#### Defining ecologically-relevant scales for spatial protection using long-term data

2

1

# on an endangered seabird and local prey availability

3

Abstract: Human activities are important drivers of marine ecosystem functioning. However, 4 5 separating the synergistic effects of fishing and environmental variability on the prey base of nontarget predators is difficult, often because prey availability estimates on appropriate scales are 6 7 lacking. Understanding how prev abundance at different spatial scales links to population change 8 can help integrate the needs of non-target predators into fisheries management by defining 9 ecologically-relevant areas for spatial protection. We investigated the local population response 10 (number of breeders) of the bank cormorant *Phalacrocorax neglectus*, a range-restricted endangered 11 seabird, to the availability of its prey, the heavily-fished west coast rock lobster Jasus lalandii. 12 Using Bayesian state-space modeled cormorant counts at three colonies, 22 years of fisheries-13 independent data on local lobster abundance and generalized additive modeling, we determined the 14 spatial-scale pertinent to these relationships in areas of differing lobster availability. Cormorant numbers responded positively to lobster availability in the intermediate and high abundance 15 16 regions, but not where regime shifts and fishing pressure have depleted lobster stocks. The 17 relationships were strongest at 20-30 km, greater than the cormorants' foraging range when 18 breeding, and may have been influence by prey availability for non-breeding birds, prey switching 19 or prey ecology. Our results highlight the importance of considering the scale of ecological 20 relationships in marine spatial planning and suggest that designing spatial protection around focal species can benefit marine predators across their full life cycle. We propose the precautionary 21 22 implementation of small-scale marine protected areas, followed by robust assessment and adaptivemanagement, to confirm population-level benefits for the cormorants, their prey and the wider 23 24 ecosystem, without negative impacts on local fisheries.

### 25 Introduction

Environmental change and direct anthropogenic influences are profoundly impacting marine 26 ecosystem functioning, with negative consequences for upper-trophic-level predators (e.g. Hobday 27 28 et al. 2015). One possible solution is implementing Marine Protected Areas (MPAs) where human 29 activities - particularly fishing - are restricted (McCav & Jones 2011). However, given the potential 30 socio-economic impacts, governments may be reluctant to restrict fishing without clear evidence for 31 ecological benefits, making even adaptive-management (where management is adjusted as 32 understanding improves) of MPAs difficult (Mangel 2010). Thus, MPAs have strong support in conservation policy, but integrating them into ecosystem-based fisheries management remains a 33 34 major challenge (McCav & Jones 2011). Indeed, their efficacy in protecting dependent predators by increasing access to prev resources remains unclear; separating the influence of fishing and 35 36 environmental variability is problematic because it requires long time-series straddling a closure 37 and fisheries-independent prey availability data on appropriate scales are usually lacking (e.g. Daunt et al. 2008; Sherley et al. 2015). Moreover, even the largest of MPAs may have limited 38 39 benefits for upper-trophic-level predators if the mobility of such species is not considered (Agardy 40 et al. 2011; Hays et al. 2014).

41

42 In light of these caveats, analyzing long-term datasets on the relationship between focal predator populations and the changing abundance of their prey at different spatial scales could help identify 43 44 situations where small-scale protected areas meet the ecological requirements of threatened taxa 45 throughout their life-cycle. The resulting ecologically-relevant MPAs could play an important role in broader marine spatial planning agendas by helping governments create effective MPAs 46 networks – arguably more effective than few large-scale MPAs (De Santo 2013; McCauley 2014) – 47 48 by raising public support for protected areas via flagship species (Lee et al. 2015), and by 49 encouraging stakeholders to treat MPA implementation as policy experiments (Mangel 2010; Fox et 50 al. 2012).

51 In South Africa, the interactions between the endangered bank cormorant *Phalacrocorax neglectus* and its main prey, the west coast rock lobster Jasus lalandii (hereafter rock lobster), offer an 52 53 opportunity to develop ecologically-relevant MPAs. Lobsters are generally heavily fished, but 54 readily benefit from protected areas (Lester et al. 2009; Moland et al. 2013), while dependent fisheries can ultimately benefit from MPAs through spill-over effects (Goñi et al. 2010). Rock 55 56 lobster is South Africa's third most valuable marine resource. Catches peaked at 16 000 t in the 57 1950s, then declined following overfishing to < 5000 t after 1960 (Pollock et al. 2000). A formal 58 stock assessment procedure was introduced in 1997, with a spatial component added in 2006, but 59 the stock remains well below target levels (de Moor et al. 2015). Today, the fishery is 60 acknowledged to be in a poor state (de Moor et al. 2015; Sink 2016) with the available biomass estimated at < 3% of 'pristine' (see Blamey et al. 2015). Individual lobster growth rates also 61 62 declined during the 1970s and 1980s, while in the 1990s a 'regime shift', characterized by increased upwelling and wind variability, coincided with an eastward expansion in the lobster's distribution 63 (Blamey et al. 2012). Consequently, the percentage of landings taken north and west of Cape Town 64 (Areas 1–7, Fig. 1) declined from  $\sim 60\%$  to < 10% between the late-1980s and 2000, while the 65 reverse occurred south of Cape Town (Area 8, Fig. 1; Cockcroft et al. 2008). 66

