

F1000Research 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1761 Last updated: 27 SEP 2017



REVIEW

Bacterial vaginosis [version 1; referees: 2 approved]

Phillip Hay 1,2

¹Wandsworth Integrated Sexual Health, Courtyard Clinic, St. George's Hospital, Blackshaw Road, London, SW17 0QT, UK ²Institute of Infection and Immunity, St. George's, University of London, Cranmer Terrace, London, SW17 0RE, UK

v1

First published: 27 Sep 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1761 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11417.1)

Latest published: 27 Sep 2017, **6**(F1000 Faculty Rev):1761 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11417.1)

Abstract

Bacterial vaginosis is the most prevalent cause of abnormal vaginal discharge in women of childbearing age. It can have a major impact on quality of life and psychological wellbeing if frequently recurrent and strongly symptomatic. The use of molecular techniques to study the vaginal microbiome is increasing our understanding of the dynamic changes in flora that occur in health and disease. It might soon be possible to separate *Gardnerella* into different pathogenic and non-pathogenic species. Many groups are studying compounds that can disrupt the biofilm which is dominated by *Gardnerella* and *Atopobium vaginae*. Several studies in the last decade support the concept of bacterial vaginosis as a sexually transmitted infection.

Open Peer Review Referee Status: 🗸 🗸 **Invited Referees** 1 2 version 1 published 27 Sep 2017 F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, peer review takes place before publication; the referees are listed below, but their reports are not formally published. Amanda Lewis, Washington University School of Medicine, USA 2 Catriona Bradshaw, Monash University, Australia Discuss this article Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Phillip Hay (phay@sgul.ac.uk)

Competing interests: The author has received payment and support from Bayer Consumer Healthcare and Starpharma to attend conferences. His unit has received payment from Starpharma for clinical trials.

How to cite this article: Hay P. Bacterial vaginosis [version 1; referees: 2 approved] F1000Research 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1761 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11417.1)

Copyright: © 2017 Hay P. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

First published: 27 Sep 2017, 6(F1000 Faculty Rev):1761 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.11417.1)

Introduction

Bacterial vaginosis (BV) is the most prevalent cause of abnormal vaginal discharge in women of childbearing age. Those most severely affected experience an offensive fishy-smelling discharge which recurs frequently, often around the time of menstruation. Others may have BV transiently and asymptomatically. It usually responds to treatment with antibiotics but can relapse rapidly, and reported rates of relapse are more than 50% within 3–6 months. There is a need for alternatives to antibiotics and to find a way to prevent relapse. Some recent studies imply that it is sexually transmitted, with more pathogenic strains of *Gardnerella* being identified. BV is a risk factor for adverse pregnancy outcomes, including second-trimester miscarriage, spontaneous preterm birth, and post-Caesarean section endometritis. It is also consistently associated with acquisition of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), including HIV.

Gardnerella, biofilms and potential treatments

The description of a biofilm on the surface of the vaginal epithelium by Swidsinski and colleagues puts Gardnerella, as the dominant organism, once again at the centre of pathogenesis in BV1. The role of Gardnerella as a sexually transmissible organism that initiates BV was elegantly discussed by Schwebke and colleagues in 2014². Identifying differences in genes and phenotype between Gardnerella strains has been the focus of many studies. If non-pathological strains of Gardnerella exist, it could explain much of the epidemiology. Two strains of Gardnerella-one from a woman with BV and one without-were compared in 20103. Differences were described in some genes and virulence factors such as adhesion, cytotoxicity and biofilm-forming capability. In a larger study, 17 isolates were studied and divided into genetically identified clades consistent with subspecies4. Another study has confirmed four distinct subgroups of Gardnerella. Schellenberg and colleagues used sequencing of the chaperonin-60 universal target in a diverse group of 112 isolates⁵. Sialidase activity, which is potentially an important mediator of pathogenesis through degradation of mucus, was identified in subgroups B and C but not A or D. Confusingly, the putative sialidase gene was present in some of the sialidase-negative clones. The authors conclude that it is likely that Gardnerella will be separated into four different species. A further study showed that strains differ markedly in their ability to degrade sialoglycans⁶. It is possible that we may eventually be able to differentiate pathogenic from nonpathogenic Gardnerella, but it is also possible that there may just be a difference of degree of pathogenicity. There is concern about increasing levels of metronidazole resistance. I have recently seen several cases of clinically metronidazole-refractory BV. Specific clades have also been associated with metronidazole resistance. Schuyler and colleagues identified intrinsic metronidazole resistance in all of the studied strains from clades 3 and 4, but only 35% of clade 1 and 7% of clade 2: clades 1 and 3 were associated with BV and 2 and 4 were not⁷. Interestingly, Alves and colleagues compared the ability of Gardnerella and 30 other BV-associated bacteria to form a biofilm, adhere to epithelial cells and induce cytotoxicity8. Gardnerella was the most potent, once again supporting its central role in pathogenesis.

