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#### Abstract

Background: Allergic manifestation in ear is a common unrecognized otologic disorder worldwide. This study aimed at determining the clinicoepidemiologic pattern and management of patients with allergic ear disorder.

Materials and Methods: This was a prospective hospital-based study of patients with clinical diagnosis of allergic ear disorder. Interviewer assisted questionnaire were administered to the consented patient. Data obtained were collated and analyzed using SPSS statistical software version 18.0.

Results: Prevalence of allergic ear disorder in this study was $4.2 \%$. There was $59.8 \%$ male with male to female ratio of $\mathbf{1 . 5} 1$. No allergen was identified in $7.3 \%$. Common self-reported trigger factor were dirty/ earwax, water/Soap and eardrop/body cream in $72.0 \%, 53.7 \%$ and $39.0 \%$ respectively. There was family history of allergy in $58.5 \%$ of respondents. Common symptoms were pinna pulling/ear picking, ear blockage, hearing impairment and itchy ear in $81.7 \%, 56.7 \%, 54.3 \%$ and $49.4 \%$ respectively. A commonest finding on examination was clean external ear canal $\mathbf{8 1 . 7 \%}$. Middle ear cleft was the commonest affected part of the ear in $56.7 \%$. A commonest associated comorbid illness was $\mathbf{6 4 . 6 \%}$ allergic rhinitis. Commonest complication recorded was otitis media with effusion in $\mathbf{4 2 . 1 \%}$ respondents. Main quality of life affected were sleep disturbance, depression, changes in mood and general health in $\mathbf{4 3 . 9 \%}, \mathbf{3 1 . 7 \%}, \mathbf{2 6 . 8 \%}$ and $\mathbf{2 5 . 6 \%}$ respectively. Commonest treatment was conservative treatment in $86.6 \%$.

Conclusion: Allergic ear disorder is a common ear, nose and throat disorder affecting all ages. At presentation most cases were associated with comorbid illnesses, complications and impact on quality of life. Early identification and referral of patient to a specialist and prompt treatment to reduce avoidable morbidity is advocated. (Int J Biomed Sci 2020; 16 (1): 5-10)
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## BACKGROUND

Allergic affectation of ear is a common otologic disorder in otorhinolaryngological practice. It is an IgE-antigen and mast cell mediated hypersensitivity reaction of the ear lining reaction to the allergens. It is usually insidious in onset which when left untreated may affects the quality of life of the sufferer $(1,2)$.

Allergy is a global public health problem with various prevalence of up $20 \%$ to $40 \%$ worldwide and the trend is known to be on increase (3-5). Prevalence of allergy was found to be $44 \%$ in Singaporean school children $(6,7)$. In UK allergy affects up to $30 \%$ of adults and $40 \%$ of children at some time in their lives $(6,7)$. In developing countries like Nigeria prevalence of allergy is not known.

Allergy usually presented with symptoms which may either be perennial or seasonal (8). This depends on the kind of allergen that are responsible for the presenting symptoms $(9,10)$. Allergic disorder is a chronic multi organ disorder which involves inflammation of the mucous membranes of the ear, nose, sinuses, pharynx, larynx, bronchus and eyes in addition to the skin. The allergens include house dust mites, pollen, cat, dog, and molds (11). In early phase response the reaction occur in minutes also called immediate phase response while late phase response occur over 4-8 hours and may persist for hours or days (12-15).

Comorbid illnesses of allergic diseases have been well described in the populations $(16,17)$. Allergic ear disorder may impact on patient health-related quality of life. Poorly controlled symptoms of allergic ear disorder may contribute to learning impairment, decreased overall cognitive functioning and decreased long-term productivity $(18,19)$.

In African, epidemiological data are scarce but the overall prevalence of allergy in children is at least $20 \%$ to $24 \%$ and there is evidence of increasing prevalence (20-22). This study aimed at determining the clinic-epidemiologic profile and management of allergic ear disorder among patients attending Ekiti state university teaching hospital.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

This was a prospective hospital-based study of patients with clinical diagnosis of allergic ear disorder carried out at ear, nose and throat department of Ekiti state university teaching hospital. The study was carried out over a period of 2 years from May 2016 to April 2018.

