1	Update on the effects of physical activity on insulin sensitivity in humans
2	
3	Stephen R Bird <sup>a</sup> and John A Hawley <sup>b,c</sup>
4	
5 6	<sup>a</sup> School of Health and Biomedical Sciences, RMIT University, Bundoora, Melbourne, Vic 3083, Australia
7 8	<sup>b</sup> Mary MacKillop Institute for Health Research, Centre for Exercise and Nutrition, Australian Catholic University, Melbourne, Victoria 3065, Australia;
9 10	° Research Institute for Sport and Exercise Sciences, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK
11	
12	Corresponding author: Professor Stephen Bird
13	Email: Stephen.bird@rmit.edu.au
14	
15	Word count: 8,758
16	Three Tables
17	
18	Key words: Exercise, Physical Activity, Insulin Sensitivity (SI), Diabetes
19	
20	

# Update on the effects of physical activity on insulin sensitivity in humans

## 22 Abstract

Purpose and Methods: This review presents established knowledge on the effects of
physical activity on whole-body insulin sensitivity (SI) and summarises the findings of recent
(2013 - 2016) studies.

Discussion and Conclusions: Recent studies provide further evidence to support the notion 26 27 that regular physical activity reduces the risk of insulin resistance, metabolic syndrome and 28 type 2 diabetes, and SI improves when individuals comply with exercise and/or physical activity guidelines. Many studies indicate a dose response, with higher energy expenditures 29 and higher exercise intensities, including high intensity interval training, producing greater 30 31 benefits on whole-body SI, although these findings are not unanimous. Aerobic exercise interventions can improve SI without an associated increase in cardiorespiratory fitness as 32 measured by maximal or peak oxygen consumption. Both aerobic and resistance exercise 33 can induce improvements in glycaemic regulation, with some suggestions that exercise 34 35 regimens including both may be more efficacious than either exercise mode alone. Some studies report exercise-induced benefits to SI that are independent of habitual diet and 36 weight loss, whilst others indicate an association with fat reduction, hence the debate over 37 the relative importance of physical activity and weight loss continues. During exercise, 38 39 muscle contraction stimulated improvements in SI are associated with increases in AMPK 40 activity, which deactivates TCB1D1, promoting GLUT4 translocation to the cell membrane 41 and thereby increasing glucose uptake. Post-exercise, increases in Akt deactivate TCB1D4 and thereby increase GLUT4 translocation to the cell membrane. The reduction in 42 43 intramuscular saturated fatty acids (FA) and concomitant reductions in ceramides, but not 44 diacylglycerols (DAGs), provide a potential link between intramuscular lipid content and SI. Increased skeletal muscle capillarisation provides another independent adaptation through 45 46 which SI is improved, as does enhanced beta cell activity. Recent studies are combining

- 47 exercise interventions with dietary and feeding manipulations to investigate the potential for
- 48 augmenting the exercise induced improvements in SI and glycaemic control.
- 49 Key words: Exercise, Physical Activity, Insulin Sensitivity (SI), Diabetes

#### 50 Introduction

51 Individuals with poor insulin sensitivity (SI) are characterized by impaired insulin action on whole-body glucose uptake. This results in elevated blood [glucose], impaired glycaemic 52 control, a risk of pancreatic beta cell failure and the development of type 2 diabetes (T2D). In 53 developed countries the prevalence of this pre-diabetic state is currently reported to be 15 -54 55 20%.[1] Furthermore, it is estimated that 366 million people, ~8% of the population are affected by diabetes wordwide, [2] hence strategies for the treatment of the prediabetic state, 56 its prevention and preventing progression from prediabetes to T2D are an imperative. Key 57 amongst these is the inclusion of physical activity into a healthy lifestyle, and current 58 research in this field continues to seek to understand the behavioural and molecular aspects 59 of exercise in preventing diabetes and poor SI, with the intent to identify efficacious exercise 60 interventions. 61

The comparison of results between research studies into the effects of a physically active 62 lifestyle and/or exercise on insulin sensitivity and glycaemic control are problematic due to 63 64 differences in the methods of assessment of outcome variables. Whilst the precise protocols vary, the general methods for assessing insulin sensitivity/glycaemic control include: (i) 65 measuring fasting insulin concentrations, with elevated fasted [insulin] >25mIU/L indicating 66 poor insulin sensitivity, as the pancreas endeavours to compensate for the lack of peripheral 67 insulin sensitivity by secreting greater amounts of insulin, thereby resulting in 68 69 hyperinsulinaemia; (ii) Oral Glucose Tolerance Testing (OGTT), which involves the ingestion of a standard glucose bolus (75 g), followed by blood glucose monitoring for the subsequent 70 2 hours. Blood glucose concentrations of >7.8 and <11.0 mmol/L at 2 hours are indicative of 71 impaired glycaemic control, and >11.0 mmol/L indicates diabetes; (iii) Hyperinsulinaemic 72 euglycaemic clamp, in which the participant is infused with insulin at a known rate, creating a 73 hyperinsulinaemic state (~100 µU/ml), while simultaneously blood glucose levels are 74 monitored and adjusted by a variable-rate infusion to maintain glycemia (5.0 – 5.5 mmol/L). 75

A high rate of glucose infusion indicates insulin sensitivity as the glucose is being rapidly 76 taken up by the cells of the body, whilst a low rate of glucose infusion indicates a loss of 77 78 insulin sensitivity, as the glucose is remaining in the blood rather than being taken up by the 79 cells of the body;[3] (iv) Hyperglycaemic clamp, in which plasma glucose levels are initially 80 increased to ~125mg/dl above basal values and then maintained at this hyperglycaemic 81 level, through the infusion of glucose. High infusion rates indicated good insulin sensitivity, 82 whilst low infusion rates indicate insulin resistance. [3]; (vi) Homeostatic Model Assessment 83 of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), which uses fasting [glucose] and [insulin], and is calculated as (glucose mmol/L x insulin)/ 22.5, with a relatively low score indicating well 84 85 regulated fasting glucose that is being maintained through relatively low concentrations of 86 insulin, hence good insulin sensitivity, whereas an elevated HOMA-IR value, such as >2.5 indicates insulin resistance. In the updated homeostatic model, HOMA2-IR, values >1.5 87 suggest insulin resistance; (vii) HOMA-β is a measure of beta-cell function derived from 88 fasting values using the equation (20 x Insulin)/(Glucose mmol/L - 3.5) %. With this measure 89 90 indicating the extent to which a deficient beta-cell function, as opposed to insulin resistance, 91 contributes to hyperglycaemia in the fasting state; (viii) Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index (QUICKI), which is an index of insulin sensitivity, calculated as QUICKI = 1/(log 92 (fasting plasma insulin  $\mu$ U/ml) + log (fasting blood glucose mg/dL)). [4] 93

Regardless of the methods used to assess insulin sensitivity/glycaemic control, a lifestyle 94 incorporating regular physical activity has been identified as a key factor for maintaining and 95 improving many aspects of health, including insulin sensitivity.[5, 6] In this context, the term 96 97 physical activity covers all forms of muscular movement, including that associated with strenuous physical work, active transport (walking and cycling), household tasks (cleaning 98 and gardening), incidental physical activity which occurs when undertaking other tasks, sport 99 and other active leisure pursuits. Whereas the term 'exercise' refers specifically to the 100 context of physical activity that is undertaken with the specific intent of improving health 101 102 and/or fitness and is therefore a subset of physical activity. Hence many cross-sectional

studies investigate physical activity levels as well as specific exercise habits, but
interventions tend to involve exercise, as they have the specific intent of affecting an aspect
of health.

106 Cross-sectional studies identify an association between regular physical activity and/or 107 aerobic fitness and superior SI.[5, 6] Adding further support to this association, studies 108 involving exercise interventions usually report an amelioration or in some cases, complete 109 reversal of insulin resistance.[7, 8] Assessments of the impact of a physically active lifestyle 110 suggest a dose response with each 500 kcal/wk increase in physical activity, reducing the 111 risk of type 2 diabetes by ~9%. [9]

Physical activity has both immediate (acute) and longer term effects on insulin sensitivity. 112 The immediate effects are the direct result of a single exercise bout and may be evident 113 114 during and/or for up to 72 hours post exercise. If repeated regularly these bouts produce 115 additional long term chronic improvements to SI, thereby providing superior baseline glycaemic control compared to that typically seen in less active individuals. In this healthy, 116 physically active, 'trained' condition, the effects of individual exercise bouts may then 117 produce further acute responses from this already elevated SI state and thereby promote 118 optimal SI and glycaemic control. Some key issues around physical activity that are 119 120 considered in recent literature include: the effects of manipulating the mode of exercise; the influence of exercise intensity and exercise duration; the potential benefits of high intensity 121 122 interval training; and the relative effects of the aforementioned on groups of different ages and at different levels of impaired SI. Other innovative strategies that have received recent 123 attention include assessing whether the impact of exercise on SI is affected by whether it is 124 undertaken in a fed or fasted state, and whether a short exercise bout (exercise snack) 125 performed before meals is beneficial. 126

127 The purpose of this review is to provide an overview of the topic for those new to it and an 128 update of recent developments for the established researcher.

#### 130 Methods

A literature search was undertaken using PubMed in in November 2015, using search terms 131 132 'Exercise' OR 'Physical activity', AND 'Insulin sensitivity'. This resulted in 10,185 articles, which were then limited to clinical trials (n = 1,672 articles), filtered using the terms 'Human' 133 and limited to English Language publications (n = 1,371). Reviews and key articles 134 135 published in English since 2000 were used to present established knowledge and set the 136 background context, whilst to identify recent updates the search was reduced to articles published after 2012: this resulted in 394 articles. The abstracts of these articles were then 137 evaluated and studies included if the main focus was an exercise intervention or cross 138 sectional study of physical activity habits and not confounded by the inclusion of other 139 140 interventions, such as drugs or diseases such as cancer. Studies were excluded if SI or 141 other measures of glycaemic control were not included as an outcome measure. Titles were manually sorted and articles rejected if primary objectives were not exercise-based. They 142 143 were then divided on the basis of whether they assessed the acute responses that occur during or immediately after a bout of physical activity, or the chronic adaptations that occur 144 over a more prolonged period of time due to repeated exercise bouts - the training effects. A 145 further search using the same search criteria was undertaken in July 2016 when the 146 manuscript was undergoing minor revisions. This identified a further 80 articles that met the 147 criteria of the search terms and the aforementioned manual sorting produced the resultant 148 149 total of 53 recent articles which are summarised in Tables 1 - 3.

In presenting this review, the authors acknowledge the growing evidence for the adverse effects of sedentary behaviour on diabetes risk and SI, and that this aspect of behaviour needs to be considered in the wider context of metabolic health. Likewise that exercise may benefit the SI of patients with a number of chronic disease conditions, such as cancers, but due to word limits, these scenarios were beyond the scope of this review.

# 156 Molecular mechanisms for exercise-induced changes in insulin sensitivity and 157 glycaemic control

158 Glucose uptake into skeletal muscle occurs via facilitated diffusion down the diffusion gradient through the presence of the glucose transporter GLUT4 in the sarcolemma and T-159 tubules. A single bout of exercise promotes acute increases in glucose uptake into the 160 161 skeletal muscle, both during the exercise bout and for some hours post-exercise. This 162 increase occurs as a result of GLUT4 being translocated from intracellular sites to the sarcolemma and T-tubules, thereby increasing the sites at which glucose can diffuse into the 163 muscle. For a detailed review of the processes resulting in increased glucose uptake during 164 exercise, readers are directed to that by Richter and Hargreaves,[10] 165

In summary, During a bout of exercise the increased contraction-stimulated glucose uptake 166 is linked to increases in AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK), which results in the 167 phosphorylation of the Rab-GTPase-activating protein TBC1D1.[11] This phosphorylation 168 169 appears to inactivate the TBC1D1, although there is some suggestion that the TBC1D1 needs to be phosphorylated at both the AMPK and Akt sites for deactivation to occur.[12] 170 Since active TBC1D1 has an inhibitory effect, its deactivation enables GTP to react with Rab 171 proteins on the GLUT4 vesicles, and as a consequence there is an increase in GLUT4 172 173 vesicle translocation and glucose uptake into the cell.

