Perceptions of SPCs 1

FINAL ACCEPTED VERSION:

Thelwell, R.C., Wood, J., Harwood, C., Woolway, T., & van Raalte, J. (2017). The role, benefits, and selection of sport psychology consultants: Perceptions of coaches and parents. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*.

 $\underline{doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.12.001}$

Accepted on: 1st December 2017

Running Head: The role, benefits and selection

The role, benefits and selection of sport psychology consultants: Perceptions of youth-sport coaches and parents

Revision submitted: November 2017

1 Abstract 2 Objectives: With practitioners needing to be 'more things to more people', it is essential to understand third-party consumer perceptions of the role and benefits of sport psychology 3 4 consultants (SPC), and the characteristics that may influence such services being sought. 5 Design: A qualitative thematic analysis approach was used in Study 1 and a cross-sectional conjoint 6 analysis approach was employed in Study 2. 7 Methods: In Study 1, 22 participants (11 youth-sport coaches, 11 parents) took part in semi-8 structured interviews to investigate, a) understanding the SPC role, b) the benefits of seeking the services of an SPC, and, c) the salient characteristics of an SPC that would influence judgments on 9 10 preference and likelihood to seek consultant services on behalf of their athlete/child. In Study 2, a 11 total of 115 participants (51 youth-sport coaches, 64 parents) rated 32 practitioner profiles generated from Study 1, with a conjoint analysis employed to determine the relative importance of 12 SPC characteristics. 13 Results: SPCs were viewed by youth-sport coaches and parents as practitioners who can help 14 15 athletes enhance their performance and well-being, which would be of benefit to athletes. The SPC 16 attribute most important to coaches and parents was interpersonal skills, with a preferred SPC profile also including a high level of experience and training, and a known reputation. 17 Conclusions: SPCs are viewed by youth-sport coaches and parents as experts regarding 18 19 performance enhancement and well-being. SPCs with strong interpersonal skills, extensive experience and training, and a known reputation are preferred by coaches and parents. Findings 20 21 reinforce the importance of educating those responsible for the ongoing development of athletes to the role and benefits of SPCs, and for SPC training to ensure that interpersonal skills development 22 23 opportunities are available. 24

Key words: applied sport psychology, consultant roles, consumer preference, professional training

25

1 The role, benefits and selection of sport psychology consultants: Perceptions of youth-sport coaches

2 and parents

With the increased focus on quality assurance procedures associated with the practice of sport and exercise psychology consultants (SPC), researchers have attempted to gain a more detailed insight to: a) the development of appropriate training and supervision programmes for SPCs (Eubank, 2016), b) characteristics associated with effective practitioners (Lovell, Parker, Brady, Cotterill, & Howatson, 2011), and, c) the processes associated with gaining entry to consult with clients (Hamberger & Iso-Ahola, 2006). Researchers have also explored the selection of SPCs (Morris, Alfermann, Lintunen, & Hall, 2003) and marketing of SPCs to potential client groups (Woolway & Harwood, 2015). Additional research that explores perceptions of SPCs from the point of view of coaches, and in particular, youth-sport coaches and parents may be valuable.

Following initial qualitative work, researchers have empirically examined the perceived characteristics of effective SPCs (e.g., Lubker, Watson, Visek, & Geer, 2005) with Lubker, Visek, Watson, and Singpurwalla, (2012) using conjoint analysis to determine the relative importance of the characteristics of effective SPCs in relation to each other. In attempting to understand why 'potential clients' make one choice over another, sport psychologist profiles from combinations of eight attributes (gender, race, interpersonal skills, body build, attire, athletic background, professional status, sport knowledge) were combined to create 'sport psychologist profiles' with the results from the 464 college athletes suggesting professional status to be the most influential attribute (23%), followed jointly by athletic background and interpersonal skills (14%), then sport knowledge and attire (12%). With regard to professional titles, Van Raalte, Brewer, Matheson, and Brewer (1996) found that the professional title 'sport psychologist' was perceived as a non-sport profession. Apparently, the term "sport" had little impact on modifying perceptions of the title 'sport psychologist' as a psychological one, although it should be noted that Maniar, Curry, Sommers-Flanagan, and Walsh (2001) found the inclusion of the term 'sport' in the title 'sport psychologist' enhanced individual's willingness to access services. Further exploration of the

effects of SPC credentials by Lubker et al. (2012) indicated SPCs described as having an advanced
 degree as being preferred to those without any credentials.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

To combine the analysis of credentials and titles whilst also investigating whether education about the use of titles and associated credentials impacts consumer attitudes and preferences for consultants, Woolway and Harwood (2015) examined perceptions of three professional titles (sport psychologist, life coach, and neuro-linguistic programming), a range of other practitioner characteristics, and the extent to which a brief intervention impacted these preferences. Such titles, whilst reflecting clear differences in terms of training and accreditation requirements, are frequently sought after within the sporting domain. Having been asked to provide preferences of the three professions, the athlete participants were then provided with short educational vignettes designed to enhance understanding to what the professions were, and the training requirements for each. Using conjoint analysis to assess the relative importance of practitioner attributes pre- and postintervention, interpersonal skills emerged as the most important attribute prior to intervention, irrespective of professional title. However, post-intervention an increased salience in professional title was reported. Collectively, the findings reinforce the importance for SPCs, and the broader applied sport psychology community to educate consumers with the requisite information to their education, training, credentials and roles to ensure they are approached by those seeking sport psychology support, as opposed to alternative professions that may be less appropriate.

Although the literature presented thus far has contributed a great deal to consumer perceptions of SPC effectiveness, it is reasonable to assume that the information does not fully reflect the way athletes engage in consultation with SPCs. This is despite such interest dating back to the 1990s where, upon the emergence of sport psychology services, Taylor (1994) provided insightful commentary to the ethical issues associated to the use of the term 'sport psychologist', and offered guidance to how practitioners should operate within their competence boundaries. As such, it is reasonable to suggest that the reality for many athletes is that the selection of an SPC is influenced by other gatekeepers who operate within the sporting environment and at a youth level:

1 coaches and parents. Such a view reinforces recent suggestions that parent and coach behaviours 2 influence, for example, athlete decision-making and motivational orientation within youth-sport 3 contexts (Keegan, Harwood, Spray, & Lavellee, 2014; Knight, Berrow, & Harwood, 2017). On this point, researchers have examined the perception held of SPCs by coaches, and their 4 5 intentions to use such services. For example, in their survey of NCAA Division 1 coaches, 6 Wrisberg, Loberg, Simpson, Withycombe, and Reed (2010) reported most coaches as willing to 7 encourage their athletes to seek support from an SPC. However, although coaches favoured the role 8 of an SPC to be full-time, less than half of those sampled supported the view that SPCs should be 9 present in training and competition environments. Further to this, Zakrajsek and colleagues have 10 completed a programme of work examining the perceptions, preferred use, and perceived benefits 11 of SPCs from the perspective of NCAA Division 1 coaches and athletic trainers. In their initial work, Zakrajsek, Martin, and Zizzi, (2011) reported high school football coaches who were 12 13 confident in, and open to engaging with the outcomes of working with an SPC, to be more likely to consider recommending the use of an SPC. Elaborating on this further, Zakrajsek, Steinfelt, Bodey, 14 Martin, and Zizzi (2013) examined NCAA Division 1 coaches' perceptions and use of SPC services 15 with key outcomes focusing on the importance of the SPC and coach to be on the 'same page', and 16 the degree to which the SPC can meet the coach expectations; who they are (e.g., experience), what 17 18 they do (e.g., provide value), and how they do it (e.g., accessibility). In the first of two studies involving NCAA Division 1 Athletic Trainers, Zakrajsek, Fisher, and Martin (2016) reported an 19 20 inability of more than 50% to describe sport psychology, with those who could, perceiving it as a 21 mental tool primarily focused on performance enhancement. In a final study, Zakrejsek, Martin, and 22 Wrisberg (2016) reported athletic trainers with positive experiences of working with SPCs to be 23 more likely to seek the services of such individuals to assist with the injury-rehabilitation process, 24 and view the benefits of SPCs in a more favourable light. What is obvious from the aforementioned 25 studies is the focus on coach perceptions of SPCs and the absence of parental experiences and perceptions of SPCs, despite their key role in youth-sport.

26

Despite the advances about how coaches perceive, and use, SPCs, less is known about how this takes places within a youth-sport environment, or indeed, about how parents perceive SPCs. This is despite some preliminary insight from researchers who have provided commentary to how parents and coaches may play an influential role in the delivery of sport psychology (e.g., youth sport consulting model; Visek, Harris, & Blom, 2009). With the above in mind, it is reasonable to suggest that although an emerging knowledge base demonstrating how SPCs can inform athlete consumers exists, little is known about how youth-sport coaches and parents perceive SPCs.

