



Cronfa - Swansea University Open Access Repository

This is an author produced version of a paper published in: *Energy and Buildings*

Cronfa URL for this paper: http://cronfa.swan.ac.uk/Record/cronfa37348

Paper:

Sutton, R., Jewell, E., Elvins, J., Searle, J. & Jones, P. (2017). Characterising the discharge cycle of hydrated salts for building scale thermochemical storage. *Energy and Buildings* http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.11.068

This item is brought to you by Swansea University. Any person downloading material is agreeing to abide by the terms of the repository licence. Copies of full text items may be used or reproduced in any format or medium, without prior permission for personal research or study, educational or non-commercial purposes only. The copyright for any work remains with the original author unless otherwise specified. The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holder.

Permission for multiple reproductions should be obtained from the original author.

Authors are personally responsible for adhering to copyright and publisher restrictions when uploading content to the repository.

http://www.swansea.ac.uk/library/researchsupport/ris-support/

Accepted Manuscript

Title: Characterising the discharge cycle of hydrated salts for building scale thermochemical storage

Authors: R.J. Sutton, E. Jewell, J. Elvins, J.R. Searle, P. Jones



 PII:
 S0378-7788(17)30896-4

 DOI:
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.11.068

 Reference:
 ENB 8190

To appear in: ENB

 Received date:
 14-3-2017

 Revised date:
 29-11-2017

 Accepted date:
 30-11-2017

Please cite this article as: R.J.Sutton, E.Jewell, J.Elvins, J.R.Searle, P.Jones, Characterising the discharge cycle of hydrated salts for building scale thermochemical storage, Energy and Buildings https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2017.11.068

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Characterising the discharge cycle of hydrated salts for building scale thermochemical storage

R.J. Sutton, E. Jewell, J. Elvins, J.R. Searle and P. Jones

1- Swansea University, College of Engineering, Bay Campus, Fabian Way. Crymlyn Burrows, Swansea, SA1 8EN, 437543@swansea.ac.uk, e.jewell@swansea.ac.uk

Corresponding author : Dr Eifion Jewell, e.jewell@swansea.ac.uk

Graphical abstract



 $salt \cdot x(H_2O) + heat \implies salt + x(H_2O)$

Highlights

- SIM thermochemical storage material performance is degraded as a bulk medium.
- Deliquescence of CaCl2 and LiNO3 salts reduces slat utilization and temperature.
- Performance is not improved with dry / moist air cycling

Abstract

Transpired solar collectors (TSC) are an efficient means of building heating but due to the demand / use mismatch their capabilities are maximised when paired with a suitable storage technology. The Hydration and / dehydration of inorganic salts provides an appropriate energy storage medium which is compatible with the air temperature provided by a conventional TSC (<70 °C). The study reports on technical appraisal of materials which are compatible with building scale energy storage installations. Two salts (CaCl₂, and LiNO₃) were impregnated into porous vermiculite to form a salt in matrix (SIM). Their performance during the discharge portion of the cycle at high packing density was examined using a laboratory scale reactor. Reactor and exit temperature rises of only 14 °C were observed with a reduction in temperature output from this initial peak over 60 hours. Poor salt utilization resulting from deliquescence near the reactor inlet was identified as being the source of the reduced performance. Changes in reactor size, orientation and cycling between input periods of moist and dry air did not improve reactor performance. The investigation has identified that moist air transit through the packed SIM reactor column is limited to approximately 100 mm

from the air inlet. This has implications for reactor design and the operation of any practical building scale installation. Predictions of building scale energy storage capabilities based on simple scaling of laboratory test considerably under estimate the volume and complexity of equipment required.

Keywords

Thermochemical storage, salt hydration, transpired solar collector

1. Introduction

Building scale inter seasonal thermal energy storage provides a means of balancing excess solar derived thermal energy over the summer months with winter demand [1]. One solution for harvesting energy during summer months is a transpired solar collector (TSC). A solar energy absorbing material is coated to a perforated surface and the air drawn through and over the surface experiences an uplift in temperature as convective energy transfer occurs between the surface and the air [2]. This technology is readily implemented at building scale and commercial products for this are available [3]. A limitation of TSC technology is that it often provides thermal input when there is little demand and vice versa. This supply / demand mismatch varies both daily and seasonally. Intra day or inter seasonal storage would provide a significant additional benefit to both the uptake to TSC and thus to building energy management in general.

