1	This manuscript is textually identical with the published paper:
2	Borza P, Huber T, Leitner P, Remund N, Graf W (2017) Success factors and future
3	prospects of Ponto–Caspian peracarid (Crustacea: Malacostraca) invasions: Is 'the
4	worst over'? BIOLOGICAL INVASIONS 19 (5): 1517–1532. DOI: 10.1007/s10530-
5	017-1375-7
6	The original published PDF available in this website:
7	http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10530-017-1375-7
8	
9	Success factors and future prospects of Ponto-Caspian peracarid (Crustacea: Malacostraca)
10	invasions: is 'the worst over'?
11	
10	Dátar Darza ^{1*} Thomas Hubar ² Datrials Laitnar ² Madina Damund ³ Walfrom Craf ²
12	Peter Borza, I nomas Huber, Patrick Leitner, Nadine Remund, wonram Graf
13	
14	¹ Danube Research Institute, MTA Centre for Ecological Research, Karolina út 29-31, 1113
15	Budapest, Hungary
16	² Working Group on Benthic Ecology and Ecological Status Assessment, Institute for
17	Hydrobiology & Water Management, Department of Water, Atmosphere & Environment,
18	BOKU - University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences, Max Emanuel-Strasse
19	17, A-1180 Vienna, Austria
20	³ Info fauna – CSCF, Passage Maximilien-de-Meuron 6, CH-2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland
21	
22	E-mail: <u>borza.peter@okologia.mta.hu</u>
23	*Corresponding author
24	
25	Abstract
26	

27 Ponto-Caspian peracarids (amphipods, isopods, mysids and cumaceans) represent one of the 28 most successful groups of aquatic invaders comprising several high-impact species, such as 29 Chelicorophium curvispinum, Dikerogammarus villosus, or Hemimysis anomala. In the 30 present study we made the first attempt to compare biological traits and the environmental 31 preferences of invasive and non-invasive members of the group based on both literature and 32 field data (Joint Danube Survey 3, 2013) with the goal of identifying factors linked to invasion success and drawing conclusions on future invasion risks. Both datasets indicated 33 34 substrate preference as an important factor in spontaneous range expansion; all invasive 35 species are lithophilous, whereas the majority of non-invasives are psammo-pelophilous. The 36 remaining seven presently non-invasive lithophilous species deserve special attention when 37 considering potential future invaders; however, due to their rarity and possible negative 38 interactions with earlier colonists we consider the probability of their expansion in the 39 foreseeable future as low. Their potential expansion could most likely be of minor 40 consequence anyway, since no considerable functional novelty can be attributed to them in 41 addition to species already present. In this limited context (regarding habitats dominated by 42 hard substrates and not considering the potential further spread of already invasive species) it 43 might be justified to conclude that 'the worst is over'. Nevertheless, impending navigation 44 development projects both in the Danube-Main-Rhine and Dnieper-Pripyat-Bug-Vistula 45 systems might favour the future spread of non-lithophilous species, which might imply a new 46 invasion wave of Ponto-Caspian peracarids.

47

48 Keywords

49 Amphipoda, colonization rate, Cumacea, Isopoda, Mysida, substrate preference

50

51 Introduction

53 Predicting future invasions by identifying traits of species determining invasion success is a 54 fundamental endeavor of applied ecology (Williamson and Fitter 1996; Kolar and Lodge 55 2001; Heger and Trepl 2003). Initial attempts at finding features universally predisposing 56 species to be an invader concluded that there might be inherent limitations to generalization 57 (Williamson 1999). However, it also emerged that not all invasions are idiosyncratic, and 58 carefully designed studies (e.g., distinguishing among stages of the invasion process) might 59 identify informative traits (Kolar and Lodge 2001). How specifically these traits can be 60 defined depends on the scope of the study; a meta-analysis comprising all major groups of 61 organisms ever investigated in this context was only able to demonstrate the universal 62 importance of climate/habitat match, history of invasive success, and the number of 63 arriving/released individuals (Hayes and Barry 2008). Another meta-analysis restricted to 64 plants was able to link invasiveness to more informative but still composite traits related to 65 performance, such as physiology, leaf area allocation, shoot allocation, growth rate, size, and 66 fitness (Van Kleunen et al. 2010b). More accurate predictions can be made if one focuses on a 67 specific taxon in a given region (e.g., fish in the North American Great Lakes; Kolar and 68 Lodge 2002), and it might even be possible to successfully model the potential range and 69 impact of single invader species in yet unaffected areas (e.g., Kulhanek et al. 2011). 70 Data allowing an in-depth analysis of invasion risks are hard or often impossible to obtain 71 (e.g., propagule pressure in accidental introductions); accordingly, most of the studies deal 72 with a few well-known taxa (i.e., plants, birds, and fishes), and deliberate introductions are 73 strongly overrepresented (Kolar and Lodge 2001; Hayes and Barry 2008). Nevertheless, due 74 to the scale-dependent nature of the issue, specific studies on less tractable but similarly 75 important groups of invaders are indispensable in order to provide predictions as accurate as 76 possible.

77	Ponto-Caspian peracarids represent one of the most successful groups of aquatic invaders,
78	comprising several high-impact species such as the 'Caspian mud shrimp' Chelicorophium
79	curvispinum (G.O. Sars, 1895), the 'killer shrimp' Dikerogammarus villosus (Sowinsky,
80	1894), or the 'bloody-red mysid' Hemimysis anomala G.O. Sars, 1907 (Van den Brink et al.
81	1993; Dick et al. 2002; Ricciardi et al. 2012). Studies dealing with factors of their invasion
82	success so far have concentrated on the comparison with native species, and concluded that
83	life history traits, such as short generation time and high fecundity might be the main factor of
84	their superiority (Devin and Beisel 2007; Grabowski et al. 2007a). Although this approach
85	might reveal important aspects of the explanation of their success, per se it does not allow
86	predictions to be made (Van Kleunen et al. 2010a).
87	In the present study, we make the first attempt to compare biological traits and the
88	environmental preferences of invasive and non-invasive Ponto-Caspian peracarids based on
89	both literature and field data, with the goal of identifying factors linked to invasion success
90	and making conclusions on future invasion risks.
91	
92	Material and methods
93	
94	Historical context
95	
96	The expansion of Ponto-Caspian peracarids toward Western and Northern Europe has been
97	promoted mainly by two major inland waterways connecting their native region to other
98	catchments, the so-called southern (Danube-Main-Rhine system) and central corridors
99	(Dnieper-Pripyat-Bug-Vistula-Notec-Oder system connected to German rivers by the Midland
100	Canal). The third, northern corridor (Volga-Neva system) has not played a significant role in

101 this context (Bij de Vaate et al. 2002). After colonizing several interconnected catchments in

continental Europe, some of the species were also able to further extend their range to the
British Isles and even to North-America (Ricciardi and MacIsaac 2000; Pothoven et al. 2007;
Gallardo and Aldridge 2015).

105 Along the River Danube, Ponto-Caspian peracarids began to expand around the beginning of the 20th century, parallel to the start of regular mechanized ship traffic; by the middle of the 106 107 century seven species had established in the middle section of the river (Fig. 1). In the 108 following decades, colonization rate decreased until in 1992 the Danube was connected to the 109 Rhine basin via the Main-Danube canal. Soon after, species which have previously colonized 110 the middle and upper sections of the Danube appeared in the Rhine, and four additional 111 species began to expand in the system (Fig. 1). After this hectic period, however, events 112 apparently slowed down again; presently, large-scale expansions have been detected for more 113 than a decade.