67

68 These changes in lobster abundance and distribution mediated top-down and bottom-up ecosystem 69 effects (Blamey et al. 2015; Crawford et al. 2015). The bank cormorant, which feeds extensively on 70 rock lobster in South Africa (Crawford et al. 2015), decreased from  $\sim 9\,000$  breeding pairs in the 71 late-1970s to fewer than 2 600 breeding pairs in 2015, of which < 800 were in South Africa (Cook 2015). Several threats contributed to this decline including oiling, disturbance and bycatch in 72 73 lobster pots (Cooper 1985; Cook 2015). However, food shortage is considered the key factor 74 (Crawford et al. 2008, 2015) given the bank cormorants' restricted foraging range (~10 km when breeding; Ludynia et al. 2010) and the importance of rock lobster in their diet (Cooper 1985; 75 Crawford & Cooper 2005). 76

77 Landings of rock lobster in the offshore fishery and bank cormorant numbers have been correlated in the past (Crawford et al. 2008), while cormorant extirpation at Lambert's Bay and colonization at 78 79 Stony Point followed a significant decline and increase in lobster abundance respectively (Fig. 1; 80 Cockcroft et al. 2008). Moreover, the marine environment within 1.8 km of Robben Island (Fig. 1) 81 has been closed to rock lobster fishing since 1960 (Pollock 1987) and that island supported the 82 largest bank cormorant colony in South Africa until 2015 (~100 pairs; Sherley et al. 2012). With 83 commercial fishing prohibited, breeding habitat may have been limiting colony growth rather than 84 prey availability (Sherley et al. 2012).

85

86 In light of this, bank cormorant conservation could benefit from excluding lobster fishing around 87 other colonies. Crucially, although South Africa is implementing a network of 22 new MPAs (the 88 Phakisa Network), the designation process has been criticized for ignoring the poor status of the 89 west coast rock lobster (Sink 2016), precisely because ecological and fisheries benefits of no-take 90 lobster areas have been demonstrated previously (Lester et al. 2009; Goñi et al. 2010; Moland et al. 91 2013). In this context, we examined the link between the number of bank cormorant breeders 92 (hereafter the 'local population response') and rock lobster availability at increasing distances 93 around three key breeding colonies, two north of Cape Town, where rock lobster abundance has 94 declined and one to the east of Cape Point (Fig. 1), where rock lobsters have increased. We aimed 95 to evaluate the spatial scale over which availability is most influential to these birds and, ultimately, 96 recommend management strategies that could provide for robust tests of whether MPAs can 97 produce sustained benefits for neritic seabirds without impacting local fishing communities.

98

## 99 Methods

100 Bank cormorant counts

We used counts of bank cormorant breeding pairs from three colonies: Jutten Island (33°05'S;
102 17°57' E); Dassen Island (33°25'S; 18°05'E); and Stony Point (34°22'S; 18°53'E) (Fig. 1). These

103 colonies were chosen because (1) 1–3 counts were conducted during austral winter, around peak 104 breeding in most years between 1987 and 2015 (Crawford et al. 2008, 2015); (2) they are 105 distributed towards the north, center and east of the area of operation of the South African rock 106 lobster fishery (Crawford et al. 2008); and (3) fisheries-independent estimates of rock lobster 107 abundance were available for adjacent waters for 1993–2015 (excluding 2000, see below). At all 108 sites, the cormorant nests are clustered on adjacent boulders within c. 100 m of each other.

109

110 Of a possible 69 counts between 1993 and 2015, five were not made (all at Stony Point, Fig. 2) and 111 one count was considered unreliable because it was made outside the main breeding period (2011 at 112 Dassen Island, Fig. 2). To estimate these missing counts, and account for the unknown observation 113 error in the remaining data, we used all available counts from 1987 and a state-space model (SSM) 114 to generate annual time-series of the local population response for each colony between 1993 and 115 2015 (Fig. 2). We specified an exponential growth model on the log scale, where the state process was  $\log(N_{t+1,i}) = \log(N_{t,i} + r_{t,i})$ , with  $r_{t,i} \sim Normal(\bar{r}_i, \sigma_{r,i}^2)$  and observation process  $y_{t,i} =$ 116  $N_{t,i} + \varepsilon_{t,i}$ , with  $\varepsilon_{t,i} \sim Normal(0, \varsigma_{y,i}^2)$ , where  $y_{t,i}$  are observed data,  $N_{t,i}$  the estimated population 117 size,  $\varepsilon_{t,i}$  the observation error with variance  $\varsigma_{y,i}^2$ , and  $r_{t,i}$  the population growth rate, varying around 118 a long-term mean  $\bar{r}_i$  with process error  $\sigma_{r,i}^2$  for year t at colony i (Kéry and Schaub 2012). We ran 119 120 three chains of 200,000 iterations in JAGS (v. 4.1.0; Plummer 2003), using the *jagsUI* library (v. 1.3.7) in R (v. 3.2.3; R Core Team 2015), with vague priors, burn-in of 20,000 samples and no 121 122 thinning (see Supporting Information for model code).

123

124 Rock lobster data

The west coast of South Africa is divided into 14 commercial harvesting areas for rock lobster (Fig.
1). Fisheries Independent Monitoring Surveys (FIMS), designed to obtain information on, *inter alia*, relative rock lobster abundance, began in Areas 5–8 in 1993 (Cockcroft et al. 2008; Fig. 1).

128 For 1993–1999 and 2001–2015, annual FIMS sampling occurred over two-weeks between January and May; no sampling occurred in 2000 due to logistic constraints. Within each area, five lobster 129 130 traps were deployed at each of 110–160 sampling stations annually (Fig. 3); ~75% of stations were 131 visited twice, with the remainder sampled once. At each visit, traps were set, left for 15–20 hours, 132 recovered and the number of lobsters caught was recorded. We used FIMS data from three 133 locations: (1) Areas 5 and 6 for Jutten Island, (2) Areas 6 and 7 for Dassen Island and (3) Area 8 for 134 Stony Point (Fig. 1; Supporting Information). In this last case, it was necessary to use data sampled 135 only in Area 8 as FIMS data were not collected east of this (Area 12).