The demonstration of pockets of biofilm persisting after antibiotic treatment, and then recovering, has led researchers to study how to remove biofilm to achieve cure of BV⁹. Whether relapse is due to this mechanism or to recolonisation from the gut or a partner has not been determined. Machado and colleagues extensively reviewed putative agents to potentially be used as adjunctive agents to antibiotics, including octenidine, boric acid, DNAses, retrocyclin, subtilosin, ploy-L-lysine, and lauramide arginine ethyl ester¹⁰. At present, although some of them look promising, clinical evaluation has been limited. Prebiotics in the form of sugars used preferentially by lactobacilli and not by *Gardnerella* or *Candida* seem to be effective in restoring lactobacilli but might be needed in the long term to maintain a healthy vaginal flora^{11,12}. Amphoteric tenside agents also look effective *in vitro*, and a clinical study of safety and efficacy has started¹³.

The most recent licensed product for treating BV is dequalinium chloride. This is essentially an antiseptic agent. Repeated use, if not associated with toxicity, has to be preferable to a repeated course of antibiotics for those with frequently recurrent BV. The evidence for its efficacy was reviewed by Mendling and colleagues¹⁴. This is mostly based on one large multicentre randomized controlled trial in which dequalinium chloride was equivalent to 2% clindamycin cream¹⁵. It has some activity against *Candida* and so may be particularly useful for women who recurrently get both BV and *Candida*.

Bacterial vaginosis as a sexually transmitted infection

Many studies over the last decade support the concept of BV as an STI, including the Australian study, which carefully evaluated any sexual activity as well as penile vaginal sex to define a virgin woman¹⁶. Epidemiological evidence from several studies has established associations between BV and increased numbers of sexual partners, inconsistent condom use and young age at sexual debut. Zozaya and colleagues reported that sexual exchange of BV-associated bacterial taxa between heterosexual couples is common¹⁷. Molecular sequencing has shown that males carry BV-associated bacteria in the sub-preputial space and distal urethra^{18,19}, and in one of these, certain bacteria were associated with non-gonococcal urethritis¹⁸. Additionally, in another study, BV-associated bacteria were more prevalent in male partners of females with BV than without20, and there was concordance of specific BV-associated bacteria and of specific subgroups of *Gardnerella* in heterosexual couples²¹.

Historically, several studies established that there is a high rate of concordance for BV within couples of women who have sex with women. More recently, the concept of sexual transmission between women was supported by findings that incident BV is associated with a new female partner, a female partner with BV and receptive oral sex in two prospective cohorts^{22,23}.

However, if BV is sexually transmitted, we do not know whether the condition results from transmission of a single agent. Thus, Muzny and Schwebke propose a model with *Gardnerella* as the 'keystone' organism for the development of BV, with acquisition of both *Gardnerella* and additional anaerobes being sexual²⁴. On the other hand, Swidsinski and colleagues described biofilm in the urine of male partners of women with BV and described acquisition of BV in a woman receiving semen including biofilm for artificial insemination^{25,26}, implying that it is transmitted as a polymicrobial consortium. The early studies by Gardner, Dukes and colleagues support the latter, as they were more successful in transmitting BV with fresh vaginal fluid than a pure growth of *Gardnerella*²⁷.

