provided by IR South Eastern University of Sri Lanka

Disarmament, Demobilization and Reintegration (DDR) in Post-Conflict Societies

Aliff, S.M South Eastern University of Sri Lanka

Abstract

The purpose of this study is to understand the concept and explore the reasons why the disarmament, demobilization and reintegration (DDR) of ex-belligerents has characteristically been so essential to achieve peace in societies emerging from years of armed conflict. (DDR) of former combatants have become an integral part of peacekeeping operations and post-conflict reconstruction plans. The success of such programmes is essential for sustainable peace and development. Following the end of the cold war, the international community shifted its attention from dueling ideological warfare to the many intra-state, or internal armed conflicts occurring globally. The United Nations, along with a wide array of aid agencies, have devoted greater and greater time and resources in post-conflict environments. Programmes that address ex-combatants as well as broader post-conflict recovery are essential. (DDR) is one such programme that has received widespread attention. Policy analysts have debated the factors that contribute to a successful DDR programme. In a key component of peace processes and postconflict reconstruction is the (DDR) of ex-combatants. From the combatants who lay down their weapons, to the governments that seek an end to armed conflict, to the communities that receive—or reject—these demobilized fighters. At each level, these transitions imply a complex equation between the demands of peace and the clamor for justice. Based on that, this research will deliver a critical analysis of the DDR's achievements of this process. It may also allow conclusions on the overall prospects for theoretical approaches to DDR in their practical application.

Key words: DDR in post war society, Post-Conflict Development, post-war security sector reform, Role of UN in Peace Building,

> "In the civil conflicts of the post-cold-war era, a process of disarmament, demobilization and reintegration has repeatedly proved to be vital to stabilizing a post-conflict-situation; to reducing the likelihood of renewed violence, either because of relapse into war or outbreaks of banditry; and to facilitating a society's transition from conflict to normalcy and development."

> > Ex- UN Secretary General Kofi Annan

Conceptual Analysis of DDR

In post-war societies, the Demobilization, Disarmament and Reintegration (DDR) of excombatants are integral parts of post war development to conflict. Although there is no universal application of a DDR program in all conflict-afflicted countries, it is imperative to understand the basic elements of DDR.

Definition of DDR

The concept of DDR may vary according to the context in which one operation is implemented as well as actors who implement it. According to the Report of the Secretary-General to the UN Security Council, the activities of disarmament, demobilization and reintegration are defined as follows:

Disarmament is the collection of small and light and heavy weapons within a conflict zone. It frequently entails the assembly and cantonment of combatants; it should also comprise the development of arms management programmes, including their safe storage and their final disposition, which may entail their destruction. Demining may also be part of this process.

Demobilization refers to the process by which parties to a conflict begin to disband their military structures and combatants begin the transformation into civilian life. It generally entails registration of former combatants; some kind of assistance to enable them to meet their immediate basic needs; discharge, and transportation to their home communities. It may be followed by recruitment into a new unified military force.

Reintegration refers to the process which allows ex-combatants and their families to adapt, economically and socially, to productive civilian life. It generally entails the provision of a package of cash or in-kind compensation, training, and job- and income-generating projects. These measures frequently depend for their effectiveness upon other, broader undertakings, such as assistance to returning refugees and internally displaced persons;

economic development at the community and national level; infrastructure rehabilitation; truth and reconciliation efforts; and institutional reform. Enhancement of local capacity is often crucial for the long-term success of reintegration. (UN.DDA:2003)

What are the objectives of DDR?

DDR has the following objectives:

- 1. To contribute to security and stability by facilitating reintegration and providing the enabling environment for rehabilitation and recovery to begin;
- 2. To restore trust through confidencebuilding among conflicting factions and with the general population;
- 3. To help prevent or mitigate future violent conflict:
- 4. To contribute to national reconciliation; and
- 5. To free up human and financial resources, and social capital, for reconstruction and development.DDR alone, however, cannot be expected to prevent further conflict and restore stability. It must be accompanied by other economic, political and social reforms. DDR must therefore be conceptualized, designed, planned and implemented within a wider recovery and development framework.