The maximum air temperature which can be obtained in Northern European conditions during summer months are typically 70 °C with mean air temperatures of approximately 45 °C [4]. These operational temperature limits govern the most suitable storage mechanism. Chemical storage through the hydration / dehydration of salts potentially provides an efficient means of storing energy as high energy densities can potentially be achieved [5,6], material costs are low, chemicals are non toxic and non flammable and the choice of salt can be matched to the operational temperature window available. The storage and release of thermal energy is via the fully reversible endo/exothermic chemical reaction: $salt \cdot x(H_2O) + heat \leftrightarrow salt + x(H_2O)$ [7]. A number of candidate salt materials are available [8–10] and hosting these salts within inert matrices (SIMs) have been shown to have minimum hysteresis and material degradation over hydration and dehydration cycles [11]. The inert matrix also provides a robust material which can be readily handled and can provide a large internal area where vapour / salt hydration / dehydration can occur [9,10].

The choice of porous matrix is driven by the need to provide a stable, non-reactive, porous, safe, abundant and cheap material with a large potential surface area where salt could be deposited, [9]. Past studies have examined thermochemical storage potential of a range of hygroscopic chemical salts impregnated into a range of porous host matrices including vermiculite, activated carbon pellets, zeolites and silica Gel. Casey et al [11,12] studied comprehensively characterised a variety of slats and matrix but did so with 1.5L of material thinly and uniformly distributed over a wire mesh (a layer thickness of 10 - 15 mm) within a large reactor (90 litres) effectively a storage density of approximately 0.03 GJ/m³. This is significantly lower than the energy density would be required for a building. The flow, mass transfer and thermal transfer through a larger porous bulk will likely be significantly different from that experienced through a thin layer which is of the order of 2 - 8

particles thick, [13]. Zhu et al [14] examined a larger (40Kg) rig containing silica gel / CaCl₂ SIM and obtained peak exit temperatures of around 40 °C providing an energy density of 1 kJ/ g (0.806 GJ/m³) at a salt : matrix ratio of approximately 0.5. There is potential to further increases this storage capacity by an increase in the salt : matrix proportion, although silica gel itself provides a storage mechanism through adsorption[15]. Given the limited number of studies which have examined the SIM materials at high density then there is a danger that simple scaling of the results from lab to building scale presents are misleading

For a given salt, the practical storage density limit of SIM technology is potentially increased by increasing the salt content and by providing a thick and dense media through which the moist air is passed. There is no available published literature on the energy release from a reactor where there is a thick (>50 mm) layer of SIM through which the moist air must pass. Thus, the primary objective of the study was to establish the discharge characteristics when used at a storage density (i.e. tightly packed) which is compatible with building storage at a high salt : matrix ratio. Understanding the behaviour of these storage media at a high density is critical in determining whether it is a suitable material for building scale applications. The SIM behaviour influences reactor designs, space allocation, operational overheads, and overall suitability for building thermal storage. The study was initiated (and influenced) a development programme installing a 5 ton storage system as a retrofit to an industrial building [16].

2. Method

2.1 Materials

 $CaCl_2$ and $LiNO_3$, were selected as chemical salts as they are well studied and their change in hydration states lie within the expected 10 - 80 °C temperature window, [12,17–19]. The relative merits of these materials are summarised in terms of theoretical storage potential of the selected salts, using low temperature heat from a solar thermal collector in Table 1. This storage potential was calculated from the dehydration temperatures of the hydrated states of the salt, and the change in enthalpy associated with the change in hydrated state [20]. Of the two materials CaCl_2 provides a more attractive commercial proposition as it is significantly cheaper and therefore provides a more economic solution but the LiNO3 provides a potentially denser energy storage capacity.

The host matrix chosen for this study was vermiculite as this had been shown to meet the main requirements for an inert matrix upon which the salt can be deposited. It is widely available mineral which contain aluminium-iron-magnesium silicates in various proportions depending on source [21]. It is an inert porous multi lamella structured material which has applications in protective packaging and horticulture. The inter lamella gaps provide an area which can be filled with the active salt to form a vermiculite / salt composite [21].

The choice of ratio of inert matrix to salt in the SIM requires a balance of thermodynamic efficiency, which dictates higher salt content and practical needs where a low salt content is preferred. These practical limitations are associated with the elimination of unattached salt crystals, prevention of deliquescence, utilization of the salt and the free air path through a macro porous structure of individual particles. The ratios used were calculated based on 50% mean free volume of the

vermiculite as reported in the literature [11,12]. The choice of 50% of the available volume was made as full liquid penetration of all the internal void space (as measured by gas absorption) by a liquid was not deemed to be realistic. Initial experimentation with the CaCl₂ material found that mass ratios above 2:1 produced a SIM with properties which were not considered to be beneficial to performance. In comparison to the 2:1 SIM (Figure 1a), an excess build-up of salt is evident on the surface of the 3:1 SIM (Figure 1 b). These loosely bound salt crystal tended to be removed during produce handling to produce a mixture of larger SIM particles and smaller dust size crystals of salt, Figure 1 (d). In contrast the 2:1 SIM produced a uniform distribution of salt impregnated particles, Figure 1(c). In addition, there was evidence that some vermiculite particles were cleaved apart by the quantity of salt between the lamella. This further reduced the mean particle size, producing a more dust particles in the distribution.

