

**FACULDADE DE ECONOMIA**

**UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO**

**Essays on international trade, firms' innovation and  
productivity**

**Armando Mendes Jorge Nogueira da Silva**

**Orientador: Ana Paula Africano Sousa e Silva**

**Co-orientador: Óscar João Atanázio Afonso**

**TESE de Doutoramento em Economia**

**2010**

## **Nota biográfica**

Armando Mendes Jorge Nogueira da Silva nasceu no Porto a 16 de Maio de 1962. Realizou os seus estudos secundários na escola Manuel Laranjeira em Espinho. Licenciou-se em Economia pela Faculdade de Economia do Porto (FEP) em 1985, tendo-se tornado assistente estagiário da FEP em Outubro desse ano. Leccionou na FEP, a tempo parcial, até Setembro de 1996 com a categoria de assistente. Entre 1990 e 1993 também desempenhou funções docentes na Universidade Lusíada do Porto e na Universidade Portucalense. Em Maio de 1996 conclui o mestrado em Economia na FEP.

A partir de Janeiro de 1986 passou também a trabalhar como técnico do Departamento de Planeamento do Banco Borges & Irmão. Em Janeiro de 1989 transferiu-se para o Banco Português do Atlântico (depois B.C.P.) onde trabalhou até Março de 1999 tendo exercido várias funções técnicas, comerciais e de direcção.

A partir de Novembro de 1999 passou a desempenhar funções docentes no Instituto Politécnico do Porto (IPP), na Escola Superior de Estudos Industriais e de Gestão, tendo colaborado, enquanto docente, com outras escolas do IPP: Escola Superior de Educação, Instituto Superior de Contabilidade e Administração do Porto. Entre 2000 e 2007, desempenhou ainda funções docentes no Instituto Superior de Administração e Gestão do Porto. A partir de 2009 é membro do Conselho Geral do IPP e a partir de 2010 é membro do Conselho Geral da Fundação do IPP.

Após a conclusão da parte escolar do Programa de Doutoramento, apresentou os ensaios da tese em seminários na Faculdade de Economia da Universidade do Porto, bem como nos seguintes encontros científicos internacionais: “European Trade Study Group Eleventh Annual Conference” (Roma, Setembro 2009); “Conferência – Investigação e Intervenção em Recursos Humanos” (Vila do Conde, Setembro de 2009); “35th European International Business Academy Annual Conference” (Valênciam, Dezembro de 2009); “Innovation and Creativity, the driving force of Europe’s future economy. Annual conference of the Chair “Leo Tindemans” (Antuérpia, Fevereiro de 2010).

## Agradecimentos

Agradeço à minha mulher o amor e a compreensão pelas horas (muitas) que roubei à sua companhia de forma a poder concluir este trabalho.

Agradeço aos meus orientadores Ana Paula Silva e Óscar Afonso pelos comentários, sugestões, interesse, amizade e apoio prestados.

Agradeço a Mónica Costa Dias pela disponibilidade e apoio especializado nas áreas mais problemáticas dos métodos de avaliação e em especial no apoio prestado na análise de *Matching* que desenvolvi no último ensaio.

Agradeço também a Manuel Mota Freitas pelo apoio e confiança transmitidas em fases decisivas do meu trabalho.

Não quero deixar de agradecer os comentários e sugestões que recebi ao longo dos últimos meses e que só foram possíveis pelos conhecimentos realizados com a minha participação em Conferências internacionais. Em particular, agradeço a Francesco Serti, Fergall McCann, Chiara Criscuolo, Daniela Maggioni e Gabor Békes.

Agradeço finalmente à Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia, ao Gabinete de Planeamento, Estratégia, Avaliação e Relações Internacionais do Ministério da Ciência Tecnologia e Ensino Superior, ao Instituto Nacional de Estatística e à Faculdade de Economia do Porto respectivamente, pelo apoio financeiro, estatístico e técnico prestado.

