

This is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication. The publisher-formatted version may be available through the publisher's web site or your institution's library.

Development and characterization of a compensating wheat-Thinopyrum intermedium Robertsonian translocation with Sr44 resistance to stem rust (Ug99)

Wenxuan Liu, Tatiana V. Danilova, Matthew N. Rouse, Robert L. Bowden, Bernd Friebe, Bikram S. Gill, Michael O. Pumphrey

How to cite this manuscript

If you make reference to this version of the manuscript, use the following information:

Liu, W., Danilova, T. V., Rouse, M. N., Bowden, R. L., Friebe, B., Gill, B. S., & Pumphrey, M. O. (2013). Development and characterization of a compensating wheat-Thinopyrum intermedium Robertsonian translocation with Sr44 resistance to stem rust (Ug99). Retrieved from <http://krex.ksu.edu>

Published Version Information

Citation: Liu, W., Danilova, T. V., Rouse, M. N., Bowden, R. L., Friebe, B., Gill, B. S., & Pumphrey, M. O. (2013). Development and characterization of a compensating wheat-Thinopyrum intermedium Robertsonian translocation with Sr44 resistance to stem rust (Ug99). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 126(5), 1167-1177.

Copyright: © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2013

Digital Object Identifier (DOI): doi:10.1007/s00122-013-2044-6

Publisher's Link: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00122-013-2044-6>

This item was retrieved from the K-State Research Exchange (K-REx), the institutional repository of Kansas State University. K-REx is available at <http://krex.ksu.edu>

1 Development and characterization of a compensating wheat-*Thinopyrum intermedium*
2 Robertsonian translocation with *Sr44* resistance to stem rust (Ug99)

3

4 Wenxuan Liu · Tatiana V. Danilova · Matthew N. Rouse · Robert L. Bowden · Bernd
5 Friebe · Bikram S. Gill · Michael O. Pumphrey

6

7 W. Liu · T. Danilova · B. Friebe (corresponding author) · B.S. Gill

8 Wheat Genetic and Genomic Resources Center, Department of Plant Pathology,
9 Throckmorton Plant Sciences Center, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506-
10 5502, U.S.A.; W.Liu. present address: Laboratory of Cell and Chromosome Engineering,
11 College of Life Sciences, Henan Agricultural University, Zhengzhou, Henan 450002, PR
12 China.

13

14 e-mail: friebe@ksu.edu

15

16 M. N. Rouse

17 USDA-ARS Cereal Disease Laboratory, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108,
18 U.S.A.

19

1 R. L. Bowden

2 USDA-ARS, Hard Winter Wheat Genetics Research Unit, Kansas State University,
3 Throckmorton Plant Sciences Center, Manhattan, KS 66506-5502, U.S.A.

4

5 M.O. Pumphrey

6 USDA-ARS Hard Winter Wheat Genetics Research Unit, Manhattan, KS 66506-5502,
7 USA; present address: Spring Wheat Breeding and Genetics, Department of Crop and
8 Soil Sciences, 291D Johnson Hall, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-
9 6420, U.S.A.

10

1 **Abstract** The emergence of the highly virulent Ug99 race complex of the stem rust
2 fungus (*Puccinia graminis* Pers. f. sp. *tritici* Eriks. & Henn.) threatens wheat (*Triticum*
3 *aestivum* L.) production worldwide. One of the effective genes against the Ug99 race
4 complex is *Sr44*, which was derived from *Thinopyrum intermedium* (Host) Barkworth &
5 D.R. Dewey and mapped to the short arm of 7J (designated 7J#1S) present in the
6 noncompensating T7DS-7J#1L·7J#1S translocation. Noncompensating wheat-alien
7 translocations are known to cause genomic duplications and deficiencies leading to poor
8 agronomic performance, precluding their direct use in wheat improvement. The present
9 study was initiated to produce compensating wheat-*Th. intermedium* Robertsonian
10 translocations (RobTs) with *Sr44* resistance. One compensating RobT was identified
11 consisting of the wheat 7DL arm translocated to the *Th. intermedium* 7J#1S arm resulting
12 in T7DL·7J#1S. The T7DL·7J#1S stock was designated as TA5657. The 7DL·7J#1S
13 stock carries *Sr44* and has resistance to the Ug99 race complex. This compensating RobT
14 with *Sr44* resistance may be useful in wheat improvement. In addition, we identified an
15 unnamed stem rust resistance gene located on the 7J#1L arm that confers resistance not
16 only to Ug99, but also to race TRTTF, which is virulent to *Sr44*. However, the action of
17 the second gene can be modified by the presence of suppressors in the recipient wheat
18 cultivars.

19

20 **Key words** wheat, *Thinopyrum intermedium*, stem rust resistance, genomic *in situ*
21 hybridization

22

1 **Introduction**

2

3 Stem rust of wheat caused by the fungus *Puccinia graminis* Pers. f. sp. *tritici* Eriks. &
4 Henn. (*Pgt*) is one of the most important threats to wheat production worldwide. For the
5 last 30 years, stem rust epidemics have been controlled by the deployment of resistance
6 genes and the removal of the alternate host, *Berberis vulgaris* L. (Singh et al. 2006,
7 2008a, 2008b; Jin et al. 2006, 2009).

8 However, the emergence of a new stem rust race, Ug99, first detected in 1999
9 from a Uganda *Pgt* collection threatens wheat production worldwide (Pretorius et al.
10 2000; Wanyera et al. 2006; Jin et al. 2008a, b). Race Ug99 and other members of the
11 Ug99 race complex are virulent to most of the resistance genes deployed in commercial
12 cultivars rendering much of the world wheat crop susceptible (Singh et al. 2006, 2008a).
13 Migration of Ug99 from East Africa to Sudan and Yemen in 2006 (Yin et al. 2008a) and
14 to Iran in 2007 (Nazari et al. 2009) has increased the urgency of deploying resistant
15 cultivars.

16 Thus, there is an urgent need to identify new and effective sources of resistance and use
17 them in wheat improvement. Faris et al. (2008) reported a new source of resistance to
18 Ug99 derived from *Aegilops speltoides* Tausch and chromosome engineering was used to
19 shorten the *Ae. speltoides* segment in the *Sr39* transfer making this gene more useful in
20 cultivar development (Mago et al. 2009; Niu et al. 2011). Another *Ae. speltoides*-derived
21 Ug99 stem rust resistance gene was also transferred to durum wheat (Klindworth et al.
22 2012). Qi et al. (2011) reported a new source of Ug99 resistance, designated as *Sr52*,

1 derived from *Dasypyrum villosum* (L.) Candargy that was transferred to wheat in the
2 form of the Robertsonian translocation (RobT) T6AS·6V#3L. A second new gene for
3 Ug99 resistance, designated as *Sr51*, was transferred to wheat from *Ae. searsii* Feldman
4 & Kislev ex Hammer, in the form of the RobTs T3AL·3S^SS, T3BL·3S^SS, and T3DL·3S^SS
5 by Liu et al. (2011a). A third new gene for Ug99 resistance, *Sr53*, derived from *Ae.*
6 *geniculata* Roth was transferred to wheat in the form of a T5DL·5M^gL·5M^gS
7 recombinant chromosome and in the form of an interstitial translocation Ti5DS·5DL·
8 5M^gL·5DL (Liu et al. 2011b).

