they may increase the cost of production, reduce the nutritional value of feed, and leave residues of mycotoxins or their toxic metabolites. Since there is no universal and reliable method of feed decontamination for all mycotoxins, the paper stresses the importance of preventive measures.

KEY WORDS: aflatoxins, decontamination, ergot alkaloids, fumonisins, ochratoxins, trichothecenes

According to the evaluation of the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), 25% of the world cereal production is contaminated by mycotoxins (1). Food and feed are usually contaminated by more than one mycotoxin, because a certain strain of moulds may produce different mycotoxins. Residues of mycotoxins may also be found in food of animal origin (meat, milk, eggs, and cheese) as the consequence of feed contamination. Mycotoxins are toxic compounds, and some of them are also mutagenic, genotoxic, carcinogenic, or teratogenic. In mild climates, the most frequent toxicogenic moulds in food and feed are the species of genera Fusarium and Penicillium. Some Fusarium species produce fumonisins (fumonisin B₁ – FB₁, fumonisin $B_2 - FB_2$, and fumonisin $B_3 - FB_3$), trichothecenes (T-2 toxin, nivalenol - NIV, deoxynivalenol - DON, diacetoxyscirpenol – DAS), and zearalenone (ZEA). Ochratoxins (the most important is ochratoxin A - OTA), citrinin, and penicillic acid are produced by some *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus* moulds (2). Ergot alkaloides (ergoatamine and ergocristine) are

the products of fungi of genus *Claviceps* and some strains of *Penicillium*, *Aspergillus*, and *Rhizopus* that contaminate cereals (mainly rye, barley, and wheat) (3).

Aflatoxins (aflatoxin $B_1 - AFB_1$, aflatoxin $B_2 - AFB_2$, aflatoxin $G_1 - AFG_1$, and aflatoxin $G_2 - AFG_2$) are the metabolic products of *Aspergillus* species that contaminate cereals and other commodities mostly in tropical countries. The prevention of mycotoxin production should include all phases of food and feed production, because the mould contamination may occur in the field, during storage, as well as in transport (4).

PREVENTION OF MYCOTOXIN PRODUCTION

The most important arable crops in the Republic of Croatia are wheat and maize. They are frequently contaminated by *Penicillium* and *Fusarium* moulds which, in favourable conditions, may produce mycotoxins (5). This contamination may be avoided by the use of mould–resistant wheat cultivars and maize hybrids (6–8).

Agrotechnical measures may also help to combat the maize and wheat ear diseases. The sowing time determines the harvesting time, which can have a significant influence on the appearance of disease. This is particularly important when late FAO maize hybrids are used, because they are readily contaminated by moulds in wet autumns. Mould contamination is more pronounced if wheat is sown after maize or vice versa. Multi-field crop rotation in which rape, sugar beet, sunflower or soya-beans are present reduces the infection. Fertilization with nitrogen increases plants' sensitivity to moulds (9), and balanced fertilization based on nutrition analysis is required. Climatic conditions, such as temperature and humidity, are not under human control, but they may be crucial in contamination with moulds. Fungicides applied before blossoming decrease contamination with *Fusarium* and the related production of mycotoxins (10). Delayed harvest particularly favours contamination with Fusarium. Mechanically damaged and shrivelled grains are regularly contaminated by moulds, and mouldy grains can partially be removed by separators (11). The humidity of grain and the relative air humidity are very important in processing and transport (12). The kernel must be desiccated as soon as possible, and optimal humidity maintained. It is particularly important not to allow increases in humidity after desiccation, as they strongly favour contamination. During long-term storage, the kernel is exposed to oscillations in temperature and humidity, and insects of species Sithohilus, Tribolium, Trogodernma, Oryzaephilus can be vectors for mould contamination (13). Adequate storage with optimal temperature and humidity of grains and relative humidity and the hygiene in silos may decrease the growth of toxicogenic moulds (14). It should be emphasised that at the end of the storage period grains can not be less contaminated with mycotoxins than at the beginning. The entrance of mycotoxins in the nutritional chain can be avoided by storing only high quality products in silos and by strictly observing good agricultural practice. Mycotoxin decontamination in later stages of food production is difficult; it increases the cost of production and the results are not always satisfactory. According to FAO (15), the decontamination process must:

1. destroy, inactivate or remove the mycotoxin;

- not produce or leave toxic, carcinogenic or mutagenic residues in final products or in food products obtained from animals fed decontaminated feed;
- retain the nutritive value and acceptability of the product;
- 4. not significantly alter important technological properties, and
- 5. destroy fungal spores and mycelia which could, under favourable conditions, proliferate and form new toxins.