DDR in Post-War Situation

Effective peace-building in armed conflict is dependent on successful implementation of DDR of former combatants into civilian life. DDR is imperative in promoting political and economic solutions to conflict, because it facilitates the restoration of security on the ground, and creates an impetus for recovery of a country emerging from conflict. makes a crucial contribution to stabilizing a post - conflict situation, reducing the likelihood of renewed violenceeither because of a relapse into war or outbreaks of banditryand to facilitating a society's transition from conflict to normalcy and development.(UN doc. :S/2000) DDR ultimately leads to a successful and lasting transition from conflict to peace.

Since the end of the Cold War, dozens of DDR programmes have been carried out, mostly under international leadership, often within the framework of a UN peacekeeping (PKO) or peace support operation (PSO), or at least with considerable financial and logistical participation of various international actors, such as the World Bank, the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), International Labour Organization (ILO) and other national development numerous agencies and non-governmental organizations (NGOs) active in the area of development cooperation and peace consolidation.

In post-conflict situations internal and external actors must cooperate in mutually reinforcing the socio-economic, governance, and security dimensions of a highly fragile environment. The result must be an integrated approach to development, the strengthening of structures that allow for the peaceful resolution of disputes, and the prevention of violent conflict.

Since the end of the cold war, the international community has shifted its focus from what for decades was characterized by duelling ideological warfare to the many masked internal armed conflicts taking place the throughout world. In doing international organizations and States have post-coflict peacekeeping placed reconstruction at the top of their humanitarian and development agendas. The development community has recognized that the insecurity that persists in the aftermath of armed conflict can impede development efforts and progress toward meeting the Millennium Development Goals and sustainable peace. There is also evidence that insecurity, intensified by the prevalence of small arms and the excombatants that possess them, can have a negative impact on the economic and social conditions of countries emerging from conflict (Muggah 2005). At the end of conflict there is often a surge of ex-combatants entering the highly competitive labour market. Many times ex-combatants lack skills, assets,

and social networks that enable them to create sustainable livelihoods. As a result, excombatants may return to war or a life of criminality and banditry that could adversely affect the peace process. Providing support for ex-combatants is therefore central to any post-conflict reconstruction process.

DDR has been increasingly regarded as a priority in post-conflict peace-building efforts during the past and present decade. The first United Nations peacekeeping operation to conduct disarmament and demobilization was the United Nations Observer Group in Central America (ONUCA) in 1989.(UN doc. :S/2000) . Since then, a number of UN operations assumed various responsibilities for DDR, either within or outside of the mandate. (UN doc.: S/2000) The Brahimi Report of the Panel on UN Peace Operations recommends that demobilization reintegration programs are to be considered for the first phase of complex peace operations in order to facilitate the rapid disassembly of fighting factions and reduce the likelihood of resumed conflict. (HinakoToki: 2004) Therefore, DDR programs are primary elements in all new peacekeeping and peace-building operations. In view of addressing the institutional capacity of the UN system to support DDR efforts, the UN established a Task Force on DDR in September 1999. The Task Force, chaired by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), developed guidelines on the institutional division of labor of DDR as well as a broad strategy for DDR. (Hinako It defined a leadership and Toki:2004) coordination framework in the context of DDR, and developed ways of mobilizing the wide range of expertise and resources available both within and outside of the UN system. (Hinako Toki:2004)

In addition to the changes within the UN system, both multilateral and bilateral aid donors have increasingly recognized the significance of support for DDR activities. In recent years the World Bank has become

increasingly involved in providing technical, financial and capacity-building support in the area of DDR. (Sean Bradley.et.al:2003) As a prime example, the World Bank set up the Multi-Donor Trust Fund to facilitate broad donor support to the DDR program in Sierra Leone, which accounted for roughly 50 percent of the overall resources invested in the DDR activities.(World Bank:2003) financial support by the World Bank included direct financing through Emergency Recovery Credits, budget support and a Post-Conflict Fund Grant. (Sean Bradlev.et.al:2003). In addition, as the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) guideline of conflict prevention explicates, the Development Assistance Committee (DAC) members have come to agree on the eligibility of a broad range of peace-related assistance to classified as official development assistance (ODA). These include, for instance, demobilization and explosive mine removal for developmental purposes. (Hinako Toki: 2004). These positive trends in donor attitudes concerning DDR demonstrate the wide acknowledgement of DDR and peacebuilding as central issues leading to development.