A saturated salt solution was created and this was mixed with the vermiculite host matrix using a continuous spraying and slow agitation of the vermiculite. This slow agitation ensured complete mixing of the vermiculite and salt solution without physical damage to vermiculite. Samples were subsequently dried in an oven at 120 °C in order remove excess moisture and to elevate each to a hydration state of CaCl₂.2H₂O and LiNO₃. Although this temperature is above that which is likely to be experienced during subsequent charging cycles, this ensured the removal of water at a suitable rate while being below that temperature of the next hydration state (where applicable). Each material was made in 2Kg batches to limit uncertainties associated with variability in the vermiculite and processing between batches.

EDX analysis of the vermiculite grains impregnated with CaCl₂ shows that salt solution has fully been absorbed into the centre of the vermiculite, Figure 2, with evidence of both chlorine and calcium? throughout the vermiculite particle; with a background oxygen, silicon magnesium and aluminium from the vermiculite. Although, EDX provides more qualitative than quantitative measurements, this clearly illustrates that salt has impregnated evenly the entire porous structure of the vermiculite. It was not possible to confirm the same behaviour with the LiNO₃ as the Lithium low atomic mass makes detection unreliable.

Under moisture diffusion conditions the natural absorption capabilities of each salt / vermiculite system was established. The mass of the SIMs were monitored periodically over 150 hrs in environments of varying relative humidity, at temperatures of $20^{\circ}C \pm 1^{\circ}C$. The overall mass increase was related to the number of water molecules gained per molecule of salt, Figure 3, highlighting the potential of energy recovery under such conditions. Both CaCl₂ and LiNO₃ SIM materials exhibit excellent moisture adsorption capabilities at a range of humidity, indicating good potential release of energy, however there are practical limitations associated with the control of moisture adsorption, preventing the dissolution of salt. Even at a moderately low relative humidity, both materials rapidly absorb an excess of moisture. This is not beneficial for heat generation as this additional moisture does not release energy but adds to the thermal mass.

2.2 Experimental setup

In order to simulate the discharge hydration portion of the cycle experimental apparatus was created whereby controlled humidity air could be passed through a reactor column of SIM, Figure 4.

Temperature and humidity were monitored prior to entrance and exit of the reactor as well as at 3 points along the reactor path. The reactor diameter was 50 mm and volume of the reactor was 600 cm³. It was consistently filled to contain 125 g of material, based on the salt: vermiculite ratio of 2:1. Measurement position 3 corresponded to the fill level of the reactor with the sensor just submerged in the SIM.

Air at 20°C and 75% relative humidity was generated by passing oil free compressed air through a sintered porous glass block in a 5 litre Dreschel bottle of DI water. This provided inlet air at 19 °C containing 12.9 gH₂O / m³. Although this inlet temperature is above that which could be expected during UK winter months (typically 0 – 15 °C), the moisture content corresponds to maximum possible moisture loading at 15 °C and therefore represents the maximum delivery rate of reactant to the reactor. Air flow rate was controlled independently upstream of the Dreschel bottle and consistent humidity could be obtained independent of the air flow rate. This moist air is passed through a reactor column of SIM, whist monitoring the temperature and humidity along the length of the reactor. Temperature was measured using type K thermocouples while measurement of relative humidity was carried out using TE-HPP805C031 sensors. Through repeated measurements of the reactor without SIM, the input temperature could be controlled to within an accuracy of +/- 0.7 C and the relative humidity to within +/- 1 %.

After initial explanatory studies, the reactor was run for 60 hours at 5 l/min at an input moisture level of 12.9 g H_2O/m^3 . This equates to around 5 times the water required to fully hydrate the mass of SIM. This was deemed a practical timescale which would allow full utilization of the SIM and therefore cover a full discharge cycle.

3. Results

3.1 Material discharge characteristics

There is a significant difference between the response of each SIM material and thus each will be presented in turn, prior to a discussion on a comparison of their performance. The overall trends observed are in line with those observed in previous experimental [11,14] and numerical studies [22].