The US Food and Drug Administration requires additional data on the environmental impact of the method (15).

This paper gives an overview of methods used in industrial decontamination of food and feed. It also addresses the possibilities of the use of adsorbens as farm animal feed additives. We have not addressed specific methods characteristic for certain foods, such as the decontamination of trichothecenes or OTA in brewing barley for beer production (16) or the effect of milk processing on the aflatoxin M_1 (AFM₁) concentration (17).

PHYSICAL METHODS OF DECONTAMINATION

There are several physical methods of decontamination of agricultural products known to us such as the removal of damaged grains or of a part of contaminated crop, washing procedures, radiation, ultrasound and extraction with organic solvents.

The removal of damaged parts of a crop (usually mould-contaminated) is possible when contamination is uneven or partial. Physical removal of discoloured, damaged, or inadequately developed peanut kernels significantly decreases the concentration of aflatoxins, fumonisins and ergot alkaloids (15). Although this is the most widely used decontamination technique in the peanut and pistachio industry (18), it is not practical for maize and cottonseed (15). Blanching and electronic eye colour sorting of raw peanuts contaminated by Aspergillus flavus, and damaged peanut kernels decrease the concentration of aflatoxins down to under 5 μ g/kg (19). Fluorescent sorting can be used for maize, cottonseed and dried figs, but it is ineffective for the decontamination of peanuts (15). Fluorescent properties of kojic acid, the metabolic product of Aspergillus flavus and

other fungi, are used for sorting maize contaminated by aflatoxins. False negative results obtained using this method are possible when the maize is contaminated by aflatoxins, but kojic acid is not present (15). Sieving could decrease the concentration of fumonisins in maize, because damaged maize has a ten times higher concentration of fumonisins than undamaged maize (20). The removal of maize kernels smaller than 3 mm may reduce the fumonisin level by 70% (21).

Flotation may lower high concentrations of aflatoxins in contaminated maize and peanuts by as much as 90%, because contaminated seeds float on water (22). Rinsing grain with water or sodium carbonate water solution could lower the concentration of mycotoxins DON, ZEA and fumonisins in wheat and maize (23). These methods are limited by the cost of seed drying, and they are used only before wet milling and brewing.

Most mycotoxins are heat resistant, and high temperatures are not used in the decontamination

of cereals and other agricultural products.

Different types of radiation (γ , X–ray, UV, VIS, microwave) were tested for the detoxification of mycotoxins. In wheat, γ –radiation successfully reduces the concentration of T–2 toxin, ZEA, DON (15). Unfortunately, radiation produces AFB₁ metabolites, and the radiation is effective in decontamination only when applied to a thin layer of grain. It is found that sun light is the most effective detoxifier of AFB₁ and could be used in tropics to detoxify coconuts, peanuts and maize (24).

Organic solvents (ethanol, isopropanol, methoxymethane) effectively remove aflatoxins from different types of food products. In addition to the limiting high cost of organic solvents, these compounds are not practical for industrial use because they themselves are removed from the treated products with difficulty (22, 25).

Table 1 summarises the physical methods of decontamination.

Procedure	Mycotoxin	Product	Efficiency	Reference
automated removal of	aflatoxins	peanuts +++		15
damaged kernels		pistachio +++		18
fluorescence sorting	aflatoxins	maize, cottonseed, dried figs +++		15
sieving	fumonisins	maize ++		21
flotation	aflatoxins	maize, peanuts	+++	22
rinsing	DON, ZEA	wheat, maize	++	23
	fumonisins	wheat, maize	++	23
wet-milling	aflatoxins	maize	+/_	26
	ZEA	maize	+/_	26
roasting	aflatoxins	coffee, maize, peanuts	+ or –	27
	OTA	coffee	++	28
	OTA	coffee	–	29
heat processing	OTA	flour	++	30
	trichothecenes	all food	-	31
	DON	all food	-	31
	FB ₁	maize–based food	-	32
γ–radiation	T–2 toxin, ZEA,	wheat	++	15
	DON	wheat	++	15
	aflatoxins	wheat	++*	24
sunlight	aflatoxins	wheat	+++	24

 Table 1 Physical methods of mycotoxin decontamination applied in food industry

+++ elimination 90–100%; ++ elimination 50–90%; + elimination 10–50%, +/- mycotoxin is eliminated from certain fractions, but concentrated in others; + or - mycotoxin elimination depends on how the procedure is carried out; * procedure leaves behind residual metabolites

CHEMICAL METHODS OF DECONTAMINATION

Compounds such as acids (formic and propionic acids), alkaline compounds (ammonium, sodium hydroxyde), oxidizing compounds (hydrogen peroxide, ozone), reducing compounds (bisulphite) and chlorinating (chloride) compounds were tested for their efficacy in mycotoxin decontamination. Chemical detoxification is very effective, but it does not meet the FAO requirements, because some compounds leave behind their toxic metabolites and others reduce the nutritional value of treated food and feed.