The current work used a mixed-methods approach across two unique, yet inter-related study parts to gain a more detailed insight to gatekeeper perceptions of SPCs. Given the overarching research question to elicit gatekeeper perceptions to SPCs, study 1 used a qualitative approach with subsequent thematic analysis, designed to elicit from youth-sport coaches and parents how they describe SPCs in terms of a) understanding the SPC role, b) the benefits of seeking the services of an SPC, and, c) the salient characteristics of an SPC that would influence judgments on preference and likelihood to seek consultant services on behalf of their athlete/child. The goal here of the final part being to generate SPC profiles based on gatekeeper insights. To understand gatekeeper perceptions of SPCs across a broader sample, the second study used a quantitative conjoint analysis to examine the SPC profiles generated from Study 1, and to identify the relative value related to aspects of the descriptions.

Study 1 - Method

Participants

A total of 22 participants (11 youth-sport coaches and 11 parents) were recruited for the study. All coaches (male = 10, female = 1; M age = 36.1, SD = 11.2) were full-time coaches (minimum 2 years) of team (cricket, n = 5; football, n = 2) or individual sports (athletics, n = 1; boxing, n = 1; tennis, n = 2). The parents (male = 8, female = 3; M age = 47.5, SD = 5.7) had children who were involved in either team (cricket, n = 2; football, n = 3) or individual sports (boxing, n = 4; tennis, n = 2) at a level where they were within a professional organisation (e.g.,

- 1 academy level) or national governing body (e.g., youth national structure). Participants were only
- 2 eligible for participation in the study if they had no previous experience of using SPC services.

Data Collection

Interview guide. An interview guide was developed by members of the research team who held more than 75 years of combined experience and were Health and Care Professions Council Registered Sport and Exercise Psychologists and/or British Association of Sport and Exercise Science Accredited Sport and Exercise Scientists and/or Association for Applied Sport Psychology Certified Consultants. The interview guide was developed following a review of the literature that examined consumer preferences of SPCs, knowledge of SPCs, and SPC attributes. The guide was initially pilot tested with two individuals (1 youth-sport coach and 1 parent) who met the criteria for full study involvement prior to the data collection period commencing. The pilot interviews enabled minor amendments to the clarity of some questions to be made and demonstrated the need for inclusion of further elaboration and clarification probes.

The final interview guide consisted of three sections: the role of SPCs (e.g., "what is your understanding to the role of a sport psychologist?"), perceptions of how an SPC would benefit their athlete/child (e.g., "how would a sport psychologist help your athlete/child?"), and, characteristics that influence the selection of an SPC (e.g., "what are the characteristics you would expect an SPC to possess for you to approve their work with your athlete/child?"). A social validation process was also employed to check the interview process with regard to whether participants felt they could tell their story fully, whether their responses were influenced, and to ensure that opportunities to provide comments or suggestions about the procedure and format were available.

Procedure. Following an initial recruitment campaign where sporting organizations known to the first two researchers were contacted, subsequent snowballing recruitment took place where potential participants were asked to contact one of the research team to confirm their interest. Having had the study purposes explained, all participants volunteered their consent prior to taking part. The research was undertaken according to the ethical guidelines of the lead author's

institution, from which ethical clearance was received. Prior to each interview, participants were provided with an information sheet outlining the general areas for discussion. All interviews were face-to-face and conducted by the same researcher who had received training in qualitative techniques. Despite the semi-structured interview format enabling a certain element of structure to each interview, the ordering of questions and subsequent exploration varied depending on participant responses. To supplement the 'fixed' questions across the interviews, probe ("please can you elaborate on [the issue]?") and elaboration ("could you explain [the issue] in more detail please?") questions were employed to facilitate the flow of the interviews. At the conclusion of each section of the semi-structured interviews, participants were asked if all appropriate responses had been discussed and explored in their entirety. Interviews lasted 48 - 73 min (M coach length = 58.32, SD = 9.44; M parent length = 52.24, SD = 7.91), were recorded digitally, and yielded a total

of 138 pages (coach = 71; parents = 67) of single-spaced text having been transcribed verbatim.

Data Analysis

In keeping with the post-positivist approach to the data collection and adhering to previous literature (e.g., Schinke, McGannon, Batocchio, & Wells, 2013), we employed the six steps proposed by Braun and Clarke (2006) to conduct an interpretive thematic analysis. To commence the process, data were transcribed verbatim prior to the first and second authors reading, and rereading transcripts to familiarize themselves with the content. At this point, a reflective segment was utilized to record initial impressions and note similarities and differences. This was especially important given that the coach and parent data were analysed separately, and only combined for the purposes of reporting. The second stage required the identification of key features in a systematic manner using codes across each data set. Completion of this enabled the third stage to take place where two authors met and discussed their preliminary codes and collated interpretations into further themes. The fourth stage was where the coded data were developed into a thematic map, where the two authors considered the alignment of themes and sub-themes. Across stages two to four, the researchers used an iterative process to place the raw data themes in lower, then higher

enabled the development of clear definitions to be derived for each label. In keeping with the purposes of thematic analysis, the final step afforded the opportunity for selected data extracts to be collated for presentation. Throughout the process, the two authors tried to ensure that they were being reflexive and interactive with the data, and using the recommendations forwarded by Smith and McGannon (2017) they worked as critical friends in a reflexive manner. In the latter stages of the data analysis the themes were deductively placed into *post hoc* general dimensions, based on the emerging themes prior to the third author becoming involved to ensure that appropriate member reflections on the data had taken place between the first and second authors.

10 Results

The results derived from the data analysis procedures represent the interview responses from the coach and parent populations. For both populations, the raw data themes were organized into lower, and then higher order categories prior to being placed within the three key dimensions of the research foci: a) the role of practitioner psychologists; b) views to how working with an SPC would benefit their athlete/child; and c) characteristics that influence the selection of a practitioner. For purposes of brevity, the data are presented via verbatim quotations with the specific data being presented for the three study aims in figures 1-3.

The role of SPCs

For coaches, a total of 68 raw themes were inductively placed into 15 lower order themes and 3 higher order themes (practitioner role, delivery mode, availability). For parents, the 54 raw data themes were placed into 14 lower order themes prior to being placed into the same higher order themes to those identified for the coach data.

One common perceived practitioner role discussed by coaches and parents related to 'athlete performance' with specific raw data themes relating to athletes being able to fulfil their potential, gain confidence, and manage pressure during performance. Specifically, one coach illustrated the role of an SPC as helping athletes to be more successful:

"I suppose the key role is to help them improve their performance to be, well, more successful. I want them to support [the athletes] and help them deal with the pressures. We get them in to help with getting them better and to be more aware to what they have to face in [sport]...they need to skills to be successful when it really matters".

A further perceived practitioner role raised by coaches and parents was the contribution made to athlete development and well-being. Along with 'helping athletes understand the demands of elite sport', and 'contribute to holistic development' one interesting theme emerging from the parents was to do with guidance on alternative careers. This is detailed in the following quote:

"I get that there are performance benefits and all of that but the reality is that so few of them will make it, they have to have other options. I guess that I hope that they will give [child] information on what else is out there, what else they can do. They develop all these skills so hopefully they realize that they may not get there and that they may have to think about other jobs in the future. Even if they do make it, it won't see them through to when they're much older so they will have to have something to support them when they finish playing".

Another coach referred to the benefits of the support that practitioners afford them (e.g., feedback on communication methods), both coaches and parents acknowledged the need for practitioners to 'support other groups'. In fact, both groups commented on the importance of parental education with one theme cited by parents referring to the education that they receive to how they communicate with their children:

"There are times when I feel quite lonely as a parent. We take them around and watch them but it is hard to know what to say...they get back in the car and it's like, silent, coz I am unsure what to say. There are times when I could do with knowing what the best thing to say is? How can I support them when they have had a [poor performance], or what has [coach] said to them?"

The second higher order theme reflecting the perceived roles of a practitioner reflected the mode of delivery with coaches and parents suggesting support to be via individual, group, and practical formats. This very point is captured by one of the coaches who commented "to be honest, I want [SPC] to be effective, I don't mind how they work with [athletes] as long as they can link it with what I do with them". One of the interesting themes reflected the importance for SPC services to be delivered within the training environment rather than just limited to the 'classroom'.

"There is certainly a need to meet with [athletes] and talk things through and at times, do the workshops to get key messages across but the best work, I think, is for them to come into [training environment] and to integrate their messages into the actual training. The [athletes] don't always get the transfer bit, the skills into practice, so to have [practitioner] involved and actually leading the session is crucial. For me, if they can't do it then they can't really be effective".

The final higher order theme reflected data that emerged in relation to availability. There were contrasting views to when, and where practitioners should be available but the consistent theme was that the practitioners should be available when the athlete requires them. As an advancement of this, there were also suggestions to the importance of remote electronic support with Skype and email as commented on by one of the parents:

"They [the child] know when things aren't right and they don't always need me or [coach] to say they need some help. I am happy that they can contact them in their own time and do Skype or something, they all have the phones and tablets so it isn't strange for them...we know who they are working with so it is fine and [the sporting organization] are happy for it".

