



eCOMMONS

Loyola University Chicago
Loyola eCommons

Master's Theses

Theses and Dissertations

1987

Role Conflict, Role Ambiguity, and Burnout among Medical-Surgical Staff Nurses

Wendy Miller
Loyola University Chicago

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.luc.edu/luc_theses



Part of the [Perioperative, Operating Room and Surgical Nursing Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Miller, Wendy, "Role Conflict, Role Ambiguity, and Burnout among Medical-Surgical Staff Nurses" (1987). *Master's Theses*. 3518.

https://ecommons.luc.edu/luc_theses/3518

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses and Dissertations at Loyola eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Master's Theses by an authorized administrator of Loyola eCommons. For more information, please contact ecommons@luc.edu.



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).
Copyright © 1987 Wendy Miller

Role Conflict, Role Ambiguity,
and Burnout Among Medical-Surgical Staff Nurses

by

Wendy Elizabeth Miller

A Thesis submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School
of Loyola University of Chicago in Partial
Fulfillment of the Requirements for
the Degree of Master of
Science in Nursing

November 1987

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author acknowledges and appreciates the guidance she received from the Director of the thesis committee, Karen Haller, R.N., Ph.D, and from Sheila Haas, R.N., Ph.D., and Dona Snyder, R.N., Ph.D., members of the thesis committee. The author is grateful for the ongoing support she received from her parents, William and Carole Miller, who were a source of strength during this research project.

Vita

The author, Wendy Elizabeth Miller, is the daughter of William Mark Miller and Carole (Westerhold) Miller. She was born October 16, 1962, in Berwyn, Illinois.

Her elementary education was obtained in a private school in Hinsdale, Illinois. Secondary education was completed in 1980 in the public schools of Westmont, Illinois.

Miss Miller attended Oral Roberts University Anna Vaughn School of Nursing where she graduated with honors and received a Bachelor of Science degree in nursing in April, 1984. While attending Oral Roberts University, she was active in the Oklahoma Student Nurse's Association and the Anna Vaughn School of Nursing Honor Society.

In June, 1984, Miss Miller assumed the position of professional nurse within a large teaching hospital on a medical patient care unit. In January, 1985, she enrolled in the Marcella Niehoff Graduate School of Nursing of Loyola University of Chicago and will meet the requirements for a Masters degree of Science in Nursing with a focus on nursing management/administration in December, 1987.

Table of Contents

	Page
Acknowledgements	ii
Vita	iii
List of Tables	vi
Contents of Appendices.	vii
Chapter	
I. Introduction.	1
II. Review of Related Literature	8
Role Conflict.	9
Role Ambiguity.	11
Burnout.	11
Research Studies.13
Summary22
III. Method23
Sample.23
Design.24
IV. Results	28
Description of Sample.	28
Reliability of Instruments.29
Dependent Variable Subscale Means.	31
Independent Variable Subscale Means.	34
Pearson Correlation Coefficients.34
Multiple Regression Analysis.37

Table of Contents (cont)

	Page
V. Summary/Discussion.	40
Limitations.	40
Major Findings.	41
Implications for Practice, Theory, Research	45
References	53
Appendix A.	58
B.	61
C.	65
D.	68
E.	71

List of Tables

Table	Page
1. Demographic Measures	30
2. Comparison of subscale scores between Maslach and Jackson's (1981) Study and Present Study	33
3. Correlation Matrix	35
4. Multiple Regression Analysis for the Relationship between Role Variables and Professional Variables and Perceived Nurse Burnout Subscales	39

List of Appendices

Appendix	Page
A. Maslach Burnout Inventory (Human Services Survey)	58
B. Role Conflict/Role Ambiguity Questionnaire.	61
C. Personal and Professional Variables Instrument.	65
D. Cover Letter to Questionnaire Packet.	68
E. Items deleted from Role Conflict/ Role Ambiguity Instrument.	71

CHAPTER I

Introduction

Burnout

Nursing burnout has serious implications for the individual staff nurse, patients, and the larger institutions in which they interact. Initial research (Maslach 1976, 1978a, 1978b; Maslach & Jackson, 1979; Jackson & Maslach, 1980; Maslach & Pines, 1977; Pines & Maslach, 1978; and Freudenberger, 1974, 1977) suggests that burnout can lead to a deterioration in the quality of care or services provided by the staff. Burnout has been linked to job turnover, absenteeism, and low morale. Furthermore, burnout in human service professionals seems to be correlated with various self-reported indices of personal distress, including physical exhaustion, insomnia, increased use of alcohol and drugs, and marital and family problems (Maslach & Jackson, 1978).

Relatively few formal research studies have been conducted with burnout as the dependent variable and nurses as the subjects. The work of Maslach (1976, 1978a) and Maslach and associates (Maslach & Jackson, 1979; Maslach & Pines, 1977) has led to a more

thorough and systematic methodology for examining burnout through the development of a tool which measures experienced burnout in a wide range of human service workers. Maslach and associates found that an individual's self-perception of burnout was affected by such personal variables as one's age, sex, marital status, and attained educational level.

Role Conflict/Role Ambiguity

Kahn (1978) identified role conflict and role ambiguity as two prevalent job-related stressors leading to burnout. Stress is "a perceived dynamic state involving uncertainty about something important" (Schuler, 1982, p.6). The potential for stress according to McGrath (1983) exists when an individual perceives a situation in the environment as presenting demands which threaten to exceed the individual's capabilities and resources for meeting those demands. The work of Cherniss (1980) and Schuler (1982) has shown that work stress may result from or be related to organizational, supervisory, individual, and work factors.

Harris (1984) states that:

Organizational stressors are stressors which occur in the course of employment as a result of the

structure of the employing organization.

Organizational stressors exist along a continuum; that is, too much or too little of a given stressor is perceived as stressful, while the optimal amount is perceived as a satisfier. (p.90)

Kahn, Wolfe, Quinn, Snoek, and Rosenthal (1964) found that two organizational stressors are role conflict and role ambiguity. Role ambiguity occurs for an individual when inadequate and/or obscure information pertaining to the functions and behaviors of one's role prevail. For a person to adequately perform and adhere to the expectations of one's role set, certain detailed information is crucial.

Corwin (1961), Corwin and Taves (1962), Kramer (1969), Kramer, McDonnell and Reed (1972), Benner and Kramer (1972), and Watson (1977) have examined role conflict in nursing. Corwin and Taves (1962) describe three conflicting orientations in the conceptualization of nursing. One orientation emphasizes the calling, another the office, and a third the profession. The calling orientation refers to the altruism in nursing. The office orientation refers to bureaucratization, through which a nurse becomes integrated into a large organizational system, with increasing administrative

and technical duties and less personalized patient orientation. The professional orientation demands that the nurse maintain educational and professional standards through increased reading of professional journals, committee work, and being involved in national and local professional organizations. Corwin and Taves (1962) state that "these ideal conceptions of nursing, referred to as the service, bureaucratic, and professional role conceptualizations, can be held simultaneously and in varying degrees by any one individual or group" (p. 223).

The calling, office and professional orientations in nursing all provide different identities for the individual nurse. Corwin (1961) observed that a nurse is at the same time a hospital employee (or a bureaucrat); a responsible, independent professional; and a public servant (when in a humanitarian or altruistic context). Subsequently, an individual staff nurse needs to espouse loyalty to local administration, to professional principles and organizations, and to the patient. It is apparent that these three orientations to nursing may involve incompatible demands that lead to potential role conflicts.

In the past, researchers have examined the

variables of role conflict/role ambiguity and perceived burnout independently. An exception was Crane and Iwanicki (1986) who studied these three variables with special education teachers. In light of the current and projected nursing shortage, and the consequences of burnout for the individual staff nurse and the organization as a whole, additional research on these variables needs to be conducted with nurses.

