## GUNMA UNIVERSITY

# Study of $NO_x$ removal characteristics under dielectric barrier discharge field

by TRAN QUANG VINH

Under the guidance of Professor MASATAKA ARAI, Ph.D.Eng.

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

in the Department of Mechanical System Engineering

July 2012

# **Declaration of Authorship**

I, TRAN QUANG VINH, declare that this thesis titled, 'STUDY OF  $NO_X$ REMOVAL CHARACTERISTICS UNDER DIELECTRIC BARRIER DISCHARGE FIELD' and the work presented in it are my own. I confirm that:

- This work was done wholly or mainly while in candidature for a research degree at Gunma University.
- Where any part of this thesis has previously been submitted for a degree or any other qualification at this University or any other institution, this has been clearly stated.
- Where I have consulted the published work of others, this is always clearly attributed.
- Where I have quoted from the work of others, the source is always given. With the exception of such quotations, this thesis is entirely my own work.
- I have acknowledged all main sources of help.
- Where the thesis is based on work done by myself jointly with others, I have made clear exactly what was done by others and what I have contributed myself.

Signed:

Date:

"Everything should be made as simple as possible, but not simpler."

Albert Einstein

## Abstract

Removal nitrogen oxides  $(NO_x)$  from diesel engine exhaust gas has become an essential issue because of increasingly stringent emission legislation.  $NO_x$  removal using non-thermal plasma has been studied widely and has shown its potentiality. In recent years, there has been an increasing interest in simultaneously removing  $NO_x$  and particulate matter (PM) from diesel engine exhaust. The objective of this research is to investigate experimentally  $deNO_x$  characteristics under barrier discharge field with a diesel particulate filter (DPF) worked as dielectric barrier layer. The combination between a dielectric barrier discharge reactor and a DPF was also considered as a novel suggestion to the diesel engine emission elimination. In this study, the wire-to-cylinder discharge reactors were used. The cylinder had inner diameter of 36mm and total length of 180mm. The DPF was a cordierite wall-flow lab-scaled one with volume of 31.6 cm<sup>3</sup>. The reactor was supplied by 50 Hz alternative high voltage source in range from 5kV to 15kV. The simulated exhaust gas mixture of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub> at different fractions were employed in  $NO_x$ removal characteristic experiments. The sampled gas taken from reactor's outlet was analyzed by an FT-IR exhaust gas analyzer, Horiba Co., Ltd., MEXA-4000FT. The effects of PM on deNO<sub>x</sub> process under discharge field were studied by using DPF loaded with PM. Comparing experimental results in cases of gas mixture without oxygen and the one with oxygen at various gas flow rates, it showed that oxygen played a key role in the NO oxidation process that was dominant in latter case. Moreover, as oxygen fraction increases, the NO oxidation process run faster and this lead to lowering the necessary energy density to reduce NO concentration to zero. In PM effect analysis, PM enhanced  $NO_x$  removal characteristics under dielectric barrier discharge field with gas mixture containing oxygen. However, this effect deteriorated with elapsed time. The most significant  $NO_x$  removal performance was observed when PM was "Fresh". In actual PDF system, loaded DPF was oxidized under forced regeneration process or continuous PM oxidation process during operation. Conversely, new "Fresh PM" was always supplied from engine and then deposited over the old PM where its surface has already lost the effective role of  $NO_x$  removal. As a result, there are many possibilities of  $NO_x$ removal by PM in actual operating engine.

## Acknowledgements

Special thanks to my supervisor, Professor Dr. Masataka Arai, for his great guidance and support. Without his support this study could not have been done properly.

The author gratefully acknowledges the research support of Dr. Tomohiko Furuhata, Dr. Masahiro Saito, Dr. Yoshio Zama and Mr. Goro Ogiwara during my study. My great appreciation also goes to other members of our experimental group, MSc. Shuya Watanabe and Mr. Daisuke Kawabata for their help in setting up and collecting data of this work.

The author would like to forward gratitude to Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) for providing the financial support.

I would like to thank Hanoi University of Science and Technology (HUST), School of Transportation Engineering, Department of Internal Combustion Engine for permission to pursue Ph.D. degree. Special thanks are due to Professor Dr. Pham Minh Tuan, Dr. Le Anh Tuan and my colleagues in HUST for their support and encourage during my study.

Finally, I also would like to thank to my beloved wife, Nguyen Thi Hanh, and my little daughters, Khanh Van and Thuy Mai, for their patience and moral support ...

# Contents

| Declaration of Authorship |      |
|---------------------------|------|
| Abstract                  | iii  |
| Acknowledgements          | iv   |
| List of Figures           | viii |
| Abbreviations             | x    |
| Symbols                   | xii  |

| 1                                    | NO  | <sub>x</sub> treat | ment using non-thermal plasma  | 1  |
|--------------------------------------|-----|--------------------|--|----|
|                                      | 1.1 | NO <sub>x</sub> p  | broblem and $deNO_x$ method $\ldots$   | 1  |
|                                      |     | 1.1.1              | $NO_x$ problem   | 1  |
|                                      |     | 1.1.2              | $NO_x$ treatment   | 3  |
|                                      | 1.2 | NO <sub>x</sub> r  | emoval by non-thermal plasma   | 5  |
|                                      |     | 1.2.1              | Non-thermal plasma   | 5  |
|                                      |     | 1.2.2              | $NO_x$ removal using corona discharge $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$       | 8  |
|                                      |     | 1.2.3              | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ removal using dielectric barrier discharge $\hdots$   | 13 |
|                                      | 1.3 | Emiss              | ions and aftertreatment system of internal combustion engine                     | 16 |
|                                      |     | 1.3.1              | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and other harmful emission from internal combustion   |    |
|                                      |     |                    | engine   | 16 |
|                                      |     | 1.3.2              | Aftertreatment system of internal combustion engine                              | 18 |
|                                      |     | 1.3.3              | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ and PM treatment by non-thermal plasma $\ .$          | 21 |
|                                      |     | 1.3.4              | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and HC treatment system by non-thermal plasma $\ .$ . | 23 |
| 1.4 Purpose of this research study . |     | Purpo              | se of this research study  | 24 |
|                                      |     | 1.4.1              | Laboratory scale experiment  | 24 |
|                                      |     | 1.4.2              | Objective of this study  | 28 |
|                                      |     | 1.4.3              | Outline of the thesis  | 29 |
|                                      |     |                    |  |    |

2 Experimental apparatus and method

|   | 2.1  | Methodology  | 30       |
|---|------|--|----------|
|   |      | 2.1.1 Dielectric barrier discharge applicable for de<br>NO <sub>x</sub> reactor $\ .$ .                  | 30       |
|   |      | 2.1.2 Plasma reactor with DPF  | 33       |
|   | 2.2  | Apparatus and procedure  | 35       |
|   |      | 2.2.1 Experimental setup   | 35       |
|   |      | 2.2.2 Test gas $\ldots$ | 42       |
|   |      | 2.2.3 PM   | 43       |
|   |      | 2.2.4 Experimental parameter and data analysis   | 48       |
|   |      | 2.2.5 Experimental condition and procedure   | 49       |
|   | 2.3  | Basic performance of the reactor   | 49       |
|   |      | 2.3.1 Spark discharge limit and maximum safety voltage   | 49       |
|   |      | 2.3.2 Electric currents of pre-reactor and main reactor  | 50       |
|   |      | 2.3.3 Current-voltage characteristics  | 51       |
|   |      | 2.3.4 Power factor and discharge power   | 52       |
|   | 2.4  | Summary  | 53       |
| • | ъœ   |  |          |
| 3 | Effe | ects of oxygen on $NO_x$ removal characteristics   | 54<br>54 |
|   | 3.1  | Fundamental NO removal reaction  | 54       |
|   | 3.2  | $NO_x$ removal in $NO + N_2$ mixture   | 56       |
|   |      | 3.2.1 NO <sub>x</sub> removal characteristics and less effective area $\ldots$                           | 56       |
|   | 0.0  | 3.2.2 DeNO <sub>x</sub> efficiency and minimum energy density $\ldots$ $\ldots$                          | 58       |
|   | 3.3  | $NO_x$ removal in $NO + N_2 + O_2$ mixture   | 60       |
|   |      | 3.3.1 NO and NO <sub>2</sub> removal characteristics $\dots \dots \dots \dots$                           | 60       |
|   |      | 3.3.2 Effect of gas flow rate  | 62       |
|   |      | 3.3.3 DeNO <sub>x</sub> efficiency and minimum energy density $\ldots$ $\ldots$                          | 63       |
|   | 0.4  | 3.3.4 Effect of oxygen fraction  | 64<br>67 |
|   | 3.4  | Explanation using reaction scheme  | 67       |
|   | 3.5  | Role of residence time   | 69<br>50 |
|   | 3.6  | Summary  | 70       |
| 4 | Effe | ects of particulate matter on NO <sub>x</sub> removal characteristics                                    | 71       |
|   | 4.1  | Purpose and experimental setup to investigate PM effect on NO <sub>x</sub>                               |          |
|   |      | removal  | 71       |
|   | 4.2  | Experimental results with PM loaded DPF  | 73       |
|   |      | 4.2.1 Simulated exhaust gas without oxygen   | 73       |
|   |      | 4.2.2 Simulated exhaust gas with oxygen  | 75       |
|   |      | 4.2.3 PM loading mass and NO <sub>x</sub> removal characteristics  | 78       |
|   | 4.3  | PM aging   | 79       |
|   |      | 4.3.1 PM aging effect  | 79       |
|   |      | 4.3.2 Experimental results in cases of DPF with "Fresh PM"   | 80       |
|   |      | 4.3.3 Experimental results in cases of DPF with "Aged PM"  | 81       |
|   | 4.4  | $NO_x$ adsorption effect by PM   | 83       |
|   | 4.5  | Summary  | 86       |
| 5 | NO   | $_{\rm x}$ removal performance in transient test   | 87       |

|   | $5.1 \\ 5.2$ | Purpose of various transient tests $\dots \dots \dots$ | 87<br>88 |
|---|--------------|--|----------|
|   |              | 5.2.1 NO <sub>x</sub> removal characteristics in four hours running mode $\dots$   | 88       |
|   | 5.3          | "Aged PM" treatment effect on $NO_x$ removal   | 91<br>92 |
|   |              | 5.3.1 NO <sub>x</sub> removal characteristics in six hours running mode $\ldots$   | 92       |
|   |              | 5.3.2 Difference between "Fresh PM" and "Aged PM"  | 95       |
|   | 5.4          | N radical pre-treatment  | 96       |
|   | 5.5          | Engine application   | 97       |
|   | 5.6          | Summary  | 98       |
| 6 | Con          | clusions   | 99       |

## Bibliography

# List of Figures

| 1.1  | Role of radicals in $NO_x$ reduction  | 8  |
|------|---|----|
| 1.2  | Experimental setup by Vinogradov et al  | 9  |
| 1.3  | Relative positive-negative corona cleanness behavior  | 9  |
| 1.4  | Effect of residence time on cleanness and corona power  | 10 |
| 1.5  | $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ removal with hybrid corona discharge-catalyst reactor  | 11 |
| 1.6  | NO destruction with pulsed corona discharge reactor   | 12 |
| 1.7  | Cleanness behavior using pulsed corona discharge reactor  | 12 |
| 1.8  | DBD wire-to-cylinder reactor layout   | 14 |
| 1.9  | $NO_x$ conversion vs. energy density in DBD reactor   | 15 |
| 1.10 | Operating principle of the lean $NO_x$ reduction system   | 18 |
| 1.11 | DBD reactor configuration to remove $NO_x$ , HC and PM  | 21 |
| 1.12 | PM, HC and $NO_x$ removal efficiencies as a function of peak voltage  | 22 |
| 1.13 | Schematic of the DBD reactor  | 23 |
| 1.14 | Effect of HCs on NO and $NO_x$ removal efficiencies   | 23 |
| 0.1  |   | 20 |
| 2.1  | The necessity for deNO <sub>x</sub> reactor $\dots \dots \dots$ | 30 |
| 2.2  | Dielectric discharge NTP reactors   | 31 |
| 2.3  | Dielectric barrier discharge reactor with DPF   | 35 |
| 2.4  | Picture of DBD reactor with DPF   | 36 |
| 2.5  | Diesel particulate filter   | 37 |
| 2.6  | Photo of test DPF   | 37 |
| 2.7  | Schematic diagram of experimental apparatus   | 38 |
| 2.8  | Picture of experimental apparatus   | 38 |
| 2.9  | High voltage electric circuit of the reactor  | 39 |
| 2.10 | Principle of the F'TIR analyzer   | 40 |
| 2.11 | The operating principle of the PM composition analyzer  | 41 |
| 2.12 | The operating principle of TSI SMPS-3034  | 42 |
| 2.13 | PM generator  | 44 |
| 2.14 | Composition of different PM samples   | 45 |
| 2.15 | PM particle size distribution   | 46 |
| 2.16 | PM sampling chart   | 47 |
| 2.17 | Model of electrode with PM inside DPF   | 48 |
| 2.18 | Discharge and displacement currents   | 50 |
| 2.19 | Current-voltage characteristics of the reactor  | 52 |
| 2.20 | Phase angle of the reactor  | 53 |

| 3.1  | $NO_x$ removal in $N_2 + NO$ mixture ( $Q=1L/min$ )  | 55       |
|------|--|----------|
| 3.2  | $NO_x$ removal in $NO+N_2$ mixture ( $Q=2L/min$ )  | 57       |
| 3.3  | $NO_x$ removal in $NO + N_2$ mixture ( $Q=1L/min$ )  | 58       |
| 3.4  | $NO_x$ removal in $NO+N_2$ mixture ( $Q=0.5L/min$ )  | 58       |
| 3.5  | $DeNO_x$ efficiency in $N_2 + NO$ mixture  | 59       |
| 3.6  | $NO_x$ removal in $N_2 + NO + O_2$ mixture ( $O_2=10\%$ and $Q=2L/min$ ).  | 60       |
| 3.7  | $NO_x$ removal in $N_2 + NO + O_2$ mixture ( $O_2=10\%$ and $Q=1L/min$ ).  | 62       |
| 3.8  | $NO_x$ removal in $N_2 + NO + O_2$ mixture ( $O_2=10\%$ and $Q=0.5L/min$ )   | 63       |
| 3.9  | $DeNO_x$ efficiency in $N_2 + NO + O_2$ mixture  | 64       |
| 3.10 | $NO_x$ removal in $N_2 + NO + O_2$ mixture ( $O_2=15\%$ and $Q=0.5L/min$ )   | 65       |
| 3.11 | $NO_x$ removal in $N_2 + NO + O_2$ mixture ( $O_2=20\%$ and $Q=0.5L/min$ )   | 66       |
| 3.12 | Effect of oxygen on NO <sub>x</sub> removal at $Q=0.5$ L/min   | 67       |
| 3.13 | Effect of residence time on $NO_x$ removal efficiency  | 70       |
| 11   | SOE frantier in DM and NO menored  | 70       |
| 4.1  | SOF fraction in PM and NO <sub>x</sub> removal $\dots \dots \dots$   | 12       |
| 4.2  | Sufface fraction in PM and NO <sub>x</sub> removal $\dots$ | (Z<br>72 |
| 4.3  | $NO_x$ removal in $N_2 + NO$ mixture (PM=100mg and Q=1L/min)   | 13       |
| 4.4  | $NO_x$ removal in $N_2 + NO$ inixture (with and without PM, $Q=1L/1111$ )  | 10       |
| 4.0  | $NO_x$ removal in $N_2+NO+O_2$ mixture (PM=100mg, $O_2=170$ , and $O_2=170$ , and $O_2=11$ (min)                                   | 76       |
| 4.6  | NO removal in N + NO + O mixture (PM-100mg O -5% and   | 10       |
| 4.0  | $O_{x}$ removal in N <sub>2</sub> +NO+O <sub>2</sub> mixture (1 M=100mg, O <sub>2</sub> =570, and $O_{x}$ =1L/min)                 | 77       |
| 4.7  | NO <sub>x</sub> removal in N <sub>2</sub> +NO+O <sub>2</sub> mixture (PM=100mg $Q=11$ /min   | • •      |
|      | and $O_2 = 10\%$ and $15\%$ )  | 77       |
| 4.8  | $NO_x$ reduction at different PM mass loaded on DPF ( $O_2=10\%$ ) .   | 78       |
| 4.9  | Effect of "Aged PM" on NO <sub>x</sub> treatment   | 80       |
| 4.10 | $NO_x$ reduction at different $O_2$ fractions and "Fresh PM"   | 81       |
| 4.11 | NO <sub>x</sub> reduction at "Aged PM" after 4 running hours   | 82       |
| 4.12 | $NO_x$ adsorption following energy at $PM=0mg$   | 83       |
| 4.13 | $NO_x$ adsorption following energy at $PM=100mg$   | 84       |
|      |  | ~ ~      |
| 5.1  | $NO_x$ removal performance in 4 hours mode (Test 4-(1))  | 89       |
| 5.2  | $NO_x$ removal performance in 4 hours mode (Test 4-(2))  | 89       |
| 5.3  | $NO_x$ removal performance in 4 hours mode (Test 4-(3))  | 90       |
| 5.4  | $NO_x$ removal performance in 4 hours mode in summary  | 91       |
| 5.5  | $NO_x$ removal performance in 6 hours mode (Test 6-(1))  | 93       |
| 5.6  | $NO_x$ removal performance in 6 hours mode (Test 6-(2))  | 94       |
| 5.7  | $NO_x$ removal performance in 6 hours mode (Test 6-(3))  | 94       |
| 5.8  | $NO_x$ removal performance in 6 hours mode in summary  | 95       |
| 5.9  | Illustration of adsorbed N radical and O radical on PM   | 96       |
| 5.10 | Application of DBD reactor to diesel exhaust aftertreatment system   | 97       |

# Abbreviations

| $\mathbf{AC}$   | Alternative Current  |
|-----------------|--|
| CO              | Carbon monoxide  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_2$ | Carbon dioxide   |
| $\mathbf{CRT}$  | Continuously Regeneration $\mathbf{T}$ rap                         |
| $\mathbf{CPC}$  | Condensation Particle Counter                                      |
| DBD             | Dielectric Barrier Discharge                                       |
| DC              | Direct Current   |
| DMA             | $\mathbf{D}$ ifferential $\mathbf{M}$ obility $\mathbf{A}$ nalyzer |
| DPF             | Diesel Particulate Filter  |
| EGR             | Exhaust Gas Recirculation  |
| $\mathbf{EU}$   | $\mathbf{E} uropean \ \mathbf{U} nion$                             |
| FTIR            | Fourier Transform Infra-Red  |
| GC-MC           | Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry                               |
| HC              | $\mathbf{H}$ ydrocarbon  |
| HCCI            | Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition                            |
| HDV             | Heavy Duty Vehicle   |
| HFAC            | High Frequency Alternative Current                                 |
| $\mathbf{HV}$   | $\mathbf{H} \mathrm{igh} \ \mathbf{V} \mathrm{oltage}$             |
| Kr              | Krypton  |
| LDV             | Light Duty Vehicle   |
| LEV             | Low Emission Vehicle   |
| $\mathbf{LNT}$  | Lean $NO_x$ Trap   |
| MEXA            | Motor <b>EX</b> haust gas <b>A</b> nalyzer                         |
| MFC             | Mass Flow Controller   |

| NAC                    | $\mathbf{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ Adsorber Catalyst  |
|------------------------|---|
| NDIR                   | Non-Dispersive Infra-Red  |
| NMHC                   | Non-Methane $\mathbf{H}$ ydro $\mathbf{C}$ arbons   |
| NMOG                   | Non-Methane Organic Gases   |
| NO                     | Nitric oxide  |
| $NO_2$                 | Nitrogen dioxide  |
| $N_2O$                 | Nitrous oxide   |
| $NO_x$                 | Nitrogen Oxides   |
| $NO_x$ -SR             | $\mathbf{NO}_{\mathbf{x}}$ Storage Reduction  |
| NSCR                   | Non Selective Catalytic Reduction   |
| NTP                    | Non-Thermal Plasma  |
| PAN                    | $\mathbf{P}$ eroxy $\mathbf{A}$ cetyl $\mathbf{N}$ itrate   |
| PGM                    | Platinum Group Metal  |
| $\mathbf{PM}$          | Particulate Matter  |
| $\mathbf{PN}$          | $\mathbf{P}$ articulate $\mathbf{N}$ umber  |
| Pd                     | Palladium   |
| $\mathbf{Pt}$          | Platinum  |
| $\mathbf{RF}$          | $\mathbf{R}$ adio $\mathbf{F}$ requency   |
| $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{h}$ | Rhodium   |
| RMS                    | $\mathbf{R}$ oot- $\mathbf{M}$ ean- $\mathbf{S}$ quare  |
| SCR                    | Selective Catalytic Reduction   |
| SED                    | Specific Energy Density   |
| SMPS                   | $\mathbf{S}$ canning $\mathbf{M}$ obility $\mathbf{P}$ article $\mathbf{S}$ izer  |
| SOF                    | $\mathbf{S} oluble \ \mathbf{O} rganic \ \mathbf{F} raction$  |
| SULEV                  | $\mathbf{S} \mathrm{uper} \ \mathbf{U} \mathrm{ltra} \ \mathbf{L} \mathrm{ow} \ \mathbf{E} \mathrm{mission} \ \mathbf{V} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{hicle}$ |
| TWC                    | Three-Way Catalyst  |
| ULEV                   | Ultra Low Emission Vehicle  |
| UV                     | $\mathbf{U}$ ltra- $\mathbf{V}$ iolet   |
| VOC                    | Volatile Organic Compound   |

# Symbols

| a          | distance                                | mm                            |
|------------|---|-------------------------------|
| d          | diameter                                | mm                            |
| D          | distance                                | mm                            |
| E          | energy density                          | J/L                           |
| f          | frequency                               | $\mathrm{Hz}\ (\mathrm{kHz})$ |
| Ι          | current                                 | A (mA, $\mu$ A)               |
| L          | length                                  | mm                            |
| $L_f$      | flame height                            | mm                            |
| $M_{f}$    | fuel consumption rate                   | mg/s                          |
| Q          | flow rate                               | L/min                         |
| t          | running time                            | h (min)                       |
| V          | applied voltage                         | V (kV)                        |
|            |   |                               |
| $h\nu$     | photon energy                           |                               |
| au         | residence time                          | sec (ns)                      |
| $\epsilon$ | dielectric constant                     |                               |
| $\varphi$  | phase angle between voltage and current | 0                             |
|            |   |                               |

Dedicated to my loving father, Tran Thin...

## Chapter 1

# $NO_x$ treatment using non-thermal plasma

## 1.1 $NO_x$ problem and $deNO_x$ method

## 1.1.1 NO<sub>x</sub> problem

#### (1) Air pollution and its harmful effect

Nitrogen oxides  $(NO_x)$ , together with CO, sulphur oxides and volatile organic compounds (VOC) are primary chemicals of the air pollution. Main oxides of nitrogen in the atmosphere are nitric oxide (NO), nitrogen dioxide  $(NO_2)$  and nitrous oxide  $(N_2O).[1]$  NO<sub>x</sub> commonly stands for NO and NO<sub>2</sub>. But in sometimes, it means a sum of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O.

 $NO_x$  adversely affects the environment and human health by causing groundlevel ozone formation, water quality deterioration, global warming, production of toxic reaction products, and inhalable fine particles that lead to visibility degradation and pose a respiratory hazard.  $NO_2$  plays a major role in the chemical reactions which generate ground-level ozone and photochemical smog. Photochemical smog is a type of air pollution produced when sunlight acts upon motor vehicle exhaust gases to form harmful substances such as ozone, aldehydes and peroxyacetylnitrate (PAN). These formation mechanisms are described as follows.

Firstly, nitrogen dioxide is excited by sunlight to form nitric oxide and atomic oxygen with the following photochemical reaction:

$$NO_2 + h\nu \longrightarrow NO + O$$
 (1.1)

Then oxygen atom reacts with oxygen molecules in the air to form ozone:

$$O + O_2 \longrightarrow O_3$$
 (1.2)

Finally, ozone and atomic oxygen react with hydrocarbons to form many kinds of aldehydes and peroxyacetylnitrate (PAN).

When volatile organic compounds (VOC) such as hydrocarbons react in the atmosphere to form oxygenated products such as aldehydes, the oxygen in these molecules allows NO to form NO<sub>2</sub>, without breaking down ozone, thus ozone accumulates. In the presence of sunlight, PAN (CH<sub>3</sub>CO – OO – NO<sub>2</sub>), is formed from nitrogen dioxide, oxygen, and hydrocarbons :

$$NO_2 + O_2 + Hydrocarbon + h\nu \longrightarrow CH_3CO - OO - NO_2$$
 (1.3)

Additionally, nitrogen dioxide reacts with water to form a mixture of nitrous acid and nitric acid. These acids cause to the acid rain.

$$2NO_2 + H_2O \longrightarrow HNO_2 + HNO_3$$
 (1.4)

Nitrous oxide,  $N_2O$ , occurs in less quantities than NO and  $NO_2$ , but it becomes a strong greenhouse gas which absorbs long wavelength infrared light related with heat radiation from the Earth. Thereby it contributes to global warming.

