Boston University

OpenBU http://open.bu.edu

Mathematics and Statistics **SPH Biostatistics Papers**

2007-9-19

Genetic Correlates of Brain Aging on MRI and Cognitive Test Measures: A Genome-Wide Association and Linkage Analysis in the Framingham Study

Seshadri, Sudha, Anita L. DeStefano, Rhoda Au, Joseph M. Massaro, Alexa S. Beiser, Margaret Kelly-Hayes, Carlos S. Kase, Ralph B. D'Agostino, Charles DeCarli, Larry D. Atwood, Philip A. Wolf. "Genetic correlates of brain aging on MRI and cognitive test measures: a genome-wide association and linkage analysis in the Framingham study." BMC Medical Genetics 8 (Suppl 1):S15. (2007)

https://hdl.handle.net/2144/2560

Boston University

BMC Medical Genetics



Research Open Access

Genetic correlates of brain aging on MRI and cognitive test measures: a genome-wide association and linkage analysis in the Framingham study

Sudha Seshadri*^{1,2}, Anita L DeStefano^{1,3}, Rhoda Au^{1,2}, Joseph M Massaro^{1,3,4}, Alexa S Beiser^{1,2,3}, Margaret Kelly-Hayes^{1,2}, Carlos S Kase^{1,2}, Ralph B D'Agostino Sr^{1,4}, Charles DeCarli⁵, Larry D Atwood^{1,2} and Philip A Wolf^{1,2}

Address: ¹The National Heart Lung and Blood Institute's Framingham Heart Study, Framingham, MA, USA, ²Department of Neurology, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA, ³Department of Biostatistics, Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA, ⁴Statistics and Consulting Unit, Department of Mathematics, Boston University, Boston, MA, USA and ⁵The Department of Neurology, University of California – Davis, Sacramento, CA, USA

Email: Sudha Seshadri* - suseshad@bu.edu; Anita L DeStefano - adestef@bu.edu; Rhoda Au - rhodaau@bu.edu; Joseph M Massaro - jmm@bu.edu; Alexa S Beiser - alexab@bu.edu; Margaret Kelly-Hayes - mkhayes@bu.edu; Carlos S Kase - cskase@bu.edu; Ralph B D'Agostino - ralph@bu.edu; Charles DeCarli - cdecarli@bu.edu; Larry D Atwood - lda@bu.edu; Philip A Wolf - pawolf@bu.edu * Corresponding author

Published: 19 September 2007

BMC Medical Genetics 2007, 8(Suppl 1):S15 doi:10.1186/1471-2350-8-S1-S15

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2350/8/S1/S15

© 2007 Seshadri et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and cognitive tests can identify heritable endophenotypes associated with an increased risk of developing stroke, dementia and Alzheimer's disease (AD). We conducted a genome-wide association (GWA) and linkage analysis exploring the genetic basis of these endophenotypes in a community-based sample.

Methods: A total of 705 stroke- and dementia-free Framingham participants (age 62 +9 yrs, 50% male) who underwent volumetric brain MRI and cognitive testing (1999–2002) were genotyped. We used linear models adjusting for first degree relationships via generalized estimating equations (GEE) and family based association tests (FBAT) in additive models to relate qualifying single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs, 70,987 autosomal on Affymetrix 100K Human Gene Chip with minor allele frequency ≥ 0.10 , genotypic call rate ≥ 0.80 , and Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium p-value ≥ 0.001) to multivariable-adjusted residuals of 9 MRI measures including total cerebral brain (TCBV), lobar, ventricular and white matter hyperintensity (WMH) volumes, and 6 cognitive factors/tests assessing verbal and visuospatial memory, visual scanning and motor speed, reading, abstract reasoning and naming. We determined multipoint identity-by-descent utilizing 10,592 informative SNPs and 613 short tandem repeats and used variance component analyses to compute LOD scores

Results: The strongest gene-phenotype association in FBAT analyses was between *SORL1* (rs1131497; p = 3.2×10^{-6}) and abstract reasoning, and in GEE analyses between *CDH4* (rs1970546; p = 3.7×10^{-8}) and TCBV. *SORL1* plays a role in amyloid precursor protein processing and has been associated with the risk of AD. Among the 50 strongest associations (25 each by GEE and FBAT) were other biologically interesting genes. Polymorphisms within 28 of 163 candidate genes for stroke, AD and memory impairment were associated with the endophenotypes studied at p < 0.001. We confirmed our previously reported linkage of WMH on chromosome 4 and describe linkage of reading performance to a marker on chromosome 18 (GATA11A06), previously linked to dyslexia (LOD scores = 2.2 and 5.1).

Conclusion: Our results suggest that genes associated with clinical neurological disease also have detectable effects on subclinical phenotypes. These hypothesis generating data illustrate the use of an unbiased approach to discover novel pathways that may be involved in brain aging, and could be used to replicate observations made in other studies.

Background

Age-related neurological diseases such as stroke and dementia represent a substantial population burden, and one in three persons will develop either stroke or dementia in their lifetime [1]. Twin studies suggest that 37–78% of the variance in the age of onset of Alzheimer's disease (AD), the most common cause of dementia in the elderly, can be attributed to additive genetic effects [2,3]. Conversely, cognitively healthy aging also has a substantial genetic basis [4]. Finally ischemic stroke [5-7] and vascular cognitive impairment are also heritable [8]. However, surprisingly few genes have been identified that determine the risk of developing stroke (PDE4D, ALOX5AP) [9-11] or Alzheimer's disease (APOE4) [12], in the community as a whole, that is for persons not from autosomal dominant, early-onset families. One reason may be that studies to date have been underpowered to detect small effects. Two additional challenges to a more complete understanding of the genetic basis of these aging related brain diseases have been the late phenotypic manifestation of these conditions and their complex, polygenic mode of inheritance. Multiple genes interacting with each other and with environmental factors likely create a complex gradient of susceptibility to disease. We hypothesized that studying the genetic basis for the gradient of susceptibility underlying AD and stroke, using endophenotypes, would provide insights into the genetics of these late-onset neurological diseases. Endophenotypes (or intermediate phenotypes) are heritable traits that reveal the actions of genes predisposing an individual to develop a disease but they often manifest years before clinical and pathological diagnostic criteria for the disease are met.

Volumetric brain MRI and comprehensive cognitive testing have been used to define heritable, reproducible, quantitative endophenotypes which in turn relate to the risk of developing dementia or stroke [13-19]. Twin studies have demonstrated substantial heritability of these endophenotypes [20]. The recent availability of high-throughput platforms permits genome-wide association studies (GWAS) that incorporate a more comprehensive and unbiased approach to detect genes with modest phenotypic effects. We present the results of a GWAS of structural and functional phenotypes previously associated with cellular and vascular brain aging.

Methods Study sample

The study design, selection criteria and participant demographics of the Framingham Original and Offspring cohorts have been detailed in prior publications [21,22]. A total of 1345 persons, who were members of the 330 largest families across these two cohorts, underwent genotyping using the Affymetrix GeneChip Human Mapping 100K single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) set. The Overview provides details of this sample [23]. The study sample for the current analyses comprised of 705 strokeand dementia-free Framingham Study participants who were genotyped and had undergone volumetric brain MRI and/or cognitive testing between 1999 and 2002. Among the 1345 eligible persons who were genotyped, 508 persons were excluded since they died prior to their 7th Offspring examination, did not attend this examination, declined or were unable to complete MRI or cognitive testing, 12 persons were excluded for prevalent stroke (n = 12) at the time of MRI and cognitive testing and 11 persons with neurological diseases such as multiple sclerosis or brain tumor that could impact study phenotypes were also excluded; all participants were screened, but none required exclusion for dementia at the time of MRI. Nine individuals were excluded because covariate information was not available. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Boston University Medical Center; all participants provided written informed consent including consent for genetic studies.

Phenotype definition

The list of study phenotypes is shown in Column 1 of Table 1.