136

#### 137 Data Analysis

138 We used the GPS positions of each FIMS station (Fig. 3) to measure their distance from their 139 adjacent bank cormorant colony in ARCGIS v. 10.2 (Environmental Systems Research Institute, 140 Redlands, USA) and categorize them into four distance intervals: 0-5 km; 0-10 km; 0-20 km; and 0-30 km radius around each colony. For Stony Point, we used a location on the coast (Hangklip 141 Lighthouse, 34°23.2'S, 18°49.7' E), 5 km west of Stony Point and on the border of FIMS survey 142 Area 8 as a proxy for the colony location (Fig. 1). By the start of our study, Area 8 and those east of 143 144 Hangklip Lighthouse (Areas 12–14) combined both contributed around 40% to the total recreational 145 catch of rock lobster (Cockcroft & Mackenzie 1997) suggesting similar abundance in these regions.

146

We calculated catch per unit effort (CPUE) as total number of lobsters caught divided by number of traps set for each distance interval at each colony. CPUE may be influenced by social interactions within and around traps, such as large lobsters, once caught, excluding other, smaller lobsters from entering traps (e.g. Ihde et al. 2006). We therefore also used the proportion of total traps set that contained lobsters (TCL) for each distance interval to index the available abundance around each colony. We compared the bank cormorant response (from the SSM) and rock lobster indices between colonies using ANOVA and Tukey's Honest Significant Difference (HSD). Because seabirds often show non-linear responses to their prey (e.g. Cury et al. 2011), we examined the relationship between the local population response and rock lobster availability using Generalized Additive Models (GAMs, *mgcv* library for R; Wood & Augustin 2002). To account for the uncertainty associated with the estimated cormorant counts, we weighted each observation by its relative standard deviation (SSM posterior SD/SSM posterior mean).

160

161 The explanatory variables were CPUE and TCL within 5, 10, 20 and 30 km of the breeding locality 162 for Dassen Island and Jutten Island. For Stony Point, the FIMS stations within 5 km of our colony 163 proxy were not sampled in all years, so we used the 10, 20 and 30 km intervals only (Fig. S1). In 164 addition, because a regime shift occurred in the inshore waters of South Africa's west coast between 165 2003 and 2007 (Blamey et al. 2012, 2015), we included a binary covariate denoted 'Regime' to account for this change, with years 1993-2004 = 0 and 2005-2015 = 1. The models tested allowed 166 167 for additive effects between Regime, and the smoother (see below) for the two measures of rock lobster availability and each distance interval. Thus the maximal models took the form:  $Y_i = \alpha + i$ 168 169  $S(X_i)$  + Regime<sub>i</sub> +  $\varepsilon_i$ , where  $Y_i$  is the SSM estimated local population response in year *i*;  $\alpha$  the 170 intercept;  $S(X_i)$  is the nonparametric smoothing function, specifying the effect of the lobster 171 covariate  $X_i$  on each cormorant count with Regime<sub>i</sub> = 0 if a count was from 1993–2004 and = 1 otherwise; and  $\varepsilon_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  is the residual error. The degrees of freedom of the smoothing 172 functions were selected automatically by generalized cross validation (GCV), with the option for 173 174 the function to be linear (e.g.  $S(X_i) = \beta \times X_i$ , where  $\beta$  is the slope).

175

176 Models were run separately for each distance category and island. We also specified models 177 containing only the Regime term and null (intercept only) models (see Supporting Information for 178the full model set). Inference was based on model selection using Akaike's Information Criterion179for small samples sizes (AICc), with models with  $\Delta AICc \leq 2$  considered well supported (Burnham180& Anderson 2002). Finally, we checked residual plots for normality, heterogeneity of variance and181an absence of auto-correlation ('acf' function in R v. 3.0.2; Supporting Information).

182

## 183 **Results**

#### 184 Bank cormorant population trends

185 Bank cormorants at Jutten Island decreased from a mean (SD) of 47.1 (6.9) pairs for 1993-2004 to 21.4 (15.5) pairs for 2005–2015 (Fig. 2). The mean (95% credible intervals, CI) population growth 186 rate ( $\lambda$ ) = 0.89 (0.80–0.99), confirming significant decline. At Dassen Island,  $\lambda$  = 0.98 (0.87–1.09), 187 suggesting moderate decline to stability (Fig. 2); the difference between the means (SD) of 41.3 188 (10.9) pairs for 1993–2004 and 52.2 (6.0) for 2005–2015 was marginally not significant (Tukey's 189 190 HSD: p = 0.06). The population at Stony Point increased from ~6 pairs in 1993 (1993–2004 mean = 191 12.3, SD = 4.6 pairs) to  $\sim 60$  pairs in 2009 (2005–2015 mean = 36.3, SD = 11.8 pairs; Fig. 2) and  $\lambda = 1.12 (1.003 - 1.25)$ . With the exception of Jutten and Dassen islands for 1993–2004 (p = 0.62), 192 193 the mean differences in breeding pairs between the colonies were all significant for the two regime 194 periods (all *p*-values < 0.01).