#### (2) $NO_x$ formation mechanism and its emission source

 $NO_x$  from combustion is primarily in the form of NO. The principal source of NO is the oxidation of atmospheric nitrogen. It is generally accepted that there are three mechanisms for NO formation.<sup>[2]</sup> They are:

- 1- Thermal NO Thermal NO is formed by the combination of oxygen and nitrogen under high temperature condition, such as combustion flame. Then, the concentration of "thermal  $NO_x$ " is controlled by the nitrogen and oxygen concentrations and the temperature of combustion gas. Combustion at temperatures below 760°C forms very low concentrations of thermal NO.
- 2- Fuel NO Fuel that contains nitrogen creates "fuel NO" that results from oxidation of already-ionized nitrogen contained in the fuel.
- 3- Prompt NO Prompt NO is formed from molecular nitrogen in the air combining with fuel in fuel-rich combustion reaction zone.

Thermal NO is produced under lean to stoichiometric mixture and high temperature combustion conditions. The well-known expanded Zeldovich mechanism explaining the NO formation is given as below.[3]

$$N_2 + O \longrightarrow NO + N$$
 (1.5)

$$N + O_2 \longrightarrow NO + O$$
 (1.6)

$$N + OH \longrightarrow NO + H$$
 (1.7)

 $NO_2$  is usually formed from NO through following slow oxidation:

$$2NO + O_2 \longrightarrow 2NO_2$$
 (1.8)

Emission sources of  $NO_x$  are automobiles, boilers and incinerators, as well as other high-temperature industrial operations such as metallurgical furnaces, blast furnaces, plasma furnaces, and kilns. Other sources include nitric acid plants and industrial processes involving nitric acid operation. As calculated, primary sources of  $NO_x$  emissions include motor vehicles (55%) and industrial, commercial, and residential processes (45%).[4] Removal of nitrogen oxides from combustion emission has been studied for many years. There are two strategies of  $NO_x$  control: (1) combustion modifications to suppress the formation of  $NO_x$ , and (2) aftertreatment approach to reduce nitrogen oxides to molecular nitrogen. The brief description of them will be expressed in the next section.

#### 1.1.2 NO<sub>x</sub> treatment

#### (1) Classification of $NO_x$ treatment

 $NO_x$  treatment methods have been used up to now can be summarized and classified as in Table 1.1.



TABLE 1.1 Classification of  $NO_x$  treatment

 $NO_x$  formation control includes modifications to combustion process in order to restrain the formation of  $NO_x$ .  $NO_x$  removal and  $NO_x$  separation belong to the aftertreatment approach mentioned above.  $NO_x$  separation uses physical treatment methods such as  $NO_x$  adsorption and absorption. In another manner,  $NO_x$  removal (or deNO<sub>x</sub>) mainly employs chemical treatment methods. They are  $NO_x$  reduction technique,  $NO_x$  oxidation technique, and other  $NO_x$  destruction techniques (i.e. neutralization, nitrate formation, etc.). The main component contributed to  $NO_x$  removal, NO removal, can be classified as NO reduction (to return to  $N_2$ ) and NO oxidation (to form  $NO_2$ ). The oxidation process can be briefly described as follows:  $N_2 \rightarrow N_2O \rightarrow NO \rightarrow NO_2 \rightarrow N_2O_5$ . The reduction process can be described by following scheme:  $N_2O_5 \rightarrow NO_2 \rightarrow NO \rightarrow N_2O \rightarrow N_2$ .

#### (2) Restraint of $NO_x$ formation

As discussed above, NO is formed under lean to stoichiometric mixture and high temperature combustion conditions. Thus to control or suppress the formation of NO<sub>x</sub>, decreasing the oxygen fraction at the peak temperature and shortening of residence time in the combustion zone are needed. Flame temperature may be reduced by: (1) using fuel rich mixture to limit the amount of oxygen available; (2) using fuel lean mixture to limit temperature by diluting energy input; (3) injecting cooled oxygen-depleted flue gas into the combustion air to dilute energy; (4) injecting cooled flue gas with added fuel; or (5) injecting water or steam. Reduce of residence time in high combustion temperature zone can be done by ignition or injection timing control in internal combustion engines.[2]

#### (3) Adsorption and absorption of $NO_x$

Adsorption is the binding of atoms, ions, or molecules of gas, liquid, or particles to the surface of a solid or a liquid (adsorbent). This process forms a molecular or atomic film.  $NO_x$  adsorption uses adsorbent to store  $NO_x$  under lean conditions and release and catalytically reduce the stored  $NO_x$  under rich conditions. NO and  $NO_2$  are acidic oxides and can be trapped on basic oxides. Below is the chemical reaction represents the adsorption of NO.

$$4NO + 3O_2 + 2MO \longrightarrow 2M(NO_3)_2$$
 (1.9)

where M is a basic metal.

Absorption is a process in which a substance diffuses into a liquid or a solid to form a solution. For  $NO_x$  absorption, absorbents used usually are water and solutions of nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), sodium sulfite (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>), ferrous sulfate ( $FeSO_4$ ), etc.

#### (4) $NO_x$ removal

Chemical reduction of  $NO_x$  is achieved by providing a chemically reducing substance to remove oxygen from nitrogen oxides. The reducing agents of  $NO_x$  include PM, HC, N radical, photon, etc. Examples include selective catalytic reduction (SCR) which uses ammonia, selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) which use ammonia or urea. Current commercial system involves the injection of ammonia or urea into the exhaust gas stream to chemically reduce  $NO_x$  usually in the presence of a catalyst. However, the loss of expensive catalyst is a big problem encountered. The scheme of NO reduction is as follows.

$$2NO \longrightarrow O_2 + N_2$$
 (1.10)

The technique of oxidation of  $NO_x$  intentionally raises the valence of the nitrogen ion to allow water to absorb it (i.e., it is based on the greater solubility of  $NO_x$  at higher valence). This is accomplished either injecting hydrogen peroxide or injecting ozone into the gas flow. An example of this technique is  $NO_x$ -SR. It catalytic oxidizes NO to  $NO_2$  that is more soluble in caustic solutions.[5] Non-thermal plasma, when used without a reducing agent, can be used to oxidize NO. The oxidation of NO can be express in below reaction.

$$2NO + O_2 \longrightarrow 2NO_2$$
 (1.11)

The other techniques of  $NO_x$  removal use chemical agents (i.e. ammonia, hydrated lime, etc.) to neutralize nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) which formed from  $NO_x$  at previous medium process.

## 1.2 NO<sub>x</sub> removal by non-thermal plasma

#### 1.2.1 Non-thermal plasma

#### (1) Plasma

Plasma is an ionized gas with free electrons, a distinct fourth state of matter. "Ionized" means that at least one electron is not bound to an atom or molecule, converting the atoms or molecules into positively charged ions. Ionized gas is usually called plasma when it is electrically neutral (i.e., electron density is balanced by that of positive ions). It contains a significant number of the electrically charged particles that can affect its electrical properties and behavior.[6]

Based on the relative temperature of free electrons, ions and neutrals, plasma is classified as thermal plasma and non-thermal plasma. Thermal plasma has electrons and heavy particles at the same temperature, and they are in thermal equilibrium status. Non-thermal plasma, on the other hand, has the ions and neutrals of cold temperature (normally room temperature) state, whereas electrons are much "hotter".

#### (2) Electric discharge

Non-thermal plasma is generated by applying a sufficiently strong electric field to ensure discharge of electrons. Electric discharge creates a quasi neutral medium containing neutrals, ions, radicals, electrons and UV photons. Due to light mass of electrons, they are usually first to receive the energy from an electric field and get high temperatures while the heavier ions remain relatively cold through energy exchange by collisions with background gaseous species. Ionic atoms and molecules have high energy and ability to lower activation barriers of chemical reactions.

Another feature of plasma ions results in the so-called ion or plasma catalysis, which is particularly essential in exhaust gas treatment. Atoms and radicals contribute to numerous plasma-stimulated oxidation processes. Finally, plasmagenerated photons play a key role in a wide range of applications. Plasma light source to UV sterilization of water is an example of it.

#### (3) Non-thermal plasma

In the last two decades, the possibility of  $NO_x$  reduction from exhaust gases (deNO<sub>x</sub> process) by means of non-thermal plasma (NTP) has been intensively studied.[7–19] The classification of NTP is rather complex and depends on multiple characteristics, such as:

- Type of discharge: DC or pulsed corona discharge, surface discharge, dielectric barrier discharge, ferro-electric packed bed discharge,...
- Type of power supply: AC, DC, pulse, microwave, radio frequency (RF),...
- Other characteristics: Electrode configuration, voltage level, polarity, gas composition,...

An increasing area of recent interest is the use of NTP at low-reaction temperature to eliminate  $NO_x$  from both of stationary and mobile sources. According to the research work by Sher [20], pulsed electrical field with dielectric barrier or corona discharges, was typically used to generate NTP. NTP oxidizes NO to  $NO_2$ and  $N_2O_5$ , and also it generates O atoms or OH radicals which oxidize the hydrocarbons into aldehydes. NTP has the main function of activating  $NO_x$  and HC. It provides a lower temperature pathway for their oxidation conversion. Low temperature treatment is quite important for exhaust emissions from vehicle where most part of engine out exhaust was low temperature. As for diesel engine, the mean temperature of the exhaust is below 200°C for over 70% of the operating cycles. As a consequence, there is an increasingly high relevant interest for application of NTP for treatment of diesel emissions.[21–23]

#### (4) Formation of N radical and ozone

The physical mechanism of N radical formation under non-thermal plasma was suggested by Vandenbroucke et al.[24]. N<sub>2</sub> molecules are firstly attacked by free electrons of which temperatures ranging typically from 10,000K to 250,000K (1–20eV).

$$N_2 + e \longrightarrow N_2^*$$
 (1.12)

The excited gas molecules from  $N_2^*$  above reaction lose their excess energy by emitting photons or heat.

$$N_2^* \longrightarrow N_2^\circ + h\nu$$
 (1.13)

Following this excitation, other processes like ionization, dissociation and electron attachment also occur. Through these reaction channels, unstable reactive species like ions and free radicals are formed.

$$N_2^{\circ} \longrightarrow N + N$$
 (1.14)

The destruction role of the radical and ionic species in the decomposition of nitrogen oxides is summarized in Fig.1.1.[7, 25, 26]

 $N_2O$  decomposes to form nitrogen and oxygen. The mechanism of this process involves  $N_2^+$  ions produced by primary ionization of nitrogen, charge transfer reaction to form  $N_2O^+$ , and electron-impact dissociation. The extent of destruction is limited by the conversion of  $N_2^+$  ions to N radicals.

The formation of ozone from oxygen and from air under plasma was described in a report by Kogelschatz [27]. Similarly to the formation of N radical above, at first O radicals are formed from electron impact on  $O_2$  molecules. Ozone is then formed in a three-body reaction involving O and  $O_2$ :

$$O + O_2 + M \longrightarrow O_3^* + M \longrightarrow O_3 + M$$
 (1.15)

M is a third collision partner.  $O_3^*$  stands for a transient excited state. The time scale for ozone formation in atmospheric pressure oxygen is a few microseconds.



High or medium power, low residence time (Hu et al., Penetrante et al.)



Low power, high residence time (Mok et al.)

FIGURE 1.1 Role of radicals and ions in  $NO_x$  reduction [26]

Side reactions, also using O atoms, compete with ozone formation. [28–32]

$$O + O + M \longrightarrow O_2 + M$$
 (1.16)

$$O + O_3 + M \longrightarrow 2O_2 + M$$
 (1.17)

$$O + O_3^* + M \longrightarrow 2O_2 + M$$
 (1.18)

These side reactions pose an upper limit on the atoms concentration tolerable in the discharge field.

#### 1.2.2 NO<sub>x</sub> removal using corona discharge

#### (1) Radical formation and $NO_x$ destruction

Corona discharge is the simplest type of plasma generator. A feature of the corona discharge, which differs to the other discharges, is that there is no dielectric involved. Corona discharges are transient discharges generated by strongly inhomogeneous electric fields. The most common types are pin-to-plate [33], wire-to-cylinder [15, 34], and wire-to-plane [35]. The discharge can be supplied by a constant voltage (DC corona), or an alternating voltage (AC corona or a pulsed corona). Generally, the electric field in corona reactors is about 50kV/cm.[1, 36]

The destruction of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> involves N radicals. These radicals may arise from direct electron-impact dissociation of nitrogen or the electron-assisted conversion of  $N_2^+$  ions (Fig.1.1). N radical reacts with nitrogen oxide to form molecular nitrogen and oxygen atoms according to the following reaction.[37–39]

$$NO + N \longrightarrow N_2 + O$$
 (1.19)

The destruction of  $NO_2$  with N radicals is relating to the following reaction chain.[7, 40]

$$N + O \longrightarrow NO$$
 (1.20)

$$NO + N \longrightarrow NO_2$$
 (1.21)

$$NO_2 + N \longrightarrow N_2O + O$$
 (1.22)

#### (2) Corona discharge and $NO_x$ destruction

Vinogradov et al.[35] used a multi-needle-to-plate reactor consisting of Perspex rectangular box (Fig.1.2). Test gas was an exhaust gas from a diesel engine. Flow rate of test gas was 8L/min. Electrode length was in the range from 45mm to 150mm. Distance between needle and flat electrode was 14mm–50mm and distance between the rows of needle was 5mm–20mm.



FIGURE 1.2 Experimental setup by Vinogradov et al.[35]



FIGURE 1.3 Relative positive-negative corona cleanness behavior [35]

Experimental results in Fig.1.3 shows that the negative corona discharge has much higher  $NO_x$  cleanness comparing to the positive one. However, the relative positive-negative corona cleanness behavior is basically independent of geometrical parameters of the reactor as well as of the engine load.

Kozlov and Solovyov [41] also pointed out that in atmospheric air condition, the limit current of the negative corona configuration was higher than in the positive corona reactor. However, Fujii et al.[42] concluded that positive corona reactor showed better removal rate of  $NO_x$  than negative one. Another work by Dors et al.[43] also supported Fujii's conclusion.

#### (3) Residence time and discharge energy

The residence time is defined as the volume of the reactor divided by the flow rate of exhaust gas. H. Lin et al. [33] used a needle-to-cylinder corona discharge reactor to investigate the influence of the residence time on  $NO_x$  efficiency from wet flue gas. They suggested that the longer the residence time is, the higher the deNO<sub>x</sub> efficiency will be.

The experimental result in Fig.1.4 expresses the  $NO_x$  cleanness [35] depending on residence time at various reactor's dimensions. From the test results, they



FIGURE 1.4 Effect of residence time on cleanness and corona power [35]

concluded that the increase of residence time positively affects both the cleanness and the energy efficiency until the moment at which discharge radicals outnumbers pollutant molecules. Once the residence time is sufficient for all the gas molecules to interact with radicals, the cleanness growth rate reduced. And further improvement of cleanness is much slower.

#### (4) Corona discharge-catalyst reactor

Dors and Mizeraczyk [44] studied  $NO_x$  removal in a hybrid system consisted of a DC streamer corona discharge with a catalyst operating at ambient temperature in the presence of  $NH_3$  (see Fig.1.5). The positive DC corona discharge was generated between a hollow needle (out diameter of 2mm) electrode and flat brass mesh (spacing of 40mm) electrode. The catalyst was a layer of  $V_2O_5$  and  $TiO_2$  deposited on  $Al_2O_3$  globules.



FIGURE 1.5 NO<sub>x</sub> removal with hybrid corona discharge-catalyst reactor [44]

The results showed that the catalyst increased significantly  $NO_x$  removal (maximum 96% at 400J/L) when the catalyst was saturated with  $NH_3$ . Comparing to the case of without catalyst and gaseous  $NH_3$  supply, the removal efficiency of NO was not higher than 25% (at 400J/L). With the presence of  $NH_3$ , the corona discharge produced solid particles of  $NH_4NO_3$  which depositing on the catalyst surface shortened the active life of the catalyst. The mechanism was explained by following reactions:

$$NO_2 + OH \longrightarrow HNO_3$$
 (1.23)

$$NO_2 + HO_2 \longrightarrow HNO_3 + O$$
 (1.24)

$$HNO_3 + NH_3 \longrightarrow NH_4 NO_3$$
 (1.25)

#### (5) Pulsed corona discharge and $NO_x$ destruction

In corona discharge, as electron streamers are able to reach the opposite electrode, a spark discharge formed then results in local overheating and non-uniformity plasma that is unacceptable for applications. The solution to increase corona voltage and power without spark formation is using pulsed corona discharge.[45]

Decomposition of nitrogen oxides in a pulsed corona discharge were studied experimentally by X. Hu et al.[25]. As seen in Fig.1.6, for NO in  $N_2$ , the exper-



FIGURE 1.6 Model calculation and tested results of NO destruction with pulsed corona discharge reactor in  $NO + N_2$  mixture [25]

imental data show that complete removal of NO can be reached. NO<sub>2</sub> reached a maximum at an medium input power and then was continuously destroyed as power increased. A small amount of  $N_2O$  was formed and its concentration increased at higher power levels.

To investigate the  $NO_x$  treatment from diesel engine exhaust, Vinogradov et al.[46] used the pulsed corona discharge cylinder-to-cylinder reactor (see Fig.1.7). The experimental results showed that cleanness does not depend on initial  $NO_x$ 



FIGURE 1.7 Cleanness behavior using pulsed corona discharge reactor [46]

concentration, within 10% accuracy. Therefore, it was concluded that the number density of the pollutant was much lower than that of the radicals produced by the discharge.

#### (6) $DeNO_x$ characteristics in corona discharge

There are some conclusions that could be made from preceding research works concerning  $NO_x$  removal using corona discharge reactor.

- 1- The negative polarity produced larger amount of radicals (i.e., higher total current) despite the lower average mobility of the negative ions. The higher cleanness of the negative polarity stems from three reasons: the higher total current, the plasma region extension, and the presence of OH ions.
- 2- It is concluded that direct decomposition of  $NO_x$  through corona-assisteddissociation reactions plays the dominant role in deNO<sub>x</sub> process.
- 3- The NO removal efficiency of the corona discharge reactor is improved when combining with a catalyst at the same amount of energy density.
- 4- Pulsed corona discharge is effective to restrict spark discharge.

#### 1.2.3 NO<sub>x</sub> removal using dielectric barrier discharge

#### (1) Dielectric barrier discharge and its reactor

Dielectric barrier discharges (DBD) is the case in which a dielectric material is placed on the electrode surfaces. Similar to corona discharges, small-scale electron streamers are formed. In the DBD mode of operation, the threshold electric field is relatively low and low-energy electrons are formed. DBD reactors can be also configured as concentric cylinders or as arrays of alternating positive and negative dielectric-coated electrodes. The parallel-plate architecture, however, features the most simple and cost-effective design.[27]

DBD can be operated in AC mode or in pulsed mode. The most common discharge configurations are the planar electrode configuration and the coaxial configuration.[37] As for the effect of discharge configuration on current and performance of DBD reactor, Kuroda et al.[29] showed that discharge current of the electrode with punched holes was higher than of the plane electrode. The collective efficiency obtained with punched electrode was also higher than that with the plane electrode.

Dielectric packed-bed reactors are used as well for investigations of plasmachemical reactions in plasma.<sup>[47]</sup> In this configuration, the discharge gap is filled with dielectric pellets: glass beads,  $BaTiO_3$ , and  $Al_2O_3$  being some of the most usual. When the high voltage is applied, the pellets are polarized and an intense electric field is formed at the contact points between pellets. Because the pellets are packed close together, the electric field in the micro-discharges can be significantly enhanced, thus, potentially increasing the production of chemically active species.

#### (2) $NO_x$ removal by dielectric barrier discharge

Santillan et al.[48] used a DBD wire-cylinder reactor (Fig.1.8) for  $NO_x$  treatment contained in a gas mixture ( $N_2 : O_2 : CO_2 : H_2O : C_3H_6 : NO$ ) simulating the vehicle exhaust gas. They also numerically simulated the DBD wire-cylinder reactor to explain the chemical reactions in the reactor.



FIGURE 1.8 DBD wire-to-cylinder reactor layout [48]

The reactor was fed with a gas mixture slightly above atmospheric pressure. The mass flow of each gas, excluding water vapour, was controlled by a mass flowmeter. A pre-mixture containing  $10\%O_2$ ,  $10\%CO_2$  and  $N_2$  left was introduced into a chamber. In order to convert non-soluble compounds into soluble ones, water

was added using a manual injector and was vaporized through use of a heating resistance. The preheated mixture was then enriched with NO (250–1000ppm) and  $C_3H_6$  (1000–2000ppm) and fed into the plasma reactor. The maximal total gas flow used was about 13L/min. Gas temperature was measured by a probe placed 30mm after the discharge zone.

The experimental results of NO conversion in the plasma reactor at initial concentrations of 250, 500, 1000ppm are showed in Fig.1.9. Mass balance in  $NO_x$ 



FIGURE 1.9  $NO_x$  conversion vs. energy density in DBD reactor at various initial concentrations [48]

shows that an increase in energy density increases NO oxidation. Therefore, NO transformation is a direct function of the voltage applied. Furthermore, an increase in NO concentration requires a greater energy input. For example, to transform 70% of the NO supplied, energy densities of 40, 55 and 125J/L were, respectively, required for NO initial concentrations of 250, 500 and 1000ppm. The decrease in NO is linked to an increase in NO<sub>2</sub> through the oxidation process. The oxidizing vector can be produced by the O<sub>2</sub>(O + O), CO<sub>2</sub>(CO + O) or H<sub>2</sub>O(OH + O) in the gas mixture. Santillan et al.[48] commented that the global mass of NO<sub>x</sub> is not balanced (NO + NO<sub>2</sub> = 0). This can be due to NO<sub>x</sub> being trapped in  $C_3H_6 = (R - NO_x)$  or water (HNO<sub>3</sub>), or else to some adsorption on the outlet tubes.

#### (3) Merit and demerit of dielectric barrier discharge for $NO_x$ treatment

Comparing to corona dischrage, the flexibility of DBD configurations with respect to geometrical shape, operating medium and operating parameters is remarkable.<sup>[27]</sup> Additionally, electrodes in BDB reactor are covered by dielectric layer preventing them from erosion by reactive emissions such as combustion gas. Conversely, the energy density inside DBD reactor is lower than that of corona discharge reactor.

There is a technical problem that requires optimization is the necessary energy to maintain the plasma (typically, 20–60J/L). Besides that, the application of optimized conditions in small laboratory experiments into the large installations (i.e. vehicles) is not clear and need more investigations.

## 1.3 Emissions and aftertreatment system of internal combustion engine

## 1.3.1 $NO_x$ and other harmful emission from internal combustion engine

#### (1) Emissions from gasoline engine

The major pollutants in gasoline engine exhaust are nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>, mostly NO), carbon monoxide (CO), and hydrocarbons (HC). The main product of combustion, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), is although non-toxic but contributes to the greenhouse effect. The relative emission amounts depend on engine design and operating conditions but are order of: NO<sub>x</sub>, 500–1000ppm; CO, 1–2%; HC, 1000–3000ppm (as  $C_1$ ).[3]

NO emissions in gasoline engine depend on fuel/air ratio, the burned gas fraction, and spark timing. The key factors for emission control are temperature and oxygen concentration during combustion process and early part of the expansion stroke. CO emissions from internal combustion engines are controlled primarily by the fuel/air ratio. Since gasoline engines often operate close to stoichiometric at part load and fuel rich at full load, CO emissions are significant and must be controlled. HCs are consequence of incomplete combustion of the hydrocarbon fuel. The level of unburned HC in the exhaust is generally specified in terms of the total HC concentration expressed in ppm  $C_1$ . While total HC emission is a useful measure of combustion inefficiency, it is not necessarily a significant index of pollutant emissions.

#### (2) Emissions from diesel engine

In diesel engine exhaust, concentrations of  $NO_x$  are comparable to those from gasoline engine. The critical difference in  $NO_x$  formation mechanism is that injection of fuel occurs just before combustion starts, and that non-uniform distributions temperature and fuel exist during combustion.

The primary combustion mechanism in a diesel engine is the diffusion process, that is controlled by the rate at which fuel and air are mixed. This is preceded by the so called premixed process in which a small number of fuel-air nuclei combust spontaneously at a rate controlled by reaction kinetics. As fuel is injected into the charge air, it entrains air and diffuses, giving a widely varying equivalence ratio. At the core of the spray there is liquid fuel in droplet form; at the other extreme is a region with an equivalence ratio of less than one. NO<sub>x</sub> formation occurs predominantly in the lean flame region during the premixed combustion phase and particulate matter is formed mainly in the fuel rich regions during the diffusion burning period. Actions to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> formation, such as reducing the proportion of premixed combustion, will therefore have the effect of increasing the diffusion burning phase. Hence, it results the tendency to increase particulate formation and vice versa. This phenomenon is known as the NO<sub>x</sub>-PM trade-off.[20]

Diesel engines emit particulate matter (PM) which consist primarily of soot with some additional absorbed hydrocarbon material. Most particulate material results from incomplete combustion of fuel HCs. Further particulate matter is added by sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and sulphates, which bind with water on the carbon nuclei. These particles can make up as much as 20% of the total PM produced. Another source of particulates is lubricating oil. PM from the lubricating oil can make up as much as 40% of the total. The PM emission rates are typically 0.2-0.6g/km for light duty diesel vehicles. In larger DI engines, PM emission rates are 0.5-1.5g/brake kWh.[3]

Diesel engines are not a significant source of carbon monoxide because they always operate on the lean side of stoichiometric. Diesel hydrocarbon emissions are significant though exhaust concentrations are much lower than typical gasoline engine levels. The hydrocarbons in the exhaust may also condense to form white smoke during engine starting and warm-up. There are two major causes of HC emissions in diesel engines under normal operating conditions: (1) fuel mixed to leaner than lean combustion limit during the ignition delay period; (2) undermixing of fuel which leaves from the fuel injector nozzle with low velocity.