174 It appears that a slightly different pathway is utilised to regulate glucose uptake at rest, and 175 involves TBC1D4 (also known as AS160), the paralogue of TBC1D1. TBC1D4 is involved in 176 the insulin stimulated regulation of GLUT4 translocation and glucose uptake in adipocytes 177 and myocytes. Insulin promotes the phosphorylation of TBC1D4 causing its deactivation and 178 thereby increasing GLUT4 activity. TBC1D4 is also involved in the regulation of glucose 179 uptake post-exercise, when increases in SI are associated with elevated intracellular kinase

Akt, which results in the phosphorylation of TBC1D4.[11] TBC1D4 has similar properties to 180 TBC1D1 and produces similar effects, in that the active form TBC1D4 promotes the 181 182 hydrolysis of GTP to GDP on Rab proteins, thereby preventing the translocation of GLUT4 to the cell membrane. Whereas when TCB1D4 is phosphorylated and deactivated the GTP 183 184 reaction with Rab proteins increase GLUT4 translocation to the cell membrane and T tubules, which elevates SI.[13] However, in contrast with TCB1D1, TCB1D4 appears to 185 186 display a delayed response to exercise/contraction stimuli, with its deactivation exerting an 187 effect post-exercise rather than during exercise, [11] an effect which has also been reported in rats.[14] Regular exercise training may also result in chronic improvements in TBC1D4 188 phosphorylation and thereby increase basal SI.[11] 189

Repeated exercise bouts (exercise-training) has been demonstrated to increases GLUT4 concentrations in populations with metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes, [15] and these increases are associated with changes in SI.[6, 16, 17] Such improvements are tissue specific, as exercise appears to improve skeletal muscle but not hepatic SI, nor insulinstimulated glucose uptake in adipose tissue.[18, 19] In addition to which the improvements are primarily located in the muscle fibres undertaking most of the work during the exercise.[20]

197 Other molecules associated with the SI regulatory processes include insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS-1) and IRS-2. Whilst the precise roles of these receptor molecules require 198 199 further elucidation, it is evident that they are activated by the insulin receptor tyrosine kinase 200 and promote the phosphorylation/activation of Akt.[21] Thereby promoting glucose uptake into the cell. Reduced p-IRS-1 (ser<sup>612</sup>) phosphorylation has been reported in obese and 201 202 obese insulin-resistant subjects, suggesting an association between lower concentrations of 203 activated IRS-1 and impaired SI. Whereas acute increases in IRS-1 phosphorylation have been demonstrated following a single 60 minute bout of moderate intensity exercise (60% 204 205 VO<sub>2 peak</sub>), suggesting an association with increased activation of IRS-1 and improved SI.[22]

#### 207 Obesity, excess lipid availability and SI

208 It is well established that obesity and an associated excess of available lipids results in a 209 loss of SI in skeletal muscle, and this may be linked with impaired deactivation of TCB1D4.[23] Paradoxically, whilst obesity increases intramyocellular triglycerides (IMTG) 210 concentrations, so does endurance-exercise training.[24] Yet the skeletal muscles of obese 211 212 sedentary individuals have a compromised SI, whilst those of well-trained endurance 213 athletes are highly insulin sensitive.[24, 25] Hence there must be distinct molecular basis, other than differences in IMTG concentration to explain their contrasting SI characteristics. 214 Diacylglycerols (DAGs) and ceramides are lipid intermediates that have been proposed to 215 explain this apparent paradox. However, whilst some studies have demonstrated that 216 217 exercise can reduce DAGs in previously inactive obese individuals, with a concomitant increases in SI,[25, 26] the causative role of DAGs has been questioned as the muscles of 218 219 endurance trained athletes have been shown to have nearly twice the DAG content of obese sedentary individuals and have a 50% higher DAG content than normal weight sedentary 220 individuals.[27, 28] 221

222 Conversely, evidence is accumulating for the view that ceramides (sphingolipid metabolites) may be the causal link between saturated fatty acid content (but not unsaturated fats) in 223 224 skeletal muscle and impaired SI.[27, 28, 29] In the acute phase, exercise has been 225 demonstrated to increase serum ceramide [30], but these returned to basal levels 2 h post-226 exercise, whilst the sphingolipids lipids measured in this study were not elevated during exercise but declined to below basal levels post-exercise. However, exercise training has 227 228 been demonstrated to reduce plasma ceramides and these changes are negatively 229 correlated with increased SI.[31] An explanation for the molecular link between ceramides and SI is through the presence of excess saturated FFA.[29] This explanation suggests that 230 231 the excess saturated FFA and associated high ceramide content inhibits Akt/PKB

232 phosphorylation and activation by protein phosphatase 2A, thereby preventing the 233 translocation of Akt/PKB from the cytoplasm to the membrane. This may then link to the 234 aforementioned effects on the activation of other signalling molecules, leading to an impaired 235 translocation of GLUT4 to the membrane.

236

Other molecular and physiological changes linked to exercise induced improvements to SI Other molecules that may be linked to aerobic exercise induced changes in SI include intracellular adhesion molecule 1, C-reactive protein and serum amyloid A, all of which have been shown to be associated with impaired SI, but are reduced by exercise and weight loss, thereby suggesting a link with vascular inflammation.[22] Additionally, exercise stimulated increases in glycogen synthase activity, have also been proposed as a factor that increases SI.[23]

244

Another process through which SI may be improved is through the exercise-training 245 stimulated increase in skeletal muscle capillarisation. Prior et al., [32, 33] reported that 246 247 increases in capillarisation correlated with improvements in insulin sensitivity following 6 months of aerobic exercise with weight loss in older adults with impaired glucose tolerance. 248 249 This outcome was further investigated when after 6-months of training the participants followed a 2-week no aerobic exercise washout phase, in order to isolate the acute post-250 exercise changes in SI from the training effects. The outcome of which was that whilst many 251 of the aforementioned molecular factors returned to baseline after the washout, capillary 252 253 density and SI remained elevated by 15% and 18% respectively, providing evidence for a 254 link between these two factors.[34]

255

Additionally, whilst a good level of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) is associated with a reduced risk of poor insulin sensitivity, exercise interventions don't always find an association between improvements in SI and CRF (VO<sub>2 max</sub>). This may be because

improvements in CRF are a result of a combination of both peripheral adaptations within the muscle and central cardiovascular adaptations, such as increases in cardiac output, the latter of which may not impact upon SI directly.[35]

262

263 An alternative mechanism by which exercise could improve glycaemic control, is via the enhancement of pancreatic beta cell activity, which can become compromised as a 264 265 consequence of overstimulation and excessive insulin secretion in response to a loss of SI. In support of this, it has been reported that exercise training plus weight loss can increase 266 pancreatic β-cell function in a linear dose-response manner in adults with pre-diabetes.[34] 267 Although in this study, relatively high exercise doses of >1,900 kcal/wk were used and the 268 269 exercise intensity increased from 60-65% HR<sub>max</sub> during the first 4 weeks, to a relatively high 80 – 85% HR<sub>max</sub> for the following 8 weeks. Hence the intervention was of relatively high 270 volume and intensity, which may not be feasible for most of the population in question. By 271 comparison, Madsen et al.,[36] reported improved beta cell function in type 2 diabetic 272 273 patients following more moderate volumes of exercise training in the form of high intensity 274 interval training (HIIT), hence the exercise intensity may be key. However, Slentz et al., [37] have suggested that whilst both moderate and vigorous exercise are capable of stimulating 275 improvements in beta-cell function as indicated by the Disposition Index (Disposition Index 276 277 (DI) = Insulin Sensitivity (SI) x Acute Insulin Response to Glucose (AIRg)), they may do so via different mechanisms. Since in their 8-month intervention study, large volumes of 278 moderate intensity exercise produced a greater DI improvement than vigorous exercise, and 279 achieved this with an improvement in SI but virtually no change in AIRg, whilst the vigorous 280 281 exercise improved SI and resulted in a compensatory reduction in AIRg.

282

### 283 Updates to acute SI responses to exercise

Studies assessing the acute responses during or immediately following a single bout of aerobic exercise suggest that SI is improved by more than 50% for up to 72 hours after the last exercise bout.[6] However, this acute improvement in SI is lost within 5 days after the last exercise bout, even in highly trained subjects.[6]

Table 1 summarises recent studies that assessed acute responses to exercise on SI. 288 289 Rynders et al.'s study confirms the previously reported improvements in SI in prediabetics of around 50% one hour after aerobic exercise.[38] Likewise, Newsom et al. reported an 290 increase in SI in sedentary obese adults the day after moderate intensity exercise,[39] 291 indicating that the acute response was evident for some hours. However, whereas Rynders 292 et al.,[38] reported higher intensity exercise to produce greater improvements in SI (85% 293 following high intensity exercise vs 51% following moderate intensity exercise), Newsom et 294 al.,[39] found that it did not. Indeed Newson et al., reported that their lower intensity (50% 295 VO<sub>2 peak</sub>) but longer duration bout of the same calorific cost was more effective as it resulted 296 in a statistically significant 35% improvement in SI, whereas their bout at 65% VO<sub>2 peak</sub> only 297 resulted in a 20% increase that was not statistically significant. The discrepancy between 298 299 these studies may at least in part be due to the differences in the 'higher' exercise intensities used in these studies, with Newsom et al.'s being of a more 'moderate' rather than 'high' 300 301 intensity.

**Table 1.** Summary of recent studies assessing acute insulin sensitivity responses to exercise

Reference	Participants	Study type	Exercise type and inensity	Outcome measure	Authors conclusions and comments
de Matos <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2014 [22]	Twenty-seven obese or obese insulin-resistant patients.	Exercise intervention.	Acute 60 min of aerobic exercise on a cycle ergometer at 60 % of peak oxygen consumption.	Compared with paired eutrophic controls, obese subjects had higher basal levels of p- JNK and p-IRS- 1(ser612), and reduced HSP70. Exercise reduced p-IRS-1(ser612) for both obese and obese insulin-resistant subjects. A main effect of exercise was observed for HSP70.	A single session of exercise promotes changes that are characteristic of a reduction in cellular stress. Such changes may contribute to an exercise- induced increase in SI.
Rynders <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2014 [38]	Eighteen pre- diabetic adults.	Randomised controlled trial of acute responses to exercise.	Moderate intensity exercise at Lactate threshold (LT) vs High Intensity Exercise (75% of difference between LT and peak O <sub>2</sub> consumption vs Control (1 hour of seated rest). One hour after exercise, subjects undertook an oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT).	SI improved by 51% following Moderate intensity exercise and 85% following High intensity exercise.	Acute exercise had an immediate and intensity- dependent effect on improving postprandial glycaemia and SI.
Newsom <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2013 [39]	Eleven sedentary, obese adults.	Randomised controlled trial.	Three experimental trials: (i) exercise at 50% VO <sub>2</sub> peak for ~70 min (expending ~ 350 Kcal); (ii) exercise at 65% VO <sub>2</sub> peak for ~55 min to expend	Seventy minutes of exercise at 50% VO <sub>2 peak</sub> increased insulin sensitivity by 35% compared with control condition. Whereas the	A prolonged single session of exercise at a moderate intensity improved SI the next day in obese adults. This may be more effective than a shorter duration bout at a