Volumetric brain MRI

Details of brain MRI acquisition parameters, blinded image analysis, definition of brain volumes (indexed for cranial cavity size) and the mean and standard deviation (SD) values for these measures in the larger sample of all Framingham subjects (n = 2259) who underwent brain MRI, have been published previously [14,15,24-27]. Mean and SD values and heritability estimates for each of these parameters in the current study sample are available online at http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gap/cgibin/study.cgi?id=phs000007. Digital information from the MRI scans was transferred to a central laboratory directed by one of the authors (C.D.) for processing and analysis. Analysis was done blind to the subjects' genotype, demographic and vascular risk factor data. Analyses

Table I: Structural (Volumetric MRI) and Functional (Cognitive Testing) Brain Aging Phenotypes

			Exam cycle					
Phenotypic Trait (Abbreviated Variable Name)	Number of traits	N	Original Cohort (Exam 26) Offs	pring Cohort (Exam 7)	Covariates Used to Create Multivariable-Adjusted Residuals			
Volumetric Brain MRI*								
Total Cerebral Brain Volume (ATCBV)	I	705	Original Cohort & Offspring data are p	pooled	Age, age-squared, sex, current smoking status, diabetes, systolic blood pressure, anti-hypertensivdrugs, atrial fibrillation, EKG-LVI at Offspring examination 7 and Original cohort 26; data from sex-specific regressions pooled.			
Frontal Brain volume (AFBV)	I	705						
Parietal Brain Volume (APBV)	1	705						
Occipital Brain Volume (AOBV)	1	705						
Temporal Brain volume (ATBV)	1	705						
Hippocampal Volume (AHPV)	1	327						
Lateral Ventricular Volume (ALVV)†	1	705						
Temporal Horn Volume (LTHBV)†	1	705						
White Matter Hyperintensity Volume (BMRIZLWMHVMV) [Age-, sex-specific Z-score of log-normalized white matter hyperintensity volume]	I	705			Current smoking status, diabetes systolic blood pressure, anti-hypertensive drugs, atrial fibrillation, EKG-LVH at Offsprin examination 7 and Original cohort 26; data from sex-specific regressions pooled.			
Cognitive Test Performance								
Factor I:Verbal Memory (FI)	I	694	Original cohort and Offspring data are	pooled	Birth cohort by decade, education, Framingham Stroke Risk Profile score, plasma homocysteine concentrations (at the 20 th Original cohort and the 6 th Offspring examinations), apolipoprotein E genotype (ɛ4 +ve/-ve); data from sex-specific regressions were pooled.			
Factor 2:Visual Memory and Organization (F2)	1	694			•			
Factor 3: Measure of attention and executive function-Trails A and B (F3)	I	694						
Boston Naming Test (Nam)	I	694						
Similarities (Sim)	I	694						
Wide-Range Achievement Test (WRAT)	I	694						

^{*}All MRI volumes were expressed as a ratio of total intracranial volume (TCV), trait names used in this table correspond to trait names posted at the website; an 'A' preceding the trait name refers to the multivariable adjusted residual.
† Log-normalized values of these traits were used. Website http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gap/cgi-bin/study.cgi?id=phs000007.

were done using semi-automated measurements of pixel distributions based on mathematical modeling of MRI pixel intensity histograms for cerebrospinal fluid and brain matter (white matter and gray matter) to determine the optimal pixel intensity threshold that distinguished cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) from brain matter. Brain volume was determined in coronal sections by manually outlining the intracranial vault above the tentorium to determine the total cranial volume (TCV). Next, the skull and other non-brain tissues were removed from the image, followed by mathematical modeling to determine total brain volume (TBV). TBV included the supratentorial gray and white matter and excluded the CSF. We used the ratio of TBV to TCV (Total Cerebral Brain Volume, TCBV)

as a measure of brain volume to correct for differences in

head size. Regional brain volumes were measured as the sum of the segmented right and left lobar volumes for that region indexed to the intracranial volume; frontal (FBV), parietal (PBV), occipital (OBV) and temporal (TBV) lobar brain volumes and the regional brain volume of the hippocampus (based on hand-drawn outlines) were assessed. Two measures of ventricular volume were used: the lateral ventricular volume, and the temporal horn volume each of which was measured as the sum of the volumes for two sides, log-normalized and indexed over TCV. Finally the white matter hyperintensity volume was measured as a z-score within 10-year age- and sex-specific categories of the logarithmically transformed continuous variable (WMH). All analyses were performed using a custom-designed image analysis package, QUANTA 6.2, operating on a Sun

Microsystems (Santa Clara, CA) Ultra 5 workstation. The inter-rater reliabilities ranged between 0.90 and 0.94 for TCV, TCB, regional brain and ventricular volumes and white matter hyperintensities, and intra-rater reliabilities average 0.98 across all measures.

Cognitive measures

Subjects were administered a neuropsychological test battery using standard administration protocols and trained examiners. Details of the tests administered and normative values for the Framingham Original and Offspring cohorts have been previously published [13,28]. Since individual cognitive tests are scored measured on different scales and since scores are known to be associated with age and sex, we transformed the variables, separately by sex, to obtain variables that are comparable across tests. First, natural logarithmic transformations were applied to normalize raw scores that had a skewed distribution. Next, each variable was regressed on age and residuals from these regressions were standardized using a z-score transformation. The resulting standardized cognitive test scores were then either summed to create 3 factors, each characterizing a specific cognitive domain: verbal memory (Factor 1, F1), visuospatial memory and organization (Factor 2, F2) and attention and executive function (Factor 3, F3), or were used individually (Similarities [Sim], Boston Naming Test [BNT] and Wide Range Achievement Tests [WRAT]). Details of test source and parameters used to define each individual test and factor are outlined in Additional data file 1, table 1.

Genotyping

The Overview [23] describes the Affymetrix 100K SNP GeneChip genotyping http://gmed.bu.edu/about/genotyping.html and the Marshfield short-tandem repeat genotyping performed by the Mammalian Genotyping Service http://research.marshfieldclinic.org/genetics. Only the SNP data were used for GWA studies whereas both SNP and STR data were combined for linkage analyses.

Statistical analysis

As detailed in the Overview [23], we used linear models adjusting for first degree relationships via generalized estimating equations (GEE) and family based association tests (FBAT). All tests were performed using additive genetic models to relate qualifying SNPs to multivariable-adjusted residuals of the 9 MRI measures and the 6 cognitive factors/tests described earlier. Qualifying SNPs (n = 70,897) were defined as autosomal SNPs with genotypic call rate \geq 80%, minor allele frequency \geq 10% and in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium with p \geq 0.001. Additionally, for FBAT analyses \geq 10 informative families were required. For the linkage analyses, we used Merlin software to compute multipoint identity-by-descent utilizing

10,592 informative SNPs and 613 short tandem repeats selected to minimize LD [29,30]; we then used maximum variance component analyses in SOLAR to compute LOD scores as a measure of linkage [31].

Multivariable-adjusted trait residuals for the phenotypic traits listed in Table 1 were computed using linear regression and the full set of all Framingham Study participants in whom the phenotype of interest was available. For the MRI analyses, residuals were derived from multivariable linear regressions in SAS [32], adjusting for the variables that we had previously found were related to MRI measures: age and if appropriate age-squared, current smoking status, systolic blood pressure in mm Hg, use of antihypertensive drugs and presence or absence of diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation and electrocardiographic left ventricular hypertrophy. Similarly, residuals were derived for each cognitive measure from multiple linear regressions and adjusting for the following covariates: birth cohort by decade, education (high school, high school graduate, some college or college graduate), Framingham Stroke Risk Profile score, plasma homocysteine concentrations (at the 20th Original cohort and the 6th Offspring examinations) and apolipoprotein E genotype (ε4 +ve/ -ve). Unless otherwise specified, covariate data for all 15 phenotypic measures were drawn from the 26th Original cohort and the 7th Offspring examinations. Data from sexspecific regressions were pooled for the SNP-phenotype association and linkage analyses. Winsorized residuals (truncating extreme values at \pm 3.5 standard deviations) were used for linkage analysis of phenotypes with departures from normality as assessed by skewness and kurtosis (TBV, temporal horn volume, F1, F2, F3, Sim, BNT and WRAT).

Presentation of results

We used several strategies to explore the resulting phenotype-SNP association and linkage results. First, we used an unbiased approach and collated the 50 strongest phenotype-SNP associations (those with the smallest p-value) including 25 phenotype-SNP associations each for GEE and FBAT analyses, and all linkage results with a LOD score > 2.0. All SNPs were annotated using the UCSC genome browser tables http://genome.ucsc.edu/ [33,34] to examine if the SNP was within a gene and to identify this gene.

Next, we examined the data for genes with pleiotropic effects. We assessed if genes that were associated with TCBV or WMH at p < 0.001 (as primary structural indicators of cellular and vascular brain damage) were also associated with at least two of the other brain MRI measures (p < 0.01). We also evaluated if genes that were associated with lower scores on either F1 or F3 at p < 0.001 (as primary indicators of amnestic, Alzheimer-type and vascular

cognitive impairment) seemed associated with other cognitive test measures.

Finally, we investigated SNP associations in candidate genes. There are few candidate genes that have been directly linked in prior studies to the endophenotypes described in these analyses. Hence, we investigated genes previously reported to be associated with stroke, Alzheimer's disease, brain aging and vascular dementia in established databases including the NCBI Gene, PubMed and OMIM databases [35], the Alzforum Alzgene database http://www.alzforum.org/res/com/gen/alzgene [36], and the Science of Aging Knowledge Environment genes/interdatabase vention http://sageke.sciencemag.org/cgi/ genesdb [37]. All SNPs within 60 kb of the candidate genes (listed in Additional data file 1, Additional table 2) were examined for association with the 15 phenotypic traits described in this paper. Only phenotype-SNP associations with a p-value < 0.001 are described in Table 4.