## 1.3.2 Aftertreatment system of internal combustion engine

#### (1) Three-way catalyst

Three-way catalyst (TWC), or non-selective catalytic reduction (NSCR) catalyst, is used at or close to stoichiometric operating conditions of engine for simultaneous conversion of  $NO_x$ , CO, and hydrocarbon. There are many reaction pathways in a three-way catalyst system. The most important reactions can be summarized as follows.[49]

$$2CO + 2NO \longrightarrow 2CO_2 + N_2$$
 (1.26)

$$Hydrocarbon + NO \longrightarrow N_2 + CO_2 + H_2O \qquad (1.27)$$

$$CO + H_2O \longrightarrow CO_2 + H_2$$
 (1.28)

$$Hydrocarbon + H_2O \longrightarrow CO_2 + H_2$$
 (1.29)

 $Hydrocarbon + H_2O \longrightarrow CO + H_2$ (1.30)

Typical TWC is a monolithic type in which the exhaust gas passes through a honeycomb ceramic block, maximizing the exposed surface area. The ceramic block is covered with a thin coating of support material such as platinum (Pt), palladium (Pd), or rhodium (Rh), and mounted in a stainless steel container.[50] Other support materials used recently are alumina, ceria (CeO<sub>2</sub>), zirconia (ZrO<sub>2</sub>), etc. Generally, TWC can efficiently covert about 80% of NO, CO, and HC at a narrow range of air/fuel ratio near stoichiometric point. And it just works in the temperature range of  $350^{\circ}$ C-600°C.

#### (2) Lean $NO_x$ reduction system

The operating principle of lean  $NO_x$  reduction system is expressed in Fig.1.10.



FIGURE 1.10 Operating principle of the lean NO<sub>x</sub> reduction system [Matthey]

Lean  $NO_x$  reduction system, aslo known as  $NO_x$  storage reduction ( $NO_x$ -SR) or  $NO_x$  adsorber catalyst (NAC), was used for partial lean burn gasoline engines and for diesel engines. The adsorbers, which are incorporated into the catalyst washcoat, chemically capture nitrogen oxides during lean engine operation. After the adsorber capacity is saturated, the system is regenerated. And released  $NO_x$  is catalytically reduced during a period of rich engine operation. [1, 51, 52]

#### (3) Urea selective catalytic reduction

Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) technology has been used successfully for more than two decades to reduce  $NO_x$  emissions from power stations, marine vessels and stationary diesel engines. Also it has been used for heavy duty vehicles. According to a report [53] by Bosteels and Searles (2002), SCR for heavy duty vehicles reduces  $NO_x$  emissions by around 80%, HC emissions by around 90% and PM emissions by around 40% in the EU test cycles, with normal diesel fuel (less than 350ppm sulfur).

Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) has proven to be the reducing agent with the greatest selectivity. However, for safety reasons, liquid urea is often used as reducing agent in the vehicles. Urea is chemically stable under the ambient condition and rapidly hydrolyses to produce ammonia in the exhaust stream.[54] The reaction mechanism of NO<sub>x</sub> with ammonia is expressed as below.[1, 55]

$$4NO + 4NH_3 + O_2 \longrightarrow 4N_2 + 6H_2O \qquad (1.31)$$

$$NO + NO_2 + 2NH_3 \longrightarrow 2N_2 + 3H_2O$$
(1.32)

$$6NO_2 + 8NH_3 \longrightarrow 7N_2 + 12H_2O \qquad (1.33)$$

#### (4) Diesel particulate filter system

Diesel particulate filter is used to trap particulate matter (soot) from diesel exhaust emissions. It has a cellular structure with individual channel that has open and plugged ends at opposite side. Exhaust gases enter the open end, flow through the pores of the cell walls, and exit the filter through the adjacent channel (so called wall-flow type). Soot particles are are collected on the channel walls. The most popular filter materials are cordierite  $Mg_2Al_4Si_5O_{18}$ , aluminum titanate TiAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, and silicon carbide (SiC). Conventional DPF has a diameter from 190mm to 305mm and a length of 178mm–280mm.[56, 57] Collection efficiencies of these various filters range from 30% to over 90%, but most DPFs achieve over 99% when expressed as numbers of ultra fine particles.[53]

Since the wall flow filter would steadily become plugged with particulate material in a short time, it is necessary to "regenerate" the filtration properties by burning off the collected PM. Some remarkable methods are:

1- Reburning in high temperature (more than 600°C) with oxygen rich condition:

$$C + O_2 \longrightarrow CO_2$$
 (1.34)

2- Putting a platinum group metal (PGM)-based oxidation catalyst in front of the DPF to generate the NO<sub>2</sub>.[58] This is also called continuously regenerating trap (CRT). PM is removed following below scheme:

 $C + NO_2 \longrightarrow CO_2 + NO$  (1.35)

This reaction occurs at temperature over 250°C which can be seen in most diesel exhausts.

3- Using very small amount of fuel-borne catalyst, such as ceria for the PM removal reaction:

$$C + O_2 \quad \overline{catalyst} \quad CO_2$$
 (1.36)

This reaction requires temperatures of around 400°C.

4- Electrical heating of the DPF either on or off the vehicle.

#### (5) Role of PM for catalyst and adsorption material

It has been reported that PM takes part in reaction converting NO<sub>2</sub> to NO and NO<sub>x</sub> to N<sub>2</sub>.[59, 60] Additionally, the fundamental unit of PM, the soot agglomerates, are spherules with the diameters of 10–15nm. The surface of these spherules can adhere hydrocarbons material, soluble organic fraction (SOF), and inorganic material. In case of existence of gaseous oxidant such as oxygen, CO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, these oxidants first move to the surface and be adsorbed to form surface intermediates, which then rearrange, desorb and escape into the gas phase. The PM surface may be the wall of a pore deep inside, so that diffusion of oxidant and oxidized products to the bulk gas phase occurs.[59]

#### (6) New approach of aftertreatment system

Although there is a wide range of emissions control technique as showed above, it still demands more new approaches to meet tight emission legislations. In recent years, some new aftertreatment systems have been introduced. They include plasma technique, hydrocarbon adsorber, electrically heated catalyst system, combined emission control systems (i.e. DPF and SCR, diesel oxidation catalyst (DOC) for HC and PM oxidation), etc. Among them, plasma approach has been introduced as a new promising aftertreatment technology.

#### 1.3.3 $NO_x$ and PM treatment by non-thermal plasma

For simultaneous removal of  $NO_x$  and PM from stationary diesel engine exhaust, Mok et al.[26] used a DBD reactor consisting of ceramic tubes and steel rods. In another work, Grundmann et al.[61] used a coaxial DBD reactor to study the soot treatment by DBD and ozone. DBD reduced the activation energy of the reaction which decomposed soot to form CO.[12, 16, 26, 47, 61–64]

Song et al.[65] used a DBD in coaxial configuration to study the effect of NTP on the reduction in  $NO_x$ , HC and PM including carbon soot and soluble organic fraction (SOF). A schematic layout and the DBD reactor configuration is shown in Fig.1.11. The system mainly consists of an exhaust gas supply, DBD



FIGURE 1.11 DBD reactor configuration to remove NO<sub>x</sub>, HC and PM [65]

reactor, high frequency AC power supply (HFAC: 0–14kV, 10–27kHz), indicating and analytical systems. Exhaust gas was produced by an diesel engine and sampled partially from the tailpipe by vacuum pump, which was set at the end of the line. The engine featured a 17.5:1 compression ratio, one cylinder, direct injection, air cooler, cylinder displacement of 296mL and rated power of 2.4kW at 3000rpm. The flow rate passing through the reactor was kept constant at 5L/min for all experimental runs. Two concentric quartz tubes were used for dielectric barrier layers with wall thickness of 1mm. The outer and inner one had the outside diameters of 18mm and 8mm, respectively. The high voltage electrode was placed on the axis center of quartz tubes using a stainless steel rod of 6mm diameter, and connected to HFAC.

The experimental data of PM, HC, and NO<sub>x</sub> abatement efficiencies are illustrated in Fig.1.12. The test conditions were: applied frequency of 15.5kHz, initial PM=73.67 $\mu$ g/L, HC=107.6ppm, and NO<sub>x</sub>=476.3ppm. For the PM removal, it can be seen that in the range of 6kV–8.5kV removal efficiency is proportional to peak voltage. At the value of 8.5kV the removal efficiency approaches to the maximum of 82%. Two reasons may be attributed to the saturation of removal efficiency. First, as shown in Fig.1.12, there exists a systematic increase in the reactor temperature with increasing specific energy density and more energy is converted into heat, resulting in the energy utilization efficiency for the DBD system decreasing. Second, the high temperatures condition would induce segmental active species such as ozone, which benefit the removal reaction.



FIGURE 1.12 Results of PM, HC and  $NO_x$  removal efficiencies as a function of peak voltage [65]

As for the removal of HC, Fig.1.12 illustrates the similar trend to that of PM. Namely, the removal efficiency for HC increases with the peak voltage from 4kV to 10kV (34–730J/L) and the maximum of HC removal efficiency is around 78% at 10kV. In addition, when the peak voltage exceeds 9kV, the curve of removal efficiency for HC versus peak voltage also becomes smoother because of the two reasons mentioned above. The trend of NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency is distinguished from that of PM and HC. In the range of 4kV–7.5kV (34J/L–178J/L), a significant increase of NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency from 0.5% to 67.3% is observed.
#### 1.3.4 NO<sub>x</sub> and HC treatment system by non-thermal plasma

The role of hydrocarbon as an additive in NO<sub>x</sub> abatement process was investigated by Ravi et al.[66]. The plasma reactor layout is showed on Fig.1.13. A cylindrical glass tube (inner diameter: 25.8mm; outer diameter: 30.2mm) was used as the dielectric material and a copper rod (diameter: 9mm) was used as the discharging electrode. An aluminium foil wrapped over the glass tube acted as the ground electrode. The space between the glass tube and the discharging electrode was filled with glass beads of 5mm diameter. Gas mixture consisted of NO=300ppm,  $O_2=10\%$ , and  $N_2$  remaining. The hydrocarbon additives were acetylene, ethylene and n-hexane. These additives were injected into the gas stream in equal concentrations of 750ppm. Gas flow rate was kept at 2L/min.



FIGURE 1.13 Schematic of the DBD reactor by Ravi et al. [66]

Effect of hydrocarbons on NO and  $NO_x$  removal efficiencies at various energy densities at room temperature is expressed on Fig.1.14. There was no  $NO_x$  removal



FIGURE 1.14 Effect of HCs on NO and  $NO_x$  removal efficiencies [66]

without additive whereas it was negligible in the presence of acetylene. In the presence of ethylene and n-hexane, the  $NO_x$  removal efficiencies obtained in this experimental condition were 32% and 35%, respectively. Briefly, the  $NO_x$  removal was insignificant in all these cases.

From these results, Ravi et al. concluded that the presence of both ethylene and n-hexane enhanced the oxidation of NO to  $NO_2$  significantly, whereas the addition of acetylene was found to be no better than that without any additive. At an energy density of 50J/L, the NO removal efficiency was as high as 80% with ethylene and 75% with n-hexane, while acetylene and no additive case showed only 60% removal.

The mechanism of NO removal in presence of hydrocarbons was explained as follows. The important radicals for the oxidation of NO are alkyl (R), alkoxy (RO) and acyl (RCO) radicals, which are generated when hydrocarbons react with O, OH, O<sub>3</sub>, etc. Initially, alkoxy (CH<sub>3</sub>O, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O, etc.) and alkyl radicals (such as CH<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>, etc.) react with oxygen to liberate active species

$$RO + O_2 \longrightarrow HCHO + HO_2$$
 (1.37)

$$R + O_2 \longrightarrow RO_2$$
 (1.38)

NO is oxidized with  $HO_2$  or  $RO_2$  through the following reactions.

$$NO + HO_2 \longrightarrow NO_2 + OH$$
 (1.39)

$$\mathrm{RO}_2 + \mathrm{NO} \longrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_3\mathrm{O} + \mathrm{NO}_2$$
 (1.40)

## 1.4 Purpose of this research study

#### 1.4.1 Laboratory scale experiment

#### (1) Current problem for vehicle emission treatment

Since the legislations concerning exhaust emission from vehicles are continuously tightened,  $NO_x$  aftertreatment systems have become increasingly important. Regarding current emission legislations, Table 1.2 illustrates the emission standards for diesel passenger cars in Japan, EU, and US (California). In the Table 1.3 is the standards for the gasoline cars in the same places.[1, Dieselnet] Compliance with these strict emission regulations has led to the implementation of at least one or some combined aftertreatment systems. To clear the limit of PM emissions at 5mg/km, the DPF has to be installed in the exhaust system and becomes mandatory for Euro 5. On the other hand, to reach the  $NO_x$  emission limit of 180mg/km, it is necessary to modify the EGR system in a way to minimize the

| Country    | Standard  | Date                                    | СО                                      | HC                                      | $\rm HC\!+\!NO_x$ | $NO_x$      | PM    | PN     |  |  |
|------------|---|---|---|---|-------------------|-------------|-------|--------|--|--|
|            |   |   |   | g/km                                    |                   |             |       |        |  |  |
| Japan      | Emission Standards for Diesel Passenger Cars  |   |   |   |                   |             |       |        |  |  |
|            | Vehicle Weight  |   |   |   |                   |             |       |        |  |  |
|            | $< 1250 { m ~kg}$   | 2002                                    | 0.63                                    | 0.12                                    |                   | 0.28        | 0.052 |        |  |  |
|            |   | 2005                                    | 0.63                                    | 0.024                                   |                   | 0.14        | 0.013 |        |  |  |
|            |   | 2009                                    | 0.63                                    | 0.024                                   |                   | 0.08        | 0.005 |        |  |  |
|            | $> 1250 { m ~kg}$   | 2002                                    | 0.63                                    | 0.12                                    |                   | 0.3         | 0.056 |        |  |  |
|            |   | 2005                                    | 0.63                                    | 0.024                                   |                   | 0.15        | 0.014 |        |  |  |
|            |   | 2009                                    | 0.63                                    | 0.024                                   |                   | 0.08        | 0.005 |        |  |  |
| EU         | Emission Stand  | lards for Die                           | esel Passeng                            | ger Cars                                |                   |             |       |        |  |  |
|            | Euro 4  | 2005.01                                 | 0.5                                     |   | 0.3               | 0.25        | 0.025 |        |  |  |
|            | Euro 5a   | 2009.09                                 | 0.5                                     |   | 0.23              | 0.18        | 0.005 |        |  |  |
|            | Euro 5b   | 2011.09                                 | 0.5                                     |   | 0.23              | 0.18        | 0.005 | 6E+11  |  |  |
|            | Euro 6  | 2014.09                                 | 0.5                                     |   | 0.17              | 0.08        | 0.005 | 6E+11  |  |  |
| US         | 3   |   |   |   |                   |             |       |        |  |  |
| California | fornia LEV II Emission Standards for Passenger Cars and LDVs < 8500 lbs; 50,000 miles/5 years |   |   |   |                   |             |       |        |  |  |
|            |   |   | NMOG                                    | СО                                      | $NO_x$            | $_{\rm PM}$ | HCHO  |        |  |  |
|            | 2004-2010 g/mile  |   |   |   |                   |             |       |        |  |  |
|            | LEV   |   | 0.075                                   | 3.4                                     | 0.05              |             | 0.015 |        |  |  |
|            | ULEV  |   | 0.04                                    | 1.7                                     | 0.05              |             | 0.008 |        |  |  |
|            | SULEV   | *************************************** | *************************************** | *************************************** |                   |             |       | ****** |  |  |

TABLE 1.2 Emission standards for diesel cars in Japan, EU, and US

Note:

PN - Particle Number

NMOG - non-methane organic gases

HCHO - formaldehyde

Emission standards for gasoline cars in Japan, EU, and US TABLE 1.3

| Country    | Standard   | Date                                  | СО           | HC        | $NO_x$ | PM            | PN               |  |  |  |
|------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------|---------------|------------------|--|--|--|
|            |  |                                       | g/km         |           |        |               | $\#/\mathrm{km}$ |  |  |  |
| Japan      | Emission Stand   | ards for Gasoline Light Duty Vehicles |              |           |        |               |                  |  |  |  |
|            |  | 2003                                  | 0.67         | 0.08      | 0.08   |               |                  |  |  |  |
|            |  | 2010                                  | 1.15         | 0.05      | 0.05   | 0.005         |                  |  |  |  |
| EU         | Emission Stand   | lards for Ga                          | soline Passe | nger Cars |        |               |                  |  |  |  |
|            | Euro 4   | 2005.01                               | 1            | 0.1       | 0.08   |               |                  |  |  |  |
|            | Euro 5   | 2009.09                               | 1            | 0.1       | 0.06   | 0.005         |                  |  |  |  |
|            | Euro 6   | 2014.09                               | 1            | 0.1       | 0.06   | 0.005         | $6E{+}12$        |  |  |  |
| US         |  |                                       |              |           |        |               |                  |  |  |  |
| California | ia LEV II Emission Standards for Passenger Cars and LDVs; 50,000 miles/5 years |                                       |              |           |        |               |                  |  |  |  |
|            |  |                                       | NMOG         | СО        | $NO_x$ | $\mathbf{PM}$ | HCHO             |  |  |  |
|            | 2004-2010 g/mile   |                                       |              |           |        |               |                  |  |  |  |
|            | LEV  |                                       | 0.075        | 3.4       | 0.05   |               | 0.015            |  |  |  |
|            | ULEV   |                                       | 0.04         | 1.7       | 0.05   |               | 0.008            |  |  |  |
|            | SULEV  |                                       |              |           |        |               |                  |  |  |  |

Note: PN - Particle Number NMOG - non-methane organic gases HCHO - formaldehyde engine-out  $NO_x$  emissions. However, increase of EGR ratio will lower combustion temperature that may result in increases of unburnt hydrocarbon concentration, CO, and PM.

As for exhaust gas from gasoline engines, the most well-known system is the three-way catalysts (TWC). However, it is not efficient enough for  $NO_x$  treatment under lean-burn conditions. As for Urea-SCR or  $NH_3$ -SCR, first main obstacle is requirement of pure ammonia for its operation. Ammonia is an irritating and toxic gas so it should be released in the exhaust line at level of under 10ppm. There is another point concerning the installation this large system into vehicle. Besides that, an urea tank volume and its availability are also problems.

The application of lean  $NO_x$  reduction system, or lean  $NO_x$  trap (LNT), has four major issues. Firstly, due to its operating principle that mentioned above, the engine must create rich conditions in the exhaust line so it makes fuel overconsumption. Secondly, there are HC penalties and more methane emissions which pass though catalyst without any conversion. It reduces significantly the efficiency of LNT regenaration.[5] The next difficulty is that this system is particularly sensitive to sulfur. Because sulfur and more specifically  $SO_2$  take the place of  $NO_2$  on the material surface causing a decrease in the number of available sites dedicated to  $NO_x$  adsorption.[1] Finally, similar to three-way catalyst (TWC), LNT uses noble metals (typically are Pt, Pd, and Rh). Thus they are high cost  $NO_x$  aftertreatment systems.

#### (2) Practical system and model system for research work

As discussed above, the severity of emission standards leads to some PM and  $NO_x$  aftertreatment systems become mandatory in legislation context. Thus it implies a cost and generates a complex implementation. Furthermore, PM and  $NO_x$  aftertreatment systems have an impact on the engine operation and fuel penalties.[67] Therefore it is necessary either to find some auxiliary systems to decrease this impact or to find some brand new deNO<sub>x</sub> aftertreatment systems.

To find a suitable auxiliary system, it requires more investigations to understand the mutual affect when combining this system with the main one. Due to difficulties in application of aftertreatment techniques in real systems as mentioned before, the model system (or lab-scale size system) is a good solution. The cost is significantly reduced while characteristics of system is reliable. These advantages of using model system for research purposes are also true in case of finding a new system. Additionally, due to the difficulties of observing and controlling treatment processes in the real system, it is better to use the simplified system to understand the physical and chemical reaction mechanisms in the system. For all of those reasons, in this study, the simplified model of dielectric barrier discharge reactor was proposed to investigate  $NO_x$  removal characteristics.

#### (3) Practical exhaust gas and simulated exhaust gas

There are also some difficulties in controlling and sampling of the practical exhaust gas. Firstly, it is very hard to keep the engine operating stable during a long test time. In addition, engine is a complicated system so there are many parameters that influence in emission composition. Typically, diesel engine exhaust gas contains 50ppm-2500ppm of NO, 20ppm-400ppm of HC, 5ppm-600ppm of CO, and 8%-12.6% of O<sub>2</sub>. Both of those make the experimental results become unreliable. Finally, the cost to run engine should be concerned.

Alternatively, the simulated exhaust gas was chosen in order to avoid the complexity of using the practical one. This method becomes more significant for adjustment of gas composition in small amounts and in short intervals, where it is impossible in the real system. In the literatures, the NO concentration in the simulated gas was adjusted in a concentration from 50ppm to 500ppm.

#### (4) Combined system with DPF

Using non-thermal plasma to remove  $NO_x$  has showed potentiality. The advantage of this aspect is the low temperature elimination of  $NO_x$  that is quite important for vehicle emission exhaust where most of emission testing cycles occurs at low temperature. In addition, as mentioned in previous section, PM and  $NO_x$  aftertreatment systems now become mandatory in legislation context due to very strict emission standards. Hence, the combined system of an dielectric barrier discharge reactor and a DPF was proposed.

In the literatures, most researches concerning  $NO_x$  and PM emission elimination using non-thermal plasma was conducted by employing either a DBD reactor alone or a plasma-assisted catalysis working under high temperature condition (over 150°C).[26, 61, 68] Although there have been evidences that non-thermal plasma decomposes diesel particulate matter but its mechanism is still not clear. Moreover, the experimental data are rather controversial, and there is no general agreement about PM reduction under discharge field. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate extensively the effect of PM on  $NO_x$  removal process and its mechanism.

#### 1.4.2 Objective of this study

The aim of this study is first to examine and validate the  $NO_x$  removal behavior under barrier discharge field. The  $NO_x$  treatment following applied voltage, energy density, and running time is investigated experimentally. The simplified model for laboratory experiments consists of a wire-to-cylinder DBD reactor combined with a diesel particulate filter (DPF).

#### (1) $NO_x$ removal efficiency

The effect of oxygen on  $NO_x$  abatement process at different gas flow rates is considered. Experiments of various oxygen fraction at constant gas flow rate are carried out. By this way, the mechanism and efficiency of  $NO_x$  removal process are studied. The results are also referred to previous works in the literatures.

#### (2) PM effect on $NO_x$ removal

This study focuses on extensively investigating the effects of particulate matter (PM) on  $NO_x$  removal in DBD reactor. Firstly, the experiments in cases of DPF without and with PM are done. In the next step, different PM mass are loaded on DPF. The effect of PM depending on test running time is investigated. The chemical reaction mechanisms in these processes are also discussed.

#### (3) "Fresh PM" and "Aged PM"

Through this study, two new definitions of "Fresh PM" and "Aged PM" are proposed to explain the significant effect of PM on  $NO_x$  removal process. The tests with "Fresh PM" and "Aged PM" in the same conditions of gas composition and flow rate are done. These results are compared to that of the case of DPF without PM to see the differences.

#### (4) Adsorption effect of PM

The experiments in transient mode of four hours and six hours with pre-treatment in DBD are carried out. At pre-treatment stage, different gas compositions and energy densities are applied. From the test results, the  $NO_x$  adsorption effect of PM can be identified. By conducting this study, basic knowledge of  $NO_x$  treatment using non-thermal plasma can be understood. Moreover, this work may help in establishing more effective catalyst applied for the real diesel engines.

#### 1.4.3 Outline of the thesis

#### Chapter 1

This chapter gives an overview of  $NO_x$  problem and  $deNO_x$  methods have been used up to now. The reviews of previous research works in the literature relating to  $NO_x$  removal by non-thermal plasma are also available. Finally, objectives of this study are given.

#### Chapter 2

The methodology and experimental apparatus are described in this chapter. Related contents include test procedure, gas composition. PM sampling, experimental parameters, and data analysis are showed. Further basic performances of the proposed reactor are introduced here.

#### Chapter 3

In this chapter, the experimental results of  $NO_x$  reduction by N radical and regarding the effects of oxygen on  $NO_x$  removal characteristics are given. In addition to the test results, the reaction mechanism and some discussions are made.

#### Chapter 4

This chapter shows the experimental results regarding the effects of particulate matter (PM) on  $NO_x$  removal behavior. The definitions of "Fresh PM" and "Aged PM" are described. The test results, chemical mechanism and related discussions are given.

#### Chapter 5

The experimental results of  $NO_x$  removal performance in transient tests are showed. Detailed description of each kind of tests (four hours mode and six hours mode) are available. Through test results, N radical adsorption,  $NO_x$  adsorption effect of "Fresh PM" and "Aged PM" are identified and discussed.

#### Chapter 6

This chapter summarizes the conclusions that are made through this study.