A typical response for the CaCl₂ SIM at a flow rate of 5 L/minute is shown in Figure 5(a). At this flow rate the idealised minimum time to hydrate the salt (without deliquescence and assuming there is perfect utilization of the salt) is around 11 hours. Any reduction in the local moisture level below the inlet level shows that water is being absorbed by the SIM and there will be a complimentary increase in local temperature as a result of the enthalpy of formation of the hydrated salt.

Both time and the position within the reactor have a significant effect of the temperature and moisture profiles experienced within the reactor. At the reactor inlet, there is an initial peak in temperature within the first hour, Figure 5(a-iv), and a depression in the water vapour content as the water vapour reacts with the salt. The temperature rise reduces gradually over the next 5 hours and then there is a sudden reduction in temperature and a corresponding increase in water vapour level. This is followed by a more gradual rate of temperature change and a more gradual rate of

water vapour level. Beyond 15 hours, the temperature reduces further and there is a increase in moisture level until it stabilises near the input moisture level. Possible mechanism for this temperature profile are explained in the discussion.

As time proceeds, the position of the peak temperature moves up through the reactor, Figure 5(a-iii) and Figure 5(a-ii) indicating a salt – water vapour reaction at each location, although the magnitude of the temperature rise reduces from around 25°C at position 1 to 19 °C at position 2 and 14 °C at position 3. At all positions, the peak temperature occurs prior to an increase in moisture level at the location evidence that the salt – water vapour reaction reaches a peak prior to a situation where there is a local excess of moisture. The temperature rises observed in the reactor core do not immediately result in an increase in the reactor exit temperature with exit temperature rise reaching a peak of around 10 °C some 5 hours after the peak temperature seen at the surface SIM material. The energy liberated by the hydration reaction on the SIM is therefore not transferred downstream by the air.

With the exception of the inlet, the moisture content in the air remains plateaued at around half the level of inlet moisture content, i.e. there is insufficient moisture available to maintain the a sufficiently high reaction rate of the hydration process and this results in a gradual reduction in temperature. Given that sufficient moisture is being supplied to the reactor, but does not reach a location where it is required, then there must some mechanism where the moisture is prevented from passing through the SIM. Visually a line could be observed moving up through the reactor column, indicating material which was dry and yet to react and that where water was presented and a reaction had taken place, Figure 6. The measurements and visual observations would therefore suggest that the region near the inlet continues to gather moisture from the air although this does process does not liberate energy, i.e. the salt has entered a state of deliquescence. This has several detrimental effects on the performance. The formation of excess water on the SIM surface blocks the passage of the air through the SIM by blocking the intra particle pores. This excess water also readily harvests the moisture from the inlet air reducing the quantity of water vapour which is available for reaction further downstream of the saturated SIM.

The latent heat of vaporization also acts as a sink in the energy balance and reduces the temperatures possible. Finally, the additional water adds to the thermal mass of the system. This phenomena has not been reported previously but has a potentially dramatic effect on the potential application of the technology as it limits operational performance significantly. Essentially, there is insufficient mixing of the moist air with the salt in the reactor which leads to poor salt utilization and the subsequent generation of low temperature lifts. From the position of the sensors and observations, the limit of permeation of moisture through a column of CaCl₂ SIM is around 100 mm. Beyond a SIM thickness of 100 mm, any upstream SIM will tend to scavenge moisture and starve the downstream salt / water energy liberating reaction. This will have an impact on future reactor design.

The salt used significantly changes the thermal response of the reactor, although there are some similarities and patterns which are replicated between them, Figure 5(b). With $LiNO_3$ (idealised time to hydration 13 hours) there is an initial spike in temperature at all points in the reactor of between 15°C and 20 °C, but this rapidly reduces to 5°C – 10°C in less than an hour. To coincide with the drop in temperature, there is a rapid increase in the free moisture in the air. The single step of energy

liberation of hydration for LiNO₃ allows a mechanism for this behaviour to be proposed. It is proposed that the LiNO₃ has been rapidly hydrated (liberating the energy) on the surface of the SIM and continues to liberate energy at a far slower rate as the salt within the core of the vermiculite particle is hydrated. As with the CaCl₂, there is deliquescence as the moisture level in the air does not reach the level the input air, indicating that moisture is absorbed to the SIM surface without any energy liberation benefit. In contrast with the CaCl₂ behaviour there is no evidence of a lag as one proceeds through the reactor with the peak temperature occurring immediately upon exposure to moist air. The inference that can be drawn by the relative performance of the two materials and its subsequent understanding of the impact on the mechanisms of hydration and energy release are presented in the discussion.