The process of DDR cannot be viewed as a simple sequence of events, but rather, these activities form a continuum and are related and mutually reinforcing.(Hinako Toki:2004). In particular, the reintegration component represents a complex element of the DDR process, which needs to be pursued in a broader national strategic plan for reconciliation. reconstruction development (Hinako Toki:2004). As Joanna Spear rightly argues, reintegration is the most effective way to break former combatants' ties to their former military units and allows a means for them to provide for their dependents.(Joanna Spear:2002) At the same time, it is imperative to strike a balance between assisting ex-combatants and other sectors of the war-affected population. It is important to avoid giving the misleading impression that ex-combatants are rewarded VOLUME VIII (1), JUNE 2014 ISSN: 1391-6815

for their acts during the conflict. The ultimate goals of DDR are to reintegrate former combatants in the community where they may reconcile with other people affected by the conflict and to restore the society resilient to conflict.

In response to the challenge of building human security in post-conflict settings, the international community has instituted a programme most commonly referred to as DDR - three distinct yet overlapping components. Under varying nomenclature, DDR programmes are implemented by the United Nations, the World Bank, international and local non-governmental organizations (NGOs) as well as the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) nations. In his report to the United Nations Security Council (UNSC), Kofi Annan reaffirms, 'the matter of disarmament, demobilization and reintegration of excombatants in a peacekeeping environment as part of its continuing effort to contribute to enhancing the effectiveness of United Nations peacekeeping and peace-building activities... has repeatedly proved to be vital to stability in a post-conflict situation; to reducing the likelihood of renewed violence, either because of a relapse into war or outbreaks of banditry; and to facilitating a society's transition from conflict to normalcy and development' (UNSC 2000a:1).

Through processes such as the United Nations' Integrated DDR Standards (IDDRS), the Stockholm Initiative on DDR (SIDDR) and the Multi-donor Reintegration Programme (MDRP), there has been a growing acceptance that DDR, as opposed to simply a military activity, must be treated as a political, social, and economic process that intersects with sustainable long-term development (Bell & Watson 2006). Whereas disarmament and demobilization primarily focus on the individual, reintegration shifts from the individual to the community that the ex-combatant is relocating to. In order for DDR programmes to succeed, sufficient

resources and planning must be invested in the reintegration phase. It has been shown that in cases where donors have reduced or eliminated funding prematurely reintegration, ex-combatants have been likely to resort back to lives of violence and crime. If left untreated, ex-combatants may form criminal gangs and militia groups, partaking in crime based on trade in drugs, stolen goods. and illicit weapons (Muggah 2005), as was the case in Angola, in the late 1990s, where reports linked high levels of crime and banditry to the failure of the DDR programme (UNIDIR; 1999). While all three elements of the DDR process are equally important in restoring peace and security, this paper will primarily focus on reintegration, the longest and most often neglected phase of DDR. The successful reintegration of ex-combatants presupposes that there is a community that is socially and economically ready and able to receive them.

However. DDR processes have often inadvertently excluded children. especially girls, as was the case in Angola and Liberia. (Hinako Toki: 2004). By the same token, DDR initiatives, at times, have failed to acknowledge female combatants. For example, the demobilization program in only granted resettlement Mozambique allowances to men and only men's clothing was issued.(Sally Baden:1997) In the course of planning DDR programs, special attention must be paid to the needs and priorities of female and child combatants, as well as other vulnerable groups such disabled/chronically ill soldiers, and family members of demobilized soldiers. activities that only focus on one segment of society, often former male combatants, without considering how that group interacts with the rest of society, only have limited success in transforming them into civilian life.