Benefits of working with an SPC

A total of 68 raw data themes were reported by coaches and placed into 14 lower order themes prior to the two higher order themes labelled 'enhanced psychological strength' and 'elite standard awareness'. For parents, the analysis resulted in the accrual of 57 raw data themes prior to

- 1 13 lower order themes, and then the same higher order themes as the coach data. One of the most
- 2 consistent themes across the coach and parent participants reflected the beneficial influence that
- 3 SPCs have towards enhancing athlete confidence, and more specifically to instilling a positive
- 4 attitude. This issue is captured in the following extract from one of the coaches:

"They [the athletes] have so much to deal with and one mistake can be it, it can all just fall apart. I mean they have to be positive, they know they are gonna make mistakes coz that's the job we're are preparing them for. I mean at the end of the day, we try and prepare kids to play international sport, play sport in front of thousands of people. So yeah, they've gotta be positive and have the right attitude to deal with it all, and that's the stuff outside of [sport] too. I think a key job of the [SPC] is to help them learn how to deal with that stuff, to build the skills, and to make sure that they have confidence all the time, or know where to get it from".

A further benefit to athletes working with SPCs reported by coaches and parents was the perceived development of athlete toughness and resilience. Themes reflecting the ability to cope with the wide-ranging sporting demands, managing performance downturns, and coping with pressure were cited across coach and parent groups. Parents also noted the need for athletes to cope with parental support.

"Sometimes they go out there looking really timid, almost afraid of failure. The [SPC] can help them sort that and understand how to recover from the setbacks. They [child/ren] talk about not wanting to let us down and all that but so long as they do their best they won't. I feel [child] has to get over what they think we are thinking as we are really proud of them and [child] needs to toughen up and not worry about us. Hopefully they [SPC] can help them get that tough edge where they don't feel any pressure from us. We've had our turn and it's our

choice to support [child] now. If it doesn't work out they just have to know that
we support them and they have done all they can".

Two further interesting themes regarding enhanced psychological strength emerged from the parent data sets within the 'enhanced self-awareness' and 'understanding of others' lower order themes. First, it was the view of many parents that SPCs could help their children with trying to separate their sport from home life. In fact, one parent commented:

"They need to leave it behind, leave it at the door, they bring their anger, frustration and all that home with them and it can be a nightmare when they walk in, they need help to see how they behave and treat us sometimes...the [SPC] can help them channel it, leave it behind, help them with understanding the separation between home and sport. Don't get me wrong, I know they are annoyed but they need to learn to manage it".

A related theme referred to how the SPC was perceived to be able to enhance understanding of sibling relationships and the below was raised by one of the parents:

"My lot [children] are ultra-competitive and although they get on, it is a competition and I just wish that sometimes they could help each other. But then I know some others [families] and they have one who is totally non-sporty and they just don't have anything to do together. I think they [SPC] can help with getting them to chill out a bit when they are together, they must use up so much energy when they go on together and sometimes just talking and helping each other, but someone else telling them to do it would help".

The second higher order theme emerging from the perceived benefits associated with working with an SPC reflected an enhanced awareness of the standards needed to be able to develop to the elite level. One intriguing theme was mentioned by coaches and related to organizational expectations, and more specifically, to where the athlete sits within the organization as suggested in the following:

"It's tough, there is a load of competition and we only sign a few every year to turn pro. They have to understand where they [child] sit within the bigger framework and what they have to do to progress...we tell them that all the time but having someone else give the same message, just a different voice can have just as much impact on them".

A final theme, mentioned by both coaches and parents reflected the importance of the athletes having the awareness to make the most of their opportunities and trying to develop themselves at all times. One parent explains the benefit that an SPC can have in this regard in the following:

"We want them to give it a good go, to see how far they can push themselves and the [practitioner] can help with the motivating bit to keep them working. They have great opportunities here, all the support they could want and good examples of people who have been in their position and made it so they need to push themselves all the way".

Characteristics influencing the selection of an SPC

A total of 64 raw data themes emerged from the coach data that were then placed into 21 lower order themes prior to six higher order themes (reputation, qualification, knowledge, experience, interpersonal skills, service nature). Parent responses yielded 49 raw data themes that were placed into 19 lower order themes prior to sharing the same higher order themes. Within the reputation higher order theme, although several consistent responses across the coach and parent participants were cited (e.g., recommendations), there were some contrasting views. For example, parents cited advertising as being a key characteristic, whereas coaches appeared to use their perceived knowledge of SPCs to influence the reputational judgment made. The responses in relation to qualifications were of interest in that coaches appeared to demonstrate knowledge of the importance of professional accreditation when selecting a practitioner, a perception that did not appear to be held, or at least mentioned, by parents.

Further to the reputational and qualification based characteristics, parents and coaches reported 'knowledge' and specifically 'sporting knowledge' and 'population knowledge' as key selection criteria. As such, within the population knowledge lower order theme, data referring to the SPCs ability to communicate with the population in question was raised. Although there were no suggestions to how this would be assessed, one parent made the following assertion:

"One of the things I'd look for is how they get things over, these kids are

"One of the things I'd look for is how they get things over, these kids are switched on and they'll suss you out pretty quick. They need to know how to get things across, use the right language and make things exciting. If I were a [athlete] then I wouldn't want to sit around, I'd want to know why it will help and what it will do".

Closely aligned to having the requisite knowledge is the requirement to have experience.

There were several data themes emerging to the differing levels of experience that coaches and parents would seek in an SPC. These included whether they had performance experience and coaching/parental experience. Amongst the more consistent themes was the extent of SPC experience expected. For example, one of the coaches commented "they have to be experienced…if I'm bringing them in I can't have people who have little or no experience, these [athletes] are the future and they have to have the right support". Supporting this stance were many parental comments with the following being of relevance, "I would want them to be pretty well experienced, my [child] deserves someone who can give them the right support and has been around a while to pass the information on".

Coaches and parents alike referred to the importance of interpersonal skills on several occasions with references made to communication, interpersonal style, and observable presentation. The most commonly cited themes were associated with interpersonal style and the need to be approachable, personable, able to listen, trustworthy, timely, and respected. For parents, a key interpersonal skill was in relation to communication, and more specifically to whether they themselves would feel comfortable in the practitioner's company.

"If I couldn't work with them or feel comfortable with them I won't have
them, I need to know that I am ok with who [child] is working with. Even though
I know where they are and what they are doing, I kinda want to sit in the first
session, or at least part of it to see what they are like".

A final theme worth noting referred to service nature, with one of the key higher order themes being the cost associated with the support. Although both coaches and parents referred to value for money, for coaches, many of the costs would be absorbed within their organization budgets. For parents, they would be more likely, although not always, to meet the costs of employing an SPC, although they were not fully able to articulate what costs they deemed appropriate:

"A key thing is how much they cost, is it worth it, what are they going to give for it, how do we know whether it is a good cost? I know you can't always tell how things will work out but you've gotta think of the value for money. Some people we know get it as part of the club but there are times when that isn't working and we go elsewhere".

Discussion – Study 1

The aim of this study was to examine youth-sport coach, and parental understanding of the role of an SPC, the benefits of seeking the services of an SPC for their athlete/child, and the salient characteristics of an SPC that would influence judgements on preference and likelihood to seek SPC services for their athlete/child. To accomplish this goal a series of semi-structured interviews were conducted with youth-sport coaches and parents of team and individual sport athletes, with data analysed in accord with the principles of thematic analysis.

With reference to how youth-sport coaches and parents understand the role of an SPC, there are consistencies across the groups' perceptions of the SPC role, and there are several stark contrasts. Both coaches and parents reported that they perceived SPCs to have an influence on athlete performance, as well as the enhancement of psychological strength, and enhanced awareness

1 of elite standard expectations. However, when viewing the raw data themes, it was interesting to see 2 the extent to which parents perceived a key role of the SPC to be associated with athlete 3 development and well-being. This is not to say that coaches were not of the opinion that SPCs should be utilized for broader development purposes, more a case that they were more focused on 4 5 the performance benefits that they anticipated would be realized following work with an SPC. A 6 further key observation is the extent to which coaches perceive the role of an SPC being to assist 7 them; both in terms of direct support, and for the coaches' continued development. In support of 8 recent commentaries (e.g., McCarthy & Giges, 2017; Rynne, Mallett, & Rabjohns, 2017) coaches 9 certainly perceive themselves as performers in their own right, and as such, see the SPC as a vehicle 10 to influence their performance capability. Parents also perceived the SPC role to include coach support, as well as to develop parental knowledge of sport psychology (Harwood & Knight, 2015). 11 Finally, in addition to some of the more outcome-focused roles, there were a number of key process 12 13 expectations that coaches, and parents held of SPCs. For example, there was a common perception across both groups that the SPC should deliver services in varying formats and be easily available 14 to athletes. In fact, for the latter point, the availability of e-support was reinforced suggesting that, 15 perhaps, with ever-evolving social media usage, alternative formats of support require development 16 17 and training (Heaney, 2013). 18 The results of this research have important implications for the field of sport psychology. Given the lack of clear understanding to the roles of SPCs, it could be valuable for individuals and 19 20 organizations to disseminate more widely and clearly the benefits of SPCs and to further manage 21 the expectations held by potential consumers, especially those with limited experience of utilizing 22 such services. Of course, this has to an extent been encouraged in previous work (e.g., Woolway & Harwood, 2015) but consideration to how SPCs are perceived by alternative gatekeepers such as 23 24 parents and coaches appears necessary. When considering SPC training, findings associated with 25 modes of delivery (e.g., individual, group, practical) and availability appear to warrant attention given that many training routes appear to solely focus on individual support. Training on how best 26

- to consult with both individuals and groups (be they athletes, coaches, parents, significant others)
- 2 seems necessary, as does increasing awareness of how best to consult within practical settings (e.g.,
- 3 Ponnusamy & Grove, 2014) and when using alternative approaches such as social media (Cotterill
- 4 & Symes, 2014). Given that the data were generated by potential consumers, those delivering the
- 5 services must be cognizant of the consumer demands.