			350 kcal; (iii) no exercise. Exercise was undertaken in the afternoon SI assessed the following morning.	55 min of exercise at 65% $VO_{2 peak}$ produced average increase SI of 20% compared to control condition, this was not statistically significant.	higher intensity.
Malin <i>et al.</i> , [40],	Fifteen prediabetics aged 49.9 ± 3.6 years	Randomised, controlled, cross over trial, with control condition.	Three trial conditions: (i) 1 hr rest (control); (ii) 200 kcal cycle ergometer exercise bout at lactate threshold; and (iii) 200 kcal cycle ergometer exercise bout at 75% of difference between lactate threshold and VO <sub>2 peak</sub> . A 75g OGTT was undertaken 1 hr post- exercise/control.	Compared to control, exercise lowered skeletal muscle insulin resistance independently of exercise intensity, but hepatic and adipose insulin resistance was increased. Glucose- stimulated insulin secretion did not differ between conditions, but post-prandial glucose levels were lower post- exercise.	Exercise promoted insulin sensitivity in skeletal muscle post exercise. The increase in insulin resistance in adipose and hepatic tissue, may further promote glucose uptake and glycogen restoration in the muscles.
Ortega <i>et al</i> ., 2015 [43]	Ten healthy young men.	Randomised cross-over trial with control condition.	Sprint Interval Training (SIT) of 4 x 30 s sprints vs continuous low intensity exercise at 46% VO <sub>2 peak</sub> vs moderate intensity exercise at 77% VO <sub>2 peak</sub> vs Control. Intravenous glucose tolerance tests undertaken 30 min, 24 h and 48 h post-exercise.	All exercise conditions improved SI for at least 48 h compared to the control condition. Thirty minutes post-exercise the improvements induced by SIT were greater than for either of the continuous exercise bouts.	All exercise bouts improved SI, and in the short-term (30 minutes post-exercise) SIT was more effective than low or moderate intensity continuous exercise at improving SI.
Terada <i>et al.</i> , [44],	Ten diabetics aged 45 – 75 years	Randomised, controlled, cross over trial, with control condition.	Four exercise conditions each of 60 minutes duration: (i) HIIT (repetitions of 3 minutes	HIIT reduced overnight and fasting glycemia the day after the exercise by more than moderate	HIIT resulted in acute benefits to glycemic regulation, which were further enhanced by undertaking the exercise in a

			at 40% VO <sub>2 peak</sub> and x 1 minute at 100% VO <sub>2 peak</sub> ) in fasted state; (ii) HIIT post-breakfast; (iii) Moderate intensity exercise (55% of VO <sub>2 peak</sub> ) in fasted state; and (iv) and Moderate intensity exercise, post-breakfast; plus no exercise (control).	intensity exercise. Exercising in a fasted state rather than 'post- breakfast' attenuated post-prandial glycemic increments. Compared to the control condition, HIIT in a fasted state produced significant improvements to: 24-h mean glucose, fasting glucose, postprandial glycemic increment, glycemic variability and time spent in hyperglycemia.	fasted state.
Whyte <i>et al</i> ., 2013 [45]	Ten overweight/obese men aged 26.9 ± 6.2 years.	Randomised, controlled, cross over trial.	Three trial conditions: (i) four maximal 30-s sprints, with 4.5 min recovery between each (SIT); (ii) a single maximal extended sprint (ES) matched with SIT for work done; and (iii) no exercise (CON). Oral glucose tolerance tests were undertaken on the days following each of the above.	SI Index was 44.6% higher following ES than CON, but did not differ significantly between SIT and CON. On the day following exercise, fat oxidation in the fasted state was increased by 63% and 38%, compared to CON, in SIT and ES, respectively.	A single ES, which may represent a more time-efficient alternative to SIT, can increase SI and increase fat oxidation in overweight/obese sedentary men.

In a more recent study, Malin et al. [40] sought to identify the acute impact of exercise 304 intensity on different components of insulin sensitivity and indicators of glycaemic control, 305 306 including: glucose-stimulated insulin secretion (GSIS), skeletal muscle insulin resistance 307  $(SM_{IR})$ , hepatic insulin resistance (HOMA<sub>IR</sub>) and adipose insulin resistance (ADIPOSE<sub>IR</sub>). In 308 their study they administered a 75 g OGTT 1 hr post-exercise/control and in their analyses they assessed the relationship between the aforementioned measures and reported that 309 310 exercise lowered SM<sub>IR</sub> independently of exercise intensity, but that compared to controls, high intensity exercise (200 kcal cycle ergometer exercise bout at 75% of difference between 311 lactate threshold and VO<sub>2 peak</sub>) increased HOMA<sub>IR</sub> and ADIPOSE<sub>IR</sub>: which may initially 312 appear contradictory. However, since GSIS was not reduced post-exercise and the 313 314 disposition index (DI) of the hepatic and adipose tissues were lowered with high intensity exercise, whilst that of muscle increased, it resulted in a lower post-prandial blood glucose. 315 Based on these findings the authors suggest that insulin secretion from the pancreas 316 matches the combined requirements of these tissues and there is some communication 317 318 between them to produce this outcome. They also suggest that the elevated HOMA<sub>IR</sub> and 319 ADIPOSE<sub>IR</sub> may be beneficial post-exercise, as it could promote greater glucose uptake into the skeletal muscle, in which insulin resistance is lower, and thereby more effectively 320 promote the restoration of muscle glycogen post-exercise. 321

The variable of exercise intensity is manipulated and taken to greater extremes through the prescription of 'high-intensity interval training' or Sprint Interval Training (SIT), in which relatively short bursts of high intensity exercise are interspersed with lower intensity activity or rest recovery.[41] Gibala *et al.*, [42] propose that the term HIIT be used when repeated short bouts of exercise at intensities of between 80 – 100% HR<sub>max</sub> are used, whilst protocols that involve repeated short bouts of maximal 'all-out' exercise at intensities greater than the work rate that elicits VO<sub>2 max</sub> be classified as SIT.

In the context of studies assessing the impact of short duration, high-intensity exercise, 329 including HIIT and SIT, Ortega et al., [43] found that whilst their high intensity intervals (four 330 331 x thirty second sprints), continuous low intensity (46% VO<sub>2 peak</sub>) and moderate intensity (77% VO<sub>2 peak</sub>) exercise bouts all improved insulin sensitivity in healthy men for at least 48 hrs. The 332 repeated sprints produced the greatest short term effects 30 minutes post exercise. 333 Similarly, the study by Terada et al., [44] reported that 60 minutes of HIIT (repetitions of 3 334 minutes at 40% VO<sub>2 peak</sub> and x 1 minute at 100% VO<sub>2 peak</sub>), reduced overnight and fasting 335 glycemia the day after the exercise by more than a bout of continuous moderate intensity 336 exercise at 55% of VO<sub>2 peak</sub>. They also reported that exercising in a fasted state rather than 337 'post-breakfast' attenuated post-prandial glycemic increments; and compared to the control 338 339 condition, HIIT in a fasted state produced significant improvements to: 24-h mean glucose, fasting glucose, postprandial glycemic increment, glycemic variability and time spent in 340 341 hyperglycemia.

342 In comparison, Whyte et al. compared four maximal 30-s sprints with 4.5 min recovery between each (SIT) and a single maximal extended sprint matched for work done.[45] The 343 344 day following exercise, the SIT session had failed to improve SI over a control (no exercise) condition, but the extended sprint had improved SI by 45%. Hence the failure of SIT to 345 346 improve SI in this study contradicts the findings of Ortega et al., [43] but raises the possibility of a single bout of high intensity exercise, of relatively short duration (approximately 2 - 3347 minutes) being sufficient stimulus to promote the regulatory processes underlying 348 improvements in SI, and this requires further elucidation. 349

# 351 Updates on the association between SI and physical activity - lifestyle studies

Table 2 summarises the results from recent studies assessing potential links between a 352 lifestyle involving regular physical activity and SI. Uemura et al.'s[46] survey confirms 353 previous work that demonstrates a link between a lifestyle involving physical activity and 354 better glycaemic control, as did Rosenberger et al.,[47] who reported that a lifestyle involving 355 regular walking and other activities reduced by 50% the odds ratio for metabolic syndrome. 356 Similarly, Caro et al., [48] reported a significantly lower (21 vs 46%) prevalence of metabolic 357 syndrome in people who complied with the aerobic exercise guidelines of 30 - 60 minutes of 358 moderate activity 5 days per week. 359

The importance of lifestyle is evident even in young people as a survey of children found that physical activity was negatively associated with markers of insulin resistance, [49] and the study by Telford *et al.*, found that the prevalence of insulin resistance was reduced in primary school age children when physical activity was increased in school.[50] **Table 2.** Summary of recent studies assessing the association between regular physical activity and insulin sensitivity

Reference	Participants	Study type	Physical Activity or other data collected	Outcome measure	Authors conclusions and comments
Uemura <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2013 [46]	Five hundred and eighteen eligible subjects (380 men and 138 women) who attended the Tokushima Prefectural General Health Checkup Center.	Survey.	Questionnaire on lifestyle characteristics, including leisure-time exercise and daily non- exercise activities.	Subjects with longer durations of daily non- sedentary activities had significantly lower adjusted odds ratios for metabolic syndrome. Daily non- sedentary activities were associated with lower homeostasis model of assessment-Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR).	A lifestyle involving greater time spent in non-sedentary activities reduced the risk of insulin resistance.
Rosenberger <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [47]	Three hundred and one overweight/obese pre-diabetics.	Survey of physical activity habits.	Participants reported walking and other activities, and were assessed for factors associated with metabolic syndrome (MetS). Participants were categorised as those with and those without MetS.	18% of subjects with MetS reported at least 150 minutes of activity minutes per week compared with 29.8% of those without MetS. The odds of MetS was lower with greater activity minutes.	Meeting Physical Activity goals of 150 min/wk, reduced MetS odds in overweight/obese pre- diabetic adults.
Caro <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [48]	One hundred and one adults with no personal history of disease aged 30-70 years.	A cross-sectional, observational study in an adult population. Participants were age- and sex-matched for comparison.	Participants were classified into: (i) those who undertook regular exercise of 30-60 minutes of moderate physical exercise 5 days per wk, and (ii) non exercising controls who exhibited a	Indicators of fasting plasma insulin levels HOMA-IR were significantly lower in the regular physical activity group. Prevalence rates of metabolic syndrome were 20.7% and 45.8% in the regular physical activity and sedentary groups	Moderate regular physical activity is associated with higher SI.

			sedentary lifestyle.	respectively.	
Jiménez- Pavón <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [49]	One thousand and fifty three boys and girls, aged 12.5 -17.5 years.	A cross-sectional study in a school setting.	Physical Activity (PA) was assessed via accelerometry; Cardio Respiratory Fitness (CRF) assessed via a 20-m shuttle run test. Fasting insulin and glucose concentrations were measured. The HOMA-IR and quantitative SI index were calculated.	In males, vigorous PA (VPA) was negatively associated with markers of resistance (IR) after adjusting for confounders including waist circumference. In females, moderate PA, moderate to vigorous PA, and average PA were negatively associated with markers of IR after adjusting for confounders. When the sample was segmented by CRF levels, all the PA intensities were significantly negatively associated with the markers of IR in females with low CRF but not in those with middle-high CRF after adjusting for confounders.	The findings suggest that PA is negatively associated with markers of IR after adjusting for confounders including total and central body fat in both sexes. This relationship is modified by the CRF levels, which are especially important in those females with low CRF. Preventive strategies should focus not only on increasing the volume of PA but also on enhancing CRF through VPA.
Telford <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [50]	Seven hundred and eight primary school children, mean age 8.1 ± 0.35 years.	4-yr cluster- randomized intervention study into the effects of specialists vs non-specialists delivering physical education classes.	The intervention involved the employment of specialist Physical Education teachers to deliver PE classes (intervention) in primary schools, rather than delivery by generalist primary	The PE classes delivered by the PE specialists involved more fitness work than the control PE classes delivered by primary generalists (7 vs 1 min) and more moderate physical activity (17 vs 10 min respectively). There were no differences at	Specialist-taught primary school PE increased physical activity in PE classes, and was associated with a lower prevalence of IR in community- based children.

	teachers (control).	baseline, but by grade 6, the intervention had lowered the prevalence of insulin resistance (IR) by 14% in the boys and by 9% in the girls, also the percentage of children with insulin resistance (IR) greater than 3 (a cut off point for metabolic risk) was lower in the intervention than the control group (combined, 22% vs 31%; boys, 12% vs	
		21%; girls, 32% vs 40%).	

# 365 Updates from studies assessing the effects of exercise training upon SI

Exercise training studies generally report health benefits for the majority of participants, 366 providing the exercise dose is of an appropriate intensity, frequency, duration, and 367 undertaken for sufficient time.[7, 20] Meta-analyses and reviews indicate that regular 368 aerobic exercise that complies with exercise prescription guidelines,[51] increases SI by 369 370 ~25-50%.[6, 8] This training adaptation is likely induced by the increased activity of the muscle fibres, since low intensity aerobic activity, which primarily utilises type 1 fibres, 371 induces changes in type 1 fibres expressing myosin heavy chain (MHC) I, but not type 2 372 fibres (expressing MHC IIA or MHC IIX).[12] If such adaptations are specific to the fibres 373 that experience increased activity, then this presents the possibility of higher intensity 374 exercise, which involves a greater recruitment of the type 2 fibres, inducing beneficial 375 376 adaptations in both type 1 and type 2 fibres.