This study focuses on two elements of organizational stress, perceived role conflict and ambiguity, and their relation to perceived nurse burnout. The purpose of this study was to examine the relationship of perceived role conflict and ambiguity to perceived levels of burnout among nurses caring for medical-surgical patients.

The research question for this study was: What proportion of the variance in perceived levels of nursing burnout can be accounted for by perceived role conflict and ambiguity? The study hypotheses were: (a) perceived role conflict and ambiguity are significant determinants of perceived burnout; and (b) professional variables, education and tenure in the current nursing position are significant determinants of perceived burnout.

Definition of Terms

Burnout - "emotional exhaustion resulting from the stress of interpersonal contact" (Maslach, 1978, p.56). Burnout was measured as three subscale scores (emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment) on the Maslach Burnout Inventory (Human Services Survey).

Role - "the behaviors, as well as those expected, of an individual in a particular position" (Kahn et al., 1964, p. 13). The role in this study was medical or surgical staff nurse.

Role conflict - "the simultaneous occurrence of two or more sets of pressures that may be inconsistent for the expected role behavior of an individual" (Kahn et al., 1964, p.19). Role conflict was measured as a subscale score on the Role Conflict/Role Ambiguity Questionnaire (Rizzo, House, & Lirtzman, 1970).

Role ambiguity - "the lack of clear, consistent information regarding the rights, duties, and responsibilities of a position, as well as the information on how to fulfill those requirements" (Kahn et al., 1964, p. 22-23). Role ambiguity was measured as a subscale score on the Role

Conflict/Role Ambiguity Questionnaire (Rizzo,
House, & Lirtzman, 1970).

CHAPTER II

Review of the Literature

This study was based on the work of Kahn et al. (1964). They found that individuals who experienced role ambiguity suffered lower job satisfaction, higher job related tension, and lower self-confidence. Similar findings have been observed for individuals experiencing role conflict. Studies (French & Caplan, 1970; Margolis, Kroes, & Quinn, 1974) based upon the work of Kahn et al. (1964) and House and Rizzo (1972) have examined role conflict and role ambiguity in various professions with confirming results.

Kahn (1978) suggested that burnout may be related to role conflict and role ambiguity. The suggestion is based on Kahn's earlier research (1964) in which he stated that the emotional cost of role conflict and ambiguity is perceived as increased job tension, lower job satisfaction, and reduced confidence in the employing organization. Role conflict is also associated with poor interpersonal relations, and reduced trust and respect for close colleagues. Such factors may contribute to a state of perceived burnout in human service professionals. Research examining the

relationship among role conflict and role ambiguity, and perceived burnout has not been conducted using nurses as subjects.

Role Conflict

Kahn et al. (1964) propose that organizations are conceived and exist for a specific purpose. Role performances are a necessity in order to achieve an organizational goal. As organizational roles become more interdependent, conformity in role behavior is desired to achieve the goals. Individuals experience conflict at times of increasingly prescribed behavior (Kahn et al., 1964).

Kahn et al. (1964) designated five components of role conflict: intra-sender, inter-sender, inter-role, person-role, and role overload.

Intra-sender conflict occurs when different prescriptions and proscriptions from a single member of the role set may be incompatible. Inter-sender conflict occurs when pressures from one role sender oppose pressures from one or more other senders. Inter-role conflict involves the role pressures associated when membership in one organization are in conflict with pressures stemming from membership in other groups. A fourth

type of role conflict, person-role conflict occurs when role requirements violate moral values. Role overload is a type of inter-sender conflict in which various role senders may hold legitimate expectations that an individual perform a wide variety of tasks, all of which are mutually compatible in the abstract. However, it may be impossible for that individual to complete all of them within the given time limits. (Kahn et al., 1964, p. 19-20).

Role conflict may be experienced by individuals in any organization. The role that is the focus of this study is that of medical-surgical staff nurses. Role conflict as conceptualized by Kahn et al. (1964) is "the simultaneous occurrence of two or more sets of pressures that may be inconsistent for the expected role behavior of an individual" (p. 19). In a helping profession such as nursing, the nurse is expected to fulfill several roles involving patient, family, physician, organization and colleagues. When nurses act, interact, or react in daily events and relationships they are exhibiting role behavior. Thus, the behavior of a nurse is susceptible to varying degrees of pressure inherent in the nurse's individual and/or organizational environment. These

pressures may produce conflict for the nurse.

Role Ambiguity

Role ambiguity, as stated by Kahn et al. (1964), is a product of three conditions: organizational complexity, managerial styles of dispersing information, and rapid organizational change. The personal adjustment and emotional well being of an individual are affected by perceived ambiguity resulting from such organizational factors. Perceived ambiguity has been conceptualized as being objective and/or subjective in nature. "Objective role ambiguity is usually regarded as a concomitant of the environmental situation in which the target individual finds himself; subjective role ambiguity or experience ambiguity is a perceived state of the individual. Either of these types of ambiguity may result because information is not available or is not adequately communicated" (Kahn et al., 1964, pp. 23-23).

Burnout

Burnout results from the stress that helping professionals experience in their close and continuing encounters with clients and their problems (Maslach & Jackson, 1979). This stress may result in feelings of emotional and physical exhaustion, cynicism, attempts to

distance oneself from patients, and a sense of absence of personal accomplishment. When these orientations replace the original care and commitment that characterized the professional upon entry into the occupation, the person is experiencing several of the phases of burnout.

Maslach and Jackson (1979) describe burnout as a syndrome consisting of three phases. The first phase is emotional exhaustion, a perceived state of emotional and sometimes physical exhaustion. In nursing, the nurse no longer feels that he/she has the emotional energy to give to patients at a level that characterized his or her original service orientation. The second phase, depersonalization, is evidenced by the development of negative, often cynical attitudes towards patients. Depersonalization is a way of distancing oneself from patients, whose problems are perceived as stressful. The third phase is lack of a sense of personal accomplishment. One's self-evaluation in terms of work with patients becomes negative, and the professional is often unhappy with the job and self.

Maslach and Jackson (1979), who developed the instrument for measuring perceived burnout utilized in this study, caution that burnout is the degree to which

professionals perceive themselves to be experiencing the three phases, not a terminal state of being. Further, each phase is somewhat independent. There is no inherent progression from phase one to phase three. All phases may be more or less evident at a particular time. The strength of each phase may also vary. Thus, burnout is a relative condition grounded in perceptions of emotional exhaustion, depersonalization and lack of personal accomplishment, rather than being an absolute "burned out" or "not burned out" condition.

Maslach and Jackson (1979) using a large sample of subjects in the helping professions found that higher burnout scores on one or more of the three phases were correlated with: complaints about work, sense of meaninglessness about one's job, dissatisfaction with co-workers and growth opportunities, desire for isolation, anger targeted at spouse and children, insomnia, increased alcohol and drug consumption, physical illness, absenteeism, and job turnover.

Research Studies

Research centering on role conflict and role ambiguity in relation to burnout in the human service profession is limited. Recently, empirical research (Cherniss, Egnatios, & Walker, 1976) has analyzed role

conflict and ambiguity and perceived burnout. Cherniss et al. (1976) focused on organizational stress factors for novice human service professionals. Included in the study were first and second year professionals in the fields of law, mental health, medicine, and education. Based on in-depth interviews, they concluded that role conflict was an issue of utmost concern. Factors contributing to role conflict were thought to be "social change and ferment that have occurred in the professions during the last fifteen years and the challenge of existing in a bureaucracy" (Cherniss et al., 1976, p. 431). Cherniss et al. (1976) assert that role conflict and ambiguity are contributing agents to depersonalization.