## **Sumário**

A presente tese centra-se no estudo do papel que o envolvimento das empresas portuguesas no comércio internacional pode ter para a respectiva capacidade inovadora e de melhoria de produtividade. O principal objectivo desta tese é o de contribuir para o esforço de clarificação, notório na literatura científica microeconómica nos últimos dez anos, acerca do nexo de causalidade entre o comércio internacional, por um lado, e a produtividade e inovação, por outro lado. Estudando empresas portuguesas entre 1996 e 2003, os resultados obtidos são importantes para o referido propósito de clarificação.

Após um capítulo introdutório, a tese comprehende mais cinco capítulos.

No segundo capítulo desta tese apresentamos uma revisão de literatura sobre a hipótese de que as empresas aprendem através das suas exportações bem como sobre conceitos relacionados, como é o caso do tema da selecção das empresas para a actividade exportadora. Nesse sentido, apresentamos os principais estudos existentes, salientando as metodologias usadas e as principais conclusões de uma década de investigação sobre este assunto.

No terceiro capítulo usamos dados do quarto Inquérito Comunitário à Inovação para Portugal e testamos a hipótese segundo a qual as empresas portuguesas mais inovadoras são as exportadoras e as filiais ou subsidiárias de empresas multinacionais estrangeiras. Através da estimação de várias Funções de Produção de Tecnologia, obtivemos resultados que sugerem a confirmação de tal hipótese.

No quarto capítulo produzimos uma derivação simples do capítulo anterior para testar, em ligação com a internacionalização das empresas, o papel da Gestão dos Recursos Humanos sobre a aptidão inovadora das firmas portuguesas. Os resultados obtidos provam a importância dessa gestão para a capacidade inovadora das empresas.

No quinto capítulo utilizamos dados económico-financeiros e sobre o comércio internacional da mais ampla amostra disponível de empresas portuguesas (1996-2003) visando avaliar a importância, a concentração e o papel do comércio internacional sobre o desempenho global das empresas e em especial sobre a sua produtividade. Uma forte correlação foi detectada entre essas variáveis.

No sexto capítulo, usando a mesma base de dados e utilizando sobretudo técnicas de Matching e estimadores de diferenças às diferenças, confirmamos, em termos globais para as empresas portuguesas, quer a hipótese da selecção das melhores empresas para os mercados exportadores, quer a hipótese da aprendizagem através das exportações.

## **Summary**

The present thesis focuses on the role of international trade engagement for Portuguese firms' ability to innovate and to increase productivity. Through this research we intend to contribute to the effort of clarification, which has span the literature over the last ten years, about the causality nexus between trade, on the one side, and productivity and innovation, on the other side. By taking into consideration the Portuguese case between 1996 and 2003, we consider that the outcomes of our work are important for the referred clarification.

After an introductory chapter, the thesis comprises more five chapters.

In the second chapter we review the literature on learning by exporting argument and connected issues as self-selection to exports. We present the existing studies, the methodologies used and the main conclusions of a decade of investigation on this issue, which relates firms' efficiency and their respective international trade involvement.

In the third chapter, we use data on the fourth Community Innovation Survey for Portugal and we test the hypothesis that most innovative Portuguese firms are exporters and affiliates/subsidiaries of foreign multinationals. By estimating several Knowledge Production Functions, we obtained results that suggest the validity of such hypothesis.

In the fourth chapter, we produce a simple derivation of the previous chapter in order to test both the role of Human Resources Management and of internationalization for the innovativeness of Portuguese firms. We found the role of personnel training highly significant for the innovative process of Portuguese firms.

In the fifth chapter, we use economic, financial and international trade data for a large sample of Portuguese firms with the aim of confirm the importance, concentration and role of international trade for the performance of firms. A strong correlation is observed across these variables.

In the sixth chapter, using the same dataset as before and mainly Matching techniques and difference in differences estimators we confirm the learning by exporting hypothesis for Portuguese firms in the period 1996-2003. Moreover, the self selection hypothesis is also validated.