9 Cauderon et al. (1973) produced a partial wheat-*Thinopyrum intermedium* (Host)
10 Barkworth & D.R. Dewey (2n=6x=42, JJJ^SJ^SSS) amphiploid and six derived disomic
11 chromosome addition lines in the French wheat cultivar ‘Vilmorin 27’ background
12 (Friebe et al. 1992). The short arm of the *Th. intermedium* group-7 chromosome in this
13 set, designated as 7Ai#1, conditions purple coleoptiles and harbors a gene conferring
14 resistance to stem rust (*Sr44*) (Friebe et al. 1996), whereas the long arm has a gene
15 conferring resistance to barley yellow dwarf virus (*Bdv2*) (Brettel et al. 1988; Banks et al.
16 1995; Hohmann et al. 1996). Our previous studies revealed that stem rust resistance gene
17 *SrAgi* (later designated as *Sr44*) on 7Ai#1S was also highly effective against stem rust
18 race Ug99 (Xu et al. 2008). McIntosh (unpublished) used induced homoeologous
19 recombination to transfer *Sr44*, from the group-7 *Th. intermedium* chromosome to wheat
20 chromosome 7D. *Sr44* in the wheat germplasm 86.187 is present on a noncompensating
21 wheat-*Th. intermedium* translocation consisting of part of the short arm of wheat
22 chromosome 7D, part of the long arm of 7Ai#1L and the complete short arm of 7Ai#1S
23 (T7DS·7Ai#1L·7Ai#1S) (Friebe et al. 1996). Noncompensating wheat-alien

1 translocations are involving nonhomoeologous chromosome arms with different gene
2 content and gene order, and thus, lead to genomic duplications and deficiencies, which
3 results in poor agronomic performance, and therefore, prohibit their direct use in wheat
4 improvement.

5 One important step in the transfer of alien genes to wheat is the production of
6 compensating RobTs. These can be produced for the targeted chromosomes by the
7 centric breakage-fusion mechanism of univalents during the meiotic division (Sears
8 1952). RobTs arise by centric misdivision of univalents during meiotic anaphase I
9 followed by the fusion of the broken ends during interkinesis of the second meiotic
10 division (Friebe et al. 2005). The present study was initiated to produce stem rust-
11 resistant compensating wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobTs as a first step to exploit the *Sr44*
12 gene in wheat improvement.

13

14 **Material and methods**

15

16 **Plant material**

17 The stocks used in the present analysis included the wheat-*Th. intermedium* disomic
18 chromosome addition (DA) in Vilmorin 27 (VIL) background VILDA7Ai#1 (TA3647),
19 and the derived ditelosomic addition lines (DtA) VILDtA7Ai#1S (TA3656) in Vilmorin
20 27 background and CORDtA7Ai#1L (TA3659) in ‘Courtot’ (COR) background
21 (Cauderon et al. 1973). The *Th. intermedium* 7Ai#1S arm is the physically longer arm but

1 is homoeologous to group 7 short arms, has a small distal C-band, conditions purple
2 coleoptiles, and harbors a gene for stem rust resistance (*Sr44*), whereas the physically
3 shorter 7Ai#1L arm is homeologous to group 7 long arms, has a small proximal C-band,
4 and harbors a gene for barley yellow dwarf resistance (*Bdv2*) (Friebe et al. 1996). In
5 addition, the *Sr44* resistant noncompensating CST7DS-7Ai#1L·Ai#1S translocation stock
6 (TA5584) in ‘Chinese Spring’ (CS) background and the barley yellow dwarf resistant
7 translocation stocks SNRT7DS-7Ai#1S·7Ai#1L (TC6, TA5546) and SNRT7DS·7DL-
8 7Ai#1L in Sunstar (SNR) background (Hohmann et al. 1996) were included together with
9 the recipient wheat cultivars Vilmorin 27, Courtot, Chinese Spring, Sunstar, and the (CS)
10 monosomic stock CSM7D (TA3061) (2n=41, 20”+7D’), the ditelosomic stocks
11 CSDt7DS (TA3130), CSDt7DL (TA3071), the ‘Canthach’ (CTH) ditelosomic stocks
12 CTHDt7DS (TA3068) and CTHDt7DL (TA3069), and ditelosomic wheat-*Th.*
13 *intermedium* stock CSDtA7S#3L (TA7700). All materials are maintained by the Wheat
14 Genetic and Genomic Resources Center at Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA
15 (<http://www.ksu.edu.wgrc/>).

16

17 Marker development

18

19 For assaying 7Ai#1 and detecting wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobTs, three STS-EST PCR
20 markers were developed by screening CS and VILDA7Ai#1 with primers designed on the
21 sequences of 109 ESTs mapped to the short arms, and 119 ESTs mapped to the long arms
22 of group-7 chromosomes (http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/cgi-bin/westsql/map_locus.cgi).

1 STS-PCR reactions were performed in 15 μ L of reaction mixture containing 1X PCR
2 buffer (Bioline USA Inc., Taunton, MA, USA), 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 mM dNTPs, 5 pmol
3 forward and reverse primer, respectively, 0.02 unit/ μ l of Taq DNA polymerase (Bioline
4 USA Inc., Taunton, MA, USA), and 90 ng of genomic DNA. PCR products were
5 amplified with the program Touch-down 63 (Qi et al. 2007). STS-PCR-amplified
6 products were digested with four-base cutter restriction enzymes (*Msp*I and *Hae*III). A
7 total of 5 μ l of enzyme mixture composed of 3.25 μ l of ddH₂O, 1.5 μ l of 10X NEB buffer
8 4, 0.15 μ l of 100X BSA, 0.1 μ l of enzyme stock solution was added to 10 μ l PCR
9 products and incubated for 2 hrs at 37°C. PCR products were resolved on 1.5% agarose
10 gels and visualized by Ethidium bromide staining under UV light.

11 The chromosomal constitution of the wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobTs was
12 confirmed by using 7D short arm markers BARC126, CFD31, CFD66, WMC463 and the
13 7D long arm markers GDM46 and GWM428 (Somer et al. 2004).

14

15 Production and identification of putative wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobTs

16

17 In order to produce compensating RobTs involving the *Th. intermedium* chromosome
18 7Ai#1, wheat chromosome 7D monosomics (CSM7D) were crossed as female with
19 VILDA7Ai#1 (Fig. 1). F₁ plants with 2n=6x=42 chromosomes were double monosomic
20 for chromosomes 7D and 7Ai#1 (20''+7D'+7Ai#1') and were allowed to self pollinate.
21 F₂ progenies were screened for the presence of putative compensating RobTs first by
22 using molecular markers and progenies with dissociation of the 7Ai#1S and 7Ai#1L

1 markers were further characterized by genomic *in situ* hybridization (GISH) and C-
2 banding analysis.