Along the central corridor, C. curvispinum and Chaetogammarus ischnus (Stebbing, 1899),

115 were first found outside their native range in the early 20th century, when ship traffic used to

116 be the most active (Grabowski et al. 2007b; Karatayev et al. 2008). After World War II, a dam

117 was built on the Dnieper-Bug canal allowing only occasional ship traffic (Karatayev et al.

118 2008); still, Dikerogammarus villosus and D. haemobaphes (Eichwald, 1841) were able to

119 reach Poland via this route around the millennium (Grabowski et al. 2007b). Beside them,

120 several other species have expanded their range within the Dnieper basin mainly (but not

121 exclusively) as a result of deliberate introduction (Mastitsky and Makarevich 2007;

122 Semenchenko and Vezhnovetz 2008; Pligin et al. 2014). Ponto-Caspian species were also

123 transported to the Baltic states in the 1960-70s; four mysid and three amphipod species

124 established in the Baltic region after having been released in Lithuanian or Estonian reservoirs

125 and lakes (Arbaciauskas 2002; Herkül et al. 2009).

129 Pontic and Ponto-Caspian peracarid species occurring in freshwater were considered as 130 potentially invasive (Table 1). Although they might have the potential of range expansion 131 (Grabowski et al. 2012), primarily freshwater species (i.e., *Gammarus* spp. and *Niphargus* 132 spp.) were not included in the analysis, since they have markedly different ecological and 133 biogeographical characteristics. In the present paper we use the term 'invasive' in a broad, 134 purely biogeographical sense (i.e., species which have considerably widened their 135 distributional range in recent times), without referring to abundance, or ecological/economic 136 impact. We regarded species having spontaneously crossed the borders of their respective 137 native catchment (Danube in the southern corridor and Dnieper in the central corridor) as 138 invasive, but we also discuss deliberate introductions and expansions of smaller magnitude. 139 The species list for the southern corridor is presented after Lyashenko et al. (2012) with slight 140 modifications (Dikerogammarus bispinosus Martynov, 1925, Diamysis pengoi (Czerniavsky, 141 1882), and Pontogammarus aestuarius (Derzhavin, 1924) added; Chaetogammarus behningi 142 Martynov, 1919 omitted for synonymy with C. ischnus, and Hemimysis serrata Băcescu, 143 1938 omitted for lack of evidence for occurrence in freshwater). The species list for the 144 central corridor was compiled after Dediu (1980), Komarova (1991), Pligin et al. (2014), and 145 Vasilenko and Jaume (2015). Regarding amphipod taxonomy we conformed to Lowry and 146 Myers (2013) with the modifications of Hou and Sket (2016). We note that the classification 147 of the Ponto-Caspian complex is far from being settled; further substantial rearrangements can 148 be expected from molecular results (Cristescu and Hebert 2005). For this reason, we did not 149 include taxonomic/phylogenetic information in the analysis. 150 Coherent datasets could be gathered only for a few basic species traits. Body lengths (average

151 size of mature females in the summer generations, if available) were compiled after Băcescu

152 (1951), Băcescu (1954), Cărăuşu et al. (1955), and after species descriptions for species not 153 included in these. Size data were ordered into four classes (1:]0,5] mm, 2:]5,10] mm, 3: 154 [10,15] mm, 4: $[15,\infty]$ mm) to decrease incoherency. The substrate preference of mysids and 155 amphipods has been classified in the most straightforward way by Dediu (1966; 1980), 156 comprising five categories (litho-, phyto-, psammo-, pelo-, and argyllophilous). We adopted 157 this system and completed the list for the species not dealt with in those publications after 158 descriptions of Cărăuşu et al. (1955), Gruner (1965), and Vasilenko and Jaume (2015). In the 159 case of Katamysis warpachowskyi G. O. Sars, 1893 the classification of Dediu (1966) 160 contradicted to other observations from both the native and non-native range (Băcescu 1954; 161 Wittmann 2002; Borza 2014); therefore, we included both opinions as a compromise. Salinity 162 tolerance was characterized based on field observations in three categories (freshwater, 163 oligohaline, mesohaline) after Băcescu (1954), Cărăușu et al. (1955), Dediu (1980), 164 Komarova (1991), and Vasilenko and Jaume (2015). Since apparently all species occur in 165 freshwater as well as in oligohaline waters (the sole exception being perhaps *D.bispinosus*; 166 Cărăuşu et al. 1955), only tolerance to mesohaline conditions (>5 ‰) was considered in the 167 analysis.

168

170

171 The field samples analyzed in the present study were collected during the 3^{rd} Joint Danube 172 Survey between 13 August and 26 September 2013 at 55 sites of the river ranging from Ulm 173 (river km 2581) to the Delta (river km 18, Kiliya branch). At each site, 4-7 samples consisting 174 of five units covering 25 x 25 cm bottom area were collected in the littoral zone (0.1-1.5 m 175 depth) by hand net (aperture: 25 x 25 cm, mesh size: 500 µm) representing all habitat types 176 available ('multi-habitat sampling'), as defined in the AQEM protocol (Hering et al. 2004).

¹⁶⁹ Field data

All samples were preserved in 4% formaldehyde solution in the field, and stored in 70% ethanol after sorting. Sorting was facilitated by fractioning the material on a set of sieves (mesh sizes: 0.5, 2, 5, 10, 20 mm). In several cases, 2 to 64-fold subsampling of the smallest one or two fractions was necessary due to the extremely high number of juvenile animals in the samples. Altogether 41 509 Peracarida specimens were identified to species level whenever possible (usually above 2 mm body length in genera represented by more than one species).

184

185 Statistical analysis

186

187 Since regression-based methods could not handle the literature dataset due to the low number 188 of cases and zero variance in some of the classes, the importance of the variables was assessed 189 by the more flexible random forest approach (based on conditional inference trees) using the 190 'cforest' function in the 'party' package (Hothorn et al. 2006) in R 3.2.5 (R Core Team 2016). 191 When estimating the importance of predictor variables, allowance was made for potential 192 biases arising from different scale types and from the correlation among them (Strobl et al. 193 2007; Strobl et al. 2008). Variable importance scores can be used to rank the predictors, but 194 they are not informative about the strength of the relationship. Therefore, the effect of the 195 variables with scores amounting to >10% of the highest value was further analyzed with 196 Fisher's exact tests. 197 We performed redundancy analysis (RDA) to reveal differences in the environmental 198 preferences among Ponto-Caspian peracarids using the 'rda' function in the 'vegan' package

199 (Oksanen et al. 2016). We restricted the analysis to the lower section of the river (river km <

200 685, comprising 13 sites with 56 samples; Fig. 2) where several of the non-invasive species

201 were present (only *P. lacustris* occurred upstream of this section), or at least could have been