# Contents

Nota biográfica	ii
Agradecimentos	iii
Sumário	iv
Summary	v
Contents	vi
List of tables	x
List of abbreviations	xv
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 2 LEARNING-BY-EXPORTING: WHAT WE KNOW AND WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1. Introduction	6
2.2. Conceptual issues	7
2.2.1. Learning-by-exporting: origins of the concept	7
2.2.2. Connections with similar concepts	9
2.2.2.1. Learning-by-doing	9
2.2.2.2. Increases in capacity utilisation	10
2.2.2.3. Productivity spillovers of exports	10
2.2.2.4. Learning-to-export	11
2.2.2.5. Learning to innovate (by exporting)	12
2.2.3. Fundamental causes of LBE	12
2.3. Review of empirical literature on LBE	13
2.3.1. Modelling empirical work	13
2.3.2. Methodological issues	14
2.3.2.1. Case studies	15
2.3.2.2. Micro-panel data studies	15
2.3.3. Review of empirical studies	20
2.3.4. The misestimation of LBE	26
2.3.4.1. Underestimation of LBE	26
2.3.4.2. Overestimation of LBE	28
2.4. Trade and productivity revisited	28
2.4.1. The importance of imports	28

2.4.2.	Beyond within-firm level: reallocation effects across firms	30
2.4.3.	Further investigation lines	31
2.5.	Concluding remarks	32
<b>CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>WHICH PORTUGUESE FIRMS ARE MOST INNOVATIVE? THE IMPORTANCE OF MULTINATIONALS AND EXPORTERS</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1.	Introduction	34
3.2.	Innovation factors and empirical literature	36
3.2.1.	International factors of innovation: theory and modelling	36
3.2.2.	Empirical studies on innovation and foreign exposure	38
3.3.	Data issues on innovation in Portuguese firms: a summary	39
3.4.	Estimation issues and econometric strategies	46
3.5.	Estimation results	47
3.5.1.	Estimation of Knowledge Production Function for “Innovation – Product or Process”	48
3.5.2.	Estimation of Knowledge Production Function for “Innovation Protection”	50
3.5.3.	Estimation of Knowledge Production Function for “Novel Sales”	51
3.6.	Innovation accounting	53
3.7.	Concluding remarks	55
<b>CHAPTER 4</b>	<b>THE IMPORTANCE OF HUMAN RESOURCES TO THE INNOVATIVE CAPACITY OF PORTUGUESE FIRMS</b>	<b>57</b>
4.1.	Introduction	58
4.2.	Human capital approach to firms’ innovativeness	58
4.3.	The particular importance of training for the innovative ability of firms	60
4.4	The Knowledge Production Function methodology	61
4.5.	Data issues, variables used and summary statistics	62
4.6.	Hypotheses to test and results achieved	64
4.7.	Concluding remarks	68

**CHAPTER 5 ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE AND INTERNATIONAL TRADE ENGAGEMENT: THE CASE OF PORTUGUESE MANUFACTURING FIRMS** **69**

5.1.	Introduction	70
5.2.	Data description	72
5.3.	Evidence of firms' heterogeneity in relation to international trade	73
5.3.1.	International-trade propensity, intensity and persistency	73
5.3.2.	International trade concentration	75
5.3.2.1.	Internationalization for few firms	75
5.3.2.2.	Concentration of international trade: intra- and inter-sectors	77
5.3.2.3.	Concentration along the extensive margin	78
5.3.2.4.	Concentration along the intensive margin	81
5.3.3.	International trade status persistency	82
5.4.	Measuring traders' <i>premium</i>	83
5.4.1.	Trader status	83
5.4.2.	Trader extensive margins	87
5.4.3.	Trader market heterogeneity	91
5.4.3.1.	Assessing traders' heterogeneity	91
5.4.3.2.	Robustness checks	96
5.4.4.	Dynamic specification	100
5.5.	Conclusions	101