Cronin-Stubbs and Velsor-Friedrich (1981), studied the sources, methods of coping, and responses to professional and personal stress, using a convenience sample of 65 nurses who were attending a stress management workshop. The most frequently reported professional stressors were: interpersonal relationships, work overload and overwhelming responsibilities, high expectations for self and others, aspects of the work itself, sense of time urgency and deadlines, and poor self-esteem. Cronin-Stubbs and

Velsor-Friedrich (1981) also found that of the nurses' most important sources of stress, 62% of the factors were personal stressors, as opposed to only 38% being professional stressors. Examples of personal stressors identified in the study include: interpersonal relationships, family and financial problems, managing multiple and conflicting demands of work and home, poor self-esteem, and life event changes. Although interpersonal relationships were the most frequent source of stress, Cronin-Stubbs and Velsor-Friedrich (1981), report that using support from interpersonal relationships was the most frequently cited coping behavior. Work overload and overwhelming responsibilities, frequently reported professional stressors, are considered by Kahn (1964) to be components of role conflict.

Benne and Bennis (1959a) in their study of role confusion and role conflict in nursing, described three ways in which these conflicts are handled by individual professionals; low motivation, rationalization, and organizing others for resistance. In further research, Benne and Bennis (1956b) also tried to summarize the role of the professional nurse and factors affecting that role. These factors included self-expectations,

the influence of other reference groups, official expectations, and the influence of immediate colleagues.

Corwin (1961) examined the conflicts between the conceptualization of role and discrepancies between the ideal perceptions of role and reality among graduate and student nurses. Corwin (1961) looked at two types of nursing education programs, degree and diploma. His hypothesis was "upon graduation as the students status merges with an administrative office, professional and bureaucratic principles converge, producing conflict in roles" (p. 609). Data were obtained from 201 staff nurses, 23 head nurses, and 71 junior and senior student nurses who responded to questionnaires. He found that conflict in bureaucratic and professional role conceptualization does exist, and that those individuals who hold high bureaucratic and professional role conceptualizations simultaneously, express greater discrepancy between the two. Corwin (1961) also found that baccalaureate degree nurses maintain high professional conceptualizations more frequently than did diploma nurses. Diploma nurses experienced lower professional and service conceptualizations than degree students. Degree nurses maintained their professional conceptualization after graduation, however, service

conceptualizations declined and bureaucratization increased with socialization into the hospital bureaucracy (Watson, 1977).

Kramer (1966, 1969) extending the work of Corwin, conducted a longitudinal study to determine the effect of exposure to bureaucratic employment on the professional values of new collegiate graduate nurses (Kramer, 1974). Kramer (1966, 1969) used a sample of nursing graduates from three California colleges. Using the Corwin professional-bureaucratic role conceptualization and deprivation scales, the group of graduates were tested one month before graduation, three and six months after employment, and again two years later. The initial study indicated that there was a significant increase in bureaucratic role conceptualization after exposure to the employing organization and a continual drop in the professional role conceptualization scores (Kramer, 1969). In the follow-up study two years after employment, there was a slight decrease in the mean bureaucratic scale score, although it was not statistically significant. There was a highly significant decrease in professional role conceptualization scores (Kramer, 1969).

Benner and Kramer (1972) predicted that nurses

working in special care units would have higher professional and bureaucratic role conceptualizations than nurses working on other regular hospital units. This prediction was not supported as a result of their study. However, Benner and Kramer (1972) did find that subjects who dropped out of nursing from both groups had significantly higher professional role conceptualizations.

Rizzo, House and Lirtzman (1970), who developed the instrument for measuring role conflict and role ambiguity utilized in this study, have looked at other variables related to role conflict and ambiguity in a large manufacturing company. They found correlations of role conflict and ambiguity with such variables as worker satisfaction, propensity to leave the organization, anxiety, and worker's perceptions of leadership behavior. Significant correlations ($p < 0.05$) occurred between some demographic variables and role conflict and role ambiguity. For example, education level correlated significantly ($r = 0.18$) with role conflict and role ambiguity in engineering personnel. The demographic variables correlated at a statistically significant level; however, the correlations were of low magnitudes.

Pilkington and Wood (1986) compared registered nurses employed in a large teaching hospital on a full-time, permanent part-time, and registry-basis, on measures of job satisfaction, role ambiguity, role conflict, and propensity to leave the organization. They found that, in all groups, permanent part-time workers experienced more job satisfaction than registry and full-time workers. Role ambiguity was the highest in the registry group. High levels of role conflict, but not role ambiguity were related to decreased job satisfaction. An increased propensity to leave was related to low levels of job satisfaction but not to high role conflict and ambiguity.

Kennedy (1984) examined whether the head nurse experienced role conflict and role ambiguity as a result of the lack of congruence between her perception of her role and the physician's perception of her role. Analysis of the head nurses' total responses to the role conflict/role ambiguity scale showed that, as a group, they did not experience significant ($p = .05$) role conflict or role ambiguity. However, when compared by clinical area, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed significant differences ($p = .05$) in role conflict. No significant differences ($p = .05$) were found in role

ambiguity scores by clinical area. Head nurses of the maternal and child health areas indicated the least amount of role conflict, whereas head nurses in the medical areas indicated the greatest amount of role conflict.

Crane and Iwanicki (1986) examined the relationship of role conflict and role ambiguity to teacher burnout among Connecticut urban special education teachers after controlling for selected personal and professional background variables. Role conflict and ambiguity explained a significant amount of variance in feelings of emotional exhaustion and depersonalization (subscales of burnout). Perceived burnout among teachers was moderate, but the level varied significantly by age, experience, sex and whether an individual taught in a resource room or a self-contained classroom.

Jackson and Schuler (1983) support the view that burnout has negative consequences for the organization and for the individual. They found consequences of employee burnout include withdrawal behavior, interpersonal friction, declining performance, family-life problems, and health-related problems.

Pines and Kanner (1982) in their cross-cultural study of American and Israeli nurses documented the

importance of several situational conditions as antecedents and correlates of burnout. These can be divided into positive or negative conditions. Some examples of positive conditions which are negative correlates of burnout include success, self-actualization, policy influence, tangible rewards, appreciation, opportunities to take time out, good personal relations, support, and a comfortable environment. Some examples of negative conditions, that are positive correlates of burnout, include overload, negative consequences of an error, bureaucratic interference, administrative hassles, guilt, overextension, and conflicting demands.

Numerof and Abrams (1984) investigated the effect of personality, demographic, and professional variables on nurses who experienced stress in a midwestern Catholic hospital. The researchers found registered nurses experienced higher degrees of stress than licensed practical nurses, and that stress was experienced differentially as a function of department (e.g. intensive care and emergency room nurses reported higher levels of stress than pediatric nurses). They also found that stress was inversely related to length of time since graduation, experienced stress was

inversely related to length of time employed at the hospital, and that program type (degree obtained) had no relationship to experienced stress.

Summary

Nursing burnout has serious implications for individual staff nurses, their patients, and the institutions in which they interact. Kahn (1978) has conducted research which suggests that burnout may be related to role conflict and role ambiguity. Many researchers have examined these variables independently. Role conflict and ambiguity, in nursing, have been examined primarily in relation to professional and bureaucratic role conceptions. Crane and Iwanicki (1986) examined the relationship of role conflict and role ambiguity to teacher burnout. The study reported here is a replication of Crane and Iwanicki's (1986) study using a medical-surgical staff nurse population.

CHAPTER III

Methods

In order to examine the relationships between burnout, role ambiguity and role conflict, a convenience sample of medical-surgical staff nurses, with varying educational levels, was selected from Foster G. McGaw Hospital, Loyola University Medical Center. All nurses completed a questionnaire packet containing instruments to obtain data on personal and professional variables, as well as measure perceived levels of nursing burnout and perceived role conflict and ambiguity.

The study hypotheses were: (a) perceived role conflict and ambiguity are significant determinants of perceived burnout; and (b) the professional variables of education and tenure in position (TIP) are significant determinants of perceived burnout.