202	present potentially based on previous records (Borza et al. 2015). We used $log(x+1)$ and
203	Hellinger-transformed (Legendre and Gallagher 2001) count data (individuals per sample) in
204	the analysis, but we show ind./ m^2 values in Fig. 3 and 6 for the sake of comparability.
205	Explanatory variables included substrate type (Table 1), depth, current velocity (measured at
206	approx. 5 cm from the bottom), pH, conductivity, dissolved O ₂ , dissolved organic carbon,
207	chlorophyll-a, suspended matter, total nitrogen, and total phosphorus concentration. We
208	performed forward selection (Blanchet et al. 2008a) on the environmental variables with two
209	different adding limits, $p = 0.05$ and 0.01 (using the 'ordiR2step' function in the 'vegan'
210	package), and constructed RDA models with each of the two selected variable sets. We tested
211	the variance explained by the models with ANOVA involving 9999 permutations.
212	To provide an insight into the autocorrelation structure of the data, we constructed Mantel
213	correlograms (Borcard and Legendre 2012) using the 'mantel.correlog' function in the
214	'vegan' package about the response variables as well as the residuals of the two RDA models.
215	The first distance class in the correlograms represents within-site distances, whereas the
216	subsequent classes were delimited according to the Sturges equation based on river km
217	distances among sites (12 classes with equal widths of 61.4 river km; the last six are not
218	shown). P-values of the Mantel correlation coefficients were calculated with Holm-correction.
219	Since the correlograms did not indicate significant residual spatial autocorrelation (Fig. 5), the
220	inclusion of a spatial submodel (e.g., asymmetric eigenvector maps, AEM; Blanchet et al.
221	2008b) was not necessary.
222	
223	Results
224	
225	Literature data
226	

227 A total of 62 peracarid species could be identified as potentially invasive based on our criteria 228 (Table 2). Overlap was high between the two basins, 59 species being present in the Danube 229 catchment versus 56 in the Dnieper. In the southern corridor, lithophily proved to be the most 230 important variable in explaining invasion success, followed by psammophily (its variable 231 importance score amounting to 15% of the score of lithophily), while all remaining variables 232 received scores less than 0.01% of the highest. In numbers, all of the 13 invasive species were 233 lithophilous, whereas 39 out of 46 non-invasive species were not lithophilous, meaning that 234 the two variables are dependent on each other with a high statistical certainty (Fisher's exact 235 test of independence, p < 0.0001). Psammophily was also strongly associated with invasion 236 success (p < 0.001), but had less explanatory power in terms of numbers (9 out of 13 invasive 237 species not psammophilous, 40 out of 46 non-invasive species psammophilous), and even this 238 arose from the strong negative association with lithophily (p < 0.0001). Substrate preference 239 varied strongly among peracarid orders, but the role of lithophily in relation to invasion 240 success was consistent. 241 In the central invasion corridor the low number of invasive species did not allow the 242 evaluation of variable importances (all variables were scored zero), but as all four invasive 243 species are lithophilous (the two variables being dependent at p = 0.01), the results are 244 consistent with the southern corridor. 245

Example 246 Field data

247

A total of 22 Ponto-Caspian peracarid species were recorded during the survey of which 21 were present in the section below river km 685 (Fig. 3; *Dikerogammarus bispinosus* was found only between river km 2258 and 1252). Invasive species tended to occur more frequently than non-invasive ones and were usually more abundant whenever present (Fig. 3).

The forward selection process with p = 0.05 retained six environmental variables, namely 252 253 substrate type, pH, conductivity, dissolved O₂, chlorophyll-a, and total phosphorus 254 concentration which altogether explained 28.8% of the total variation (df = 10, F = 3.23, p <255 0.001). With p = 0.01, the only retained variable was substrate type, accounting for 18.8% of 256 the variance (df = 5, F = 3.54, p < 0.001). Comparing the results of the two models revealed 257 that the five physicochemical variables had a minor, individually not interpretable effect on 258 the ordination of the species (Fig. 4, Appendix 1). Nevertheless, their inclusion eliminated 259 spatial autocorrelation in the data, which was still present to some degree when substrate 260 types were considered only (Fig. 5). Consistent differences could be detected between the 261 substrate preferences of invasive and non-invasive species; the former preferred stony 262 substrates while the latter were associated mainly with soft sediments (they were not found on 263 stony substrates at all; Fig. 4, 6). Representatives from both groups occurred on macrophytes 264 and wood ('phytal'), but invasive species were more abundant on average on these substrates 265 (Fig. 6). Although the separation between invasive and non-invasive species was not perfect 266 on the ordination plane (Fig. 4), the main reason for this was the rarity of certain species in 267 the material (rare species were positioned near the origin). Our dataset does not allow solid 268 conclusions to be made on the environmental preferences of these species. 269 Discussion

270

271

272 Factors of invasion success

273

274 Both datasets indicated substrate preference, specifically lithophily as the most important 275 factor in determining invasion success among Ponto-Caspian peracarids. The two analyses 276 supplemented each other; literature data showed a comprehensive but somewhat schematic picture about the whole species pool, whereas field data provided a more detailed insight intothe environmental preferences of the most frequent species.

279 The most obvious explanation for the importance of substrate preference is that lithophilous 280 species have a higher chance of establishment and proliferation outside their native range 281 because waters here are dominated by stony substrates (gravel, riprap). This explanation is in 282 accordance with general observations identifying environmental match as the most consistent 283 factor of invasion success across various groups of organisms (Hayes and Barry 2008). On the 284 other hand, it also seems reasonable to assume that substrate preference might also affect the 285 chance of being transported to distant places. Lithophilous species might be more inclined to 286 attach to hard surfaces of ships, the main means of transport (Reinhold and Tittizer 1999). 287 These two alternatives are not mutually exclusive; in all likelihood both explanations have 288 some effect on the chance of passing successive stages of the invasion process. Since presently all invasive species are lithophilous but not all lithophilous species are 289 290 invasive, preference for hard substrates can be considered as a necessary but not sufficient 291 prerequisite of invasion success among Ponto-Caspian peracarids. Although the number of 292 factors included in the analysis was rather low, it is not likely that the consideration of more 293 variables would change this conclusion, since the importance of habitat match for invasion 294 success is widely reported and quite evident. Nevertheless, we can presume that some 295 additional factors of invasion success do exist and accounted for presently non-invasive 296 lithophilous species. Similarly, although present invasion patterns do not allow much 297 distinction among non-lithophilous species, invasion potential might vary among them, too. 298 Below we list three factors we consider as potentially relevant in this regard. 299 (1) Invaders already present might impede the establishment of further colonists. Sympatric 300 members of the Ponto-Caspian peracarid assemblage can be assumed to coexist stably 301 through resource partitioning, based on their shared evolutionary history (Gallardo and

302 Aldridge 2015). In contrast, the circumstances allowing their coexistence within their native 303 range might not be provided outside it in all cases. For instance, phytophilous amphipods 304 (e.g., P. robustoides, O. crassus, and C. warpachowskyi) can be assumed to be able to use 305 (and actually prefer) stony substrates (Jermacz et al. 2015b), but the presence of lithophilous 306 species, above all *D. villosus*, might prevent them from doing so (Jermacz et al. 2015a). This, 307 in the absence of extended macrophyte stands and lentic sandy shoals might impede the 308 establishment of the newcomers, or even result in the decline of their populations already 309 present. This mechanism might explain the extinction of O. crassus from the Middle Danube during the 20th century concurrent with the appearance of *D. villosus*, and similarly, the 310 311 disappearance of *Chelicorophium maeoticum* (Sowinsky, 1898) in the Serbian section of the 312 Danube and the River Tisza might be linked to the invasion of C. curvispinum (Borza et al. 313 2015).

314 (2) Propagule pressure, a strong determinant of invasion success (Hayes and Barry 2008;

315 Simberloff 2009), can be expected to be correlated with abundance within the donor region.

316 Accordingly, some of the species might simply be too rare to have a realistic chance of being

317 transported over long distances in numbers high enough to develop a persistent colony.