Results

The brain aging phenotypic traits available in the Framingham Study 100K SNP resource with details of the sample size, statistical transformation and details of the covariates used for multivariable adjustment of each phenotype are provided in Table 1. The mean age of the 705 subjects was 62 ± 12 years, 46% were male, 79 were from the Original Framingham cohort (enrolled in 1948–50) while 626 belonged to the Offspring cohort. Table 2 (sections a and b) provide the top twenty-five phenotype-SNP associations ranked in order by lowest p-value for the GEE and FBAT models and Table 2 (section c) presents the phenotype-SNP associations with LOD scores ≥ 2.0 and the corresponding 1.5 - LOD support interval. The strongest phenotype-SNP association in GEE analyses was between a SNP on the retinal cadherin gene CDH4 and TCBV (rs1970546; p = 3.7×10^{-8}) and this was the only association that achieved genome-wide significance if we applied a conservative Bonferroni correction as detailed in the Overview (p < 5×10^{-8}); in FBAT analyses the strongest phenotype-gene association was between a SNP on the gene SORL1 (rs1131497; $p = 3.2 \times 10^{-6}$) and performance in Sim, a test of abstract reasoning. Assuming an additive genetic model, a minor allele frequency of 10% and a very conservative α of 1 × 10⁻⁸ we had an 80% power to detect an effect of 0.52 standard deviations (SD) in a given variable. For TCBV this translates to an effect size of 1.71% equivalent to 8.5 years of brain aging.

We had previously reported high heritability for WMH. In the current analyses examining associations between individual SNPs and WMH there was one association that was in the top 50 and others that were in the top 100, but none were within the arbitrarily chosen cut-off for Table 2 which only details the top 25 phenotype-SNP associations. In FBAT analyses, rs1822285 and rs166085, on chromosomes 11 and 5 respectively, were associated with WMH (p = 6.4×10^{-5} and 9.3×10^{-5}) but these SNPs are not within known genes. In GEE analyses, two SNPs on the biologically plausible gene CLDN10 or claudin 10, an integral membrane protein that is a component of the tight junction, were related to WMH (rs10508012 and rs10508013, p = 3.3×10^{-5} and 4.9×10^{-5}). Other extragenic SNPs and SNPs on biologically interesting genes (the glial growth factor NRG1 and the potassium channel protein KCNMA1) were also associated with WMH with p values in the 10-5 to 10-4 range. We again observed the linkage between WMH and a region on chromosome 4 that we had previously reported [38]. Within this linkage peak (1.5 LOD support interval) were biologically interesting candidate genes such as EVC and EVC1 related to the Ellis van Creveld syndrome and GRK4, previously related to salt-sensitive hypertension [39,40].

We observed that performance on the Wide-Range Achievement Test (WRAT), a test of reading ability, was linked to a region on chromosome 18p with a maximum LOD score of 5.1 at rs1846090. The 1.5 LOD support interval of this linkage peak includes an STR marker, D18S53, that has been associated with dyslexia in some prior studies [41] although not in others [42]. In the current study the observed LOD score for WRAT at D18S53 (GATA11A06) was 2.5.

Table 3 provides all phenotype-SNP associations with a GEE or FBAT p < 0.001 for a key phenotype identified a priori, and a GEE or FBAT p < 0.01 for at least two other phenotypes within each of two groups of related phenotypes. These two groups were the brain MRI parameters (with TCBV and WMH as the key phenotypes) and the cognitive tests (run once with F1 and once with F3 as the key phenotype). If adjacent SNPs were in significant linkage disequilibrium [LD] ($r^2 > 0.80$) results are only presented for the strongest phenotype-SNP association noted within the LD block. For the MRI parameters, GEE models identified 10 SNPs and FBAT models identified 7 SNPs using TCBV as the index phenotype and none using WMH as the index phenotype; among these were 4 SNPs on PDE3A and one each on PDE4B and SCN8. For the cognitive phenotypes GEE models identified 7 phenotype-SNP associations using F1 as the key phenotype and 4 using F3 as the key phenotype; FBAT models did not identify any phenotype-SNP associations meeting these prespecified criteria.

We identified 163 potential candidate genes and looked for phenotype-SNP associations using all SNPs on the 100K Affymetrix gene chip that were within 60 kb of the candidate gene. 23 genes had no analyzable SNPs within the 100K Affymetrix gene chip while 140 genes had 1430

Table 2: Structural and Functional Brain Aging (MRI and Cognitive Testing) Phenotypes† for FHS 100K Project: Results of Association and Linkage Analyses

2, section a: GEE, Top 25 p-values

Phenotype	SNP	Chromosome	Physical location	GEE p-value	FBAT p-value	Gene Region (within 60 kb)
ATCBV	rs1970546	20	59287333	4.0 × 10-8	0.005	CDH4
F3	rs2179965*	1	88514033	1.1 × 10-6	0.013	
Nam	rs1155865	4	67562623	1.6 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.554	
F3	rs2832077	21	29062892	1.8 × 10-6	0.007	
F2	rs2352904	14	48442551	2.1 × 10-6	0.012	
F2	rs6914079*	6	14704344	2.2 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.018	
F2	rs9325032	5	146395409	2.8 × 10-6	0.008	
ALLV	rs2847476	11	113696226	3.0 × 10-6	0.001	NNMT
Sim	rs3891355	12	105453162	3.2 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.089	POLR3B, RFX4
ATBV	rs5028798	11	34562011	3.3 × 10-6	0.394	EHF
Nam	rs530965	11	78742749	3.5 × 10-6	0.119	
Nam	rs9303401	17	54202944	4.9 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.099	PPMIE
AFBV	rs952700	11	99090946	5.7 × 10-6	0.003	CNTN5
F3	rs1031381	11	133593892	6.0 × 10-6	0.075	NCAPD3
F2	rs10489896*	1	230890353	6.2 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.109	TARBPI
WRAT	rs9300212	12	33592433	8.2 × 10-6	0.002	
Nam	rs1831521	9	90488911	8.4 × 10-6	0.002	DIRAS2
F3	rs934299	2	137172672	9.0 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.318	
ALTHBV	rs360929	4	153265305	9.1 × 10-6	0.055	
F2	rs2893363	7	29952294	9.6 × 10-6	0.812	C7orf41
WRAT	rs10502991	18	50243287	1.0 × 10 ⁻⁵	0.001	
APBV	rs2769965	9	79048598	1.1 × 10-5	0.012	
APBV	rs719435	7	31324796	1.1 × 10-5	0.188	CCDC129
=I	rs9292769	5	40433668	1.1 × 10 ⁻⁵	0.163	
Nam	rs10506718	12	75377929	1.1 × 10-5	0.402	

2, section b: FBAT, Top 25 p-values

Trait	SNP	Chromosome	Physical location	GEE p-value	FBAT p-value	Gene Region(s) (within 60 kb)
ALLV	rs7124781	П	42513374	0.008	2.0 × 10-7	
Sim	rs1131497	11	121007955	0.008	3.2 × 10-6	SORLI
WRAT	rs10506065	12	30342307	0.050	5.0 × 10 ⁻⁶	
AFBV	rs3852286	7	140126618	0.145	6.5 × 10-6	BRAF and MRPS33
WRAT	rs4529807	10	22358107	0.013	1.1 × 10-5	DNAJCI
F3	rs847342	14	71805791	0.441	1.3 × 10 ⁻⁵	RGS6

Table 2: Structural and Functional Brain Aging (MRI and Cognitive Testing) Phenotypes† for FHS 100K Project: Results of Association and Linkage Analyses (Continued)

AFBV	rs719775	3	64366493	0.001	1.8 × 10 ⁻⁵
Sim	rs936111	15	99376659	0.014	2.1 × 10 ⁻⁵ LRRKI
ATBV	rs2143881	6	50960846	0.077	2.1 × 10-5 TFAP2B
AHPV	rs9293140	5	24643203	0.092	2.1 × 10 ⁻⁵ CDH10
AFBV	rs9288446*	2	212907533	0.001	2.3 × 10 ⁻⁵ ERBB4
APBV	rs I 472962	4	95949555	0.004	3.1 × 10 ⁻⁵ PDLIM5
ATBV	rs2793772	13	99029574	0.047	3.3 × 10 ⁻⁵ CLYBL
F2	rs1333583	13	82037151	0.031	3.4 × 10 ⁻⁵
ATBV	rs10497352	2	170781278	0.005	3.6 × 10 ⁻⁵ ZNF650
FI	rs497836	13	93605509	0.020	3.8 × 10 ⁻⁵ GPC6
APBV	rs6459928	7	158428045	0.271	4.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ VIPR2
AHPV	rs1963442	3	75872661	0.046	4.3 × 10 ⁻⁵ ZNF717
APBV	rs10503238	8	4027465	0.002	4.4 × 10 ⁻⁵
F2	rs2861215	2	77958447	0.006	4.7 × 10 ⁻⁵
Nam	rs9311168	3	37952421	0.067	4.9 × 10 ⁻⁵ CTDSPL
F2	rs2029395	2	1.8 × 10 ⁻⁸	0.027	4.9 × 10 ⁻⁵ TTN, FLJ39502
ATCBV	rs10510717	3	41307494	0.005	5.0 × 10 ⁻⁵ CTNNBI
ATBV	rs1433527	2	1.8 × 10 ⁻⁸	0.028	5.1 × 10 ⁻⁵ DDX18

2, section c: Linkage Peaks with LOD scores \geq 2.0.