Gardnerella is found in the gut as well as in the vagina and penis. In a thorough review, Kenyon and Osbak discuss the interchange of bacteria from a woman's vagina, oral and rectal microbiomes, and those of her partner and the partner's other sexual contacts²⁸. This implies a role for BV-associated organisms in the gut to provide a source of recolonisation. Even amongst men who have sex with men (MSM), BV-associated organisms are prevalent in the rectum. A study of 107 MSM in Belfast found Gardnerella in 83.2% of rectal samples and Mycoplasma hominis in 24.3%²⁹. If sexual contact leads to initial colonisation with BV, it is remarkably persistent thereafter in many women. Perhaps the best evidence that male partners contribute to BV was the approximately 50% reduction in BV in women whose partners were randomly assigned to circumcision in an HIV prevention study³⁰. Against the STI argument, the rates of persistent/ recurrent STIs such as HSV-2 infection increase with age whereas BV rates were the same through ages 20-49 in a large study from the USA³¹.

Sex hormones and microbiota

A study of 682 women looked at the correlation between contraceptive method and vaginal microbiome³². They found that women using combined oral contraception (COC) (odds ratio (OR) 0.29) and depot medroxyprogesterone acetate (DMPA) (OR 0.34) had significantly reduced rates of colonisation with BV-associated bacteria compared with those using condoms. Those with COC also were more likely to be colonised with H₂O₂-producing lactobacilli (OR 1.94). Women using an intrauterine system had greater rates of BV-associated bacteria, but this was not statistically significant. This supports the conclusion from an earlier study in which hormonal stimulation for *in vitro* fertilization was associated with a decreased rate of BV³³. Combined hormonal contraception taken for 3 months continuously is a strategy that needs to be studied for reducing BV by providing both

increased oestrogen levels and reduced frequency of menstruation. This is in keeping with two systematic reviews from 2013 which identified the association between use of specific hormonal contraception and reduced risk of $BV^{34,35}$.

Several studies of the vaginal microbiome have been published in recent years. Understanding what is normal vaginal flora is clearly relevant to understanding dysbiosis. A large cross-sectional study of 396 healthy reproductive-age women used culture-independent approaches to classify vaginal bacteria composition profiles into five community state types³⁶. Four relatively stable lactobacillus-dominated community state types were identified in 73% of women by using cultivation-independent methods based on the analysis of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene sequences. A fifth corresponded more with dysbiosis and BV. However, many of these bacteria produce lactic acid and some of these microbiomes were not associated with symptoms³⁷. A full discussion of these developments appeared recently in a whole journal issue devoted to the vaginal microbiome with a thorough editorial written by Crucitti³⁸.

Conclusions

Molecular techniques are giving us new insights into BV. Is there a pathogenic type of *Gardnerella* that is sexually transmitted and can be distinguished from benign planktonic strains? Or is BV merely sexually associated, such that *Gardnerella* carriage and exposure are almost ubiquitous but something happens with a new sexual partner that allows BV to develop? Further studies of the microbiome need to define the apparent normal flora in some women that is not dominated by lactobacilli. We might be better able to develop probiotic therapies if we can better understand the relationship between host and vaginal flora³⁹. We desperately need better ways of preventing recurrence that do not involve repeated courses of antibiotic. There are several candidate therapies which need to be taken into clinical studies.

Competing interests

The author has received payment and support from Bayer Consumer Healthcare and Starpharma to attend conferences. His unit has received payment from Starpharma for clinical trials.

Grant information

The author(s) declared that no grants were involved in supporting this work.

References

- Swidsinski A, Mendling W, Loening-Baucke V, et al.: Adherent biofilms in bacterial vaginosis. Obstet Gynecol. 2005; 106(5 Pt 1): 1013–23.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Schwebke JR, Muzny CA, Josey WE: Role of Gardnerella vaginalis in the pathogenesis of bacterial vaginosis: a conceptual model. J Infect Dis. 2014; 210(3): 338–43.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- Harwich MD Jr, Alves JM, Buck GA, et al.: Drawing the line between commensal and pathogenic Gardnerella vaginalis through genome analysis and virulence studies. BMC Genomics. 2010; 11: 375.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Ahmed A, Earl J, Retchless A, et al.: Comparative genomic analyses of 17 clinical isolates of Gardnerella vaginalis provide evidence of multiple genetically isolated clades consistent with subspeciation into genovars.

