

LONDON
SCHOOL of
HYGIENE
& TROPICAL
MEDICINE



South, A; Hanley, B; Gafos, M; Cromarty, B; Stephens, R; Sturgeon, K; Scott, K; Cragg, WJ; Tweed, CD; Teera, J; Vale, CL (2016) Erratum to: 'Models and impact of patient and public involvement in studies carried out by the Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit at University College London: findings from ten case studies'. BioMed Central.

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/4645778/>

DOI: [10.1186/s13063-016-1567-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-016-1567-y)

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/>

ERRATUM

Open Access



Erratum to: 'Models and impact of patient and public involvement in studies carried out by the Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit at University College London: findings from ten case studies'

Annabelle South^{1*}, Bec Hanley¹, Mitzy Gafos¹, Ben Cromarty^{1,2}, Richard Stephens^{1,3}, Kate Sturgeon¹, Karen Scott¹, William J. Cragg¹, Conor D. Tweed¹, Jacqueline Teera¹ and Claire L. Vale¹

Erratum

Unfortunately, the original version of this article [1] contained an error. Box 1 was omitted from the HTML version however the PDF version is fine and Box 1 can be seen there. To correct this we have included Box 1 below and will also be doing an update.

* Correspondence: A.south@ucl.ac.uk

¹Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, Aviation House, 125 Kingsway, London WC2B 6NH, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Box 1 Lessons learnt: the views of researchers and patient representatives

Who to involve:

- Enthusiasm for research was considered an essential characteristic for patient representatives. There was less agreement over what other skills or attributes were essential.
- Patient representatives who had scientific backgrounds (in a variety of different areas of science) felt that helped them to adapt to the language and processes of medical research
- Trial participants can provide unique insights because they are going through the trial. This may be very valuable.
- Some patient and community organisations can help to match interested people with research with researchers, based on their relevant skills and experience and the focus of the research.

Providing support:

- Examples of support provided by researchers, that PPI representatives found to be useful include (but are not limited to):
 - Taking time to explain things to patient representatives
 - Helping patient representatives to prepare for meetings
 - Organising visits to trial sites to see how the trial runs
 - Providing a glossary of terms (including study-specific ones) that may be used.
 - Remembering that patient representatives may be personally affected by discussions.
 - Helping PPI representatives develop a better understanding of statistical concepts, especially at the analysis stage.
- Existing patient groups and community organisations can act as a vital bridge between researchers and patient and/or community representatives
- Building on existing community structures (such as community groups, work places or traditional leadership groups) rather than trying to create new ones can help to encourage community engagement (see MDP interview notes for more details)
- Having more than one PPI representative on a group or committee is important because:
 - They can help to support each other
 - It can help with the continuity of involvement, in particular where the study takes place over a prolonged period of time, or where there may be high turnover of patient representatives
 - It can help with diversity of involvement, in particular where there is considerable diversity in the population affected.

Types of involvement

- Integrating PPI at a cross-study or Unit level (e.g. Protocol Review Committee, or a panel of patient/community representatives to advise on several studies in a disease area) may facilitate PPI in the development and early phases of a study.
- It can be helpful to involve people who are already well known and have strong links to, patient groups/the community, particularly for involvement in more formal trial committees.
- Involving patients on data monitoring committees can be helpful, especially where side effects are an issue, as they can give a patient's perspective on the balance between risks and benefits.
- Using a mix of PPI models, i.e. having different types of involvement at different stages of a trial and with different groups and individuals, may enhance the potential impacts of PPI.

Author details

¹Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit at UCL, Aviation House, 125 Kingsway, London WC2B 6NH, UK. ²North Yorkshire AIDS Action, 20 St Saviourgate, York YO1 8NN, UK. ³National Cancer Research Institute Consumer Forum, Angel Building, 407 St John Street, London EC1V 4AD, UK.

Received: 23 August 2016 Accepted: 23 August 2016

Published online: 07 September 2016

Reference

1. South A, Hanley B, Gafos M, Cromarty B, Stephens R, Sturgeon K, Scott K, Cragg WJ, Tweed CD, Teera J, Vale CL. Models and impact of patient and public involvement in studies carried out by the Medical Research Council Clinical Trials Unit at University College London: findings from ten case studies. *Trials*. 2016;17:376.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