195

# 196 Rock lobster availability

197 Rock lobster availability was generally greatest around Stony Point, intermediate around Dassen 198 Island and least around Jutten Island at all distances (Fig. S1). For example, mean (SD) CPUE for 199 the 0–30 km interval was 2.3 (2.7) around Jutten Island, 15.4 (13.6) for Dassen Island, and 177.0 200 (54.9) at Stony Point. The differences between locations were significant at all four distances for 201 both CPUE and TCL (Tukey's HSD: all *p*-values < 0.002).

203 Relationships between the local population response and rock lobster availability

204 Given the significant differences outlined above, we modelled the relationships for each colony 205 separately. For Jutten Island, no models showed significant effects of rock lobster availability (all p-206 values > 0.05). At Dassen Island, two models had good AICc support (Supporting Information); the cormorant local population responded positively to the proportion of TCL within 20 km (GAM: 207 effective degrees of freedom (edf) = 1, F = 6.7, p = 0.018, deviance explained [dev.] = 47.0%) and 208 30 km (edf = 1, F = 6.7, p = 0.018, dev. = 47.1%) of the colony (Fig. 4a). Regime was significant in 209 210 both models (p = 0.005 and p = 0.006 respectively). The next best model ( $\Delta AICc = 2.01$ ) also contained a marginally significant linear effect of TCL within 10 km (edf = 1, F = 4.5, p = 0.048). 211 212 The deviance explained by TCL + Regime at Dassen Island increased from  $\sim 38\%$  at 5 km to  $\sim 47\%$ 213 at 20 and 30 km (Fig. 4b). At Stony Point, only the model containing a positive, non-linear response between the cormorants' local population and TCL within 30 km (edf = 2.99, F = 4.6, p = 0.017, 214 215 dev. = 80.5%; Fig. 4c) and a significant Regime effect (t = 7.7, p < 0.001) was well supported 216 (Supporting Information).

217

#### 218 **Discussion**

219 Not accounting for the scale of ecological processes in spatial planning can result in protected areas 220 that fail to meet their conservation objectives, even when they cover vast areas (e.g. De Santo 2013; 221 Hays et al. 2014). In the oceans, < 5% of species may have > 10% of their home range covered by MPAs (Klein et al. 2015) and effectively conserving mobile species likely requires both small- and 222 223 broad-scale actions (Boyd et al. 2008; Sherley et al. 2017). Here, we show how modelling the functional link between a predator and their prev can be used to identify both good candidate 224 species and relevant scales for spatial protection. Our results suggest that MPAs with no-take of 225 226 lobster at  $\geq 20$  km around bank cormorant colonies (see Supporting Information for an example) would benefit the conservation of this endangered seabird (Crawford et al. 2015). Coupling these 227 closures with adaptive management would provide robust tests of whether such MPAs can produce 228

sustained benefits for seabirds without impacting fisheries, helping elucidate the role for smallscale, localized no-take zones that protect the prey of threatened predators within marine spatial planning (Agardy et al. 2011).

232

#### 233 Regional differences in the response of bank cormorants to lobster availability

234 The positive response of bank cormorants to lobster availability at Dassen Island and Stony Point, 235 but not at Jutten Island (despite Saldanha Bay being an area of zero lobster catch) is consistent with 236 the general deterioration of the coastal marine environment off north-west South Africa (Blamey et 237 al. 2012; Crawford et al. 2015). Together the patterns at the three colonies are also consistent with 238 theoretical expectations: an asymptotic-type response where rock lobster was abundant (Stony 239 Point, Fig. 4c), a linear response in the area of intermediate lobster abundance (Dassen Island, Fig. 240 4a) and no apparent response at Jutten Island, where regime shifts and fishing pressure had already 241 made rock lobster scarce by 1993 (Cockcroft & Mackenzie 1997; Cockcroft et al. 2008).

242

243 Marine predators often show asymptotic responses to changing prey availability (Moustahfid et al. 2010; Cury et al. 2011) and many seabirds buffer impacts on their survival or fecundity of severe 244 decreases in their preferred prey by targeting other organisms (e.g. Smout et al. 2013). Together, 245 246 these mechanisms can produce sigmoidal functional responses (i.e. type III; Fig. 5), particularly in demersal or benthic predators (Moustahfid et al. 2010). Indeed, bank cormorants will feed on other 247 organisms when rock lobsters are scarce; at Jutten Island and in Namibia they now eat mainly lower 248 249 energy fish and crustaceans (Crawford & Cooper 2005; Ludynia et al. 2010; BMD, unpubl, data). 250 Thus, prev switching may sustain small colonies (see Crawford et al. 2008) and could have diluted 251 any response to changing lobster abundance at Jutten Island (cf. Smout et al. 2013; Fig. 5).

252 Scaling the link between rock lobsters and bank cormorants in space and time

The strong response to rock lobster availability at 20-30 km may result from the life-history traits of 253 254 palinurid lobsters. Bank cormorants mostly eat lobster < 60 mm carapace length (CL; Avery 1983), 255 though lobsters up to 82 mm CL have been recorded in diet samples (n = 281, BMD and J. Cooper, unpubl. data). At  $\sim 60 \text{ mm CL}$ , rock lobsters begin to move from inshore habitats to deeper waters 256 257 (Pollock & Beyers 1981) and ~ 95% of lobsters in FIMS traps were between 60-90 mm CL (DAFF, 258 unpubl. data). Hence the local population response to lobster availability beyond the cormorants' 259 foraging range (~ 10 km) may result from the size class of lobsters most commonly caught in FIMS 260 traps tending to be in deeper water, farther offshore.