F1000 recommended

- J Bacteriol. 2012; 194(15): 3922-37.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Schellenberg JJ, Paramel Jayaprakash T, Withana Gamage N, et al.: Gardnerella vaginalis Subgroups Defined by cpn60 Sequencing and Sialidase Activity in Isolates from Canada, Belgium and Kenya. PLoS One. 2016; 11(1): e0146510.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Lewis WG, Robinson LS, Gilbert NM, et al.: Degradation, foraging, and depletion of mucus sialoglycans by the vagina-adapted Actinobacterium Gardnerella vaginalis. J Biol Chem. 2013; 288(17): 12067–79.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Schuyler JA, Mordechai E, Adelson ME, et al.: Identification of intrinsically metronidazole-resistant clades of Gardnerella vaginalis. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis. 2016; 84(1): 1–3.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Alves P, Castro J, Sousa C, et al.: Gardnerella vaginalis outcompetes 29 other bacterial species isolated from patients with bacterial vaginosis, using in an in vitro biofilm formation model. J Infect Dis. 2014; 210(4): 593–6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Swidsinski A, Mendling W, Loening-Baucke V, et al.: An adherent Gardnerella vaginalis biofilm persists on the vaginal epithelium after standard therapy with oral metronidazole. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2008; 198(1): 97.e1–6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Machado D, Castro J, Palmeira-de-Oliveira A, et al.: Bacterial Vaginosis Biofilms: Challenges to Current Therapies and Emerging Solutions. Front Microbiol. 2016; 6: 1528.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 11. F Coste I, Judlin P, Lepargneur JP, et al.: Safety and efficacy of an intravaginal prebiotic gel in the prevention of recurrent bacterial vaginosis: a randomized double-blind study. Obstet Gynecol Int. 2012; 2012: 147867.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 12. Xiao BB, Zhang D, Chen R, et al.: [Sucrose gel for treatment of bacterial vaginosis: a randomized, double-blind, multi-center, parallel-group, phase III clinical trial]. Beijing Da Xue Xue Bao. 2015; 47(6): 925–32. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Gottschick C, Szafranski SP, Kunze B, et al.: Screening of Compounds against Gardnerella vaginalis Biofilms. PLoS One. 2016; 11(4): e0154086.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 14. Fig. Mendling W, Weissenbacher ER, Gerber S, et al.: Use of locally delivered dequalinium chloride in the treatment of vaginal infections: a review. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2016; 293(3): 469–84.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 15. F Weissenbacher ER, Donders G, Unzeitig V, et al.: A comparison of dequalinium chloride vaginal tablets (Fluomizin®) and clindamycin vaginal cream in the treatment of bacterial vaginosis: a single-blind, randomized clinical trial of efficacy and safety. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 2012; 73(1): 8–15. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Fethers KA, Fairley CK, Morton A, et al.: Early sexual experiences and risk factors for bacterial vaginosis. J Infect Dis. 2009; 200(11): 1662–70.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Zozaya M, Ferris MJ, Siren JD, et al.: Bacterial communities in penile skin, male urethra, and vaginas of heterosexual couples with and without bacterial vaginosis. Microbiome. 2016; 4(1): 16.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 18. F Manhart LE, Khosropour CM, Liu C, et al.: Bacterial vaginosis-associated bacteria in men: association of Leptotrichia/Sneathia spp. with nongonococcal urethritis. Sex Transm Dis. 2013; 40(12): 944–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Price LB, Liu CM, Johnson KE, et al.: The effects of circumcision on the penis microbiome. PLoS One. 2010; 5(1): e8422.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Liu CM, Hungate BA, Tobian AA, et al.: Penile Microbiota and Female Partner Bacterial Vaginosis in Rakai, Uganda. mBio. 2015; 6(3): e00589.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 21. Eren AM, Zozaya M, Taylor CM, et al.: Exploring the diversity of Gardnerella vaginalis in the genitourinary tract microbiota of monogamous couples