**CHAPTER 6 SELF-SELECTION AND LEARNING-BY-EXPORTING IN PORTUGUESE MANUFACTURING FIRMS** **103**

6.1.	Introduction	104
6.2.	Data description	106
6.3.	Self-selection to exports	107
6.3.1.	Self-selection reviewed	107
6.3.2.	Self-selection tested	108
6.4.	Post-entry effects	118
6.4.1.	Post entry effects assessed by Fixed Effects model	119

6.4.2.	Post entry effects assessed by Matching methods	121
6.4.3.	Estimating the propensity score matching	125
6.4.4.	Assessing matching quality	131
6.4.5.	Propensity Score Matching with Differences in Differences estimator	133
6.4.6.	Learning channels and detailed Learning-by-Exporting analysis	140
6.5.	Concluding remarks	153
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 3</b>	<b>176</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 5</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>APPENDIX TO CHAPTER 6</b>	<b>182</b>

# List of tables

3.1	Summary statistics on innovation performance	41
3.2	Knowledge outputs (mean values)	42
3.3	Knowledge inputs (mean values)	43
3.4	Knowledge flows (mean values)	44
3.5.	Knowledge output productivity	45
3.6.	Estimates of Knowledge Production Function for “Innovation – Product or Process”	49
3.7	Estimates of Knowledge Production Function for “Innovation Protection”	51
3.8	Estimates of Knowledge Production Function for “Novel Sales”	52
3.9	Innovation accounting statistics	55
4.1	Estimates of Knowledge Production Function for “Innovation – product or process”. Personnel Training detached	66
4.2	Obstacles to innovation for non-innovative firms	67
5.1	International trade participation rate	74
5.2	Distribution of Portuguese exporters by export intensity levels	74
5.3	Export intensity of all exporting firms and of persistent exporting firms	75
5.4	Importance of “superstar firms”	76
5.5	Dimension of firms and of exporting firms	76
5.6	Concentration of Portuguese firms’ employees, sales and trade (1996 and 2003)	77
5.7	Concentration of Portuguese firms (average 1996-2003)	78
5.8.1	Elasticity of the number of firms with respect to the number of country destinations and goods exported	79
5.8.2	Elasticity of the number of firms with respect to the number of country origins and goods imported	79
5.9.1	Distribution of export firms (2003) by number of goods and destinations	80
5.9.2.	Distribution of export values (2003) by number of goods and destinations	80

5.10.1	Distribution of import firms (2003) by number of goods and destinations	81
5.10.2	Distribution of import values (2003) by number of goods and destinations	81
5.11	Trade status transition matrix from 1996 to 1999	82
5.12	Trade status transition matrix from 2000 to 2003	83
5.13	Trading status different average performances, 1996-2003	84
5.14	Firm heterogeneity and internationalized status, Pooled OLS (1996-2003)	85
5.15	Firm heterogeneity and internationalized status, FE (1996-2003)	86
5.16	TWT coefficients for TFP and each group of firms	87
5.17	LP and TFP superiority (%) of TWT with high extensive margins	88
5.18	Firm heterogeneity along sector and country extensive margins, Pooled OLS	89
5.19	Firm heterogeneity along sector and country extensive margins, FE	90
5.20	TWT coefficients for TFP and each group of firms	90
5.21	Exporter's different average performance, 1996-2003	92
5.22	Importers' performance differences (1996-2003)	92
5.23	Trade <i>premium</i> by type of country development, 1996-2003; Pooled OLS	94
5.24	Trade <i>premium</i> by type of country development, 1996-2003; FE Model	95
5.25	Trade <i>premium</i> of TFP by type of country: sectoral analysis, 1996-2003	96
5.26	Trade <i>premium</i> by type of country development, 1996-2003 (Exports to Spain detached)	97
5.27	Table 5.27.: Trade <i>premium</i> by type of country development, 1996-2003; Pooled OLS. (Exports to Spain and imports from Germany detached)	97
5.28	Trade <i>premium</i> by type of country development: 1996-2003; FE model (Exports to Spain and imports from Germany detached)	98
5.29	Fearless firms' superiority	98
5.30	Trade premiums by type of country development, 1996-2003; Pooled OLS (Exports to Spain and to Difficult Countries are detached)	99
5.31	Trade premiums by type of country development, 1996-2003; FE model (Exports to Spain and to Difficult Countries are detached)	99