Sample

Subjects met the following criteria for inclusion in the study: (a) registered nurses who were currently employed and had worked six months or longer on a medical-surgical patient care unit, in a university teaching hospital; and (b) consented to participate by virtue of returning the questionnaires. Out of 211

medical-surgical staff nurses surveyed, a convenience sample of 109 medical-surgical staff nurses was obtained during the data collection period of two weeks.

Design

This was a descriptive, cross-sectional survey examining the relationship of perceived role conflict and ambiguity to perceived levels of burnout among medical-surgical staff nurses.

Burnout was measured by the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) (see Appendix A). The MBI provided a measure of perceived burnout, with three subscales measuring emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment. Each of twenty-two items was rated for frequency. The frequency rating for each item ranged from 1 (a few times a year) to 6 (every day). In addition, the nurses could have checked "never", if the feeling or attitude was never experienced. For each of these subscales, separate scores were derived for the frequency with which the feelings were experienced. Based on the results of the MBI, a nurse was not classified as "burned out" or "not burned out" but was placed on a continuum from "more burned out" to "less burned out". Cronbach's coefficient alpha was done to determine internal

reliability consistency on the total score of each subscale.

Based on administration of this instrument with a wide range of human service professionals, Maslach and Jackson (1981) argued validity for this tool. This contention was based on MBI scores correlating ($r = .41$, $p < 0.01$) with behavioral ratings made independently by someone who knew the individual well.

Construct validity of the MBI was established by using principal component factor analysis (Crane and Iwanicki, 1986). For internal consistency reliability, Crane and Iwanicki (1986) cited an average Cronbach coefficient alpha of .81 which was very close to the Cronbach coefficient alpha of .83 (frequency) cited by Maslach and Jackson (1981).

The second instrument, developed by Rizzo, House, and Lirtzman (1970), ascertained the degree of perceived role conflict and role ambiguity (see Appendix B). Each of twenty-nine items was rated on a scale ranging from 1 to 7. A score of 1 indicated the nurse perceived that the statement was not reflective of her job. A score of 7 indicated strong agreement that the item reflected the nurse's occupation. The role ambiguity items were reverse scored because these items were worded

positively for clarity. Thus, higher scores on the role questionnaire were indicative of higher levels of role conflict and role ambiguity.

The subscales of the role questionnaire (role conflict and role ambiguity) have demonstrated factorial independence and reliability (House and Rizzo, 1972; Keller, 1975). Research conducted by Schuler, Aldag, and Brief (1977) supports the position of Rizzo et al. (1970) that role conflict and role ambiguity are separate yet related constructs of organizational stress. A principal components factor analysis with oblique rotation confirmed that the role questionnaire measured the separate constructs of role conflict and role ambiguity. Cronbach coefficient alpha reliabilities were .85 for the role conflict subscale and .86 for role ambiguity (Crane and Iwanicki, 1986).

A third instrument was developed by the researcher to collect information regarding subject eligibility and personal and demographic characteristics (see Appendix C). Questions elicited data concerning age, type of basic educational preparation, type of patient care unit, tenure in position (TIP) on the patient care unit, and marital status.

Permission to distribute the questionnaires was

sought from the Director of Nursing Research after approval had been granted from the Institutional Review Board. The researcher spoke individually with all the head nurses on the medical-surgical patient care units to explain the purpose of the study and the eligibility criteria, and to answer questions. The questionnaire packet consisting of the three instruments was estimated to take fifteen to twenty minutes to complete. The questionnaire packets with cover letters (see Appendix D) were given to each registered nurse on the unit by the investigator. Each nurse was asked by the researcher to complete the questionnaire packet within a two-week time frame and place them in a collection box on the unit when completed. After two weeks the researcher collected the questionnaire packets. It was explained that the nurse's responses would remain anonymous, participation was voluntary, and that the results of the study would be sent to the hospital.

The consent of the nurse was given by virtue of completing and returning the questionnaire packet. The anonymity of the subjects was protected by not requiring the subject's name on any of the instruments.

CHAPTER IV

Results

Questionnaire packets were distributed to 211 medical-surgical staff nurses. A total of 109 questionnaire packets were completed and included in the sample. The overall response rate was 52%.

Description of Sample

Nearly all of the subjects were female (97%) and the majority (66%) were under 30. The mean age was 29.7 years, the youngest nurse was 22 years old and the oldest nurse in the sample was 50 years old. The sample was almost equally divided in relation to marital status. Fifty-one percent were married, 45% had never been married, and 4% were divorced. Nearly three-quarters (69%) of the sample did not have children. Of those who responded to the question (N=100), 31 subjects had children, 48% had children under the age of five, and 74% had children between the ages of 6 and 18 living at home.

Of the 109 subjects in the sample 44% worked on a medical patient care unit, 49% worked on a surgical patient care unit and 7% reported working on both types of units. Most of the subjects (70%) completed a

bachelor's of science degree in nursing as their basic preparation. Of the remaining subjects, 17% were graduates of degree programs. The other 13% of the subjects completed diploma programs. Of the 99 subjects who responded to the question about advanced education, 17% were taking coursework toward a bachelor of science degree in nursing, 63% had completed a bachelor's degree of science in nursing, 9% were taking coursework towards a master's of science degree in nursing, and one subject (1%) had obtained a master's degree in nursing. The tenure in position on the units on which the subjects worked was 4.3 years. The mean total length of experience the subjects worked as registered nurses was six years (see Table 1).

Reliability of Instruments

Cronbach coefficient alpha reliabilities for the subscales of burnout were as follows: emotional exhaustion, .91; depersonalization, .81; and personal accomplishment, .73. These reliability coefficients were higher than those reported by Maslach and Jackson (1986).

The original Cronbach coefficient alpha in this study for the role ambiguity subscale was .19. Five out of fourteen items were deleted (see Appendix E) and the

Table 1: Demographic Measures (N = 109)

Variable	Mean	S D	Range
1. Age	29.7yr	\pm 7.2yr	22-50yrs
2. TIP	4.3yr	\pm 3.8yr	6 mos-17yrs
3. Total Experience	6.0yr	\pm 6.5yr	6 mos-33yrs

adjusted alpha was .68. The original Cronbach coefficient alpha in this study for the role conflict subscale was .41. Five out of fifteen items were deleted (see Appendix E) and the adjusted alpha was .78.

Four of the five role conflict subscale items deleted pertained to the work of a nurse. It is possible that those items did not accurately reflect the type of conflict a nurse experiences in her work. By deleting those items, the role conflict subscale maintained an acceptable degree of internal reliability.

Out of the five role ambiguity subscale items deleted, two were stated as incomplete sentences. The nurses may not have understood those items which, in turn, lowered the internal consistency reliability the subscale score.

Acceptable adjusted Cronbach coefficient alphas were obtained after deleting items and, therefore, it must be assumed that the instrument still measured role conflict and role ambiguity in this study. There was a lack of correlation ($r = .11$) between role conflict and role ambiguity, which supports the conclusion that they are two separate constructs.

Dependent Variable Subscale Means

Mean scores were obtained on emotional exhaustion,

depersonalization, and personal accomplishment, the three subscales of the Maslach Burnout Inventory. Out of 101 respondents, the mean score for emotional exhaustion (subscale of burnout) was 29.68 with a standard deviation of ± 11.28 . Scores were considered high if they were in the upper third of the normative distribution, average if they were in the middle third, and low if they were in the lower third. The range of scores in the lower third was from 2 to 25. The scores for the middle third ranged from 25-35 and the scores in the upper third ranged from 35-48. These scores appear higher than those reported by Maslach and Jackson (1981) in a sample ($N = 1104$) consisting of physicians and nurses (see Table 2).