The measured exit temperatures were significantly lower than those predicted from first principles from the flow rate, mass and first principles (Annexe 1), Table 1. Predicted mean exit temperatures rises of 29 °C and 30 °C for the CaCl₂ and LiNO₃ compare with actual mean exit temperature rises of 5.2°C and 3.2 °C respectively. One possible reason for the discrepancy was associated with the small size of the reactor and its inherent large surface area (heat transfer surface) to volume ratio which would tend to increase energy loss from the reactor body. This factor combined with the relative mass of the sensors and reactor body which acted as a heat sink on the small reactor material would result in a lower then expected temperature rise.

A larger reactor was subsequently created (total SIM mass of 700 g, 125 mm diameter) from an lower thermal conductivity material and the experiment repeated at the a comparable salt : water moist air flow rate of 28 L/min. The mean temperatures with the larger reactor showed no improvement in the performance and in most instances produced lower temperature rises than those produced with the smaller reactor. The similarity of the behaviour for measurement position at the base and near the the top of the SIM is evident in Figure 7. There is difference of around 5°C in the initial peak temperature for both SIM materials at the base of the reactor which can be associated with time taken for the moisture to permeate to the greater surface of the from the single inlet on the centreline. This reactor size variant showed that the poor performance could not be attributed to large reactor surface area / volume.

A reduction in the salt matrix ratio may be one way in which the deliquescence could be eliminated but this will have a direct effect on the achievable storage energy density. In order to maintain the high-energy density, alternative approaches to the operation of the reactor which aimed to redistribute the air through the reactor were investigated. Each method was investigated with the CaCl₂ SIM as this yielded a steadier temperature evolution during the test and therefore provided a test which could be more readily tested in the laboratory.

The simplest means of changing the reactor operation was to rotate the reactor by 180° such that moist air entered from the top with the exhaust placed at the bottom of the reactor. In this way, it was anticipated that gravity could aid the transfer of excess water through the reactor column. The orientation of the inlet has an appreciable effect on the temperature and air moisture distribution through the reactor, although the overall mean temperatures are largely reduced over the test period, Figure 8. At the inlet, Figure 8(iii), there is a small reduction in the initial temperature spike,

but the remainder of the temperature profile closely follow each other. No conclusive benefits can therefore be drawn from the operation of the reactor with the moist air inlet at the top of the reactor.

As no benefits could be identified from position of the inlet, the concept of air cycling was investigated to improve utilization of the salt in the reactor. The concept was based on the idea that by cycling dry and moist air, any excess moisture which had deposited on the surface of the SIM during the moist phase would re-evaporate during the dry air phase and be transported further into the reactor to react with the un-hydrated SIM further downstream. The investigation examined the proportion of wet : dry air supplied in a 2 hour cycle. During the moist air portion of the cycle energy is liberated (with a subsequent increase in temperature) while during the dry air portion of the cycle, there is a reduction in temperature rise as the air passes through reactor without liberating energy from the SIM, Figure 9. In each case, there is negative temperature rise in the bulk (relative to the inlet air) as there is some evaporative cooling effect. The evaporation of the excess water (or water which has not been hydrated into the salt structure) from the SIM results in a lowering of the SIM temperature beyond the inlet air temperature. This evaporation is also evident in the moisture levels which are maintained within the reactor even when dry air traverses through the reactor. The air cycling reduces the overall level of moisture in the bottom of the reactor (Figure 9 (iii and iv), this does not result in any discernible increase in temperature in the reactor. Irrespective of the moist air:dry air ratio, the net effect of the temperature developed within the reactor is negligible with each cycling mode more or less following the standard operation. Cycling air inlet moisture levels therefore have no beneficial effect on the reactor performance.

4. Discussion

The are a number of interesting issues which are raised by the investigation and its results. From examining the temperature and moisture profiles at the inlet (which are unhindered by upstream effects, Figure 5(a)iv)) it is possible to hypothesize on the mechanisms by which the CaCl₂ SIM is being hydrated, Figure 10 (a). It is proposed that the initial peak temperature rise is a result of the hydration reaction between the salt on the surface of the SIM. Immediately after the peak, there is plateau region region where the rate of energy liberation is limited by the diffusion of the water into the core of the porous SIM particle. This diffusion rate limiting reaction results in a steady reaction rate, reduced energy liberation and lower temperature. The SIM then undergoes steady cooling as the salt reactant is spent and the SIM accumulate additional water by deliquescence. Although Figure 10(a) depicts this as four distinct zones, there may be more than 1 hydration mechanism occurring, depending on the position within the SIM and the SIM particle size. This hypothesis is reinforced by the response of the LiNO₃ which undergoes a single hydrated state transition. A peak temperature spike is initially observed as the surface of the SIM is hydrated, followed by a plateau region where diffusion controls the energy liberation rate, Figure 10(b).