Trait	SNP closest to linkage peak	Chromosome	Physical location	I.5 – LOD support interval start	I.5 – LOD support interval end	LOD score	
WATBV†	rs1547275	9	79548023	76128637	86702472		2.81
WF3†	rs2975420	8	19534278	12651557	22836499		2.20
WNam†	rs2765241	1	62439617	59085658	67006164		2.95
WNam†	rs293966	П	26536069	21237681	33363547		2.14
WWRAT†	rs10512187	9	87400439	84893406	110115339		2.04
WWRAT†	rs1328822	13	93605666	87815515	97536766		2.50
WWRAT†	rs1846090	18	14573728	13423610	19583575		5.10
WWRAT†	rs10518241	19	3540074	1888178	6189414		2.33
BMRIZLWMH VMV	rs4426714	4	5052671	105905	9505355		2.20
BMRIZLWMHV MV	rs236535	17	65788911	59677087	68475624		2.09

 $Autosomal SNPs \ with genotypic \ call \ rate \geq 80\%, \ minor \ allele \ frequency \geq 10\%, \ Hardy-Weinberg \ test \ p \geq 0.001, \ and \geq 10 \ informative \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ Genes \ in \ bold \ are \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ families \ for \ FBAT. \ families \ famil$ highlighted in discussion
*Indicates a similar result for this trait was observed (but not shown) for a SNP with $r^2 = 1$ to the reported SNP
†Winsorized residuals were analyzed, hence trait names are prefixed with a 'W'; linkage results in bold are highlighted in the discussion

Table 3: SNP Associations with a GEE or FBAT p-value < 0.001 for selected phenotype and p values < 0.01 for at least two other phenotypes within selected group of related phenotypes

Selected Phenotype : (p < 0.001)	SNP	Chr	Physical Position	Gene	MRI phenotype showing strongest association with SNP	GEE p-value
тсву	rs646860	ı	60310322	Clorf87	APBV	6.5 × 10-4
ТСВУ	rs7763081	6	53816274	LRRCI	ATCBV	6.5×10^{-4}
тсву	rs I 444644	12	20457227	PDE3A	ATBV	8.8 × 10 ⁻⁵
тсву	rs10505865	12	20453861	PDE3A	AFBV	1.4×10^{-4}
ГСВУ	rs1444645	12	20457264	PDE3A	ATBV	1.8×10^{-4}
ГСВУ	rs I 444629	12	20454174	PDE3A	ATCBV	2.7×10^{-4}
ГСВУ	rs303816	12	50469752	SCN8A	ATCBV	6.1 × 10 ⁻⁴
ГСВУ	rs2827980†	21	23524857		ATCBV	5.9 × 10 ⁻⁵
ГСВУ	rs9297594†	8	120287483		AFBV	1.3×10^{-4}
ГСВУ	rs10512927†	5	50346833		ATCBV	8.5 × 10-4
Selected Phenotype : (p < 0.001)	SNP	Chr	Physical Position	Gene	Phenotype showing strongest association with SNP	FBAT p-value
ТСВУ	rs7740148	6	35063681	ANKSI	AFBV	0.003
гсву	rs6496742	15	89324040	PRCI	ATCBV	6.4 × 10-4
гсву	rs2788646	1	66518974	PDE4B	ATCBV	3.3×10^{-4}
ГСВУ	rs10500956†	11	23435031		AFBV	0.003
тсву	rs2059943†	8	107140783		ATCBV	1.4 × 10-4
ГСВУ	rs853256†	3	64290504		AFBV	7.5 × 10-4
ГСВУ	rs853260†	3	64289592		AFBV	4.4 × 10-4
Selected Phenotype : (p < 0.001)	SNP	Chr	Physical Position	Gene	Phenotype showing strongest association with SNP	GEE p-value
FI	rs4733809	8	1.29E+08	TMEM75	Sim	1.5 × 10 ⁻⁴
:1	rs3923615	- 11	24638108	LUZP2	FI	1.1 × 10 ⁻⁴
:1	rs10515155	17	53836943	RNF43	FI	3.6 × 10-
=1	rs1204116	6	62462055	KHDRBS2	FI	7.3×10^{-4}
:1	rs708891	12	1.18E+08	CCDC60	FI	4.7 × 10 ⁻⁴
:1	rs10515159	17	54157692	RAD51C	FI	3.1 × 10 ⁻⁴
:1	rs10506214	12	41397957		F3	5.8 × 10 ⁻⁴
- 3	rs608825	1	2.33E+08	EDARADD	F3	5.5 × 10 ⁻⁴
=3	rs957603	15	38796960	RAD5 I	F3	1.5 × 10 ⁻⁴
F3	rs10506214†	12	41397957		F3	5.8 × 10 ⁻⁴
F3	rs2109479	5	56979996		F3	4.2×10^{-4}

Table is ordered by primary phenotype (TCBV, FI or F3; whether significant phenotype-SNP association was based on GEE or FBAT p-value and then alphabetically by gene name.

analyzable SNPs within 60 kb of the gene. Table 4 shows the candidate genes and all phenotype-SNP associations with a GEE or FBAT p-value < 0.001. In this analysis we included all SNPs regardless of MAF since in prior studies significant phenotype-SNP associations had been demonstrated for some of these genes with SNPs having MAF < 10%.

Discussion

This is the first GWA study of volumetric brain MRI and cognitive phenotypes in a community-based sample of adults with data drawn from two generations of persons within the same families. The complete results of the association and linkage analyses are available at our website http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/gap/cgi-bin/study.cgi?id=phs000007. This resource has the potential to detect novel susceptibility genes for brain aging, to examine the relevance within humans of promising can-

didate gene associations with these diseases reported in animal models, and to replicate findings observed in other cohort studies. We used several strategies to prioritize phenotype-SNP associations, but there remain other unique ways of looking at these data that we and others will continue to explore.

In our untargeted approach of ranking SNP associations by the strength of the p-value, we found several phenotype-SNP associations within biologically interesting genes (Table 2). The most exciting was a strong association between two SNPs in or adjacent to the gene SORL1 and performance on tests of abstract reasoning (rs1131497; FBAT p = 3.2×10^{-6} and rs726601; FBAT p= 8.2×10^{-4}). SORL1 is an apolipoprotein E receptor, binds alpha-2-macroglobulin, and is one component of a large multimeric complex, termed the retromer complex that is involved in retrograde transport of proteins from

^{*} Genes in bold and highlighted in discussion; † SNPs were not within 60 KB of a known gene.

Table 4: Phenotypic Associations With Candidate Genes Previously Related To Stroke, Dementia And Brain MRI Or Cognitive Function Phenotypes: Phenotype-SNP Associations With A GEE Or FBAT P-Value < 0.001