318 According to Dediu (1980), several species occur generally in very low numbers (1-10

119 ind./m²), while the density of some others might reach the magnitude of tens of thousands

320 ind./m². Furthermore, some of the species have only a few known occurrences which often

321 date back to several decades ago (Lyashenko et al. 2012).

(3) All of the species included in the list of potential invaders have been recorded in
freshwater; however, low salinity might be suboptimal for some of them, decreasing their
chance of ever expanding their ranges in inland waters. Moreover, considering that saltwater
can intrude the deltas of rivers, occasionally up to several tens of kilometers in the artificially
deepened Sulina arm of the Danube, for example (Bondar 1983), sporadic occurrences in

327 freshwater might not prove independence of saltwater in all of the cases. Thus, detailed 328 studies of their autecology might identify some of the species included in the list as an 329 occasional visitor in freshwaters.

330

331 Future prospects

332

333 We acknowledge that lithophily is not the only factor affecting invasion success among 334 Ponto-Caspian peracarids; nevertheless, it is worthwhile to consider it with regard to future 335 invasion prospects since it is not trivial among the species. In the southern invasion corridor, 336 the distribution of only seven lithophilous species (six amphipods and one mysid; Table 3) 337 remained restricted to the lower reaches of the river as yet, which deserve special attention 338 when considering potential future invaders. However, due to their rarity (several of them have 339 not been recorded for decades; Table 3) and possibly to other factors (e.g., negative 340 interactions with invasives) we estimate the probability of their large-scale expansion in the 341 foreseeable future as low. Even if some of them became invasive after all, the effect on 342 lithophilous assemblages could most likely be minor, since no considerable functional novelty 343 can be attributed to them in addition to the species already present. Of course, they can be 344 expected to occupy different niches, which might imply changes in resource utilization either 345 by the consumption of previously unused resources or competition for used ones, but this kind 346 of impact is not comparable to the functional novelty represented by the appearance of the 347 first corophiid (Van den Brink et al. 1993), large predatory gammarid (Dick et al. 2002), or 348 zooplanktivore mysid (Ketelaars et al. 1999) in a given ecosystem. Therefore, in this limited 349 context; i.e., regarding habitats dominated by hard substrates and not considering the potential 350 further spread of already invasive species, it might be justified to conclude that 'the worst is 351 over'.

352 Apparently, the system has reached a steady state where the pool of lithophilous species has 353 run out (or it is close to it, at least), and non-lithophilous species are not able to expand (over 354 large distances, at least). Nevertheless, most invasions in the history of the corridor occurred 355 in bursts parallel to major developments in navigation (Fig. 1). Is there something that could 356 disrupt the *status quo* and might induce a new invasion wave? Since considerable economic 357 interests are involved, further development of the conditions of shipping on the Danube is 358 continuously on the agenda, for example in the form of deepening the shipping channel, 359 which would allow larger classes of ships to pass (Anonymous 2016a). This might imply 360 increasing ship traffic, shortening of travel times, and a rearrangement in the importance of 361 traffic hubs both in the donor and recipient regions, which in the end might allow further 362 species to spread. Another issue is the possible construction of dams in the Middle Danube, 363 which might become inevitable one day due to sinking ground water levels in the Great 364 Pannonian Plain. This could result in a more-or-less continuous cascade of reservoirs 365 throughout the upper and middle river sections which might allow the spread of psammo-366 pelophilous species, as exemplified by Eastern European large rivers, where, besides several 367 deliberate introductions, some of the species began to expand spontaneously (Grigorovich et 368 al. 2002; Filinova et al. 2008; Semenchenko et al. 2015). The secondary spread of several 369 species introduced into the Baltic region as well as the recent appearance of *P. lacustris* in the 370 Serbian Danube section and in the River Tisza (Borza and Boda 2013) also indicate that 371 spontaneous expansion of non-lithophilous species should be dealt with, at least when the 372 environment is favourable (i.e., it is dominated by soft substrates) and distances are not too 373 large (in the magnitude of several hundred kilometres). So, when planning such projects, 374 further invasions of Ponto-Caspian peracarids should be considered among possible 375 environmental hazards.

376 In the central invasion corridor, the project aimed at widening the bottleneck represented by 377 the Dnieper-Bug canal is already near the implementation phase (Anonymous 2016b), which 378 might give a boost to the expansion of Ponto-Caspian species in the near future (Karatayev et 379 al. 2008). In this region, much more potential remained in lithophilous species; however, 380 some of them might reach the Baltic basin even sooner from Germany, as in the case of D. 381 villosus and T. trichiatus (Grabowski et al. 2007b; Rachalewski et al. 2013). The lowland 382 character of the rivers constituting this waterway (Semenchenko and Vezhnovetz 2008) 383 combined with a higher vector activity might provide favourable conditions for the spread of 384 non-lithophilous species, as well. Some of them are already present in the Baltic basin, so 385 their potential expansion would be of less consequence, but it could imply the colonization of 386 further areas within the region. On the other hand, several other species are present in the 387 reservoirs of the Dnieper (Pligin et al. 2014), the possible further spread of which also should 388 be dealt with under the altered circumstances.

389

390 Conclusions

391

392 In our analysis we were able to identify preference for stony substrates as an important factor 393 of invasion success among Ponto-Caspian peracarids, providing a consistent but not full 394 explanation for the presently observable patterns, and allowing general conclusions to be 395 made on future prospects. At the same time, our effort highlighted how insufficient our 396 present knowledge is about the taxonomy, faunistics, autecology, and interactions of this 397 important group. In the light of their already significant impact and still high potential for 398 further expansion, much more effort should be devoted to studying Ponto-Caspian peracarids 399 within their native range, which could allow us to provide a more precise assessment of future 400 invasion risks.

402 Acknowledgements

404	Joint Danube Survey 3 was organized by the International Commission for the Protection of
405	the Danube River (ICPDR). We would like to thank everyone involved in the organization,
406	field work, and evaluation of the survey for their effort. This work was supported by the
407	MARS project (Managing Aquatic ecosystems and water Resources under multiple Stress)
408	funded by the European Union under the 7 th Framework Programme, grant agreement no:
409	603378. Péter Borza was supported by the Scholarship of the Scholarship Foundation of the
410	Republic of Austria for Post-docs from October 2013 until March 2014 (funding organization:
411	OeAD-GmbH on behalf of and financed by the Scholarship Foundation of the Republic of
412	Austria). We thank Karl J. Wittmann for data on D. pengoi distribution, Michał Grabowski
413	for remarks on amphipod taxonomy, and Ferenc Jordán, Dénes Schmera and two anonymous
414	referees for useful comments on the manuscript.
415	
416	References
417	
418	Anonymous (2016a) Danube Region Strategy Projects: Waterway Inftrastructure.
419	http://www.danube-navigation.eu/projects-ideas. Accessed 28 November 2016
420	Anonymous (2016b) Commission on the Development of the E-40 Waterway on the Dnieper-
421	Vistula Section. http://e40restoration.eu/en. Accessed 28 November 2016
422	Arbaciauskas K (2002) Ponto-Caspian amphipods and mysids in the inland waters of
423	Lithuania: history of introduction, current distribution and relations with native
424	malacostracans. In: Leppäkoski E, Gollasch S, Olenin S (eds) Invasive aquatic species