From DDR to Development

The economic benefits of ending a war extend well beyond savings in military-related costs. DDR initiatives affect the economy at both VOLUME VIII (1), JUNE 2014 ISSN: 1391-6815

macroeconomic and microeconomic levels. On the one hand, they contribute to the restructuring of government spending; on the other hand, they allow many people to enter the civilian labor market.(Colletta, Kostner and Wiederhoefe :2001) Financial and economic returns resulting from DDR exercises can indicate a "peace dividend" for the government and the economy. But, it is probable that a substantial peace dividend will not be achieved in the short run, especially when the costs of DDR programs are enormous. Precise calculation of a peace dividend also may not be possible due to lack of relevant official data. However, peace dividends of DDR need to be understood in broader social and economic terms as well as in financial terms. (Colletta, Kostner and Wiederhoefe: 2001)

In order to enhance the economic impact of DDR processes, it is suggested to link a country's overall macroeconomic reform program, especially as it concerns the public expenditure mix, to the planned reintegration program. (Colletta, Kostner and Wiederhoefe: 2001) This can be achieved by associating reintegration initiatives with public works programs designed to rehabilitate the basic infrastructure. economic for instance. rebuilding roads, bridges, schools and health clinics. These labor-intensive projects would create a significant number of jobs in the short- to medium-term, at an important period of social adjustment for demobilized soldiers. At the same time, the demobilized would acquire skills and work experience that would help them find work or create employment opportunities in the future. (Susan Willet: 1997)

Incorporating a development perspective in the reintegration of ex-combatants can serve to benefit all members of a community. On the one hand, the reintegration of excombatants into civil society can place a heavy burden on a country's labor market. Yet, on the other hand, ex-combatants can contribute to economic development by entering into productive, income-generating activities.(Colletta. Kostner Wiederhoefe:2001) Furthermore, the involvement of ex-combatants in measures to rehabilitate the infrastructure is effective in enabling the receiving communities to experience a direct and tangible improvement in the post-conflict situation. This, in turn, would raise the level of social acceptance for ex-combatants by the community members. Therefore. well-designed labor-intensive projects for rehabilitation of community with an appropriate long-term perspective can create a ground for sustainable development.

Another important factor of addressing the post-conflict reality of DDR is the reduction of poverty. As Paris notes, greater levels of economic inequalities result in civil unrest. which increases the likelihood of conflict reoccurrence. .(Paris, Roland :1997). The poor members of the society are more tempted to fall into the traps of fighting groups since this is considered a chance to step out of their current economic situation. aforementioned strengthening of the systems of public health and education is a move towards reduction of poverty, since it will allow the poorest members of society to get reintegrated in the economic life again, Once dependent on the economic forces, the members of society will have more incentives to preserve the created status quo, because the opportunity cost of returning to conflict would be too high. At the same time, political and economic elites will become more dependent on the work force, which will preclude any one-side exploitation of resources.

DDR in Practice

Post-conflict societies that have been involved in human rights abuses and mass killings are often left with low levels of trust and damaged social capital. As for ex-combatants, the DDR process strips them of their social status, their sense of importance, their income, and their support network (Hazen 2007). This is exacerbated by the reluctance of communities to accept the return of ex-VOLUME VIII (1), JUNE 2014 ISSN: 1391-6815

combatants, who in many cases had committed atrocities in the very communities that they wish to return to. In Uganda, for example, distrust and resentment were widespread during the initial stages of demobilization and reintegration. Communities were unwilling to accept the return of ex-combatants that had terrorized their lives for so many years. In some cases, this led to hostilities and alienation in the early phase of reintegration (Colletta et al 1996).