3

4 Cytological procedures

5

6 C-banding and chromosome identification was according to Gill et al. (1991).

7 Genomic DNA was extracted using a DNeasy Plant Mini Kit following the
8 manufacturer's instructions (QIAGEN Inc. Valencia, CA, USA). Genomic *in situ*
9 hybridization (GISH) was performed according to Zhang et al. (2001) using genomic
10 DNA of *Th. intermedium* and *Pseudoroegneria spicata* (Pursh) Love ($2n=2x=14$, SS).
11 The ratios of *Th. intermedium* and *Ps. spicata* probes to CS blocking DNA were 1:30-50
12 and 1:70, respectively with some modifications. Squash preparations were made after
13 staining with acetocarmine. After hybridization at 37°C overnight, the slides were
14 washed in 2X SSC twice at room temperature for 5 min, twice at 42°C for 10 min and 5
15 min each, and once at room temp for 5 min. A drop (25-30µl) of Vectashield mounting
16 medium containing 1µg/ml of PI (Cat.No.H-1400, Vector laboratories Inc, Burlingame,
17 CA, USA) was added to each slide after 15-20 min, then covered with a 24X30 mm glass
18 cover slip. Images were captured with a SPOT2.1 charge-coupled device (CCD) camera
19 (Diagnostic Instruments, Sterling Heights, MI, USA) using an epifluorescence Zeiss
20 Axioplan 2 microscope. Images were processed with Adobe Photoshop CS3 (Version
21 10.0.1) (Adobe Systems Incorporated, San Jose, CA, USA). C-banding and chromosome
22 identification was according to Gill et al. (1991).

1 For fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH), somatic chromosome preparations
2 were made using the drop technique, and probe labeling and hybridization conditions
3 were as described in (Kato et al. 2004, 2006). Three probes were used for FISH: for NOR
4 labeling we used clone pTa71 containing a 9 kb *EcoRI* fragment of 45S rDNA that was
5 isolated from bread wheat (Gerlach and Bedbrook 1979) and for tandem repeat labeling
6 we used the oligonucleotide probes Cy-5(GAA)₉, 6-FAM-(GAA)₉ and 6-FAM-pAs1
7 (Danilova et al., in preparation). Clone pAs1 was isolated from *Aegilops tauschii* and
8 inserted into the plasmid pUC8 (Rayburn and Gill 1986) that preferentially hybridized to
9 D-genome chromosome. Images were captured with Zeiss Axioplan 2 microscope using a
10 cooled charge-coupled device camera CoolSNAP HQ2 (Photometrics) and AxioVision
11 4.8 software (Zeiss). Images were processed using the Photoshop software.

12

13 Stem rust resistance screening

14

15 Infection types were scored at 12-14 days post-inoculation. The infection type scale was
16 originally developed by Stakman et al (1962) and modified by Roelfs and Martens (1988)
17 to differentiate between resistance and susceptibility. Infection types of class 3 and 4
18 were used to denote susceptibility and of classes 0, 0;, 1, and 2 to denote resistance. The
19 primary distinguishing features separating resistance and susceptibility are size of
20 uredinia and effects on plant tissue adjacent to the uredinia. Infection type 2 indicates
21 round shaped (small to medium size) uredinia surrounded by plant tissue exhibiting the
22 green island effect where plant tissue immediately adjacent to the uredinia is green and

1 surrounded by a border of chlorotic tissue. Infection type 3 indicates elongated uredinia
2 (not round) without the green island effect. Plus and minus signs indicate variability of
3 uredinia size within an infection type class. Disease reactions to Ug99 complex stem rust
4 races TTKSK, TTSKT, and TTTSK, along with TRTTF, were evaluated on homozygous
5 translocation stocks together with the appropriate controls at the USDA-ARS Cereal
6 Disease Laboratory, St. Paul, MN, USA, following procedures reported previously (Jin
7 and Singh 2006). A total of five plants were phenotyped for reaction to each isolate of
8 *Puccinia graminis* Pers. f. sp. *tritici*. Three isolates of the Ug99 lineage were assayed
9 (races TTKSK, TTKST, and TTTSK).

10

11 **Results**

12

13 Genomic affinity of the *Th. intermedium* 7Ai#1 chromosome

14

15 The *Th. intermedium* chromosome pair in TA3647 was previously shown to be
16 homoeologous to group-7 chromosomes of wheat and was designated as 7Ai#1 (The and
17 Baker 1970; Figueiras et al. 1986; Forster et al. 1987; Friebe et al. 1992). However, its
18 genomic affinity remained to be determined. GISH using the diploid progenitor species
19 *Ps. spicata* as a probe was shown previously to allow discrimination between the J-, J^s-,
20 and S-genome chromosomes of *Th. intermedium*. Whereas the S-genome chromosomes
21 are labeled over their entire lengths, the J-genome chromosomes only have hybridization

1 sites at the telomeres and J^s-genome chromosomes are labeled in their pericentromeric
2 and telomeric regions (Chen et al. 1988a, b, 1999, 2003). GISH using total genomic *Ps.*
3 *spicata* DNA as a probe labeled the *Th. intermedium* chromosomes in TA3647 at both
4 telomeres, indicating that this chromosome belongs to the J genome of *Th. intermedium*
5 and, thus, was re-designated as 7J#1 (Fig. 2).

6

7 Developing PCR-based markers specific to 7J#1

8

9 For assaying 7J#1 and detecting wheat-7J#1 RobTs, three STS-PCR markers were
10 developed. Two reliable short arm polymorphic markers, *Xbe404728* and *Xbe473884*
11 were selected from the centromeric (C-7BS1-0.27) and distal bins (7AS1-0.89-1.00), and
12 a long arm polymorphic marker *Xbe498418* (C-7DL5-0.30) detected polymorphic
13 fragments in TA3647 (Fig. 3); all three were used for screening progenies derived from
14 double-monosomic plants (Table 1, Fig. 3).

15

16 Identification of wheat- *Th. intermedium* recombinants by molecular markers

17

18 A total of 2402 F₂ plants and F_{2,3} lines were screened for the presence of putative wheat-
19 *Th. intermedium* RobTs. Three selection procedures were used. In the first selection
20 procedure, F₂ progenies derived from double-monosomic plants were screened by the
21 7J#1S markers *Xbe473884* and *Xbe404728* and 7J#1L marker *Xbe498418* and plants that

1 were lacking the long arm marker were further characterized by GISH. In the second
2 selection scheme, only plants with purple coleoptiles conditioned by the 7J#1S arm were
3 screened by the 7J#1 long-arm marker *Xbe498418*, and plants that were lacking this
4 marker were further analyzed by GISH. In the third selection scheme, F_{2:3} families were
5 first screened by their coleoptile color and families that were either homozygous or
6 heterozygous for purple coleoptiles were kept and further analyzed. Genomic DNA of
7 these plants was pooled in each family and used to screen for the presence of 7J#1S and
8 7J#1L markers. Plants that were positive for the 7J#1S and negative for the 7J#1L
9 markers were further characterized by GISH.