377

# Aerobic exercise interventions, including the assessment of the influence of exercise volumeand intensity

Table 3 summarises the results of recent studies assessing the effect of exercise 380 interventions upon SI. Studies consistently show that moderate aerobic exercise for 30 381 minutes or more, 3 or more times a week for 8 or more weeks improves SI and other 382 markers of glycaemic control. This has been reported in a range of populations including 383 diabetic women, [52] diabetic and impaired glucose tolerance men and women, [53, 54] 384 obese men,[55] obese women,[56] obese and overweight postmenopausal women,[38] 385 obese adolescents, [57, 58] obese patients, [59] sedentary moderately overweight young 386 men,[19, 60] subjects with metabolic syndrome,[61] older obese adults with impaired 387 glucose tolerance, [34] obese adolescent girls, [62] and adults with T2DM and non-alcoholic 388 fatty liver disease.[63] 389

		<i>t i i i</i>		ee ( ) 10 10 10 10
390	Lable 3. Summa	rv of recent studies asses	sing exercise trainin	g effects on insulin sensitivity
550			onig oxoroloo trainin	g enecte en meann echenny

Reference	Participants	Study type	Exercise mode	Outcome measure	Authors conclusions and comments
Stuart <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [15]	Eleven participants with the metabolic Syndrome and seven non- diabetic, sedentary controls.	Exercise intervention. Pre- v post intervention comparison.	Eight weeks of increasing intensity stationary cycle training.	Cycle training without weight loss did not change insulin resistance in metabolic syndrome subjects or sedentary controls. Muscle insulin receptor expression increased in both metabolic syndrome and sedentary groups, while GLUT4 expression also increased in the metabolic syndrome subjects. The excess phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate 1 (IRS- 1) at Ser337 in metabolic syndrome muscle tended to increase further after training in spite of a decrease in total IRS-1.	In the absence of weight loss, the cycle training of metabolic syndrome subjects increased the expression of insulin receptors and GLUT4 in muscle but did not decrease the insulin resistance.
Malin <i>et al.,</i> 2013 [18]	Twenty four, older, obese adults with impaired fasting glucose (IFG) and/or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT).	Exercise intervention.	12-wks of exercise (60 min/day, 5 days/wk at ~85% HR <sub>max</sub> ).	Exercise increased clamp- derived peripheral and hepatic SI more in adults with IFG or IGT alone than with IFG + IGT.	Exercise increased peripheral but not hepatic SI.
Reichkendler et al., 2013	Sixty-one, healthy,	Randomised, controlled trial.	Moderate (300 kcal/day)	Aerobic exercise training increased insulin-	Aerobic exercise training enhances glucose uptake in

[19]	sedentary, moderately overweight, young men.		or high (600 kcal/day) physical exercise for 11 wks, vs sedentary living (control). Pre and post training, insulin-stimulated glucose uptake was assessed in five individual femoral muscle groups and four different adipose tissue regions.	stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle but not in adipose tissue.	muscle but not adipose tissues, indicating a differential effect on these tissues.
Prior <i>et al.,</i> 2014 [32]	Sixteen, sedentary, overweight- obese, older men and women, with impaired glucose tolerance.	Pre- vs post- intervention comparison.	Six-months of aerobic exercise and weight loss. Three sessions a week progressing from 20 min at 50% heart rate reserve to 45 min at 85% of heart rate reserve.	Hyperinsulinemic- euglycemic clamp and oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT). Capillary density was measured via biopsies of the vastus lateralis.	Insulin sensitivity increased and 120-min post-prandial glucose was lower post-intervention. These changes were associated with increases in capillary density.
Malin <i>et al.,</i> 2013 [34]	Thirty five, older, obese, adults with prediabetes.	Exercise intervention.	Progressive 12-wk exercise intervention (60 min at ~85% HR <sub>max</sub> 5 days/wk).	Exercise increased first- and second-phase disposition index (DI; β-cell function = glucose- stimulated insulin secretion × clamp-derived SI).	Exercise training plus weight loss increased pancreatic $\beta$ -cell function in a linear dose- response manner in adults with pre-diabetes. Relatively high exercise doses (>2,000 kcal/wk) may be necessary to enhance $\beta$ -cell function in adults with poor insulin secretion capacity.
Madsen <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2015 [36]	Ten, non-active type 2 diabetic patients (56 ± 2 years) and	Pre v post intervention comparison.	Three sessions per week of HIIT (10 x 60s) for 8 weeks for both type 2 diabetics and	Type 2 diabetics displayed significant improvements in HOMA-IR and $\beta$ cell function. The healthy	HIIT was effective in improving HOMA-IR and $\beta$ cell function in type 2 diabetics.

	thirteen matched healthy participants.		healthy participants. Glycemic control was assessed using HOMA-IR and β cell function assessed.	group, who displayed superior HOMA-IR and β cell function results at baseline, exhibited no change in these measures, which was not unexpected given their baseline values.	
Brennan <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2014 [37]	Seventy seven, sedentary, obese men and women.	Repeated measures, intervention vs control condition.	Three to four months of aerobic exercise vs control	Hyperinsulinemic- euglycemic clamp and VO <sub>2</sub>	Changes to insulin sensitivity were not associated with changes to VO <sub>2 peak</sub> .
de Sousa <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2014 [40]	Forty-four, type 2 diabetic patients, aged 48-68 years (27 females, 17 males).	Randomized trial: Diet vs Football training plus diet.	Football training: 3 × 40 min/week for 12 weeks.	Football training plus diet group displayed improvements in HOMA-IR, whereas diet alone did not	Football training plus diet was potentially better at preventing T2D complications than diet alone. It was also more effective than diet alone at improving other markers of metabolic and cardiovascular health, such as blood lipid profile and CRF.
Motahari- Tabari, <i>et al.,</i> 2014 [52]	Fifty-three, type 2 diabetic women.	Randomized clinical trial: exercise vs control.	Thirty minutes at a maximum intensity of 60% increase in heart rate, 3 times a week for 8 weeks.	HOMA-IR improved and fasting plasma glucose and insulin were lowered.	Exercise was effective at improving SI.
Ryan <i>et al</i> ., 2014 [53]	Seventy-seven, overweight and obese, sedentary, postmenopausal, women.	Prospective controlled study.	Six months of: 'aerobic exercise (3 d/wk) + weight loss' vs 'weight loss without exercise'.	Insulin resistance decreased in both groups. Glucose utilization increased by 10% with 'aerobic exercise + weight loss' and 8% with 'weight loss without exercise'.	No statistically significant difference in changes to insulin resistance between 'aerobic exercise + weight loss' vs 'weight loss without exercise'. However, exercise benefitted other markers of metabolic health.
Mitranun et	43 participants	Randomised	Sedentary (control) vs	Fasting blood glucose	Both continuous and interval

<i>al</i> ., 2014 [54]	with type 2 diabetes.	controlled trial.	continuous exercise vs interval training. For 30 and 40 min/day, 3 times/week for 12 weeks.	levels decreased in both exercise groups. Glycosylated haemoglobin levels decreased only in the interval training group.	training were effective in improving glycaemic control, but the interval training program appears to confer greater improvements.
Skleryk <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2013 [55]	Sixteen, sedentary, obese men.	Exercise intervention.	Two weeks of reduced- volume sprint interval training (SIT) (three sessions of 8-12 × 10 s sprints/wk) compared to traditional exercise recommendations (TER) (5 x 30 min sessions at 65% peak oxygen consumption/wk).	HOMA-IR, AS160 phosphorylation and COX II, COX IV, GLUT-4, Nur77 and SIRT1 protein expression assessed at baseline and approximately 72 h after the final training bout were unaltered in either group.	Two weeks of reduced-volume SIT or TER did not elicit any measurable metabolic adaptations in previously sedentary, obese men.
Trachta <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2014 [56]	Fifteen, obese women.	Intervention with comparison group comprising of 'healthy' lean subjects who did not undertake the exercise intervention.	Three-month exercise program consisting of 30 min of aerobic exercise, 3 times a week.	HOMA-IR improved in the obese group.	Three months of regular exercise improved, blood glucose and HOMA-IR, but had no significant effect on lipid profile and blood pressure.
Many <i>et al</i> ., 2013 [57]	Eleven, morbidly obese minority adolescents (BMI 41.4 ± 1.8 kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Exercise intervention.	Eight weeks of aerobic exercise training (~180 min/wk at 40-55% VO <sub>2</sub> <sub>peak</sub> ). Pre- and post- intervention, SI and inflammatory markers were assessed.	Insulin action improved in response to training, as indicated by a ~37% increase in SI.	This study supports the efficacy of exercise training interventions on improving metabolic syndrome features in morbidly obese minority youth.
Racil <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [58]	Thirty-four, obese,	Randomised controlled trial.	Twelve-weeks of moderate-intensity	Significant decrease in insulin resistance (HOMA-	Interval training improved SI. High intensity interval exercise

Kurose, <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2014 [59]	adolescent females. Forty three, obese patients.	Exercise intervention.	interval training (MIIT) or high-intensity (HIIT) interval training exercise. Thirty minutes on a cycle ergometer or treadmill, 3 times per week for 6 months, with training intensity adjusted to anaerobic threshold.	IR) occurred in both HIIT and MIIT groups (- 29.2 ± 5.3 and - 18.4 ± 8.6 %, respectively. HOMA-IR improved.	<ul> <li>produced greater benefits than moderate intensity interval exercise.</li> <li>Aerobic exercise improved SI. Additionally, insulin resistance was the only independent factor influencing improvement in endothelial function.</li> </ul>
Reichkendler <i>et al</i> ., 2014 [60]	Sixty-one, healthy, sedentary, moderately overweight, young men.	Randomised controlled trial.	Eleven weeks of physical activity at moderate dose (300 kcal/day); high dose (600 kcal/day); or sedentary living.	In both exercise groups, peripheral SI improved. Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance decreased.	Physical activity improved SI and small additional health benefits were found when exercising at ~3,800 vs ~2,000 kcal/week in young moderately overweight men.
Di Raimondo <i>et al</i> ., 2014 [61]	One hundred and seventy-six subjects with metabolic syndrome.	Exercise intervention.	Walking for 1 h, 5 days a week for 24 weeks at an intensity higher than the one classified as 'comfortable' by the patient.	Mean fasting glucose improved.	Regular walking at a moderate to hard intensity improved glycaemic control.
Lee <i>et al</i> ., 2013 [62]	Forty-four, obese, adolescent girls.	Randomised controlled trial.	Three months of 180 min/wk aerobic exercise vs resistance exercise vs a non- exercising control group. SI was evaluated by a 3-h hyperinsulinemic (80 mU·m <sup>2</sup> ·min <sup>-1</sup> ) euglycemic clamp.	Compared with control, aerobic exercise improved SI but resistance exercise did not.	In obese, adolescent, girls, aerobic exercise but not resistance exercise was effective in improving SI and did so independently of weight loss or calorie restriction.
Bacchi <i>et al</i> .,	Thirty-one,	Randomized	Effects of 4-months of	Post-training, SI was	Resistance training and aerobic

2013 [63]	sedentary, adults, with type 2 diabetes, and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.	controlled trial.	aerobic or resistance training on SI.	increased and hepatic fat content reduced in both groups.	training were both effective in improving SI and reducing hepatic fat content in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease.
Motahari- Tabari <i>et al</i> ., 2015. [64]	Fifty-three, type 2 diabetic women.	Exercise intervention vs non-exercise control condition.	Eight weeks of walking for 30 minutes three times a week.	Exercise improved HOMA- IR, fasting plasma insulin and glucose.	The exercise intervention was effective in lowering plasma glucose, insulin levels and insulin resistance.
Herzig <i>et al</i> ., 2014 [65]	One hundred and thirteen pre- diabetic males and females.	Exercise intervention vs non-exercise control condition.	Three sessions of 60 minutes walking per week, for 3 months vs non-exercise control.	The exercise intervention improved HOMA-IR, fasting insulin and glucose.	Compared to controls, the exercise group improved HOMA-IR and fasting insulin, but did not improve VO <sub>2 max</sub> or fasting glucose.
Damirchi, <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2014 [66]	Twenty-one, middle-aged, men with Metabolic Syndrome (MetS).	Exercise, intervention vs control condition.	Six-weeks of aerobic exercise: 3 sessions per week, for 25 – 40 minutes of walking or running at 50 – 60%VO <sub>2 peak</sub> . Followed by 6 weeks of detraining.	HOMA-IR improved after 6 weeks of training, but had returned to baseline after 6 weeks of detraining.	Regular exercise improved insulin sensitivity, but needs to be maintained as insulin sensitivity is lost if regular exercise ceases.
Solomon <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2013 [67]	One hundred and five participants, with impaired glucose tolerance or type 2 diabetes.	Observational clinical study.	Twelve to 16 weeks of aerobic exercise training.	Glycosylated haemoglobin, fasting glucose, and 2-hour oral glucose tolerance test were improved post- intervention in 69%, 62%, and 68% of subjects, respectively, while SI improved in 90% of the	Training-induced changes in glycaemic control were related to changes in glucose- stimulated insulin secretion, but not SI. Training-induced changes in β- cell function may be a key
	diabetes.			respectively, while SI	•

					control.
Grieco <i>et al.</i> , 2013 [68]	Forty-five, healthy, recreationally active, young adults.	Randomised controlled trial.	Six-week exercise intervention. Four groups: moderate- intensity (50% heart rate reserve [HRR]); vigorous-intensity (75% HRR); maximal- intensity intervals (95/50% HRR); and non-exercising control group.	There were no significant changes in insulin effectiveness (homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) and quantitative SI check index (QUICKI) in any exercise group.	The exercise intervention did not significantly affect insulin effectiveness in a young adult population as assessed by HOMA or QUICKI.
Chen <i>et al</i> ., 2015 [69]	Twenty three, men and women with metabolic syndrome (MetS) and 87 men and women without metabolic syndrome. Mean age 48 and 49 years respectively.	Pre vs post exercise intervention comparison.	Three months home based exercise program of three x 30 minute sessions per week at a moderate intensity of either 'stepper' or 'cardio- dance'.	HOMA-IR was maintained in the non-MetS group (1.8 vs 1.9), but deteriorated in the MetS group (3.6 vs 4.3).	The authors reported that 72% of the non-MetS group but only 39% of the MetS group achieved the minimum exercise compliance, and suggested that this may have affected the poor outcome in the MetS group.
Duvivier et al., 2013 [74]	Eighteen, healthy subjects.	Cross-over design to compare daily regimens of activity and exercise.	Four days of each of the following regimens: (i) 14 hr/d sitting; (ii) 13 hr/d sitting + 1 hr/d vigorous exercise; (iii) 8 hr/d sitting + 4 hr/d walking + 2 hr/d standing.	Oral Glucose Tolerance Tests (OGTT) were undertaken the morning after 4 days on each regimen. Area Under the Curve (AUC) for insulin was lower following the walking and standing regimen compared to the others.	Reducing sitting time by walking and standing was more effective than one hour of vigorous exercise in maintaining SI.