Gene	Phenotype	SNP	Chr	Physical Position	GEE_Pvalue	FBAT_Pvalue	
NGFB	AHPV	rs10489531	ı	115495044	9.7 × 10 ⁻⁴		
PRSS25	ATBV	rs363685	2	74726491	2.5×10^{-4}		
	ATCBV	rs363685	2	74726491	5.7 × 10 ⁻⁵		
GRK4	FI	rs2105380	4	3019934	0.276	5.8 × 10 ⁻⁴	
HMGE	ATBV	rs4689584	4	7179291	0.035	1.0 × 10 ⁻⁴	
APBB2	FI	rs10517001	4	41051593	1.4×10^{-4}	0.009	
SNCA	Sim	rs3796661	4	91044685	2.6×10^{-4}	0.332	
	AHPV	rs2870028	4	91122391	3.2×10^{-4}	0.180	
	AHPV	rs7678651	4	91125549	1.3×10^{-4}	0.102	
	AHPV	rs10516848	4	91132390	1.6×10^{-4}	0.115	
PDE4D	WRAT	rs295973	5	58945866	5.3 × 10 ⁻⁴	0.060	
	Sim	rs10514882	5	59170282	6.4×10^{-5}		
	Sim	rs9292216	5	59187886	2.4×10^{-4}		
DCDC2	F3	rs10484657	6	24224829	0.017	9.5 × 10 ⁻⁴	
	ATCBV	rs I 0484657	6	24224829	6.0×10^{-4}	0.015	
THBS2	ATBV	rs6937001	6	169382718	6.0×10^{-4}	0.021	
GATA4	WRAT	rs7006733	8	11645399	0.034	3.1 × 10 ⁻⁴	
NRGI	ATCBV	rs1383893	8	31844190	0.407	8.9 × 10-4	
	F2	rs 10503906	8	32291504	0.187	4.2 × 10-4	
	AFBV	rs10503919	8	32519284	1.9 × 10 ⁻⁴	0.288	
	BMRIZLWMH	rs10503926	8	32660758	8.7 × 10 ⁻⁵	0.009	
	VMV	rs10503927	8	32662772	2.0 × 10 ⁻⁴	0.002	
VLDLR	ATCBV	rs502309	9	2562909	5.4 × 10 ⁻⁶	0.157	
	Nam	rs2168136	9	2584577	1.6 × 10-4	0.006	
NTRK2	FI	rs10512152	9	84457363	7.6 × 10 ⁻⁵	0.018	
	AFBV	rs1573219	9	84617176	0.174	5.6 × 10 ⁻⁴	
	AFBV	rs7038866	9	84617461	0.146	3.6 × 10-4	
TEK	ATBV	rs628873	9	27162838	4.9 × 10 ⁻⁴	0.051	
BACEI	APBV	rs1261791	il	116705848	0.021	3.1 × 10 ⁻⁴	
SORLI	Sim	rs1131497	11	121007955	0.008	3.2 × 10-6	
JOKEI	Sim	rs726601	11	120986617	0.046	8.2 × 10-4	
VWF	ATCBV	rs216903	12	5975760	0.149	9.5 × 10 ⁻⁴	
A2M	F3	rs2889717	12	9178068	9.3 × 10 ⁻⁵	7.5 ^ 10	
LRRK2	WRAT	rs2249017	12	38847487	5.5 × 10-4	0.061	
LIMINZ	FI	rs7975693	12	38874945	8.1 × 10 ⁻⁵	0.001	
	AFBV	rs10506151	12	38957265	3.7 × 10-4	1.6 × 10 ⁻⁴	
	ATBV	rs10506151	12	38957265	9.7 × 10 ⁻⁴	0.371	
CNTNI	ATBV	rs10506176	12	39561067	8.8 × 10 ⁻⁴	0.622	
LTA4H	Sim	rs10492226	12	94906457	6.7 × 10 ⁻⁴	0.622	
IGF I	ALLV	rs1980236	12	101270359	0.046	9.3 × 10 ⁻⁴	
	APBV				4.5 × 10 ⁻⁴		
LTB4R2 NTRK3	ALLV	rs724165 rs10520671	14 15	23876069 86347520	6.2 × 10 ⁻⁵	0.162 0.062	
CCL2	WRAT	rs1024612	15 17	29573469	0.231	7.0 × 10 ⁻⁴	
		rs1024612 rs9303511				7.0 × 10 ⁻⁴ 7.9 × 10 ⁻⁴	
PRKCA CST2	FI AOBV		17 20	62158093 23526189	0.068 4.5 × 10-4		
CST3	AOBV	rs1158167	20		6.5 × 10-4	0.032	
PRNP	APBV	rs2326510	20	4649211	0.000718	0.064794	
BACE2	FI	rs2007397	21	41438062	0.030	2.2 × 10 ⁻⁴	
	FI	rs 10483073	21	41499167	3.5 × 10-4		
	Nam	rs10483073	21	41499167	1.7 × 10 ⁻⁴		

^{*}Genes in bold are highlighted in discussion section.

endosomes to the trans-Golgi network [43,44]. This retromer complex appears to play a crucial role in the transportation of transmembrane proteins implicated in Alzheimer's disease, such as amyloid precursor protein

(*APP*) and β-site APP cleaving enzyme (*BACE1*). SORL1 protein is underexpressed in the frontal lobes of persons with AD compared to controls and the *SORL1* gene has recently been associated with the risk of developing AD in

6 population samples [45,46]. Only 7 SNPs on or adjacent to the *SORL1* gene were evaluated in the 100K Affymetrix gene chip. One of these SNPs on *SORL1* that was associated with abstract reasoning (rs726601, FBAT p = 8.2×10^{-4} , Table 4) was in LD ($r^2 > 0.8$) with SNPs (rs2282649, rs1010159) strongly associated with AD in these studies [45,46].

In unbiased analyses, we also identified 3 genes that were associated with measures of frontal or parietal brain volume and with tests of executive function and abstract reasoning. These 3 genes, ERBB4, PDLIM5 and RFX4, (FBAT ranks #11 and 12, GEE rank #9) have each been previously associated with schizophrenia or mood disorders, conditions known to be associated with smaller frontal brain volumes and poorer performance on tests of executive function, even in unaffected family members [47,48]. ERBB4 is a neuregulin (NRG1) receptor involved in forebrain development and N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor function. It has been associated with schizophrenia wherein excess of the IVS 12-15C > T has been noted (odds-ratio 2.98) [49,50]. NRG1 itself has been associated with schizophrenia in the Icelandic DeCODE population [51] and in other studies [52-54], with accelerated lobar atrophy [52], and with bipolar disorders [55,56]. As shown in Table 4, NRG1, like ERBB4, was associated with frontal brain volume (FBV) in our sample. PDLIM5 polymorphisms have been associated with schizophrenia (rs2433320 and rs2433322) [52,55] and bipolar disorder (rs10008257 and rs2433320) [57]. Additionally the PDLIM5 protein is a homolog of AD7c-NTP, a neural thread protein associated with Alzheimer's disease, and is being studied as a possible CSF biomarker of AD [58]. A final group of 3 genes, CDH4, VIPR2, CTNNB1 (GEE rank #1 and FBAT ranks #17 and 24) have been shown in animal studies to play an important role in neural tract and synaptic development [59-61]. Using linkage analyses, we were able to replicate a previous report that dyslexia was linked to a short-tandem repeat marker D18S53 on chromosome 18p11.2.

We examined pleiotropic effects by identifying SNP associations across two sets of related phenotypes. In these analyses, we uncovered a different set of genes, none of which have been related to brain volumes, cognitive function, stroke or dementia in prior population studies. However, there are biologically interesting genes related to brain volumes including *PDE3A*, previously related to all aspects of thrombosis [62], *SCN8A* linked to cerebellar ataxia with mental retardation [63], and *PDE4B* which has been associated with schizophrenia [64].

We also evaluated SNPs within some candidate genes previously reported to be associated with stroke and dementia in animal studies or in population samples, and

observed that several of these SNPs were associated with MRI and cognitive endophenotypes that increase the risk of these conditions; this gene list is representative but not comprehensive. Among these genes are PDE4D and LTA4H that have been previously related to stroke in several population samples [9,10]; NGFB, NTRK2 and NTRK3 (a neural growth factor and two receptors for neural growth factors) genes, previously associated with performance on memory tasks in animal studies [65,66]; BACE1, PRNP and A2M, genes associated with AD in casecontrol or family-based association studies [36,67,68], VLDLR, a gene previously associated with an increased risk of dementia in the presence of vascular risk factors [69] and LRRK2, a gene associated with an increased risk of Parkinson's disease in population samples [70], but also thought to be an enabling gene for tau pathology [71]. There has been only one prior study that directly related a gene (KIBRA) to one of the phenotypes (verbal memory) included in the current analyses. We did not have any SNPs in significant LD with the SNP (rs17070145) described in that study [72]. We have chosen not to include details of the correlation between SNPs from the 100K and the specific SNP(s) studied within candidate genes by prior investigators since doing so would have expanded our Table 4 beyond the size and scope of this article. For example, prior associations of several of the candidate genes with related clinical disease phenotypes (for example, PDE4D with ischemic stroke, SORL1 with AD) have described allelic heterogeneity. In these studies, multiple SNPs and haplotypes within the gene were associated with the phenotype, even within Caucasian populations [73-75].

Limitations

Our study had several limitations. A healthy survivor bias is likely as participants in this sample had to survive beyond 1990 to provide DNA. Further, persons undergoing MRI had to travel to an MRI center, provide informed consent, and have no contraindication to the study. We have previously shown that persons undergoing brain MRI were significantly healthier than the overall sample of Framingham participants alive at the time [15].

Our sample of 705 related persons may have a limited power to uncover associations as compared to the larger sample that includes unrelated subjects (on whom 100K genotyping was not obtained). This is especially true for hippocampal volumes, which were computed based on hand-drawn hippocampal outlines; the number of persons in our study dataset with available hippocampal volumes was only 327. Further, we currently have only a single measure of brain MRI and cognitive tests in these subjects. However, all these participants are being restudied with a second cycle of MRI and cognitive testing. The genes associated with changes in these measures over time

may be stronger candidate genes for usual and pathological brain aging processes than the genes related in current analyses to cross-sectional endophenotypes.