Turning attention to the characteristics associated with influencing youth-sport coach and parent judgments on preference and likelihood to seek SPC services, themes similar to those reported in athlete studies emerged (e.g., interpersonal skills; Woolway & Harwood, 2015), with the exception of physical (e.g., build, weight) and uncontrollable (e.g., race, gender) characteristics. However, some similarities to findings reported in previous coach-focused studies, and in particular that by Zakrajsek et al. (2013) where coaches expressed preferences to experience, desirable characteristics, and, style of communication of the SPC. Such findings reinforce some of the current findings given that for the population sampled, personal-focused characteristics appeared to hold greater value. Further to this, themes relating to availability of the SPC not previously mentioned in athlete studies (e.g., cost, willingness to travel) emerged as well as differences across the coach and parent populations within themes. One key example was reported within the interpersonal skills theme where parents would judge their selection of an SPC based on how they would feel in their company; a characteristic or criteria not reported by coaches.

Despite the advances in knowledge made from the data presented in study 1 using a qualitative thematic analysis approach, the overarching research aim was to elicit gatekeeper perceptions of SPCs. To achieve this, the intention of Study 2 was to employ a quantitative conjoint analysis to examine the salient SPC characteristics identified from Study 1, and to identify the relative value related to aspects of the descriptions. The purpose of the data being to increase awareness to the characteristics that SPCs may wish to develop to be more marketable to varying audiences who may seek their services (e.g., youth-sport coaches, parents) and to ultimately enhance their effectiveness as an SPC. In doing so, the authors acknowledge the tension that exists

- 1 when using mixed-methods (cf. Sparkes, 2015) but progressed via the adoption of a pragmatic
- 2 stance to address the research question at a different level that in this case, required a shift from
- 3 epistemological purity (see McGannon & Schweinbenz, 2011 for a full review).

4 Study 2 - Method

Participants

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

A total of 115 participants (youth-sport coaches: N = 51; parents: N = 64) with either athletes or children currently involved in sport took part in the study. The coaches (male = 40, 8 female = 11; M age = 37.32, SD = 12.33) represented a range of competitive levels, including; voluntary (foundation/grassroots; N = 25), county/regional (N = 14), or professional/governing body (academy/youth national; N = 12). Similarly, parents (male = 24, female = 40; M age = 46.51, SD = 5.55) reported that their child participated at a foundation/grassroots (N = 16), county/regional (N = 34), or professional/governing body (academy/youth national; N = 14) level. Participants were only eligible for participation in the study if they had no previous exposure to SPCs.

Instrumentation

Demographic Measures. Participants were first asked to complete items relating to their gender, age and their status as either a coach or a parent. Those identifying as a parent were asked to respond in relation to their child's level of competition (e.g. grassroots, county/regional, or professional/governing body) and age. Coach participants completed items relating to the level at which they coach (e.g. volunteer, county/regional, or professional/governing body) and the age of the athletes with which they work.

SPC Profiles. The selected attributes for the study were generated within study 1 of this two-part study, and resulted in five characteristics to be investigated. These were (a) experience and training, defined as the volume of experience held by the SPC and indicated by their qualification or training status; (b) interpersonal skills, defined as the ability of the SPC to use his or her personality to develop a positive working relationship with athletes; (c) consultant reputation, defined as the extent to which the perceived effectiveness of the SPC is known; (d) consultancy focus, defined as

the SPCs primary focus of their work; and (e) service nature, defined as the method by which the
 SPC is employed¹.

The aim of a conjoint analysis is to determine the most preferred levels of each variable that is investigated, therefore the following levels were selected for use in this study: a) experience and training (high or low), b) interpersonal skills (high or low), c) reputation (high or low), d) consultancy focus (performance or well-being), and e) service nature (independent or organizational). This technique asks respondents to make choices by trading off features against each other meaning that choices are made in the same fashion as the consumer presumably does. Conjoint analysis allows the researcher to determine both the relative importance and the most preferred level of each attribute, is more robust than previously employed rank order techniques, and can then be used to predict individuals' future decisions. In keeping with the expectations for an effective conjoint analysis (Woolway & Harwood, 2015), the attributes were deemed to be independent of each other, thus limiting the potential for them to overlap and be 'double-counted', and the statements were deemed appropriate given their concise meaning. As such, a full-profile method was utilized for this study with the combination of all variables and levels resulted in 32 SPC profiles that formed the instrumentation for this study. Participants were asked to rate their preference for each of the profiles on an 11-point scale ranging from 0 (Very low preference) to 10 (Very high preference). Presenting participants with 32 profiles in one form has the potential to add complexity and overwhelm individuals; profiles were divided into and presented as four equal sections of eight profiles each.

Procedure

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Having received ethical clearance from the lead author's institution, participant information and guidance, demographic questions, and the practitioner profiles were entered into SurveyMonkey (www.surveymonkey.com). Participants were recruited via a combination of

¹ Information is available on request from the lead author in the form of supplementary figures relating to the information presented to participants about the five characteristics.

- 1 approaches that included: contacts of the research team, social media, snowballing. Once they
- 2 volunteered their consent to take part, participants completed the demographic questions relating to
- 3 age, gender, and coach/parent level. Next, each SPC attribute was defined (e.g., experience and
- 4 training) alongside the choice of levels (e.g., high/low). Participants were then asked to rate their
- 5 preference for each of the 32 practitioner profiles on an 11-point scale.

Data Analysis

Data were downloaded into SPSS 23.0.0 and checked for any missing or incorrect responses prior to a conjoint analysis being conducted on individuals' rating data. Conjoint analysis produces utility (part-worth) scores and relative importance scores. Utilities (part-worth) provide a quantitative representation of the preference for each level of an attribute, and are expressed in a common unit, allowing for the addition of scores to produce the total utility of any given combination. Following the conjoint analysis, Multivariate Analyses of Variance (MANOVA) were conducted to analyze the subgroup differences in terms of gender (male/female), and level of competition (coaches; volunteer/ county/ professional; parents; grassroots/ county/ professional).

15 Results

Conjoint analysis indicated that the most preferred SPC was one who had high levels of experience and training, high interpersonal skills, whose reputation was known, a consultancy focus on performance, and who worked independently of an organization. The relative importance ratings indicate that interpersonal skills (42%) carried the most influence over participants' judgements, followed by experience and training (28%), reputation (13%), consultancy focus (9%), and service nature (8%).

Post-hoc MANOVA were conducted on the resulting utility (part-worth) scores to determine if there were any differences between subgroups in relation to their status as a coach or parent, their gender, and the level at which they coach/their child competes. Coach respondents rated SPCs with high experience and training significantly (p<.01) higher than the parent sample (1.395 vs. 0.950; respectively). An additional significant (p<.01) finding between the two groups occurred in the

- 1 consultancy focus attribute where coaches preferred an SPC who focused on performance, whereas
- 2 parents preferred a focus on well-being (0.175 vs. -0.113; respectively). This latter finding was
- 3 again evident between male and female participants. The male sample significantly (p<0.05)
- 4 preferred an SPC who focused on performance, with the female group preferring one who focused
- on well-being (0.148 vs -0.148 respectively for performance). These groups significantly (p<.05)
- 6 differed on their ratings for the consultants' service nature with male respondents preferring an SPC
- 7 who worked within an organization. The female sample preferred an SPC to work individually for
- 8 an organization (0.048 vs -0.092 respectively for organizational service nature).

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

After analyzing participant data by the level at which they coach/their child competes, one significant difference (p<.05) was evident. Volunteer coaches rated SPCs whose reputation was known significantly higher than county/regional level coaches (0.619 vs 0.179 respectively). No significant differences existed between other groups (p<0.05).