261

262 Alternatively, or in addition, this pattern may relate to food availability during the non-breeding 263 period, which can influence seabird survival, fecundity and population dynamics (e.g. Salton et al. 2015). Non-breeding bank cormorants appear to remain within 20 km of shore and close to breeding 264 colonies (Cooper 1981, 1985). Thus the strong relationship at Dassen Island may be explained by 265 266 shallow water reefs  $\sim 20-30$  km north and south of the island, reefs that are close to sites of high lobster pueruli settlement (Groeneveld et al. 2010) and where FIMS consistently catch lobster of the 267 size consumed by cormorants (DAFF, unpubl. data). Coupling fine-scale lobster abundance data 268 from baited remote underwater video systems (BRUVS, Roberson et al. 2017) with data on 269 270 cormorant habitat use (from animal-borne loggers, Ludynia et al. 2010) may clarify this in future.

271

## 272 Implications for marine spatial planning

No-catch MPAs can be powerful tools for managing reef-dwelling organisms like lobster (Lester et al. 2009), with increased size, weight, egg production and biomass benefiting fishing yields through spillover effects (e.g. Goñi et al. 2010; Kerwath et al. 2013). However, they are usually most effective in raising yields – and protecting dependent predators – when implemented alongside fisheries management at regional scales (Hopf et al. 2016). The changes in the marine environment, including marked reductions in lobster densities off north-west South Africa, are likely to limit lobster recovery in that area, even in the complete absence of fishing (de Moor et al. 2015). In turn, the null response at Jutten Island suggests lobster no-take zones north of Dassen Island are unlikely to benefit bank cormorants. Prioritizing networks of MPAs where links between predators and the availability of their prey at relevant spatial scales are strongest would help managers avoid the socio-economic costs of placing fishery closures where conservation benefits are unlikely to accrue.

284

285 In contrast, Dassen Island's cormorants responded strongly to rock lobster availability, despite the 286 observed regime shifts (Fig. 4). Lobster landings in Area 7 and bank cormorants at Dassen Island 287 (Fig. 1) both decreased between 1987 and 1994, after which lobster catches increased while cormorant numbers remained low (Crawford et al. 2008). Additionally, the lobster catch legal size 288 limit was reduced from 89 to 75 mm CL in 1993 (Pollock et al. 1997), increasing competition with 289 290 the industry for the largest lobsters taken by the birds (up to 82 mm CL, see above). It is likely, therefore, that fishing decreased rock lobster availability for bank cormorants at Dassen Island 291 292 during our study period (Crawford et al. 2008).

293

Dassen Island and Robben Island to the south (Fig. 1) hold ~20% of South Africa's remaining bank 294 295 cormorant population, making them priorities for no-take lobster areas. Moreover, both sites are 296 already under consideration for forms of spatial planning (Sherley et al. 2015, Sink 2016) and 297 precautionary implementation of MPAs to protect bank cormorants would contribute to the Phakisa 298 Network's aim of holistic environmental sustainability (Sink 2016). However, MPAs are 299 increasingly designated to protect non-targeted marine predators (e.g. Notarbartolo di Sciara et al. 300 2008; Ludynia et al. 2012; Boersma et al. 2015) without robust assessments of how they impact of 301 prey availability for predators (but see Sherley et al. 2015). Indeed, studies examining how MPAs 302 influence predator-prey dynamics appear rare (see Barnett & Semmens 2012), and data necessary to 303 undertake multispecies assessments in this regard are generally lacking. In such circumstances,

304 long-term data on focal species can be used to improve conservation outcomes at the ecosystem 305 level (Argady et al. 2011; Lee et al. 2015). In South Africa, experimental 20 km purse-seine fishing 306 closures around Dassen and Robben islands are being assessed for benefits to endangered African 307 penguins Spheniscus demersus (Sherley et al. 2015). These same closures offer potential to protect 308 endangered Cape cormorants P. capensis, which have a similar foraging range and diet to African penguins (Cook et al. 2012). However, both species target highly mobile pelagic prey and non-309 310 breeders move widely (e.g. Sherley et al. 2017), so any effects of fishing restrictions may only 311 become apparent over long-time scales (Mangel 2010; Sherley et al. 2015).

312

313 Adult bank cormorants, in contrast, target relatively sedentary, benthic prey and adult birds should 314 remain within a 20 km MPA year round (Cooper 1981). Hence they may show strong population-315 level responses to spatial protection. Crucially, non-invasive methods (using video and time-lapse 316 cameras) exist to measure changes in breeding success and foraging effort (Sherley et al. 2012; Botha 2014), allowing closures to be assessed against baseline data or control sites relatively 317 quickly (e.g. 3-4 years; Sherley et al. 2015). As such, bank cormorants offer a potential bridge 318 319 between single and multispecies conservation planning in this ecosystem; the precautionary and 320 experimental implementation of MPAs of 20 km radius around Robben and Dassen Island would 321 offer potential protection for three endangered seabird species and could stand to benefit local 322 lobster and line-fish fisheries through the kind of spill-over effects already demonstrated in South Africa and elsewhere (Goñi et al. 2010; Kerwath et al. 2013). 323

324

325 Continuing to collect lobster abundance data inside and outside these MPA, plus data on fisheries 326 catches, would quickly provide insights into the benefits or impacts for local fisheries (e.g. Kerwath 327 et al. 2013). In tandem, a period of robust assessment of their impacts on non-harvested predators' 328 (penguins and cormorants) population dynamics would provide valuable information for MPA 329 management worldwide (Fox et al. 2012). Moreover, as data on the habitat use of these predators improve, and new methods to study ocean ecosystems – like BRUVS (Roberson et al. 2017) – provide more accurate, near-real time prey abundance data, applying dynamic ocean management to these MPAs may become possible (Maxwell et al. 2015). The ability to rapidly adjust no-take zones would help reduce impacts on local fisheries while maintaining ecologically-relevant protection (Maxwell et al. 2015), and expanding to monitoring lobster abundance east of Cape Point could provide a leading indicator of change in the inshore environment to guide future protected areas.

