



Ong, JJ; Li, H; Dan, W; Fu, H; Liu, E; Ma, W; Kang, D; Liao, M; Marley, G; Wei, C; Tang, W; Pan, S; Liu, C; Desmond, N; Yang, B; Yang, L; Huang, S; Tucker, JD (2017) Coercion And Hiv Self-Testing In Men Who Have Sex With Men: Implementation Data From A Cross-Sectional Survey In China. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency syndromes (1999)*. ISSN 1525-4135 DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0000000000001583>

Downloaded from: <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/4645528/>

DOI: [10.1097/QAI.0000000000001583](https://doi.org/10.1097/QAI.0000000000001583)

Usage Guidelines

Please refer to usage guidelines at <http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html> or alternatively contact researchonline@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

1 **Coercion and HIV Self-Testing in Men Who Have Sex with Men: Implementation Data**
2 **from a Cross-Sectional Survey in China**

3

4 Jason J. Ong PhD^{1-3*}, Haochu Li PhD^{4*}, Wu Dan PhD², Hongyun Fu PhD^{2,10}, Ewen Liu⁵,
5 Wei Ma PhD⁴, Dianmin Kang MD⁶, Meizhen Liao PhD⁶, Gifty Marley MMed⁴, Chongyi
6 Wei DrPH⁷, Weiming Tang PhD^{2,5,8}, Stephen Pan PhD^{2,5}, Chuncheng Liu BA², Nicola
7 Desmond PhD⁹, Bin Yang MD^{2,8}, Ligang Yang MD⁸, Shujie Huang MS^{2,8}, Joseph D.
8 Tucker PhD^{2,5§}

9

10 * Equal first authors

11

12 ¹ Department of Global Health and Development, London School of Hygiene and Tropical
13 Medicine, London, United Kingdom

14 ² Social Entrepreneurship for Sexual Health (SESH) Global, Guangdong, China

15 ³ Central Clinical School, Monash University, Victoria, Australia.

16 ⁴ School of Public Health, Shandong University, Jinan, China

17 ⁵ University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

18 ⁶ Shandon Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, Jinan, China

19 ⁷ School of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, USA

20 ⁸ Guangdong provincial centre for skin diseases and STI control, Guangdong, China

21 ⁹ Department of International Public Health, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine,
22 Liverpool, United Kingdom

23 ¹⁰ Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, USA

24

25 § Corresponding author: Joseph D. Tucker

26 University of North Carolina Chapel Hill Project-China

27 Number 2 Lujing Road, Guangzhou, China, 510095

28 Email: jdtucker@med.unc.edu

29 Tel: +86 13560294997

30

31 **Running head:** HIV test coercion in Chinese MSM

32

33 **Conflicts of interest and sources of funding**

34 All authors declare there are no conflicts of interest. Funding has been received from the
35 National Institutes of Health (NIAID 1R01AI114310-01), UNC-South China STD Research
36 Training Center (FIC 1D43TW009532-01), UNC Center for AIDS Research (NIAID
37 5P30AI050410), UCSF Center for AIDS Research (NIAID P30 AI027763), National
38 Institute of Mental Health (R00MH093201), UJMT Fogarty Fellowship (FIC R25TW0093),
39 Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (APP1104781) and SESH Global
40 (www.seshglobal.org). Administrative assistance from the Guangdong Provincial Center for
41 Skin Diseases and STI Control. UNC Chapel Hill, and UNC Project-China in Guangzhou,
42 China.

43

44

45

46

47

48 **INTRODUCTION**

49 HIV self-testing (HIVST) scale up may help achieve the first 90 within the UNAIDS 90-90-
50 90 targets.¹ HIVST is defined as a process in which a person collects his/her own specimen
51 (oral fluid or blood) and then performs a test and interprets the result, often in a private
52 setting, either alone or with someone he or she trusts.² New World Health Organization
53 (WHO) guidelines supporting HIVST have provided momentum for self-testing.²