## Chapter 2

# Experimental apparatus and method

## 2.1 Methodology

## 2.1.1 Dielectric barrier discharge applicable for $deNO_x$ reactor

#### (1) Demand for $deNO_x$ plasma reactor

The harmful effects of nitrogen oxides  $(NO_x)$  being known for many years, regulations in  $NO_x$  emissions have been progressively introduced in most of the countries worldwide. Therefore, it requires an reactor to convert them to  $N_2$  (see Fig.2.1). Among deNO<sub>x</sub> aftertreatment techniques, the plasma reactor has been introduced as a new promising approach.[36]



FIGURE 2.1 The necessity for  $deNO_x$  reactor

From that point of view, the plasma reactor should meet following demands. Firstly, it is applicable to practical system. Secondly, it is also applicable for engine aftertreatment system. These demands cover the capability of transferring from lab-scale size model to a big one in the real system. It should not be complex. This leads to the third requirement, a compact design of the reactor. Additionally, the cost should be concerned.

For the conventional NTP reactors that are employed in laboratory experiments, there are some common types of dielectric discharge NTP reactor as shown in Fig.2.2.[24] Brief descriptions of each type are given and technical verdict for  $deNO_x$  reactor are performed in this research work.



FIGURE 2.2 Dielectric discharge NTP reactors

#### (2) Dielectric barrier discharge reactor

A dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) or silent discharge, typically has at least one dielectric (e.g. glass, quartz or ceramic) material between the electrodes. DBDs are generally operated in either the planar (Fig.2.2(a)) or cylindrical (Fig.2.2(b))

configurations.<sup>[69]</sup> When the local electron density in the discharge gap reaches a critical value, a large number of separate and short-lived current filaments (microdischarges) are formed.<sup>[70]</sup> When a microdischarge reaches the dielectric layer, it spreads into a surface discharge and the accumulation of the transferred charge on the surface of the dielectric barrier making the electric field reduced. As the electric field further reduced, electron attachment prevails over ionization and the microdischarges are extinguished. When the polarity of the AC voltage changes, the formation of a microdischarge is repeated at the same location if the electron density again reaches a critical value necessary for electrical breakdown. Therefore, the dielectric in the discharge zone has two functions: (1) limiting the charge transferred by an individual microdischarge and (2) spreading the microdischarge over the electrode surface which increases the probability of electron collisions with bulk gas molecules.<sup>[70]</sup>

For lab-scale model, the cylindrical configuration is better because of its compact and easy to setup. Besides that, it is convenient to combine with a particulate filter for investigating  $NO_x$  treatment with diesel exhaust gas.

#### (3) Surface discharge reactor

Another type of DBD configuration is the surface discharge (Fig.2.2(c)).[69] In this reactor, a series of strip electrodes are attached to the surface of a high-purity alumina ceramic base. The remaining electrode is embedded in inner of the base and functions as an induction electrode. The ceramic base can be either planar or cylindrical. When an AC voltage is applied between electrodes, a surface discharge starts from the peripheral edges of each discharge electrode and stretches out along the ceramic surface. The surface discharges consist of many nanosecond surface streamers.[71]

This configuration is simple and easy to setup. However, the discharge is just on the surface of reactor so it is difficult to apply for a flow of gas which requires a volume discharge.

#### (4) Pulsed discharge reactor

A pulsed corona discharge (Fig.2.2(d)) reactor uses a pulsed power supply with a fast voltage rise time (tens of nanoseconds) to enable an increase in corona voltage and power without formation of sparks, which can damage the reactor and decrease the process efficiency.

The pulsed corona discharge usually consists of streamers, for which the ionization zone fills the entire electrode gap. The required voltage level to energize the discharge depends on the distance between the electrodes, the pulse duration and the gas composition.[72] The duration of a pulse voltage is typically in the order of 100–200ns to ensure that spark formation is prevented and that the energy dissipation by ions is minimal. The electrode configuration of a pulsed corona discharge reactor can be either wire-to-cylinder [36, 48, 73–75] or wire-to-plate [76–78].

This type of reactor requires a pulse generator for its operation. That makes system complicated and difficult to handle, especially in practical use.

#### (5) Ferroelectric pellet packed-bed reactor

A ferroelectric pellet packed-bed reactor (Fig.2.2(e)) is a packed-bed reactor filled with perovskite oxide pellets. The most widely used ferroelectric material is Barium titanate (BaTiO<sub>3</sub>) due to its high dielectric constant (2000  $< \epsilon < 10000$ ). Other used ferroelectric materials are NaNO<sub>2</sub> [79], MgTiO<sub>4</sub>, CaTiO<sub>3</sub>, SrTiO<sub>3</sub>, PbTiO<sub>3</sub>, [80] and PbZrO<sub>3</sub>PbTiO<sub>3</sub> [81]. Application of an external electric field leads to polarization of the ferroelectric material and induces strong local electric fields at the contact points between the pellets and between the pellets and electrodes. This enables the production of partial discharges in the vicinity of each contact point between the pellets.

This configuration is suitable for gas treatment rather than gas with PM. Since PM may cover the surfaces of pellets and make them inactive, this system is not applicable for present purpose of this study.

#### 2.1.2 Plasma reactor with DPF

#### (1) Application of plasma reactor to DPF system

The necessity of combination of  $deNO_x$  reactor and diesel particulate filter (DPF) to meet current diesel emissions legislation has been discussed in previous chapter. In the literature, some research works [25, 26, 36, 48, 62, 82] had been done on the  $NO_x$  removal phenomena with electric discharge or plasma condition. So far, however, there has been little discussion about the influence of PM on  $NO_x$  removal characteristics using plasma reactor.

#### (2) Selection of discharge reactor type

The most significant advantage of non-thermal plasma (NTP)(includes corona and dielectric barrier discharge (DBD)) for  $NO_x$  treatment is low temperature activity.

In other catalytic approaches, certain desired reaction paths can only be attained at high temperature and pressure. By using a NTP reactor, it can substantially lower the apparent activation energy and achieve activity already at much lower temperature. Another merit of NTP is that non-equilibrium plasma conditions can be provided at atmospheric pressure.

The main characteristic of the DBD is that a dielectric layer covers one of the electrodes, or sometimes both electrodes. The detailed theoretical description of DBD operation is summarized in Eliasson and Kogelschatz [27, 70]. When the voltage is applied between the electrodes, many micro-discharges, or plasma filaments are initiated, which are distributed in the discharge volume.

To meet the demand for  $deNO_x$  plasma reactor as mentioned above, the reactor should have a high endurance that leads a need of simple system. Furthermore, the discharge reactor should generate an stable discharge and high efficiency. Finally, the reactor needs to be compact and packed well. For all of those, a barrier discharge is a suitable solution.

#### (3) Selection of AC discharge

As choosing a barrier discharge reactor, the capacitive coupling of the dielectric material requires an alternative electric field to drive a displacement current. DBD reactor cannot be operated with DC voltages. For convenience, the normal AC power source of 100V and 50Hz is used. And voltage was elevated by a transformer to around 15kV.

#### (4) Dielectric material

The dielectric material is Pyrex glass which is made of borosilicate glass. Its composition is 80.6% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 12.6% B<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 4.2% Na<sub>2</sub>O, 2.2% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.04% Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.1% CaO, 0.05% MgO, and 0.1% Cl. It has density of 2.23g/cm<sup>3</sup>, mean excitation energy of 134eV, and volume resistivity of 1.2x10<sup>9</sup>ohm-cm. Another important parameter of dielectric material is dielectric constant, or relative permittivity, which is defined by the ratio of the amount of electrical energy stored in a material by an applied voltage, relative to that stored in a vacuum. Pyrex glass has a dielectric constant of 4.1.

#### (5) Concept of plasma reactor with DPF

Concept of plasma reactor with DPF relies on two ideas: concept of a needleto-cylinder barrier discharge to form N radicals, and concept of barrier discharge in DPF to investigate mutual affect between  $NO_x$  removal process and PM. The needle-to-cylinder (or wire-to-cylinder) type reactor was chosen since it showed the best performance among common reactor configurations in range of not very high applied voltage (under 20kV).[83, 84]

## 2.2 Apparatus and procedure

#### 2.2.1 Experimental setup

#### (1) Barrier discharge $deNO_x$ reactor with DPF

From the concept of a plasma reactor with DPF as talked before, a needle-tocylinder type reactor and a wire-to-cylinder reactor combined with DPF were proposed. PM effects of  $NO_x$  removal such as absorption of  $NO_x$  and catalytic reduction of NO were experimentally investigated here as a feasibility study of new after-treatment system of diesel engine. A lab-scale reactor and simulated diesel exhaust gas of  $N_2$ , NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub> mixture with PM were used to examine the effects of PM to NO<sub>x</sub> removal characteristics.

Figure 2.3 shows the structure of reactor in details. The barrier discharge reactor consists of two sections in series. A pre-reactor section on the left side is a needle-to-cylinder type reactor. It consists of a steel needle with diameter 1.8mm working as high voltage electrode and a stainless steel foil of 105mm length working as ground electrode. The pyrex glass cylindrical tube with inner diameter 36mm, outer diameter 50mm, and 120mm length is used as dielectric material. On the



FIGURE 2.3 Dielectric barrier discharge reactor with DPF

right side is the main reactor section, that is wire-to-cylinder type reactor. It consisted of a DPF, a bunch of 9 wires (diameter 0.6mm) inside DPF working

as high voltage electrode and stainless steel foil with 40mm length working as a ground electrode. The cylinder of 60mm length with the same specifications as the pre-reactor's one covers DPF and high voltage electrode. DPF has two functions: as a PM loaded filter and as the dielectric barrier material. The needle and the 9-wire bunch are connected inside the cylinder to a high voltage power source. Also, two stainless steel foils are connected to a common ground point. The coupling points such as between cylinders, pipes in and out cylinders, electrodes into cylinders are sealed with rubber rings to prevent gas leakage. A picture of the real system is shown in Fig.2.4.



FIGURE 2.4 Picture of DBD reactor with DPF

#### (2) Diesel particulate filter (DPF)

The primary function of the DPF is to trap the soot particles. The DPF is often made of a porous material allowing the flowing of gases but trapping the soot particles contained in engine emissions. The exhaust gases must circulate through the porous medium because the input channels are closed at their end with a plug (see Fig.2.5). Consequently, the gases pass into the adjacent channels, or output channel, which are open at their end and closed at their entry.

The DPF used in our experiments was a cordierite wall-flow type, and downsized one for lab-scale experiment. Its dimensions are 35.5mm in outer diameter and 60mm in length. There are 117 channels (square holes) of 1.5mmx1.5mm. Total volume of the DPF was 31.6cm<sup>3</sup>. To load PM on the DPF, a diffusion flame PM formation system [85] was used. A photo of this DPF is in Fig.2.6.



FIGURE 2.5 Structure of diesel particulate filter (DPF)



FIGURE 2.6 Photo of test DPF

#### (3) Experimental setup

A schematic of experimental setup is showed in Fig.2.7. A real look of the apparatus is on Fig.2.8. The test gas was a mixture of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>. NO<sub>x</sub> concentration was kept constant at 112ppm while O<sub>2</sub> concentration was changed from zero to 20%. Simulated exhaust gas from cylinders passed through valves and pipeline system to reactors. The gas flow rates of 0.5, 1 and 2L/min were controlled by flowmeters (KOFLOC, RK 1250 series). After coming out from the reactor, the test gas was collected by a Tedlar bag and analyzed by an FTIR exhaust gas analyzer (Horiba Co., Ltd., MEXA-4000FT).



FIGURE 2.7 Schematic diagram of experimental apparatus



FIGURE 2.8 Picture of experimental apparatus

#### (4) High voltage AC power source

Figure 2.9 illustrated the high voltage circuit of the discharge reactor. The supply power source is a normal AC 100V, 50Hz which go through two transformers before reaching the reactor. The primary transformer, also a volt slider is used to adjust input applied voltage. The secondary transformer (neon transformer, ratio 1:150) converts the input voltage into high voltage in the range from 5kV to 15kV. A high voltage probe, Iwatsu HV-P30, with ratio 1000:1 is inserted into circuit to minimize load on the oscilloscope.



FIGURE 2.9 High voltage electric circuit of the discharge reactor

#### (5) Measurement of high voltage and electric current

The voltmeter is installed to measure induced voltage of the primary transformer (input value of the secondary transformer). The high voltage applied to DBD reactor is calculated by multiplying that value to 150 (conversion ratio of the secondary transformer). Due to highly difficulty in measurement of AC current in high voltage condition, the ammeter was installed on the earth side of the circuit (Fig.2.9).

#### (6) Gas and PM analysis

As mentioned above, gas concentrations (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, HC, etc.) were measured by the Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) analyzer, Horiba MEXA-4000FT. The principle of this FTIR analyzer is shown in Fig 2.10. Basically, infrared radiation from source (S) is passed through a sample in a cell. Some of the infrared radiation is absorbed by the sample and some of it is transmitted to the optical detector (D). The resulting spectrum represents the molecular absorption and transmission, creating a molecular fingerprint of the sample. Since no two unique molecular structures produce the same infrared spectrum, so it can identify unknown materials and determine the amount of components in a sample mixture.



FIGURE 2.10 Principle of the FTIR analyzer

The interferometer is an optical measuring unit. It employs a semi-reflecting mirror (or beamsplitter) to take the incoming infrared beam and divide into two optical beams. One beam goes to the fixed flat mirror and the other goes to the movable one. Both two beams reflect off of their respective mirrors and are recombined at the beamsplitter. Because the path that one beam travels is a fixed length and the other is constantly changing as its mirror moves, the collected signal after the interferometer is interfering beam (or interferogram). The interferogram then is interpreted by a mathematical technique, Fourier transformation, to show the spectral information.

The detectable range of gas composition of the FTIR MEXA-4000FT is showed in Table 2.1. For PM composition, soot, SOF, and sulphate fractions were determined by the particle mass analyzer Horiba MEXA-1370PM. Its detection limit of soot is  $0.2\mu$ g, of SOF is  $0.2\mu$ g and of sulphate is  $8\mu$ g. This analyzer uses quartz filter as PM trapping filter. It works under temperature condition from 5°C to 35°C. The analysis time is four minutes per sample.

| Gas composition    |                 | Range     | Gas compositio              | Range                                   |           |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---|-----------|
| Monoxide carbon CO |                 | 0-200ppm  | Formaldehyde                | НСНО                                    | 0-500ppm  |
|                    |                 | 0-1000ppm | Acetaldehyde                | CH <sub>3</sub> CHO                     | 0-200ppm  |
|                    |                 | 0-5000ppm | Methanol                    | $CH_{3}OH$                              | 0-500ppm  |
|                    |                 | 0-2%      |                             |   | 0-2000ppm |
|                    |                 | 0-10%     | Acetone                     | $\rm CH_3 COCH_3$                       | 0-100ppm  |
| Dioxide carbon     | $\mathrm{CO}_2$ | 0-1%      | Methyl tertiary butyl ether | $(CH_3)_3COCH_3$                        | 0-200ppm  |
|                    |                 | 0-5%      | Formic acid                 | HCOOH                                   | 0-100ppm  |
|                    |                 | 0-20%     | Methane                     | $CH_4$                                  | 0-500ppm  |
| Nitric oxide       | NO              | 0-200ppm  | Ethylene                    | $C_2H_4$                                | 0-500ppm  |
|                    |                 | 0-1000ppm | E thane                     | $C_2H_6$                                | 0-200ppm  |
|                    |                 | 0-5000ppm | Propene                     | $C_3H_6$                                | 0-200ppm  |
| Nitrogen dioxide   | $NO_2$          | 0-200ppm  | 1,3 -butadiene              | $1,\!3\text{-}\mathrm{C}_4\mathrm{H}_6$ | 0-200ppm  |
| Nitrous oxide      | $N_2O$          | 0-200ppm  | Isobutylene                 | iso- $C_4H_8$                           | 0-200ppm  |
| Water              | $H_2O$          | 0-24%     | Benzene                     | $C_6H_6$                                | 0-500ppm  |
| Ammonia            | $\mathrm{NH}_3$ | 0-500ppm  | Toluene                     | C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>8</sub>           | 0-500ppm  |
| Sulfur dioxide     | $SO_2$          | 0-200ppm  |                             | $(\mathrm{NO} + \mathrm{NO}_2)$         |           |

 TABLE 2.1
 Working range of the FTIR analyzer MEXA-4000FT

Figure 2.11 illustrates the operating principle of MEXA-1370PM. Firstly, the PM collected filter is placed in the analyzer's furnace and heated to 980°C in a  $N_2$  gas flow. Then SOF and sulfate in trapped particles are vaporized by heat in  $N_2$  atmosphere. Vaporized sulfate is deoxidized to SO<sub>2</sub> by heat and measured by SO<sub>2</sub> non-dispersive infra-red (NDIR) detector. Next, vaporized organic fraction is oxidized by constant flow of O<sub>2</sub> and measured as CO<sub>2</sub> by NDIR detector. Finally, output of CO<sub>2</sub> detector is integrated while  $N_2$  is flowing to determine SOF mass and while O<sub>2</sub> is flowing to determine soot mass.



FIGURE 2.11 The operating principle of the PM composition analyzer Horiba MEXA-1370PM

To determine particle size distribution of PM, the Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (TSI SMPS-3034)was used. The particle size range is from 10nm to 487nm. Total particle concentration is from  $10^2$  to  $10^7$  particles per cubic centimeter (/cm<sup>3</sup>). The schematic of operating principal of the TSI SMPS-3034 is expressed in Fig.2.12. The SMPS system measures the number size distribution of particles using an electrical mobility separation technique. This device has three main units: Bipolar Kr-85 charge neutralizer, DMA (differential mobility analyzer) for particle size separation, and CPC (condensation particle counter) for concentration measurement. Charged soot particles sample is passed through DMA, which con-



FIGURE 2.12 The operating principle of the PM particle size distribution analyzer TSI SMPS-3034

sists of two concentric metal cylinders. While the outer cylinder is grounded, a controlled negative voltage is applied to the inner cylinder. Two laminar flows, one containing a charged soot particles sample and the other containing particlefree sheath air, flow through an annular space between the two cylinders. At each specific voltage, only particles with a specific electrical mobility (inversely proportional to the particle diameter) are capable of passing through the DMA into a butanol-based CPC where the particles are counted. To obtain a full size distribution of a sample, the voltage applied to the inner cylinder of the DMA is exponentially scanned.

#### 2.2.2 Test gas

Generally, average emission from diesel engine exhaust is at level of 50ppm-2500ppm of NO, 20ppm-400ppm of HC, 5ppm-600ppm of CO, and 8%-12.6% of  $O_2$ . To prevent from the complexity of using practical exhaust gas, the simulated gas mixture

of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> was chosen. NO concentration was around 100ppm, O<sub>2</sub> fraction was varied from 5% to 20%. For almost cases in this study, the NO concentration of 100ppm, O<sub>2</sub> fraction of 10%, and N<sub>2</sub> left was used. For this gas composition, at gas flow rate of 1L/min, the partial flow rates of each gas were divided as follows: 0.1L/min for NO, 0.1L/min for O<sub>2</sub>, and 0.8L/min for N<sub>2</sub>. The typical experimental conditions in the literature including reactor type, concentrations of gas mixture, gas flow rate, and applied voltage range are shown in Table 2.2.

| Reference               | Reactor    | NO         | NO <sub>x</sub>      | $O_2$  | Flow    | Voltage     |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|--------|---------|-------------|
|                         | type       | ppm        | $\operatorname{ppm}$ | %      | L/min   | kV          |
| Penetrante et al. [86]  | DBD        | 100        |                      |        | 2       | 15-35       |
| Obradovic et al. $[12]$ | DBD        | 50 - 300   |                      | 16     | 2.5     | 20          |
| Arai et al. $[34]$      | DC corona  | 100        |                      | 0-20   | 1       | 0 - 15      |
| Mok and Huh $[26]$      | DBD-cat.   |            | 180                  | 14.2   | 10      | 8 - 15      |
| Mok [14]                | Packed bed | 275        | 300                  |        | 5       | 12 - 17     |
| Leipold et al. $[37]$   | DBD        | 500        |                      | 7 - 20 | 1 - 6   | 125 - 468 W |
| Santillan et al. $[48]$ | DBD        | 250 - 1000 |                      | 10     | 1 - 13  | 9-20        |
| Present work            | DBD        | 100        | 112                  | 0-20   | 0.5 - 2 | 0 - 15      |

TABLE 2.2 Typical experimental conditions in the literature

Comparing to the practical diesel exhaust gas composition,  $O_2$  fraction was in similar range. NO concentration of 100ppm was chosen because this concentration of NO is still meaningful at some certain operating conditions of the diesel engines. It has been used in some research works in the literatures [26, 34, 87] to study the  $NO_x$  removal characteristics. Additionally, the small lab-scaled size DBD reactor and the limited high voltage source in this study only has the capability of fulfilling experiments at this level of concentration. That is a reason why gas flow rates of 0, 1L/min, and 2L/min were used.

#### 2.2.3 PM

#### (1) PM generator

To load PM on the DPF, a diffusion flame PM formation system [85] was used. The schematic layout of this system is illustrated in Fig.2.13. Liquid fuel from the tank was heated to 130°C by an electric heater. The combustion air was blown through a layer of mesh plate with alumina balls as a filter into combustion chamber. In

the combustion chamber of 60mmx60mmx240mm, as ignited, a diffusion flame of diesel fuel was formed and it generated PM. Then PM exhausted from the top of the chamber was loaded on the DPF by using the pump installed behind it.



FIGURE 2.13 PM formation system with diffusion flame

#### (2) Fuel

Due to the chemical complexity of fossil diesel fuel, some representative compounds and mixtures are often used for research purpose. In this study, the mixture of  $\alpha$ -methylnaphthalene and n-decane used as a surrogate diesel fuel to generate PM. When fuel with sulfur is required, thiophene will be added into the surrogate fuel. The formula and structure of  $\alpha$ -methylnaphthalene, n-decane, and thiophene are shown in Table 2.3. The volumetric ratio to get surrogate fuel without sulfur and the one with 350ppm sulfur are also available. The mixture of 30%  $\alpha$ -methylnaphthalene and 70% n-decane (cetane number of 58, [88]) was used as a standard fuel.

|            | $\alpha$ -methylnaphthalene       | n-decane  | Thiophene                     |  |  |  |  |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Formula    | $C_{10}H_7CH_3$                   | $ m CH_3( m CH_2)_8 m CH_3$   | $C_4H_4S$                     |  |  |  |  |
| Structure  | ₽<br>E                            | $\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{T} \\ \mathbf{U} \\ $ | s                             |  |  |  |  |
|            | 30                                | 70  |                               |  |  |  |  |
| Volumetric | Surrogate fuel without sulfur     |   |                               |  |  |  |  |
| ratio      | 30                                | 70  | $0.7 \mathrm{ml}/\mathrm{1L}$ |  |  |  |  |
|            | Surrogate fuel with 350ppm sulfur |   |                               |  |  |  |  |

 TABLE 2.3
 Surrogate diesel fuel composition

#### (3) Characterization of test PM

The composition and particle size distribution of PM using in this study were showed in Figs.2.14 and 2.15. Figure 2.14 expresses the compositions of four different PM samples: PM01, PM02,  $PM_{SUL}$  and  $PM_{WET}$ . PM01 was sampled



FIGURE 2.14 Composition of different PM samples

from above PM generator with the flame height  $L_f$ =50mm whereas PM02 was the one with  $L_f$ =30mm. PM<sub>WET</sub> was similar to PM02 ( $L_f$ =30mm) but the loaded DPF was not dried up with N<sub>2</sub>. And PM<sub>SUL</sub> was taken with the fuel blended with 350ppm thiophene at the flame height of 50mm. The analysis results showed the SOF in cases of PM01 and PM02 were 6% and 11%, respectively. Sulfate fraction in case of  $PM_{SUL}$  was 0.24%. For  $PM_{WET}$ , SOF was 10% and water (moisture) was 5%. In short, the flame height showed its effect on PM composition rather than fuel composition. For the same flame height ( $L_f$ =30mm as PM02,  $PM_{WET}$ ;  $L_f$ =50mm as PM01,  $PM_{SUL}$ ), SOF was almost the same while soot fraction changed slightly according to fuel composition. In this study, to eliminate the effect of hydrocarbon and water on NO<sub>x</sub> removal, most of taken PM samples with  $L_f$ =30mm were dried up and had SOF about 10%.

Concerning particle size distribution, Fig.2.15 illustrated the experimental results using SMPS-3034 in cases of (1) fuel consumption rate  $M_f=1.1$ mg/s, flame height  $L_f=30$ mm with or without sulfur addition and (2)  $M_f=2.17$ mg/s,  $L_f=50$ mm. At the flame height  $L_f=30$ mm, the number concentration got peak at particle diameter about 100nm. For  $L_f=50$ mm, the number got peak at particle diameter about 120nm. PM samples used in this study were taken from non sulfur fuel with  $L_f=30$ mm.



FIGURE 2.15 PM particle size distribution

#### (4) PM loading on DPF

Figure 2.16 shows the procedure of pre-treatment and PM loading on the DPF. It shows the steps to get the required PM mass for DPF. In this study, the PM mass of 100–200mg was chosen. The reason is that a PM loading of 1 to 5g/L was commonly reported in the literature as experimental data of the DPF. [48, 56, 82] The DPF used in this experiment was a kind of down-sized model with a volume of 0.0316L (Fig.2.5). Thus, 100mg PM loading means 3.2g/L. This was the general condition of PM loading.



FIGURE 2.16 PM sampling chart

For loading PM, at first a new clean DPF is purged by  $N_2$  in 30 minutes with a flow rate of 1L/min in order to make sure that there are no residues inside the DPF. Then it is put on the electric micro-balance to determine tare weight. After that, DPF was loaded with wet PM by the PM generator in 20–25 minutes. So in actual, PM, hydrocarbons and also water was loaded (see Fig.2.14). In order to determine PM mass and exclude the effect of hydrocarbon and water to  $NO_x$ removal characteristics, in the next step, the DPF with PM was dried up with  $N_2$ . Finally, the loaded DPF will be put on the micro-balance to check if the PM mass is 100mg or not. If the PM mass is still not enough, then the PM should be reloaded.