425	of Europe. Distribution, impacts and management. Kluwer Academic Publishers,
426	Dordrecht, pp 104–115
427	Băcescu M (1951) Crustacea: Cumacea. Editura Academiei Republicii Populare Romîne,
428	București
429	Băcescu M (1954) Crustacea: Mysidacea. Editura Academiei Republicii Populare Romîne,
430	București
431	Bernerth H, Tobias W, Stein S (2005) Faunenwandel im Main zwischen 1997 und 2002 am
432	Beispiel des Makrozoobenthos. In: Faunistisch-ökologische Untersuchungen des
433	Forschungsinstitutes Senckenberg im hessischen Main. Hessisches Landesamt für
434	Umwelt und Geologie, Wiesbaden, pp 15-87
435	Bij de Vaate A, Jażdżewski K, Ketelaars HAM, et al (2002) Geographical patterns in range
436	extension of Ponto-Caspian macroinvertebrate species in Europe. Can J Fish Aquat
437	Sci 59:1159–1174. doi: 10.1139/f02-098
438	Blanchet FG, Legendre P, Borcard D (2008a) Forward selection of explanatory variables.
439	Ecology 89:2623–2632. doi: 10.1890/07-0986.1
440	Blanchet FG, Legendre P, Borcard D (2008b) Modelling directional spatial processes in
441	ecological data. Ecol Model 215:325-336. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2008.04.001
442	Bondar C (1983) Zum Eindringen des Wassers des Schwarzen Meeres in die Donau-Arme.
443	Hidrobiol Bucur 17:217
444	Borcard D, Legendre P (2012) Is the Mantel correlogram powerful enough to be useful in
445	ecological analysis? A simulation study. Ecology 93:1473-1481. doi: 10.1890/11-
446	1737.1
447	Borza P (2011) Revision of invasion history, distributional patterns, and new records of
448	Corophiidae (Crustacea: Amphipoda) in Hungary. Acta Zool Acad Sci Hung 57:75-84

449	Borza P (2014) Life history of invasive Ponto-Caspian mysids (Crustacea: Mysida): A
450	comparative study. Limnologica 44:9-17. doi: 10.1016/j.limno.2013.06.001
451	Borza P, Boda P (2013) Range expansion of Ponto-Caspian mysids (Mysida, Mysidae) in the
452	River Tisza: first record of Paramysis lacustris (Czerniavsky, 1882) for Hungary.
453	Crustaceana 86:1316-1327. doi: 10.1163/15685403-00003229
454	Borza P, Csányi B, Huber T, et al (2015) Longitudinal distributional patterns of Peracarida
455	(Crustacea, Malacostraca) in the River Danube. Fundam Appl Limnol 187:113–126.
456	doi: 10.1127/fal/2015/0769
457	Cărăușu S, Dobreanu E, Manolache C (1955) Amphipoda forme salmastre și de apă dulce
458	[Freshwater and brackish water Amphipoda]. Editura Academiei Republicii Populare
459	Romîne, București
460	Cristescu ME, Hebert PD (2005) The" Crustacean Seas" an evolutionary perspective on the
461	Ponto Caspian peracarids. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 62:505-517. doi: 10.1139/f04-210
462	Dediu II (1980) Amphipody presnykh i solonovatykh vod Yugo-Zapada SSSR [Amphipods
463	of fresh and brackish waters of the South-West of USSR]. Shtiintsa, Kishinev
464	Dediu II (1966) Répartition et caractéristique écologique des Mysides des bassins des rivièrs
465	Dniestr et Pruth. Rev Roum Biol Zool 11:233–239
466	Devin S, Beisel J-N (2007) Biological and ecological characteristics of invasive species: a
467	gammarid study. Biol Invasions 9:13-24. doi: 10.1007/s10530-006-9001-0
468	Dick JT, Platvoet D, Kelly DW (2002) Predatory impact of the freshwater invader
469	Dikerogammarus villosus (Crustacea: Amphipoda). Can J Fish Aquat Sci 59:1078-
470	1084. doi: 10.1139/f02-074
471	Dudich E (1930) A Jaera Nordmanni Rathke, egy új víziászka a magyar faunában [Jaera
472	Nordmanni Rathke, a new aquatic isopod in the Hungarian fauna]. Állattani
473	Közlemények 27:120

- 474 Dudich E (1927) Új rákfajok Magyarország faunájában Neue Krebstiere in der Fauna
 475 Ungarns. Arch Balatonicum 1:343–387
- 476 Filinova EI, Malinina YA, Shlyakhtin GV (2008) Bioinvasions in macrozoobenthos of the
 477 Volgograd Reservoir. Russ J Ecol 39:193–197. doi: 10.1134/S1067413608030077
- 478 Gallardo B, Aldridge DC (2015) Is Great Britain heading for a Ponto–Caspian invasional
- 479 meltdown? J Appl Ecol 52:41–49. doi: 10.1111/1365-2664.12348
- 480 Grabowski M, Bacela K, Konopacka A (2007a) How to be an invasive gammarid
- 481 (Amphipoda: Gammaroidea)–comparison of life history traits. Hydrobiologia 590:75–
- 482 84. doi: 10.1007/s10750-007-0759-6
- 483 Grabowski M, Jażdżewski K, Konopacka A (2007b) Alien Crustacea in polish waters-
- 484 Amphipoda. Aquat Invasions 2:25–38. doi: 10.3391/ai.2007.2.1.3
- 485 Grabowski M, Rewicz T, Bacela-Spychalska K, et al (2012) Cryptic invasion of Baltic
- 486 lowlands by freshwater amphipod of Pontic origin. Aquat Invasions 7:337–346. doi:
- 487 10.3391/ai.2012.7.3.005
- 488 Grigorovich IA, MacIsaac HJ, Shadrin NV, Mills EL (2002) Patterns and mechanisms of
- 489 aquatic invertebrate introductions in the Ponto-Caspian region. Can J Fish Aquat Sci
 490 59:1189–1208. doi: 10.1139/f02-088
- 491 Gruner HE (1965) Die Tierwelt Deutschlands und der angrenzenden Meeresteile nach ihren
- 492 Merkmalen und ihrer Lebensweise, Teil 51. Krebstiere oder Crustacea, V. Isopoda, 1.
 493 Lieferung. Fischer Verlag, Jena
- 175 Elefereng. Hiseher Verlug, Verlu
- Hayes KR, Barry SC (2008) Are there any consistent predictors of invasion success? Biol
 Invasions 10:483–506. doi: 10.1007/s10530-007-9146-5
- 496 Heger T, Trepl L (2003) Predicting Biological Invasions. Biol Invasions 5:313–321. doi:
- 497 10.1023/B:BINV.0000005568.44154.12