336

In conclusion, small-scale, targeted MPAs can individually solve localized, species-specific, conservation problems and, in so doing, contribute to ecosystems approaches to fisheries management (Argady et al. 2011). Moreover, explicitly treating these MPAs as policy experiments – combining precautionary designation, adaptive management and impact evaluation – could help guide the creation of effective MPA networks worldwide (Fox et al. 2012), providing resilience against the impacts of future environmental change on coastal marine ecosystems.

343

## 344 Supporting Information

JAGS code (Appendix S1), additional figures (Appendix S2), full candidate model set and model selection results (Appendix S3), are available online. The authors are solely responsible for the content and functionality of these materials. Queries (other than absence of the material) should be directed to the corresponding author.

349

## 350 Literature Cited

Agardy T, di Sciara GN, Christie P. 2011. Mind the gap: addressing the shortcomings of marine
 protected areas through large scale marine spatial planning. Marine Policy 35:226–232.

353 Avery G. 1983. Bank cormorants *Phalacrocorax neglectus* taking Cape rock lobster *Jasus lalandii*.

354 Cormorant **11**:45–48.

- Barnett A, Semmens JM. 2012. Sequential movement into coastal habitats and high spatial overlap
  of predator and prey suggest high predation pressure in protected areas. Oikos 121:882–890.
- Blamey LK, Howard JA, Agenbag J, Jarre A. 2012. Regime-shifts in the southern Benguela shelf
  and inshore region. Progress in Oceanography 106:80–95.
- Blamey LK, et al. 2015. Ecosystem change in the southern Benguela and the underlying processes.
  Journal of Marine Systems 144:9–29.
- Boersma PD, Rebstock GA, García-Borboroglu P. 2015. Marine protection is needed for
   Magellanic penguins in Argentina based on long-term data. Biological Conservation
   182:197–204.
- Botha P. 2014. The effects of prey availability on the endangered bank cormorant *Phalacrocorax neglectus*. MSc thesis, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch.
- Boyd C, et al. 2008. Spatial scale and the conservation of threatened species. Conservation Letters
  1:37–43.
- Burnham KP, Anderson D. 2002. Model selection and multimodal inference: a practical
  information-theoretic approach. Springer, New York.
- 370 Cockcroft AC, Mackenzie AJ. 1997. The recreational fishery for west coast rock lobster *Jasus*371 *lalandii* in South Africa. South African Journal of Marine Science 18: 75–84.
- 372 Cockcroft AC, van Zyl D, Hutchings L. 2008. Large-scale changes in the spatial distribution of
   373 South African west coast rock lobsters: an overview. African Journal of Marine Science 30:
   374 149–159.
- 375 Cook TR. 2015. Bank Cormorant *Phalacrocorax neglectus*. Pages 104–106 in Taylor MR, Peacock
- F, Wanless RM, editors. The 2015 Eskom Red Data Book of Birds of South Africa, Lesotho
  and Swaziland. BirdLife South Africa, Johannesburg.
- Cook TR, Hamann M, Pichegru L, Bonadonna F, Grémillet D, Ryan PG. 2012. GPS and time-depth
  loggers reveal underwater foraging plasticity in a flying diver, the Cape cormorant. Marine
  Biology 159:379-387.

- 381 Cooper J. 1981. Biology of the bank cormorant: Part 1 Distribution, population size, movements
  382 and conservation. Ostrich 52:208–215.
- 383 Cooper J. 1985. Biology of the bank cormorant, part 3: Foraging behaviour. Ostrich **56**:86–95.
- Crawford RJM, Cooper J. 2005. Bank Cormorant *Phalacrocorax* neglectus. Pages 577–578 in
   Hockey PAR, Dean WRJ, Ryan PG, editors. Roberts Birds of Southern Africa. 7<sup>th</sup> edition.
   John Voelcker Bird Book Fund, Cape Town.
- Crawford RJM, Cockcroft AC, Dyer BM, Upfold L. 2008. Divergent trends in bank cormorants
   *Phalacrocorax neglectus* breeding in South Africa's Western Cape consistent with a
   distributional shift of rock lobsters *Jasus lalandii*. African Journal of Marine Science
   **30**:161–166.
- Crawford RJM, Makhado AB, Whittington PA, Randall RM, Oosthuizen WH, Waller LJ. 2015. A
   changing distribution of seabirds in South Africa the possible impact of climate and its
   consequences. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 3:10.
- Cury PM, et al. 2011. Global seabird response to forage fish depletion one-third for the birds.
  Science 334:1703–1706.
- Daunt F, Wanless S, Greenstreet SRP, Jensen H, Hamer KC, Harris MP. 2008. The impact of the
   sandeel fishery closure on seabird food consumption distribution and productivity in the
   northwestern North Sea. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 65:362–381.
- 399 de Moor CL, Johnston SJ, Brandão A, Rademeyer RA, Glazer JP, Furman LB, Butterworth DS
- 2015. A review of the assessments of the major fisheries resources in South Africa. African
  Journal of Marine Science 37:285–311.
- 402 De Santo EM. 2013. Missing marine protected area (MPA) targets: How the push for quantity over
   403 quality undermines sustainability and social justice. Journal of Environmental Management
   404 124:137–146.
- 405 Fox HE, et al. 2012. Reexamining the science of marine protected areas: linking knowledge to
  406 action. Conservation Letters 5:1–10.