The 100K Affymetrix GeneChip provides limited (~30%) coverage of the genome, with no coverage of several gene rich areas and key candidate genes such as APOE [76]. However, the forthcoming NHLBI funded 550 K genomewide scan on over 9000 Framingham participants (discussed in the Overview) should permit validation of our initial 100K SNP associations in a larger sample and will provide more dense coverage of the genome. Population stratification is not a major concern in this study sample due to the high homogeneity of ancestry (European). However, for the same reason we cannot detect race or ethnicity-specific variations in these phenotype-SNP associations. There are significant issues of multiple-testing which are addressed in the Overview; when testing for association with all alleles having a minor allele frequency >5%, it has been estimated that 1,000,000 tests are conducted across the entire human genome, hence for an α of 0.05, using a conservative Bonferroni correction (0.05 × 10^{-6}) only tests with a p value $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$) would be considered significant; however others have argued that this is too stringent a threshold since it ignores correlation between individual SNPs [77-79]. We emphasize that the current study is hypothesis-generating and our findings need to be replicated in other population samples.

Conclusion

The untargeted genome-wide approach to detect genetic associations with brain aging identified several biologically interesting genes (such as genes previously related to AD and schizophrenia) as possible novel candidates related to brain structure and function in middle-aged to elderly populations. Our data also suggest that genes previously associated with clinical disease may be associated with clinical endophenotypes known to increase the risk of developing these conditions. Finally, our database will serve as a resource for in silico replication of findings noted in other population-based samples, and in animal models of brain aging, stroke, and neurodegenerative diseases.

Abbreviations

GWAS = Genome-wide association study; FBAT = family based association testing; GEE = generalized estimating equations; LOD = logarithm of the odds; SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism; MRI = Magnetic resonance imaging; AD = Alzheimer's disease; TCBV = Total Cerebral Brain Volume; WMH = White matter hyperintensity volume; CSF = Cerebrospinal fluid.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

SS was involved in phenotype definition and data collection, planned the analyses, drafted and critically revised the manuscript. ALD planned and conducted the analyses and assisted in writing and critically revising the manuscript. RA was primarily responsible for the definition of cognitive phenotypes, supervised the collection of cognitive and MRI phenotypes and assisted in securing funding, planning the analyses and critically revising the manuscript. JMM and ASB were involved in phenotype definition, planning and conducting the analyses and critically revising the manuscript. CSK and MKH helped define and collect stroke-related phenotypic data and critically revised the manuscript. CD supervised the generation of MRI measurements, helped plan the analyses and reviewed the manuscript. RBD contributed to phenotypic definition, planning of analyses and helped critically revise the manuscript. LDA helped plan and conduct the analyses and critically revised the manuscript. PAW conceived of the Framingham 'MRI, Genetic and Cognitive Precursors of AD and Dementia' study, obtained funding for phenotype collection, helped plan the analyses and critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional material

Additional file 1

Click here for file

Details of test source and parameters used to define each individual test and factor are outlined in Additional Table 1. All SNPs within 60 kb of the candidate genes are listed in Additional Table 2.

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2350-8-S1-S15-S1.doc]

Acknowledgements

Statistical analyses were conducted using the Boston University Linux Cluster for Genetic Analysis (LinGA) funded by the NIH NCRR (National Center for Research Resources) Shared Instrumentation grant IS10RR163736-01A1. We acknowledge the careful genotyping conducted by Drs. Michael Christman, Alan Hebert and colleagues, in the Boston University School of Medicine Genetics Laboratory. NHLBI's Framingham Heart Study is supported by contract number N01-HC-25195. The phenotypic data included in this paper were collected with funds from the NIA (5R01-AG08122 and 5R01-AG16495) and the NINDS (5R01-NS17950). We thank Joanne M. Murabito, MD and Kathryn L. Lunetta, PhD who were valuable collaborators, freely sharing their expertise, and ideas regarding the genetics of an analogous phenotype: longevity and healthy aging. Above all, we thank the Framingham Study participants for their ongoing commitment to the study.

This article has been published as part of *BMC Medical Genetics* Volume 8 Supplement 1, 2007: The Framingham Heart Study 100,000 single nucleotide polymorphisms resource. The full contents of the supplement are available online at http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2350/8?issue=S1.

References

- Seshadri S, Wolf PA, Beiser A, Au R, McNulty K, White R, D'Agostino RB: Lifetime risk of dementia and Alzheimer's disease. The impact of mortality on risk estimates in the Framingham Study. Neurology 1997, 49:1498-1504.
- Meyer JM, Breitner JC: Multiple threshold model for the onset of Alzheimer's disease in the NAS-NRC twin panel. Am J Med Genet 1998, 81:92-97.
- Pedersen NL, Posner SF, Gatz M: Multiple-threshold models for genetic influences on age of onset for Alzheimer disease: findings in Swedish twins. Am J Med Genet 2001, 105:724-728.
- Gudmundsson H, Gudbjartsson DF, Frigge M, Gulcher JR, Stefansson K: Inheritance of human longevity in Iceland. Eur J Hum Genet 2000, 8:743-749.
- Flossmann E, Schulz UG, Rothwell PM: Systematic review of methods and results of studies of the genetic epidemiology of ischemic stroke. Stroke 2004, 35:212-227.
- Bak S, Gaist D, Sindrup SH, Skytthe A, Christensen K: Genetic liability in stroke: a long-term follow-up study of Danish twins. Stroke 2002 33:769-774
- Stroke 2002, 33:769-774.
 Kiely DK, Wolf PA, Cupples LA, Beiser AS, Myers RH: Familial aggregation of stroke. The Framingham Study. Stroke 1993, 24:1366-1371.
- Leblanc GG, Meschia JF, Stuss DT, Hachinski V: Genetics of Vascular Cognitive Impairment: The Opportunity and the Challenges. Stroke 2006, 37:248-255.
- Gretarsdottir S, Thorleifsson G, Reynisdottir ST, Manolescu A, Jonsdottir S, Jonsdottir T, Gudmundsdottir T, Bjarnadottir SM, Einarsson OB, Gudjonsdottir HM, Hawkins M, Gudmundsson G, Gudmundsdottir H, Andrason H, Gudmundsdottir AS, Sigurdardottir M, Chou TT, Nahmias J, Goss S, Sveinbjornsdottir S, Valdimarsson EM, Jakobsson F, Agnarsson U, Gudnason V, Thorgeirsson G, Fingerle J, Gurney M, Gudbjartsson D, Frigge ML, Kong A, Stefansson K, Gulcher JR: The gene encoding phosphodiesterase 4D confers risk of ischemic stroke. Nat Genet 2003, 35:131-138.
- Helgadottir A, Manolescu A, Thorleifsson G, Gretarsdottir S, Jonsdottir H, Thorsteinsdottir U, Samani NJ, Gudmundsson G, Grant SF, Thorgeirsson G, Sveinbjornsdottir S, Valdimarsson EM, Matthiasson SE, Johannsson H, Gudmundsdottir O, Gurney ME, Sainz J, Thorhallsdottir M, Andresdottir M, Frigge ML, Topol EJ, Kong A, Gudnason V, Hakonarson H, Gulcher JR, Stefansson K: The gene encoding 5-lipoxygenase activating protein confers risk of myocardial infarction and stroke. Nat Genet 2004, 36:233-239.
- Dichgans M: Genetics of ischaemic stroke. Lancet Neurol 2007, 6:149-161.
- Corder EH, Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel DE, Gaskell PC, Small GW, Roses AD, Haines JL, Pericak-Vance MA: Gene dose of apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families. Science 1993, 261:921-923.
- Au R, Seshadri S, Wolf PA, Elias M, Elias P, Sullivan L, Beiser A, D'Agostino RB: New norms for a new generation: cognitive performance in the framingham offspring cohort. Exp Aging Res 2004, 30:333-358.
- DeCarli C, Massaro J, Harvey D, Hald J, Tullberg M, Au R, Beiser A, D'Agostino R, Wolf PA: Measures of brain morphology and infarction in the framingham heart study: establishing what is normal. Neurobiol Aging 2005, 26:491-510.
- Seshadri S, Wolf PA, Beiser A, Elias MF, Au R, Kase CS, D'Agostino RB, DeCarli C: Stroke risk profile, brain volume, and cognitive function: the Framingham Offspring Study. Neurology 2004, 63:1591-1599.
- Vermeer SE, Hollander M, van Dijk EJ, Hofman A, Koudstaal PJ, Breteler MM: Silent brain infarcts and white matter lesions increase stroke risk in the general population: the Rotterdam Scan Study. Stroke 2003, 34:1126-1129.
- Vermeer SE, Prins ND, den HT, Hofman A, Koudstaal PJ, Breteler MM: Silent brain infarcts and the risk of dementia and cognitive decline. N Engl J Med 2003. 348:1215-1222
- tive decline. N Engl J Med 2003, 348:1215-1222.