The mean score for depersonalization was 10.23 (± 6.96). The range of scores in the lower third of the distribution was from zero to six. The range of scores in the middle third was from 6 to 12 and the range was from 13 to 27 for those in the upper third of the distribution. These scores are slightly higher than those reported by Maslach and Jackson (1981) for the subscale depersonalization (see Table 2).

The mean score for personal accomplishment was 35.76 (± 6.39). Personal accomplishment is inversely

TABLE 2: Comparison of Subscale Scores between Maslach and Jackson's (1981) study (N = 1104) and present study (N = 101).

MBI Subscales	Range of Experienced Burnout			X	SD
	Low (lower third)	Average (middle third)	High (upper third)		
Maslach & Jackson					
EE ¹	< 18	19-26	> 27	22.19	+ 9.53
DP	< 5	6-9	> 10	7.12	+ 5.22
PA	> 40	39-34	< 33	36.53	+ 7.34
Present Study					
EE	< 25	25-35	> 35	29.68	+11.28
DP	< 6	6-12	> 13	10.23	+ 6.96
PA ²	> 39	39-34	< 34	35.76	+ 6.39

- 1 (EE) Emotional Exhaustion
 (DP) Depersonalization
 (PA) Personal Accomplishment

2 Scores reported in reverse to indicate level of burnout.

related to burnout. The lower third range of scores was from 12 to 34. The middle range of scores was from 34 to 39, and the range was from 39 to 48 for those subjects in the upper third of the distribution. These scores closely matched those found by Maslach and Jackson (1981) with the subscale of personal accomplishment (see Table 2).

Independent Variable Subscale Means

The mean score (N = 109) for the adjusted role conflict subscale was 58.53 (+/- 7.97). A total of 10 items was used to measure role conflict with a possible item response range of one to seven.

The mean score (N = 109) for the adjusted role ambiguity subscale was 54.24 (+/- 6.44). On average, respondents selected response options above the mid-range on the Likert-type scales. This may suggest a higher level of role conflict and role ambiguity as measured by the adjusted tool.

Pearson Correlation Coefficients

Pearson correlation coefficients were conducted on the independent variables with each other and with the dependent variables (see Table 3). As previously discussed, there was a lack of correlation between the two independent variables, role conflict and role

Table 3: Correlation Matrix

DP ¹						
EE	0.72					
PA	-0.33	-0.21				
RC	0.21	0.29	-0.03			
RA	-0.16	-0.27	0.13	0.11		
TIP	-0.08	-0.07	-0.15	-0.26	0.05	
ED	-0.01	-0.07	0.04	0.09	-0.13	----
	DP	EE	PA	RC	RA	TIP

- 1 (DP) Depersonalization
 (EE) Emotional Exhaustion
 (PA) Personal Accomplishment
 (RC) Role Conflict
 (RA) Role Ambiguity
 (TIP) Tenure in Position
 (ED) Education

ambiguity ($\underline{r} = .11$).

The dependent variable depersonalization correlated significantly ($\underline{r} = .21$) with the independent variable role conflict but not with role ambiguity ($\underline{r} = .16$). A significant correlation occurred between emotional exhaustion and role conflict ($\underline{r} = .29$). Another significant correlation occurred between emotional exhaustion and role ambiguity, although this was an inverse relationship ($\underline{r} = -.27$). Another statistically significant inverse relationship which occurred, was between role conflict and length of employment on the unit ($\underline{r} = -.26$). The strongest correlation occurred between two dependent variables: depersonalization and emotional exhaustion. The correlation was .72 in this sample; however the authors of the burnout tool, Maslach and Jackson (1981), reported a correlation of .44. Whereas a correlation of .44 suggests that depersonalization and emotional exhaustion are separate, but related, aspects of burnout, a correlation of .72 is highly suggestive that these two aspects of burnout are actually measuring the same attribute. With the exception of depersonalization and emotional exhaustion, there were no variables that were correlated at .35 or more. Therefore, there is assumed to be no

multicollinearity between variables entered in each regression equation.

Multiple Regression Analysis

In order to determine what proportion of the variance in burnout is accounted for by role conflict, role ambiguity and the professional variables of education and tenure in position (TIP), a multiple regression analysis was conducted. Three regression analyses were conducted using depersonalization, emotional exhaustion, and personal accomplishment scores on the MBI as dependent variables. The independent variables of role conflict, role ambiguity, education, and length of employment on the unit were entered into the regression equation allowing the computer to select the order of entry. This allowed the independent variable, which accounted for the most variance in the criterion, to enter the equation first, and the consecutive predictor to enter if it accounted for additional significant variance. An F-ratio was used to establish whether or not each entering variable accounted for a significant increase in explained variance in the dependent variable.

The results of the multiple regression analyses for the relationship between the independent variables and

perceived nurse burnout are presented in Table 4. Role conflict and role ambiguity accounted for 7% of the variance in depersonalization. Role conflict and role ambiguity explained a statistically significant amount of variance (17%) in the emotional exhaustion subscale of the Maslach Burnout Inventory. Role conflict and role ambiguity each explained about half of the variance accounted for: role conflict (8.5%); role ambiguity (8.6%). Education accounted for 2.2% of the variance in emotional exhaustion. Length of employment on the unit and role ambiguity accounted for 4.6% of the variance in the personal accomplishment subscale.

TABLE 4: Multiple Regression Analysis for the Relationship between Role Variables and Professional Variables and Perceived Nurse Burnout Subscales.

Nurses (N = 109)

Step	Variable Entered	R ²	Increase in R ²	F
Depersonalization				
1.	Role Conflict	.0425		
2.	Role Ambiguity	.0736	.0311	3.89**
Emotional Exhaustion				
1.	Role Conflict	.0853		
2.	Role Ambiguity	.1713	.0860	
3.	Education	.1931	.0218	7.74***
Personal Accomplishment				
1.	TIP	.0239		
2.	Role Ambiguity	.0458	.0219	2.25*

*p = .14; **p <.05; ***p <.01.

NB: Variables which did not account for sufficient additional variance were not listed.

CHAPTER V

Summary/Discussion

Limitations

The major limitation in this study was that the questionnaire packet was only administered once to the subjects. Because there was no follow-up, the most severely "burned out" nurses may not have completed the questionnaire packet.

Another limitation in this study was the lack of random sampling. A convenience sample was chosen in light of the descriptive nature of the study and the limited time frame in which to conduct the study.

A third limitation in this study was that not all confounding independent variables were controlled. For example, the staff nurse's relationship with the head nurse has been cited as a major cause of role ambiguity. This variable was not measured in the current study.

The current nursing shortage was a historical threat to the internal validity of this study in that it's impact on staffing levels on each of the units may have contributed to the nurse's perceptions of burnout. This potential impact was not directly measured.

A final limitation, which was also a historical

threat to the internal validity of this study, was the introduction of a total hospital information system on the units during the data collection time period. Although the direct effect of this occurrence was not measured, it was likely that the new computer system had an impact on the nurse's perception of burnout. Many nurses commented to the investigator that the implementation of the computer was causing a great deal of frustration in their work.

Major Findings

The sample, as a whole, experienced high levels of emotional exhaustion, moderately high levels of depersonalization, and similar levels of personal accomplishment when compared to Maslach and Jackson's (1981) sample (N = 1104) of physicians and nurses. Perceived levels of role conflict and role ambiguity among the sample were also high, evidenced by respondents selecting options on items above the mid-range on the Likert-type scale.