Informed consent was obtained from patient/guardian/ parent before patients were enrolled into the study.

Interviewer assisted questionnaire were given to the consented patient to obtained information on sociodemographic features and presenting ear complaints such itching, ear blockage, discharge, hearing impairment and vertigo. Further information on duration, trigger factors and time on allergic ear disorder were also collected. Detailed otorhinolaryngological history was taken from the patient/ guardian/parents. Additional history on possible comorbid illnesses, complication and predisposing factor was taken. Past medical, surgical, family and social history were taken. General physical and systemic examination was performed. Thorough nose, ear, throat, head and neck examination were done and findings were documented. Otological examination as in otoscopy and nasal anterior and posterior rhinoscopy was performed.

All the patients were educated based on the findings and on the line of management of ear allergic. Patient were then managed conservatively, medically or combined. Patients were followed up in the ear, nose and throat clinic for three months.

All data obtained were documented, collated and analyzed by using SPSS version 18.0. The analyzed data were expressed by simple descriptive methods with frequency tables, percentage, bar chart and pie chart.

Limitation to this study was diagnosis was made clinically and no allergic tests were done. No instrument was used to obtain data on quality of life.

Ethical approval was considered and obtained from the ethical committee of the hospital.

## RESULTS

During the study period, a total of 3916 patients were seen in ear, nose and throat department. Of these, 164 patients had clinical diagnosis of allergic ear disorder. Thus, the prevalence of allergic ear disorder in this studied population represents $4.2 \%$. All the studied age group had allergic ear disorder. The modal age group was 1-10 years and accounted for 49 ( $29.9 \%$ ) of patients. This is demonstrated in Figure 1.

There was male 98 ( $59.8 \%$ ) preponderance over female $66(40.2 \%)$. Male to female ratio was 1.5:1. Majority of the patients 148 ( $90.2 \%$ ) practices Christianity while 14 (8.5\%) were Muslim. No formal and tertiary education level were the commonest educational distribution in 41 (25.0\%) and 36 (22.0\%) respectively. Other educational levels were primary in 31 ( $18.9 \%$ ) and preschool in 29 ( $17.7 \%$ ). There were 43 ( $26.2 \%$ ) students/apprentice, 28 ( $17.1 \%$ ) farmers, 26 (15.9\%) business and 24 (14.6\%) applicants constituted
the majority of the affected occupation group. Others were teachers in 22 ( $13.4 \%$ ) and health workers in 21 ( $12.8 \%$ ). Seventy four ( $45.1 \%$ ) of the respondents were single while 43 ( $26.2 \%$ ) were married. Others were widow and divorced in $28(17.7 \%)$ and $19(11.6 \%)$ respectively. This is illustrated in Table 1.

No allergen was identified in 12 ( $7.3 \%$ ) respondents. Common self reported trigger factor were dirty/earwax, water/Soap and eardrop/body cream in 118 (72.0\%), 88 ( $53.7 \%$ ) and 64 ( $39.0 \%$ ) respectively. Other self identify allergens (triggers) were 46 ( $28.0 \%$ ) sea/other food. There was family history of allergy in 96 ( $58.5 \%$ ) compared to

