## Dispersion spectra of FCC metals (Pt, Pb and Th)

## R. P. S. RATHORE

### Department of Physics, R. B. S. College, Agra

## (Received 13 April 1976)

A new five parameter model for the lattice dynamical behaviour of cubic metals (FCC) have been developed. The ion-ion interactions, being purely central, have been described in terms of radial and transversal force components associated with first and second neighbouring ions. An equilibrium condition has been derived in terms of the transversal components. The ion-electron--ion interactions have been included on the basis of Sharma-Joshi scheme, which can be handled easily computationally. The dispersion frequencies for platinum, lead and thorium (FCC) have been computed on the basis of the model.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the recent past DeLaunay (1956), Clark *et al* (1964). Yuen & Varshni (1967), Varshni & Yuen (1968) and Konti (1971) have examined the feasibility of assuming the angular forces in order to account the Cauchy's discrepency. Behari & Tripathi (1969, 1970, 1971, 1972) have combined the ion-electron-ion interactions with the angular interactions to explain the lattice dynamical behaviour of the metals.

The dispersion spectra of the metals (platinum, lead and thorium) considered here require more successful explanation of their crystal-dynamics. It is also worth-realising that angular forces were thought of as forces arising from interbond interactions between covalent bonds and hence there is hardly any justification in talking about angular forces in case of metals.

In view of this we have developed a five parameter model containing radial and transversal central interaction terms for nearest and next nearest neighbouring ions — An equilibrium condition has been derived considering total pressure due to ions and electrons. The ion-electron-ion interactions have been included on the basis of simple Sharma-Joshi (1963) scheme.

## 2. THEORY

The central pair potential (CPP) model developed here expresses the total potential energy  $(\phi)$  of the crystal as the sum of Coulombian ion-ion energy  $(\phi^*)$  and ion-electron ion energy  $(\phi^*)$  i.e.,

The ion-ion energy  $(\phi)$  is the sum of total potential due to nearest and nextnearest neighbouring ions – Thus,

The crystal exist in static equilibrium under zero external stress. Considering the ions as the points located in the sea of electrons, the first derivative of total energy  $(\phi)$  with respect to atomic volume (1') should vanish, i.e.,

on evaluation we get

$$\rho' \mid \rho' = 0 \qquad \dots \quad (4)$$

where  $\rho_{1}$  is the ionic pressure and  $\rho_{1}^{e}$  is the hydrostatic electron-pressure. Putting, the first derivative of ionic-energy in terms of transversal force constant  $(\alpha_{1})$ for nearest neighbours and that  $(\beta_{1})$  for next nearest neighbours, in equation (4), we get

According to De-Launay (1956)  $\rho^e = \frac{3}{5} a K e$  equation (5) assumes the final form for fee crystal as

$$\alpha_1 + \beta_1 = -\frac{3}{26} \, a K_e \qquad \dots \quad (6)$$

where a is the lattice constant and Ke is the bulk-modulus of the electron-gas.

The elements of dynamical matrix  $|D_{\alpha\beta}|$  for ion-ion interactions can be written as

$$D'_{a\beta} = -\sum_{j} \sum_{j \in h} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi'}{\partial x_a \partial x_\beta} \right] \exp(i \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{R}) \qquad \dots \quad (7)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial x_a \partial x_b} = \frac{x_a x_b}{R_f^2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial R_f^2} - \frac{1}{R_f} \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial R_f} \right] + \frac{\delta x \beta}{R_f} \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial R_f} \qquad \dots \quad (8)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$ . The radial force constants for nearest and next-nearest neighbouring ions are termed as  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta_2$  respectively and are defined as --

$$\alpha_2 = \left[\frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial R_j^2}\right]_{for \ nearest} \qquad \beta_2 = \left[\frac{\partial^2 \phi^i}{\partial R_j^2}\right]_{for \ next \ nearest} \qquad \dots \tag{9}$$

The transversal force constants for nearest and next nearest ions are termed as  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  respectively and are expressed as—

$$\alpha_1 - \left[\frac{1}{R_j} \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial R_j}\right]_{for \ nearest} \qquad \beta_1 = \left[\frac{1}{R_j} \frac{\partial \phi^i}{\partial R_j}\right]_{for \ next \ nearest} \qquad \dots \tag{10}$$

The elements of dynamical matrix  $(D_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\rho})$  due to ion-electron-ion interactions are expressed according to Sharma-Joshi (1963) scheme as

$$D_{ab} e = V q_a q_b K_e G^2(qr_0) \qquad \dots \qquad (11)$$

where  $q_{\sigma}$ ,  $q_{\beta}$  are the components of phonon wave vector and

$$G(qr_0) = \frac{3[\sin(qr_0) - qr_0 \cos(qr_0)]}{(qr_0)^3} \dots$$
(12)

where  $r_0$  is the radius of Wigner-Seitz sphere and is given by the expression

The phonon-angular-frequencies ( $\omega$ ) can be computed using the following relation—

$$[D_{\alpha\beta} - m\omega^2 I] = 0 \qquad \dots (14)$$

where  $|D_{\alpha\beta}|$  is the total element i.e., sum of ion-ion and ion-electron-ion elements of the dynamical matrix. *m* is the mass of the ion and *I* is the unit matrix of third order.