- 498 Hering D, Moog O, Sandin L, Verdonschot PF (2004) Overview and application of the
- 499 AQEM assessment system. Hydrobiologia 516:1–20. doi:
- 500 10.1023/B:HYDR.0000025255.70009.a5
- Herkül K, Kotta J, Püss T, Kotta I (2009) Crustacean invasions in the Estonian coastal sea.
 Est J Ecol 58:313–323. doi: 10.3176/eco.2009.4.06
- Hothorn T, Bühlmann P, Dudoit S, et al (2006) Survival ensembles. Biostatistics 7:355–373.
 doi: 10.1093/biostatistics/kxj011
- 505 Hou Z, Sket B (2016) A review of Gammaridae (Crustacea: Amphipoda): the family extent,
- 506 its evolutionary history, and taxonomic redefinition of genera. Zool J Linn Soc
- 507 176:323–348. doi: 10.1111/zoj.12318
- 508 Jermacz Ł, Dzierżyńska A, Kakareko T, et al (2015a) The art of choice: predation risk
- 509 changes interspecific competition between freshwater amphipods. Behav Ecol arv009.
 510 doi: 10.1093/beheco/arv009
- 511 Jermacz Ł, Dzierżyńska A, Poznańska M, Kobak J (2015b) Experimental evaluation of
- 512 preferences of an invasive Ponto-Caspian gammarid *Pontogammarus robustoides*
- 513 (Amphipoda, Gammaroidea) for mineral and plant substrata. Hydrobiologia 746:209–
- 514 221. doi: 10.1007/s10750-014-1963-9
- 515 Karatayev AY, Mastitsky SE, Burlakova LE, Olenin S (2008) Past, current, and future of the

516 central European corridor for aquatic invasions in Belarus. Biol Invasions 10:215–232.
517 doi: 10.1007/s10530-007-9124-y

- 518 Ketelaars HA, Lambregts-van de Clundert FE, Carpentier CJ, et al (1999) Ecological effects
- 519 of the mass occurrence of the Ponto–Caspian invader, *Hemimysis anomala* GO Sars,
- 520 1907 (Crustacea: Mysidacea), in a freshwater storage reservoir in the Netherlands,
- 521 with notes on its autecology and new records. Hydrobiologia 394:233–248. doi:
- 522 10.1023/A:1003619631920

- Kolar CS, Lodge DM (2001) Progress in invasion biology: predicting invaders. Trends Ecol
 Evol 16:199–204. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(01)02101-2
- Kolar CS, Lodge DM (2002) Ecological predictions and risk assessment for alien fishes in
 North America. Science 298:1233–1236. doi: 10.1126/science.1075753
- 527 Komarova TI (1991) Fauna Ukrainy. T. 26. Mizidy (Mysidacea). Naukova Dumka, Kiev
- 528 Kulhanek SA, Leung B, Ricciardi A (2011) Using ecological niche models to predict the
- abundance and impact of invasive species: application to the common carp. Ecol Appl
 21:203–213. doi: 10.1890/09-1639.1
- Legendre P, Gallagher ED (2001) Ecologically meaningful transformations for ordination of
 species data. Oecologia 129:271–280. doi: 10.1007/s004420100716
- Lowry JK, Myers AA (2013) A Phylogeny and Classification of the Senticaudata subord. nov.
 Crustacea: Amphipoda). Zootaxa 3610:1–80. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3610.1.1
- 535 Lyashenko AV, Zorina-Sakharova YY, Makovskiy VV, Sanzhak YO (2012) Modern State of
- 536 the Ponto-Caspian Complex of the Macrofauna of Invertebrates in the Lower Reaches
- 537 of the Danube River within the Territory of Ukraine. Hydrobiol J 48:18–37. doi:
- 538 10.1615/HydrobJ.v48.i4.20
- 539 Mastitsky SE, Makarevich OA (2007) Distribution and abundance of Ponto-Caspian
- amphipods in the Belarusian section of the Dnieper River. Aquat Invasions 2:39–44.
- 541 doi: 10.3391/ai.2007.2.1.4
- 542 Nesemann H, Pöckl M, Wittmann KJ (1995) Distribution of epigean Malacostraca in the
- 543 middle and upper Danube (Hungary, Austria, Germany). Misc Zool Hung 10:49–68
- 544 Nosek JN, Oertel N (1980) Zoologische Untersuchungen an Aufwüchsen in der Donau
- 545zwischen Rajka und Budapest. Ann Univ Sci Budapestinensis Rolando Eotvos Nomin546547
- 546 Sect Biol 22-23:187–204

547	Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, et al (2016) vegan: Community Ecology Package. R
548	package version 2.3-5. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan
549	Pligin YV, Matchinskaya SF, Zheleznyak NI, Linchuk MI (2014) Long-Term Distribution of
550	Alien Species of Macroinvertebrates in the Ecosystems of the Dnieper Reservoirs.
551	Hydrobiol J 50:3–17. doi: 10.1615/HydrobJ.v50.i2.10
552	Popescu-Marinescu V, Năstăsescu M (2005) Amphipods (Gammaridae and Corophiidae)
553	from Iron Gates I and II Dam lakes-Danube (Romania), concerning especially 2002
554	situation. Trav Muséum Natl D'Histoire Nat Grigore Antipa 48:501–521
555	Pothoven SA, Grigorovich IA, Fahnenstiel GL, Balcer MD (2007) Introduction of the Ponto-
556	Caspian bloody-red mysid Hemimysis anomala into the Lake Michigan basin. J Gt
557	Lakes Res 33:285–292. doi: 10.3394/0380-1330(2007)33[285:IOTPBM]2.0.CO;2
558	Prunescu-Arion E, Elian L (1965) Beitrag zum Studium der Fauna und der Ökologie der
559	Gammariden im rumänischen Abschnitt der Donau. Veröff Arbeitsgemeinschaft
560	Donauforsch 2:65–79
561	Rachalewski M, Konopacka A, Grabowski M, Bacela-Spychalska K (2013) Echinogammarus
562	trichiatus (Martynov, 1932) — a new Ponto-Caspian amphipod invader in Poland with
563	remarks on other alien amphipods from the Oder River. Crustaceana 86:1224–1233.
564	doi: 10.1163/15685403-00003228
565	R Core Team (2016) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
566	for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/
567	Reinhold M, Tittizer T (1999) Verschleppung von Makrozoen durch Kühlwasserfilter eines
568	Schiffes. Wasser Boden 51:61–66.
569	Ricciardi A, Avlijas S, Marty J (2012) Forecasting the ecological impacts of the Hemimysis
570	anomala invasion in North America: lessons from other freshwater mysid
571	introductions. J Gt Lakes Res 38:7-13. doi: 10.1016/j.jglr.2011.06.007

572	Ricciardi A, MacIsaac HJ (2000) Recent mass invasion of the North American Great Lakes
573	by Ponto-Caspian species. Trends Ecol Evol 15:62-65. doi: 10.1016/S0169-
574	5347(99)01745-0
575	Sebestyén O (1934) A vándorkagyló (Dreissensia polymorpha Pall.) és a szövőbolharák
576	(Corophium curvispinum G. O. Sars forma devium Wundsch) megjelenése és rohamos
577	térfoglalása a Balatonban [Appearance and rapid increase of Dreissensia polymorpha
578	Pall. and Corophium curvispinum G. O. Sars forma devium Wundsch in Lake
579	Balaton]. Magy Biológiai Kutint Munkái 7:190–204
580	Semenchenko VP, Son MO, Novitsky RA, et al (2015) Alien macroinvertebrates and fish in
581	the Dnieper River basin. Russ J Biol Invasions 6:51-64. doi:
582	10.1134/S2075111715010063
583	Semenchenko V, Vezhnovetz V (2008) Two new invasive Ponto-Caspian amphipods reached
584	the Pripyat River, Belarus. Aquat Invasions 3:445-447. doi: 10.3391/ai.2008.3.4.14
585	Simberloff D (2009) The Role of Propagule Pressure in Biological Invasions. Annu Rev Ecol
586	Evol Syst 40:81-102. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.110308.120304
587	Strobl C, Boulesteix A-L, Kneib T, et al (2008) Conditional variable importance for random
588	forests. BMC Bioinformatics 9:307. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-9-307
589	Strobl C, Boulesteix A-L, Zeileis A, Hothorn T (2007) Bias in random forest variable
590	importance measures: Illustrations, sources and a solution. BMC Bioinformatics 8:25.
591	doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-8-25
592	Unger E (1918) A Corophium devium előfordulása a Dunában [Occurrence of Corophium
593	devium in the Danube]. Állattani Közlemények 17:148–149
594	Van den Brink FWB, Van der Velde G, Bij de Vaate A (1993) Ecological aspects, explosive
595	range extension and impact of a mass invader, Corophium curvispinum Sars, 1895