10 A total of 1152 F₂ plants derived from double monosomic plants were screened
11 with the three STS-PCR markers as outlined in the first selection scheme. Twenty-six
12 plants were positive for both short-arm markers and were missing the long-arm marker,
13 indicating that they had putative RobTs and were further characterized by GISH. Two
14 plants (U6032-359, U6032-1444) had a wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobT (Fig. 4), whereas
15 the remaining plants had either telosomes, isochromosomes, had no hybridization signals,
16 or were unidentified (Table 2, Fig. 4).

17 A total of 840 F₂ plants were screened by the second selection scheme and 13
18 plants had purple coleoptiles and were missing the long-arm marker *Xbe498418*. Three of
19 these plants (U6032-176, U6032-286, U6032-321) had a wheat-*Th. intermedium* dicentric
20 chromosome and two plants (U6032-633, U6032-637) had wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobTs
21 (Table 2, Fig. 4). Of the remaining eight plants, five plants had telosomes, two plants had
22 isochromosomes, and one plant had no GISH signal.

1 A total of 410 F_{2,3} families were screened using the third selection scheme
2 outlined above and none of these families had either wheat-*Th. intermedium* dicentric
3 chromosomes or RobTs (Table 3).

4

5 Selection and identification of homozygous RobT stocks

6

7 The molecular marker analyses identified three plants with wheat-*Th. intermedium*
8 dicentric chromosomes. The F₃ progenies derived from these three plants (U6032-176,
9 U6032-286, and U6032-321) were analyzed by GISH. The dicentric chromosome in
10 U6032-176 was stabilized as a wheat-*Th. intermedium* recombinant chromosome that
11 was mostly derived from 7J#1 with only a small distal region of the long arm derived
12 from wheat. The dicentric in U6032-286 was stabilized as a *Th. intermedium* telosome
13 and the progeny U6032-321 as a RobT (Table 3, Fig. 4).

14 GISH of the F₃ offspring derived from the four plants with wheat-*Th. intermedium*
15 RobTs showed that in the U6032-633 and U6032-1444 progenies the RobTs were
16 stabilized as telosomes (Table 3, Fig. 4) suggesting that the parental plants mostly were
17 undergoing breakage fusion bridge cycles that remained undetected. The progeny of
18 U6032-637 was segregating in 7 plants with *Th. intermedium* telosomes and 11 plants
19 with RobTs (Table 3, Fig. 4). A total of 14 F₃ seeds were harvested from U6032-359,
20 which was heterozygous for a RobT. Twelve plants were positive with both short-arm
21 markers and six of them were analyzed by GISH, two plants were heterozygous and four

1 plants were homozygous for wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobTs (Table 3, Fig. 4).
2 Unfortunately, plant U6032-321 did not set any seeds and was completely sterile.

3 Characterization of the wheat-*Th. intermedium* chromosomal rearrangements

4

5 The F₂ plant U6032-359 had a wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobT that was stably transmitted
6 to the offspring and four plants that were homozygous for this RobT (designated as
7 TA5657) were recovered in F₃, which set an average of 270 seeds per plant. C-banding
8 analysis of U6032-359 revealed that this family was homozygous for the wheat-wheat
9 RobTs T5BL·7BL and T5BS·7BS (Fig. 2) that were inherited from the French wheat
10 cultivar Vilmorin 27 (Friebe et al. 1992). In addition, 7D of wheat was involved in a
11 RobT where the short arm with a small distal C-band was derived from 7J#1S and the
12 long arm with proximal and small interstitial C-bands were derived from 7DL of wheat
13 (Fig. 2). This compensating RobT can be described as T7DL·7J#1S. The chromosomal
14 composition of this line was further analyzed by FISH using probes pAs1, pTa71, and
15 (GAA)₉.

16 Chromosome 7D has prominent pAs1 FISH sites at the telomeres of both arms
17 (Fig. 2). FISH using the (GAA)₉ probe detected a distinct distal GAA FISH site in the
18 7DL arm and a minor pTa71 FISH site was observed in the distal region of the 7DS arm
19 (Fig. 2). An identical FISH pattern using these probes was observed in the CSDt7DS and
20 CSDt7DL stocks (Fig. 2) and in the corresponding ditelosomic 7DS and 7DL stocks in
21 Canthatch background (data not shown). In U6032-359, chromosome 7D had only one
22 pAs1 FISH site at the telomere of the 7DL arm in addition to a diagnostic distal GAA

1 FISH site, whereas the short arm of this chromosome had no hybridization signals (Fig.
2 2), confirming the presence of a T7DL·7J#1S RobT in this line.

3 GISH of line U6032-637 confirmed that this line was homozygous for a wheat-*Th.*
4 *intermedium* RobT (Fig.2). C-banding of this family revealed that this line was
5 segregating for T5BL·7BL and 5B and had a wheat *Th. intermedium* RobT where the
6 short arm with a centromeric and proximal C-band was derived from 7BS and the long
7 arm was derived from 7J#1S (Fig. 2). FISH using (GAA)₉ and pAs1 as probes confirmed
8 that line U6032-637 is segregating for T5BL·7BL and 5B and is homozygous for the
9 wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobT where the wheat arm has a centromeric and proximal GAA
10 FISH site and was derived from 7BS and the *Th. intermedium* chromosome arm had no
11 hybridization signal (Fig. 2). Thus, line U6032-637 is homozygous for the non-
12 compensating RobT T7BS·7J#1S.

13 The identity of the RobT in line U6032-359 was further confirmed by using
14 genetically or chromosome bin-mapped SSR markers. The 7D short-arm markers
15 BARC126, CFD31, CFD66, and WMC463 detected polymorphic fragments in CSDt7DS,
16 CTHDt7DS, U6032-637, and in CS, whereas the 7D long arm markers GDM46 and
17 GWM428 detected polymorphic fragments in CSDt7DL, CTHDt7DL, U6032-637,
18 U6032-359, and in CS (Fig. 5) and, thus, confirming that the RobT in U6032-359
19 consists of the 7DL arm translocated to 7J#1S, resulting in the compensating RobT
20 T7DL·7J#1S.