54
55 Although HIVST increases agency about when, where, and with whom to test,^{3,4} one
56 unintended consequence may be an increase in coercive HIV testing. We define coercion as
57 being *forced* to test. This may be through physical means (with actual violence or threat of
58 violence) or could involve threats to take away something if the person does not do the test
59 (e.g. losing their job, breaking up a relationship, not having sex). The WHO and others state
60 that HIV testing must be voluntary.^{2,5} However, cases of coerced testing have been observed
61 among women forced by their employers (both in sex work and non-sex work settings),^{3,6}
62 detained individuals (prisoners, drug users, sex workers) forced by institutions,^{7,8} and young
63 people forced by their sex partners.³ In China, there is an emphasis on public health responses
64 focused on expanding key population HIV testing and a history of compulsory HIV testing
65 among several subpopulations.⁹ For example, in 1995, a Chinese law required premarital HIV
66 testing,¹⁰ and sex workers and drug users often receive compulsory testing in detention
67 settings.^{7,9,11}

68
69 In recent years, China has rapidly scaled up HIVST, partly driven by a thriving online self-
70 test kit market¹². Surveys of men who have sex with men (MSM) report that approximately a
71 third have already used HIV self-testing¹³. In a setting where HIV testing has become more
72 decentralized, it is unknown if coercion may be occurring. We aimed to examine the
73 prevalence and correlates of coerced HIV testing amongst MSM in China.

74
75 **METHODS**

76 From July to August 2016, an online, cross-sectional study among Chinese MSM was
77 conducted. At the time of recruitment, these men were living in one of eight cities in
78 Guangdong Province (Guangzhou, Jiangmen, Zhuhai, Shenzhen) or Shandong Province
79 (Yantai, Jinan, Qingdao, Jining). Advertisements were distributed through Blued (Blue
80 Brother, Beijing, China), a social networking mobile phone application for MSM, used by

81 approximately 40 million users. Inclusion criteria were men born biologically male, aged \geq
82 16 years, who had ever had sex with another man, and had ever tested for HIV.

83

84 Demographic variables included their age, education level, marital status, annual income and
85 household residency status. Sexual history included their sexual orientation, disclosure of
86 sexuality or sexual history with men other than regular partner, disclosure of sexuality or
87 sexual history to health providers, where they usually met their sexual partners, consistency
88 of condom use for anal sex in the preceding three months, any casual male partner(s) in the
89 preceding three months. The level of community engagement in sexual health was defined
90 through six questions.¹⁴

91

92 HIV testing behaviours included whether past testing was through facility and/or HIVST kits,
93 whether the HIVST kit was provided by someone else, and whether other people were
94 present during their last HIVST. Men who experienced HIV test coercion were identified
95 from the questions: “Did someone else (partner, boss, friend, or others) force you to take an
96 HIV test (facility based test?)” and “Did someone else (partner, boss, friend, or other) force
97 you to take an HIV self-test?”.

98

99 Descriptive analysis was conducted to summarize the demographic, behavioural, and HIV
100 testing experience. χ -squared tests were used to test for statistically significant differences
101 ($p < 0.05$) in reporting of HIV test coercion between men who reported using HIVST and
102 those who have not used HIVST. Bivariable and multivariable logistic regression were
103 conducted to explore factors associated with reported HIV test coercion. Each multivariable
104 model was built using results from a literature search and expert consensus from
105 collaborators to select potential confounders. Model adjustment controlled for confounding
106 by variables identified through directed acyclic graphs.¹⁵ Each variable was examined
107 independently in separate regression models, adjusted for age, education, annual income and
108 household registration status. All analyses were conducted using STATA software
109 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

110

111 Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics review committees at the Guangdong
112 Provincial Centre for Skin Diseases and STI Control, the University of North Carolina at
113 Chapel Hill, and the University of California, San Francisco.

114

115 **RESULTS**

116 One thousand three hundred and twelve MSM reported having ever tested for HIV.
117 Respondents were young (mean age 26.9 ± 6.3), and about two-thirds (69%) had an above
118 high school level education. The majority (76%) self-identified as gay and a third (31%)
119 reported condomless anal sex in the last 3 months.

120

121 The majority had ever tested in a facility (86%, $n=1,125$). About half had ever self-tested
122 (52%, $n=685$), and about a third had used both facility-based testing and HIVST (38%,
123 $n=498$). A third of those who used HIVST, reported receiving HIVST kits from other people
124 (35%, $243/685$). During the last HIVST conducted, 66% ($455/685$) were alone, 24%
125 ($162/685$) had a partner present, 9% ($65/685$) had a friend present and 1% ($4/685$) had a
126 family member present.

127

128 Overall, 64 men (5%) reported ever experiencing HIV test coercion: 8% ($52/685$) in men who
129 had used HIVST compared to 2% ($12/627$) for men who had not used HIVST ($p<0.001$).