The strongest correlations occurred between depersonalization and role conflict ($r = .21$) and emotional exhaustion and role conflict ($r = .29$), however even these were not very strong correlations. This lack of strong correlations could be a result of

the Role Conflict/Role Ambiguity Questionnaire (Rizzo, House, & Lirtzman, 1970) not accurately reflecting and measuring the conflict and ambiguity experienced by nurses. Two inverse relationships were noted. Emotional exhaustion inversely correlated with role ambiguity ($r = -.27$), and role conflict inversely correlated with length of employment on the unit ($r = -.26$). The former is not explainable, the latter inverse correlations may be related to nurses initially experiencing role conflict upon employment but then gradually, the longer they work on their unit, the more they are able to resolve the conflicts within the role. Interestingly, depersonalization and emotional exhaustion were highly correlated ($r = .72$).

Role conflict and role ambiguity accounted for the highest percentage of the variance in emotional exhaustion (17%) when compared to the other subscales of burnout. Combined, role conflict and role ambiguity accounted for 7% of the variance in depersonalization. This finding is interesting in light of the high correlation found between the two dependent variables, emotional exhaustion and depersonalization. Although these dependent variables are highly correlated, role conflict and ambiguity account for quite different

amounts of the variance in each. This suggests that there may be value in treating emotional exhaustion and depersonalization as two separate attributes.

Role ambiguity was the only role variable which accounted for any statistically significant amount of variance in personal accomplishment (2%). The professional variables of education and length of employment on the unit only entered two regression analyses. Education accounted for an additional 2% of the variance in emotional exhaustion, and length of employment on the unit accounted for 2% of the variance in personal accomplishment.

The findings of this study were similar to Crane and Iwanicki (1986), but role conflict and role ambiguity did not explain as much of the variance in burnout as found in their study of special education teachers (N = 443). Crane and Iwanicki (1986) found that role conflict and ambiguity combined accounted for the following amounts of variance in the subscales of burnout: emotional exhaustion, 14%; depersonalization, 16%; personal accomplishment, 7% (role ambiguity only). In the current study, role conflict and role ambiguity accounted for a higher proportion of the variance in emotional exhaustion than found by Crane and Iwanicki,

with less variance explained in depersonalization, and even less explained in personal accomplishment. These results support earlier studies which indicate that role stressors vary in importance and degree depending on the specific occupation held and job outcome measured (Keller, 1975). This also indicates that researchers have not identified and measured all variables relevant to burnout. The results also indicate that the emotional exhaustion aspect of burnout as it relates to role conflict and ambiguity may be more critical for medical-surgical nurses than for special education teachers.

The current study's findings confirm those of Cherniss et al.'s. (1976) findings that role conflict and role ambiguity are contributing agents to depersonalization. Cronin-Stubbs and Velsor-Friedrich (1981) found that work overload, which is one of the five types of role conflict described by Kahn et al. (1964) is one of the most frequently self-reported professional stressors. Their finding is consistent with the high levels of role conflict reported by the subjects in this sample. The current study's findings that role conflict is correlated with the emotional exhaustion ($r = .29$) and depersonalization ($r = .21$)

subscales of burnout, is consistent with the findings of Pines and Kanner (1982) in which they report that conflicting demands in the workplace described by American and Israeli nurses, are positive correlates of burnout.

Rizzo, House, and Lirtzman (1970) reported that level of education correlated with role conflict and role ambiguity among engineering personnel. The findings in this study show that taking coursework towards a bachelor of science degree in nursing correlates ($r = .21$) with role ambiguity, but not with role conflict ($r = -.12$). However, education did account for 2% of the variance in the emotional exhaustion subscale of burnout.

Implications for Practice, Theory and Research

The results of this study indicate that the subjects in this sample experienced high levels of depersonalization, emotional exhaustion, role conflict and role ambiguity, and only average levels of feelings of personal accomplishment. Considering that this was a convenience sample and only the less "burned-out" nurses may have returned the questionnaires, this is a significant finding. The effects of burnout as cited by Maslach (1976, 1978a, 1978b), Freudemberger (1974), and

Maslach and Jackson (1979), are: physical exhaustion, insomnia, increased use of alcohol and drugs, marital and family problems, deterioration in the quality of patient care, job turnover, absenteeism, and low morale. As cited by Kahn et al. (1964), French and Kaplan (1979), Margolis, Kroes and Quinn (1974), and House and Rizzo (1972), the effects of role conflict and ambiguity are: low job satisfaction, increased job-related tension, decreased self-confidence, decreased confidence in employing organizations, poor interpersonal relationships, and decreased trust and respect for colleagues. This is an alarming litany of effects confronting staff nurses, patients, and the organizations in which they interact. The above effects of high levels of burnout, role conflict and ambiguity may be compounded by the current nursing shortage.

The nursing profession must focus its energies on preventing and treating burnout and role conflict and ambiguity. Based on the work of Kramer (1974), researchers Jackson and Schuler (1983) suggest anticipatory socialization programs to decrease the conflict that results from the gap between the expectations of nurses when they begin their first job and the realities they actually encounter. Jackson and

Schuler (1983) also suggest allowing nurses increased participation in decision making, in order to enable the nurse to be able to control or predict outcomes. They also recommend increasing the amount of feedback given by managers related to staff nurse performance. If the nursing profession were to adopt principles of Kramer's (1974) anticipatory socialization program, it could conceivably enable professional nurses to deal more effectively with conflicting professional and bureaucratic orientations, and thereby decrease levels of perceived burnout.

Kahn (1978) recommends, to reduce burnout, that organizations

shorten the number of hours a day that professionals are directly involved with clients; reduce the number of days a year that professionals are directly involved with clients; and increase the social support on the job, i.e. letting colleagues and those we supervise know that we recognize and appreciate the strenuous situations with which they are working (p.62-63).

These suggestions are not the antithesis to keeping the nurse involved in patient care. For example, the number of hours a nurse spends in direct patient care

activities can be decreased by allowing the nurse time to participate in care planning, on committees, and in research activities. The number of days that a nurse spends in direct patient care activities can be alleviated by allowing the nurse to attend professional meetings and continuing education conferences. All these approaches lessen the amount of time the nurse spends in direct patient care activities, while at the same time facilitating the nurse's commitment to quality patient care.

Prevention is the best method of stress reduction. With the growing emphasis on health promotion, the nursing profession should assume a more proactive stand in safeguarding the physical and emotional well-being of its own members. The results of this study indicate the need for intervention designed to assist nurses in dealing with stress in their roles.

The results of the current study showed that role conflict and ambiguity accounted for 17% of the variance in emotional exhaustion and 7% of the variance in depersonalization. Only 2% of the variance in personal accomplishment was accounted for by role ambiguity. These findings are all statistically significant, however they don't account for a high proportion of the

variance in burnout. It is possible that the role conflict and ambiguity tool did not reflect and thus accurately measure the types of conflict and ambiguity experienced by nurses. Support of this position is obtained by looking at the original reliability scores on the role conflict and ambiguity scales with the current data: .41 and .18 respectively. Nine out of twenty-nine items had to be deleted in order to achieve acceptable reliability coefficients. There is a need for a tool which has good internal consistency reliability and construct validity for measuring these concepts in nursing. It is feasible that such a tool may then explain more of the variance in burnout among nurses. Additional research should be conducted with such a tool to test that possibility. In the future, other nurses who use the Rizzo, House, and Lirtzman's (1970) Role Conflict/Role Ambiguity Questionnaire should be rigorous in reporting its reliability and validity scores.

Additional research needs to be conducted to examine the relationship between other personal and professional variables, such as head nurse relationship, and experienced burnout among nurses. This needs to be done in order to determine which variables account for

the most variance in burnout. When those variables have been identified, strategies to deal with them can be developed.

Those conducting future research need to consider the appropriateness of Maslach and Jackson's (1979) conceptual framework for burnout. Maslach and Jackson describe burnout as occurring in three phases, thereby implying a developmental progression. It may be most appropriate to conceptualize burnout as a construct with three fairly independent components, which don't follow any particular sequence. The current study did not test this conceptualization, but future investigators should carefully consider reframing the problem theoretically.