From a material perspective it may be beneficial to lower the salt : vermiculite ratio and there is merit in further study at these lower salt : vermiculite ratios. This will reduce the theoretical energy storage density, but as this theoretical energy storage density is never achieved then the detriment

in real world performance may be negligible. Further improvement in performance may also be obtained by blending other salts such as MgSO₄ which benefit from hydration state changes within the temperature range and also have lower tendency to deliquesce, although they are not without other issues, [12,23,24].

The work has demonstrated that the behaviours of the $CaCl_2$ and $LiNO_3$ SIMs differ significantly between bulk material and single particle/thin layer (2-8 particles) geometries.. This has a significant impact on the potential performance of the technology . Limitations to scale arise as the area of deliquescence is typically only 60 – 100 mm into the bulk of the material from the edge. The deliquescence of the salt in the SIM means that penetration of moist air into the bulk is limited and thus has a detrimental effect on the performance of both $CaCl_2$ and $LiNO_3$ relative to an ideal performance. These laboratory findings have a direct impact on the design of a building scale energy storage system.

Building scale engineering solutions to this deliquescence include the design of a cartridge system where each section of material can be removed and replaced as the salt transitions from an energy liberating hydration stage to a energy sink deliquescence stage. Based on the findings of this study, this could be no more than 60 - 100 mm in depth. The physical layout of the reactor would also be redesigned such that there are multiple inlets to the bulk which will aid a more uniform distribution of the moist air into the SIM bulk. Modelling of reactor systems where the effective porosity of the medium changes with exposure to the air may be possible [25] and would potentially give a more rapid indication of improved designs.

This requirement for engineering complexity will impose additional cost and space penalties on any building scale storage system. The integration of the correct control measures through moisture and temperature measurement in the reactor will also need to be integrated with building BMS. Further work is on going by the authors on the development of both material and engineering solutions to the issues highlighted.

The results have an impact on reported energy densities where simple linear scaling is used to extrapolate real world energy densities from small sample laboratory results. Unless innovative reactor designs / operational solutions are developed, then it is likely that energy densities will be significantly overestimated/underachieved. Simple linear scaling from small sample laboratory measured energy densities to estimated building density capabilities are fundamentally flawed. The testing methodology developed which examines the SIM material at a packed density which is comparable to that which would be required in practice has shown itself to be a valid means of investigating potential SIM materials. It not only examines the thermodynamic potential of the material but also highlights practical issues which may be an obstacle to the implementation of the SIMs. The apparatus is currently being used to examine a variety of salt : matrix combinations, at a range of salt loadings.

5. Conclusions

A study of the potential capability of a building scale energy thermal storage system using salt hydration has been carried out. Laboratory studies carried out at high packing density found that the performance of the two SIM materials during the discharge cycle was lower than that expected and that excess deliquescence of the salt material was found to the primary reason for this under

performance. Changes in the operation of the thermal storage reactor did little to do improve performance. The findings demonstrate that simple scaling rules cannot be used to estimate storage energy densities of building installations and that there will be a need to add significant complexity to a typical building installation.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank EPSRC, Innovate UK, Welsh Government, ERDF and TATA Steel Colors for their support in this work.

Annexe 1 : Theoretical considerations for prediction of temperatures during the discharge phase.

The energy liberated by the hydration is given by :

$$E = m_s \Delta h_f \tag{A1}$$

Where m_s is the mass of the salt and the Δh_f is the enthalpy of formation difference between the final and initial hydrated state of the salt. This energy liberated raises the temperature of all the material in the reactor and the air passing through it. The total thermal mass is calculated as

$$\sum mC = m_s C_s + m_v C_v + m_r C_r + m_a C_a \tag{A2}$$

Where m and C represent mass and specific heat and the subscripts s, v, r and a refer to the salt, vermiculite, reactor body and air respectively. Vermiculite and salt masses are taken from the input material into the reactor. The reactor mass, including sensors, was calculated from dimensions and standard material properties for the relevant materials. Heat loss through natural convection from the reactor body was assumed to be zero. Although there will be some loss, this is a valid assumption as the relative indurative nature of the plastic reactor body provides insulation to the exterior atmosphere. The assumption that the entire body of the reactor is raised by the hydration reaction is almost certainly untrue and adds a compensating sink on the heat balance. Finally, experiments carried out with an flexible insulation. The expected temperature rise can then be derived from :

$$E = \Delta T \sum mC \tag{A3}$$

Using the constants from Table 1, constants from literature for the reactor body at a flow rate of 5 L/min and a air moisture level of 12.9 g/m³ it is possible to show that the predicted temperature rise is 30 °C.