The state of a electrode inside a channel of DPF was sketched in Fig.2.17. The electrode is covered with a PM layer. An average thickness of PM layer was from 2–2.6 $\mu$ m. That was calculated with the mean PM density of 1mg/cm<sup>3</sup> [89], and loaded PM mass of 100mg. It was assumed that PM was covered with the same thickness at every surface areas of the DPF.



FIGURE 2.17 Model of electrode with PM inside DPF

#### 2.2.4 Experimental parameter and data analysis

#### (1) Definition of $NO_x$

In this study,  $NO_x$  concentration in ppm was defined by sum of NO concentration in ppm and  $NO_2$  concentration in ppm.

$$NO_x = NO + NO_2 \tag{2.1}$$

In some experiments,  $N_2O$  and  $N_2O_5$  might be formed but they were not added into  $NO_x$  because they are out of emission legislations.

#### (2) Discharge energy density

Discharge energy density is defined as discharge power per unit of test gas volume, in J/L. It means the total discharge energy received in the reactor.

$$E = \frac{60 \cdot P}{Q} \tag{2.2}$$

where

P is the discharge power, in W (or J/s)

Q is the gas flow rate running through system, in litre per minute (L/min). The calculation of discharge power P will be described in section 2.3.4.

#### (3) $DeNO_x$ efficiency

 $DeNO_x$  efficiency in percent (%) was defined by the ratio between  $NO_x$  reduction from initial concentration in ppm and the input concentration in ppm.

#### 2.2.5 Experimental condition and procedure

#### (1) Setup of experimental condition and gas sampling

All the experiments were carried out under room temperature conditions. The exhaust simulated gas comes from gas cylinders. There are three types of original gas to be used:  $N_2$ , NO, and  $O_2$ . Gases from cylinders were regulated by valves and flowmeters to ensure the dedicated composition. The sampling gas volume of 10L needs to be taken into the Tedlar bag so with the gas flow rates of 0.5, 1, 2L/min then sampling time should be 20, 10, and 5 minutes, respectively.

#### (2) Procedure

To investigate the  $NO_x$  removal characteristics following applied voltage or energy density, sampling gases were taken at every 1kV in the range of applied voltage (0–15kV). In addition, the by-pass sampling gas (no applied voltage and gas does not come into reactor) was also taken for evaluation.

In steady reaction and transient state tests, sampling gases were taken at every 1 hour running during experiment, i.e 0–6 hours maximum. The by-pass sampling gas was also taken as previous case.

In case of DPF loaded with PM, gas composition and sampling procedure was the same. PM sampling was already described in previous section 2.2.3.

In the next chapters, the experimental results of NO<sub>2</sub> removal in case of N<sub>2</sub> + NO mixture comparing to those in case of N<sub>2</sub> + NO + O<sub>2</sub> mixture with O<sub>2</sub> fraction changed from 0–20% are showed. In details, five different O<sub>2</sub> fractions, namely 1%, 5%, 10%, 15% and 20% were reported.

Although it had been reported partly in previous research works [34, 38-40, 90, 91], the role of O<sub>2</sub> as an oxidation agent was extensively investigated in the present study. Additionally, from those results, the proposed reaction mechanism inside the reactor was confirmed.

## 2.3 Basic performance of the reactor

#### 2.3.1 Spark discharge limit and maximum safety voltage

As the applied voltage increased from zero, the electric current of the reactors increased. The current started to increase rapidly from applied voltage of 8kV (equals to 54V at volt slider). When applied voltage beyond 14.3kV (equals to 95V at volt slider), spark discharge sometimes took place between outside of main reactor's cylinder and high voltage electrode. So the applied voltage of 14.3kV was

the maximum safety voltage of the reactor. For safety reason when experiments were taken place in a long time (up to six hours), the standard value of applied voltage was 12.75kV.

#### 2.3.2 Electric currents of pre-reactor and main reactor

Electric currents of pre-reactor (needle-to-cylinder) and main reactor (9-wire-to-cylinder) are expressed in Fig.2.18. They were measured as each reactor stood alone, test conditions were the same in both cases. The maximum value of  $118\mu$ A was reached at applied voltage of 14.3kV.



(a) Electric currents of pre-reactor and main reactor



FIGURE 2.18 Discharge and displacement currents

From the experimental result in Fig. 2.18(a), the current curves demonstrate two phases: phase A of displacement current and phase B of discharge current. These currents were illustrated by a current model in Fig.2.18(b). Discharge current was formed from the movement of free electrons in discharge volume whereas displacement current formed from bound electrons in dielectric substance. In other words, displacement current was created by electron displacement from atom to atom in a solid substance. Thus it is proportional to the applied voltage (dotted lines in Fig. 2.18(a)). The description of displacement current in details can be seen as in Fig. 2.18(c). At the initial stages of applied voltage in phase A, when the gas breakdown point was not reached, the circuit was closed by displacement current rather than charged particles transport. [6] When applied voltage was higher than the gas breakdown point (about 8kV in this case) in phase B, the current was a total of displacement current and discharge current. As a result, the current was increased considerably when applied voltage increased. Because it was very difficult to separate exactly the discharge current and displacement current (non-effective current for  $deNO_x$ ) then overall current was used for energy index in this thesis.

#### 2.3.3 Current-voltage characteristics

The current-voltage characteristics of the experimental system with gas mixture of  $NO_x$ ,  $O_2$ , and  $N_2$  at the flow rate of 1L/min is shown in Fig.2.19.  $NO_x$  concentration was kept constant at 112ppm;  $O_2$  fractions of 0, 5%, 10%, and 15% whereas PM loaded in DPF were 0mg, 100mg, and 200mg.

Current-voltage characteristics shown here could be divided into two groups of curve: one with PM=0mg and the other with PM=100mg or 200mg. For each set of curves, as  $O_2$  fraction increased, the electric current decreased. Due to the higher equilibrium constant of the decomposition reaction of molecular nitrogen comparing to that of molecular oxygen, so  $N_2$  was more decomposed than  $O_2$ . As a result, with  $O_2=0\%$ , the electric current was high. On the other hand, the electric current through the reactor with PM loaded on DPF was significantly higher than that without PM. It was considered that some ionized radicals formed from PM contributed the increase of electric current. This can be explained that the electrodes were covered with PM as showed in Fig.2.17, and it made the discharge surface area increased. Because the maximum safety voltage in case of  $NO + N_2 + O_2$  is little lower than the one of  $NO + N_2$  gas mixture (14.3kV) so the



FIGURE 2.19 Current-voltage characteristics of the reactor

applied voltage of 12.75kV in Chapter 4 which was used as standard test condition for PM effect investigation. It is in the effective current zone (phase B in Fig.2.18).

#### 2.3.4 Power factor and discharge power

To calculate the supplied power or energy density, the voltage and current (see Fig.2.9) were measured by the Sanwa digital multimeters CD772 which give the true root-mean-square (rms) values. Then the power equals to

$$P = V_{rms}.I_{rms}.\cos\varphi \tag{2.3}$$

whereas

P: Supplied power, in W or J/s

 $V_{rms}$ : The root-mean-square amplitude of voltage, in V

 $I_{rms}$ : The root-mean-square amplitude of current, in A

 $\cos\varphi$ : The power factor, with  $\varphi$  is the phase angle between the current and voltage. In this case,  $\varphi=18^{\circ}$ , checked by the oscilloscope Kenwood OS-8010 as showed in Fig.2.20. Since  $\cos(18^{\circ})=0.951$  is almost unity,  $\cos(18^{\circ})=0.951\cong1$ , then for experimental data analysis:

$$P = V_{rms}.I_{rms}.\cos(18^\circ) \cong V_{rms}.I_{rms}$$
(2.4)



FIGURE 2.20 Phase angle of the reactor

## 2.4 Summary

In the literatures, there were various types of dielectric barrier discharge reactor configuration introduced to study  $NO_x$  removal characteristics. Some typical reactors were planar and cylindrical dielectric barrier discharge (DBD), surface discharge, pulsed corona discharge, and ferroelectric pellet packed-bed reactor configurations. The surface discharge configuration is quite simple but it is not suitable application for a volume discharge in this study. In contrary, pulsed corona discharge can create the volume discharge but its complexity make it difficult in practical use. The ferroelectric pellet packed-bed configuration can apply for gas treatment. However, this type of reactor does not meet purpose of this study regarding gas mixture with PM.

In this study, a cylindrical DBD reactor was chosen since its compact and simplicity for lab-scale experiments. It can combine well with a DPF to investigate  $NO_x$  treatment with diesel exhaust gas as objective of this study. In details, a needle-to-cylinder (or wire-to-cylinder) configuration was built because it showed the best performance among common reactor configurations with the not so high range of applied voltage. The cordierite wall-flow type DPF of lab-scaled size (outer diameter of 35.5mm and length of 60mm) was used.

## Chapter 3

# Effects of oxygen on NO<sub>x</sub> removal characteristics

### **3.1** Fundamental NO removal reaction

Oxygen is the oxidization agent of molecule, and NO removal mechanism might be far different for the cases of with and without oxygen in gas mixture. Thus deNO<sub>x</sub> process in the gas mixture without oxygen was first investigated for N radical effect where N radical was formed by dielectric barrier discharge in the N<sub>2</sub> + NO mixture. To investigate NO<sub>x</sub> removal in NO + N<sub>2</sub> mixture, two types of test were carried out: steady reaction test (running under maximum safety voltage for 4 hours) and characteristics test (NO<sub>x</sub> removal as a function of applied voltage or energy density).

Figure 3.1 shows the experimental results in case of steady reaction test, with clean DPF (PM=0mg), gas mixture of NO + N<sub>2</sub>, and flow rate Q=1L/min. The vertical axis is gas concentration in ppm and the horizontal axis expresses running time in hours. Concentrations at point [0] indicate initial concentrations of source mixture. The point zero (0) is the real measured value at the reactor outlet before reactor is switched on (electric voltage equals to zero).

It can be seen that at point (0), there was a little amount of NO<sub>2</sub> detected. The reason is that there was a slight oxygen leaked into the pipeline and reactor due to the insufficient sealing. During sampling period, it mixed with gas mixture of N<sub>2</sub> + NO and there was enough time for some NO molecules to be oxidized into NO<sub>2</sub> according to below reaction scheme, so called the natural oxidation process.

$$2NO + O_2 \longrightarrow 2NO_2$$



FIGURE 3.1 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in N<sub>2</sub> + NO mixture (Q=1L/min)

According to Fig.3.1, NO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations were detected during experiment. Over four running hours, the N<sub>2</sub>O concentration was almost zero, so it could be negligible. NO<sub>2</sub> formation was also NO oxidation. NO<sub>x</sub> concentration (sum of NO and NO<sub>2</sub>) decreased at first and then almost unchanged. It means that the reaction rate of NO<sub>x</sub> removal, which was also the NO reduction rate, was independent of running time after applying electricity. However, there was some ppm of NO<sub>2</sub> detected although gas mixture did not contain O<sub>2</sub>. In this chapter, experimental result of steady state (running time up to 4 hours) was only discussed, and the transient phenomenon will be discussed in chapter 4.

The reaction mechanism can be described as follows. When the NO reduction and NO<sub>2</sub> formation shown in the figure were taken into consideration, total NO change from initial value (NO removal) of 100ppm consists of NO reduction due to decomposition reaction (75%) and NO oxidation reaction (25%). It means that NO<sub>2</sub> formation is negative reaction for NO<sub>x</sub> removal. The decomposition reaction of NO to return N<sub>2</sub>, or NO reduction, was given by:

The O radical as a product from this reaction then oxidizes NO to form  $NO_2$  (NO oxidation):

$$NO + O \longrightarrow NO_2$$

Besides that, there might have a small amount of NO was converted to  $NO_2$  (NO oxidation) by following reaction scheme.

$$2NO \longrightarrow NO_2 + N$$

As for the formation of  $N_2O$ , it was considered that  $N_2O$  formation reaction was incomplete NO reduction of N radical with following reaction.

$$NO + N^* \longrightarrow N_2O$$

Then the existence of  $N_2O$  was one of the evidences of NO reduction process by N radical.

## 3.2 $NO_x$ removal in $NO + N_2$ mixture

#### 3.2.1 NO<sub>x</sub> removal characteristics and less effective area

For  $NO_x$  removal characteristics test, results in case of gas mixture of 100ppm NO and  $N_2$  left at flow rates of 2L/min, 1L/min and 0.5L/min are shown in Figs.3.2, 3.3, and 3.4. In order to clarify the main parameter of  $NO_x$  reduction, the gas flow rate was decreased to 1L/min to 0.5L/min, which are showed in Figs.3.3 and 3.4, respectively.

The experimental results at each value of gas flow rate were illustrated in both applied voltage (left figure) and energy density (right figure). The vertical axis is concentration of gas in ppm. The horizontal axis expresses the applied voltage in kV or the energy density in J/L. Energy density here is defined by supplied power per unit of gas flow rate. N<sub>2</sub>O concentration is not concerned in this case because it was observed that N<sub>2</sub>O was almost zero during experiments. In these figures, since the range of applied voltage was the same (0–13.5kV) for all values of gas flow rates (Figs.3.2, 3.3, and 3.4), it was expressed in the same scale on horizontal axis.

When the applied voltage is less than 12kV (Fig.3.2), the electric current was almost displacement current (phase A in Fig.2.18). This can be also observed in Fig.2.19. Displacement current did not produce any radical in the space because it did not mean the discharge. In this case, a weak discharge current with low voltage was generated with low energy radicals. Additionally, the intensity of electrical field depends on the space inside reactor and applied voltage. So the data expressed



FIGURE 3.2 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in NO+N<sub>2</sub> mixture (flow rate Q=2L/min)

in applied voltage implies the  $NO_x$  removal characteristics of discharge space and intensity of electrical field. The data expressed in energy density, on the other hand, shows the  $NO_x$  removal characteristics for gas flowing through the reactor. It concerns both electrical field and the discharge energy to an unit volume of flowing gas.

Figure 3.3 shows the NO<sub>x</sub> removal effect by barrier discharge reactor with mixture of 100ppm NO and N<sub>2</sub> left at flow rate of 1L/min. In this case, as NO reduction was 35ppm while NO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased up to 5ppm at V=13.5kV, the total NO<sub>x</sub> concentration was decreased by 30ppm at this applied voltage. When energy density increased, the NO concentration decreased. At the flow rate of 2L/min (Fig.3.2), NO concentration was decreased from 106ppm to 80ppm and NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was decreased a few ppm. Then, the total NO<sub>x</sub> removal at 12.75kV (E=70J/L) could be approximately 20ppm.

Then the above results are comparable to the previous work carried out by Zhu et al., 2005 [74], using a cylinder-to-cylinder DBD reactor to study the NO conversion with gas mixture of NO + N<sub>2</sub> at atmospheric temperature and pressure. At gas flow rate of 1L/min and the applied voltage of 12kV (similar to the maximum safety voltage in our experiments), the NO<sub>x</sub> removal was 18% to initial value. In our experiments, the NO<sub>x</sub> reduction was 30% from the initial value of 100ppm as showed in Fig.3.3. Comparing the results shown in Fig.3.2 and Fig.3.3, it was clear that under maximum safety voltage, 35ppm NO reduction and 5ppm NO<sub>2</sub> formation in Fig.3.3 is superior than 20ppm reduction in Fig.3.2.



FIGURE 3.3 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in NO + N<sub>2</sub> mixture (flow rate Q=1L/min)

The experimental result in case the gas flow rate of Q=0.5L/min is showed in Fig.3.4. NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was around 5ppm during experiment. When increasing the energy density to 256J/L, NO concentration was 40ppm lower than initial value at 0J/L. As a result, total NO<sub>x</sub> concentration was also reduced 40ppm (equal to 44% from initial concentration). In terms of applied voltage, the less effective area was just limited at the applied voltage of 8kV.



FIGURE 3.4 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in NO+N<sub>2</sub> mixture (flow rate Q=0.5L/min)

#### 3.2.2 DeNO<sub>x</sub> efficiency and minimum energy density

The results shown in Figs.3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 pointed out that the less effective area in case of 2L/min was at the applied voltage less than 12kV (E=40J/L), in case
of 1L/min was at the applied voltage less than 10kV (E=40J/L), and in case of 0.5L/min was at the applied voltage less than 8kV (E=40J/L). This implies that the less effective area was controlled by energy density which was within the phase A in Fig.2.18 and Fig.2.19 (Chapter 2).

To summarize the experimental results of  $NO_x$  removal characteristics test in case of gas mixture of NO and N<sub>2</sub>, Fig.3.5 expresses deNO<sub>x</sub> efficiency depending on energy density at different gas flow rates. Because NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was insignificant, NO removal efficiency and NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency were almost the same. The maximum NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiencies under the maximum applied voltage were 44%, 35%, and 23% at gas flow rate of 0.5L/min, 1L/min, and 2L/min, respectively. These results can be explained that as gas flow rate increasing, the reaction duration inside the reactor was shortened, so number of NO<sub>x</sub> molecules which can take part in reactions with activated radicals, was accordingly decreased.



FIGURE 3.5 DeNO<sub>x</sub> efficiency in  $N_2 + NO$  mixture

From the left figure of Fig.3.5, the deNO<sub>x</sub> efficiency in case of gas flow rate of 0.5L/min increase started at lower voltage comparing to the cases of 1L/min and 2L/min. In addition, there was also a significant difference in deNO<sub>x</sub> efficiency between them at the same applied voltage. At a low gas flow rate (0.5L/min), free activated radicals inside reactor might reach opposite electrode and take part in chemical reactions. Those conditions were not available at higher gas flow rates (1L/min and 2L/min).

As for the relation between deNO<sub>x</sub> efficiency and energy density, deNO<sub>x</sub> efficiency in the case of 2L/min showed different behavior with other cases. It means that both of energy density and applied voltage were the dominate parameters of NO<sub>x</sub> removal reactions. Additionally, the relation between the less effective area in voltage and the minimum energy density in J/L can also be seen from Fig.3.5. The less effective area (deNO<sub>x</sub> efficiency less than around 5%) changed at different gas flow rates but it seems that the minimum energy density was constant at about 40J/L. The minimum energy density was also the limit of displacement current, as shown in Fig.2.18. So another substantial parameter of NO<sub>x</sub> removal is the minimum energy density.

### 3.3 $NO_x$ removal in $NO + N_2 + O_2$ mixture

#### 3.3.1 NO and NO<sub>2</sub> removal characteristics

 $NO_x$  removal characteristics with gas mixture of NO=100ppm,  $O_2=10\%$ , and  $N_2$  left at gas flow rate of 2L/min was investigated. The experimental result is illustrated in Fig.3.6. The left figures are expressed in applied voltage (kV), the right ones shows the data following energy density (J/L).



FIGURE 3.6 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in N<sub>2</sub> + NO + O<sub>2</sub> mixture (O<sub>2</sub>=10% and  $Q=2L/\min$ )

At gas flow rate of 2L/min, as can be seen in left figure of Fig.3.6, the chemical reactions under discharge field started at lower applied voltage (about 7kV) than in case of gas mixture without oxygen (about 12kV, see Fig.3.2). Since  $O_2$  decomposition was taken more easily than  $N_2$  decomposition, O radical reaction might

be started at lower voltage discharge than N radical reaction. It was supposed that the NO oxidation reaction

$$NO + O^* \longrightarrow NO_2$$

easily occur than the NO decomposition due to the higher activeness of  $O_3$  or O radical comparing to N<sup>\*</sup> radical

$$NO + N^* \longrightarrow N_2 + O$$

This can be used to explain the difference in the less effective area between the case of gas mixture without oxygen and the case of gas mixture with oxygen. In details, with gas mixture with oxygen and gas flow rate  $2L/\min$ , the less effective area was at the applied voltage less than 7kV. This value was smaller than the one in case of gas mixture without oxygen at the same gas flow rate (V $\leq$ 12kV, Fig.3.2).

From the right side of Fig.3.6, as energy density increased, NO decreased  $(\Delta NO=40ppm)$  about 40% from initial concentration of 99ppm to 59ppm at the energy density of 58J/L and V=13.5kV. On the contrary, NO<sub>2</sub> increased from 7ppm to 37ppm ( $\Delta NO_2=30ppm$ ) at same voltage. Therefore, the NO<sub>x</sub> concentration decreased 10ppm (about 10%) from 106ppm to 96ppm. Moreover, in this condition the N<sub>2</sub>O concentration can be negligible. It means that NO reduction process was not stronger than the cases of without oxygen mixture. The effect of oxygen made NO<sub>x</sub> removal was far different from the case of gas mixture without oxygen showing in the previous section. In this case, the NO removal increased more drastically as the energy density increased. Conversely, the NO<sub>2</sub> formation concentration was also increased in inverse way. In total, there was only small amount of NO<sub>x</sub> removal was observed.

The reaction scheme in case of gas mixture with oxygen is described as follows. Since N<sub>2</sub>O concentration was insignificant, NO removal from initial value ( $\Delta$ NO) is a total of NO reduction and NO oxidation. Calculation from data in Fig.3.6 with  $\Delta$ NO of 40ppm, NO<sub>2</sub> formation from NO oxidation of 38ppm under the maximum safety voltage. It means that the NO oxidation was 95% while the NO reduction was only 5%. The NO reduction scheme is similar to the case of gas mixture without oxygen:

$$N_2 + e \longrightarrow 2N^* + e$$
  
 $NO + N^* \longrightarrow N_2 + O$ 

The NO oxidation process in this case occurs with the existence from two sources: the O radical as a product of the latter reaction and oxygen from input gas mixture. By the first source, the oxidation reaction is:

$$NO + O \longrightarrow NO_2$$

The NO oxidation reaction scheme with oxygen from input gas mixture is as follows.

$$O_2 + e \longrightarrow 2O^* + e$$
  
NO + O<sup>\*</sup>  $\longrightarrow$  NO<sub>2</sub>

#### **3.3.2** Effect of gas flow rate

In case that the gas flow rate was 1L/min, the NO<sub>x</sub> removal characteristics are shown in Fig.3.7. NO concentration decreased rapidly as the energy density was increased. In total the NO removal of 60ppm was recorded at the maximum safety voltage. Inversely, NO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased from 10ppm to 54ppm. By that way, the NO<sub>x</sub> concentration decreased only 16ppm. The starting point of effective discharge was about 8kV (see left figure) which was lower than that in case of gas mixture without oxygen (V=10kV).



FIGURE 3.7 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in N<sub>2</sub> + NO + O<sub>2</sub> mixture (O<sub>2</sub>=10% and Q=1L/min)

Figure 3.8 shows the relation between  $NO_x$  removal by barrier discharge field with 100ppm NO, 10%  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  left mixture. The gas flow rate was 0.5L/min. The left figure showed that the discharge field started effective at about 7.5kV comparing to 8kV in case of gas mixture without oxygen. NO concentration



FIGURE 3.8 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in N<sub>2</sub> + NO + O<sub>2</sub> mixture (O<sub>2</sub>=10% and Q=0.5L/min)

decreased to 0ppm when the energy density reached 250J/L. On the other hand, NO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased from 21ppm to 85ppm. Consequently, NO<sub>x</sub> removal was about 16ppm. In addition, though it slightly increased during experiment, N<sub>2</sub>O concentration was only 6ppm at the maximum energy density for safety voltage.

#### 3.3.3 $DeNO_x$ efficiency and minimum energy density

The summarized result in case of gas mixture of  $N_2 + NO + O_2$  at gas flow rates of 0.5L/min, 1L/min, and 2L/min is illustrated in Fig.3.9. When the applied voltage was 13.5kV and constant, the NO removal from initial value of 100ppm were 40%, 65%, and 100% at gas flow rate of 2L/min, 1L/min, and 0.5L/min, respectively. However, at V=13.5kV the maximum NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency was only 15%. It caused by the existence of oxygen in gas mixture leading to dominated NO<sub>2</sub> formation process. Almost NO removal came from NO oxidation reaction scheme to form NO<sub>2</sub>. For this reason, at flow rate of 0.5L/min, even the NO concentration was decreased to zero but NO<sub>x</sub> removal efficiency was about 15%. On the other hand, the existence of oxygen lowered the starting of effective applied voltage at which the chemical reactions by the activated radicals inside reactor really happened.

Comparing the data from Fig.3.5 and Fig.3.9, it can be seen that the minimum energy density was reduced from 40J/L (N<sub>2</sub>+NO mixture, Fig.3.5) to around 10J/L (N<sub>2</sub> + NO + O<sub>2</sub> mixture, Fig.3.9). However, in N<sub>2</sub>+NO mixture, deNO<sub>x</sub> reaction was NO reduction while in N<sub>2</sub> + NO + O<sub>2</sub> mixture, deNO<sub>x</sub> reaction was



FIGURE 3.9 DeNO<sub>x</sub> efficiency in  $N_2 + NO + O_2$  mixture

NO oxidation by O radical. The difference above can be explained that  $O_2$  recombination ( $O_2 \longrightarrow 2O$ ) is easier to happen than  $N_2$  ( $N_2 \longrightarrow 2N$ ).

The data on Fig.3.9 implies that for the gas flow rates of 1L/min and 2L/min, if the applied voltage continue to increase, the NO removal (by oxidation to  $NO_2$ ) might increase. However, for all three cases, it seems that even if the applied voltage increases beyond 13.5kV, the deNO<sub>x</sub> efficiency would not increase beyond 15%.