Propionic acid is used to inhibit mould growth. Its disadvantage is that it is a corrosive, which makes it dangerous for handling (33). Hydrogen peroxide can destroy a large amount of FB_1 in maize (34) and detoxify aflatoxins containing peanut (35). Hydrogen peroxide and ammonia are mostly used to remove aflatoxins from feed. It has been shown that these methods do not leave toxic metabolites of mycotoxins in feed, but the ammonia reduces its nutritional value by decreasing lysine and sulphur–containing amino acids (15). The animal readily accepts the ammoniated product, if adequate aeration is allowed to remove

residual ammonia. The concentration of AFM, metabolic product of AFB₁, is considerably reduced in milk of lactating cows fed ammoniated peanut meals naturally contaminated by AFB, (36). Ammoniation is considered safe and practical for the decontamination of aflatoxins in feed, and it is used in some states of the USA, Mexico, France, Senegal, Sudan, and Brazil (27, 37). Ammoniation under increased pressure (60 psi) with ambient temperature, or under normal pressure with increased temperature reduces the concentration of FB₁ in wheat by 79% (38). The disadvantages of ammoniation are the relatively long period of aeration and its cost which can increase the price of the product by 5–20% (39). Ammoniation is not recommended for detoxifying OTA-contaminated grains and feeds (40). Monomethylamine or ammonia solutions with calcium hydroxide used at 96 °C were shown to decompose OTA in swine feed (41). Sodium bisulphite is a common food additive that can significantly reduce DON and AFB, in maize-based pig feed (42). Sodium chloride reduces the concentration of aflatoxins in unshelled peanuts cooked under pressure (31).

The efficiency of the described methods in mycotoxin removal is shown in Table 2.

Chemicals	Mycotoxin	Product	Efficiency	Reference
calcium hydroxide for tortilla preparation	FB ₁ ZEA	maize maize	++* ++	43 44
	DON	maize	++	44
hydrogen peroxide	aflatoxins	peanut	+++	15
hydrogen peroxide/sodium bicarbonate	FB_1	maize	+++	12
sodium bisulphite	DON, AFB ₁	feed	+++	42
sodium chloride	aflatoxins	peanuts	+++	31
	aflatoxins	maize	+++	37
ammonia	aflatoxins fumonisins	peanut meal maize	+++ +	36 45
ammonia wih calcium hydroxide (at 96 °C)	OTA	swine feed	+++	40
ammonia with increased pressure and	aflatoxins	cottonseed	+++	46
ambient temperature	aflatoxins fumonisins	maize, peanut meal maize	+++ ++	15 38
ammonia with atmospheric pressure and increased temperature	aflatoxins	peanut meal	+++	47

Table 2 Chemicals for mycotoxin decontamination applied in food industry

+++ elimination 90–100%; ++ elimination 50–90%; + elimination 10–50%; * procedure leaves behind equally toxic metabolites

THE USE OF FEED ADDITIVES

Feed additives have been introduced recently, and their purpose is to reduce mycotoxin bioavailability by binding them in the gastrointestinal system (48). Although a number of adsorbents are shown to be active *in vitro*, this is not predictive for their activity *in vivo* (49, 50). Hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicates (HSCAS), zeolites, bentonite, active charcoal, clays (such as kaolin and sepiolitic clay), synthetic anion exchange resins (cholestyramine), and alfalfa fibre have already established their efficiency.