596 (Crustacea: Amphipoda), in the Lower Rhine (The Netherlands). Oecologia 93:224–

597 232. doi: 10.1007/BF00317675

- Van Kleunen M, Dawson W, Schlaepfer D, et al (2010a) Are invaders different? A conceptual
 framework of comparative approaches for assessing determinants of invasiveness.
- 600 Ecol Lett 13:947–958. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01503.x
- 601 Van Kleunen M, Weber E, Fischer M (2010b) A meta-analysis of trait differences between
- 602 invasive and non-invasive plant species. Ecol Lett 13:235–245. doi: 10.1111/j.1461603 0248.2009.01418.x
- 604 Vasilenko S, Jaume D (2015) Check-list for Caspian Sea Cumaceans.
- 605 http://www.zin.ru/projects/caspdiv/caspian_cumaceans.html. Accessed 08 April 2015
- 606 Weinzierl A, Seitz G, Thannemann R (1997) Echinogammarus trichiatus (Amphipoda) und
- 607 *Atyaephyra desmaresti* (Decapoda) in der bayerischen Donau. Lauterbornia 31:31–32
- 608 Williamson M (1999) Invasions. Ecography 22:5–12. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-
- 609 0587.1999.tb00449.x
- 610 Williamson MH, Fitter A (1996) The characters of successful invaders. Biol Conserv 78:163–
- 611 170. doi: 10.1016/0006-3207(96)00025-0
- 612 Wittmann KJ (2002) Weiteres Vordringen pontokaspischer Mysidacea (Crustacea) in die
- 613 mittlere und obere Donau: Erstnachweise von Katamysis warpachowskyi für Ungarn,
- 614 die Slowakei und Österreich mit Notizen zur Biologie und zum ökologischen
- 615 Gefährdungspotential. Lauterbornia 44:49–63
- 616 Wittmann KJ, Theiss J, Banning M (1999) Die Drift von Mysidacea und Decapoda und ihre
- 617 Bedeutung für die Ausbreitung von Neozoen im Main-Donau-System. Lauterbornia618 35:53–66
- 619 Woynárovich E (1954) Vorkommen der Limnomysis benedeni Czern. im ungarischen
- 620 Donauabschnitt. Acta Zool Acad Sci Hung 1:177–185.

622 Captions

623

624 Fig. 1

625	Cumulative number of invasive Ponto-Caspian peracarid species in the course of time along
626	the southern corridor, based on first records outside the native range. Points are connected
627	only for the sake of expressiveness. Dashed line illustrates the time having passed until
628	present (2016) since the last new species was detected. References: 1: Unger (1918), 2: Borza
629	(2011), 3: Dudich (1927), 4: Borza et al. (2015), 5: Dudich (1930), 6: Sebestyén (1934), 7:
630	Woynárovich (1954), 8: Nosek and Oertel (1980), 9: Nesemann et al. (1995), 10: Weinzierl et
631	al. (1997), 11: Wittmann et al. (1999), 12: Bernerth et al. (2005), 13: Wittmann (2002).
632	
633	Fig. 2
634	Sampling sites (white triangles) during Joint Danube Survey 3 downstream of river km 685.
635	The dark shaded area corresponds to the River Danube basin. Country codes: RS: Serbia, RO:
636	Romania, BG: Bulgaria, MD: Moldova, UA: Ukraine.
637	
638	Fig. 3
639	Frequency of occurrence versus average abundance (whenever present) \pm SE of Ponto-
640	Caspian peracarid species downstream of river km 685 in the Danube during Joint Danube
641	Survey 3. Both scales are log ₁₀ -transformed. Black triangles: invasive species, white triangles:
642	non-invasive species. Abbreviations: Cc: Chelicorophium curvispinum, Ci: Chaetogammarus
643	ischnus, Cr: Chelicorophium robustum, Cs: Chelicorophium sowinskyi, C_sp:
644	Chelicorophium sp., Cw: Chaetogammarus warpachowskyi, Dh: Dikerogammarus
645	haemobaphes, Dv: Dikerogammarus villosus, D_sp: Dikerogammarus sp., Es: Euxinia sarsi,
646	Ha: Hemimysis anomala, Js: Jaera sarsi, Kw: Katamysis warpachowskyi, Lb: Limnomysis

- 647 benedeni, Oc: Obesogammarus crassus, Oo: Obesogammarus obesus, Pb: Paramysis
- 648 bakuensis, Pi: Paramysis intermedia, Pl: Paramysis lacustris, Pr: Pontogammarus
- 649 robustoides, P_sp: Paramysis sp., Pu: Paramysis ullskyi, Ss: Schizorhamphus scabriusculus,
- 650 Tt: Trichogammarus trichiatus.
- 651
- 652 Fig. 4

Triplot of the RDA model including only substrate type. Samples are not shown for the sake

of perspicuity. Black triangles: invasive species, white triangles: non-invasive species, solid

655 line: convex hull for invasive species, dashed line: convex hull for non-invasive species.

656 Substrate types (explanation in Table 1): ARG: argyllal, LIT: lithal, PEL: pelal, PPE:

657 psammopelal, PSA: psammal, PHY: phytal. Abbreviations of species names as in Fig. 3

658 (specimens identified to genus level are not included).

659

660 Fig. 5

Mantel correlograms of the response variables (squares/solid line), the residuals of the RDA model including six explanatory variables (circles/dashed line), and the residuals of the RDA model including only substrate type (triangles/dotted line). The distance class at 0 river km corresponds to within-site distances. Solid symbols indicate significant correlations (*: P <0.05, **: P < 0.01, ***: P < 0.001). Numbers on the top of the graph indicate the number of pairs involved in the calculation of correlations for each distance class. Symbols are connected only to visualize the trends.

668 Fig. 6

Density of invasive (A) and non-invasive (B) Ponto-Caspian peracarid species on different
substrate types (explanation in Table 1) downstream of river km 685 in the Danube during
Joint Danube Survey 3.

Table 1

674 Definitions of substrate types used in the study.

675

Table 2

677 Checklist of already or potentially invasive Ponto-Caspian peracarid species present in the

Danube and Dnieper catchments (see text for definitions). Invasion status: 0: not invasive, 1:

679 invasive, NA: not present in the given catchment.

680

Table 3

682 Presently non-invasive lithophilous Ponto-Caspian peracarid species in the southern corridor

683 (Danube-Rhine system). *: The specific rank of *P. aestuarius* is not universally acknowledged

684 (Dediu 1980); therefore, information on its distribution and ecology is very limited.

685

686 Appendix 1

687 Triplot of the RDA model including six explanatory variables. Samples are not shown for the

sake of perspicuity. Black triangles: invasive species, white triangles: non-invasive species,

solid line: convex hull for invasive species, dashed line: convex hull for non-invasive species.