In order for ex-combatants to reintegrate, they must relinquish ties with wartime social networks, and reacclimatize themselves with a social structure, which includes unfamiliar norms, beliefs, and laws within the community. Making this transition can be confusing and psychologically traumatic for ex-combatants and the communities that they return to. Therefore, successful long-term reintegration, as part of the DDR process, can positively impact conflict resolution processes (Colletta, et.al 1996). On the other hand, failure to conduct well planned and funded reintegration programmes can lead to further deterioration in social capital, poor economic conditions. and possibly violence. Reintegration programmes that use existing community organizations enable communities to take ownership of development, while facilitating the reintegration of ex-combatants. Informal networks among ex-combatants, as discussion groups, associations, and business ventures are key elements for successful economic and social reintegration. These networks are especially powerful in societies where social capital is scarce (Colletta 1997).

Both ex-combatants and the community can benefit from the many networks of trust that result. It is essential that ex-combatants be fully engaged in the formation of civil society, which is both a by-product and a generator of social capital (Levinger 2005). To achieve this, economic and social reintegration must be embedded in a larger process that

addresses ex-combatants within the development framework of their communities.

Since the early 1990s we have witnessed a significant decline in the number of ongoing armed conflicts and at the same time a dramatic increase in the number of Disarmament. Demobilization Reintegration (DDR) programs. It is clear that DDR has become part and parcel of peace processes and peacekeeping operations. Research on the subject matter is thriving, and is rich with valuable insights and anecdotes the groups attempt to promote systematic, rigorous and broad comparative studies, including large-scale field surveys, which are of policy relevance. The ultimate goal is to improve and inform policy.

A particularly striking aspect of research on DDR is that, in its narrow focus on implementation issues, it often loses sight of fundamental research questions thatshouldmotivate it. Consequently, existingstudies of DDR programs often cannot say much about the effect of these programs on peace building after civil war. The field, at its heart, concerns the stability of peace after civil war, and the links between coflict (or prospective coflict) and economic development. In what follows, we re-focus attention on these issues and on the need to investigate whether DDR programs indeed help to enhance stability and development in a post-coflict environment. Ultimately, this is what practitioners want these programs to do.

The Final Report of the Stockholm Initiative on Disarmament Demobilization Reintegration (SIDDR), under the auspices of the Ministry ofForeign Affairs of Sweden, reflects this understanding: "The SIDDR...defends a conception of DDR which aims to stabilize the post conflict situation, while at the same time keeping the long-term peace-building agenda in mind" (SIDDR 2006:14). (UN IDDRS: 2006)10

In this report, four distinct goals that are commonly attributed to DDR processes:

•Preventing civil warfrom recurring, mainly through improving economic development;

- Preventing crime and violence;
- Stimulating civic andpolitical participation;
- Healing trauma caused by the experience of war.

For as long as warfare has existed societies have confronted the problem of what to do with combatants once hostilities cease. Following international wars there is little concern that ex-combatants may threaten the peace, either between the states or domestically, although ex-combatants may pose a problem for public order. But when civil wars end, the presence of armed elements with few alternatives to practicing their violent skill-sets poses a threat to consolidating gains made in peace processes near their conclusion, or to the stability of an already concluded peace. Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration (DDR) programs have developed as a response by the international community to the perceived risk of a return to violence if former combatants are not rehabilitated and reintegrated into society.

DDR programs involving the World Bank, the United Nations (UN), and other international actors date to the late 1980s, and have grown rapidly in number and scope.In all, DDR programs were implemented in a total of 51 civil wars that were active during the period 1979 to 2006. If we consider only civil wars that ended from 1994 on (or were ongoing during that time) and for which the DDR process also occurred, we are left with DDR programs related to 38 post-civil war contexts. This indicates a sharp increase in the incidence of new DDR programs from the mid-1990s on. (Doyle and Sambanis: 2006)

War Recurrence and the Conflict-Development Nexus

The chief concern in a post-conflict environment is preventing the resumption of hostilities. DDR programs are thought to reduce the risk of a war recurring in a variety of ways, by: Reducing the availability of weapons; Geographically dispersing excombatants and disrupting their social networks; Providing ex-combatants witheconomic opportunities unrelated to conflict; Building confidence between formerwarring parties, including restructuring the military; and Helping governments realize peace dividends.