The best aflatoxin adsorbent seems to be HSCAS, which not only prevents aflatoxicosis in domestic animals (51), but also reduces the concentration of AFM, in cow and goat milk (52). Unfortunately, HSCAS poorly absorbs other mycotoxins such as fumonisins, DON, T-2 toxin, and OTA (48, 53). Zeolites are hydrated alkalinic aluminosilicates that adsorb AFB, and ZEA from feed (48, 54). The experimental use of clinoptilolite, a zeolite variety, produced rather different results. Some authors found that, in experimental conditions, clinoptilolites reduce the accumulation of AFB_1 in the liver of chickens (55), while others found that they have a synergistic toxic effect with AFB, in the liver of female rats (56). A recent study, however, has confirmed the beneficial effect of clinoptilolites in sows fed ZEA-contaminated feed (57). Bentonites are adsorbents of natural origin used in the production of pelletted feed. Their adsorbing properties depend on whether they contain sodium or calcium; more effective are those which contain sodium (58). Bentonites effectively adsorb aflatoxins (59), but not NIV and ZEA (53). Mannanoligosaccharides, the extracts of yeast cell walls, are very effective in the adsorption of aflatoxins, ZEA, and FB₁ in vitro, and do not affect the absorption of minerals and vitamins (53). Their effect on OTA and toxins of Fusarium moulds is less pronounced. Polyvinylpyrrolidone is a synthetic resin which reduces the absorption of fumonisins in the gastrointestinal system of experimental animals (60). Active charcoal is not widely used because it is not known whether its long-term use might lead to mineral and vitamin deficiency in domestic animals. Cholestyramine adsorbs ZEA, OTA and FB₁ from feed (50, 53), and reduces the nephrotoxic effect of OTA (61). High cost of active charcoal and cholestyramine limits their use on farms. It was also found that Fuller earth effectively absorbs AFB, from peanut oil. In India, this method is successfully applied in industry (27). So far, no single adsorbent has been proven effective against most types of mycotoxins (49).

DECONTAMINATION IN FOOD PREPARATION

The content of mycotoxins may be reduced in the preparation of food, or concentrated in certain parts of food. The fate of mycotoxins during food preparation depends on the way of contamination (natural or experimental spiking), their concentration, on the type of food, humidity and temperature. The sampling of mycotoxins for analysis is a complex problem, and it gets even more complex in double sampling: before and after food preparation.

Although most mycotoxins are resistant to heat, some ergot alkaloids are completely destroyed when bread is baked. Others, like OTA, are more heatresistant, and the reduction of its concentration by baking is not significant (30). However, the reduction of OTA concentrations correlates with the baking temperature, and inversely correlates with the content of moisture in bread (62). It has been shown that heating flour at 250 °C for 40 minutes lowers OTA concentration by 76% (31). Coffee roasting raised a controversy about its effect on OTA concentrations. While some authors believe that this procedure considerably reduces the OTA concentration (28), others disagree (29). Processing coffee, maize, and peanuts at high temperatures seems to reduce aflatoxin contamination only partially (27). FB₁ is heat resistant, and it takes baking or frying at temperatures >150 °C to reduce it substantially.

Water solution of calcium hydroxide $\{Ca(OH)_2\}$ is used to soften the shells of maize kernels prior to further processing into maize flour for tortillas, but it also happens to remove FB₁. This procedure partially hydrolyses FB₁ into aminopentol and tricarboxilic acid (43), and partially converts it to hydroxy–FB₁ whose toxicity is equal to that of FB₁ (20). The removal of FB₁ is more rapid and extensive in alkaline or acid environments than at pH neutral (63). Calcium hydroxide effectively reduces ZEA (59–100 %) and DON (72–82 %) (44).

Fumonisins proved resistant to baking, and frying did not significantly reduce FB_1 in artificially contaminated maize muffins (32). However, the reduction was significantly greater at the surface than in the core of the muffins. The reaction between fumonisins and reducing sugars (glucose or fructose) yielded products that were non-toxic (64).

 AFB_1 is completely eliminated by the refinement of oil (31), and wet milling of maize eliminates starch together with fumonisins, ZEA, and aflatoxins (15).

PREVENTION OF MYCOTOXIN TOXICITY AND FOOD DECONTAMINATION ON THE EXPERIMENTAL LEVEL

In addition to the described methods that can be applied on the industrial level, there is a number of interesting experimental methods devised to decontaminate food and feed containing mycotoxins and to protect humans and animals from mycotoxin toxicity.

Some mycotoxins damage the lipid layer of the cell membrane through increased lipid peroxidation. This is why antioxidants such as selenium and vitamins were tested for their protective efficiency in experimental conditions. The results of these investigations as well as the effect of various food components have been reviewed by Galvano and co–workers (53).

Protection targeted at specific mycotoxins was also investigated. Creppy and co-workers (65) have found that amino acid phenylalanine protects experimental animals from OTA, as it increases the urinary and hepatobiliary route of OTA excretion. The effect of artichoke extracts on vaccinal immunity and on the health of broilers chickens was studied by Stoev and co-workers (66). These methods of protection from OTA toxicity are not in industrial use.