 18. Jack CR Jr, Shiung MM, Weigand SD, O'Brien PC, Gunter JL, Boeve BF, Knopman DS, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, Tangalos EG, Petersen RC: Brain atrophy rates predict subsequent clinical conversion in normal elderly and amnestic MCI. Neurology 2005, 65:1227-1231.
- Jack CR Jr, Petersen RC, Xu YC, O'Brien PC, Waring SC, Tangalos EG, Smith GE, Ivnik RJ, Thibodeau SN, Kokmen E: Hippocampal atrophy and apolipoprotein E genotype are independently

- associated with Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol 1998, 43:303-310.
- Carmelli D, Swan GE, DeCarli C, Reed T: Quantitative genetic modeling of regional brain volumes and cognitive performance in older male twins. Biol Psychol 2002, 61:139-155.
- 21. Feinleib M, Kannel WB, Garrison RJ, McNamara PM, Castelli WP: The Framingham Offspring Study. Design and preliminary data. Prev Med 1975, 4:518-525.
- Dawber TR, Meadors GF, Moore FE Jr: Epidemiological approaches to heart disease: the Framingham Study. Am J Public Health 1951, 41:279-281.
- 23. Cupples LA, Arruda H, Benjamin EJ, D'Agostino RB Sr, Demissie S, Destefano AL, Dupuis J, Falls K, Fox CS, Gottlieb DJ, Govindaraju DR, Guo CY, Heard-Costa N, Hwang SJ, Kathiresan S, Kiel DP, Larson MG, Laramie JM, Levy D, Liu CY, Lunetta KL, Mailman MD, Manning AK, Meigs JB, Murabito JM, Newton-Cheh C, O'Connor GT, O'Donnell CJ, Pandey MA, Qiong Y, Seshadri S, Vasan RS, Wilk JB, Wolf PA, Atwood LD: The Framingham Heart Study 100K SNP genome-wide association study resource: Overview of 17 phenotype working group reports. BMC Med Genet 2007, 8(Suppl 1):S1.
- 8(Suppl 1):S1.
 24. Decarli C, Maisog J, Murphy DG, Teichberg D, Rapoport SI, Horwitz B: Method for quantification of brain, ventricular, and subarachnoid CSF volumes from MR images. J Comput Assist Tomogr 1992, 16:274-284.
- Decarli C, Murphy DG, McIntosh AR, Teichberg D, Schapiro MB, Horwitz B: Discriminant analysis of MRI measures as a method to determine the presence of dementia of the Alzheimer type. Psychiatry Res 1995, 57:119-130.
- Decarli C, Murphy DG, Tranh M, Grady CL, Haxby JV, Gillette JA, Salerno JA, Gonzales-Aviles A, Horwitz B, Rapoport SI: The effect of white matter hyperintensity volume on brain structure, cognitive performance, and cerebral metabolism of glucose in 51 healthy adults. Neurology 1995, 45:2077-2084.
 Jeerakathil T, Wolf PA, Beiser A, Massaro J, Seshadri S, D'Agostino
- Jeerakathil T, Wolf PA, Beiser A, Massaro J, Seshadri S, D'Agostino RB, DeCarli C: Stroke risk profile predicts white matter hyperintensity volume: the Framingham Study. Stroke 2004, 35:1857-1861.
- Farmer ME, White LR, Kittner SJ, Kaplan E, Moes E, McNamara P, Wolz MM, Wolf PA, Feinleib M: Neuropsychological test performance in Framingham: a descriptive study. Psychol Rep 1987, 60:1023-1040.
- 29. Abecasis GR, Cardon LR, Cookson WO: A general test of association for quantitative traits in nuclear families. Am J Hum Genet 2000, 66:279-292.
- Abecasis GR, Cherny SS, Cookson WO, Cardon LR: Merlin rapid analysis of dense genetic maps using sparse gene flow trees. Nat Genet 2002, 30:97-101.
- 31. Almasy L, Blangero J: Multipoint quantitative-trait linkage analysis in general pedigrees. Am J Hum Genet 1998, 62:1198-1211.
- SAS/STAT Software: Changes and Enhancements through Release 6.12 PROC GENMOD. Cary, N.C.:SAS Institute 1997; 2004:247-38.
- Karolchik D, Baertsch R, Diekhans M, Furey TS, Hinrichs A, Lu YT, Roskin KM, Schwartz M, Sugnet CW, Thomas DJ, Weber RJ, Haussler D, Kent WJ: The UCSC Genome Browser Database. Nucleic Acids Res 2003, 31:51-54.
- Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, Haussler D: The human genome browser at UCSC. Genome Res 2002, 12:996-1006.
- 35. 2006 [http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query.fcgi?db=gene&cmd].
- 36. Bertram L, McQueen MB, Mullin K, Blacker D, Tanzi RE: Systematic meta-analyses of Alzheimer disease genetic association studies: the AlzGene database. Nat Genet 2007, 39:17-23.
- 37. 2006 [http://sageke.sciencemag.org/cgi/genesdb].
- Destefano AL, Atwood LD, Massaro JM, Heard-Costa N, Beiser A, Au R, Wolf PA, DeCarli C: Genome-wide scan for white matter hyperintensity: the Framingham Heart Study. Stroke 2006, 37:77-81.
- Wang Y, Li B, Zhao W, Liu P, Zhao Q, Chen S, Li H, Gu D: Association study of G protein-coupled receptor kinase 4 gene variants with essential hypertension in northern Han Chinese.
 Ann Hum Genet 2006, 70:778-783.
- Sanada H, Yatabe J, Midorikawa S, Hashimoto S, Watanabe T, Moore JH, Ritchie MD, Williams SM, Pezzullo JC, Sasaki M, Eisner GM, Jose

- PA, Felder RA: Single-nucleotide polymorphisms for diagnosis of salt-sensitive hypertension. Clin Chem 2006, **52**:352-360.
- 41. Fisher SE, Francks C, Marlow AJ, MacPhie IL, Newbury DF, Cardon LR, Ishikawa-Brush Y, Richardson AJ, Talcott JB, Gayan J, Olson RK, Pennington BF, Smith SD, DeFries JC, Stein JF, Monaco AP: Independent genome-wide scans identify a chromosome 18 quantitative-trait locus influencing dyslexia. Nat Genet 2002, 30:86-91.
- Schumacher J, Konig IR, Plume E, Propping P, Warnke A, Manthey M, Duell M, Kleensang A, Repsilber D, Preis M, Remschmidt H, Ziegler A, Nothen MM, Schulte-Korne G: Linkage analyses of chromosomal region 18p11-q12 in dyslexia. Journal of Neural Transmission 2006, 113:417-423.
- Small SA, Gandy S: Sorting through the Cell Biology of Alzheimer's Disease: Intracellular Pathways to Pathogenesis. Neuron 2006, 52:15-31.
- Small SA, Kent K, Pierce A, Leung C, Kang MS, Okada H, Honig L, Vonsattel JP, Kim TW: Model-guided microarray implicates the retromer complex in Alzheimer's disease. Ann Neurol 2005, 58:909-919.
- Scherzer CR, Offe K, Gearing M, Rees HD, Fang G, Heilman CJ, Schaller C, Bujo H, Levey Al, Lah JJ: Loss of apolipoprotein E receptor LRII in Alzheimer disease. Arch Neurol 2004, 61:1200-1205.
- 46. Rogaeva E, Meng Y, Lee JH, Gu Y, Kawarai T, Zou F, Katayama T, Baldwin CT, Cheng R, Hasegawa H, Chen F, Shibata N, Lunetta KL, Pardossi-Piquard R, Bohm C, Wakutani Y, Cupples LA, Cuenco KT, Green RC, Pinessi L, Rainero I, Sorbi S, Bruni A, Duara R, Friedland RP, Inzelberg R, Hampe W, Bujo H, Song YQ, Andersen OM, Willnow TE, Graff-Radford N, Petersen RC, Dickson D, Der SD, Fraser PE, Schmitt-Ulms G, Younkin S, Mayeux R, Farrer LA, St George-Hyslop P: The neuronal sortilin-related receptor SORL1 is genetically associated with Alzheimer disease. Nat Genet 2007. advanced online publication.
- 47. Zalla T, Joyce C, Szoke A, Schurhoff F, Pillon B, Komano O, Perez-Diaz F, Bellivier F, Alter C, Dubois B, Rouillon F, Houde O, Leboyer M: Executive dysfunctions as potential markers of familial vulnerability to bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. Psychiatry Res 2004, 121:207-217.
- Glaser B, Kirov G, Bray NJ, Green E, O'Donovan MC, Craddock N, Owen MJ: Identification of a potential bipolar risk haplotype in the gene encoding the winged-helix transcription factor RFX4. Mol Psychiatry 2005, 10:920-927.
- Norton N, Moskvina V, Morris DW, Bray NJ, Zammit S, Williams NM, Williams HJ, Preece AC, Dwyer S, Wilkinson JC, Spurlock G, Kirov G, Buckland P, Waddington JL, Gill M, Corvin AP, Owen MJ, O'Donovan MC: Evidence that interaction between neuregulin I and its receptor erbB4 increases susceptibility to schizophrenia. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2006, 141:96-101.
- Silberberg G, Darvasi A, Pinkas-Kramarski R, Navon R: The involvement of ErbB4 with schizophrenia: association and expression studies. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 2006, 141:142-148.
- 51. Stefansson H, Sigurdsson E, Steinthorsdottir V, Bjornsdottir S, Sigmundsson T, Ghosh S, Brynjolfsson J, Gunnarsdottir S, Ivarsson O, Chou TT, Hjaltason O, Birgisdottir B, Jonsson H, Gudnadottir VG, Gudmundsdottir E, Bjornsson A, Ingvarsson B, Ingason A, Sigfusson S, Hardardottir H, Harvey RP, Lai D, Zhou M, Brunner D, Mutel V, Gonzalo A, Lemke G, Sainz J, Johannesson G, Andresson T, Gudbjartsson D, Manolescu A, Frigge ML, Gurney ME, Kong A, Gulcher JR, Petursson H, Stefansson K: Neuregulin I and susceptibility to schizophrenia. Am J Hum Genet 2002, 71:877-892.
- Addington AM, Gornick MC, Shaw P, Seal J, Gogtay N, Greenstein D, Clasen L, Coffey M, Gochman P, Long R, Rapoport JL: Neuregulin I (8p12) and childhood-onset schizophrenia: susceptibility haplotypes for diagnosis and brain developmental trajectories. Mol Psychiatry 2006.
- Hahn CG, Wang HY, Cho DS, Talbot K, Gur RE, Berrettini WH, Bakshi K, Kamins J, Borgmann-Winter KE, Siegel SJ, Gallop RJ, Arnold SE:
 Altered neuregulin 1-erbB4 signaling contributes to NMDA receptor hypofunction in schizophrenia. Nat Med 2006, 12:824-828.
- 54. Okada M, Corfas G: Neuregulin1 downregulates postsynaptic GABAA receptors at the hippocampal inhibitory synapse. Hippocampus 2004, 14:337-344.