Discussion – Study 2

The present study was designed to enhance understanding of youth-sport coach and parent preferences for SPC attributes with specific respect to sport psychology services. Interpersonal skills were identified as the most preferred SPC attribute, followed by experience and training, reputation, consultancy focus, and service nature. Such an outcome highlights the importance of interpersonal skills within the SPC domain and reflects the perceptions of others who seek, and experience successful support in alternative counselling-based professions (Pope, 1996). The combination of interpersonal skills and experience and training collectively accounted for more than 70% of the influence on consumer preferences across all participant groups in the study. The consistency, and degree to which importance was placed upon interpersonal skills unequivocally strengthen the recent calls for the development of this key personal competency within professional training programs (see Harwood, 2016).

Although professional titles were not explicitly referred to, participants were seemingly able to acknowledge the importance of training routes and experience. However, it would be

irresponsible to assume that all participants were aware to what the appropriate training routes are to protected titles, and that potential service consumers are educated about the role, benefits, and preferred characteristics of SPCs. Organizations with a responsibility for the governance of sport psychology qualifications may benefit the field by providing appropriate information for *potential* service users to enhance confidence regarding the profession. This is especially the case given the upsurge in competing professions (e.g., life skills coaching) where individuals may be unaware of the nuances between the varying professions that offer psychology-oriented services in the sporting arena (Nasar & Devlin, 2011). In fact, this very point reflects some interesting work in the field of late where greater awareness, both within, and external to the profession, is advocated to what the role of an SPC is (see Winter & Collins, 2015a), and more poignantly to the importance of ensuring that the approaches advocated and utilized within the profession have a sound evidence-based underpinning (e.g., Winter & Collins, 2015b). Excellent examples of this are the recent studies that have examined the development, and usage of observation within applied sport psychology from the perspective of experienced and trainee practitioners (e.g., Holder & Winter, 2017; Martin, Winter, & Holder in press). To expand, observation has long been used as an assessment, evaluation, and monitoring strategy by SPCs despite there being a lack of research, and subsequent guidance for training programs specific to the sport psychology domain. This commentary clearly indicates that SPCs, be they trainees, or fully qualified, require a broad knowledge base, an expansive skillset and varying experiences to be able to respond to the increasing demands that sporting organizations and contexts place upon them. As SPCs are being challenged to be "more things to more people" it becomes essential for professional development and training systems to provide an appropriate quality assured, and well-regulated system of training.

23 General Discussion

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

The purpose of this research was to explore youth-sport coach and parent perceptions of SPCs. To that end, Study 1 employed a qualitative thematic analysis where coaches and parents reported a common perception of SPCs in that they have an influence on athletic performance,

- 1 psychological strength development, and greater awareness to expectations associated with the elite
- 2 standard. Although a performance focus was evident, further examination of the data suggested
- 3 parental perceptions of SPCs to be more focused towards general athlete development and well-
- 4 being. Study 2 built on the findings of Study 1 using a quantitative conjoint analysis to determine
- 5 the relative importance of the SPC characteristics with the findings of the work highlighting the
- 6 demand for SPCs with effective and high level interpersonal skills.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With the overall findings in mind, it would be appropriate to suggest that when neophytes enter qualification pathways, a rigid appraisal of their interpersonal skills is conducted to establish the extent to which they need to focus on developing such qualities early in the training process. The same, of course, could also be levelled at more experienced SPCs who engage in continual reflection of their consulting effectiveness and engagement with client groups. Acknowledging the broader issues associated with training programs and more specifically, competency development, organizations responsible for the development and provision of training routes may wish to utilize a model akin to that advocated by Miller (1990) for healthcare professions, to enable SPCs to progress from novice, to expert, in terms of knowledge application (Rønnestad & Skovholt, 2003). Specifically, competence development progresses via the possession of knowledge (know), the interpretation of, and being able to apply the knowledge (know-how), demonstrating the application of knowledge (shows how), and finally applying the knowledge in 'real-world' (does). Within the context of interpersonal skill development, many neophytes are likely to enter a training programme with a knowledge to what the essential interpersonal skills are for working with a client (i.e., know), yet be unaware, or would not have been asked to consider, how the skills might be employed, for example, with a client in a high-performance environment when they are performing poorly (i.e., know-how). Undoubtedly, the key implication here is for professional associations responsible for developing training routes (and post-accreditation development) to mandate specific skills training as part of their qualifications given that such skills are now consistently being identified as preferred characteristics by those either seeking the services of an SPC for themselves, or for those for whom
 they are responsible.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The nuanced findings around consultancy focus also bear some thought with respect to how practitioners, both neophyte and experienced, may tailor their rapport building with parents and coaches. Whilst youth-sport coaches preferred a performance enhancement focus, parents ascribed greater importance to services favouring the well-being and personal development of their child. This was also the case for females in the sample, the majority of whom were mothers (i.e., as opposed to coaches). Although this factor was of less relative importance to other characteristics, it offers implications not only for the ways that practitioners present themselves in general, but also how they navigate potential philosophical differences between mothers and fathers in the family dynamic. Indeed, a limitation of the current study is that it investigated those gatekeepers who may be prospective consumers as opposed to those who have had extensive experience with sport psychology consultants. Given the lack of experience with SPCs, it is fair to assume that detailed perceptions to the role, and benefits of such individuals held by the participants could be limited. However, given the richness of data that emerged from the interviews, coupled with the support for themes presented in previous work and the emergence of new insights, the limitation may not be as dramatic as initially thought. Future work to compare data to individuals with more extensive experience with SPCs would certainly provide clarity here. Notwithstanding this, a further area for work would be to understand the hiring experiences and decisions of those managerial staff with direct organizational responsibility for employing sport psychologists (Hings, Wagstaff, Thelwell, Gilmore, & Anderson, 2017; Larner, Wagstaff, Thelwell, & Corbett, 2017). This current work could also be intimately extended to in-depth reflections of practitioners who have been hired by parents, aligned with reflections of parents who have employed practitioners to work with their child. Such research may also scrutinize views around independent versus organizationallyemployed practitioners as females (the majority of whom were parents) favoured an independent consultant over one provided through an organization.

1 In conclusion, this two-part study contributes to the existing SPC consumer preference 2 literature in that the views of salient third parties (coaches and parents) were established to the role 3 of an SPC, the benefits that an SPC could have on their athlete/child, and the characteristics that are most salient to influence judgments on preference and likelihood to seek consultant services on 4 5 behalf of their athlete/child. Not only do the findings from Study 1 provide useful indicators of how 6 SPCs are viewed in terms of their role and benefit to client groups, but it also enabled a more 7 detailed insight to the characteristics that key gatekeepers seek in SPCs to take place in Study 2. As 8 such, from 32 differing practitioner profiles, the study revealed that an SPC who had high 9 interpersonal skills, an elevated level of experience and training, and a known reputation to be 10 preferred by all coach and parent participants. Such findings reinforce the importance of ensuring 11 that consumers are appropriately educated on the role and benefits of SPCs, and for training 12 programmes to ensure that opportunities to demonstrate the application of interpersonal skills are 13 available. We hope that the implications of these findings assist the ongoing professional development and promotion of the field of applied sport psychology. 14 References 15 Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in* 16 17 *Psychology*, *3*, 77–101. 18 Cotterill, S., & Symes, R. (2014). Integrating social media and new technologies into your practice as a sport psychology consultant. Sport and Exercise Psychology Review, 10, 55-64. 19 20 Eubank, M. (2016). Trainee learning experiences for the demonstration of practitioner competence: 21 A commentary on the commentary. Sport and Exercise Psychology Review, 12, 84-87. Hamberger, M., & Iso-Aloha, S.P. (2006). Factors affecting athletes' attitudes toward sport 22 psychology consultants. Journal of Contemporary Athletics, 2, 57-77. 23 24 Harwood, C.G. (2016). Doing Sport Psychology? Critical Reflections of a Scientist-Practitioner. In 25 M. Raab, P. Wylleman, R. Seiler, A-M. Elbe, & A. Hatzigeorgiadis, (Eds.), Sport and Exercise Psychology Research: From Theory to Practice (pp. 229-250). London; Elsevier. 26