690 Substrate types (explanation in Table 1): ARG: argyllal, LIT: lithal, PEL: pelal, PPE:

691 psammopelal, PSA: psammal, PHY: phytal. Abbreviations of continuous variables: con:

692 conductivity, dis: dissolved O₂, chl: chlorophyll-a, toP: total phosphorus. Abbreviations of

693 species names as in Fig. 3 (specimens identified to genus level are not included).

694 Table 1

		Number of	Grouping		
Substrate type	Definition	samples	RDA	Fig. 6	
argyllal	silt, loam, clay (inorganic); grain size < 0.063 mm	3	argyllal		
riprap	rocks of variable size, artificial	1		hard	
mesolithal	cobbles; grain size 6 cm to 20 cm	7	lithal	naru	
microlithal	coarse gravel; grain size 2 cm to 6 cm	3			
psammal	sand; grain size 0.063-2 mm	7	psammal		
psammopelal	sand and mud	13	psammopelal	soft	
pelal	mud (organic); grain size < 0.063 mm	16	pelal		
macrophytes	submerged macrophytes, including moss and Characeae	2		1	
xylal	tree trunks, dead wood, branches, roots	4	pnyta	.1	

695 696

596 <u>Table 2</u>

Order/Family	Species		Invasion status		Substrate preference (-philous)					Mesobaline
	Species	Danube	Dnieper	Size class	Lito-	Phyto-	Psammo-	Pelo-	Argyllo-	wiesonanne
Amphipoda										
Behningiellidae	Cardiophilus marisnigrae Miloslawskaya, 1931	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
Corophiidae	Chelicorophium chelicorne (G.O. Sars, 1895)	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	0

	Chelicorophium curvispinum (G.O. Sars, 1895)	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
	Chelicorophium maeoticum (Sowinsky, 1898)	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
	Chelicorophium mucronatum (G.O. Sars, 1895)	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Chelicorophium nobile (G.O. Sars, 1895)	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	0
	Chelicorophium robustum (G.O. Sars, 1895)	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	0	0
	Chelicorophium sowinskyi (Martynov, 1924)	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
Gammaridae	Amathillina cristata G.O. Sars, 1894	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Chaetogammarus ischnus (Stebbing, 1899)	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Chaetogammarus placidus (G.O. Sars, 1896)	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	1
	Chaetogammarus warpachowskyi (G.O. Sars, 1894)	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Compactogammarus compactus (G.O. Sars, 1895)	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Dikerogammarus bispinosus Martynov, 1925	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
	Dikerogammarus haemobaphes (Eichwald, 1841)	1	1	3	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Dikerogammarus villosus (Sowinsky, 1894) Euxinia maeotica (Sowinsky, 1894)	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
	(=Pontogammarus maeoticus) Euxinia sarsi (Sowinsky, 1898)	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1
	(=Pontogammarus sarsi) Euxinia weidemanni (G.O. Sars, 1896)	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	1	0
	(=Pontogammarus weidemanni)	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Gmelina aestuarica Cărăușu, 1943	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	1
	Kuzmelina kusnezowi (Sowinsky, 1894)	NA	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0
	Lanceogammarus andrussowi (G.O. Sars, 1896)	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Niphargogammarus intermedius (Cărăușu, 1943)	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0
	Niphargoides corpulentus G.O. Sars, 1895	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Obesogammarus crassus (G.O. Sars, 1894)	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0
	Obesogammarus obesus (G.O. Sars, 1894)	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Paraniphargoides motasi (Cărăușu, 1943)	0	NA	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Pontogammarus aestuarius (Derzhavin, 1924)	0	NA	4	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Pontogammarus borceae Cărăușu, 1943	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Pontogammarus robustoides (G.O. Sars, 1894)	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0

	Shablogammarus chablensis Cărăuşu, 1943	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Shablogammarus subnudus (G.O. Sars, 1896)	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Stenogammarus carausui (Derzhavin & Pjatakova, 1962)	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Stenogammarus compressus (G.O. Sars, 1894)	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Stenogammarus deminutus (Stebbing, 1906)	0	NA	1	0	0	1	0	0	1
	Stenogammarus macrurus (G.O. Sars, 1894)	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Stenogammarus similis (G.O. Sars, 1894)	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Trichogammarus trichiatus (Martynov, 1932) Turcogammarus aralensis (Uljanin, 1875)	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
	(=Obesogammarus aralensis)	NA	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Uroniphargoides spinicaudatus (Cărăuşu, 1943)	0	NA	3	0	0	1	0	0	0
	Yogmelina limana Karaman & Barnard, 1979	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0
Iphigenellidae	Iphigenella acanthopoda (Grimm) G.O. Sars, 1896	0	NA	2	0	0	1	1	0	0
Mysida										
Mysidae	Diamysis pengoi (Czerniavsky, 1882)	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Hemimysis anomala G.O. Sars, 1907	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	1
	Katamysis warpachowskyi G.O. Sars, 1893	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	0	0
	Limnomysis benedeni Czerniavsky, 1882	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	1
	Paramysis bakuensis G.O. Sars, 1895	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Paramysis intermedia (Czerniavsky, 1882)	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Paramysis kessleri sarsi (Derzhavin, 1925)	0	0	4	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Paramysis lacustris (Czerniavsky, 1882)	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0
	Paramysis ullskyi (Czerniavsky, 1882)	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	0	0
Isopoda										
Janiridae	Jaera sarsi Valkanov, 1936	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Cumacea										
Pseudocumatidae	Caspiocuma campylaspoides (G.O. Sars, 1897)	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Pseudocuma cercaroides G.O. Sars, 1894	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Pseudocuma graciloides G.O. Sars, 1894	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Pseudocuma laeve G.O. Sars, 1914	0	NA	1	0	0	1	1	0	1

	Spacios	т, ,	1							
Table 3										
	Volgacuma telmatophora Derzhavin, 1912	NA	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Schizorhamphus scabriusculus (G.O. Sars, 1894)	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Schizorhamphus eudorelloides (G.O. Sars, 1894)	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Pterocuma rostratum (G.O. Sars, 1894)	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Pterocuma pectinatum (Sowinsky, 1893)	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1
	Pseudocuma tenuicauda G.O. Sars, 1894	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1

Species	Latest records					
Amathillina cristata G.O. Sars, 1894	1972-1977: Kiliya branch (Lyashenko et al. 2012)					
Chaetogammarus placidus (G.O. Sars, 1896)	1972-1977: Kiliya branch (Lyashenko et al. 2012)					
Chelicorophium chelicorne (G.O. Sars, 1895)	2002: Iron Gate I reservoir (Popescu-Marinescu and Năstăsescu 2005);					
	2003-2009: Kiliya branch (Lyashenko et al. 2012)					
Chelicorophium maeoticum (Sowinsky, 1898)	1987-1998: Kiliya branch (Lyashenko et al. 2012)					
Chelicorophium nobile (G.O. Sars, 1895)	2003-2009: Kiliya branch (Lyashenko et al. 2012)					
Diamysis pengoi (Czerniavsky, 1882)	1985-2008: up to river km 317 (Karl J. Wittmann, unpublished data)					
Pontogammarus aestuarius (Derzhavin, 1924)*	1958-1962: up to river km ~375 (Prunescu-Arion and Elian 1965)					





707 Fig. 3