Three months of

Twenty-four hour and 72 h

Earnest et

Men at risk for

Randomised,

Eucaloric AER and INT appear

inoulin				
insulin resistance.	controlled, exercise intervention trial.	eucaloric (12 kcal/kg/wk) steady state aerobic training (AER) compared with interval training (INT).	post-exercise fasting OGTT improved. HOMA-IR was improved with INT and AER. Stratification of participants based on pre- training values for HOMA- IR revealed that both low and high HOMA- IR participants demonstrated significant reductions with INT, whereas only high HOMA- IR showed significant improvements with AER.	to affect fasting glucose OGTT similarly. Both INT and AER benefitted those with high HOMA-IR, while INT also benefitted those with low HOMA-IR, thereby suggesting that INT may have a greater impact by benefitting across a wider spectrum of HOMA-IR.
Twenty-five sedentary men (27 ± 8 years)	Randomised control trial.	For 12-weeks, three sessions per week of either: (i) Sprint Interval Training (3 x 20s maximal sprint, interspersed with 2 min cycling recovery at 50W), or (ii) 45 mins of moderate intensity cycling at ~75% HR <sub>max</sub> (~110W), or (iii) non- exercise control. Insulin sensitivity was assessed via intravenous glucose tolerance tests.	Both exercise regimens produced significant and similar improvements in SI as measure via intravenous glucose tolerance tests performed before and 72 hrs post-exercise. Likewise VO <sub>2 peak</sub> improved (~19%) in both exercise groups, as did skeletal muscle mitochondrial content. There were no statistically significant changes in the control group.	Sprint Interval Training produced similar fitness and SI improvements to prolonged moderate intensity exercise, despite requiring a five-fold lower exercise volume and time commitment.
Ninety, previously inactive	Randomised control trial.	Ten weeks, 3 sessions per week of either: (i) HIIT (15 – 60s with	HOMA improved in both groups, but was achieved with less time commitment	HIIT may provide a time- efficient alternative to continuous moderate intensity exercise.
	Twenty-five sedentary men (27 ± 8 years) Ninety, previously	Intervention trial.Intervention trial.Intervention trial.Twenty-five sedentary men (27 ± 8 years)Randomised control trial.Control trial.Ninety, previously inactiveRandomised control trial.	Intervention trial.state aerobic training (AER) compared with interval training (INT).Twenty-five sedentary men (27 ± 8 years)Randomised control trial.For 12-weeks, three sessions per week of either: (i) Sprint Interval Training (3 × 20s maximal sprint, interspersed with 2 min cycling recovery at 50W), or (ii) 45 mins of moderate intensity cycling at ~75% HRmax (~110W), or (iii) non- exercise control. Insulin sensitivity was assessed via intravenous glucose tolerance tests.Ninety, previously inactiveRandomised control trial.Ten weeks, 3 sessions per week of either: (i) HIIT (15 – 60s with	Intervention trial.state aerobic training (AER) compared with interval training (INT).improved with INT and AER. Stratification of participants based on pre- training values for HOMA- IR revealed that both low and high HOMA- IR participants demonstrated significant improvements with AER.Twenty-five sedentary men (27 ± 8 years)Randomised control trial.For 12-weeks, three sessions per week of either: (i) Sprint Interval Training (3 x 20s maximal sprint, interspersed with 2 min cycling recovery at 50W), or (ii) 45 mins of moderate intensity cycling at -75% HRmax (~110W), or (iii) non- exercise control. Insulin sensitivity was assessed via intravenous glucose tolerance tests.Both exercise regimens produced significant and similar improvements in SI as measure via intravenous glucose tolerance testsNinety, previously inactiveRandomised control trial.Ten weeks, 3 sessions per week of either: (i) HIIT (15 – 60s withHOMA improved in both groups, but was achieved with less time commitment

Arad <i>et al.,</i> 2015 [80]	Twenty-eight overweight/obese African American women.	Randomised control trial, with diet determined to maintain body weight. Exercise intervention n = 14; control n = 14.	with 45 – 120 s active recovery for a total of 18 – 25 minutes, including warm up) or (ii) 30 - 45 min continuous exercise at an intensity ~70% HR <sub>max</sub> . For 14-weeks, three sessions per week of HIIT (4 x 30-60s at 75- 90% Heart Rate Reserve (HRR) with 180-210s at 50% HRR between high intensity bouts) or non-exercise control. Insulin sensitivity was assessed using 3 hr euglycaemic- hyperinsulinemic	the HIIT group. Whilst some parameters of exercise metabolism improved, there were no improvements in SI compared to control group.	HIIT did not improve SI when weight was maintained.
Lanzi <i>et al.,</i> 2015 [81]	Nineteen obese men.	Randomised control trial.	clamp. Two week exercise intervention, 4 sessions per week of either: (i) HIIT (10 x 60s at 90% HR <sub>max</sub> , with 60s recovery), or (ii) 40 -50 min continuous exercise at an intensity identified as that eliciting maximal fat utilisation (Fat <sub>max</sub> ).	Aerobic fitness improved in both groups, but HOMA2- IR only improved in the Fat <sub>max</sub> group.	In the short-term (2 weeks) exercise training of a continuous moderate intensity (Fat <sub>max</sub> ) was more effective than HIIT at improving glycemic control.
Fisher et al.,	Twenty-eight	Randomised	Six weeks, 5 sessions	Post-intervention, both	Both exercise regimens

2015 [82]	sedentary overweight/obese men (20 ± 1.5 y).	control trial.	per week of either: (i) HIIT (twenty minutes comprising of repeated bouts of 30s at 85% of peak Wingate power with 4 min recovery at 15% of peak Wingate power), or (ii) 45 - 60 min continuous exercise at an intensity of 55-65% VO <sub>2 max</sub> .	exercise groups displayed improvements in SI but neither exercise group displayed statistically significant improvements in HOMA-IR.	improved SI, as determined by OGGT, but not HOMA-IR (fasting insulin (µU/ml) x fasting glucose (mmol/L))
Matsuo <i>et</i> <i>al.,</i> 2015 [83]	Twenty-six men with metabolic risk factors.	Randomised control trial.	Eight-week exercise intervention, three sessions per week of either: (i) HIIT, (3 x 3min at~ 80-85% VO <sub>2</sub> <sub>peak</sub> with 2 min recovery at 50% VO <sub>2 peak</sub> , or (ii) 40min at 60 – 65% VO <sub>2</sub> <sub>peak</sub> . Followed by four weeks of a low-calorie diet.	Both exercise interventions showed trends for improving HOMA-IR, and this was statistically significant in the HIIT group after the subsequent 4- week low calorie diet.	SI trended towards improvement with both HIIT and moderate intensity exercise, and was further improved with the low calorie diet in the HIIT group.
Inoue <i>et al</i> ., 2015 [87]	Forty-five, post- pubertal, obese, adolescents.	Pre vs post intervention comparing an aerobic exercise regimen (AT), with two exercise regimens that included both aerobic exercise and resistance exercise (LP and DUP).	Twenty-six weeks of exercise intervention, 3 x 60 minute sessions a week.	Insulin sensitivity (HOMA- IR) improved in both the groups undertaking combined aerobic and resistance training, but statistically significant improvements were not found in the group undertaking aerobic exercise without resistance training (AT).	The combination of aerobic plus resistance exercise improved insulin sensitivity more effectively than aerobic exercise alone.

Dâmaso <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2014 [88]	One hundred and sixteen, obese, adolescents.	Pre vs post intervention comparing: (i) aerobic exercise regimen, with (ii) aerobic exercise plus resistance exercise regimen.	One year of: (i) an aerobic exercise regimen, or (ii) aerobic exercise plus resistance exercise.	Insulin sensitivity measured as HOMA-IR.	Whilst both exercise regimens improved important clinical parameters, the 'aerobic plus resistance exercise' regimen produced better metabolic outcomes than aerobic exercise alone.
Nikseresht <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2014 [89]	Thirty-four, sedentary, obese, middle- aged, men.	Exercise, interventions vs control condition.	Twelve weeks, of 3 sessions per week of: (i) 40 – 65 minutes of resistance training; (ii) aerobic interval training (4 x 4 minutes at 80 - 90% HR <sub>max</sub> , with 3 minutes recovery between intervals); (iii) non-exercise control.	Fasting HOMA-IR.	Compared to control condition, both aerobic interval training and resistance training were equally effective in reducing insulin resistance.
Conceição <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2013 [90]	Twenty, post- menopausal women.	Exercise intervention, randomised controlled trial.	Resistance training: ten exercises, with 3 x 8- 10 maximal repetitions three times per week.	Compared to control group, the resistance training group displayed decreases in fasting blood glucose.	Resistance training performed three times a week may reduce the metabolic syndrome Z- score with concomitant decreases in fasting blood glucose.
Molsted <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2013 [91]	Twenty-three patients treated by dialysis, with (n = 14) and without $(n = 9)$ impaired glucose tolerance.	Control period, followed by the exercise intervention.	Sixteen weeks of strength training three times a week.	After the strength training, fasting insulin, 2-hr insulin and 'area under the curve' insulin (AUC) were significantly lower in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or type 2 diabetes.	Strength training was associated with a significant improvements in glucose tolerance in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or type 2 diabetes undergoing dialysis. The effect was not associated with muscle hypertrophy.
Mavros et	One-hundred and	Participants were	Twelve-months of	Within the resistance	Improvements in metabolic

<i>al</i> ., 2013 [92]	three older adults with type 2 diabetes.	randomized to the resistance training intervention or non-exercise control group.	resistance training, 3 days per week, or sham exercise.	training group, changes in HOMA2-IR were associated with changes in skeletal muscle mass and fat mass. Changes in visceral adipose tissue tended to be related to changes in HOMA2-IR.	health in older adults with type 2 diabetes were mediated through improvements in body composition, only if they were achieved through high-intensity progressive resistance training.
Garnett <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 2014 [93]	One-hundred and eleven obese, pre-diabetic, or insulin resistant, adolescents.	Repeated measures, exercise intervention with groups differing in dietary regimen.	Twelve weeks of 45 – 60 minutes, moderate to vigorous circuit training, twice a week.	OGTT following an overnight fast.	SI improved within 12 weeks of commencing the exercise intervention and was still improved compared to baseline at 12 months.
Trussardi Fayh <i>et al</i> ., 2013 [97]	Forty-eight, obese Individuals, age 31.8 ± 6.0 years.	Randomised clinical trial.	Participants were allocated to a diet-only group or a diet and exercise group. The intervention was maintained until 5% of the initial body weight was lost.	Both regimens produced significant and similar decreases of visceral adipose tissue and HOMA- IR.	Five percent weight loss reduced abdominal fat and insulin resistance in obese individuals, but exercise did not add to the effect of weight loss on the outcome variables.