130

131 Bivariable and multivariable logistic regression results are presented in Table 1. In summary,
132 men who reported HIV test coercion were more likely to have used HIVST (adjusted odds
133 ratio(AOR) 4.25 (95% confidence interval (CI):2.23-8.09), received a HIVST kit from
134 another person (AOR 3.47, 95% CI:1.90-6.32), primarily met sexual partners through
135 parks/public restrooms/public lawns (AOR 3.45, 95% CI:1.09-10.95), and reported
136 condomless sex in the last three months (AOR 2.38, 95% CI:1.43-3.98).

137

138 **DISCUSSION**

139 Our study suggests that HIVST may be associated with coercion among Chinese MSM. This
140 is consistent with qualitative studies on self-testing¹⁶, but to our knowledge has not been
141 described in quantitative research. The relationship between coercion and HIV self-testing
142 may be influenced by China's relatively permissive regulatory environment^{4,17}, few
143 formalized resources for self-testing, and underlying social contexts such as power
144 imbalances. Our findings underscore the importance for policies to be in place to monitor for
145 potential harms of HIV self-testing. Especially in settings where power imbalances may exist
146 among those seeking HIV testing, there is a risk of overriding the human rights of vulnerable
147 populations who may not report that they are being coerced⁷.

148

149 We also found that MSM with more condomless sex were more likely to experience coerced
150 HIV testing. This is the first report of this finding within the current literature on HIV test
151 coercion in MSM. One hypothesis to explain our findings may be that men force high-risk
152 sex partners to receive HIV testing, sometimes called “point-of-sex” testing. This trend has
153 been reported predominantly amongst MSM in the US.¹⁸⁻²⁰ MSM may use point-of-sex
154 testing as a risk reduction technique to screen sexual partners before sex, despite its limitation
155 related to the window period. MSM using point-of-sex testing reported a high yield of HIV
156 positive results (~10%) and high percentage of partners who were not aware that they were
157 HIV positive (~60%).¹⁹ Although there is enthusiasm for utilizing mutual partner testing to
158 increase awareness of risk and decrease condomless sex between discordant partners,²¹ future
159 studies on examining point-of-sex testing should also include measurements of the potential
160 harms of test coercion.

161

162 The study should be interpreted in light of some limitations. This was a quantitative study of
163 men reporting coercion, and further qualitative studies are needed to expand on the contexts
164 of coercion. Power relationships are not dichotomous and there may be a spectrum of agency
165 for choosing to test or not to test. Understanding power differentials is important as it may
166 impact on the recognition of what constitutes coercion. MSM living in China are a hidden
167 population, and we tried to maximize representativeness by sampling from multiple locations
168 and utilizing an anonymous online survey. However, these findings from an online sample of
169 MSM are unlikely to be representative of all MSM in China as men we sampled are younger
170 and better educated. Nevertheless, it indicates that a substantial number of young MSM in
171 China have used HIV self-test kits and highlights the possibility of HIV test coercion
172 amongst this subgroup of MSM who use gay social networking apps.

173

174 As countries continue to scale up HIV testing, including increasing access to HIVST, our
175 findings suggest that coercion may be occurring among some MSM. Policies should be in
176 place to monitor and measure for potential harms associated with HIV testing. Targeted
177 messaging in programs promoting HIV testing should emphasize that every HIV test should
178 be voluntary²². Future research should include more representative samples and an
179 assessment of the contexts that characterize coerced HIVST, in order to inform interventions
180 to prevent it.

181

182 **Competing interests**

183 All authors declare they do not have any competing interests.

184

185 **Acknowledgement**

186 We thank all men who participated in the study. We would like to thank Southern Medical

187 University and the SESH team for administrative assistance.

188

189 **Authors' contributions**

190 JDT, HL, and CL contributed to the conception and design of the study. CW, BY provided

191 oversight for data collection, and WM, DK, ML, GM, LY, and SH assisted in the data

192 collection. EL assisted with the literature search. JJO analysed the data and drafted the paper.

193 All authors revised the manuscript and approved the final version to be published.

194

195 **Funding**

196 National Institutes of Health (NIAID 1R01AI114310-01), UNC-South China STD Research

197 Training Center (FIC 1D43TW009532-01), UNC Center for AIDS Research (NIAID

198 5P30AI050410), UCSF Center for AIDS Research (NIAID P30 AI027763), NIMH

199 (R00MH093201), UJMT Fogarty Fellowship (FIC R25TW0093), Australian National Health

200 and Medical Research Council (APP1104781) and SESH Global (www.seshglobal.org).