Some authors found that *Fusarium subglutinans* and *Fusarium graminearum* were competitive, which led to a decrease in trichothecenes production by *Fusarium graminearum* (67). However, *Fusarium subglutinans* itself may produce other types of mycotoxins. Other authors tested the efficiency of antimicrobial food additives in the inhibition of moulds *Aspergillus sulphureus* and *Penicillium viridicatum* and their production of OTA (68). They found that potassium sorbate, sodium propionate, methyl paraben, and sodium bisulphite efficiently reduced their growth and the production of OTA. Except for parabens, this effect was pH–dependent; the efficiency of antimicrobial food additives generally increases with lower pH.

CONCLUSION

Mycotoxins are widespread toxins in cereals produced all over the world. In order to protect crops from contamination by mycotoxins, it is of the utmost importance to follow preventive agrotechnical measures that counteract mould growth. There is no ideal method of mycotoxin decontamination of food and feed, one which would destroy all mycotoxins without leaving their residues or metabolites and without changing the nutritional value of food and feed. All methods of decontamination increase the cost of production and should be used only in cases when preventive measures have failed.

REFERENCES

- Council for Agricultural Science and Technology (CAST). Mycotoxins, economics and health risks. Report No. 116. Ames, Iowa: CAST; 1980.
- 2. Pitt JI. Toxigenic fungi: which are important? Med Mycol 2000;38(Suppl 1):17–22.
- 3. Flieger M, Wurst M, Shelby R. Ergot alkaloids sources, structures and analytical metods. Folia Microbiol 1997;42:3–30.
- 4. D'Mello JPF, Macdonald AMC. Mycotoxins. Anim Feed Sci Technol1997;69:155–66.
- Jurjević Ž, Solfrizzo M, Cvjetković B, Avantaggiato G, Visconti A. Mycoflora and mycotoxins analysis of maize in Croatia. In: Proceedings of 16. Croatian symposium of drying and storing of agriculture products; 18–21 Jan 2000; Stubičke Toplice, Croatia. Zagreb: Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zagreb; 2000, p. 31–40.
- 6. Bata A, Rafai P, Kovacs G. Investigation and a new evaluation method of the resistance of maize hybrids grown in Hungary to Fusarium moulds. J Phytopathol 2001;149:107–11.
- Pascale M, Pancaldi D, Visconti A, Perrone G, Botalico A. Fusarium ear blight, deoxynivalenol and toxigenic Fusarium species in selected wheat cultivars assayed all over Italy in 2000. In: Proceedings of the XI Congress of Mediterranean Phytopathological Union; 17–20 Sep 2001; Evora, Portugal. Evora: University of Evora; 2001, p. 123–5.
- 8. Doko MB, Rapior S, Visconti A, Schjoth JE. Incidence of levels of fumonisin contamination in maize by genotypes grown in Europe and Africa J Agric Food Chem 1995;43:429–34.
- 9. Reid LM, Zhu X, Ma BL. Crop rotation and nitrogen effects on maize susceptibility to gibberella (Fusarium graminearum) ear rot. Plant Soil 2001;237:1–14.
- Cvjetković B, Jurjević Ž. Zaštita pšenice od Fusariuma primjenom fungicida i utjecaj na mikotoksine [The control of Fusarium on wheat by fungicides and its influence on mycotoxins, in Croatian]. In: Plijestić S, editor. Zbornik radova 13. međunarodnog savjetovanja tehnologa sušenja i skladištenja [Proceedings of the 13th International symposium of tehnologiss for drying and storing] 22–24 Jan 1997; Stubičke Toplice, Croatia. Zagreb: Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zagreb; 1997. p. 1–23.