5.32	Trade premium of TFP by type of country: sectoral analysis, 1996-2003	100
5.33	Dynamic panel data model for equation 5.1; Dependent variable: <i>ln</i> TFP	101
6.1	Export Starters	109
6.2	Self selection to export (probit model)	109
6.3	Self selection to export using import status (probit model)	110
6.4	Self-Selection: levels	111
6.5	Self-Selection: growth-rates	112
6.6	Import share trend of starters and of never exporters before and after exports begin	114
6.7	Growth of imports (%) for export starters	114
6.8	Self selection by destination country of exports	116
6.9	Self-Selection in levels for different groups of sectors	117
6.10	Self-Selection in wage levels for all firms and Group 2 firms	118
6.11	Learning effects of entry to exports on TFP, using FE model	120
6.12	Number of starters upon each definition	125
6.13	Number of controls upon each definition	125
6.14a	Assessing the matching quality	132
	Comparison between treated and control at <i>t</i> -1	
6.14b	Assessing the matching quality	132
	Comparison between treated and control at <i>t</i> -2	
6.15	ATT effects: PSM-DID estimations (raw version)	135
6.16	ATT effects: PSM-DID estimations (raw version)	136
6.17	ATT effects: PSM-DID “fine” estimations	137
	(PSM performed cross-section by cross section and year by year)	
6.18	PSM-DID (TFP) firms splitted by consecutive number of years observed after “start”	138
6.19	PSM-DID (TFP) firms splitted by the number of years observed before “start”	139
6.20	ATT effects: PSM-DID estimations of yearly growth rates	140
6.21	Export and import starters	141

6.22	ATT effects: PSM-DID estimations on TFP controlling for import starters	141
6.23	ATT effects: PSM-DID estimations with different import status	142
6.24	ATT effects: PSM-DID estimations of TFP according to starters comparative advantage or disadvantage	144
6.25.a	PSM-DID estimations of TFP according to sectoral groups	145
6.25.b	PSM-DID estimations of TFP according to CAE sectors	146
6.26	ATT effects: PSM-DID estimations according to starters foreign capital or skilled labour	147
6.27	ATT effects: PSM-DID of TFP estimations according to starters size	148
6.28	ATT effects: PSM-DID estimations of TFP according to starters export intensity in the first three years after exporting begins	149
6.29	ATT effects: PSM-DID estimations of TFP according to TFP initial level	150
6.30	ATT effects: PSM-DID estimations of TFP according to initial wage level	150
6.31	ATT effects: PSM-DID (TFP) according to exports' destinations	151
6.32	ATT effects: PSM-DID for TFP according to the entry year of starters	153
A.1	Survey Questions in CIS 4	176
A.2	Estimates of Knowledge Production Function for <i>Sales Share of Novel Sales</i>	177
A.3	Innovation Accounting for GM firms and <i>Innovation - Product or Process</i>	178
B.1	Sectoral Theil Index	179
B.2	Between sectors concentration of exports and Trade participation rates	180
B.3	Export intensive margin	180
B.4	Export growth (1996-2003) to the 10 most frequent destinations	181
B.5	Toughest markets for exports (Difficult Countries – DC)	181
C.1	Granger Causality tests between <i>ln TFP</i> and Export Ratio	182
C.2	Percentual differential between the weight of each industrial sector in export starters and in all exporters (1997-2002)	182
C.3	Number of repeated starters	182
C.4	Treated and controls using the more restrict concept:	183