21

22 Stem rust resistance screening

1

2 Screening of the disomic chromosome addition line DA7J#1 (TA3647) in Vilmorin 27
3 background and the ditelosomic addition lines DtA7J#1S (TA3656) in Chinese Spring
4 background and DtA7J#1L (TA3659) in Courtot background with the *Pgt* isolates
5 TTKSK, TTSKT, and TTTSK showed that all three lines were resistant, whereas the
6 recipient wheat cultivars Vilmorin 27, Courtot, and Chinese Spring were susceptible
7 (Table 4, Fig. 6). These data suggest that not only the short *Th. intermedium* chromosome
8 arm 7J#1S, but also the 7J#1L arm harbors a stem rust resistance gene that is effective
9 against the three Ug99 complex races tested. Whereas the stem rust resistance gene in the
10 7J#1S arm has been previously designated as *Sr44* (Friebe et al. 1996) the presence of a
11 stem rust resistance gene in the 7J#1L arm was previously unknown. The non-
12 compensating T7DS-7J#1L-7J#1S translocation (TA5584) in Chinese Spring background
13 and the compensating RobT T7DL-7J#1S (TA5657) identified in the present study
14 conferred resistance to the *Pgt* isolates TTKSK, TTSKT, and TTTSK, whereas only the
15 former line and the ditelosomic addition for 7J#1L (TA3659) in Courtot background but
16 not TA5657 was resistant to TRTTF (Table 4, Fig. 6). Because DtA7J#1L in Courtot
17 background and T7DS-7J#1L-7J#1S in Chinese Spring background displayed resistance
18 to the *Pgt* isolate TRTTF, and the stock with the complete 7J#1 chromosome in Vilmorin
19 27 background was susceptible, these data suggest that Vilmorin 27 has a gene that
20 suppresses the resistance of the unnamed stem rust resistance gene present in the 7J#1L
21 arm.

22 We also evaluated the T7DS-7J#1S-7J#1L (TC6, TA5546) and T7DS-7DL-7J#1L
23 (TC14, TA5551) stocks in Sunstar background that were derived from the same 7J#1 *Th.*

1 *intermedium* chromosome and harbor a resistance gene against barley yellow dwarf
2 (*Bvd2*) together with their recipient wheat cultivar Sunstar against the *Pgt* isolates
3 TRTTF and TTKSK. Whereas Sunstar, TC6, and TC14 were resistant to race TRTTF, all
4 three lines were susceptible against TTKSK (Table 4, Fig. 6), suggesting that the stem
5 rust resistance gene *Sr44* is located on the distal 7J#1S fragment that is replaced by 7DS
6 in T7DS-7J#1S·7J#1L in TC6.

7

8 **Discussion**

9

10 In the present study we produced plants that were double monosomic for wheat
11 chromosome 7D and the *Th. intermedium* chromosome 7J#1 and, thus, we targeted
12 chromosomes 7D and 7J#1 to be involved in the formation of RobTs. In these plants
13 chromosomes 7D and 7J#1 do not pair at meiotic metaphase I and can misdivide at the
14 centromeres, which after fusion of the broken ends can give rise to the formation of
15 wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobTs, (Sears 1952; Friebe et al. 2005). However we also
16 identified three plants in the progeny of such double monosomic plants that had wheat-*Th.*
17 *intermedium* dicentric chromosomes, one of which was stabilized as a *Th. intermedium*
18 telosome, one as a wheat-*Th. intermedium* recombinant chromosome and one was
19 stabilized as a wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobT. Dicentric chromosomes are known to
20 undergo chromosome-type breakage-fusion-bridge (BFB) cycles and usually never enter
21 the meiotic divisions (Friebe et al. 2001). In addition, in two of the four plants that had
22 wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobTs, the translocations were stabilized as *Th. intermedium*

1 telosomes, indicating that the original plants also had dicentric chromosomes that were
2 undergoing BFB cycles, which remained undetected. The mechanism leading to the
3 formation of wheat-*Th. intermedium* dicentric chromosomes in progenies of plants
4 double monosomic for a *Th. intermedium* and a homoeologous wheat chromosome is
5 unknown. However, it appears that this process is not a very rare event. Previously we
6 reported the recovery of a wheat-*Th. intermedium* T7BS·7S#3L RobT conferring
7 resistance to wheat streak mosaic virus that was also derived from a wheat-*Th.*
8 *intermedium* dicentric chromosome in the progeny of plants double monosomic for
9 chromosomes 7D and 7S#3 (Liu et al. 2011c).

10 The compensating T7DL·7J#1S RobT identified in the present study harbors the
11 stem rust resistance gene *Sr44*, which confers resistance to the Ug99 race complex
12 including races TTKSK, TTSKT, and TTTSK and is located in the 7J#1S arm.
13 Surprisingly, our data also showed that the *Th. intermedium* long arm 7J#1L harbors an
14 unnamed stem rust resistance gene that confers resistance to all Ug99 isolates tested in
15 the present study. However, our data further indicate that the expression of this gene is
16 modified by the wheat background. Whereas the 7J#1L stem rust resistance gene confers
17 resistance of the DtA7J#1L and T7DS-7J#1L·7J#1S stocks in Courtot and Chinese Spring
18 background, the expression of this gene in the DA7J#1 stock with the complete *Th.*
19 *intermedium* chromosome is suppressed in Vilmorin 27 background. It is well known that
20 the expression of alien disease resistance genes when transferred to wheat can be
21 modified and suppressed by wheat backgrounds. Suppressors of leaf rust resistance genes
22 have been previously mapped to A- and B-genome chromosomes by Innes and Kerber
23 (1994) and to D-genome chromosomes by Bai and Knott (1992). Similarly, the

1 expression of the leaf rust resistance gene *Lr23* was shown to be modified by suppressors
2 present in the recipient wheat cultivars (McIntosh and Dyck 1975; Nelson et al. 1997).
3 Recently, McIntosh and coworkers (2011) showed that the expression of the powdery
4 mildew resistance gene *Pm8* was suppressed by the presence of the *Pm3* locus.

5 The production of a compensating Robertsonian T7DL·7J#1S translocation stock
6 with *Sr44* resistance is the first step for utilizing this gene in wheat improvement. Further
7 chromosome engineering is underway aimed at shortening the *Th. intermedium* segment
8 using *ph1b*-induced homoeologous recombination. The present study also revealed the
9 presence of a stem rust resistance gene that is effective against Ug99 isolates in the 7J#1L
10 arm. The distal part of this arm is present in the TC14 T7DS·7DL-7J#1L translocation
11 that confers resistance to barley yellow dwarf (*Bvd2*), which has been widely used in
12 wheat improvement. If the stem rust resistance gene in the 7J#1L arm is located on the *Th.*
13 *intermedium* segment in the TC14 translocation, these translocations stocks may also
14 express Ug99 resistance depending on the presence of modifiers in the recurrent wheat
15 cultivars.

16

17 **Acknowledgments** This research was part of the project “Durable Rust Resistance in
18 Wheat” conducted by Cornell University and supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates
19 Foundation and by grants from the Kansas Wheat Commission and the Kansas Crop
20 Improvement Association. We thank W. John Raupp for critical editorial review of the
21 manuscript and Shuangye Wu for her technical assistance. This is contribution number
22 12-451-J from the Kansas Agricultural Experiment Station, Kansas State University,

1 Manhattan, KS 66506-5502, U.S.A. Mention of trade names or commercial products in
2 this publication is solely for the purpose of providing specific information and does not
3 imply recommendation or endorsement by the U.S. Department of Agriculture. USDA is
4 an equal opportunity provider and employer.