References

- [1] P. Tatsidjodoung, N. Le Pierr??s, L. Luo, A review of potential materials for thermal energy storage in building applications, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 18 (2013) 327–349. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2012.10.025.
- [2] A. Shukla, D.N. Nkwetta, Y.J. Cho, V. Stevenson, P. Jones, A state of art review on the

performance of transpired solar collector, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 16 (2012) 3975–3985. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2012.02.029.

- [3] H.-Y. Chan, S.B. Riffat, J. Zhu, Review of passive solar heating and cooling technologies, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 14 (2010) 781–789. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2009.10.030.
- [4] M.A. Leon, S. Kumar, Mathematical modeling and thermal performance analysis of unglazed transpired solar collectors, Sol. Energy. 81 (2007) 62–75. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2006.06.017.
- [5] A. Sol??, X. Fontanet, C. Barreneche, I. Martorell, a. I. Fern??ndez, L.F. Cabeza, Parameters to take into account when developing a new thermochemical energy storage system, Energy Procedia. 30 (2012) 380–387. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2012.11.045.
- [6] J. Cot-Gores, A. Castell, L.F. Cabeza, Thermochemical energy storage and conversion: A-stateof-the-art review of the experimental research under practical conditions, Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 16 (2012) 5207–5224. doi:10.1016/j.rser.2012.04.007.
- [7] A.D. Pathak, S. Nedea, H. Zondag, C. Rindt, D. Smeulders, A DFT-based comparative equilibrium study of thermal dehydration and hydrolysis of CaCl ₂ hydrates and MgCl ₂ hydrates for seasonal heat storage, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. (2016). doi:10.1039/C6CP00926C.
- [8] L. Hui, N.K. Edem, L.P. Nolwenn, L. Luo, Evaluation of a seasonal storage system of solar energy for house heating using different absorption couples, Energy Convers. Manag. 52 (2011) 2427–2436. doi:10.1016/j.enconman.2010.12.049.
- [9] L.G. Gordeeva, Y.I. Aristov, Composites "salt inside porous matrix" for adsorption heat transformation: A current state-of-the-art and new trends, Int. J. Low-Carbon Technol. 7 (2012) 288–302. doi:10.1093/ijlct/cts050.
- [10] L. Gordeeva, A. Grekova, T. Krieger, Y. Aristov, Composites "binary salts in porous matrix" for adsorption heat transformation, Appl. Therm. Eng. 50 (2013) 1633–1638. doi:10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2011.07.040.
- [11] S.P. Casey, D. Aydin, S. Riffat, J. Elvins, Salt impregnated desiccant matrices for "open" thermochemical energy storage - Hygrothermal cyclic behaviour and energetic analysis by physical experimentation, Energy Build. 92 (2015) 128–139. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2015.01.048.
- [12] S.P. Casey, J. Elvins, S. Riffat, A. Robinson, Salt impregnated desiccant matrices for "open" thermochemical energy storage - Selection, synthesis and characterisation of candidate materials, Energy Build. 84 (2014) 412–425. doi:10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.08.028.
- [13] A.F. Lele, A Thermochemical Heat Storage System for Households: Thermal Transfers Coupled to Chemical Reaction Investigations, (2015). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-41228-3.
- [14] D. Zhu, H. Wu, S. Wang, Experimental study on composite silica gel supported CaCl2 sorbent for low grade heat storage, Int. J. Therm. Sci. 45 (2006) 804–813. doi:10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2005.10.009.
- [15] J. Jänchen, H. Stach, Adsorption properties of porous materials for solar thermal energy storage and heat pump applications, Energy Procedia. 30 (2012) 289–293. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2012.11.034.
- [16] RCUK, INTRESTS RCuk site.pdf, INTRESTS INterseasonal Thermochem. Renew. Energy

Storage Syst. (2013). http://gtr.rcuk.ac.uk/projects?ref=101223 (accessed April 4, 2017).