At the micro level, the "R" (Reintegration) in DDR programs is thought to influence the overall risk of war recurrence by enhancing the economic opportunities of former combatants. This view is consistent with currently popular economic models of civil war, according to which one would expect the risk of warto be greatest where the economic opportunity costs of war are lower.(Collier and Hoeffler: 2004 & Chassang and Padró I Miaue :2009)).The "Ds" help in other ways: through "micro-disarmament," DDR programs can reduce the prevalence of small arms and make a rapid re-mobilization for violence harder. (Pike and Taylor: 2000). Similarly, the risk of recurrence can be reduced through DDR program-achieved demobilization, which severs the ties between former combatants and the command structure. (Humphreys and Weinstein: 2009). At the macro level, one mechanism through which DDR programs are supposed to contribute to peace is through reductions in military expenditure, following restructuring and downsizing of the military, which should lead to greater economic growth. Kingma:2002).And consequently reduced risks of civil war down the road.Disarmament also serves a symbolic purpose (signifying the end of war) and tests the parties' commitment to the peace process, thus building their confidence in it and each other.(Gleichman et. al. 2004:17) and Ball and van de Goor :2006:4)

The broader literature on the recurrence of civil wars supports the plausibility of these conjectures concerning the possible role DD in peace building. Doyle and Sambanis (2000,

2006) find evidence that multidimensional UN peace operations substantially increase the likelihood that post-coflict peace will be sustained (see also Fortna 2004). Glassmyer and Sambanis (2008) show a correlation between power-sharing agreements and more durable peace settlements (see also Hoddie and Hartzell [2003]). A causal connection is hard to establish, although there are several plausible mechanisms, including perception that such outcomes are more democratic and the confidence-building effects of power-sharing. Two types of powersharing are the integration of former warring parties into the institutions of government, and the integration of their forces into the national military, both of which can be facilitated through DDR programs.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Along with the increase of involvement of the international community in post-conflict assistance, has come a greater focus on DDR. It has been well established that DDR is an essential component of post-conflict recovery. While development and humanitarian organizations focus on improving political and economic institutions and upholding the peace, particular attention must be paid to excombatants, who are most prone to become spoilers of the peace process. Given their access to weaponry, their experience with armed violence, and left-over animosity, excombatants are most likely to return to violence if conditions do not allow them to make the transition from war to peace. In post-coflict settings, economies and institutions are too damaged and fragile to absorb tens to hundreds of thousands of excombatants at once. Therefore, it is imperative that well-planned and dynamically funded DDR programmes are implemented.

While disarmament and demobilization are important, it is the reintegration phase that requires the greatest amounts of time and funding for successfully assisting excombatants and their return to sustainable livelihoods in their former or sometimes new

communities. Typically, reintegration targets the individual ex-combatant with cash packages and job training. More recently, there has been a shift in conventional wisdom, calling for a community-focused approach to reintegration. Consequently, this study argues that both individual and community-based reintegration approaches are important when conducting DDR programmes.

Disarmament. Demobilization Reintegration continues to play an important role in peace and state building initiatives as it contributes to a secure environment required for long term development. It should also be borne in mind that DDR is directly linked to opportunities for reforming the overall security sector in post-conflict situations and therefore, policy makers should take into account this interdependence. Synergies between DDR especially reintegration efforts, and development programs by the Bank and its partners in RMCs should be harnessed. These synergies are necessary for the sustainability of donor interventions and for the transition from fragility post-conflict phase to long-term development.

DDR programs should be designed and implemented in a way that avoids creating new social status. The use of labels such as "ex-combatants" or "the demobilized" has normative. economic and bureaucratic implications associated with it. These names/labels may be necessary at the beginning to help identify target groups and beneficiaries of DDR, but they must eventually disappear, and these individuals must become permanently integrated into society.