Table 1. Sociodemographic features among the patients ( $\mathrm{n}=164$ )

| Sociodemographic features/ <br> Variable | Frequency <br> (n) | Percentage <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  |  |
| Male | 98 | 59.8 |
| Female | 66 | 40.2 |
| Religion | 14 | 8.5 |
| Islam | 148 | 90.2 |
| Christianity | 2 | 1.2 |
| Others | 41 |  |
| Level of education | 29 | 17.7 |
| Nil | 31 | 18.9 |
| Pre school | 27 | 16.4 |
| Primary education | 36 | 22.0 |
| Secondary education |  |  |
| Tertiary education | 43 | 26.2 |
| Occupation | 24 | 14.6 |
| Students/apprentice | 26 | 15.9 |
| Applicant | 22 | 13.4 |
| Business | 28 | 17.1 |
| Teachers | 21 | 12.8 |
| Farming | 28 |  |
| Health workers | 19 | 17.1 |
| Marital status |  | 11.6 |
| Single | 26.2 |  |
| Married |  |  |
| Widow |  |  |
| Divorced |  |  |

absence of family history of allergy in 68 (41.5\%). This is demonstrated in Table 2. Common ear symptoms in this study were pinna pulling/ear picking, ear blockage, hearing impairment and itchy ear in 132 (81.7\%), 93 (56.7\%), 89 ( $54.3 \%$ ) and 81 ( $49.4 \%$ ) respectively. Other less common ear symptoms were vertigo in $3(1.8 \%)$ and water sensation in the ear in $64(39.0 \%)$. This is illustrated in Table 3.

A commonest associated comorbid illness was 106 (64.6\%) allergic rhinitis. Others were adenotonsillar disorders, allergic conjunctivitis and asthma in 74 (45.1\%), 47 ( $28.7 \%$ ) and 27 ( $16.5 \%$ ) respectively. This is illustrated in Figure 2. In this study, associated complications were 69 $(42.1 \%)$ otitis media with effusion, 48 ( $23.9 \%$ ) ear foreign body impaction, 42 ( $25.6 \%$ ) ear injury and $36(22.0 \%)$ otitis external. Others were meniere's disease in $2(1.2 \%)$ and chronic suppurative otitis media in 16 ( $9.8 \%$ ).


Figure 1. Showing age group of respondents.

Table 2. Allergic features among the patients

| Allergic features | Number | Percentage (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Triggers factor ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Nil | 12 | 7.3 |
| Dirty/earwax | 118 | 72.0 |
| Eardrop/body cream | 64 | 39.0 |
| Sea/other food | 46 | 28.0 |
| Bath water/Soap | 88 | 53.7 |
| Family history |  |  |
| Present | 96 | 58.5 |
| Absent | 68 | 41.5 |
| Total | 164 | 100.0 |

${ }^{a}$ Some of the patients have more than one trigger factors.

Majority of the studied patients admitted interference with their daily activities. Main quality of life affected includes sleep disturbance, depression, changes in mood and general health which were responsible for 72 ( $43.9 \%$ ), 52 (31.7\%), $44(26.8 \%)$ and 42 ( $25.6 \%$ ) respectively. Less affected quality of life in this study was 29 (17.7\%) psychological disorders and 31 ( $18.9 \%$ ) anxiety.

In this study, commonest form of treatment was conservative treatment in 142 ( $86.6 \%$ ). Other offered treatment were foreign body removal, ear syringing and aural toileting/dressing in 48 ( $29.3 \%$ ), 42 ( $25.6 \%$ ) and 33 (20.1\%) respectively. All the procedures were done in the outpatient clinic. No mortality was recorded in this study population. This is showed in Figure 3.

## DISCUSSION

Allergic ear disorder is one of the major health problems in otorhinolaryngological practice and this affecting


Figure 2. Comorbid illnesses among the respondents.

Table 3. Symptoms among the patients

| Symptoms | Frequency (n) | Percentage (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Itchy ear | 81 | 49.4 |
| Ear blockage | 93 | 56.7 |
| Hearing impairment | 89 | 54.3 |
| Water sensation in ear | 64 | 39.0 |
| Vertigo | 3 | 1.8 |
| Pinna pulling/ear picking | 132 | 80.5 |
| Others | 31 | 18.9 |

Some patients have more than one symptoms.
all ages worldwide. This has been demonstrated in this study. It has also been documented as a global health challenges ( 23,24 ). In this hospital based study prevalence of ear allergy was found to be $4.2 \%$. This is a lower prevalence compare to recorded prevalence in other allergic study. ${ }^{23,24}$ Low prevalence of allergic ear disorder findings in this study is because majority of patients result to selfmedication, herb medication or treated in the peripheral hospitals. Only few complicated cases or those with comorbid illnesses are referred to or present to otorhinolaryngologist. Higher prevalence of allergy have been reported in developed and industrial countries and some part of African countries $(24,25)$.