## 3. CALOULATIONS

The five force constants  $(\alpha_2, \alpha_1, \beta_2, \beta_1 \text{ and } aK_e)$  of the model are computed by using eq. (6) and the following four equations—

$$aC_{11} = 2(\alpha_2 + \alpha_1) + 4\beta_2 + aK_e \qquad \dots (15)$$

$$aC_{12} = \alpha_2 - 5\alpha_1 - 4\beta_1 + aK_e \qquad \dots \quad (16)$$

$$aC_{44} - \alpha_2 + 3\alpha_1 + 4\beta_1 \qquad \dots \quad (17)$$

$$4\pi^2 m \nu_L^2 - 8(\alpha_2 + \alpha_1) + 0.44 a K_{\ell} \qquad \dots (18)$$

where  $C_{11}$ ,  $C_{12}$ ,  $C_{44}$  are the clastic stiffness constants of the crystal and  $\nu_L$  is the known zone-boundary frequency along [ $\zeta 00$ ] direction.

## 4. INPUT DATA AND RESULTS

The input data and computed force constants for the platinum, lead and thorium are shown in tables 1 and 2 respectively,

110

Crystal	C14 in	<i>C</i> 1.2 10 <sup>12</sup> dyn/e	U <sub>ti</sub>	Ref. for Elastic constants	a in A	r <sub>L</sub> in THz	Rof. for zono boundary froquon- cios
Platinum	3.467	2.507	0.767	u	3.9237	4.55	d
Lead	0.495	0.423	0.149	6	4.95	2.07	¢
Thorium	0.753	0.489	0.478	e	5.08	3.474	ſ
a) Mae Farlaı (d) Ohrlich &	ю <i>ct al</i> (1966) Drexel (1968)	(b) Kit (e) Ste	ltel (1971) dman <i>et al</i>	(1967)	(c) Allar (f) Rees	d (1969) 5 et al (1973)	

# Table 1. Input data

Table 2.	Computed force	parameters
----------	----------------	------------

*									
Crystal	ak <sub>r</sub>	$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_2$	α1	$\beta_{ii}$	$\mu_1$				
	in 10 <sup>4</sup> dyn/em								
Platiuum	3.10273	4.7772	-0.1053	0.2916	0.3601				
Lead	0.61650	0.900315	-0.207115	0.11183	0.11464				
Thorium	0.02541	2.3639	-0.07961	$\sim 0.1922$	0.0758				

The computed dispersion curves along the high symmetry directions for platinum, lead and thorium are shown in figs. 1, 2 and 3 respectively. The experimental points for platinum, lead and thorium are recently reported by Ohrlich & Drexel (1968), Stedman *et al* (1967) and Reese *et al* (1973) respectively.





### 5. DISCUSSION

Most of the phenomenological studies reported till now uses two or more known zone boundary frequencies in their lattice dynamical computations. The present model, being simple requires only one known zone boundary frequency for the successful description of crystal mechanics.

Konti's (1971) study of platinum reveals the large deviation of T-branch along  $|\zeta\zeta\zeta|$  direction. The dispersion frequencies computed so far for mis-behaved crystal lead also shows such deviations from experimental ones. The present model provides a better mathematical frame-work as compared to that reported by Foreman & Lomer (1957). The band structure calculations due to Gupta & Loucks (1969–1971) and those due to Rosengren (1975) show that thorium behaves much like a transition motal. The dispersion frequencies computed on the basis of the present model clearly indicate that the lattice dynamical behaviour of the metals is explained sufficiently well.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author feels highly thankful to Dr. M. P. Verma for his illuminating guidance of the present work.

#### REFERENCES

Allard S. 1969 International table of selected constants, 10, Pergamon Press. Behari J. & Tripathi B. B. 1969 Phys. lett. A29, 313.

- ----- 1970 J. phys. C-3, 659.

\_\_\_\_\_ 1970 Aust. J. phys. 23, 311.

-- ----- 1971 Ind. J. pure and Appl. phys. 9, 1037

- - 1972 J. phys. Soc. of Japan 33, 1207.

Clark B. C., Gazis D. C. & Wallis R. F. 1964 Phys. Rev. 134, 1486.

DeLaunay J. 1956 Solid state phys. 2, 219, Academic Press.

Foreman A. J. E. & Lomer W. M. 1957 Proc. Phys. Soc. London B70, 1143.

Gupta R. P. & Loucks T. L. 1969 Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 458.

1971 Phys. Rev. 33, 1834. +

Kettel C. 1971 Ind. to Solid State Phys. John Wiley & Sons.

Konti A. J. 1971 J. Chem. Phys. 55, 3997.

Konti A. J. 1971 J. Chem. Phys. 55, 3997.

Mac Farlano R. E., Rayne J. A. & Jones C. K. 1963 Phys. Lett. 18, 1915

Ohrlich R. & Drexel W. 1968 Nuctron Inclastic Scatt, Proc. Int. Symp. IV Copenhagen, 1, 203.

Reeso R. A., Sinha S. K. & Peterson D. T. 1973 Phys. Rev. B6, 1332.

Rosengren A., Jo I. E. & Johnson B. 1975 Phys. Rev. B12, 1337.

Sharma P. K. & Joshi S. K. 1963 J. Chem. Phys. 59, 2063.

Stedman R., Almquist L., Nilsson G. & Raunio G. Phys. Rev. 162, 545.

Varshni Y. P. & Yuen P. S. 1968 Phys. Rev. 174, 766.

Yuen P. S. & Varshni Y. P. 1967 Phys. Rev. 164, 895.