- Miller JD. Factors that affect the occurrence of Fumonisin. Environ Health Perspect 2001;109(Suppl 2):321–4.
- Rilley RT, Norred WP. Mycotoxin prevention and decontamination – a case study on maize. Food Nutr Agricult 1999:23:25–32.
- Korunić Z. Štetnici uskladištenih poljoprivrednih proizvoda [Pest of stored agricultural products, in Croatian]. Gospodarski list, 1990;89–93.
- Willson DD, Abramson D. Mycotoxins. In: Sauer DB, editor. Storage of cereal grains and their products. St. Paul (Minn): US Imprint; 1992. p. 341–91.
- 15. Scott PM. Industrial and farm detoxification processes for mycotoxins. Rev Med Vet 1998;149:543–8.
- 16. Baxter ED. The fate of ochratoxin A during malting and brewing. Food Addit Contam 1996;13:23–4.
- Martins ML, Martins HM. Aflatoxin M₁ in raw and ultra high temperature–treated milk commercialized in Portugal. Food Addit Contam 2000;17:871–4.
- Pearson TC, Doster NA, Michailides TJ. Automated detection of pistachio defects by machine vision. Appl Enginier Agricult 2001;17:729–32.
- De Koe WJ. Regulations of the European Union for mycotoxins in foods. Arh Hig Rada Toksikol 1999;50: 37–46.
- 20. Murphy PA, Rice LG, Ross PF. Fumonisins B_1 , B_2 and B_3 content of Iowa, Wisconsin and Illinois corn and corn screenings. J Agr Food Chem 1993;43:263–6.
- 21. Sydenham EW, Van der Westhuizen L, Stockenstrom S, Shephard GS, Thiel PG. Fumonisins–contaminated maize: Physical treatment for the partial decontamination of bulk shipments. Food Addit Contam 1994;11:25–32.
- Phillips TD, Clement BA, Park DL. Approaches to reduction of aflatoxin in foods and feeds. In: Eaton DL, Groopman JD, editors. The toxicology of aflatoxins. New York (NY): Academic Press; 1994, p. 383–406.
- 23. Voss KA, Bacon CW, Meredith FI, Norred WP. Comparative subchronic toxicity studies of nixtamalized and water–extracted Fusarium moniliforme culture material. Food Chem Toxicol 1996;34:623–32.
- 24. Samarajeewa U, Sen AC, Cohen MD, Wei CI. Detoxification of aflatoxins in foods and feeds by physical and chemical methods. J Food Prot 1990;53: 489–501.
- Basappa SC, Shantha T. Methods for detoxification of aflatoxins in foods and feeds – a critical appraisal. J Food Sci Technol 1996;33:95–107.
- 26. Bennett GA, Anderson RA. Distribution of aflatoxin and/or zearalenone in wet–milled corn products: a review. J Agric Food Chem 1978;26:1055–60.
- 27. Guerre P. Interet des traitements des matieres premieres et de l'usage d'adsorbants lors d'une contamination des aliments du betail par des mycotoxines. Rev Med Vet 2000;151:1095–106.

- 28. Micco C, Grossi M, Miraglia M, Brera C. A study of contamination by ochratoxin A of green and roasted coffee beans. Food Addit Contam 1989;6:333–9.
- 29. Studer–Rohr I, Dietrich DR, Schlatter J, Schlatter C. The occurrence of ochratoxin A in coffee. Food Chem Toxicol 1995;33:341–5.
- Osborne BG, Ibe F, Brown GL, Petagine F, Scudamore KA, Banks JN, Hetmanski MT, Leonard CT. The effects of milling and processing on wheat contaminated by ochratoxin A. Food Addit Contam 1996;13:141–53.
- 31. Scott PM. Effects of food processing on mycotoxins. J Food Protect 1984;47:489–99.
- 32. Jackson LS, Katta SK, Fingerhut DD, De Vries JW, Bullerman LB. Effects of baking and frying on the fumonisin B₁ content of corn–based foods. J Agric Food Chem 1997;45:4800–5.
- Kiessling KH, Pettersson H, Tideman K, Andersson IL. A survey of aflatoxin and Aspergillus flavus/parasiticus in acid treated Swedish grain. Swedish J Agric Res 1982;16:63–7.
- 34. Park DL, Lopez–Garcia R, Trujillo–Preciado S, Price R. Reduction of risk associated with fumonisin contamination in corn. In: Jackson LS, de Vries JW, Bullerman LB, editors. Fumonisin in food. New York (NY): Plenum Press; 1996. p. 335–44.
- Coker RD, Jewers K, Jones BD. The treatment of aflatoxin contaminated commodities. In: Flanning B, editor. Spoilage and mycotoxins of cereals and other stored products. Slough: CAB International; 1986. p. 103–8.
- Hoogenboom LAP, Tulliez J, Gautier JP, Coker RD, Melcion JP, Nagler MJ, Polman THG, Delort–Laval J. Absorption, distribution and excretion of aflatoxin– derived ammoniation products in lactating cows. Food Addit Contam 2001;18:47–58.
- Park DL, Lee LS, Price RL, Pohland AE. Review of the decontamination of aflatoxins by ammoniation: current status and regulation. J AOAC Int 1988;71:685–703.
- Park DL, Rua SM Jr, Mirocha CJ, Abd–alla E–SAM, Weng CJ. Mutagenic potentials of fumonisin contaminated corn following ammonia decontamination procedure. Mycopathologia 1992;117:105–8.
- Coker RD. The chemical detoxification of aflatoxincontaminated animal feed. In: Boca–Raton FL, editor. Natural toxicants in food. Sheffield (UK): Sheffield Academic Press; 1998. p. 284–98.
- 40. Scott PM. Effects of processing and detoxification treatments on ochratoxin A: introduction. Food Addit Contam 1996;13:19–21.
- 41. Gerlach M. Beseitigung für Mykotoxinen. Kraftfutter 1992;2:50–4.
- Hagler WM. Potential for detoxification of mycotoxincontaminated commodities. In: Bray G, Ryan D, editors. Mycotoxins, cancer and health. Baton Rouge (La): Louisiana State University Press; 1991. p. 253–69.