- [17] M. Molenda, J. Stengler, M. Linder, A. Wörner, Reversible hydration behavior of CaCl2 at high H2O partial pressures for thermochemical energy storage, Thermochim. Acta. 560 (2013) 76– 81. doi:10.1016/j.tca.2013.03.020.
- [18] K.E. N'Tsoukpoe, T. Schmidt, H.U. Rammelberg, B.A. Watts, W.K.L. Ruck, A systematic multistep screening of numerous salt hydrates for low temperature thermochemical energy storage, Appl. Energy. 124 (2014) 1–16. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2014.02.053.
- [19] K.E. N'Tsoukpoe, H.U. Rammelberg, A.F. Lele, K. Korhammer, B.A. Watts, T. Schmidt, et al., A review on the use of calcium chloride in applied thermal engineering, Appl. Therm. Eng. 75 (2015) 513–531. doi:10.1016/j.applthermaleng.2014.09.047.
- I. Simonova, Y. Aristov, Hydrates. or Storage O. Low Temperature Heat: Selection O. Promising Reactions and Nanotailoring O. Innovative Materials, Int. Sci. J. Altern. Energy Ecol. 10 (2007) 65–69. http://isjaee.hydrogen.ru/pdf/pdf/10-07/Simonova_65.pdf.
- [21] L.G. Gordeeva, E.N. Moroz, N.A. Rudina, Y.I. Aristov, Formation of porous vermiculite structure in the course of swelling, Russ. J. Appl. Chem. 75 (2002) 357–361. doi:10.1023/A:1016165915362.
- [22] H. Wu, S. Wang, D. Zhu, Y. Ding, Numerical analysis and evaluation of an open-type thermal storage system using composite sorbents, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 52 (2009) 5262–5265. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2009.05.016.
- [23] R.J. Sutton, J. Elvins, S. Casey, E. Jewell, J.R. Searle, The Optimisation of Salt Impregnated Matrices as Potential Thermochemical Storage Materials ., ICNTREE 2015 17th Int. Conf. Nucl. Therm. Renew. Energy Eng. (2015).
- [24] V.M. van Essen, H. a. Zondag, J.C. Gores, L.P.J. Bleijendaal, M. Bakker, R. Schuitema, et al., Characterization of MgSO4 Hydrate for Thermochemical Seasonal Heat Storage, J. Sol. Energy Eng. 131 (2009) 41014. doi:10.1115/1.4000275.
- [25] F. Tariku, K. Kumaran, P. Fazio, Transient model for coupled heat, air and moisture transfer through multilayered porous media, Int. J. Heat Mass Transf. 53 (2010) 3035–3044. doi:10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2010.03.024.



(c) 2:1 CaCl2: Vermiculite SIM as bulk mixture(d) 3:1 CaCl2: Vermiculite SIM as bulk mixture.Figure 1 : Images of the CaCl2 SIM material as prepared at (a) 2:1 Salt : vermiculite ratios used and (b)3:1 salt : vermiculite ratio.



Figure 2 : EDX analysis of cross sections at the centre and edge of an individual SIM particle.



Figure 3 : The final hydrated state attained for the 3 SIMs under diffusion moisture conditions for 4 humidity levels for (a); CaCl₂, and (b) LiNO₃. The solid line indicates the maximum hydrated state available before salt deliquescence.



Figure 4: Schematic of the experimental apparatus developed to investigate parameters representative of a typical thermal storage system.







Figure 7 : Temperature profiles for the $CaCl_2$ and $LiNO_3$ in a small (125 g) and large (X g) reactor at a position (1) bottom and (3) top of the SIM mixture in the reactor





Figure 8 : Temperature and moisture profiles through the reactor for 2 orientations (0 degrees – entry at bottom and 180 degrees – entry from top) of the reactor for the small reactor $CaCl_2$ material at 5 lpm.



Figure 9 : The impact of moist air / dry air cycling at a switching interval of 2 hours on the temperature rise and air moisture level in the reactor. Each curve represents the proportion of wet : dry time during each cycle within a 2 hour cycle.



Table 1: Relative merits of the salts investigated in matrix form used in investigation.

	CaCl2	LiNO3
Hydration states (Assuming dehydration @	.2H ₂ O to . 4H ₂ O	LiNO₃ to
>60°C)	.4H ₂ O to . 6H ₂ O	$LiNO_3.3H_2O$
Potential heat of hydration, (0 – 60°C) KJ/mole	1204	757
Solubility (g/100g of H_2O) @ 20°C	74.5	52.2
Approximate relative cost £/Kg	1	10
Mass : Vermiculite ratio @ 50% volume	2	1.9
Mass of H ₂ O required for 1g salt to fully hydrate	0.494	0.61
Theoretical energy Density of SIM (GJ/m ³)	1.30	1.65

Table 2 : Mean temperature rise over a 60 period for both SIM materials in a 125g and 700 g reactor

	CaCl ₂		LiNO ₃	
ΔT °C	125 g reactor	700 g reactor	125 g reactor	700 g reactor
Exit	5.2	6.4	3.2	1.2
3 – Upper reactor	8.3	7.8	5.3	2.4
2 – Mid reactor	9.2	7.8	5.4	5.2
1 – Reactor bottom	6.5	7.5	4.7	5.9