Majority of patients with allergic ear disorder in this study were children. This may be due to increased number of children with allergy had associated comorbid illnesses and complications. This situation usually compelled parents to seek medical intervention. The allergic ear disorder in adult are commonly ignored due to ignorant, mild symptoms and uncommon associated upper respiratory tract complications. The findings in this study is different from the record of previous study $(26,27)$.

There was male preponderance in this study. A similar finding was reported in other study on allergic disorder (28). This finding is contrary to other studies on allergy which reported female predominance (29). Other study of allergic disorder also revealed no gender predilection in their findings (30). Majority of the patients reported feeling of objects in the ear which leads to itchy ear from bath water/soap in the ear. Other findings also revealed ear irritation simultaneously in nose, throat and eye reaction to


Figure 3. Types of management among the patients.
allergens such as dust, cream, food and eardrop. A similar finding was reported in other study (18).

Allergies are generally due to genetic predisposition and it is responsible for patients to develop allergic ear disorder. There is family history of allergy in our patient in various combinations of asthma, allergic rhinitis and conjuctivitis in most of our study patients. These findings were in agreement with other studies on allergy (31-33). In this study, majority of the patients presented with associated comorbid illnesses like asthma, eczema, sinusitis, conjunctivitis and adenotonsillar disorder. Some studies on allergy reported low incidence of associated comorbid illnesses in their findings $(34,35)$. Probably because of good environmental control, different genetic component, prompt treatment and early medical intervention of allergic disorder.

Complications in allergic ear disorder occur from poorly controlled, non-treated long standing allergy or when it is associated with superimposed infection (viral, bacterial or fungal). This leads to the development of other disease such as otitis media, otitis media with effusion, hearing impairment, orbital complications, sinusitis, nasal polyps, abnormal craniofacial development and obstructive sleep apnea (36). Affected quality of life in the studied patients includes: disrupt sleep, interference with daily activities, psychological disorders, social dysfunction, changes in mood, depression, anxiety, fatigue, and sexual dysfunction. This observation was also noted in other allergic study (37).

Management of allergic ear disorder may require multidisciplinary approach for effective treatment outcome. This is because of associated comorbid illnesses, complications and impact on quality of life. The specialist management team includes otorhinolaryngologists, radiologist, paediatricians, psychiatrist, family physician and allergists (38). The treatment of allergy consists of allergen avoidance (aggressive environmental control) which is effective but practically difficult (38). In addition to this; medical treatment using steroids and antihistamines are also administered. Surgical therapy is reserved for complicated and comorbid illnesses. Conservative treatment in this study was done on all symptomatic patients using avoidance of allergens with antihistamines and steroid nasal spray which temporarily relief patient symptoms. This therapy is in line with treatment in other study (38). Majority of the patients had clinic minor procedure because of associated complications and comorbid illnesses. This finding was similar to the observation in other study (39). It is paramount for patients to know that all these treat-
ment cannot cure allergic ear disorder. This is a major concerned to patient/guardian/parent. In this study all patient noticed improvement at first week follow up visit. No death was recorded in this study on account of allergic ear disorder.

Allergic ear disorder is a common otologic disorder with under presentation among patients seen by Otorhinolaryngologist. Many patients had associated comorbid illnesses, complications and impact quality of life. Many of the patients were conservatively managed by emphasis on avoidance of triggers factor, prompt presentation and early referral to the Otorhinolaryngologist to avoid on toward outcome. It is therefore recommended that public enlightenment, further local and national study on allergic ear disorder in Nigeria is necessary.
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