407	Goñi R, Hilborn R, Díaz D, Mallol S, Adlerstein S. 2010. Net contribution of spillover from a
408	marine reserve to fishery catches. Marine Ecology Progress Series 400:233-243.
409	Groeneveld JC, Greengrass CL, van Zyl DL, Branch GM. 2010. Settlement patterns, size and
410	growth of puerulus and juvenile rock lobster Jasus lalandii at an oyster farm in Saldanha
411	Bay, South Africa. African Journal of Marine Science 32:501–510.
412	Hays GC, Mortimer JA, Ierodiaconou D, Esteban N. 2014. Use of long-distance migration patterns
413	of an endangered species to inform conservation planning for the world's largest marine
414	protected area. Conservation Biology 28:1636–1644.
415	Hobday AJ, Bell JD, Cook TR, Gasalla MA, Weng KC. 2015. Reconciling conflicts in pelagic
416	fisheries under climate change. Deep Sea Research II: Topical Studies in Oceanography
417	<b>113:</b> 291–300.
418	Hopf JK, Jones GP, Williamson DH, Connolly SR. 2016. Synergistic effects of marine reserves and
419	harvest controls on the abundance and catch dynamics of a coral reef fishery. Current
420	Biology <b>26:</b> 1543–1548.
421	Ihde TF, Frusher SD, Hoenig JM. 2006. Do large rock lobsters inhibit smaller ones from entering
422	traps? A field experiment. Marine and Freshwater Research 57:665-674.
423	Kerwath SE, Winker H, Götz A, Attwood C. 2013. Marine protected area improves yield without
424	disadvantaging fishers. Nature Communications 4:2347.
425	Kéry M, Schaub M. 2012. Bayesian population analysis using WinBUGS. A hierarchical
426	perspective. Academic Press, Waltham, MA.

- Klein CJ, Brown CJ, Halpern BS, Segan DB, McGowan J, Beger M, Watson JEM. 2015. Shortfalls
  in the global protected area network at representing marine biodiversity. Scientific Reports
  5:17539.
- 430 Lester SE, Halpern BS, Grorud-Colvert K, Lubchenco J, Ruttenberg BI, Gaines SD, Airamé S,
  431 Warner RR. 2009. Biological effects within no-take marine reserves: a global synthesis.
  432 Marine Ecology Progress Series 384:33–46.

- Lee KA, Huveneers C, MacDonald T, Harcourt RG. 2015. Size isn't everything: movements, home
   range, and habitat preferences of eastern blue groupers (*Achoerodus viridis*) demonstrate the
   efficacy of a small marine reserve. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater
   Ecosystems 25:174–186.
- Ludynia K, Jones R, Kemper J, Garthe S, Underhill LG. 2010. Foraging behaviour of bank
  cormorants in Namibia: implications for conservation. Endangered Species Research 12:31–
  439 40.
- Ludynia K, Kemper J, Roux J-P. 2012. The Namibian Islands' Marine Protected Area: using
  seabird tracking data to define boundaries and assess their adequacy. Biological
  Conservation 156:136–145.
- Mangel M. 2010. Scientific inference and experiment in ecosystem based fishery management, with
  application to Steller sea lions in the Bering sea and western gulf of Alaska. Marine Policy
  34: 836–843.
- 446 Maxwell SM et al. 2015. Dynamic ocean management: defining and conceptualizing real-time
  447 management of the ocean. Marine Policy 58:42–50.
- 448 McCauley DJ. 2014. Mega-parks need greater oversight. Nature 515:29.
- 449 McCay BJ, Jones PJS. 2011. Marine protected areas and governance of marine ecosystems and
  450 fisheries. Conservation Biology 25:1130–1133.
- Moland E, Olsen EM, Knutsen H, Garrigou P, Espeland SH, Kleiven AR, André C, Knutsen JA.
  2013. Lobster and cod benefit from small-scale northern marine protected areas: inference
  from an empirical before-after control-impact study. Proceedings of the Royal Society B
  280:20122679.
- Moustahfid H, Tyrrell MC, Link JS, Nye JA, Smith BE, Gamble RJ. 2010. Functional feeding
  responses of piscivorous fishes from the northeast US continental shelf. Oecologia
  163:1059–1067.