There is need to enhance the absorptive capacity of host communities. The programs should be specific and associated with identifiable community needs. This calls for a needs assessment of communities. DDR programs should be designed based on the aspirations of both the community and excombatants.

Major post-war DDR took place in a wide range of counties in the 1990s and 2000s. In all most all cases, DDR played significant role in the rehabilitation, peace building and development processes. Some experiences in DDR have been very positive. Ex-combatants return to peaceful work and received considerable support from their families and communities. However, some others have failed or have not significantly contributed to peace building and human development.

Lastly, this paper presents a range of issues that will require special attention in responding to new efforts to support DDR and in anticipating demobilization in the future. For example, more attention should be paid to the broader economic, institutional and security environment. Various groups of excombatants have special needs, which play role in the type of assistance required. In addition, psychosocial and human rights aspects also deserve to play an important role in the design and implementation DDR support. A debate on these issues would help to refocus and strengthen the potential for effective and efficient external support for peace building and human development.

At present, there is hope for Liberia, Sierra Leone, Burundi and Aceh with respect to "bridg(ing) the transition for humanitarian relief to a country's return to a conventional development trajectory". We do not know yet where these countries will be in five or 10 years time, but what we certainly do know, is that a successful DDR process, a successful transformation of units of combatants to civilian movements, is the precondition for a return to development policy normality. This holds true for the past and is the prospect for the future. Failed demobilisation efforts have repeatedly led to a flaring-up of the war: in Angola (1994 and 1997), in Liberia (1996) and in Sierra Leone (latest 1999). For Haiti, Colombia and the DR Congo. disarmament of certain groups (paramilitaries in Colombia, Rwandan "génocidaires" in the

Congo, armed gangs in Port-au-Prince) is seen as the key to bringing movement to the bogged-down peace processes 208.

When DDR programmes focus on combatants and the stability of post-conflict situations with their operational targets, they create the environment in which long-term post-conflict peace building can commence. Therefore, one can argue that DDR is a window of opportunity for post-conflict peace building as well as for development cooperation in the post-conflict societies.

Further research is needed on country specific experiences in post-conflict reconstruction and reintegration. In addition to this, the motivations and underlying causes of conflict (human rights abuses, weak electoral systems, high corruption and government ineffectiveness, break-down of rule of law and ethnic tensions among others) in post-conflict countries need to be addressed otherwise the probability to return to violence for the same reasons is high.

References

- Bell, Edward & Watson, Charlotte (2006), DDR: Supporting Security and Development. International Alert, London.
- Chassang, Sylvain, and Gerard Padró I Miquel. (2009), "Economic Shocks and Civil War", Quarterly Journal of Political Science 4(3): 211–228.
- Colletta, N., Kostner, M. & Wiederhofer, I. (1996), Case Studies in War to Peace Transition: the Demobilization and Reintegration of Ex-Combatants in Ethiopia, Namibia, and Uganda, Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Colletta, Nat (1997), Demilitarization, Demobilization, and the Social and Economic Integration of Ex-combatants: Lessons from the World Bank of Africa. USAID Conference Promoting Democracy, Collier, Paul and Anke Hoeffler.(2004), "Greed and Grievance