- through subtle nucleotide variation. *PLoS One*. 2011; **6**(10): e26732. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Marrazzo JM, Thomas KK, Fiedler TL, et al.: Risks for acquisition of bacterial vaginosis among women who report sex with women: a cohort study. PLoS One. 2010; 5(6): e11139.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Vodstrcil LA, Walker SM, Hocking JS, et al.: Incident bacterial vaginosis (BV) in women who have sex with women is associated with behaviors that suggest sexual transmission of BV. Clin Infect Dis. 2015; 60(7): 1042–53.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 24. J Muzny CA, Schwebke JR: Pathogenesis of Bacterial Vaginosis: Discussion of Current Hypotheses. J Infect Dis. 2016; 214(Suppl 1): S1–5.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Swidsinski A, Doerffel Y, Loening-Baucke V, et al.: Gardnerella biofilm involves females and males and is transmitted sexually. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 2010; 70(4): 256–63.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Swidsinski A, Dörffel Y, Loening-Baucke V, et al.: Desquamated epithelial cells covered with a polymicrobial biofilm typical for bacterial vaginosis are present in randomly selected cryopreserved donor semen. FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol. 2010; 59(3): 399–404.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Criswell BS, Ladwig CL, Gardner HL, et al.: Haemophilus vaginalis: vaginitis by inoculation from culture. Obstet Gynecol. 1969; 33(2): 195–9.
 PubMed Abstract
- Kenyon CR, Osbak K: Recent progress in understanding the epidemiology of bacterial vaginosis. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2014; 26(6): 448–54.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Cox C, Watt AP, McKenna JP, et al.: Gardnerella vaginalis and Mollicute detection in rectal swabs from men who have sex with men. Int J STD AIDS. 2017; 28(7): 708–14.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 60. F Gray RH, Kigozi G, Serwadda D, et al.: The effects of male circumcision on female partners' genital tract symptoms and vaginal infections in a randomized trial in Rakai, Uganda. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2009; 200(1): 42.e1–7. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Koumans EH, Sternberg M, Bruce C, et al.: The prevalence of bacterial vaginosis in the United States, 2001-2004; associations with symptoms, sexual behaviors, and reproductive health. Sex Transm Dis. 2007; 34(11): 864–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Brooks JP, Edwards DJ, Blithe DL, et al.: Effects of combined oral contraceptives, depot medroxyprogesterone acetate and the levonorgestrelreleasing intrauterine system on the vaginal microbiome. Contraception. 2017; 95(4): 405–13.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

 33. Ralph SG, Rutherford AJ, Wilson JD: Influence of bacterial vaginosis on conception and miscarriage in the first trimester: cohort study. BMJ. 1999; 319(7204): 220–3.
 - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 34. F van de Wijgert JH, Verwijs MC, Turner AN, et al.: Hormonal contraception decreases bacterial vaginosis but oral contraception may increase candidiasis: implications for HIV transmission. AIDS. 2013; 27(13): 2141–53. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 35. F Vodstrcil LA, Hocking JS, Law M, et al.: Hormonal contraception is associated with a reduced risk of bacterial vaginosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2013; 8(9): e73055.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 36. Ravel J, Gajer P, Abdo Z, et al.: Vaginal microbiome of reproductive-age women. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2011; 108(Suppl 1): 4680–7.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Ma B, Forney LJ, Ravel J: Vaginal microbiome: rethinking health and disease. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2012; 66: 371–89.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Crucitti T: Eve's garden: myths, legends and secrets unmasked. Res Microbiol. 2017; pii: S0923-2508(17)30131-6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 39. Ravel J, Brotman RM: Translating the vaginal microbiome: gaps and challenges. Genome Med. 2016; 8(1): 35.

 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:			

Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

The referees who approved this article are:

Version 1

- 1 Catriona Bradshaw Monash University, Melbourne, Australia Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- Amanda Lewis Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.