236

- 43. Sydenham EW, Stockenstrom S, Thiel PG, Shephard S, Koch KR, Marasas WFO. Potential of alkaline hydrolysis for the removal of fumonisins from contaminated corn. J Agric Food Chem 1995;43:1198–201.
- Scott PM. Possibilities of reduction or elimination of mycotoxins present in cereal grains. In: Chelkowski J, editor. Cereal grain. Mycotoxins, fungi and quality in drying and storage. Amsterdam (Niederlands): Elsevier; 1991. p. 529–72.
- Norred WP, Voss KA, Bacon CW, Riley RT. Effectiveness of ammonia treatment in detoxification of fumonisin–con– taminated corn. Food Chem Toxicol 1991;29:815–9.
- 46. Whole cottonseed and cottonseed products: Ammoniation to reduce aflatoxin contamination to levels acceptable for use as animal feeds; Deemed adulterated; Methods of ammoniation. Arizona Revised Statutes 36–904.01: Regulation No. R 9–17–318 (13 May 1981).
- 47. Jemmali M. Decontamination and detoxification of mycotoxins. Pure Appl Chem 1980;52:175–81.
- Ramos AJ, Fink–Gremmels J, Hernandez E. Prevention of toxic effects of mycotoxins by means of non–nutritive adsorbent compounds. J Food Protect 1996;59: 631–41.
- 49. Huwig A, Freimund S, Kappeli O, Dutler H. Mycotoxin detoxification of animal feed by different adsorbents. Toxicol Lett 2001;122:179–88.
- 50. Solfrizzo M, Visconti A, Avantaggiato G, Torres A. Chulze S. In vitro and in vivo studies to assess the effectiveness of cholestyramine as a binding agent for fumonisins. Mycopatholgia 2000;151:147–53.
- 51. Kubena LF, Harvey RB, Phillips TD, Corrier DE, Huff WE. Diminution of aflatoxicosis in growing chickens by the dietary addition of a hydrated, sodium calcium aluminosilicate. Poultry Sci 1990;69:727–35.
- 52. Smith EE, Phillips TD, Ellis JA, Harvey RB, Kubena LF, Thompson J, et al. Dietary hydrated sodium calcium aluminosilicate reduction of aflatoxin M₁ residue in dairy goat milk and effects on milk production and components. J Anim Sci 1994;72:677–82.
- 53. Galvano F, Piva A, Ritieni A. Galvano G. Dietary strategies to counteract the effects of mycotoxins: a review. J Food Protect 2001;64:120–31.
- 54. Piva G, Galvano F, Pietri A, Piva A. Detoxification methods of aflatoxins: a review. Nutr Res 1995;5: 689–715.
- Oguz H, Kurtoglu V, Coskun B. Preventive efficacy of clinoptilolite in broilers during chronic aflatoxin (50 and 100 ppb) exposure. Res Vet Sci 2000;69:197–201.
- 56. Mayura K, Adel Wahhab MA, McKenzie KS, Sarr AB, Edwards JF, Naguib K, et al. Prevention of maternal and developmental toxicity in rats via dietary inclusion of common aflatoxins sorbents: potential for hidden risks. Toxicol Sci 1998;41:175–82.