The correlations which occurred in the present study between depersonalization and role conflict ($r = .21$), emotional exhaustion and role conflict ($r = .29$), and role conflict and length of employment on the unit ($r = -.26$) were expected and consistent with previous findings. The inverse relationship found between emotional exhaustion and role ambiguity ($r = -.27$) is difficult to explain logically, and this finding may be related to the instrument used to measure role conflict and role ambiguity. The inverse relationship which occurred between length of employment on the unit and

personal accomplishment ($r = -.15$) may indicate that a newly employed nurse derives a higher sense of personal accomplishment in the beginning of employment; however, the longer the nurse works on the unit, the less satisfying the work becomes. This finding may tie in with the work of Corwin (1961) who found that a newly employed nurse has a higher professional orientation but, after a period of time, bureaucratization increases. This may account for why a nurse initially derives a sense of personal accomplishment in patient care while maintaining a more professional orientation, but as time goes on, the staff nurse becomes more socialized into the hospital bureaucracy and less in tune with the professional orientation.

In conclusion, it is important to note that because nursing is a human service profession, nurses are prone to the effects of stress. The stress itself is not inherently beneficial or harmful, but may become so depending on how the nurse perceives and deals with the stress. The nursing profession needs to continue research efforts in order to expand what is known about burnout and its prevention and treatment. The results of this and other studies will improve our understanding of the sources of burnout for staff nurses so that

methods can be developed to deal with variables influencing burnout and eventually reduce this problem among nurses.

References

- Benne, K.D., & Bennis, W. (1959a). Role confusion and conflict in nursing, the role of the professional nurse. American Journal of Nursing, 59, 196-198.
- Benne, K.D., & Bennis, W. (1959b). Role confusion and conflict in nursing, what is real nursing? American Journal of Nursing, 59, 380-383.
- Benner, P. & Kramer, M. (1972). Role conceptions and integrative role behavior of nurses in special care and regular hospital nursing units. Nursing Research, 21, 20-29.
- Cherniss, C., Egnatios, E. & Walker, J. (1976). Job stress and career development in new public professionals. Professional Psychology, 7, 428-430.
- Corwin, R.C. (1961). The professional employee: a study of conflict in nursing roles. American Journal of Sociology, 66, 604-615.
- Corwin, R.G., & Taves, M.J. (1962). Some concomitants of bureaucratic and professional conceptions of the nurse role. Nursing Research, 2, 223-227.
- Crane, S.J., & Iwanicki, E.F. (1986). Perceived role conflict, role ambiguity, and burnout among special education teachers. Remedial and Special Education 7(2), 24-31.

- Cronin-Stubbs, D., & Velsor-Friedrich, B. (1981).
Professional and personal stress: A survey.
Nursing Leadership, 4(1), 19-26.
- French, J.R.P. Jr., & Caplan, R.D. (1970). Psychosocial
factors in Coronary heart disease. Industrial
Medicine, 39(9), 31-45.
- Freudenberger, H. (1974). Staff burnout. Journal of
Social Issues, 30, 159-165.
- Freudenberger, H. (1977). Burnout: the organizational
menace. Training and Development Journal, 31, 27-
28.
- Harris, J.S. (1984, Jan/Feb). Stressors and stress in
critical care. Critical Care Nurse, pp.84-97.
- House, R.J., & Rizzo, J.R. (1972). Role conflict and
ambiguity as critical variables in a model of
organizational behavior. Organizational Behavior
and Human Performance, 7, 467-505.
- Jackson, S. & Schuler, R. (1983). Preventing employee
burnout. Personnel, 60(2), 58-68.
- Kahn, R. (1978, Spring). Job burnout: Prevention and
remedies. Public Welfare, 36, 61-63.
- Kahn, R. L., Wolfe, D.M., Quinn, R.P., Snoek, J.D., &
Rosenthal, R.A. (1964). Organization Stress:
Studies in Role Conflict and Ambiguity. New York:

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- Keller, R.T. (1975). Role conflict and ambiguity:
Correlates with job satisfaction and values.
Personnel Psychology, 28, 57-64.
- Kennedy, T.E. (1984). Perceptions of the head nurse
role: Role conflict and role ambiguity. Military
Medicine, 149, 266-270.
- Kramer, M. (1966). New graduate speaks. American
Journal of Nursing, 66, 2420-2424.
- Kramer, M. (1969). The new graduate speaks - again.
American Journal of Nursing, 69, 1903-1907.
- Kramer, M. (1974). Reality Shock, Why Nurses Leave
Nursing. St. Louis; C.V. Mosby Company.
- Kramer, M., McDonnell, C., & Reed, J. (1972). Self-
actualization and role adaptation of baccalaureate
degree nurses. Nursing Research, 21, 111-123.
- Margolis, B.L., Kroes, W.M., & Quinn, R.P. (1974). Job
stress: An unlisted occupational hazard. Journal
of Occupational Medicine, 16, 659-661.
- Maslach, C. (1976). Burned-out. Human Behavior, 5(9),
16-22.
- Maslach, C. (1978a). Job burnout: How people cope.
Public Welfare, 36, 56-58.
- Maslach, C. (1978b). The client role in staff burnout.

Journal of Social Issues, 34, 11-12.

Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. (1979). Burned out cops and their families. Psychology Today, 12, (12), 59-62.

Maslach, C., & Jackson, S. (1981). The measurement of experienced burnout. Journal of Occupational Behavior, 2, 99-113.

Maslach, C., & Pines, A. (1977). The burnout syndrome in the day care setting. Child Care Quarterly, 6(2), 100-113.

McGrath, J.E. (1983). Stress and behavior in organizations. In M.D. Dunnette (Ed.), Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology. (pp.1351-1395). New York: Wiley.

Numerof, R., & Abrams, M. (1984, Spring). Sources of stress among nurses: An empirical investigation. Journal of Human Stress, pp. 88-99.

Pilkington, W., & Wood, J. (1986). Job satisfaction, role conflict and role ambiguity -- A study of hospital nurses. Australian Journal of Advanced Nursing, 3(3), 3-14.

Pines, A., & Kanner, A. (1982). Nurses' burnout: Lack of positive conditions and presence of negative conditions as two independent sources of stress. Journal of Psychosocial Nursing Mental Health

Services, 20(8), 30-35.

Pines, A., & Maslach, C. (1978). Characteristics of staff burnout in mental health settings. Hospital and Community Psychiatry, 29, 233-237.

Rizzo, J.R., House, R.J., and Lirtzman, S.I. (1970). Role conflict and ambiguity in complex organizations. Administrative Science Quarterly, 15, 150-163.

Schuler, R.S. (1982). An integrative transactional process model of stress in organizations. Journal of Occupational Behavior, 3, 5-19.

Schuler, R.S., Aldag, R.J., & Brief, A.P. (1977). Role conflict and ambiguity: A scale analysis. Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 20, 111-128.

Stout, J.K., & Posner, J.L. (1984). Stress, role ambiguity, and role conflict. Psychological Reports, 55, 747-753.

Watson, J. (1977). Role conflict in nursing. Supervisor Nurse, 8(7), 40-50.

APPENDIX A

Christina Maslach • Susan E. Jackson

Human Services Survey

The purpose of this survey is to discover how various persons in the human services or helping professions view their jobs and the people with whom they work closely. Because persons in a wide variety of occupations will answer this survey, it uses the term *recipients* to refer to the people for whom you provide your service, care, treatment, or instruction. When answering this survey please think of these people as recipients of the service you provide, even though you may use another term in your work.

On the following page there are 22 statements of job-related feelings. Please read each statement carefully and decide if you ever feel this way *about your job*. If you have *never* had this feeling, write a "0" (zero) before the statement. If you have had this feeling, indicate *how often* you feel it by writing the number (from 1 to 6) that best describes how frequently you feel that way. An example is shown below.