#### **3.3.4** Effect of oxygen fraction

To investigate the effect of oxygen fraction on NO<sub>x</sub> removal behavior under barrier discharge field, the gas flow rate Q=0.5L/min was kept constant while oxygen fractions were changed in 0%, 10%, 15%, and 20%. Gas mixture consisted of 100ppm NO, O<sub>2</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub> remaining. The obtained results were previously shown in Fig.3.4 (O<sub>2</sub>=0%) and Fig.3.8 (O<sub>2</sub>=10%); and the new additional data are Fig.3.10 (O<sub>2</sub>=15%) and Fig.3.11 (O<sub>2</sub>=20%).

As mentioned before with oxygen and without oxygen mixtures,  $NO_x$  removal characteristics were far different. As for the mixtures with oxygen, when the energy density increased from 0 to 100J/L (applied voltage of 11kV),  $NO_x$  concentration decreased slowly and similarly in all three cases ( $O_2=10\%$ , 15%, and 20%). In this voltage condition, NO concentration decreased significantly but  $NO_2$  concentration increased in the same order while  $N_2O$  was still negligible. Since  $NO_x$  was evaluated by total of NO and  $NO_2$ , so from the point that NO completely oxidized to  $NO_2$ ,



FIGURE 3.10 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in N<sub>2</sub> + NO + O<sub>2</sub> mixture (O<sub>2</sub>=15% and Q=0.5L/min)

 $NO_x$  concentration equals to  $NO_2$  concentration which continued to be oxidized to  $N_2O_5$  as energy density increased.

When the energy density increased beyond 100J/L, NO<sub>x</sub> removal behavior is different for each gas mixture. With  $O_2=10\%$  (Fig.3.8), NO concentration reduced to zero at energy density of 250J/L while NO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased up to 85ppm, thus NO<sub>x</sub> removal in total was only 16ppm. In the case of  $O_2=15\%$ (Fig.3.10), NO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased in the same way with gas mixture containing  $O_2=10\%$  (Fig.3.8). On the other hand, NO concentration decreased and was zero at energy density of 180J/L. From that point, when energy density increased both NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations went down. At energy density of 225J/L, NO<sub>x</sub> concentration was 60ppm (equals to 37.5% removal from initial concentration).

For  $O_2=20\%$  (Fig.3.11), NO concentration decreased faster than both of two cases mentioned above, while NO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased rapidly until the energy density reached 140J/L. At that point, NO concentration was zero and NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was 66ppm. After that, when energy density increased, both NO<sub>2</sub> as well as NO<sub>x</sub> decreased very fast. At energy density of 224J/L, NO<sub>x</sub> concentration was reduced to zero.

The phenomenon that NO concentration decreased to zero (at 12.8kV in case of 15% oxygen, 12kV in case of 20% oxygen) as seen in Figs.3.10 and 3.11 can be explained as follows. Since  $NO_x$  in this study is a total of NO and  $NO_2$ , so from that point the  $NO_x$  concentration equals to  $NO_2$  concentration. And with



FIGURE 3.11 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in N<sub>2</sub> + NO + O<sub>2</sub> mixture (O<sub>2</sub>=20% and Q=0.5L/min)

oxygen available in supplied gas mixture,  $NO_2$  might continue to be oxidized to form  $N_2O_5$ .

Consider the data reported by Saito et al., 2006 [38] using corona discharge reactor of a quartz glass tube (27mm inner diameter and 500mm in length) with the similar gas composition, the energy density needed to remove 100ppm of NO<sub>x</sub> concentration was over 500J/L. In another work by Dors and Mizeraczyk, 2003 [44] using a corona discharge-catalyst hybrid system, the energy density to remove completely NO was over 400J/L. So in both cases, the energy density to remove NO<sub>x</sub> is higher than in this study. It means that more effective removal of NO<sub>x</sub> was performed in the present system.

The effect of oxygen on  $NO_x$  removal characteristics at Q=0.5L/min is summarized in Fig.3.12. The vertical axis expressed the NO and  $NO_x$  removal in ppm which calculated as subtract the remaining concentration from the initial one. Data were collected with applied voltage of 12.8kV and 13.5kV. When oxygen fraction in gas mixture increased, the  $NO_x$  removal was also increased and removal efficiency was beyond 70%. However,  $NO_x$  removal was lower than in case of gas mixture without oxygen. The reason is that NO was oxidized with oxygen to form  $NO_2$ . As oxygen of 15% and 20%,  $NO_x$  reduction in Fig.3.12 looks better than in case of oxygen fractions of 0 and 10%. Actually, after NO was completely converted to  $NO_2$ , the formed  $NO_2$  may continue to react with ozone to form  $N_2O_5$  which was not measured in this study. Reaction scheme of this further oxidation



FIGURE 3.12 Effect of oxygen on  $NO_x$  removal at Q=0.5L/min

process is given as below.

$$2NO_2 + O_3 \longrightarrow N_2O_5 + O_2$$

#### 3.4 Explanation using reaction scheme

The NO<sub>x</sub> removal reaction mechanism in discharge field with gas mixture of N<sub>2</sub>, NO, and O<sub>2</sub> has been discussed in many papers.[25, 40, 43] Since in this study NO<sub>x</sub> is total of NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, the NO<sub>x</sub> removal mainly depends on NO reduction and NO oxidation processes. Moreover, N<sub>2</sub>O concentration was determined very small and can be negligible, the NO<sub>x</sub> removal can be calculated as a subtraction between the NO change from initial value and the NO<sub>2</sub> formation from NO oxidation process.

The NO reduction process occurs as following reaction scheme:

$$N_2 + e \longrightarrow 2N^* + e$$
 (3.1)

$$NO + N^* \longrightarrow N_2 + O$$
 (3.2)

where e is electron.

Firstly, the  $N_2$  molecules in the gas mixture were excited by discharge field to form N radicals (N<sup>\*</sup>). These radicals then react with NO to transform it into  $N_2$ and O as in reaction (3.2). This process sometimes is called the primary mechanism for NO destruction.[25] In case of gas mixture without oxygen, this was the main reaction of  $NO_x$  removal process. Calculation from data in Figs.3.2, 3.3, and 3.4 at critical value of applied voltage (13.5kV) showed that for gas mixture of  $NO + N_2$ , the NO removal was 85%, 82%, and 83.5% with gas flow rate of 0.5L/min, 1L/min, and 2L/min, respectively.

The decomposition reaction of  $NO_2$  by N radicals may contribute to  $NO_x$  removal following below reactions:

$$NO_2 + N^* \longrightarrow N_2O + O$$
 (3.3)

$$NO_2 + N^* \longrightarrow N_2 + O_2$$
 (3.4)

On the contrary, in case of gas mixture with oxygen, the NO oxidation process to form NO<sub>2</sub> prevailed. The NO oxidation process with the existence of oxygen in gas mixture is described as below chemical reactions [25, 34, 90]. Initially, under discharge field, O radicals are formed and then they oxidizes  $O_2$  to form ozone:

$$O_2 + e \longrightarrow 2O^* + e$$
 (3.5)

$$O_2 + O^* \longrightarrow O_3$$
 (3.6)

Then NO is oxidized by O radicals and ozone as follows:

$$NO + O_3 \longrightarrow NO_2 + O_2$$
 (3.7)

$$NO + O^* \longrightarrow NO_2$$
 (3.8)

The calculation at the critical applied voltage of 13.5kV with the data in Figs.3.6, 3.7, and 3.8 showed that the NO removal efficiency by NO oxidation process was 88%, 92%, and 95% at gas flow rate of 0.5L/min, 1L/min, and 2L/min, respectively.

The experimental results with gas mixture of  $N_2 + NO + O_2$  and  $O_2=15\%$ , 20% pointed that at certain point where whole NO was oxidized to NO<sub>2</sub>, if continue to increase energy density then formed NO<sub>2</sub> will be oxidized to N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>. The reaction scheme for this further oxidation process is described as below reaction:

$$2NO_2 + O_3 \longrightarrow N_2O_5 + O_2 \tag{3.9}$$

However,  $N_2O_5$  could not be measured in this study.

Besides two main reaction schemes of NO reduction and NO oxidation as above, there are some auxiliary reactions might occur inside the reactor. The resulting balance between the formation and decomposition of NO and  $NO_2$  is influenced by the reverse reaction:

$$NO_2 + O \longrightarrow NO + O_2$$
 (3.10)

Reaction (3.10) is also known as the secondary formation mechanism of NO.

#### 3.5 Role of residence time

Residence time is an average amount of time that a gas spends in a reactor system. It can be calculated from following equation.

$$\tau = \frac{60 \cdot V_s}{Q} \tag{3.11}$$

where

 $\tau$  is residence time [sec]

 $V_s$  is the volume of the reactor system [litre]

Q is the gas flow rate running through system [litre/min].

A change in the gas flow rate varies directly the residence time in the reactor. Thus it is obviously that the gas flow rate affected to  $NO_x$  removal efficiency. When the gas flow rate is decreased the  $NO_x$  removal efficiency will increases. In other words, the longer the residence time is, the higher efficiency the  $NO_x$  removal can get. The reason is that with the same reactor and the same applied voltages so it can be assumed that the same amount of N radicals are formed. If the residence time, or the reaction time inside the reactor, is short then only some of N radicals can take part in NO destruction reaction (3.2). Accordingly, the  $NO_x$  removal efficiency is low.

The effect of residence time on  $NO_x$  efficiency can be seen in Fig.3.13. The residence time was calculated according to equation (3.11). For gas flow rates of 0.5L/min, 1L/min, and 2L/min, the residence time was 16s, 8s, and 4s, respectively. Data were collected in case of gas mixture without oxygen and gas mixture with 10% of oxygen. In case of gas mixture without oxygen, as residence time increased from 4s to 16s, NO removal was increased from 17% to 44%. Since  $NO_2$  was insignificant in this case as explained before, the  $NO_x$  removal was similar to NO removal efficiency.

Conversely,  $NO_x$  removal efficiency and NO removal efficiency are far different in case of gas mixture with oxygen. NO removal efficiency increased drastically as residence time increased and reached 100% at residence time of 16s. Nevertheless,  $NO_x$  removal efficiency was only about 15% and did not change even the residence time increased from 8s to 16s. This was explained by the NO oxidation process which is dominated when gas mixture contained oxygen. It means that the  $NO_x$ removal process seems saturated even if the residence time increased more.



FIGURE 3.13 Effect of residence time on  $NO_x$  removal efficiency

#### 3.6 Summary

 $NO_x$  removal behavior in dielectric barrier discharge with gas mixture of  $N_2$ , NO, and  $O_2$ ; oxygen fraction from 0–20%, gas flow rates from 0.5L/min to 2L/min can be described as follows:

- (1) In case of gas mixture without oxygen, the NO removal was almost identical to  $NO_x$  removal.
- (2) Oxygen plays a major role in NO oxidation process to form NO<sub>2</sub> in case of gas mixture of  $N_2 + NO + O_2$ . As  $O_2$  fraction increased, the NO oxidation process to form NO<sub>2</sub> ran faster. From the point that NO concentration was completely converted to NO<sub>2</sub>, it may be that the further deNO<sub>x</sub> process may occur to form  $N_2O_5$  if the supplied energy density continue to increase.
- (3) With the existence of oxygen, NO concentration first goes down while NO<sub>2</sub> concentration increases; then from the point that NO concentration equals to zero, NO<sub>2</sub> decreased. It may be that formed NO<sub>2</sub> continues to further oxidation with ozone to form more oxidized nitrogen such as N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>.
- (4) Residence time has an obviously effect on  $NO_x$  removal characteristics. The longer the residence time is, the higher  $NO_x$  removal can be received.

# Chapter 4

# Effects of particulate matter on $NO_x$ removal characteristics

# 4.1 Purpose and experimental setup to investigate PM effect on $NO_x$ removal

The objective of this chapter is to investigate the effects of particulate matter (PM) to  $NO_x$  removal characteristics under barrier discharge field. Moreover, the reaction mechanism is also discussed.

There are two kinds of PM to be used: "Fresh PM" (just loaded with PM generating system) and "Aged PM" (after hours running under applied voltage). These experimental results were compared to those in case of PDF without PM. Gas mixture in these experiments was  $NO + O_2 + N_2$  with NO concentration of 112ppm,  $O_2$  fractions of 1%, 5%, 10%, and  $N_2$  remaining. Gas flow rate was kept constant at 1L/min. The running time tests were done in 4–6 hours applying the maximum safety voltage of the reactor. When the exhaust PM from PM generator was directly loaded, hydrocarbon and water in the exhaust gas were also loaded on DPF. The purpose of this study was to investigate the pure PM effect on  $NO_x$  removal, then, dry-up PM was used for experiments. The difference between wet PM and dry PM was explained in section 2.2.3 and procedure of dry-up is introduced in Fig.2.16.

Using "Fresh PM", PM composition effect was investigated, test was started at high applied voltage condition, and then applied voltage was lowered. From that, Fig.4.1 and Fig.4.2 imply both effect of PM composition change and PM aging. At the first step, the NO removal characteristics with different PM compositions (soluble organic fraction - SOF, and sulfate) were investigated to find out the standard test PM.

Figure 4.1 shows the relation between SOF fraction in PM with  $NO_x$  removal characteristics. The test condition was: initial NO concentration of 100ppm, oxy-



FIGURE 4.1 SOF fraction in PM and  $NO_x$  removal

gen fraction of 10%, DPF loaded with 100mg of PM, and gas flow rate of 1L/min. Two PM samples with SOF fraction of 6% and 10% were used. The SOF fraction was adjusted by changing the flame height and measured by the PM composition analyzer Horiba MEXA-1370PM. These PM samples properties were described in Chapter 2 (Fig. 2.14). From the experimental result, it can be said that SOF fraction change did not influence  $NO_x$  removal performance of the system.

Regarding the effect of sulfate fraction to  $NO_x$  removal characteristics, Fig.4.2 expresses the experimental data with zero sulfate and 0.24% sulfate. Sulfate frac-



FIGURE 4.2 Sulfate fraction in PM and NO<sub>x</sub> removal

tion was changed by fuel blended with thi ophene. The results implied that sulfate fraction did not effect to  $NO_x$  removal characteristics.

So for simple, the PM with zero sulfate and SOF of 10% (the flame height of 30mm) was chosen as a standard test PM in this study.

#### 4.2 Experimental results with PM loaded DPF

#### 4.2.1 Simulated exhaust gas without oxygen

The effect of PM on  $NO_x$  removal characteristics by barrier discharge reactor with gas mixture without  $O_2$  in two cases of a clean DPF and another one loaded with 100mg of PM were studied. Test gas conditions were:  $NO_x$  and  $N_2$  mixture,  $NO_x=112$ ppm, gas flow rate of 1L/min. The constant applied voltage of 12.75kV was maintained under room temperature experiments.

Figure 4.3 shows the NO<sub>x</sub> removal characteristics in case of PM loaded DPF. The test conditions were: NO<sub>x</sub> + N<sub>2</sub> mixture, NO<sub>x</sub>=112ppm, gas flow rate Q=1L/min, and PM=100mg. The energy density was 168J/L at the constant applied voltage of 12.75kV. The test results showed that NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was lower than that in case of DPF without PM (see Fig.3.1) and NO<sub>x</sub> concentration was almost dominated with NO concentration. Then NO<sub>x</sub> reduction ratio was higher than that



FIGURE 4.3 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in N<sub>2</sub> + NO mixture (PM=100mg and Q=1L/min)

without PM. It meant that PM in DPF played some role in NO removal under oxygen absence condition.

The trace of  $CO_2$  and CO revealed that C atoms from PM had taken part into  $NO_x$  reduction process. Firstly, NO concentration decreased by following reaction:

$$C + 2NO \longrightarrow CO_2 + N_2$$
 (4.1)

On the other hand,  $NO_2$  is slightly formed from the oxidation of NO into  $NO_2$  by O radical formed through NO reduction reaction.

$$N_2 + e \longrightarrow N + N + e$$
 (4.2)

$$NO + N \longrightarrow N_2 + O$$
 (4.3)

$$NO + O + N_2 \longrightarrow NO_2 + N_2$$
 (4.4)

At the next step, the mechanism, so called PM oxidation by NO<sub>2</sub>, can be described as follows.

$$C + 2NO_2 \longrightarrow CO_2 + 2NO$$
 (4.5)

$$C + NO_2 \longrightarrow CO + NO$$
 (4.6)

However, these two reactions (4.5) and (4.6) do not mean  $NO_x$  removal, they just make nitrogen dioxide  $NO_2$  reduction into nitric oxide NO. Then the following reaction should be introduced to explain the  $NO_2$  removal. This reaction is just effective with temperature in range of from 150°C to 300°C.

$$2C + 2NO_2 \longrightarrow 2CO_2 + N_2$$
 (4.7)

The data of NO<sub>x</sub> removal characteristics in cases of DPF without PM (Fig.3.1) and DPF with PM=100mg (Fig.4.1) were summarized in Fig.4.4. More than 10ppm of NO<sub>x</sub> was removed in the case of DPF with PM comparing to the case of DPF without PM. In addition, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in Fig.4.3 was around 10ppm. It showed that reaction (4.7) was the main NO<sub>x</sub> removal mechanism by PM. NO<sub>2</sub> formation of 10ppm in case of DPF without PM comparing to 1ppm in case of DPF with 100mg PM was the evidence of the reaction (4.7), but molecular balance between CO<sub>2</sub> and carbon atoms in PM was impossible because of small amount of PM mass reduction. In this study, the PM mass in order of mg (milligram) was checked before and after test but it was insignificantly changed. It might be that the PM mass reduced by NO<sub>x</sub> should be checked in order of microgram. According to reaction 4.7, calculation with experimental result as mentioned above showed that 0.535 $\mu$ g/min oxidation of carbon results in 10ppm CO<sub>2</sub> and gas flow rate of 1L/min. From current-voltage characteristics (see Fig.2.19), DPF with PM promoted the more electric current than DPF without PM. It caused another positive effect of NO<sub>x</sub> removal. Finally, the experimental results represented in Fig.4.4 could lead to a conclusion that PM could be a reduction agent to remove NO<sub>x</sub>.



FIGURE 4.4 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in N<sub>2</sub> + NO mixture (with and without PM,  $Q=1L/\min$ )

#### 4.2.2 Simulated exhaust gas with oxygen

The role of  $O_2$  as an oxidation agent in case of DPF without PM had been reported in previous chapter (see Chapter 3). In this section, the DPF loaded with 100mg of PM and four different  $O_2$  fractions, namely 1%, 5%, 10%, and 15% were tested. Using "Fresh PM", experiments were done in 4 hours applying voltage of 12.75kV.

The experimental results with  $O_2=1\%$  and PM=100 mg is shown in Fig.4.5. In this case,  $CO_2$  and  $NO_2$  concentrations showed time dependent characteristics with running time. Just after experiment was started,  $CO_2$  formation was predominant but  $NO_2$  formation increased gradually by running time. As the results, NO and  $NO_x$  concentrations were changed with running time. However,  $NO_x$  removal effect was less apparent than  $O_2=0\%$  (Fig.4.3) when the running time was over two hours.



FIGURE 4.5 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in N<sub>2</sub>+NO+O<sub>2</sub> mixture (PM=100mg, O<sub>2</sub>=1%, and Q=1L/min)

With the existence of  $O_2$  under discharge field, ozone was formed. Thus, following reactions (4.8)–(4.10) should be considered as the NO oxidation mechanism.[34]

$$O_2 + e \longrightarrow O + O + e$$
 (4.8)

$$O_2 + O \longrightarrow O_3$$
 (4.9)

$$NO + O_3 \longrightarrow NO_2 + O_2$$
 (4.10)

Under the presence of  $O_2$ , there was a possibility of direct PM oxidation with ozone. Its reaction scheme was as follows.

$$2C + 2O_3 \longrightarrow 2CO_2 + O_2$$
 (4.11)

$$2C + 2NO_2 \longrightarrow 2CO_2 + N_2$$
 (4.12)

Then the CO<sub>2</sub> formation process was controlled by both reactions of (4.11) and (4.12). Reaction (4.11) was independent of NO<sub>x</sub> removal process, and other experiments were needed to explain the whole behavior of CO<sub>2</sub> formation.

As shown in Fig.4.6, deterioration of  $NO_x$  removal performance was more apparent when  $O_2$  concentration was 5%. It was obvious that when  $O_2$  fraction increased, the  $NO_2$  concentration increased correspondingly. After two hours running,  $NO_2$  concentration reached 14ppm in case of  $O_2=1\%$  (Fig.4.5) but it was 40ppm when  $O_2$  was 5% (Fig.4.6). Then  $NO_x$  removal effect was only apparent during early one hour from start the experiment.



FIGURE 4.6 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in N<sub>2</sub>+NO+O<sub>2</sub> mixture (PM=100mg, O<sub>2</sub>=5%, and Q=1L/min)

A similar behavior was also observed in cases of oxygen fraction of 10% and 15% as shown in Fig.4.7.  $NO_x$  removal effect was deeply at the first running hour (maximum  $NO_x$  removal was from 40pppm to 50ppm), then decreased following running time. And this effect halted just after 1.5h running in both cases of oxygen



FIGURE 4.7 NO<sub>x</sub> removal in N<sub>2</sub>+NO+O<sub>2</sub> mixture (PM=100mg, Q=1L/min, and O<sub>2</sub>=10% and 15%)

fraction of 10% and 15%.

From experimental results above, it can be seen that  $CO_2$  concentration did not increase with  $O_2$  fraction. If the PM direct oxidation by  $O_2$  was the main reaction scheme of  $CO_2$  formation, it should increase as  $O_2$  fraction increased. However,  $CO_2$  behavior showed almost similar manner with the case shown in Fig.4.3 (gas mixture without oxygen). It means even though with the existence of  $O_2$ ,  $CO_2$  might be formed by reaction scheme of reaction 4.7. And also it indicated that NO is oxidized by O radical more easily than PM. The details of  $O_2$  concentration effect on NO<sub>x</sub> removal is explained latter in section 4.3.2.

#### 4.2.3 PM loading mass and NO<sub>x</sub> removal characteristics

Figure 4.8 shows the NO<sub>x</sub> removal characteristics at different mass of PM loaded on DPF. Gas mixture was 112ppm of NO<sub>x</sub>, 10% of O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> left. Loaded PM were 0mg, 100mg, and 200mg. Applied voltage was kept constant at 12.75kV for all PM conditions. Then the electrical energy was changed from  $101\mu$ A for



FIGURE 4.8 NO<sub>x</sub> reduction at different PM mass loaded on DPF  $(O_2=10\%)$ 

PM=0mg to  $182\mu$ A for PM=100mg, and  $190\mu$ A for PM=200mg. The test gas was sampled every ten minutes.

In this figure, PM effect on NO reaction was indicated by the hatching area. NO concentrations in all three cases of gas mixtures with oxygen were dropped at the first. After that, NO concentrations increased and was almost stable. Conversely, NO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased significantly from start to two running hours. NO<sub>2</sub> increase in cases of PM=100mg or 200mg was much slower than that in case of PM=0mg. As a result, NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations with PM=100mg and 200mg were lower than that with PM=0mg. However, there was no significant difference in PM=100mg and PM=200mg. It means that NO<sub>2</sub> formation did not depend on PM loaded mass but seemed that it depended on oxygen or ozone formed by discharge.

The  $NO_x$  removal effect by PM was represented by the area which was surrounded by  $NO_x$  curve in case PM=0mg and those in cases of PM=100mg and 200mg. It suggested that  $NO_x$  removal promotion by PM appeared only in the beginning of the discharge but its promotion effect was vanished after two hours running.

#### 4.3 PM aging

#### 4.3.1 PM aging effect

To clarify the effect of PM aging on  $NO_x$  treatment under discharge field, another kind of test was conducted. Two simulated gas mixtures of NO,  $O_2$ , and  $N_2$  with the same composition of were tested. One mixture was tested in order of increasing applied voltage from zero to the maximum safety voltage. This test run demonstrates the "Aged PM". The other one, on the contrary, was started with the maximum safety voltage, then lowered to zero. Thus it expressed the test run of "Fresh PM". The range of supplied energy density (or applied voltage) was the same in both cases. Both test results with  $NO + O_2 + N_2$ , NO=100ppm,  $O_2=10\%$ , gas flow rate Q=1L/min is illustrated in Fig.4.9 showing  $NO_x$  concentration in ppm following energy density in J/L.

The sample timing was marked at every testing point in the figure. It can be seen that if the maximum safety voltage of 12.75kV (equals to 180J/L) was applied as a started sampling point (in case of "Fresh PM" test), the NO<sub>x</sub> reduction would be significant higher (about 50ppm) than the one in "Aged PM" test. However, as energy density decreased from peak value, NO<sub>x</sub> concentration decreased quite fast. And both cases give the similar result at point 20-30 minutes of sampling. In brief, the "Fresh PM" has a superior effect on  $NO_x$  treatment process under discharge field comparing to the "Aged PM".



FIGURE 4.9 Effect of "Aged PM" on NO<sub>x</sub> treatment

#### 4.3.2 Experimental results in cases of DPF with "Fresh PM"

To assess the extensive impact of PM on  $NO_x$  removal, the experiments with "Fresh PM" was performed. "Fresh PM" means the PM just loaded with the diffusion flame PM formation system [85] during the reactor does not run yet. The data obtained with "Fresh PM" was expressed in Fig.4.10. For the next experiment, DPF was cleaned up and "Fresh PM" was loaded again on DPF for every experimental run. The data in the figure were taken during the first six minutes from the start of experiment. In the experiments, the DPF was loaded with 0mg, 100mg, and 200mg of PM. O<sub>2</sub> fractions of 0, 1%, 5%, 10%, and 15% were tested.