A commonly advocated exercise prescription of 3 sessions per week of 30 minutes walking 393 was used by Motahari-Tabari et al., [64] who reported improvements in the Homeostatic 394 395 Model Assessment of Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), fasting plasma insulin and fasting glucose in Type 2 diabetic women, following 8 weeks of this aerobic exercise regimen. 396 Likewise, Herzig et al., [65] found that 60 minutes of walking, three times a week for 3 397 months in pre-diabetics improved HOMA-IR, fasting and 2-h insulin, despite no 398 399 improvements in fasting 2-h glucose or VO<sub>2 max</sub>. The finding of an improvement in SI without 400 an improvement in  $VO_{2 max}$  concurs with some previously mentioned studies.[37]

401 Damirchi et al.,[66] also demonstrated an improvement in insulin sensitivity in middle-aged men with Metabolic Syndrome (MetS) following a 6-week aerobic exercise program of 3 402 sessions per week of 25 - 40 minutes walking or running at 50 - 60% VO<sub>2 peak</sub>, and also 403 reported the interesting finding that this benefit was lost within 6 weeks of detraining. 404 405 Solomon et al., reported improvements in glucose-stimulated insulin secretion, but not SI in 406 participants with type 2 diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance, [67] and suggested that 407 training-induced changes in β-cell function may be a key determinant of training-induced 408 improvements in glycaemic control. Additionally, Skleryk et al., did not find any beneficial 409 changes from 5 days a week of aerobic exercise at 65% VO<sub>2 peak</sub> in overweight/obese 410 sedentary males, [55] but their exercise intervention was only for 2 weeks and may not have been of sufficient duration to induce detectable changes. Likewise, Grieco et al.'s study on 411 recreationally active young adults did not change insulin effectiveness,[68] although, given 412 that the participants were already recreationally active it may be that their pre-study values 413 414 were not sufficiently poor to be changed by the relatively short 6-week intervention.

Chen *et al.*'s study also produced results that were not in accordance with similar studies and they suggested that this may have been due to participants' lack of compliance and exercise intensity,[69] with their non-metabolic syndrome group attaining greater compliance and thereby maintaining their SI, whilst their metabolic syndrome group displayed poorer 419 compliance, which may have contributed to their decline in SI.

420

421 One exercise variable that is subject to manipulation in exercise interventions is that of 422 exercise volume, and in general, studies that have examined a possible dose response report additional benefits from higher exercise doses (>1,900 kcal/wk), with increases in SI 423 and improved  $\beta$ -cell function in adults with prediabetes.[34] Whilst such levels of activity may 424 425 be desirable, compliance is often low even for much lower exercise volumes [70 - 73] and for 426 those who are unable to meet these levels it is evident that much of the health benefit is attained from an exercise dose of only ~1,900 kcal/wk or even less, with only minor 427 additional benefits to fitness, body fat and insulin sensitivity when exercising for 600 kcal/day 428 429 compared with 300 kcal/day.[60] Indeed the commonly prescribed dose of 5 x 30 min of 430 moderate intensity exercise/wk, which is reported on numerous occasions to be effective, would be around 475 - 950 kcal/wk. 431

432

433 Another exercise variable that is receiving attention in the research is that of exercise 434 intensity, as many of the adaptations that play a role in the exercise-induced increases in SI 435 display a response that is related to the intensity of the activity. For example, while low intensity training such as walking for 30 minutes, 3 – 4 days per week, for 6 months 436 437 improves markers of glycaemic control (such as 'area under the curve' [AUC] for insulin), a 438 further 6 months of higher intensity exercise (jogging 3-4 days per week for 6 months) elicits 439 substantially greater improvements.[6] Some reviews suggest that higher intensity exercise (>75% of VO<sub>2 peak</sub>) is more efficacious than lower intensity (<60% of VO<sub>2 peak</sub>).[6] However, 440 441 these findings are equivocal as others have reported that lower intensity activity, such as prolonged bouts of standing and walking are more effective than vigorous exercise of the 442 equivalent energy expenditure in improving insulin sensitivity as indicated by oral glucose 443 tolerance tests.[74] Hence in the context of sustained bouts of continuous exercise the issue 444 445 of the relative importance of exercise volume in terms of duration or total calorific cost of the

38

446 exercise, versus the intensity of the exercise remains to be resolved.

447

448 Interval training (HIIT and SIT) that utilise repeated brief bouts of exercise at intensities that 449 are greater than those used in exercise sessions involving a more prolonged single 450 continuous exercise bout has been demonstrated to induce significant increases in GLUT4 protein (up to 260%) and SI (25 – 35%).[17, 54, 58, 75] With the overall outcomes indicating 451 452 comparable and in some cases superior improvements in SI compared to moderate intensity 453 continuous exercise training [76], despite it involving substantially less time commitment and reduced total exercise volume. Additionally, Earnest et al., [75] found interval training to 454 benefit low HOMA<sub>IR</sub> patients as well as High HOMA<sub>IR</sub> patients, whereas moderate intensity 455 456 aerobic exercise only benefitted the High HOMAIR patients. Hence interval training could be beneficial to both, and for those with relatively mild insulin resistance it may be more 457 effective in preventing further decline and/or restoring SI. As an extension of this, it may be 458 speculated that HIIT could be a more effective preventative exercise regimen for 459 460 asymptomatic healthy individuals. Furthermore, Madsen et al.,[36] reported that HIIT 461 improved both HOMA-IR and  $\beta$  cell function in type 2 diabetic patients, hence it could be beneficial across the insulin resistance spectrum. 462

463

464 Shepherd et al., [77] investigated the efficacy of HIIT in a 'gym-setting' with ninety previously 465 inactive volunteers. In this study they reported that both HIIT and moderate intensity exercise improved SI, but HIIT achieved this with less than half the time commitment and 466 greater adherence. Such findings are important given that a 'lack of time' remains the most 467 468 commonly cited barrier to regular exercise participation.[78, 79] This, combined with reports of greater enjoyment when compared with sessions undertaken at a constant high intensity, 469 is likely to improve compliance, although the higher intensity of the exercise may make it 470 471 unsuitable for some 'at risk' individuals with cardiovascular issues. However, not all studies 472 have reported HIIT to improve insulin sensitivity, including those of Arad et al.[80] in which

overweight/obese African American women undertook 14-weeks of HIIT (3 sessions a 473 week), whilst maintaining a stable weight and that of Lanzi et al.[81] in which moderate 474 475 intensity exercise was more effective that HIIT in improving HOMA2-IR in obese men, 476 although the exercise intervention for this later study was only 2-weeks. The complexity of 477 the issue is exemplified in the findings of studies such as that of Fisher et al., [82] in which both HIIT and moderate intensity exercise improved SI, as determined by an OGGT, but did 478 479 not improve insulin resistance as determined by HOMA-IR (fasting insulin (µU/mI) x fasting 480 glucose (mmol/L)). In other work, whilst Matsuo et al. [83] reported beneficial trends in HOMA-IR following HIIT as well as moderate intensity exercise, the results only reached 481 482 statistical significance when the participants went on to follow a 4-week low-calories diet.

483

#### 484 Effects of resistance training upon SI

485 Whilst much of the early research into exercise and SI has focused on aerobic exercise, 486 recent exercise interventions using resistance training (REX) have demonstrated that this 487 mode of exercise can also improve indicators of glycaemic control in a variety of populations, including older overweight individuals with prediabetes [84] and postmenopausal women 488 489 [85]. However, the training adaptations may not always change all indicators of glycaemic 490 control as Eikenberg et al., [84], found that twice weekly resistance training for 12 weeks improved 2 hr OGTT results in their participants who commenced the study with impaired 491 492 glucose tolerance (IGT) and impaired fasting glucose (IFG), but not in those who commenced with impaired fasting glucose (IFG) without IGT. Likewise, REX did not alter 493 fasting glucose concentrations, AUC or ISI. 494

At a molecular level, REX consisting of 2 - 3 sessions per week for 8 - 26 weeks, can increase GLUT 4 concentrations and translocation by 30 - 70%, and enhance SI by 10 - 48%.[6, 17, 86] Some studies suggest that these improvements could be partially dependent upon the training stimulus increasing muscle mass, as well as qualitative changes within the

499 muscle.[86] With the metabolic adaptations potentially involving changes in the type 2A fibres that are likely to be recruited during REX, as well as Type 1 fibres. As indicated 500 501 elsewhere these adaptations may not be homogeneic between fibre types or exercise 502 modalities. Furthermore, since both endurance exercise and REX increase SI, it is possible 503 that a combination of these two training modalities could have additive benefits,[16] particularly if the molecular targets of these activities differ. Indeed the study by Inoue et al., 504 505 found that the combination of aerobic plus resistance exercise was better than aerobic 506 exercise alone at improving insulin sensitivity in post-pubertal obese adolescents.[87] These results concur with the findings of Dâmaso et al., [88] whose findings also suggested that the 507 combination of aerobic and resistance training had better metabolic outcomes than aerobic 508 509 training alone for obese adolescents. Furthermore, Nikseresht et al.,[89] compared the efficacy of aerobic interval training and resistance training and found them to be equally 510 effective in reducing insulin resistance and fasting insulin levels, but suggested that the 511 aerobic program had better anti-inflammatory effects. Consequently the findings of various 512 513 studies have contributed towards 'evidence-based' exercise recommendations now including 514 both aerobic and REX guidelines for healthy individuals.[17]

A recent study by Conceição et al. [90] adds further support to the incorporation of REX to 515 516 improve glycaemic control in postmenopausal women.[90] However, the influence of changes in muscle mass through resistance exercise requires further elucidation since 517 Molstead et al., reported improvements in fasting insulin, 2-hr insulin and the AUC for insulin 518 in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or type 2 diabetes who had no increase in 519 520 muscle mass, [91] whilst Mavros et al., reported that in their study the improvements in SI 521 (HOMA2-IR) in older patients with T2DM were associated with changes in skeletal muscle mass.[92] Hence further work is required to elucidate the impact of quantitative (mass) and 522 qualitative changes to the skeletal musculature on SI. Bacchi et al., in their study on patients 523 with T2DM and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease found that both REX and aerobic exercise 524 525 improved SI and reduced hepatic fat content.[63] Likewise, as previously mentioned,

Nikseresht *et al.*,[89] found resistance training to be as effective as aerobic interval training
in reducing insulin resistance in obese middle-aged men. Whereas Lee *et al.*, did not find
REX to improve SI in obese adolescent girls, whilst aerobic exercise did.[62]

529 Circuit training is another variation of exercise mode, including elements of resistance 530 training and HIIT, as the exercise sessions typically involve brief bouts of high intensity 531 muscular resistance exercise interspersed with rest periods. In studies involving obese 10 – 532 17 year olds with pre-diabetes and/or insulin resistance, it has been demonstrated to 533 improve insulin sensitivity, when undertaken with a dietary intervention.[93]

534

### 535 The effect of exercising in a fed or fasted state and other exercise-food manipulations

In 2010, Van Proeyen et al. [94] published a study in which they fed their participants a fat 536 rich (50% of kcal) hyper-caloric (~+30% kcal/day) diet for 6 weeks. During this time the 537 participants exercised (cycling and running) four times a week (2 x 60min and 2 x 90min). 538 Some of these participants exercised in a fasted state, whilst others ate a carbohydrate rich 539 breakfast ~90 min before the exercise, as well as receiving a carbohydrate drink during the 540 exercise session (CHO-Fed). There was also a non-exercise control group. The overall 541 outcome of this was that the group who trained in a fasted state did not increase their body 542 mass, unlike those in the control and CHO-fed groups. The fasted group also displayed 543 superior improvements in SI compared to the control group, whereas the CHO-fed group did 544 not. Furthermore the fasted group showed greater increases in GLUT4, and elevated AMP-545 activated protein kinase  $\alpha$  phosphorylation. The conclusions being that exercising in a fasted 546 547 state may enhance the exercise induced benefits to SI, compared to exercising when carbohydrate had been recently ingested. The enhancements of these training effects 548 appear to concur with the improved acute responses when exercising in a fasted state.[44] 549 In related work, as mentioned previously Matsuo et al.[83] reported that beneficial changes 550

in HOMA-IR were enhanced when the participants underwent a low-calories diet for 4-weeks
following the exercise intervention, even though the exercise intervention had ceased, thus
further highlighting the interaction between exercise and diet in influencing SI.