201 Administrative assistance from the Guangdong Provincial Center for Skin Diseases and STI

202 Control. UNC Chapel Hill, and UNC Project-China in Guangzhou, China.

203

204 **REFERENCES:**

- 205 1. Barnhart S. PEPFAR: is 90-90-90 magical thinking? *Lancet*. 2016;387(10022):943-944.
206 2. World Health Organization. Guidelines on HIV self-testing and partner notification.
207 December 2016.
208 <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/251655/1/9789241549868-eng.pdf?ua=1>.
209 Accessed 16th March 2017.
- 210 3. Figueroa C, Johnson C, Verster A, Baggaley R. Attitudes and Acceptability on HIV Self-testing
211 Among Key Populations: A Literature Review. *AIDS and Behavior*. 2015;19(11):1949-1965.
212 4. Tao J, Li M-y, Qian H-Z, et al. Home-Based HIV Testing for Men Who Have Sex with Men in
213 China: A Novel Community-Based Partnership to Complement Government Programs. *PLOS*
214 *ONE*. 2014;9(7):e102812.
- 215 5. Bernard M. Branson HHH, Margaret A. Lampe, Rober S. Janssen, Allan W. Taylor, Sheryl B.
216 Lyss, Jill E. Clark. Revised Recommendations for HIV Testing of Adults, Adolescents, and
217 Pregnant Women in Health-Care Settings. 2006.
- 218 6. Paxton S, Gonzales G, Uppakaew K, et al. AIDS-related discrimination in Asia. *AIDS care*.
219 2005;17(4):413-424.
- 220 7. Cohen JE, Amon JJ. Health and Human Rights Concerns of Drug Users in Detention in
221 Guangxi Province, China. *PLoS medicine*. 2008;5(12):e234.
- 222 8. Bell E, Mthembu P, O'Sullivan S, Moody K. Sexual and Reproductive Health Services and HIV
223 Testing: Perspectives and Experiences of Women and Men Living with HIV and AIDS.
224 *Reproductive Health Matters*. 2007;15(29, Supplement):113-135.
- 225 9. Wu Z, Sullivan SG, Wang Y, Rotheram-Borus MJ, Detels R. Evolution of China's response to
226 HIV/AIDS. *The Lancet*. 369(9562):679-690.
- 227 10. Wu Z, Rou K, Cui H. The HIV/AIDS Epidemic in China: History, Current Strategies and Future
228 Challenges. *AIDS Education and Prevention*. 2004;16(supplement_a):7-17.
- 229 11. Wu Z. Arguments in favour of compulsory treatment of opioid dependence. *Bull World*
230 *Health Organ*. 2013;91(2):142-145.
- 231 12. Liu F, Han L, Tang W, et al. Availability and Quality of Online HIV Self-Test Kits in China and
232 the United States. CROI; 2015; Seattle.
- 233 13. Qin Y, Liu F, Tang W, et al. HIV self-testing among high-risk men who have sex with men in
234 China: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet*. 2016;388 Suppl 1:S76.
- 235 14. Group SS. Community engagement in sexual health and uptake of HIV testing and syphilis
236 testing among MSM in China: a cross-sectional online survey. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2017;20(1):1-10.
- 237 15. Greenland S, Robins JM, Pearl J. Confounding and collapsibility in causal inference. *Statistical*
238 *Science*. 1999:29-46.
- 239 16. Qin Y. The social context of HIV self-testing: a global qualitative evidence synthesis. 21st
240 International AIDS Conference; 2016; Durban, South Africa.
- 241 17. Han L, Bien CH, Wei C, et al. HIV self-testing among online MSM in China: implications for
242 expanding HIV testing among key populations. *Journal of acquired immune deficiency*
243 *syndromes*. 2014;67(2):216-221.
- 244 18. Katz D, Golden M, Stekler J. Point of sex testing: Intentions of men who have sex with men
245 to use home-use HIV tests with sex partners. Paper presented at: 2012 National Summit on
246 HIV and Viral Hepatitis Diagnosis, Prevention and Access to Care. Washington, DC.
- 247 19. Carballo-Diequez A, Frasca T, Balan I, Ibitoye M, Dolezal C. Use of a rapid HIV home test
248 prevents HIV exposure in a high risk sample of men who have sex with men. *AIDS and*
249 *behavior*. 2012;16(7):1753-1760.
- 250 20. Carballo-Diequez A, Frasca T, Dolezal C, Balan I. Will gay and bisexually active men at high
251 risk of infection use over-the-counter rapid HIV tests to screen sexual partners? *Journal of*
252 *sex research*. 2012;49(4):379-387.