As observed, the NO concentration in this experiment was constantly decreased with increase  $O_2$  fraction. However, there was not so much difference of NO reduction (about 50%) between 100mg and 200mg of PM mass. The NO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased up to 52ppm in case of PM=0mg and  $O_2=15\%$  but increase of NO<sub>2</sub> was suppressed by PM. Even though high O<sub>2</sub> concentration such as  $O_2=15\%$ ,



FIGURE 4.10 NO<sub>x</sub> reduction at different O<sub>2</sub> fractions and "Fresh PM"

 $NO_2$  concentrations of both PM=100mg and 200mg were less than 20ppm. Since  $CO_2$  concentration did not increase with  $O_2$  fraction, it was considered that both of catalytic reduction mechanism with PM and direct reduction mechanism with reaction (4.7) were coexisted.

With the presence of PM,  $NO_x$  removal was recorded at 22% and 30% with PM mass of 100mg and 200mg at  $O_2=15\%$ , respectively. These removal ratios were much higher than the case of PM=0mg (3%). The removal of  $NO_x$  concentration as in Fig.4.10 implies that "Fresh PM" approved its role as  $NO_x$  reduction agent. The similar results obtained with PM=100mg and 200mg could be explained by very closed levels of electrical currents showed in Fig.2.19.

#### 4.3.3 Experimental results in cases of DPF with "Aged PM"

Figure 4.11 shows the effect of PM after a long running time on  $NO_x$  removal. In other words, "Aged PM" was used. Experimental conditions were same as the cases of Fig.4.10, but the test gas was sampled after four hours running.



FIGURE 4.11 NO<sub>x</sub> reduction at various PM mass and O<sub>2</sub> after 4 running hours

From the figure, NO decrease but NO<sub>2</sub> increase according to O<sub>2</sub> fraction were clearly observed. Nevertheless, there was almost no difference in gas concentrations (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and NO<sub>x</sub>) for all three cases of PM mass. When O<sub>2</sub>=15%, NO concentration decreased to 25ppm, 30ppm, and 35ppm with PM mass of 0mg, 100mg, and 200mg, respectively. NO<sub>2</sub> increased in the same order and concentrations were 78ppm, 79ppm, and 82ppm. There was a slight PM effect on NO<sub>x</sub> removal after a long period running under barrier discharge when O<sub>2</sub> fraction was below 5%. However, with higher O<sub>2</sub> concentration, no PM effect on NO<sub>x</sub> removal was observed.

In actual DPF system, loaded PM was oxidized under forced regeneration process or continuous PM oxidation process during operation. It meant that loaded or deposited PM in DPF was partially oxidized PM, and it might show less effective role as indicated in Fig.4.11 (after long running PM). However new "Fresh PM" was always supplied from engine side and it deposited over on the old PM where its surface had already lost the effective role of  $NO_x$  removal. It meant that there are many possibilities of  $NO_x$  removal. In this report, a possibility of  $NO_x$  removal with "Fresh PM" coupled with electric discharge could be pointed out experimentally.

#### 4.4 $NO_x$ adsorption effect by PM

It was clear that "Fresh PM" showed  $NO_x$  removal performance. However, its effect was not separated from  $NO_x$  adsorption effect on PM. Since the DPF and PM surface were activated with electrical barrier discharge, they might have some adsorption performance for molecules. Then,  $NO_x$  adsorption effect was checked with following experiment. To check the adsorption effect, the reactor was supplied with discharge energy in four hours then ran "idle" (no energy supplied) for next two hours. Test gas was sampled continuously for every ten minutes. Figs.4.12 and 4.13 shows the results of experiment.

Figure 4.12 was the experimental result of PM=0mg and energy density of 77J/L (V=12.75kV). NO<sub>x</sub> concentration decreased in the first one hour running. Then it increased and was stable. After four hours running, the supplied energy was cut off. NO<sub>x</sub> concentration showed 8ppm increase, then went down to the initial value.



FIGURE 4.12 NO<sub>x</sub> adsorption following barrier discharge energy at PM=0mg

Conversely, for PM=100mg and the supplied energy of 139J/L (V=12.75kV) (Fig.4.13), NO<sub>x</sub> concentration decreased drastically at first then increased and kept

constant at some ppm lower than initial value of 112ppm. However, when energy was cut off,  $NO_x$  concentration raised up to 128ppm before decreasing slowly to the initial concentration.



FIGURE 4.13 NO<sub>x</sub> adsorption following barrier discharge energy at PM=100mg

As shown in Figs.4.12 and 4.13, area A represents the  $NO_x$  removal in case of PM=0mg and area C represents the  $NO_x$  removal in case of PM=100mg. The difference between these areas indicates the combined effect of  $NO_x$  adsorption by PM,  $NO_x$  removal by PM as reduction agent and supplied energy increase by PM.  $NO_x$  adsorption and reduction agent effects of PM showed their maximum at early period of experiment ("Fresh PM"). In short:

A:  $NO_x$  removal at PM=0mg

C:  $NO_x$  removal at PM=100mg

C-A: Sum of effect of  $NO_x$  adsorption by PM,  $NO_x$  removal by PM as reduction agent and supplied energy increase by PM.

Most important result of this investigation was the effect of "Fresh PM" on  $NO_x$  removal. As shown in Figs.4.12 and 4.13, its effect could not be explained by the  $NO_x$  and ozone adsorption effect of PM. A realistic mechanism of  $NO_x$  reduction with "Fresh PM" is supposed that the active surface of "Fresh PM"

played a role of catalytic surface for  $NO_x$  removal and its effect was promoted by electric discharge. As for an "Aged PM" (after long running), surface of PM was oxidized and no catalytic effect might appear there.

On the other hand, when supplied voltage was cut off, area B demonstrates an overshoot of  $NO_x$  concentration. It was caused with released  $NO_x$  that was adsorbed in a clean DPF. In case of DPF with PM, area D demonstrates the same but clearer behavior than area B. However, released  $NO_x$  effect was not so large and was deteriorated during 20 minutes after cut-off the applied voltage. Then the  $NO_x$  removal effect appeared during first two hours could not be explained by the adsorption effect of "Fresh PM".

Briefly, in Fig.4.12, the test was done with DPF without PM (bare DPF), area B represents the  $NO_x$  adsorption effect by DPF. The difference between areas A and B (or A-B) expresses the catalytic effect of bare DPF. In case of DPF with PM as showed in Fig.4.13, area D represents the  $NO_x$  adsorption effect by DPF and adsorption effect by "Fresh PM". The value of (C-D) in this case expresses the catalytic effect of bare DPF and "Fresh PM". From these results, it can be concluded that the value of (D-B) represents the net adsorption effect of "Fresh PM". The net catalytic effect of "Fresh PM" is represented by the difference between (C-D) and (A-B). In other words:

B:  $NO_x$  adsorption by DPF

D:  $NO_x$  adsorption by DPF and "Fresh PM"

A-B: Catalytic effect of bare DPF

C-D: Catalytic effect of bare DPF and "Fresh PM"

D-B: Net adsorption effect of "Fresh PM"

(C-D)-(A-B): Net catalytic effect of "Fresh PM".

Adsorption mass of molecules was generally proportional to adsorbent mass. However, "Fresh PM" effect shown in Fig.4.10 indicated that  $NO_x$  removal effect did not proportional with PM mass and it seemed to be proportional to electrical current. It also suggested that  $NO_x$  adsorption effect was not the main mechanism of  $NO_x$  removal with "Fresh PM".

As for the cases of without  $O_2$  conditions, no adsorption effect was observed in Fig.3.1 and Fig.2.19. It means that the overshoot shown in Figs.4.12 and 4.13 were related with  $O_2$ . When ozone was formed in the reactor, there were possibilities that  $NO_x$  and  $CO_2$  accompanying with ozone was adsorbed and remained in the

reactor, and then they were released after cut-off the electrical current. However, this effect was not so much as mentioned above.

#### 4.5 Summary

The effects of PM on  $NO_x$  removal efficiency was investigated by using a needleto-cylinder dielectric barrier discharge reactor combined with a DPF. Gas mixture of NO,  $NO_2$ ,  $N_2$  and  $O_2$  with the PM was used. The experimental results showed that

- (1)  $NO_x$  removal was promoted by PM under the dielectric barrier discharge in a  $NO_x$  and  $N_2$  mixture without  $O_2$ .
- (2) PM also enhanced  $NO_x$  removal in the dielectric barrier discharge field with  $O_2$  existence.
- (3) However, this effect deteriorated with running time. The most significant  $NO_x$  removal performance was observed when PM was "Fresh".
- (4) Compared to "Fresh PM", "Aged PM" showed an insignificant effect on NO<sub>x</sub> treatment process under discharge field.

# Chapter 5

# $NO_x$ removal performance in transient test

#### 5.1 Purpose of various transient tests

Since the surface state of DPF and PM had great effect on  $deNO_x$  reaction, in the previous chapter, "Fresh PM" and "Aged PM" investigations were done. It means a kind of PM surface effect on  $deNO_x$ . Also some of adsorbed molecule on the surface had some effect on  $deNO_x$  reaction. Then pre-treatment of DPF with PM using DBD is considered some initial adsorption molecule effect on  $deNO_x$ mechanism.

This chapter introduces the  $NO_x$  removal behavior with PM in transient test in two modes: four running hours and six running hours. Test conditions in detail was shown in Table 5.1. Purposes of these tests are: (1) to check the adsorption

| Test  | Test conditions with $PM=100mg$   |          |          |                     |   |          |
|-------|---|----------|----------|---------------------|---|----------|
|       | 1st hour  | 2nd hour | 3rd hour | 4th hour            | 5th hour                                | 6th hour |
| 4-(1) | ${\rm N_2+O_2,E{=}0J/L}  {\rm N_2{+}NO{+}O_2,NO{=}100ppm,E{=}138J/L}$     |          |          |                     |   |          |
| 4-(2) | $N_2 {+} O_2, E{=}78 J/L  N_2 {+} NO {+} O_2, NO {=}100 ppm, E{=}140 J/L$ |          |          |                     |   |          |
| 4-(3) | $N_2$ only, E=78J/L $N_2$ +NO+O <sub>2</sub> , NO=100ppm, E=140J/L        |          |          |                     |   |          |
| 6-(1) | $N_2+NO+O_2$ , NO=100ppm, E=138J/L  |          |          | $N_2+O_2, E=0J/L$   | $N_2+NO+O_2$ , $NO=100ppm$ , $E=138J/L$ |          |
| 6-(2) | $N_2 {+} NO {+} O_2, NO {=} 100 ppm, E {=} 117 J/L$                       |          |          | $N_2+O_2, E=77J/L$  | $N_2+NO+O_2$ , $NO=100ppm$ , $E=117J/L$ |          |
| 6-(3) | $N_2+NO+O_2$ , NO=100ppm, E=134J/L  |          |          | $N_2$ only, E=76J/L | $N_2+NO+O_2$ , NO=100ppm, E=134J/L      |          |

TABLE 5.1 Transient test conditions

and absorption effect of PM, (2) investigate the effect of one hour pre-treatment on adsorption and absorption processes, and (3) to clear up the difference between "Fresh PM" and "Aged PM".

In four hours running mode, namely "Fresh PM" test, each test divided in two stages: the first stage of one hour and the second stage of three hours. By this experiments,  $NO_x$  removal characteristics with "Fresh PM" and different pretreatments were investigated. In six hours running mode, namely "Aged PM" test, the tests divided in three stages: three hours, then one hour, and finally two hours. In this mode, the three hours running stage was added before different pre-treatments as in four hours mode. The pre-treatment stage with N<sub>2</sub> only and applied energy density in Tests 4-(3) and 6-(3) were done to investigate the N radical effect on  $NO_x$  removal process.

All the test was conducted with "Fresh PM" of 100mg at gas flow rate of 1L/min. The simulated gas through reactor was sampled continuously, i.e every ten minutes. Applied voltage was 12.75kV as same as Chapter 4, but energy density changed with conditions of PM.

## 5.2 "Fresh PM" pre-treatment effect on $NO_x$ removal

# 5.2.1 $NO_x$ removal characteristics in four hours running mode

In this mode, the first stage of one running hour was carried out with gas mixture of  $N_2$  or  $N_2 + O_2$ , gas flow rate of 1L/min, and energy density of 78J/L. It means one hour pre-treatment before deNO<sub>x</sub> experiment. The experimental results were showed in Figs.5.1, 5.2, and 5.3.

The experimental results showed that there was a difference in  $NO_x$  removal performance after first one running hour (pre-treatment stage). In all three cases, NO concentration changed in very similar way. However,  $NO_2$  concentration was differed. As can be seen in Fig.5.1 (Test 4-(1)), there was no applied voltage at pre-treatment stage of "Fresh PM", but  $NO_2$  concentration was increased quickly as energy density started applying. It means that one hour treatment with non reactive  $N_2 + O_2$  mixture did not affect PM aging. This can be explained by the oxygen adsorption effect by DPF at the pre-treatment stage and the effect of discharge field.



FIGURE 5.1 NO<sub>x</sub> removal performance in 4 running hours mode (Test 4-(1): One hour pre-treatment in  $N_2 + O_2$  atmosphere without DBD)



 $\begin{array}{lll} \mbox{Figure 5.2} & \mbox{NO}_x \mbox{ removal performance in 4 running hours mode (Test 4-(2): $$ One hour pre-treatment with DBD in $N_2 + O_2$ atmosphere)$ \end{array}$ 

In Fig.5.2 (Test 4-(2)), the active oxygen and nitrogen under discharge field were already absorbed by DPF and "Fresh PM" at the pre-treatment stage, so there was no further adsorption of NO as described in Test 4-(1) above.

As the gas mixture at the first stage did not contained NO so the NO<sub>x</sub> removal process just started at beginning point of the second stage. In case of gas mixture at the first stage consisted of N<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>2</sub> (Figs.5.1 and 5.2), there was a significant difference in NO<sub>x</sub> removal performance between Test 4-(1) and Test 4-(2). In Fig.5.1 (Test 4-(1)), NO<sub>x</sub> concentration dropped deeply at first then gradually increased following elapsed time. Conversely, NO<sub>x</sub> concentration in Test 4-(2) just slightly reduced then increased to stable value. After two running hours, both cases showed a stable NO<sub>x</sub> removal state until the end of test. The difference mentioned above can be explained by the existence of ozone at the beginning of the second stage in Test 4-(2). Although gas mixture of N<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>2</sub> was the same in both tests but there was no applied voltage (E=0J/L) so no ozone formed. Conversely, by applying a energy density E=78J/L in the first stage of Test 4-(2), ozone was formed and available when the system shifted to the second stage. Ozone then at once oxidized NO to form NO<sub>2</sub> as gas mixture of N<sub>2</sub> + NO + O<sub>2</sub> entered the reactor. As a result, NO<sub>x</sub> reduction was smaller.



FIGURE 5.3 NO<sub>x</sub> removal performance in 4 running hours mode (Test 4-(3): One hour pre-treatment with DBD in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere)

In case of gas mixture at the first stage was nitrogen only and applying a energy density E=78J/L (Fig.5.3, Test 4-(3)), the NO<sub>x</sub> removal performance was similar with Test 4-(1) but the recovery speed of NO<sub>x</sub> concentration was slower. Ozone did not concerned in this case since there was no oxygen in gas mixture even the energy density was applied. Instead of that, N radicals were created from N<sub>2</sub> during the first stage under discharge field. The N radicals then reacted to NO to return N<sub>2</sub>. In addition to no ozone available, this result leaded to NO<sub>x</sub> reduction dropped at the beginning of the second stage as seen in Fig.5.3.

#### 5.2.2 "Fresh PM" oxidation effect on NO<sub>x</sub> removal

Although all three cases of Test 4-(1), Test 4-(2), and Test 4-(3) showed significant drops of  $NO_x$  reduction at the beginning of the second stage, the Test 4-(3) expressed the best  $NO_x$  removal performance as the summarized data pointed out in Fig.5.4. While NO concentrations was slightly changed during the tests, the  $NO_2$ 



FIGURE 5.4 NO<sub>x</sub> removal performance in 4 running hours mode in summary

concentrations varied substantially making the total  $NO_x$  concentration differed, especially in the first one hour of the second stage. This can be explained by the formation and availability of ozone or N radicals in previous paragraph.

Comparing the result from Test 4-(3) with N radical pre-treatment to previous results without pre-treatment in Fig.4.7 and Fig.4.13 (Chapter 4), it can be seen that the NO<sub>x</sub> removal without pre-treatment was little higher than in case of with pre-treatment. In both cases of without pre-treatment, the maximum NO<sub>x</sub> removal reached about 70ppm while in case of with pre-treatment, NO<sub>x</sub> removal was 65ppm. However, the "Fresh PM" effect on NO<sub>x</sub> removal in case of without pre-treatment was shorter, it disappeared after one running hour. When applying N radical pre-treatment as in Test 4-(3), the "Fresh PM" effect lasted for about three running hours (Fig.5.3).

On the other hand, as seen in Fig.5.4,  $NO_x$  removal by "Fresh PM" effect in case of Test 4-(3) showed the largest effect among three tests. It means that NO oxidation was suppressed by N radical adsorption on PM by pre-treatment process. By these results, it can be concluded that N radical pre-treatment is the most effective way of NO reduction.

### 5.3 "Aged PM" treatment effect on $NO_x$ removal

#### 5.3.1 NO<sub>x</sub> removal characteristics in six hours running mode

In six hours running mode, the tests consisted of the first stage of three hours, the second stage of one hour, and the third stage of two hours. Gas mixture of  $N_2 + NO + O_2$  employed in the first and third stages whereas either  $N_2$  only or  $N_2 + O_2$  was used in the second stage. The second and third stages in this mode had the same test procedure with the four hour mode, so it can be considered that this mode was a four hour mode with three hours "Aged PM". The experimental results were illustrated in Figs.5.5, 5.6, and 5.7.

Figure 5.5 expressed the experimental result in case of pre-treatment with  $N_2 + O_2$  mixture ( $O_2=10\%$ ) and no power applied. The first stage of three hours and third stage of two hours were operated with  $N_2 + NO + O_2$  gas mixture, NO=100ppm, and applied energy density of 138J/L. The gas flow rate of 1L/min was keep constant during the whole test. From the figure above, after pre-treatment stage, there was a small amount of  $NO_x$  removed (about 10ppm). In this case, the "Fresh PM" effect was not concerned because PM was under discharge field for four hours. Thus the  $NO_x$  removal as above should be explained



FIGURE 5.5 NO<sub>x</sub> removal performance in 6 running hours mode (Test 6-(1): "Aged PM" and one hour treatment in  $N_2 + O_2$  atmosphere without DBD)

by the absorption effect by PM, so-called the re-fresh effect. In Fig.5.6 was the  $NO_x$  removal performance in six hours mode with pre-treatment of  $N_2 + O_2$  mixture and applied energy density of 77J/L. The first stage and third stage were run with gas mixture of  $N_2 + NO + O_2$  (NO=100ppm,  $O_2=10\%$ ), flow rate of 1L/min, and applied energy density of 117J/L. The result showed that there was no  $NO_x$  absorption effect by PM, or no re-fresh effect appeared. Because DPF and PM in this case already absorbed the active oxygen and nitrogen in the pre-treatment stage, so there was no  $NO_x$  absorption as in Fig.5.5 above. As shown in Fig.5.6,  $CO_2$  concentration in pre-treatment stage was increased. It means PM inside DPF was oxidized under discharge field to form  $CO_2$ . Since PM oxidation by O radical then there was no NO which should react with O radical at the beginning of the next stage.

Finally, Fig.5.7 illustrated the experimental result in six hours mode with pretreatment stage of nitrogen only under applied energy density of 76J/L. The test conditions for the first three hours and two last hours stages were:  $N_2 + NO + O_2$ gas mixture with NO=100ppm and  $O_2=10\%$ , gas flow rate of 1L/min, and energy density of 134J/L. Comparing to the results shown in Figs.5.5 and 5.6, there was a small amount of NO<sub>x</sub> removed (re-fresh effect). No evidence of PM oxidation



 $\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{Figure 5.6} & \mbox{NO}_x \mbox{ removal performance in 6 running hours mode (Test 6-(2): "Aged PM" and one hour treatment in $N_2 + O_2$ atmosphere with DBD) \end{array}$ 



FIGURE 5.7  $NO_x$  removal performance in 6 running hours mode (Test 6-(3): "Aged PM" and one hour treatment in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere with DBD)
was found in this test.

#### 5.3.2 Difference between "Fresh PM" and "Aged PM"

The NO<sub>x</sub> treatment performance at the first stage of six hours mode in all three cases (Test 6-(1), Test 6-(2), and Test 6-(3)) were very similar except CO<sub>2</sub> at the refreshment time (Test 6-(2)). In that case, O radical was formed, but it did not consumed by NO oxidation then only the PM oxidation took place. The small difference might come from the variance of the energy density applying in these cases. The substantial reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> concentration at the beginning of tests was explained by the effect of "Fresh PM" in previous chapter (see Chapter 4, Section 4.3).



FIGURE 5.8 NO<sub>x</sub> removal performance in 6 running hours mode in summary

A slightly difference in  $NO_x$  removal behavior could be found at the third stage of the tests. Although the test procedures for the second stage in six hour mode was the same with the one in four hour mode but the results were far different. The similar formation mechanism of ozone and N radicals as explained above in four hours mode could apply here. However, the effect of "Aged PM" (after four running hours of the first and second stages) lowered  $NO_x$  concentration reduction. It can be concluded that even with "Aged PM", the effect of N radical pre-treatment appeared.

### 5.4 N radical pre-treatment

The experimental results of  $NO_x$  removal performance in transient tests demonstrated the important role of N radical in pre-treatment stage. The test conditions of these tests were showed in Table 5.2. The experimental results of N radical pretreatment tests had showed the most effective performance among conducted tests as discussed in previous section. It implies that N radical in pre-treatment stage played a key role in  $NO_x$  removal performance.

TABLE 5.2 N radical pre-treatment tests with PM

| Test  | N radical pre-treatment tests with $PM=100mg$                     |          |          |                        |                         |                      |
|-------|---|----------|----------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
|       | 1st hour  | 2nd hour | 3rd hour | 4th hour               | 5th hour                | 6th hour             |
| 4-(3) | $N_2 \text{ only, } E=78 J/L$ $N_2+NO+O_2, NO=100 ppm, E=140 J/L$ |          |          |                        |                         |                      |
| 6-(3) | $N_2+NO+O_2$ , NO=100ppm, E=134J/L                                |          |          | $ m N_2$ only, E=76J/L | $N_2$ +NO+ $O_2$ , NO=2 | 100ppm, E= $134$ J/L |

Additionally, O radical was also formed in this stage and contributed to  $NO_x$  removal process. Thus the activities of O radical and N radical on PM was introduced in Fig.5.9.



FIGURE 5.9 Illustration of adsorbed N radical and O radical on PM

On PM surface inside the DPF, the adsorbed O radicals might reacts to carbon (C) to form CO<sub>2</sub>. This PM oxidation effect was pointed out in Fig.5.6. Alternatively, the adsorbed N radicals trends to react with NO to return nitrogen  $(N^* + NO \rightarrow N_2 + O)$ . This NO reduction effect was clearly observed with "Fresh PM" in Fig.5.3, and was also appeared with "Aged PM" in Fig.5.7.

### 5.5 Engine application

An application of dielectric barrier discharge (DBD) reactor to aftertreatment system of diesel exhaust was proposed and illustrated in Fig.5.10. At low load



FIGURE 5.10 Application of DBD reactor to diesel exhaust aftertreatment system

condition of engine which is characterized by low PM mass and high oxygen concentration, the DBD reactor will promote the PM oxidation process by O radical. For NO<sub>x</sub> removal, it supposed a less NO<sub>x</sub> removal with NO reduction (return to nitrogen) and NO oxidation (to form NO<sub>2</sub>). At certain times, the DBD reactor might not be operated to save power. Conversely, at high load condition which characterized by high PM mass and low oxygen concentration, it is suitable to operate the DBD reactor as an N radical generator. The NO<sub>x</sub> removal with NO reduction will prevail in this operating condition.

### 5.6 Summary

The experimental results in both cases of four running hours in two stages and six running hours in three stages showed that the  $NO_x$  removal performance often started with significant drop of  $NO_x$  concentration then gradually reduced.

Through running the long time tests with different procedures of gas composition and applied energy density, it can be learned that the formation and availability of ozone and N radicals play major role in  $NO_x$  removal performance. Additionally, the effects of "Fresh PM" and "Aged PM" on  $NO_x$  removal process was confirmed.

## Chapter 6

# Conclusions

A combination of a dielectric barrier discharge reactor and a diesel particulate filter was developed to investigate experimentally the removal of nitrogen oxides. Simulated exhaust gas of NO,  $O_2$ , and  $N_2$  at various concentrations was used. Gas flow rates through the reactor were 0.5L/min, 1L/min, and 2L/min. Additionally, PM generated from a diffusion flame system was loaded into DPF at different masses to study its influences on  $NO_x$  removal processes. From this work, some conclusions were made as follows.