Example:

HOW OFTEN:	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Never	A few times a year or less	Once a month or less	A few times a month	Once a week	A few times a week	Every day

HOW OFTEN

0 - 6

Statement:

_____ I feel depressed at work.

If you *never* feel depressed at work, you would write the number "0" (zero) under the heading "HOW OFTEN." If you *rarely* feel depressed at work (a few times a year or less), you would write the number "1." If your feelings of depression are fairly frequent (a few times a week, but not daily) you would write a "5."



Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc.
577 College Avenue • Palo Alto, CA 94306

Copyright © 1986 Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc. All rights reserved. No portion of this material may be reproduced by any means without written permission of the Publisher. Printed in the U.S.A.

Reproduced by special permission of the publisher.

3463

Human Services Survey

HOW OFTEN:	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
	Never	A few times a year or less	Once a month or less	A few times a month	Once a week	A few times a week	Every day

HOW OFTEN
0 - 6

Statements:

1. _____ I feel emotionally drained from my work.
2. _____ I feel used up at the end of the workday.
3. _____ I feel fatigued when I get up in the morning and have to face another day on the job.
4. _____ I can easily understand how my recipients feel about things.
5. _____ I feel I treat some recipients as if they were impersonal objects.
6. _____ Working with people all day is really a strain for me.
7. _____ I deal very effectively with the problems of my recipients.
8. _____ I feel burned out from my work.
9. _____ I feel I'm positively influencing other people's lives through my work.
10. _____ I've become more callous toward people since I took this job.
11. _____ I worry that this job is hardening me emotionally.
12. _____ I feel very energetic.
13. _____ I feel frustrated by my job.
14. _____ I feel I'm working too hard on my job.
15. _____ I don't really care what happens to some recipients.
16. _____ Working with people directly puts too much stress on me.
17. _____ I can easily create a relaxed atmosphere with my recipients.
18. _____ I feel exhilarated after working closely with my recipients.
19. _____ I have accomplished many worthwhile things in this job.
20. _____ I feel like I'm at the end of my rope.
21. _____ In my work, I deal with emotional problems very calmly.
22. _____ I feel recipients blame me for some of their problems.

(Administrative use only)

cat.

cat.

cat.

EE: _____ DP: _____ PA: _____

APPENDIX B

ROLE CONFLICT/ROLE AMBIGUITY QUESTIONNAIRE

by Rizzo, J.R., House, R.J., and Lirtzman, S.I.

For each of the items below, please circle the number on the scale which represents the extent to which the condition exists for you in your total role in your work setting.

1. I have enough time to complete my work.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
2. I feel certain about how much authority I have.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
3. I perform tasks that are too easy or boring.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
4. Clear, planned goals and objectives for my job.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
5. I have to do things that should be done differently.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
6. Lack of policies and guidelines to help me.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
7. I am able to act the same regardless of the group I am with.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
8. I am corrected or rewarded when I really don't expect it.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
9. I work under incompatible policies and guidelines.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
10. I know that I have divided my time properly.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
11. I receive an assignment without the manpower to complete it.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
12. I know what my responsibilities are.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
13. I have to buck a rule or policy in order to carry

- out an assignment.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
14. I have to "feel my way" in performing my duties.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
15. I receive assignments that are within my training and capability.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
16. I feel certain how I will be evaluated for a raise or a promotion.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
17. I have just the right amount of work to do.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
18. I work with two or more groups who operate quite differently.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
19. I know exactly what is expected of me.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
20. I receive incompatible requests from two or more people.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
21. I am uncertain as to how my job is linked.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
22. I do things that are apt to be accepted by one person and not accepted by others.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
23. I am told how well I am doing my job.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
24. I receive an assignment without adequate resources and materials to execute it.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
25. Explanation is clear of what has to be done.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
26. I work on unnecessary things.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
27. I have to work under vague directives or orders.
(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)
28. I perform work that suits my values.

(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)

29. I do not know if my work will be acceptable to my boss.

(always false) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 (always true)

APPENDIX C

PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL VARIABLES INSTRUMENT

1. Educational Background that prepared you to take
State Board Exams:

- A) _____ Associate degree in nursing
- B) _____ Diploma degree in nursing
- C) _____ Bachelor's of Science degree in nursing

2. Highest Nursing Education Obtained

- A) _____ Coursework towards a BSN
- B) _____ BSN
- C) _____ Coursework towards a Master's of Science
degree in nursing
- D) _____ MSN
- E) _____ Coursework towards a Ph.D. in nursing
- F) _____ Ph.D. in nursing

3. Length of Employment as an RN on Present Unit in
Years and Months

- A) _____ Years
- B) _____ Months

4. Total Experience as an RN in Years and Months
(include previous employment as an RN)

- A) _____ Years
- B) _____ Months

5. Employment Status (numbers of hours worked per pay
period)

- A) _____

6. Marital Status

- A) _____ Never married
- B) _____ Married
- C) _____ Separated
- D) _____ Divorced
- E) _____ Widowed

7. How many children do you have living with you?

_____ (Actual number, write zero if none)

8. How many of your children, who are living with you, are under five years of age?

_____ (Actual number, write zero if none)

9. How many of your children, who are living with you, are between the ages of 6 and 18?

_____ (Actual number, write zero if none)

10. Sex

- A) _____ Female
- B) _____ Male

11. Age

- A) _____ Years

12. Type of Unit presently working on

- A) _____ Medical
- B) _____ Surgical

APPENDIX D

LOYOLA UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO



THE MARCELLA NIEHOFF SCHOOL OF NURSING

6525 North Sheridan Road, Chicago, Illinois 60626-5385 * (312) 274-3000

August 13, 1987

Dear Colleague:

I am a graduate nursing student at Loyola University, in the nursing management/administration track. I am conducting a research study to examine the relationship between role conflict, role ambiguity and nursing burnout. I am asking medical-surgical staff nurses to complete a questionnaire packet consisting of three instruments. The first instrument obtains information about personal, professional, and demographic variables. The second instrument labeled Human Services Survey requests information about burnout. The third instrument asks for information about role conflict and role ambiguity. Your participation would be greatly appreciated.

All answers to the questionnaire will remain anonymous and your name is not required on any of the instruments. Your participation is voluntary, and consent to participate is assumed when you complete and return the questionnaire packet. When you have completed the questionnaire packet, please place it in the research collection box located on your unit marked

"Return Questionnaire Packets Here". Please have the questionnaire packets completed by August 27, 1987. Each participating unit will receive a final copy of the study results upon completion of the project.

I thank you in advance for your participation.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Wendy Miller".

Wendy Miller, R.N., B.S.N.

APPENDIX E

Role Conflict:

1. I have enough time to complete my work.
7. I am able to act the same regardless of the group I am with.
15. I receive assignments that are within my training and capability.
17. I have just the right amount of work to do.
28. I perform work that suits my values.

Role Ambiguity:

6. Lack of policies and guidelines to help me.
14. I have to "feel my way" in performing my duties.
21. I am uncertain as to how my job is linked.
27. I have to work under vague directives or orders.
29. I do not always know if my work will be acceptable to my boss.

APPROVAL SHEET

The thesis submitted by Wendy Elizabeth Miller has been read and approved by the following committee:

Karen Haller, R.N., Ph.D., Director
Associate Professor, Nursing, Loyola

Sheila Haas, R.N., Ph.D.
Assistant Professor, Nursing, Loyola

Dona Snyder, R.N., Ph.D.
Associate Professor, Nursing, Loyola

The following copies have been examined by the director of the thesis and the signature which appears below verifies the fact that any necessary changes have been incorporated and that the thesis is now given final approval by the Committee with reference to content and form.

The thesis is therefore accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Nursing.

12/2/87
Date


Director's Signature