- 1 Harwood, C.G., & Knight, C.J. (2015). Parenting in youth sport: A position paper on parenting
- 2 expertise. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 16, 24-35.
- 3 Heaney, C. (2013). Keeping sport and exercise scientists 'appy' online and mobile technologies in
- 4 sport and exercise science. *The Sport and Exercise Scientist*, 37, 14-15.
- 5 Hings, R.F., Wagstaff, C.R.D., Thelwell, R.C., Gilmore, S., & Anderson, V. (2017). Emotional
- 6 labor and professional practice in sports medicine and science. Scandinavian Journal of
- 7 *Medicine and Science in Sports.* doi: 10.1111/sms.12941
- 8 Holder, T., & Winter, S. (2017). Experienced practitioners use of observation in applied sport
- 9 psychology. Sport, Exercise, and Performance Psychology, 6, 6-19.
- doi:10.1037/spy0000072
- 11 Keegan, R.J., Harwood, C.G., Spray, C.M., & Lavallee, D. (2014). A qualitative investigation of the
- motivational climate in elite sport. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, *15*, 97-107.
- doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2013.10.006
- 14 Knight, C., Berrow, S.R. & Harwood, C.G. (2017). Parenting in sport. Current Opinion in
- 15 *Psychology*, 16, 93-97. doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2017.03.011
- Larner, R.J., Wagstaff, C.R.D., Thelwell, R.C., & Corbett, J. (2017). A multistudy examination of
- organizational stressors, emotional labor, burnout, and turnover in sport organizations.
- Scandinavian Journal of Medicine and Science in Sports. doi: 10.1111/sms.12833
- 19 Lovell, G.P., Parker, J.K., Brady, A., Cotterill, S.T., & Howatson, G. (2011). Looking the part:
- Female sports psychologists' body mass index and dress influences athletes' perceptions of
- their potential effectiveness. *The Sport Psychologist*, 25, 82-93.
- Lubker, J.R., Visek, A.J., Watson, J.C., & Singpurwalla, D. (2012). Athletes' preferred
- characteristics and qualifications of sport psychology practitioners: A consumer market
- analysis. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 24, 465-480.

- 1 Lubker, J.R., Watson, J.C., Visek, A.J., & Geer, J.R. (2005). Physical appearance and the perceived
- 2 effectiveness of performance enhancement consultants. The Sport Psychologist, 19, 446-
- 3 458.
- 4 Maniar, S D., Curry, L.A., Sommers-Flanagan, J., & Walsh, J.A. (2001). Student-athlete
- 5 preferences in seeking help when confronted with sport performance problems. *The*
- 6 *Sport Psychologist, 15, 205-223.*
- 7 Martin, E.A., Winter, S., & Holder, T. (in press). An exploration of trainee practitioners'
- 8 experiences when using observation. *The Sport Psychologist*. DOI:
- 9 http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/tsp.2016-0019
- 10 McCarthy, P. & Giges, B. (2017). Helping coaches meet their psychological needs. In R. Thelwell,
- 11 C. Harwood, & I. Greenlees, (eds.), The Psychology of Sports Coaching: Research and
- 12 *Practice* (pp. 101-113). London, UK: Routledge.
- McGannon, K.R. & Schweinbenz, A.N. (2011). Traversing the qualitative-quantitative divide
- using mixed methods: Some reflections and reconciliations for sport and exercise
- psychology. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health, 3,* 367-381.
- Miller, G.E. (1990). The assessment of clinical skills/competence/performance. *Academic*
- 17 *Medicine*, 65, S63-67.
- Morris, T., Alfermann, D., Lintunen, T., & Hall, H. (2003). Training and selection of sport
- 19 psychologists: An international review. *International Journal of Sport and Exercise*
- 20 *Psychology*, 1, 139-154.
- Nasar, J.L., & Devlin, A.S. (2011). Impressions of psychotherapist's offices. *Journal of Counseling*
- 22 *Psychology*, 58, 310-320. doi:10.1037/a0023887
- Ponnusamy, V., & Grove, J.R. (2014). Sport psychology service provision: Preferences for
- consultant characteristics and mode of delivery among elite Malaysian athletes. *Journal of*
- 25 Sports Science & Medicine, 13(3), 638–644.
- Pope, V.T. (1996). Stable personality characteristics of effective counselors: The Counselor

- 1 Characteristic Inventory. *Dissertation Abstracts International*, 57, 1503.
- 2 Rønnestad, M.H., & Skovholt, T.M. (2003). The journey of the counsellor and therapist: Research
- 3 findings and perspectives of professional development. *Journal of Career Development*, 30,
- 4 5-44.
- 5 Rynne, S., Mallett, C., & Rabjohns, M. (2017). High performance coaching: demands and
- 6 development. In R. Thelwell, C. Harwood & I. Greenlees (eds.), *The Psychology of Sports*
- 7 *Coaching: Research and Practice* (pp. 114-127). London, UK: Routledge.
- 8 Schinke, R.J., McGannon, K.R., Battochio, R.C., & Wells, G.D. (2013). Acculturation in elite
- 9 sport: A thematic analysis of immigrant athletes and coaches. *Journal of Sports Sciences*,
- 10 31, 1676-1686. doi.org/10.1080.02640414.2013.794949.
- Smith, B., & McGannon, K.R. (2017). Developing rigor in qualitative research: Problems and
- opportunities within sport and exercise psychology. *International Review of Sport and*
- 13 Exercise Psychology. doi.org/10.1080/1750984X.2017.1317357.
- Sparkes, A. C. (2015). Developing mixed methods research in sport and exercise psychology:
- 15 Critical reflections on five points of controversy. Psychology of Sport and Exercise, 16, 49-
- 16 59.
- 17 Taylor, J. (1994). Examining the boundaries of sport science and psychology training practitioners
- in applied sport psychology: Title usage and area of competence. *Journal of Applied Sport*
- 19 *Psychology, 6,* 185-195.
- Van Raalte, J.L., Brewer, D.D., Matheson, H., & Brewer, B.W. (1996). British athletes' perceptions
- of sport and mental health practitioners. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 8, 102-108.
- doi.org/10.1080/10413209608406311
- Visek, A.J., Harris, B.S., & Blom, J.C. (2009). Doing sport psychology: A youth sport consulting
- model for practitioners. *The Sport Psychologist*, 23, 271-291.
- Winter, S., & Collins, D. (2015a). Why do we do, what we do? *Journal of Applied Sport*
- 26 *Psychology*, 27, 35-51. doi:10.1080/10413200.2014.941511.

1 Winter, S., & Collins, D. (2015b). Where is the evidence in our sport psychology practice? A 2 UK perspective on the underpinnings of action. *Professional Psychology: Research and* 3 Practice, 46, 175-182. doi:10/1037/pro0000014 Woolway, T., & Harwood, C.G. (2015). Do titles matter in sport psychology? Performer attitudes 4 5 toward professional titles and the effect of a brief intervention. The Sport Psychologist, 29, 6 171-182. 7 Wrisberg, C.A., Loberg, L.A., Simpson, D., Withycombe, J.L., & Reed, A. (2010). An exploratory 8 investigation of NCAA Division-I coaches' support of sport psychology consultants and 9 willingness to seek mental training services. The Sport Psychologist, 24, 489-50. 10 Zakrajsek, R., Fisher, L., & Martin, S. (2016). Certified athletic trainers' understanding and use of sport psychology in their practice. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology. 11 doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2016.1231722 12 13 Zakrajsek, R., Martin, S.B., & Wrisberg, C.A. (2016). National collegiate athletic association Division 1 certified athletic trainers' perceptions of the benefits of sport psychology 14 services. Journal of Athletic Training, 51, 398-405. doi:10.4085/1062-6050-51.5.13 15 Zakrajsek, R. A., Martin, S. B., & Zizzi, S. J. (2011). American high school football coaches' 16 attitudes toward sport psychology consultation and intentions to use sport psychology 17 18 services. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 6, 461-478. 19 Zakrajsek, R, Steinfeldt, J.A., Bodey, K.J., Martin, S.B., & Zizzi, S. (2013). NCAA Division 1 20 coaches' perceptions and preferred use of sport psychology services: A qualitative 21 perspective. The Sport Psychologist, 27, 258-268.

1 Figure Captions

- 2 Figure 1. Understanding of the SPC role (a frequency analysis is provided in the first column to
- 3 illustrate youth-sport coach responses and in the second column for parent responses).
- 4 Figure 2. Perceived benefits of seeking the services of an SPC (a frequency analysis is provided in
- 5 the first column to illustrate youth-sport coach responses and in the second column for parent
- 6 responses).
- 7 Figure 3. Characteristics of an SPC that would influence judgment to seek services (a frequency
- 8 analysis is provided in the first column to illustrate youth-sport coach responses and in the second
- 9 column for parent responses).
- 10 Figure 4. The part-worth utility values and relative importance for parent and coach subgroups.
- 11 Figure 5. The part-worth utility values and relative importance for male and female subgroups.