- 458 Notarbartolo di Sciara G, Agardy T, Hyrenbach D, Scovazzi T, Van Klaveren P. 2008. The Pelagos
  459 Sanctuary for Mediterranean marine mammals. Aquatic Conservation: Marine and
  460 Freshwater Ecosystems 18:367–391.
- 461 Pollock DE. 1987. Simulation models of rock-lobster populations from areas of widely divergent
  462 yields on the Cape west coast. South African Journal of Marine Science 5:531–545.
- Pollock DE, Beyers CJDB. 1981. Environment, distribution and growth rates of west coast rocklobster *Jasus lalandii* (H. Milne Edwards). Transactions of the Royal Society of South
  Africa 44:379–400.
- Pollock DE, Cockcroft AC, Goosen PC. 1997. A note on reduced rock lobster growth rates and
  related environmental anomalies in the southern Benguela, 1988–1995. South African
  Journal of Marine Science 18:287–293.
- Pollock DE, Cockcroft AC, Groeneveld JC, Schoeman DS. 2000. The commercial fisheries for *Jasus* and *Palinurus* species in the south-east Atlantic and south-west Indian Oceans. Pages
  105–120 in Phillips BF, Kittaka J, editors. Spiny Lobsters Fisheries and Culture. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition.
  Blackwell Science, Oxford.
- Plummer M. 2003. JAGS: A Program for Analysis of Bayesian Graphical Models Using Gibbs
  Sampling. Pages 1–8 in Hornik K, Leisch F, Zeileis A, editors. Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup>
  International Workshop on Distributed Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria.
- 476 R Core Team. 2015. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
  477 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- 478 Roberson LA, Attwood CG, Winker H, Cockcroft AC. Potential application of baited remote
  479 underwater video to survey abundance of west coast rock lobster *Jasus lalandii*. Fisheries
  480 Management and Ecology 24:49–61.
- 481 Salton M, Saraux C, Dann P, Chiaradia A. 2015. Carry-over body mass effect from winter to
  482 breeding in a resident seabird, the little penguin. Royal Society Open Science 2:140390.

483	Smout S, Rindorf A, Wanless S, Daunt F, Harris MP, Matthiopoulos J. 2013. Seabirds maintain
484	offspring provisioning rate despite fluctuations in prey abundance: a multi-species
485	functional response for guillemots in the North Sea. Journal of Applied Ecology 50:1071-
486	1079.

- 487 Sherley RB, Ludynia K, Dyer BM, Lamont T, Makhado AB, Roux J-P, Scales KL, Underhill LG,
  488 Votier SC. 2017. Metapopulation tracking juvenile penguins reveals and ecosystem-wide
  489 ecological trap. Current Biology. In press. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.12.054.
- Sherley RB, Ludynia K, Underhill LG, Jones R, Kemper J. 2012. Storms and heat limit the nest
  success of bank cormorants: implications of future climate change for a surface-nesting
  seabird in southern Africa. Journal of Ornithology 153:441–455.
- Sherley RB, Winker H, Altwegg R, van der Lingen CD, Votier SC, Crawford RJM. 2015. Bottomup effects of a no-take zone on endangered penguin demographics. Biology Letters
  11:20150237.
- 496 Sink K. 2016. The marine protected areas debate: Implications for the proposed Phakisa Marine
  497 Protected Areas Network. South African Journal of Science. 112:a0179.
- Wood SN, Augustin NH. 2002. GAMs with integrated model selection using penalized regression
  splines and applications to environmental modelling. Ecological Modelling 157:157–177.

#### 501 Figure Legends

Figure 1. The west coast of South Africa (A) with the three bank cormorant colonies at Jutten Island, Saldanha Bay (insert B), Dassen Island (in A) and Stony Point (insert C), used in this study to test the relationship with west coast rock lobster availability from 1993 to 2015. South Africa's commercial fishing areas for rock lobster are shown (Areas 1 to 14), as are other localities mentioned in the text.

507

Figure 2. The number of breeding pairs of bank cormorants counted annually (points) and the state-space model (SSM) estimated local population response (lines) at Jutten Island (○, dotted line), Dassen Island (●, solid line) and Stony Point (▼, dashed line), 1993–2015. The grey shaded areas show the Bayesian 95% credible intervals around each SSM estimate.

512

Figure 3. Positions of Fisheries Independent Monitoring Survey (FIMS) stations for west coast rock lobster around Jutten Island, Dassen Island and Stony Point (small grey circles). Large black concentric circles represent the radii of 5, 10, 20 and 30 km around each study colony that served to identify the FIMS stations used in the analyses. Due to the absence of FIMS stations to the east of Stony Point, Hangklip Lighthouse (5 km west of Stony Point) was used as a proxy for Stony Point's location.

519

Figure 4. Results of GAM modelling of the effects of West Cost rock lobster availability on the estimated bank cormorant local population response (number breeding), 1993–2015. (A) The linear fit between bank cormorant pairs and the proportion of traps containing lobsters (TCL) within the 30 km (TCL 30) distance interval from the best fitting model for Dassen Island (dev. = 47.1%); (B) the percentage deviance in bank cormorant pairs explained by models containing the Regime covariate and TCL at the 5, 10, 20 and 30 km distance intervals around Dassen Island; (C) the relationship bank cormorant pairs and TCL 30 from the best fitting mode for Stony Point (dev. = 527 80.5%). In A and C, s(x,y) is the smoothing term, with x the explanatory variable and y the 528 estimated degrees of freedom of the smoothing term. The pointwise 95% confidence intervals (grey 529 area) and the partial residuals around the significant covariate effects ( $\bullet$ ) are shown.

530

531 Figure 5. Hypothetical type III functional response curves for the change in the proportion of west 532 coast rock lobster in the diet of bank cormorants (dark grey curve) and the change in bank 533 cormorant breeding success (light grey curve) in relation to the abundance/availability of west 534 coast rock lobster. Across a wide range of prey availability, sigmoidal predator-prey functional 535 response curves can result from a combination of mechanisms like predator satiation and prey 536 switching (e.g. Moustahfid et al. 2010). The vertical lines approximate the relative range of prev 537 availability experienced by the bank cormorants at Jutten Island (dotted lines), Dassen Island (solid 538 lines) and Stony Point (dashed lines) during the study period, as indexed by the proportion of traps 539 containing lobsters (TCL).

# 540 Figures

541 Figure 1







542

543 Figure 2