5

6

7

8

1

2 **References**

3 Bai D, Knott DR (1992) Suppression of rust resistance in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*
4 L.) by D-genome chromosomes. *Genome* 35:276-282

5 Banks PM, Larkin PJ, Bariana HS, Lagudah ES, Appels R, Waterhouse PM, Brettel RIS,
6 Chen X, Zhou GH (1995) The use of cell culture for subchromosomal
7 introgressions of barley yellow dwarf resistance for *Thinopyrum intermedium* to
8 wheat. *Genome* 38:395-405

9 Brettel RIS, Banks PM, Cauderon Y, Chen Y, Chen ZM, Larkin PJ, Waterhouse PM
10 (1988) A single wheatgrass chromosome reduces the concentration of barley
11 yellow dwarf virus in wheat. *Ann Appl Biol* 113:599-603

12 Cauderon Y, Saigne B, Dauge M (1973) The resistance to wheat rusts of *Agropyron*
13 *intermedum* and its use in wheat improvement. In Sears ER, Sears LMS (eds)
14 Proc 4th Int Wheat Genet Symp, Coumbia, Missouri, pp 401-407

15 Chen Q, Conner RL, Ahmad F, Laroche A, Fedak G, Thomas JB (1998a) Molecular
16 characterization of the genome composition of partial amphiploids derived from
17 *Triticum aestivum* × *Thinopyrum intermedium* and *T. aestivum* × *Thinopyrum*
18 *ponticum* as sources of resistance to wheat streak mosaic virus and its vector,
19 *Aceria tosichella*. *Theor Appl Genet* 97:1-8

20 Chen Q, Friebe B, Conner RL, Laroche A, Thomas JB, Gill BS (1998b) Molecular
21 cytogenetic characterization of *Thinopyrum intermedium*-derived wheat

- 1 germplasm specifying resistance to wheat streak mosaic virus. Theor Appl Genet
2 96:1–7
- 3 Chen Q, Conner RL, Laroche A, Fedak G, Thomas JB (1999) Genome origins of
4 *Thinopyrum* chromosomes specifying resistance to wheat streak mosaic virus and
5 its vector, *Aceria tosichella*. Genome 42:289–295
- 6 Chen Q, Conner RL, Li HJ, Sun SC, Ahmad F, Laroche A, Graf RJ (2003). Molecular
7 cytogenetic discrimination and reaction to wheat streak mosaic virus and the
8 wheat curl mite in Zhong series of wheat–*Thinopyrum intermedium* partial
9 amphiploids. Genome 46:135–145
- 10 Faris JD, Xu SS, Cai X, Friesen TL, Jin Y (2008) Molecular and cytogenetic
11 characterization of a durum wheat–*Aegilops speltoides* chromosome translocation
12 conferring resistance to stem rust. Chromosome Res 16:1097–1105
- 13 Figueiras AM, Ganzales-Jaen MT, Benito C (1986) Biochemical evidence of
14 homoeology between *Triticum aestivum* and *Agropyron intermedium*
15 chromosomes. Theor Appl Genet 72:826-832
- 16 Forster BP, Reader SM, Forsyth SA, Koebner RMD, Miller TE, Gale MD, Cauderon Y
17 (1987) An assessment of the homoeology of six *Agropyron intermedium*
18 chromosomes added to wheat. Genet Res Camb 50:91-97
- 19 Friebe B, Mukai Y, Gill BS, Cauderon Y (1992) C-banding and *in situ* hybridization
20 analyses of *Agropyron intermedium*, a partial wheat x *Ag. intermedium*

1 amphiploid, and six derived chromosome addition lines. Theor Appl Genet
2 84:899-905

3 Friebe B, Jiang J, Raupp WJ, McIntosh RA, Gill BS (1996) Characterization of wheat
4 alien translocations conferring resistance to diseases and pests: current status.
5 Euphytica 91:59-87

6 Friebe B, Kynast RG, Zhang P, Dhar M, Gill BS. 2001. Chromosome healing by addition
7 of telomeric repeats in wheat occurs during the first divisions of the sporophyte
8 and is a gradual process. Chromosome Res 9:137-146

9 Friebe B, Zhang P, Linc G, Gill BS (2005) Robertsonian translocations in wheat arise by
10 centric misdivision of univalents at anaphase I and rejoining of broken
11 centromeres during interkinesis of meiosis II. Cytogenet Genome Res 109:293-
12 297

13 Gerlach WL, Bedbrook JR (1979) Cloning and characterization of ribosomal RNA genes
14 from wheat and barley. Nucleic Acid Res 7:1869-1885

15 Gill BS, Friebe B, Endo TR (1991) Standard karyotype and nomenclature system for
16 description of chromosome bands and structural aberrations in wheat (*Triticum*
17 *aestivum*). Genome 34:830-839

18 Hohmann U, Badaeva ED, Busch W, Friebe B, Gill BS (1996) Molecular cytogenetic
19 analysis of *Agropyron* chromatin specifying resistance to barley yellow dwarf
20 virus in wheat. Genome 39:336-347

- 1 Innes RL, Kerber ER (1994) Resistance to wheat leaf rust and stem rust in *Triticum*
2 *tauschii* and inheritance in hexaploid wheat of resistance transferred from *T.*
3 *tauschii*. *Genome* 37:813-822
- 4 Jin Y, Singh RP (2006) Resistance in U.S. wheat to recent eastern African isolates of
5 *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* with virulence to resistance gene *Sr31*. *Plant Dis*
6 90:476-480
- 7 Jin Y, Szabo LJ, and Pretorius ZA (2008a) Virulence variation within the Ug99 lineage.
8 In: Appels R, Eastwood R, Lagudah E, Langridge P, Mackay M, McIntye L,
9 Sharp P (eds) Proc. 11th Int Wheat Genet Symp, Sydney Univ Press, Sydney,
10 Australia, pp 4-6
- 11 Jin Y, Szabo LJ, Pretorius ZA, Singh RP, Ward R, Fetch Jr T (2008b) Detection of
12 virulence to resistance gene *Sr24* within race TTKS of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp.
13 *tritici*. *Plant Dis* 92:923-926
- 14 Jin Y, Szabo LJ, Rouse M, Fetch Jr T, Pretorius ZA, Wanyera R, Njau P (2009)
15 Detection of virulence to resistance gene *Sr36* within race TTKS lineage of
16 *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. *Plant Dis* 93:367-370
- 17 Kato A, Lamb JC, Birchler JA (2004) Chromosome painting using repetitive DNA
18 sequences as probes for somatic chromosome identification in maize. *Proc Natl*
19 *Acad Sci USA* 101:13554-13559
- 20 Kato A, Albert PS, Vega JM, Birchler JA (2006) Sensitive fluorescence *in situ*
21 hybridization signal detection in maize using directly labeled probes produced by

1 high concentration DNA polymerase nick translation. *Biotech Histochem* 81:71-
2 78

3 Klindworth DL, Niu Z, Chao S, Friesen TL, Jin Y, Faris JD, Cai X, Xu SS (2012)
4 Introgression and characterization of a goatgrass gene for a high level of
5 resistance to Ug99 stem rust in tetraploid wheat. *Genes, Genomes, Genetics*
6 2:665-673

7 Liu W, Jin Y, Rouse M, Friebe B, Gill BS, Pumphrey MO (2011a) Development and
8 characterization of wheat-*Ae. searsii* Robertsonian translocations and a
9 recombinant chromosome conferring resistance to stem rust. *Theor Appl Genet*
10 122:1537-1545

11 Liu W, Rouse M, Friebe B, Jin Y, Gill BS, Pumphrey MO (2011b) Discovery and
12 molecular mapping of a new gene conferring resistance to stem rust, *Sr53*, derived
13 from *Aegilops geniculata* and characterization of spontaneous translocation stocks
14 with reduced alien chromatin. *Chromosome Res* 19:669-682

15 Liu W, Seifers DL, Qi LL, Pumphrey MO, Friebe B, Gill BS. (2011c). A compensating
16 wheat-*Thinopyrum intermedium* Robertsonian translocation conferring resistance
17 to wheat streak mosaic virus and *Triticum* mosaic virus. *Crop Sci* 51:2382-2390.