- *in Civil War.*" Oxford Economic Papers 56: 563–595.
- Doyle, Michael W. and Nicholas Sambanis.2006.Making War and Building Peace: United Nations Peace Operations. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Fortna, Virginia P. (2004). "Does Peacekeeping Keep Peace? International Intervention and the Duration of Peace after Civil War." *International Studies* Quarterly, 48 (2): 269–292.
- Glassmyer, Katherine and Nicholas Sambanis. (2008),
- 8. "Rebel-Military Integration and Civil War Termination." *Journal of Peace Research* 45(3): 365–384.
- Gleichman. Colin.Michael Odenwald, Kees Stenken, and Adrian ilkinson.(2004), Disarmament, Demobilisation and Reintegration: A Practical Field and Class room Guide. Frankfurt am Main: German Technical Co-Operation (GTZ) Norwegian Defence International Centre (NODEFIC), Pearson Peacekeeping Centre (PPC), and Swedish National Defence College (SNDC).
- Hazen, Jennifer, (2007), Social Integration of Ex-Combatants after Civil War. Available at: http://www.un.org/esa/socdev/egm/paper/Jennifer%20Hazen.pdf (Accessed on March 30, 2014).
- 11. Hinako Toki, (2004), Peace Building and Process of Disarmament, Demobilization and Reintegration: the Experiences of Mozambique and Sierra Leone, Institute for International Cooperation (IFIC) Japan International Cooperation Agency (JICA), Japan.
- Human Rights, and Reintegration in Post- conflict Societies, October 30-31, 1997. Available at: http://pdf.dec.org/pdf_docs/PNACD087. pdf (Accessed on April 02, 2014).
- 13. Humphreys, Macartanand Jeremy Weinstein.(2009) "Demobilization and

- Reintegration in Sierra Leone: Assessing Progress." pp. 47–69 in Robert Muggah, (ed). Security and Post-Coflict Reconstruction: Dealing with Fighters in the Aftermath of War. New York: Routledge.
- Kingma, Kees (2002), "Demobilization, Reintegration and Peacebuilding in Africa." pp. 181–201 in Newman, Edward and Albrecht Schnabel (eds). Recovering from Civil Coflict: Reconciliation, Peace and Development. Portland: Frank Cass.
- 15. Muggah, Robert (2005), No Magic Bullet: A Critical Perspective on Disarmament, Demobilization Reintegration (DDR) and Weapons Reduction in Post-Coflict Contexts. Commonwealth Journal of International Affairs. Pike, Claire and Mark Taylor. (2000), Swords for Ploughshares: Micro disarmament in Transitions from Conflict. Report of the Forum for Policies and Practices of Small Arms and Light Weapons Disarmament in Post-Conflict Transitions. Report No. 332. FAFO Institute for Applied Social Science. Oslo: Institute of Labour and Social Research.
- 16. SallyBaden,(1997),Post-conflict Mozambique: Women's special situation, issues and gender perspectives: to be integrated into skills training and employment promotion," Report of a consultancy for International Labour Organisation Action Programme on Skills and Entrepreneurship in countries emerging from armed conflict (Institute of Development Studies, BRIDGE Report No. 44),
- Sean Bradley, Massimo Fusato, and Philippe Maughan, (2003), "Sierra Leone: Disarmament, Demobilization and Reintegration (DDR),". P.C. Mohan, (ed), Findings Africa Region No. 81 (Washington, DC: The World Bank.

- 18. The Role of United Nations Peacekeeping in Disarmament. Demobilization Reintegration, and Report of the Secretary-General, UN doc. S/2000/101, Feb. 11, 2000, para. 3 (hereinafter The Role of UN Peacekeeping in DDR).
- The World Bank, Implementation Completion Report on a Credit in the Amount of US\$ 25.0 Million to the Republic of Sierra Leone for a Community Reintegration & Rehabilitation, 22 December 2003, 5.
- UN Department for Disarmament Affairs (DDA), "Disarmament and Children," Peace and Security through Disarmament, available from http://disarmament2.un.org/child/issues.htm. (Accessed April 15, 2014)
- UNIDIR (United Nations Institute for Disarmament Research), (1999), The Management of Arms in Conflict Resolution Process. Geneva: UNIDIR.
- UNSC (United Nations Security Council), (2000). Report of the Secretary-General: The Role of United Nations Peacekeeping in Disarmament, Demobilization, and Reintegration. S/2000/101 of 11 February.
- United Nations (UN IDDRS). 2006. Integrated Disarmament, Demobilization and Reintegration Standards (IDDRS). Available at http://www.unddr.org/iddrs/.
- 24. Willet, Susan, (1997), Military Spending Trends and Developments in Southern Africa: Angola, Zimbabwe, Mozambique and South Africa. Revised and edited version of a report submitted by Susan Willet, OECD Back-ground Studies, 36.