554 Other exercise-feeding manipulations that have received recent attention include 555 undertaking exercise before meals – 'exercise snacks' [95]. From which, findings indicate 556 that brief bouts of exercise (6 x 1min incline walking at 90% HR<sub>max</sub>) 30 minutes before main 557 meals improved glycemic control in individuals with insulin resistance.

558

## 559 Exercise, SI and changes to body mass

560 Numerous studies have reported that exercise induced improvements in SI are independent of changes to body composition or diet induced weight loss, and that the benefits of exercise 561 and weight loss are additive.[5, 6], as reported by de Sousa et al.,[96] who found football 562 training couple with weight loss improved insulin sensitivity and blood lipid profile, whereas 563 weight loss alone did not. However these findings are not unequivocal as some studies 564 report weight loss to be the key component to improving SI, for example, Stuart et al., found 565 that aerobic training without weight loss did not improve SI in individuals with metabolic 566 syndrome, whereas exercise with weight loss did, thereby implying that the main influence 567 568 on improving SI was weight loss rather than exercise.[15] Similarly, Trussardi Fayhn et al., found that exercise training did not add to the effect that weight loss had on improving SI in 569 570 obese individuals.[97]

571 By way of comparison, several recent studies suggest that the combination of exercise 572 training and diet is more effective than diet alone in improving SI, and even when the 573 additional benefits of exercise plus diet vs diet alone were modest,[62, 94] the inclusion of 574 exercise improved other markers of metabolic health.[53] Likewise, Mavros *et al.*, reported 575 that improvements in metabolic health in older patients with T2DM were mediated through 576 improvements in body composition only if they were achieved through high-intensity 577 progressive REX.[93]

578

# 579 Non-responders and adverse responders to exercise interventions

Whilst there is unequivocal evidence for physical activity improving population and 580 participant group mean values, indicating positive changes in the majority of participants, 581 582 within the data it is evident that there is considerable variation in the magnitude of response 583 to exercise interventions within the population: with some individuals displaying considerably greater changes in a variety of health-related outcome measures than others, despite 584 adhering to the same exercise regimen.[98] Additionally, the magnitude of change in one 585 586 factor, such as VO<sub>2 peak</sub>, is not necessarily associated with the magnitude of change in another factor. For example, in the HART-D study, a 9-month exercise training intervention 587 for patients with T2DM,[99] 57% of participants displayed an increase in their peak oxygen 588 uptake (VO<sub>2 peak</sub>), whilst the remaining 43% exhibited no change. Of those who did show an 589 590 improvement, only around two-thirds increased their  $VO_{2 \text{ peak}}$  by > 5% (high-responders to exercise), and one-third displayed < 5% increase (low-responders to exercise). Yet despite 591 this disparity in the magnitude of change in aerobic capacity, the exercise intervention 592 induced similar improvements in HbA<sub>1c</sub> and body composition (reduction % body fat) in both 593 responders and non-responders for VO<sub>2 peak</sub>. Hence the improvements in glycaemic control 594 595 were associated with participating in the exercise training, but were not associated with changes to aerobic fitness, expressed as percentage improvement in VO<sub>2 peak</sub>, which was 596 597 also a finding of the study by Herzig [65].

598 Furthermore, there is also evidence that a minority of the population may respond adversely 599 to exercise intervention, as reported in the HERITAGE study on 1,687 men and women, in 600 which 126 (8.4%) displayed an adverse change (increase >3.5 mU/L) in fasting insulin.[100] The underlying reasons for these adverse changes are unknown, but their elucidation may further the cause of individualised exercise prescription.[100]

603

## 604 Conclusion

Recently (published 2013 - 2016) studies involving physical activity confirm previous 605 research findings of its efficacy in improving SI. A lifestyle incorporating aerobic exercise 606 607 and/or physical activity that complies with the guidelines of being of moderate intensity for at least 30 minutes on 3 – 5 days per week, is associated with improved SI and glycaemic 608 control. Acute improvements in SI (2 - 72 h post exercise) occur after a single bout of 609 exercise and chronic adaptations are evident from training studies involving interventions 610 611 undertaken for at least 8-weeks. The benefits of physical activity/exercise are evident across all ages from children to older adults, including those categorised as asymptomatic/healthy, 612 pre-diabetic/metabolic syndrome, and patients with T2DM. However, the findings are not 613 unequivocal and even within studies not all indicators of insulin sensitivity and glycaemic 614 615 control display improvements. Indeed, even with increases in the expression of IRS-1 and GLUT4, decreases in insulin resistance are not guaranteed [69]. A dose response is 616 sometimes evident, and exercise sessions utilising higher intensities, including HIIT and SIT 617 can produce greater benefits to SI, but not always. Indeed there remains the question of 618 whether larger volumes of moderate intensity exercise or lower volumes of higher intensity 619 620 may not only produce a different magnitude of adaptation, but could do so via stimulating different adaptations. Researchers are also assessing whether lower volume sessions may 621 have the practical advantages of greater compliance, through increased enjoyment and a 622 623 lesser time commitment, since lack of time is a commonly given reason for non-compliance with exercise recommendations. 624

Whilst aerobic exercise interventions usually benefit SI, improvements in SI are not always associated with changes to aerobic fitness ( $VO_{2 max}$ ), for reasons that may be explained by the different adaptations induced by the exercise in the cardiovascular system and peripheral musculature.

REX can improve SI through qualitative changes within the muscle as well as increases in muscle mass but the benefits are not evident in all REX studies. However there is a growing body of evidence for including both aerobic exercise and REX in exercise regimens, as this appears to more effectively improve SI than either mode of exercise alone.

The debate continues over the relative importance of exercise versus weight loss for improving SI and whether the combination of the two is more efficacious for achieving good glycaemic regulation.

The molecular bases for exercise-training-induced improvements in SI are linked to increases in GLUT4 concentration and acute exercise-induced increases in Akt that deactivate TCB1D4 increasing GLUT4 translocation to the membrane, an effect that persists for several hours post-exercise. Additionally, the increased capillarisation of the skeletal muscle is another factor linked to improved SI. The concentration of ceramides within muscle may provide the casual link between a high concentration of intramuscular saturated fatty acids and impaired SI.

543 Studies in which improvements to SI were not reported may have been a consequence of 544 their interventions involving exercise intensities that were too low, durations that were too 545 short or a population group whose glycaemic control was relatively good at baseline and/or 546 were already 'recreationally active', and hence the capacity to change was limited.

647

648 What are the new findings?

In addition to adding further support to the established position that a lifestyle that includes
regular physical activity is associated with a good SI and exercise interventions can improve
SI, evidence is growing for the following key findings:

- Aerobic exercise may increase SI without a measurable increase in VO<sub>2 max</sub> or VO<sub>2</sub> 652 • 653 peak. A dose effect may be evident, with greater exercise volumes and higher exercise 654 intensities, including HIIT or SIT, producing greater benefits to SI. 655 The combination of aerobic exercise training and REX may be more effective than 656 either exercise mode alone. 657 Exercise induced benefits may be augmented by appropriate dietary and feeding 658 659 manipulations. Molecular research has identified key signalling molecules and proteins that are 660 • influenced by exercise and provide the link to resultant changes in SI. 661 Evidence is accumulating for ceramides to be the causal link between obesity and a 662 • reduced SI. 663 664 **Practical recommendations** 665 666 Despite the aforementioned general consensus, not all findings are consistent, and • the specific details of the most efficacious forms of exercise/physical activity for 667
- 668 improving or maintaining SI require further elucidation in order for exercise 669 prescription to be optimised.
- Research needs to assess the interaction of dietary/feeding manipulations and
   exercise on SI and glycaemic control, as these may augment the beneficial outcomes
   of the interventions.

Future research needs to consider the potential influence of exercise induced 673 • improvements to beta cell function and increased muscle capillarisation, alongside 674 the contribution of intramuscular changes that result in improved SI, GLUT4 675 676 availability and glycaemic control. 677 Studies will also need to consider potential differences in the adaptations induced by different: exercise modalities, Aerobic vs REX; exercise intensities and volumes, 678 including interval training (HIIT and SIT); and differences in the adaptations of 679 different fibre types. 680 681 Likewise, the potential to adapt and improve SI is likely to be influenced by the basal state of the participants: with healthy participants, overweight/obese, pre diabetic 682 683 metabolic syndrome, and diabetic patients all likely to differ in the magnitude of 684 adaptation and improvement. Given the evident benefits of physical activity/exercise interventions for preventing 685 686 diabetes, even amongst those with metabolic risk factors, studies aimed at identifying effective preventive strategies are paramount in order to prevent further increases in 687 the prevalence of T2D, particularly since only 10% of current clinical trials focus on 688 prevention and only ~12% use behavioural interventions such as physical activity 689

691

690

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their helpful andconstructive comments that assisted with the finalising of the manuscript.

rather than drugs, which are the focus of ~63.1% of studies.[101]

694 **Competing interests** The authors declare that there are no competing interests or conflicts 695 of interests regarding the publication of this article.

696 **Contributors** SRB undertook the initial search of the published literature and writing of the 697 paper. JAH provided expert specialist input into the molecular sections, the identification of

- 698 recent reviews that were used to present the established knowledge and contributed to the
- 699 writing and revisions to the final manuscript.
- **Funding** There was no funding associated with the literature searching or preparation of this
- 701 review article by the authors

#### 703 **References**

- International Diabetes Federation. IDF Atlas 5<sup>th</sup> edn;.International Diabetes Federation,
   Brussels. www.idf.org/diabetesatlas. 2011.
- 2. Boyle J, Thompson T, Gregg E, et al. Projection of the year 2050 burden of diabetes in
- the US adult population: dynamic modeling of incidence, mortality, and prediabetes
- prevalence. *Popul Health Metr* 2010; **8**:29.
- 3. DeFronzo RA, Tobin JD and Andres R. Glucose clamp technique; a method for
  quantifying insulin secretion. *Am J Physiol*, 1979; **237**: E214-E223.
- 4. Katz A, Srdhar S, Nambi KM, et al., Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index: a simple,
- accurate method for assessing insulin sensitivity in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*,
- 713 2000; **85**:2402-2410.
- 5. Berman LJ, Weigensberg MJ, Spruijt-Metz D. Physical activity is related
- to insulin sensitivity in children and adolescents, independent of adiposity: a review of the
- 716 literature. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2012; **28**:395-408.
- 6. Roberts CK, Little JP, Thyfault JP. Modification of insulin sensitivity and glycemic control
- by activity and exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2013; **45**:1868-77.
- 7. Hawley JA and Lessard SJ. Exercise training-induced improvements in insulin action. *Acta Physiol (Oxf)* 2008; **192**:127-35.
- 8. Conn VS, Koopman RJ, Ruppar TM, et al. Insulin sensitivity following exercise
- interventions: systematic review and meta-analysis of outcomes among healthy adults. J
- 723 *Prim Care Community Health* 2014 27; **5**:211-22.
- 9. Helmrich SP, Ragland DR, Leung RW, et al. Physical activity and reduced occurrence of
- non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1991; **325**:
- 726 147-152.

50

- 727 10. Richter EA and Hargreaves M. Exercise, GLUT4 and skeletal muscle glucose uptake.
   728 *Physiol Rev* 2013; **93**:993-1017.
- 11. Cartee GD. Roles of TBC1D1 and TBC1D4 in insulin- and exercise-stimulated glucose
   transport of skeletal muscle. *Diabetologia* 2015; **58**:19-30.
- 12. Sakamoto K and Holman GD. Emerging role for AS160/TBC1D4 and TBC1D1 in the
- regulation of GLUT4 traffic. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2008; **295**:E29-E37.
- 13. Deshmukh AS, Hawley JA, Zierath JR. Exercise-induced phospho-proteins in skeletal
  muscle. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008; **32** Suppl 4:S18-S23.
- 14. Katsuhiko F, Schweitzer GG, Sharma N, et al., Increased AS160 phosphorylation, but

not TCB1D1 phosphorylation, with increased postexercise insulin sensitivity in rat skeletal

737 muscle. *Am J Physiol – Endocrinol Metab* 2009; **297**:E242-251.

15. Stuart CA, South MA, Lee ML, *et al.* Insulin responsiveness in metabolic syndrome after
eight weeks of cycle training. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2013; **45**:2021-9.

16. Frøsig C, Richter EA. Improved insulin sensitivity after exercise: focus on insulin

signaling. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2009; **17** Suppl 3:S15-20.

17. Mann S, Beedie C, Balducci S, et al. Changes in insulin sensitivity in response to

743 different modalities of exercise: a review of the evidence. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 2014;
744 **30**:257-68.