- 57. Papaioannou DS, Kyriakis SC, Papasteriadis A, Roumbies N, Yannakopoulos A, Alexopoulos C. A field study on the effect of in–feed inclusion of a natural zeolite (clinoptilolites) on health status and performance of sows/gilts and their litters. Res Vet Sci 2002;72:51–9.
- 58. Santurio JM, Mallmann CA, Rosa AP, Appel G, Heer A, Dageforde S, et al. Effect of sodium bentonite on the performance and blood variables of broiler chickens intoxicated with aflatoxins. Brit Poultry Sci 1999;40: 115–9.
- 59. Miazzo R, Magnoli C, Salvano M, Chiacchiera S, Palacio G, Saenz M, et al. Efficacy of a Argentinean bentonite to reduce toxicity of aflatoxin B1 in broiler chicks in Argentina [Abstract] Revue Med Vet 1998;149:666.
- Visconti A, Solfrizzo M, Avantaggiato G, De Girolamo A. Strategies for detoxification of Fusarium mycotoxins and assessing in vitro relevant effectiveness. In: Proceedings of British Crop Protection Conference, 13–16 Nov 2000; Brighton, Unitited Kingdom. Farnham (UK): The British Crop Protection Council; 2000. p.721–728.
- 61. Kerkadi A, Barriault C, Tuchweber B, Frohlich AA, Marquardt RR, Bouchardand G, Yousef IM. Dietary cholestyramine reduces ochratoxin A–induced nephrotoxicity in the rat by decreasing plasma levels and enhancing faecal excretion of the toxin. J Toxicol Environ Health 1998;3:231–50.
- 62. Scudamore KA. Ochratoxin A in animal feed effects of processing. Food Addit Contam 1996;14:39–42.
- 63. Jackson LS, Hlywka JJ, Senthil KR, Bullerman LB, Musser SM. Effects of time, temperature and pH on the stability of fumonisin B1 in an aqueous model system. J Agric Food Chem 1996;44:906–12.
- 64. Murphy PA, Hendrich S, Hopmans EC, Hauck CC, Lu Z, Buseman G. Munkvold G. Effect of processing on fumonisin content of corn. Adv Experim Med Biol 1996;34:323–34.
- 65. Creppy EE, Baudrimont I, Betbeder AM. Prevention of nephrotoxicity of ochratoxin A, a food contaminant. Toxicol Lett 1995; 83:869–77.
- 66. Stoev SD, Anguelov G, Ivanov I, Pavlov D. Influence of ochratoxin A and an extract of artichoke on the vaccinal immunity and health in broiler chicks. Exp Toxicol Pathol 2000;52:43–55.
- 67. Cooney JM, Lauren DR, di Menna ME. Impact of competitive fungi on trichotecene production by Fusarium graminearum. J Agric Food Chem 2001;49: 522–6.
- 68. Tong CH, Draughon FA. Inhibition of antimicrobial food additives of ochratoxin A production by Aspergillus sulphureus and Penicillium viridicatum. Appl Environ Microbiol 1985;49:1407–11.

Sažetak

SPREČAVANJE IZLOŽENOSTI MIKOTOKSINIMA IZ HRANE I KRMIVA

Mikotoksini su metaboliti plijesni koji se nalaze u namirnicama biljnog i životinjskog podrijetla i u stočnoj hrani. Najčešće plijesni koje kontaminiraju žitarice u umjerenoj klimatskoj zoni iz rodova su *Fusarium* i *Penicillium*. U krajevima s umjerenom klimom, s toksikološkog su gledišta najvažniji mikotoksini fumonizini, trihoteceni i zearalenon koje proizvode neki biotipovi vrsta *Fusarium* i okratoksini, citrinin i penicilinska kiselina koje proizvode neki biotipovi *Penicilliuma* i *Aspergillusa*. U tropskim i suptropskim krajevima čest je nalaz aflatoksina, metaboličkih produkata nekih biotipova vrsta *Aspergillus*. Zbog međunarodne trgovine hranom postoji mogućnost izloženosti ljudi i životinja aflatoksinima i izvan tropskih područja. Iznesene su agronomske metode i preporuke za skladištenje hrane biljnog podrijetla i krmiva koje su nužne za sprečavanje kontaminacije plijesnima i njihovim produktima. Opisane su metode dekontaminacije krmiva kao i uporaba dodataka krmivu koje se mogu rabiti kada zakažu metode prevencije onečišćenja mikotoksinima. Ove metode treba izbjegavati koliko god je to moguće jer povećavaju cijenu proizvodnje i mogu smanjiti prehrambenu vrijednost krmiva. Metodama dekontaminacije mikotoksini se ne mogu potpuno ukloniti, a primjenom nekih metoda mogu nastati njihovi toksičniji metaboliti. Budući da nema jedinstvene i pouzdane metode za dekontaminaciju mikotoksina u krmivu, naglašava se važnost preventivnih mjera.

KLJUČNE RIJEČI: aflatoksini, alkaloidi snijeti, dekontaminacija, fumonizini, okratoksini, trihoteceni

REQUESTS FOR REPRINTS:

Maja Peraica, M. D., Ph. D. Institute for Medical Research and Occupational Health P. O. Box 291, HR–10001 Zagreb, Croatia E-mail: *Maja.Peraica@imi.hr*