8	6	Help athletes become successful		
5	2	Help athletes fulfil their potential		
5	1	Optimize athletic performance		
4	4	To enhance athlete confidence		
3	2	Develop coping mechanisms		
3	2	To develop the resilience and toughness of athletes		
3	2	To benefit recovery from setbacks		
			A.11.	
3	3	Improve psychological aspects of performance	Athlete performance	
3	-	To ensure that athletes prepare and review performance		
2	-	Enable improved decision-making during performance		
2	2	To enhance emotion management before, during and post-performance		
2	5	To help athletes manage pressure in performance		
2	-	To assess athletes for intervention work		
1	1	To help athletes understand situations before they happen		
1	2	To help athletes set goals and know how to achieve them		
1	3	To help them address problems		
9	5	Improve athlete understanding of mental skills benefits		1
9	5	Help athletes understand demands of elite sport		
5	3	Enable athletes to see the bigger picture		
4	1	To aid transition of athletes across levels		
3	-	To refer to alternative specialists when necessary	Athlete development and well-	
2	2	To understand their sport in the context of other life demands	being	
2	3	To monitor athlete well-being		
_	2	Enable athletes to disclose issues		
_	3	Ensure welfare is monitored		
l _	3	Provide guidance on alternative careers		SPC role
L	2	To monitor workloads and potential for burnout		
_				
-	2	To address non-sport issues		Į l
6	3	Help keep coaches confident and focused		
6	-	Be a point of contact for coaches		
5	-	Provide feedback on athletes in case meetings		
5	-	To help develop coach toughness and resilience		
5	-	Develop awareness to coach pressure and stress	Coach support	
4	_	Develop coach preparation	11	
4	2	Help coaches understand demands on athletes		
4	_	Support for organizational demands on coaches		
	_			
3	-	Review coach performance		
2	3	Observation of behaviors during training and competition		
1	-	Mediating issues		
9	-	Help coaches integrate psychology into training		
8	2	Contribute to session development		
7	-	Provide feedback to coaches on communication methods		
6	-	Help coaches reflect on delivery styles	Coach development	
6	-	Review the coaching environments	•	
5	3	Develop leadership skills for varying athletes		
5	_	Deliver coach-education workshops		
6	5	To provide parental sessions		1
	3		Cymnost other mayns	
5	-	Facilitate team support meetings	Support other groups	
2	6	To raise awareness of sport psychology to parents		
-	5	Provide feedback to parents on communication methods		
5	-	Develop psychology support across the full programme	Psychology education	
5	-	Ensure a consistent psychology curriculum in the club		
11	10	Provide individual support	Individual support	
8	6	Work with individuals over a period of time		l l
11	5	Deliver group sessions on key themes		1 l
11	6	Present workshops	Group/education sessions	Delivery mode
4	1	Work with sub-groups where appropriate	Stoup/education sessions	
7	2	Deliver the psychology in the [sporting environment]		1 I
	-		Denotical	l l
5	-	Lead the [practical] session with a psychology focus	Practical sessions	l l
3	-	Show how the psychology links with the training		
4	3	Available at all times	Regular contact	l l
3	1	Contact once a week		j l
11	8	When [athlete] requires them		l l
8	4	Whenever [coach] thinks that the athlete needs them	Partial contact	l l
1 -	3	Whenever [parent] thinks that the athlete needs them		l l
11	11	Present at training sessions		1
			Training	l l
8	4	Be around the training environment	Training	Avoilobility
6	2	Be able to integrate with athletes during training		Availability
10	5	Important to be present during competition, but not a distraction	Competition	l l
6	1	Be visible at competition so it has an impact		j l
11	7	Meet with [athletes / coaches / others] away from sport environment	Neutral	l l
4	2	Have meetings in the [club]		l l
		<u> </u>		Į l
9	4	Important to have their details		
4	4	Consult via Skype	E.support	l l
4	4	Use emails for feedback		
3	1	Use text messages and other e.formats		l l

11	8	Positive attitude		
10	6	Confident thoughts and behaviors		
10	6	Positive mindset	Enhanced confidence	
5	-	Confident to talk to others such as coaches / specialists		
4	2	Knowing what they have over others		
4	5	Developing a total belief in what they do		
10	6	Better ability to cope with sporting demands		
10	1	Knowing others are competing for your place		
9	6	Managing poor performance and slumps		
9	5	Coping with pressure		
9	2	Coping with negative emotions		
5	3	Awareness to expectations of self and others	Toughness and resilience	
5	1	Awareness of additional demands such as travel	Toughness and resinence	
5	_	Develop skills to see things as a challenge		
4	2	Overcoming doubt and capability worry		
2	_	Enhanced awareness to performance review		
2				
-	6	Not feeling guilt about success for parents		
-	5	Management of expectations		_
8	6	Enhanced ability to focus on what is needed		
7	2	Setting realistic and appropriate goals		
5	1	Getting everything they can from themselves	Enhanced focus	
5	2	Developing concentration skills		
2	-	Shutting out the things that disrupt focus		
8	4	Developing string routines and preparation strategies		
7	4	Knowing what their preparation is		
4	4	Not having to follow everyone else in what they do	Enhanced preparation	Enhanced
4	-	Developing an individualized routine	r · r · · · · ·	psychological strength
1	-	Having flexibility in their routine		
9	6	Enhanced ability to react correctly in-performance		1
7	2	Continue to focus on the right decisions		
6	2	Not getting caught up in the situation	In-performance awareness	
5	2	Critical moment awareness	m-performance awareness	
3	3			
	3	Using the key strategies during performance		
2	-	Keeping to the processes		_
5	4	Understanding to the demands of an elite athlete lifestyle		
4	2	Preparation to make sacrifices		
3	-	Being prepared to do the right things all the time	Sporting toughness	
2	-	Ensuring the standards are understood and maintained		
2	3	Awareness of 'living on the line' with injuries		
4	6	Increased awareness to how and why they behave like they do		
3	5	Better awareness to reactions to situations		
3	2	Better understanding to own feelings and emotions		
2	-	Knowing how they present to others	Enhanced self-awareness	
1	-	Understanding preparedness to adhere to requirements		
-	6	To leave the sport behind at the door		
_	6	To still be a person but not lose focus		
_	7	Reinforce importance of enjoyment		
_	2	Better awareness of things outside of [sport]		
4	3	Knowing why others behave like they do		=
4	3			
3	1	Understand why coaches talk like they do Awareness of opponent behaviour	Understanding of others	
	2		Understanding of others	
3	4	Awareness of teammates behaviour		
2		Understanding parental influence		
-	4	Understanding sibling relationships	10	
8	4	Understanding competition demands	Competition performance	
6	1	Awareness of 'other factors' that require management during competition	demands	
3	2	Planning for competition eventualities		1
6	4	Attitudes and expectations		
5	4	Making sure that the right things are done		
5	1	Training as they want to perform	Training behaviour and	
3	-	Getting into good habits	expectations	
2	2	Understanding the importance of quality training	<u> </u>	
-	3	Encourage appropriate behaviors and standards		
4	6	How you are perceived away from [the sport]		Elite standard
2	5	Other [non-sport] demands that are placed on athletes	Non-sport awareness	awareness
1	3	Consideration if things do not work out	Sport an aroness	
5	-	Where are [athlete] in terms of the organization	Organizational expectations	1
5	l -	How many others would want to [perform for] the organization	Organizational expectations	
4	_			
	-	Remembering who you are representing		4
8	7	Making the most of their opportunities	,	
7	6	Giving everything they can to develop themselves	Personal limits and growth	
6	1	Not being 'lazy' and trying to see the bigger picture	potential	
2	2	Every session is an opportunity to learn		_
7	2	Understanding demands of elite sport	Professional attitude	
3	-	Awareness to how all areas operate together	development	1
3	<u>L-</u>	Cannot cut corners		<u> </u>