18 Mago R, Zhang P, Bariana HS Verlin DC, Bansal UK, Ellis JG, Dundas IS (2009)
19 Development of wheat lines carrying stem rust resistance gene *Sr39* with reduced
20 *Aegilops speltoides* chromatin and simple PCR markers for marker-assisted
21 selection. *Theor Appl Genet* 119:1441-1450

- 1 McIntosh RA, Dyck PL (1975) Cytogenetical studies in wheat VII. Gene *Lr23* for
2 reaction to *Puccinia recondita* in Gabo and related cultivars. Aust J Biol Sci
3 28:201-211
- 4 McIntosh RA, Zhang P, Cowger C, Parks R, Lagudah ES, Hoxha S (2011) Rye derived
5 powdery mildew resistance gene *Pm8* in wheat is suppressed by the *Pm3* locus.
6 Theor Appl Genet 123: 359-367
- 7 Nazari K, Mafi M, Yahyaoui A, Singh RP, Park RF (2009) Detection of wheat stem rust
8 (*Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*) race TTKSK (Ug99) in Iran. Plant Dis 93:317
- 9 Nelson JC, Singh RP, Autrique JE, Sorrels ME (1997) Mapping genes conferring and
10 suppressing leaf rust resistance in wheat. Crop Sci 37:1928-1935
- 11 Niu Z, Klindworth DL, Friesen TL, Chao S, Jin Y, Cai X, Xu SS (2011). Targeted
12 introgression of a wheat stem rust resistance gene by DNA marker-assisted
13 chromosome engineering. Genetics 187:1011-1021
- 14 Pretorius ZA, Singh RP, Wagoire WW, Payne TS (2000) Detection of virulence to wheat
15 stem rust resistance gene *Sr31* in *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* in Uganda. Plant
16 Dis 84:203
- 17 Qi LL, Friebe B, Zhang P, Gill BS (2007) Homoeologous recombination, chromosome
18 engineering and crop improvement. Chromosome Res 15:3-19
- 19 Qi LL, Pumphrey MO, Friebe B, Zhang P, Qian C, Bowden RL, Rouse MN, Jin Y, Gill
20 BS (2011) A novel Robertsonian translocation event leads to transfer of a stem

1 rust resistance gene (*Sr52*) effective against race Ug99 from *Dasypyrum villosum*
2 into bread wheat. Theor Appl Genet 123:159-167

3 Rayburn AL, Gill BS (1986) Isolation of a D-genome specific repeated DNA sequence
4 from *Aegilops squarrosa*. Plant Mol Biol Rep 4:104-109.

5 Roelfs AP, Martens JW (1988) An international system of nomenclature for *Puccinia*
6 *graminis* f. sp. *tritici*. Phytopathology 78:526-533

7 Sears ER (1952) Misdivision of univalents in common wheat. Chromosoma 4:535-550

8 Singh RP, Hodson DP, Jin Y, Huerta-Espino J, Kinyua M, Wanyera R, Njau P, Ward RW
9 (2006) Current status, likely migration and strategies to mitigate the threat to
10 wheat production from race UG99 (TTKS) of stem rust pathogen. CAB Reviews:
11 Perspec Agric Vet Sci Nat Res 54:1-13

12 Singh RP, Hodson, DP, Huerta-Espino J, Jin Y, Njau P, Wanyera R, Herrera-Foessel SA,
13 Ward RW (2008a) Will Stem Rust Destroy the World's Wheat Crop? Adv Agron
14 98:271-309

15 Singh RP, Huerta-Espino JH, Jin Y, Herrera-Foessel S, Njau P, Wanyera R, Ward RW
16 (2008b) Current resistance sources and breeding strategies to mitigate Ug99 threat.
17 In R. Appels, R. Eastwood, E. Lagudah, P. Langridge, M. Mackay, L. McIntye,
18 and P. Sharp (eds) Proc. 11th Int. Wheat Genet Symp, Sydney Univ Press, Sydney,
19 Australia, pp 7-9

20 Somers DL, Isaac P, Edwards K (2004) A high-density microsattelite consensus map for
21 bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Theor Appl Genet 109:1105-1114

- 1 Stakman EC, Steward DM, Loegering WQ (1962) Identification and physiologic races of
2 *Puccinia graminis* var. *tritici*. US Dep Agric Agric Res Serv E-617
- 3 The TT, Baker EP (1970) Homoeologous relationships between two *Agropyron*
4 *intermedium* chromosomes and wheat. Wheat Inf Serv 31:29-31
- 5 Wanyera R, Kinyua MG, Jin Y, Singh RP (2006) The spread of stem rust caused by
6 *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*, with virulence on *Sr31* in wheat in eastern Africa.
7 Plant Dis 90:113
- 8 Xu SS, Dundas IS, Pumphrey MO, Jin Y, Faris JD, Cai X, Qi LL, Friebe BR, Gill BS.
9 2008. Chromosome engineering to enhance utility of alien-derived stem rust
10 resistance. In R. Appels, R. Eastwood, E. Lagudah, P. Langridge, M. Mackay, L.
11 McIntye, and P. Sharp (eds) Proc. 11th Int. Wheat Genet Symp, Sydney Univ
12 Press, Sydney, Australia, pp 12-14
- 13 Zhang P, Friebe B, Lukaszewski AJ, Gill BS (2001) The centromere structure in
14 Robertsonian wheat-rye translocation chromosomes indicates that centric
15 breakage-fusion can occur at different positions within the primary constriction.
16 Chromosoma 110:335-344
- 17

1 **Legends of Figures**

2

3 Figure 1: Crossing scheme for producing compensating RobTs involving wheat
4 chromosome 7D and the *Th. intermedium* chromosome 7Ai#1. The Chinese
5 Spring stock monosomic for chromosome 7D is crossed as a female with the
6 7Ai#1 disomic addition stock in Vilmorin 27 background. F₁ plants with
7 2n=6x=42 chromosomes are selected that are double monosomic for 7D and
8 7Ai#1, allowed to self pollinate and their progenies are screened for putative
9 RobTs.