C.5	Treated and controls using the intermediate concept:	184
C.6	ATT effects: PSM-DID estimations; covariates at $t-2$	184
C.7	Relative specialization of Portuguese exports (1995-2004); Balassa Index for Portuguese industries (average for 1995-1999 and 2000 -2004)	185
C.8	Comparative level of Starters in each year	185

# List of abbreviations

ATT	Average Treatment effect on the Treated
BP	Breusch-Pagan test
CAE	Código de Actividade Económica – sectoral classification of business activities
CI	Capital Intensity
CIA	Conditional Independence Assumption
CIS	Community Innovation Surveys
CSA	Common Support Assumption
DC	Difficult Countries
DID	Differences in Differences
DOM	Domestic firms
Dsmall	Small Firms
E_EU	Exports only to European Union
E_EU+ODev	Exports only to EU and ODev
E_EU+PL	Exports only to EU and PL
E_Multiple	Exports to all other possible combinations of markets
E_NDev	Exports only to Non-Developed countries
E_Odev	Exports only to other Developed countries
E_PL	Exports only to PL countries
E_SPA	Exports only to Spain
ECE	Estatísticas do Comércio Externo - external-trade information
EU	European Union
EUROSTAT	European Statistical Office of the European Union
EXP	Exporters
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
FE	Fixed-Effects models
FF	Fearless Firms
Forcap	Foreign share of Capital
GM	Global Multinationals
GMM	General Method of Moments

GPS	Generalised Propensity Score
Gr 1	Group 1
Gr 2	Group 2
Gr 3	Group 3
Gr 4	Group 4
Gr 5	Group 5
HHS	Health, Hygiene and Security in work
HMG	Home-market Growth
HR	Human Resources
HRM	Human Resources Management
I_Dev	Imports only from ODev countries
I_EU	Imports only from EU countries
I_Ger	Imports only from Germany
I_Multiple	Imports from other possible combinations of countries
I_NDev	Imports from NDev countries
I_PL	Imports only from PL countries
IAE	Inquérito Anual às Empresas – annual balance-sheet information
IM	Internal Multinationals
Imp	firm Imports
ImpMac	firm Imports Machines
IND	Industry Resources
INE	Portuguese National Statistics Institute
IPPOM	Innovation on Product, Process, Organization and Marketing
ISGEP	International Study Group on Exports and Productivity
K	knowledge stock
KPF	Knowledge Production Function
LBD	Learning-by-Doing
LBE	Learning-by-Exporting
LBI	Learning-by-Importing
LCR	Labour Costs Reductions by unit of goods produced or services provided
LIBE	Learning-to-Innovate-by-Exporting
ln	logarithm
LP	Labour Productivity
NACE	Statistical Classification of Economic Activities in the European Community
NCE	Number of Countries where Exports are sold

NCI	Number of countries from where Imports are bought
NDev	Non Developed countries
NSE	Number of products / Sectors Exported
NSI	Number of products / Sectors Imported
NT	Non-Traders
OCES	Observatório da Ciência e do Ensino Superior
ODev	Other Developed countries
OE	firms Only Exporting
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-Operation and Development
OI	firms Only Importing
OLS	Ordinary Least Squares
PL	Portuguese speaking countries
Post_CA	post-entry period for starters in Comparative Advantage (CA) sectors
Post_CD	post-entry period for starters in Comparative Disadvantage sectors (CD)
PSE	Productivity Spillovers by Exporting
PSM-DID	Propensity Score Matching with Differences in Differences estimators
R&D	Research and Development
RI	Internal Resources
Skill	firm that has Skilled production employees
SS	Self-Selection
TFP	Total Factor Productivity
TWT	Two-Way Traders
U.S.	United States of America
UK	United Kingdom
ULC	Labour Cost per unit of sales (ULC)
XMG	Export-Market Growth