- Horiuchi Y, Arai M, Niizato K, Iritani S, Noguchi E, Ohtsuki T, Koga M, Kato T, Itokawa M, Arinami T: A polymorphism in the PDLIM5 gene associated with gene expression and schizophrenia. Biol Psychiatry 2006, 59:434-439.
- Green EK, Raybould R, Macgregor S, Gordon-Smith K, Heron J, Hyde S, Grozeva D, Hamshere M, Williams N, Owen MJ, O'Donovan MC, Jones L, Jones I, Kirov G, Craddock N: Operation of the schizophrenia susceptibility gene, neuregulin I, across traditional diagnostic boundaries to increase risk for bipolar disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2005, 62:642-648.
- Kato T, Iwayama Y, Kakiuchi C, Iwamoto K, Yamada K, Minabe Y, Nakamura K, Mori N, Fujii K, Nanko S, Yoshikawa T: Gene expression and association analyses of LIM (PDLIM5) in bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. Mol Psychiatry 2005, 10:1045-1055.
- 58. Wu M, Li Y, Ji C, Xu J, Zheng H, Zou X, Gu S, Lou Y, Xie Y, Mao Y: Cloning and identification of a novel human gene PDLIM5, a homolog of AD-associated neuronal thread protein (AD7c-NTP). DNA Seq 2004, 15:144-147.
- Obst-Pernberg K, Redies C: Cadherins and synaptic specificity. I Neurosci Res 1999, 58:130-138.
- Obst-Pernberg K, Medina L, Redies C: Expression of R-cadherin and N-cadherin by cell groups and fiber tracts in the developing mouse forebrain: relation to the formation of functional circuits. Neuroscience 2001, 106:505-533.
 Cavodeassi F, Carreira-Barbosa F, Young RM, Concha ML, Allende
- Cavodeassi F, Carreira-Barbosa F, Young RM, Concha ML, Allende ML, Houart C, Tada M, Wilson SW: Early stages of zebrafish eye formation require the coordinated activity of Wnt11, Fz5, and the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. Neuron 2005, 47:43-56.
- Feijge MAH, Ansink K, Vanschoonbeek K, Heemskerk JWM: Control of platelet activation by cyclic AMP turnover and cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterase type-3. Biochemical Pharmacology 2004, 67:1559-1567.
- 63. Trudeau MM, Dalton JC, Day JW, Ranum LPW, Meisler MH: Heterozygosity for a protein truncation mutation of sodium channel SCN8A in a patient with cerebellar atrophy, ataxia, and mental retardation. J Med Genet 2006, 43:527-530.
- 64. Millar JK, Pickard BS, Mackie S, James R, Christie S, Buchanan SR, Malloy MP, Chubb JE, Huston E, Baillie GS, Thomson PA, Hill EV, Brandon NJ, Rain JC, Camargo LM, Whiting PJ, Houslay MD, Blackwood DH, Muir WJ, Porteous DJ: DISCI and PDE4B are interacting genetic factors in schizophrenia that regulate cAMP signaling. Science 2005, 310:1187-1191.
- 65. De RR, Garcia AA, Braschi C, Capsoni S, Maffei L, Berardi N, Cattaneo A: Intranasal administration of nerve growth factor (NGF) rescues recognition memory deficits in ADII anti-NGF transgenic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005, 102:3811-3816.
- Capsoni S, Ugolini G, Comparini A, Ruberti F, Berardi N, Cattaneo A: Alzheimer-like neurodegeneration in aged antinerve growth factor transgenic mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000, 97:6826-6831.
- Saunders AJ, Bertram L, Mullin K, Sampson AJ, Latifzai K, Basu S, Jones J, Kinney D, Kenzie-Ingano L, Yu S, Albert MS, Moscarillo TJ, Go RC, Bassett SS, Daly MJ, Laird NM, Wang X, Velicelebi G, Wagner SL, Becker DK, Tanzi RE, Blacker D: Genetic association of Alzheimer's disease with multiple polymorphisms in alpha-2-macroglobulin. Hum Mol Genet 2003, 12:2765-2776.
 Kirschling CM, Kolsch H, Frahnert C, Rao ML, Maier W, Heun R: Pol-
- Kirschling CM, Kolsch H, Frahnert C, Rao ML, Maier W, Heun R: Polymorphism in the BACE gene influences the risk for Alzheimer's disease. Neuroreport 2003, 14:1243-1246.
- Helbecque N, Berr C, Cottel D, Fromentin-David I, Sazdovitch V, Ricolfi F, Ducimetiere P, Di Menza C, Amouyel P: VLDL receptor polymorphism, cognitive impairment, and dementia. Neurology 2001, 56:1183-1188.
- Clark LN, Wang Y, Karlins E, Saito L, Mejia-Santana H, Harris J, Louis ED, Cote LJ, Andrews H, Fahn S, Waters C, Ford B, Frucht S, Ottman R, Marder K: Frequency of LRRK2 mutations in early- and lateonset Parkinson disease. Neurology 2006, 67:1786-1791.
- Rajput A, Dickson DW, Robinson CA, Ross OA, Dachsel JC, Lincoln SJ, Cobb SA, Rajput ML, Farrer MJ: Parkinsonism, Lrrk2 G2019S, and tau neuropathology. Neurology 2006, 67:1506-1508.
- Papassotiropoulos A, Stephan DA, Huentelman MJ, Hoerndli FJ, Craig DW, Pearson JV, Huynh KD, Brunner F, Corneveaux J, Osborne D, Wollmer MA, Aerni A, Coluccia D, Hanggi J, Mondadori CR, Buchmann A, Reiman EM, Caselli RJ, Henke K, de Quervain DJ: Common

- Kibra alleles are associated with human memory performance. Science 2006, 314:475-478.
- Lee JH, Cheng R, Schupf N, Manly J, Lantigua R, Stern Y, Rogaeva E, Wakutani Y, Farrer L, St George-Hyslop P, Mayeux R: The association between genetic variants in SORL1 and Alzheimer disease in an urban, multiethnic, community-based cohort. Arch Neurol 2007, 64:501-506.
- Brophy VH, Ro SK, Rhees BK, Lui LY, Lee JM, Umblas N, Bentley LG, Li J, Cheng S, Browner WS, Erlich HA: Association of phosphodiesterase 4D polymorphisms with ischemic stroke in a US population stratified by hypertension status. Stroke 2006, 37:1385-1390.
- 75. Nilsson-Ardnor S, Wiklund PG, Lindgren P, Nilsson AK, Janunger T, Escher SA, Hallbeck B, Stegmayr B, Asplund K, Holmberg D: Linkage of ischemic stroke to the PDE4D region on 5q in a Swedish population. Stroke 2005, 36:1666-1671.
 76. Nicolae DL, Wen X, Voight BF, Cox NJ: Coverage and character-
- Nicolae DL, Wen X, Voight BF, Cox NJ: Coverage and characteristics of the Affymetrix GeneChip Human Mapping 100K SNP set. PLoS Genet 2006, 2:e67.
- A haplotype map of the human genome. Nature 2005, 437:1299-1320.
- 78. Benjamini Y, Yekutieli D: Quantitative trait Loci analysis using the false discovery rate. Genetics 2005, 171:783-790.
- Dudbridge F, Koeleman BP: Efficient computation of significance levels for multiple associations in large studies of correlated data, including genomewide association studies. Am J Hum Genet 2004, 75:424-435.

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