10 Figure 2: C-banding, GISH and FISH patterns of the critical chromosomes involved in
11 the *Sr44* transfer. Upper panel from left to right: C-banding and GISH of *Th.*
12 *intermedium* chromosomes and telosomes from VILDA7J#1 (TA3647),
13 VILDtA7J#1S (TA3656) and CORDtA7J#1L (TA3659); C-banding of
14 chromosome CS7D and the compensating RobT T7DL·7J#1S present in U6032-
15 359; FISH of CS7D (TA3008), CSDt7DS (TA3130), CSDt7DL (TA3071) and
16 FISH and GISH of the compensating RobT present in U6032-359. Lower panel:
17 C-banding and FISH of the reciprocal RobTs T5BL·7BL and T5BS·7BS present
18 in U6032-359 and U6032-637 and FISH and GISH of the noncompensating RobT
19 T7BS·7J#1S present in U6032-637.

20 Figure 3: PCR patterns of Chinese Spring (CS), VILDA7J#1 (TA3647), CSDtA7S#3L
21 (TA7700), CSM7D X TA3647 (U6032), VILDtA7J#1S (TA3656), and
22 CORDtA7J#1L (TA3659) with specific markers for 7J#1 chromosome arms: a)

1 7J#1 short-arm marker *Xbe404728* (using *MspI*), b) 7J#1 short-arm marker
2 *Xbe473884* (*MspI*), c) 7J#1 long-arm marker *Xbe498418* (*HaeIII*). Polymorphic
3 fragments are marked by arrows.

4 Figure 4: Genomic *in situ* hybridization pattern using total genomic *Th. intermedium*
5 DNA as a probe of putative wheat-*Th. intermedium* RobTs identified in U6032 F₂
6 plants and the derived chromosomal rearrangements recovered in F₃ progenies;
7 note that plant # 176, 286, and 321 originally had a dicentric chromosome where
8 the centromeres are marked by arrows.

9 Figure 5: PCR pattern of Chinese Spring, the ditelosomic 7DS and 7DL stocks in Chinese
10 Spring (CS) and Canthatch (CTH) background, and the wheat-*Th. intermedium*
11 RobT stocks U6032-637 and U6032-359: a) 7D short-arm marker CFD66
12 detected a 202 bp polymorphic fragment and b) 7D long-arm marker GDM46
13 detected a 163 bp polymorphic fragment.

14 Figure 6: Infection types 16 days after inoculation with *Pgt* cultures a) TTTSK and b)
15 TRTTF, from left to right: TA5584 (T7DS-7J#1L-7J#1S), TA5657 (T7DL-7J#1S),
16 TA3647 (VILDA7J#1), TA3656 (VILDtA7J#1S), TA3659 (CORDtA7J#1L),
17 TA3997 (Vilmorin 27), TA3008 (Chinese Spring).

18

1 **TABLE 1:** Primer sequences of *Th. intermedium* 7J#1-specific STS-PCR markers on
 2 wheat group-7 chromosomes and primer/enzyme combinations producing 7J#1
 3 polymorphism

Marker	Forward /Reverse primer 5'-3'	Location (deletion bin)	Enzyme for polymorphism	EST accession
<i>Xbe404728</i>	5' GGTGGTGCCTGTCAAGATT 3' 5' TTGATGGATCCTGGCTTAGG 3'	C-7BS1-0.27	<i>MspI</i>	BE404728
<i>Xbe473884</i>	5' GTTGACG TTCATAGCGAGCA ' 5' CGAGCCACAGTCCTTCCTAC 3'	7AS1-0.89-1.00	<i>MspI</i>	BE473884
<i>Xbe498418</i>	5' GCAGATCTTGGGGATCAAAA 3' 5' CTCCATGAGAAGCCATAGCC 3'	C-7DL5-0.30	<i>HaeIII</i>	BE498418

4

5

1 **TABLE 2:** Marker and GISH analyses of progenies derived from plants double-
 2 monosomic for chromosomes 7D and 7J#1

3

No. of plants	Selection scheme 1	Selection scheme 2	Selection scheme 3
No. of plants planted	1152	840	410
No. of plants with purple coleoptile	N/A	572	281
No. of plants with positive <i>Xbe473884</i> (C-7BS1-0.27)	970	N/A	272
No. of plants with positive <i>Xbe404728</i> (7AS1-0.89-1.00)	970	N/A	272
No. of plants positive for <i>Xbe473884</i> and <i>Xbe404728</i> and negative for <i>Xbe498418</i> (C-7DL5-0.30)	26	N/A	9
No. of plants with purple coleoptiles that were negative for <i>Xbe498418</i>	N/A	13	N/A
No. of plants GISHed	26	13	9
No. of plants with no signal	3	1	-
No. of plants with 7J#1 telosomes	14	5	5
No. of plants with 7J#1 isochromosome	5	2	4
No. of plants with 7Ai#1 dicentric chromosome	-	3	-
No. of plants with 7Ai#1 Robertsonian translocation	2	2	-
No. of plants unidentified	2	-	-

4

5

1 **Table 3:** GISH analysis of F₃ progenies derived from F₂ plants with dicentric and RobT
 2 chromosomes

Rearrangement in F ₂	F ₃ lines	No hybridization signal	Telosomes	Het. Rec	Hom. Rec	Het. RobT	Hom. RobTs
RobT	U6032-633	27	13			-	-
RobT	U6032-637	7	7			9	2
dicentric	U6032-176	12	-	9	4		
dicentric	U6032-286	18	2			-	-
dicentric	U6032-321	1	-			-	1
RobT	U6032-359	0	-			2	4
RobT	U6032-1444	18	2			-	-

3

4

1 Table 4: Infection types of wheat-*Th. intermedium* introgression lines 16 days after inoculation
 2 with *Pgt* races TRTTF, TTKSK, TTSKT, and TTTSK.

3
 4

Germplasm	Chromosomal constitution	Background	<i>Pgt</i> race TRTTF	<i>Pgt</i> race TTKSK	<i>Pgt</i> race TTSKT	<i>Pgt</i> race TTTSK
TA5584	T7DS-7J#1L-7J#1S	Chinese Spring	2-	2	22-	2-
TA5657	T7DL-7J#1S	Chinese Spring	4	2	2-	2-
TA3647	DA7J#1	Vilmorin 27	4	22-	2-	2-
TA3656	DtA7J#1S	Vilmorin 27	4	2-	2-	2-
TA3659	DtA7J#1L	Courtot	2	22+	2	22+
TA5546 (TC6)	T7DS-7J#1S-7J#1L	Sunstar	2	3+	-	-
TA5551 (TC14)	T7DS-7DL-7J#1L	Sunstar	2	32+	-	-
TA2912	Sunstar	Sunstar	2	3	-	-
T3014	Courtot	Courtot	3+	3+	-	-
TA3997	Vilmorin 27	Vilmorin 27	4	4	4	4
TA3008	Chinese Spring	Chinese Spring	4	4	4	4

5
 6
 7
 8
 9