253 21. Wood BR, Ballenger C, Stekler JD. Arguments for and against HIV self-testing. *HIV AIDS*
254 (*Auckl*). 2014;6:117-126.
255 22. UNAIDS. A short technical update on self-testing for HIV. 2013.
256 [http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/JC2603_self-
258 testing_en_0.pdf](http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/JC2603_self-
257 testing_en_0.pdf). Accessed 28th September 2017.

259

260 **Table 1 – Factors associated with ever experiencing HIV test coercion in men who have sex with men in**
 261 **China, 2016 (N=1,312)**

Variable	Crude odds ratio	p value	Adjusted odds ratio*	p value
Demographics				
<i>Marital status</i>				
- Never married	1		1	
- Engaged or married	1.99 (1.01-3.93)	0.05	2.03 (0.90-4.58)	0.09
- Divorced or widowed	0.54 (0.13-2.25)	0.39	0.54 (0.12-2.53)	0.44
Sexual History				
<i>Sexual orientation</i>				
- non-gay	1		1	
- gay	0.72 (0.41-1.25)	0.24	0.70 (0.96-1.04)	0.21
<i>Disclosure of sexuality or sexual history with men (other than regular partner)</i>				
- No disclosure	1		1	
- Disclosure	1.25 (0.69-2.26)	0.46	1.26 (0.69-2.30)	0.46
<i>Disclosure of sexuality or sexual history with health providers</i>				
- No disclosure	1		1	
- Disclosure	1.07 (0.60-1.92)	0.82	1.09 (0.60-1.96)	0.79
<i>Sexual partners in last 12 months mainly from</i>				
- social media/website	1		1	
- friends	0.88 (0.37-2.09)	0.77	0.78 (0.32-1.88)	0.58
- pub, disco, club	2.23 (0.77-6.45)	0.14	1.90 (0.63-5.71)	0.25
- spa, bath house, sauna	3.13 (1.07-9.15)	0.04	2.94 (0.95-9.06)	0.06
- park, public restroom, lawn	4.17 (1.40-12.38)	0.01	3.45 (1.09-10.95)	0.04
- other	0.82 (0.20-3.47)	0.79	0.65 (0.15-2.81)	0.57
- unknown	0.27 (0.08-0.89)	0.03	0.33 (0.10-1.08)	0.07
<i>Condomless sex in last 3 months</i>	2.14 (1.18-3.88)	0.01	2.38 (1.43-3.98)	<0.001
<i>Casual partner in last 3 months</i>	1.64 (0.99-2.72)	0.06	1.65 (0.98-2.76)	0.06
<i>Community engagement in sexual health</i>				
- No engagement	1		1	
- Minimal engagement	2.29 (0.57-9.10)	0.24	2.07 (0.51-8.29)	0.31
- Moderate engagement	1.10 (0.33-3.74)	0.88	1.01 (0.29-3.45)	0.99
- Substantial engagement	2.65 (0.79-8.86)	0.11	2.38 (0.71-8.04)	0.16
HIV testing behaviour				
<i>Ever used HIV facility testing</i>				
- No facility HIV test	1		1	
- Facility HIV test	0.71 (0.37-1.35)		0.68 (0.35-1.32)	0.26
<i>Ever used HIVST</i>				
- No HIVST	1		1	
- HIVST	4.23 (2.24-8.00)	<0.001	4.25 (2.23-8.09)	<0.001
<i>Received HIVST kit from other people[#]</i>	3.50 (1.94-6.30)	<0.001	3.47 (1.90-6.32)	<0.001
<i>Partner present at last HIVST[#]</i>	1.21 (0.64-2.29)	0.56	1.14 (0.60-2.19)	0.69
<i>Friend present at last HIVST[#]</i>	1.54 (0.67-3.58)	0.31	1.48 (0.63-3.47)	0.37

262 HIVST = HIV self-test; *Adjusted for age, income, education, household residency status; [#] for 685 men who had HIV self-
 263 tested

264