- 1- Under barrier discharge field, PM improved the NO<sub>x</sub> removal performance, however, its effects reduced following running time. The PM just loaded from PM generator and was not under applied voltage, so called "Fresh PM", is supposed that the active surface of "Fresh PM" played a role of catalytic surface for NO<sub>x</sub> removal and its effect was promoted by electric discharge. As for an "Aged PM" (after long running), surface of PM was oxidized and no catalytic effect occurs.
- 2- For a long running time,  $NO_x$  removal performance under barrier discharge field with the PM loaded DPF started with substantial drop of  $NO_x$  concentration, then gradually reduced and almost in stable state after about two hours. The formation and availability of ozone and N radicals at the beginning of  $NO_x$  removal process decide the efficiency of the system performance.
- 3- Oxygen promoted the NO oxidation process that was dominant in  $NO_x$ abatement behavior with a gas mixture of NO, O<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>. As O<sub>2</sub> fraction increases, the NO oxidation process to form NO<sub>2</sub> run to the point that NO concentration of zero. It means that the necessary energy density to

reduce NO to zero is lower and the required power to remove completely  $NO_x$  concentration is also lower.

4- N radical played major role in  $NO_x$  removal performance. It effectively reduced nitrogen oxide (NO) to return nitrogen that so-called NO reduction process. The N radical pre-treatment had shown a great effect on  $NO_x$ removal with "Fresh PM". Additionally, this effect lasted for a longer time than in case of without pre-treatment process. The N radical pre-treatment effect was also observed in DPF with "Aged PM".

In the real engine coupling with DPF system, loaded PM was oxidized under forced regeneration process or continuous PM oxidation process during operation. It meant that loaded or deposited PM in DPF was partially oxidized PM. However new "Fresh PM" was always supplied from engine side and it deposited over on the old PM where its surface had already lost the effective role of  $NO_x$  removal. It meant that there are many possibilities of  $NO_x$  removal. In this report, a possibility of  $NO_x$  removal with "Fresh PM" coupled with electric discharge could be pointed out experimentally.

However, this thesis still requires further study. The effect of temperature on  $NO_x$  removal performance should be added. In addition, the effect of other gas composition such as  $H_2O$ , CO, HC, etc. should also be considered.

# Bibliography

- P. Granger and V.I. Parvulescu, editors. Past and present in DeNO<sub>x</sub> catalysis, volume 171 of Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis. Elsevier B.V., 2007.
- [2] Clean Air Technology Center. Nitrogen oxides, why and how they are controlled. Technical report, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 10 1999.
- [3] John B. Heywood. Internal combustion engine fundamentals. McGraw-Hill, 1988.
- [4] S. Elzey et al. FTIR study of the selective catalytic reduction of NO<sub>2</sub> with amonia on nanocrystalline NaY and CuY. *Molecule Catalysis A-Chemical*, 285:48–57, 2008.
- [5] Fridell et al. Investigations of NO<sub>x</sub> storage catalysts. Catalysis and automotive pollution control IV, Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis, 116: 537–547, 1998.
- [6] A. Fridman. *Plasma Chemistry*. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- B. M. Penetrante. Pollution control applications of pulsed power technology. In Proceedings of the ninth IEEE international pulsed corona conference, pages 1–5, 1993.
- [8] S. Masuda. Pulse corona induced plasma chemical process a horizon of new plasma chemical technology. *Pure Applied Chemistry*, 60(5):727–731, 1988.
- [9] J. Zhang, Yanhui Wang, and Dezhen Wang. Nonlinear behaviors in a pulsed dielectric barrier discharge at atmospheric pressure. *Thin Solid Films*, 2010. doi: 10.1016/j.tsf.2010.11.062.
- [10] H.-E. Wagner et al. The barrier discharge: Basic properties and applications to surface treatment. Vacuum, 71:417–436, 2003.
- [11] I. Nagao et al. NO<sub>x</sub> removal using nitrogen gas activated by dielectric barrier discharge at atmosphere pressure. *Vacuum*, 65:481–487, 2002.
- [12] B.M. Obradovic, G.B. Sretenovic, and M.M. Kuraica. A dual-use of BDB plasma for simultaneous NO<sub>x</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> removal from coal-combustion flue

gas. Hazardous Materials, 185:1280-1286, 2011.

- [13] Hyun-Ha Kim. Nonthermal plasma processing for air-pollution control: A historical review, current issues, and future prospects. *Plasma Processes and Polymers*, 1:91–110, 2004.
- [14] Young Sun Mok. Oxidation of NO to NO<sub>2</sub> using the ozonization method for the improvement of selective catalytic reduction. *Chemical Engineering of Japan*, 37(11):1337–1344, 2004.
- [15] K. Hensel and M. Morvova. The conversion of  $NO_x$  in a corona discharge with an electrode material variation. *Plasma Physics*, 36(1):51–61, 1996.
- [16] G.R. Dey et al. Variable products in dielectric-barrier discharge assisted benzene oxidation. *Hazardous Materials*, 178:693–698, 2010.
- [17] C. W. Park et al. Simultaneous removal of odors, airborne particles, and bioaerosols in a municipal composting facility by dielectric barrier discharge. *Separation and Purification Technology*, 2010. doi: 10.1016/j.seppur.2010. 11.024.
- [18] Ye et al. An investigation of the treatment of particulate matter from gasoline engine exhaust using non-thermal plasma. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, B127:149–155, 2005.
- [19] Pisarello et al. Simultaneous removal of soot and nitrogen oxides from diesel engine exhausts. *Catalysis Today*, 75:465–470, 2002.
- [20] E. Sher. Handbook of air pollution from internal combustion engines. Boston: Academic Press, 1988.
- [21] Bensaid et al. Experimental investigation of soot deposition in diesel particulate filters. *Catalysis Today*, 147S:S295–S300, 2009.
- [22] K Yoshida et al. Diesel emission control system using combined process of nonthermal plasma and exhaust gas components' recirculation. *Thin Solid Films*, 518:987–992, 2009.
- [23] K. Skalska et al. Trends in NO<sub>x</sub> abatement: A review. Science of the Total Environment, 408:3976–3989, 2010.
- [24] A. M. Vandenbroucke et al. Non-thermal plasmas for non-catalytic and catalytic VOC abatement. *Hazardous Materials*, 2011.
- [25] X. Hu et al. Transformations and destruction of nitrogen oxides NO, NO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O in a pulsed corona discharge reactor. *Fuel*, 82:1675–1684, 2003.
- [26] Y. S. Mok and Y. J. Huh. Simultaneous removal of nitrogen oxides and particulate matters from diesel engine exhaust using dielectric barrier discharge and catalysis hybrid system. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 25

(6):625-639, 2005.

- [27] U. Kogelschatz. Dielectric-barrier discharges: Their history, discharge physics, and industrial applications. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Pro*cessing, 23(1), 2003.
- [28] S.-E. Yin et al. The effect of oxygen and water vapor on nitric oxide conversion with a dielectric barrier discharge reactor. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 29:421–431, 2009.
- [29] Y. Kuroda et al. Effect of electrode shape on discharge current and performance with barrier discharge type electrostatic precipitator. *Electrostatics*, 57:407–415, 2003.
- [30] B. Dramane et al. Electrostatic precipitation in wire-to-cylinder configuration: Effect of the high-voltage power supply waveform. *Electrostatics*, pages 1–6, 2009.
- [31] H. Ohneda et al. Improvement of  $NO_x$  removal efficiency using atomization of fine droplets into corona discharge. *Electrostatics*, 55:321–332, 2002.
- [32] C. Fushimi et al. Influence of polarity and rise time of pulse voltage waveforms on diesel particulate matter removal using an uneven dielectric barrier discharge reactor. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 28:511–522, 2008.
- [33] H. Lin et al. Removal of  $NO_x$  from wet flue gas by corona discharge. *Fuel*, 83:1251–1255, 2004.
- [34] M. Arai et al. Effect of oxygen on NO<sub>x</sub> removal in corona discharge field: NO<sub>x</sub> behavior without a reducing agent. *Combustion Science and Technology*, 176: 1653–1665, 2004.
- [35] J. Vinogradov et al. NO<sub>x</sub> reduction from compression ignition engines with DC corona discharge - An experimental study. *Energy*, 32:174–186, 2007.
- [36] M. Magureanu et al. Plasma-assisted catalysis for volatile organic compounds abatement. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 61:12–20, 2005.
- [37] F. Leipold et al. Reduction of NO in the exhaust gas by reaction with N radicals. *Fuel*, 85:1383–1388, 2006.
- [38] M. Saito et al. Effect of coexisting gases on NO removal using corona discharge. JSME International Journal Series B, 49(4):1282–1289, 2006.
- [39] M. Arai et al. NO reduction in a corona discharge field. Transactions of the JSME Series B, 68(676), 2002.
- [40] M. Arai et al. DeNO<sub>x</sub> treatment in corona discharge field. In 19th International Colloquium on the Dynamics of Explosions and Reactive Systems, 7

2003.

- [41] B.A. Kozlov and V.I. Solovyov. Limit current of a multipoint corona discharge. *Technical Physics*, 51(7):821–826, 2006.
- [42] T. Fujii et al. Removal of NO by DC corona reactor with water. *Electro-statics*, 51–52, 2001.
- [43] M. Dors et al. Removal of NO<sub>x</sub> by DC and pulsed corona discharges in a wet electrostatic precipitator model. *Electrostatics*, 45:25–36, 1998.
- [44] M. Dors and J. Mizeraczyk. NO<sub>x</sub> removal from a flue gas in a corona discharge-catalyst hybrid system. *Catalysis Today*, 89:127–133, 2004.
- [45] A. Fridman and L.A. Kennedy. Plasma: Physics & Engineering. Taylor & Francis Routledge, 2004.
- [46] J. Vinogradov et al. NO<sub>x</sub> reduction from compression ignition engines with pulsed corona discharge. *Energy*, 33:480–491, 2008.
- [47] C. H. Huang et al. Removal of particulate matter from an air stream by a packed dielectric barrier discharge. *Korean Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 25, 2008.
- [48] M. Moscosa-Santillan et al. Design of DBD wire-cylinder reactor for NO<sub>x</sub> emission control: Experimental and modeling approach. *Cleaner Production*, 16:198–207, 2008.
- [49] Rogemond et al. Characterization of model three-way catalysts. Journal of Catalysis, 186:414–422, 1999.
- [50] Birgersson et al. An investigation of a new regeneration method of commercial aged three-way catalysts. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 65: 93–100, 2006.
- [Matthey] Johnson Matthey. NO<sub>x</sub> Adsorber Catalysts. URL http://ect.jmcatalysts.com/.
- [51] Clayton et al. Pt dispersion effects during NO<sub>x</sub> storage and reduction on Pt/BaO/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>catalysts. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 90 : 662 - -676, 2009.
- [52] X. Li et al. Study of the relationship between microstructure and performance for NO<sub>x</sub> storage catalysts. *Trans IChemE*, 80, Part A:190–194, 2002.
- [53] D. Bosteels and R.A. Searles. Exhaust emission catalyst technology. Platinum Metals Review, 46(1):27–36, 2002.
- [54] U.G. Alkemade and B. Schumann. Engines and exhaust after treatment systems for future automotive applications. *Solid State Ionics*, 177:2291– 2296, 2006.

- [55] Forzatti et al. Effect of operating variable on the enhanced SCR reaction over a commercial V<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> – WO<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst for stationary applications. *Catalysis Today*, 2011. doi: 10.1016/j.cattod.2011.11.006.
- [56] Boger et al. A next generation cordierite diesel particle filter with significantly reduced pressure drop. SAE International, 2011. doi: 10.4271/ 2011-01-0813.
- [57] T. Nishiyama and N. Emori. Commercialization of diesel particulate filter (DPF). Technical Report 48, Komatsu, 2002.
- [58] R. Burch, J.P. Breen, and F.C. Meunier. A review of the selective reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> with hydrocarbons under lean-burn conditions with non-zeolitic oxide and platinum group metal catalysts. *Applied Catalysis B: Environmental*, 39:283–303, 2002.
- [59] B.R. Stanmore, J.F. Brilhac, and P. Gilot. The oxidation of soot: a review of experiments, mechanisms and models. *Carbon*, 39:2247–2268, 2001.
- [60] He Lin, Zhen Huang, and Wenfeng Shangguan. Characteristics of oxidation of diesel particulate matter over a spinel type Cu<sub>0.95</sub>K<sub>0.05</sub>Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> catalyst. *Chem. Eng. Technol.*, 31(10):1433–1427, 2008.
- [61] S. M. J. Grundmann and R.-J. Zahn. Treatment of soot by dielectric barrier discharges and ozone. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 25(5):455– 466, 10 2005.
- [62] S. Yao et al. Uneven dielectric barrier discharge reactors for diesel particulate matter removal. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 26:481–493, 2006.
- [63] J. H. Byeon et al. Removal of gaseous toluene and submicron aerosol particles using a dielectric barrier discharge reactor. *Hazardous Materials*, 175:417– 422, 2009.
- [64] J. Sentek et al. Plasma-catalytic methane conversion with carbon dioxide in dielectric barrier discharges. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 94:19–26, 2010.
- [65] C.-L. Song et al. Simultaneous removals of NO<sub>x</sub>, HC and PM from diesel exhaust emissions by dielectric barrier discharges. *Hazardous Materials*, 166: 523–530, 2008.
- [66] R. Ravi et al. Temperature effect on hydrocarbon-enhanced nitric oxide conversion using a dielectric barrier discharge reactor. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 81:187–199, 2002.

- [Dieselnet] Dieselnet. Emission standards. URL http://www.dieselnet.com/ standards/.
- [67] J.M. Trichard. Current tasks and challenges for exhaust after-treatment research: Am industrial viewpoint. Studies in surface science and catalysis, 171:211–233, 2007.
- [68] Peng et al. Effect of catalysis on plasma assisted catalytic removal of nitrogen oxides and soot. Chem. Eng. Technol., 29:1262–1266, 2006.
- [69] G. J. Pietsch. Peculiarities of dielectric barrier discharges. Contributions to Plasma Physics, 41:620–628, 2001.
- [70] B. Eliasson, M. Hirth, and U. Kogelschatz. Ozone synthesis from oxygen in dielectric barrier discharges. *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 20: 1421–1437, 1987.
- [71] K. Urashima, J. S. Chang, and T. Ito. Reduction of NO<sub>x</sub> from combustion flue gases by superimposed barrier discharge plasma reactors. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, 33:879–886, 1997.
- [72] R. McAdams. Prospects for non-thermal atmospheric plasmas for pollution abatement. Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics, 34:2810–2821, 2001.
- [73] Z. Fang et al. Experimental study on discharge characteristics and ozone generation of dielectric barrier discharge in a cylinder-cylinder reactor and a wire-to-cylinder reactor. *Electrostatics*, 66:421–426, 2008.
- [74] A. M. Zhu et al. Conversion of NO in  $NO/N_2$ ,  $NO/O_2/N_2$ ,  $NO/C_2H_4/N_2$ and  $NO/C_2H_4/O_2/N_2$  systems by dielectric barrier discharge plasmas. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 25(4):371–386, 2005.
- [75] E.H.W.M. Smulders, B.E.J.M. van Heesch, and S.S.V.B. van Paasen. Pulsed power corona discharges for air pollution control. *IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science*, 26:1476–1484, 1998.
- [76] K.-F. Shang et al. Reduction of NO<sub>x</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub> by wire-plate type pulsed discharge reactor with pulsed corona radical shower. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 26, 2006.
- [77] Y. Shi et al. Decomposition of mixed malodorants in a wire-plate type pulse corona reactor. *Environmental Science Technology*, 39:6786–6791, 2005.
- [78] K. Yamamoto et al. Simulation on soot deposition and combustion in diesel particulate filter. Proceeding of the Combustion Institute, 32:1965–1972, 2009.
- [79] W.J. Liang, J. Li, and Y.Q. Jin. Abatement of toluene from gas streams via ferroelectric packed bed dielectric barrier discharge plasma. *Hazardous*

Materials, 170:633–638, 2009.

- [80] A. Ogata et al. Decomposition of benzene using a nonthermal plasma reactor packed with ferroelectric pellets. *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, 35:753–759, 1999.
- [81] U. Roland, F. Holzer, and F.D. Kopinke. Improved oxidation of air pollutants in a non-thermal plasma. *Catalysis Today*, 73:315–323, 2002.
- [82] J.-O. Chae. Non-thermal plasma for diesel exhaust treatment. *Electrostatics*, 57:251–262, 2003.
- [83] C. Wang, G. Zhang, and X. Wang. Comparisons of discharge characteristics of a dielectric barrier discharge with different electrode structures. *Vacuum*, pages 1–5, 2011.
- [84] K. Takaki et al. Influence of electrode configuration on ozone synthesis and microdischarge property in dielectric barrier discharge reactor. Vacuum, 83: 128–192, 2009.
- [85] Y. Kobayashi et al. Soot precursor measurement in benzene and hexane diffusion flames. *Combustion and Flame*, 154:346–355, 2008.
- [86] Penetrante et al. Basic energy efficiency of plasma production in electrical discharge and electron beam reactors. In Symposium on non-thermal plasma technology for air contaminant control, 1996.
- [87] M.A. Jani et al. An experimental comparison between electrode shapes for NO<sub>x</sub> treatment using a dielectric barrier discharge. *Phys. D: Applied Physics*, 33:3078–3082, 2000.
- [88] Wang et al. An experimental and kinetic modeling study of the autoignition of α-methylnaphthalene/n-decane/air mixtures at elevated pressures. *Combustion and Flame*, 157:1976–1988, 2010.
- [89] J.S. Olfert, J.P.R. Symonds, and N. Collings. The effective density and fractal dimension of particles emitted from a light-duty diesel vehicle with diesel oxidation catalyst. *Aerosol Science*, 38:69–82, 2007.
- [90] M. Arai et al. NO removal from exhaust gas in a corona discharge field. *Review of Automotive Engineering*, 27(1):61–67, 2006.
- [91] Q. V. Tran et al. NO<sub>x</sub> removal behavior in a dielectric barrier discharge field. In Proceedings of the 15th Asia Pacific Automotive Engineering Conference, October 2009.
- [92] Yperen et al. Novel Pd-based three-way catalysts. Catalysis and automotive pollution control IV, Studies in Surface Science and Catalysis, 116:51–60, 1998.

- [93] Lin et al. Temperature-programmed oxidation of diesel particulate matter in a hybrid catalysis-plasma reactor. *Proceeding of the Combustion Institute*, 31:3335–3342, 2007.
- [94] Lu et al. Plasma oxidation of benzene using DBD corona discharges. Materials Engineering and Performance, 17(3):428–431, 2008.
- [95] A. Caron and L. Dascalescu. Numerical modeling of combined coronaelectrostatic fields. *Electrostatics*, 61:43–55, 2004.
- [96] J. Zhang, K. Adamiak, and G.S.P. Castle. Numerical modeling of negativecorona discharge in oxygen under different pressures. *Electrostatics*, 65:174– 181, 2007.
- [97] Li et al. Non-thermal plasma-assisted catalytic NO<sub>x</sub> storage over Pt/Ba/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> at low temperature. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 90: 360–367, 2009.
- [98] M. Derakhshesh, J. Abedi, and M. Omidyeganeh. Modeling of hazardous air pollutant removal in the pulsed corona discharge. *Physics Letters A*, 373: 1051–1057, 2009.
- [99] Mennad et al. Theoretical investigation of ozone production in negative corona discharge. *Current Applied Physics*, 10:1391–1401, 2010.
- [100] Ciambelli et al. The role of NO in the regeneration of catalytic ceramic filters for soot removal from exhaust gases. *Catalysis Today*, 60:43–49, 2000.
- [101] Saiyasitpanich et al. Removal of diesel particulate matter in a tubular wet electrostatic precipitator. *Electrostatics*, 65:618–624, 2007.
- [102] M. A. Tas, R. van Hardeveld, and E.M. van Veldhuizen. Reactions of NO in a positive streamer corona plasma. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 17(4):371–391, 1997.
- [103] S.-J. Lee, S.-J. Jeong, and W.-S. Kim. Numerical design of the diesel particulate filter for optimum thermal performance during regeneration. *Applied Energy*, 86:1124–1135, 2009.
- [104] D.-H. Kim, Y. S. Mok, and S.B. Lee. Effect of temperature on the decomposition of trifluoromethane in a dielectric barrier discharge reactor. *Thin Solid Films*, 2010. doi: 10.1016/j.tsf.2010.11.060.
- [105] M.P. Cal and M. Schluep. Destruction of benzene with non-thermal plasma in dielectric barrier discharge reactor. *Environmental Progress*, 20(3):151– 156, 2001.
- [106] Y.S. Mok and S.W. Ham. Conversion of NO to NO<sub>2</sub> in air by a pulsed corona discharge process. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 53(9):1667–1678, 1998.

- [107] Zhang et al. Comparison of experiment and simulation on dielectric barrier discharge driven by 50 Hz AC power in atmospheric air. *Electrostatics*, 68: 445–452, 2010.
- [108] Lee et al. Characteristics of plasma-assisted hydrocarbon SCR system. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 36:11718–11726, 2011.
- [109] W.S. Kang, H.S. Kim, and S.H. Hong. Atomic oxygen generation by in-situ plasma and post-plasma in dielectric barrier discharges for surface treatment. *Thin Solid Films*, 2010. doi: 10.1016/j.tsf.2010.04.045.
- [110] Okubo et al. Total diesel emission control technology using ozone injection and plasma desorption. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 28:173– 187, 2008.
- [111] Tighe et al. The kinetics of oxidation of diesel soot by  $NO_2$ . Combustion and Flame, In press, 2011.
- [112] Yan et al. Oxidation and reduction processes during NO<sub>x</sub> removal with corona-induced nonthermal plasma. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Pro*cessing, 19(3):421–443, 1999.
- [113] Miessner et al. NO<sub>x</sub> removal in excess oxygen by plasma-enhanced selective catalytic reduction. *Catalysis Today*, 75:325–330, 2002.
- [114] Lee et al. NO<sub>x</sub> removal characteristics in plasma plus catalyst hybrid process. Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing, 24(2):137–154, 2004.
- [115] J. Chen and J.H. Davidson. Model of the negative DC corona plasma: Comparison to the positive DC corona plasma. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 23(1):83–102, 2003.
- [116] Vander Wal et al. HRTEM study of diesel soot collected from diesel particulate filters. *Carbon*, 45:70–77, 2007.
- [117] S.S. Gill, G.S. Chatha, and A. Tsokakis. Analysis of reformed EGR on the performance of a diesel particulate filter. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 36:10089–10099, 2011.
- [118] Yamamoto et al. Simulation on catalytic reaction in diesel particulate filter. Catalysis Today, 153:118–124, 2010.
- [119] J. S. Chang, P. A. Lawless, and T. Yamamoto. Corona discharge process. IEEE Transactions on Plasma Science, 19:1152–1166, 1991.
- [120] Y. S. Mok and I.-S. Nam. Removal of nitric oxide in a pulsed corona discharge reactor. *Chem. Eng. Technol.*, 22:527–532, 1999.
- [121] J. L. Hueso et al. Plasma chemistry of NO in complex gas mixtures excited with a surfatron launcher. *Phys. Chem. A*, 109:4930–4938, 2005.

- [122] S. Muller and R.-J. Zahn. On various kinds of dielectric barrier discharges. Contrib. Plasma Phys., 36:697–709, 1996.
- [123] S. Collura et al. On the composition of the soluble organic fraction and its influence during the combustion of exhaust diesel soot. Technical report, 2002.
- [124] M. Schejbal et al. Modelling of soot oxidation by NO<sub>2</sub> in various types of diesel particulate filters. *Fuel*, 89:2365–2375, 2010.
- [125] S. Yao et al. Methane conversion using a high-frequency pulsed plasma: Discharge features. AIChE, 47(2):419–426, February 2001.
- [126] A. Schaefer-Sindlinger et al. Efficient material design for diesel particulate filters. *Topics in Catalysis*, 42–43:307–317, May 2007.
- [127] G.-B. Zhao et al. Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on nonthermal-plasma reactions of nitrogen oxides in N<sub>2</sub> · 2· percent-level concentrations. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 44: 3935–3946, 2005.
- [128] W. Kim et al. Development of a novel simulated diesel particulate matter generator system. *Fuel*, 89:2047–2053, 2010.
- [129] Timothy V. Johnson. Review of diesel emissions and control. SAE International, 2010.
- [130] Timothy V. Johnson. Diesel emissions control in review. SAE International, 2009.
- [131] S. Y. Chang. NO/NO<sub>x</sub> removal with C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> as additive via dielectric barrier discharges. AIChE, 47(5), 2001.
- [132] I. Jogi et al. NO conversion by dielectric barrier discharge and TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst: Effect of oxygen. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 29:205–215, 2009.
- [133] S. Collura et al. Influence of the soluble organic fraction on the thermal behaviour, texture and surface chemistry of diesel exhaust soot. *Carbon*, 43: 605–613, 2004.
- [134] W-S. Shin. Hydrocarbon effects on the promotion of non-thermal plasma NO - NO<sub>2</sub> conversion. *Plasma Chemistry and Plasma Processing*, 23(4), 2003.
- [135] J. Oi-Uchisawa et al. Effect of feed gas composition on the rate of carbon oxidation with Pt/SiO<sub>2</sub> and the oxidation mechanism. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 21:9–17, 1999.
- [136] M. Matti Maricq et al. Chemical characterization of particulate emissions from diesel engines: A review. Aerosol Science, 38:1079–1118, 2007.

- [137] K. S. Martirosyan et al. Behavior features of soot combustion in diesel particulate filter. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 2009.
- [138] T. Hammer. Application of plasma technology in environmental techniques. *Plasma Physics*, 39(5):441–462, 1999.