				1
4	-	Previous personal experiences as an athlete		
3	-	Previous personal experiences as a coach	Previous experiences with	
2	3	Knowledge of the consultant	consultant	
-	-	Having previously employed the consultant for [child]		
3	3	Comments from other coaches who have worked with [the consultant]		
2	3	Comments from other coaches who are aware of [the consultant's] work	Other coaches feedback	
_	3	Support judgment of the coach		Reputation
4	5	Being recommended to use [the consultant]		
			Dagammandations	
3	2	Other people's testimonies of [the consultant]	Recommendations	
2	3	Word of mouth		
-	4	References		
-	6	How they present themselves	Advertising	
-	2	How easy they are to find		
6	2	Must be fully qualified as a psychologist		
4	_	Need to have a psychology qualification	Professional accreditation	
2	1	Need to be professionally accredited		
3	-	Should have a Masters degree at least	Postgraduate degree	Qualification
1	_		1 ostgraduate degree	Quanneution
2	-	Need to have done a postgraduate degree	0.1 1:5 .:	_
2	2	Other performance-related qualifications	Other qualifications	
5	6	Knowledge of the sport		
4	-	Knowing the intricacies of the sport and the organization		
4	5	Ability to put psychology speak into sport language		
3	-	Knowing how support teams operate	Sporting knowledge	
3	-	Knowing the environment to work in		
3	6	Understanding the sporting demands		
3	5	Knowing the athlete demands for the sport		Knowledge
2	ا آ	Awareness to funding and general finance issues		12
1	l ⁻	Understanding athlete access issues		
1	-			+
4	5	Knowing how to communicate with [the population] to be worked with		
2	-	Knowing the challenge that [the population] are faced with within the sport		
1	-	Knowing how [the population] are expected to fit in with others in the organization	Population knowledge	
-	5	Understanding adolescents and relationships with parents / within families		
-	4	Understanding parental worries and concerns		
6	6	Volume of experience they have as a consultant in sport		
2	4	What sports they have worked in		
1		What groups they have worked with	Consultant - general	
1	7	Expert in their field	Consultant - general	
-				
	2	Awareness of broader psychological issues		
5	4	Whether they have worked in [the sport]		
4	-	Why they want to work in the sport	Consultant – sport	
1	-	Have they just done bits and pieces or are they really experienced		
3	4	Have they worked with [the population] category before	Consultant - population	Experience
3	_	How will they work with [the population] if they were to be recruited		
5	4	What experience do they have as a performer in [sport]	Performance	
2	2		1 criormance	
		Have they excelled in [the sport]		
		YY 4 1 1: F4 31 6	6 1	
3	-	Have they coached in [the sport] before	Coach	
1	-	Potential to cross boundaries		
1 -	3		Coach Parent	
6	-	Potential to cross boundaries		
1 -	3	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication		
1 - 6 5	3 4 3	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language	Parent	
1 - 6 5 4	3 4	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness		
1 - 6 5	3 4 3 3	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use	Parent	
1 - 6 5 4	3 4 3 3 - 7	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company	Parent	
1 - 6 5 4	3 4 3 3 - 7 3	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat	Parent	
1 - 6 5 4 2 - -	3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback	Parent	
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - -	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable	Parent	
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - 11 11	3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable	Parent	
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - 11 11 10	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Personable Able to listen	Parent	
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - 11 11	3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable	Parent	
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - 11 11 10	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Personable Able to listen	Parent	
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - 11 11 10 9	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness	Parent	
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - 11 11 10 9 8 8	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected	Parent Communication	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - 11 11 10 9 8 8 7	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic	Parent	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - 11 11 10 9 8 8 7 7	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured	Parent Communication	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - - 11 11 10 9 8 8 7 7	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour	Parent Communication	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - - 11 11 10 9 8 8 7 7 5 4	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly	Parent Communication	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - - 11 11 10 9 8 8 8 7 7 5 4 4 2	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear	Parent Communication	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - - 11 11 10 9 8 8 7 7 5 4 4 2	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful	Parent Communication	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - 11 11 10 9 8 8 8 7 7 5 4 4 2	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots	Parent Communication	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 5 5 4 2 1 1 1	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion	Parent Communication	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 - - - - 11 11 10 9 8 8 7 7 5 4 4 2	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots	Parent Communication	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 5 5 4 2 1 1 1	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion	Parent Communication Interpersonal style	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 7 5 5 4 4 2 1 1 5	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance	Parent Communication	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 2	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance Looking like they fit in	Parent Communication Interpersonal style	Interpersonal skills
1 -6 5 4 2 	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance Looking like they fit in Preparedness to work on a contract and be available for specific sessions	Parent Communication Interpersonal style Observable presentation	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 7 5 4 2 1 1 - 5 5 5 - 6 6 6 6	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance Looking like they fit in Preparedness to work on a contract and be available for specific sessions Regular contact to aid immersion into support team	Parent Communication Interpersonal style	Interpersonal skills
1 - 6 5 4 2 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 7 5 5 4 2 2 1 1 5 5 5 - 6 6 6 5 5	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2 - 3 2 2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3 - 3 - 2 - 3 - 3	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance Looking like they fit in Preparedness to work on a contract and be available for specific sessions Regular contact to aid immersion into support team Guarantee of a certain number of hours per week, month or year	Parent Communication Interpersonal style Observable presentation Contract	
1 - 6 5 4 2 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 7 5 4 4 2 2 1 1 1 5 5 5 - 6 6 6 5 5 2	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2 2 - 3 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance Looking like they fit in Preparedness to work on a contract and be available for specific sessions Regular contact to aid immersion into support team Guarantee of a certain number of hours per week, month or year Preparedness to be available when required as opposed to having regular contact	Parent Communication Interpersonal style Observable presentation Contract On-call	Interpersonal skills Service nature
1 - 6 5 4 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 7 5 5 4 2 2 1 1 5 5 5 - 6 6 6 5 5	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2 - 3 2 2 - 3 2 - 3 2 - 3 - 3 - 2 - 3 - 3	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance Looking like they fit in Preparedness to work on a contract and be available for specific sessions Regular contact to aid immersion into support team Guarantee of a certain number of hours per week, month or year	Parent Communication Interpersonal style Observable presentation Contract	
1 - 6 5 4 2 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 7 5 4 4 2 2 1 1 1 5 5 5 - 6 6 6 5 5 2	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2 2 - 3 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance Looking like they fit in Preparedness to work on a contract and be available for specific sessions Regular contact to aid immersion into support team Guarantee of a certain number of hours per week, month or year Preparedness to be available when required as opposed to having regular contact	Parent Communication Interpersonal style Observable presentation Contract On-call	
1 - 6 5 4 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 7 5 4 2 2 1 1 1 - 5 5 5 - 6 6 6 5 5 2 2 3 3	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2 2 - 3 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance Looking like they fit in Preparedness to work on a contract and be available for specific sessions Regular contact to aid immersion into support team Guarantee of a certain number of hours per week, month or year Preparedness to be available when required as opposed to having regular contact Value for money What is the cost verses benefit?	Parent Communication Interpersonal style Observable presentation Contract On-call	
1 - 6 5 4 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 7 5 4 4 2 1 1 - 5 5 5 - 6 6 6 5 2 2 3 3 - 3 3	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2 2 - 3 2 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance Looking like they fit in Preparedness to work on a contract and be available for specific sessions Regular contact to aid immersion into support team Guarantee of a certain number of hours per week, month or year Preparedness to be available when required as opposed to having regular contact Value for money What is the cost verses benefit? Willingness to travel to training and competitions	Parent Communication Interpersonal style Observable presentation Contract On-call Cost	
1 - 6 5 4 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 7 5 4 2 2 1 1 1 - 5 5 5 - 6 6 6 5 5 2 2 3 3	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2 - 3 2 	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance Looking like they fit in Preparedness to work on a contract and be available for specific sessions Regular contact to aid immersion into support team Guarantee of a certain number of hours per week, month or year Preparedness to be available when required as opposed to having regular contact Value for money What is the cost verses benefit? Willingness to travel to training and competitions Willingness to travel to meet athletes	Parent Communication Interpersonal style Observable presentation Contract On-call	
1 - 6 5 4 2 2 111 110 9 8 8 8 7 7 7 5 5 4 4 2 2 1 1 1 5 5 5 5 - 6 6 6 5 5 2 3 3 - 3 3	- 3 4 3 3 - 7 3 2 10 8 6 11 4 6 3 5 - 9 2 2 2 - 3 2 2 6 6 6 2	Potential to cross boundaries Are they a parent and do they know how parents think Non-judgemental communication Athlete friendly language Emphasis on support and performance awareness Understanding of appropriate language for use Whether I would feel happy in their company Not seeing us as a threat Open to feedback Approachable Personable Able to listen Trustworthy Timeliness Respected Empathetic Confident and assured Sense of humour Friendly Calm and clear Respectful Treats athletes as individuals and people, not robots Discretion Looking like part of the team Professional appearance Looking like they fit in Preparedness to work on a contract and be available for specific sessions Regular contact to aid immersion into support team Guarantee of a certain number of hours per week, month or year Preparedness to be available when required as opposed to having regular contact Value for money What is the cost verses benefit? Willingness to travel to training and competitions	Parent Communication Interpersonal style Observable presentation Contract On-call Cost	

	Coach		Parent	
Attribute	Utilities (Part-Worth)	Relative Importance Rankings	Utilities (Part- Worth)	Relative Importance Rankings
Experience and training		33%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	25%
High Low	1.395* -1.395*		.950* 950*	
Interpersonal skills		39%		45%
High Low	1.605 -1.605		1.835 -1.835	
Reputation		12%		13%
Known Unknown	.445 445		.526 526	
Consultancy focus		7%		10%
Performance Well-being	.175* 175*		113* .113*	
Service nature		9%		7%
Organization Independent	.039 039		056 .056	

Note. *difference: p< .05.

		Male]	Female
Attribute	Utilities (Part-Worth)	Relative Importance Rankings	Utilities (Part- Worth)	Relative Importance Rankings
Experience and training		32%	,	24%
High Low	1.251 -1.251		1.018 -1.018	
Interpersonal skills		40%		46%
High Low	1.627 -1.627		1.865 -1.865	
Reputation		13%		12%
Known Unknown	.500 500		.478 478	
Consultancy focus		8%		10%
Performance Well-being	.145* 145*		148* .148*	
Service nature		8%		8%
Organization Independent	.048* 048*		092* .092*	

Note. *difference: p< .05.