18. Malin SK, Haus JM, Solomon TP, et al. Insulin sensitivity and metabolic flexibility

following exercise training among different obese insulin-resistant phenotypes. Am J

747 *Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2013; **305**:E1292-8.

19. Reichkendler MH, Auerbach P, Rosenkilde M, et al. Exercise training favors increased

insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in skeletal muscle in contrast to adipose tissue: a

randomized study using FDG PET imaging. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2013;

751 **305**:E496-E506.

- Daugaard JR, Nielsen JN, Kristiansen S, *et al.* Fiber type-specific expression of GLUT4
   in human skeletal muscle: influence of exercise training. *Diabetes* 2000; **49**:1092-1095.
- 21. Guo S. Insulin signalling, resistance, and the metabolic syndrome: insights from mouse
- models to disease mechanisms. *J Endocrinol* 2014; **220**:T1-T23.
- 22. de Matos MA, Ottone Vde O, Duarte TC, *et al.* Exercise reduces cellular stress related to
  skeletal muscle insulin resistance. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 2014; **19**:63-70.
- 23. Pehmoller C, Brandt N, Birk JB, et al. Exercise alleviates lipid-induced insulin resistance
- in human skeletal muscle-signalling interaction at the level of TBC1 domain family
- 760 member 4. *Diabetes* 2012; **61**:2743-52.
- 24. Bruce CR, Anderson MJ, Carey AL, *et al*. Muscle oxidative capacity is a better predictor
- of insulin sensitivity than lipid status. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2003; **88**:5444-51.
- 25. Dubé JJ, Amati F, Stefanovic-Racic M, et al. Exercise-induced alterations in
- intramyocellular lipids and insulin resistance: the athlete's paradox revisited. Am J
- 765 *Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2008; **294**:E882-8.
- 26. Dubé JJ, Amati F, Toledo FG, et al. Effects of weight loss and exercise on insulin
- resistance, and intramyocellular triacylyglycerol, diacylglycedrol and ceramide.
- 768 *Diabetalogia* 2011; **54**:1147-56.
- 769 27. Amanti F, Dubé JJ, Alvarez-Carnero E, et al. Skeletal muscle triglycerides,
- diacylglycerols, and ceramides in insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 2011; **60**:2588-97.
- 28. Chavez JA, Knotts TA, Wang L-P, et al. A role for ceramide, but not diacylglycerol, in the
- anatagonism of insulin signal transduction by saturated fatty acids. *The Journal of*
- 773 Biological Chemistry 2003; **278**:10297–303.
- 29. Zeirath JR. The path to insulin resistance: paved with ceramides? *Cell Metabolism* 2007;
  5:161–3.

30. Bergman BC, Brozinick JT, Strauss A, *et al.* Serum sphingolipids: relationships to insulin
sensitivity and changes with exercise in humans. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2015; **309**:E398-408.

31. Kasumov T, Solomon TPJ, Hwang H, *et al.* Improved insulin sensitivity after exercise
training is linked to reduced plasma C14:0 ceramide in obesity and type 2 diabetes. *Obesity* 2015; 23:1414-21.

32. Prior SJ, Blumenthal JB, Katzel LI, et al. Increased skeletal muscle capillarization after

aerobic exercise training and weight loss improves insulin sensitivity in adults with IGT.

784 Diabetes Care 2014; **37**:1469-75.

33. Prior SJ, Goldberg AP, Ortmeyer HK, et al. Increased skeletal muscle

786 capillarization independently enhances insulin sensitivity in older adults

787 after exercise training and detraining. *Diabetes* 2015; **64**:3386-95.

788 34. Malin SK, Solomon TP, Blaszczak A, *et al.* Pancreatic β-cell function increases in a

789 linear dose-response manner following exercise training in adults with prediabetes. Am

790 *J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2013; **305**:E1248-54.

35. Brennan AM, Lam M, Stotz P, et al. Exercise-induced improvement in insulin sensitivity

is not mediated by change in cardiorespiratory fitness. *Diabetes Care* 2014; **37**:e95-7.

36. Madsen SM, Thorup AC, Overgaard K, et al. High Intensity Interval Training Improves

794 Glycaemic Control and Pancreatic β Cell Function of Type 2 Diabetes Patients. *PLoS* 

795 One. 2015; **10**::e0133286

37. Slentz CA, Tanner CJ, Bateman LA, et al. Effects of exercise training intensity on

pancreatice beta-cell function. *Diabetes Care* 2009; **32**:1807-1811.

38. Rynders CA, Weltman JY, Jiang B, et al. Effects of exercise intensity on postprandial

improvement in glucose disposal and insulin sensitivity in prediabetic adults. J Clin

800 Endocrinol Metab 2014; **99**:220-8.

- 39. Newsom SA, Everett AC, Hinko A, *et al.* A single session of low-intensity exercise is
  sufficient to enhance insulin sensitivity into the next day in obese adults. *Diabetes Care*2013; **36**:2516-22.
- 40. Malin SK, Rynders CA, Weltman JY, Barrett EJ and Weltman A. Exercise intensity
- modulates glucose-stimulate insulin secretion when adjusted for adipose, liver and
   skeletal muscle insulin resistance. *PloS One* 2016; **11**:e0154063.
- 41. Bird SR, Hawley JA. Exercise and type 2 diabetes: new prescription for an old problem. *Maturitas* 2012; **72**:311-6.
- 42. Gibala MJ, Gillen JB and Percival ME. Physiological and health-related adaptation to
- 810 low-volume interval training: influences of nutrition and sex. *Sports Med* 2014; 44:S127811 S137.
- 43. Ortega JF, Fernández-Elías VE, Hamouti N, *et al.* Higher insulin-sensitizing response
- after sprint interval compared to continuous exercise. *Int J Sports Med* 2015; **36**:209-14.
- 44. Terada T, Wilson BJ, Myette-Cote E, et al. Targeting specific interstitial glycemic
- parameters with high-intensity interval exercise and fasted-state exercise in type 2
- diabetes. *Metabolism Clinical and Experimental* 2016; **65**:599-608.
- 45. Whyte LJ, Ferguson C, Wilson J, et al. Effects of single bout of very high-intensity
- 818 exercise on metabolic health biomarkers in overweight/obese sedentary men.
- 819 *Metabolism* 2013; **62**:212-9.
- 46. Uemura H, Katsuura-Kamano S, Yamaguchi M, et al. Abundant daily non-sedentary
- 821 activity is associated with reduced prevalence of metabolic syndrome and insulin
- resistance. *J Endocrinol Invest* 2013; **36**:1069-75.
- 47. Rosenberger HE, Goff DC, Isom S, *et al.* Relationship of weekly activity minutes to
- 824 metabolic syndrome in prediabetes: the healthy living partnerships to prevent diabetes.
- 825 *J Phys Act Health* 2013; **10**:690-8.

- 48. Caro J, Navarro I, Romero P, *et al.* Metabolic effects of regular physical exercise in
  healthy population. *Endocrinol Nutr* 2013; **60**:167-72.
- 49. Jiménez-Pavón D, Ruiz JR, Ortega FB et al. Physical activity and markers of insulin
- resistance in adolescents: role of cardiorespiratory fitness levels-the HELENA study.
- 830 *Pediatr Diabetes* 2013; **14**:249-58.
- 50. Telford RD, Cunningham RB, Telford RM, *et al.* Physical education can improve insulin
  resistance: the LOOK randomized cluster trial. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2013; **45**:1956-64.
- 51. Exercise and Type 2 Diabetes: American College of Sports Medicine and the American
- Biabetes Association: Joint Position Statement. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 2010; 42:22822303.
- 52. Motahari-Tabari N, Ahmad Shirvani M, Shirzad-E-Ahoodashty M, *et al.* The effect of 8
  weeks aerobic exercise on insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes: a randomized clinical
  trial. *Glob J Health Sci* 2014; **7**:115-21.
- 53. Ryan AS, Ge S, Blumenthal JB, *et al.* Aerobic exercise and weight loss reduce vascular
  markers of inflammation and improve insulin sensitivity in obese women. *J Am Geriatr*Soc 2014; **62**:607-14.
- 54. Mitranun W, Deerochanawong C, Tanaka H, *et al.* Continuous vs interval training on
  glycemic control and macro- and microvascular reactivity in type 2 diabetic patients. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2014; **24**: e69-76.
- 55. Skleryk JR, Karagounis LG, Hawley JA, et al. Two weeks of reduced-volume sprint
- 846 interval or traditional exercise training does not improve metabolic functioning in
- sedentary obese men. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2013; **15**:1146-53.
- 56. Trachta P, Drápalová J, Kaválková P, *et al.* Three months of regular aerobic exercise in
  patients with obesity improve systemic subclinical inflammation without major influence

- on blood pressure and endocrine production of subcutaneous fat. *Physiol Res* 2014; 63
  Suppl 2: S299-308.
- 57. Many G, Hurtado ME, Tanner C, *et al.* Moderate-intensity aerobic training program
- 853 improves insulin sensitivity and inflammatory markers in a pilot study of morbidly obese
- minority teens. *Pediatr Exerc Sci* 2013; **25**:12-26.
- 58. Racil G, Ben Ounis O, Hammouda O, *et al.* Effects of high vs. moderate exercise
- intensity during interval training on lipids and adiponectin levels in obese young females. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2013; **113**:2531-40.
- 59. Kurose S, Tsutsumi H, Yamanaka Y, et al. Improvement in endothelial function by
- 859 lifestyle modification focused on exercise training is associated with insulin resistance in
- obese patients. *Obes Res Clin Pract* 2014; **8**:e106-14.
- 60. Reichkendler MH, Rosenkilde M, Auerbach PL, *et al.* Only minor additional metabolic
- health benefits of high as opposed to moderate dose physical exercise in young,
- moderately overweight men. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2014; **22**:1220-32.
- 61. Di Raimondo D, Tuttolomondo A, Buttà C, et al. Metabolic and anti-inflammatory effects
- of a home-based programme of aerobic physical exercise. *Int J Clin Pract* 2013;
- **67**:1247-53.
- 62. Lee S, Deldin AR, White D, *et al.* Aerobic exercise but not resistance exercise reduces
  intrahepatic lipid content and visceral fat and improves insulin sensitivity in obese
- 869 adolescent girls: a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab* 2013;
- 870 **305**:E1222-9.
- 63. Bacchi E, Negri C, Targher G, *et al.* Both resistance training and aerobic training reduce
  hepatic fat content in type 2 diabetic subjects with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (the
  RAED2 Randomized Trial). *Hepatology* 2013; **58**:1287-95.

64. Motahari-Tabari N, Ahmad Shirvani M, Shirzad-E-Ahoodashty M, *et al.* The effect of 8
weeks aerobic exercise on insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes: a randomized clinical
trial. *Glob J Health Sci* 2015; **7**:115-21.

- 65. Herzig KH, Ahola R, Leppäluoto J, *et al.* Light physical activity determined by a motion
- 878 sensor decreases insulin resistance, improves lipid homeostasis and reduces visceral fat
- in high-risk subjects: PreDiabEx study RCT. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2014; **38**:1089-96.
- 66. Damirchi A, Tehrani BS, Alamdari KA, et al. Influence of aerobic training and detraining
- 881 on serum BDNF, insulin resistance, and metabolic risk factors in middle-aged men
- diagnosed with metabolic syndrome. *Clin J Sport Med* 2014; **24**:513-8.
- 67. Solomon TP, Malin SK, Karstoft K, *et al.* Pancreatic β-cell function is a stronger predictor
- of changes in glycemic control after an aerobic exercise intervention than insulin
  sensitivity. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2013; **98**:4176-86.
- 68. Grieco CR, Swain DP, Colberg SR, *et al.* Effect of intensity of aerobic training on insulin
- 887 sensitivity/resistance in recreationally active adults. *J Strength Cond Res* 2013;
- **27**:2270-6.
- 889 69. Chen CN, Chuang LM, Korivi M, et al. Home-based exercise may not decrease
- the insulin resistance in individuals with metabolic syndrome. J Phys Act
- *Health* 2015;**12**:74-9.
- 70. Ford ES and Herman WH, Leisure-time physical activity patterns in the U.S. diabetic
- 893 population. Findings from the 1990 National Health Interview Survey—Health Promotion
- and Disease Prevention Supplement. *Diabetes Care*,; 1995: **18B:** 27–33.
- 71.Troiano RP, Berrigan D, Dodd KW *et al.* Physical activity in the United States measured
  by accelerometer. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise* 2008; **40**: 181–188.

72. Health and Social Care Information Centre, Health Survey for England—2008: Physical
Activity and Fitness, The Information Centre, Leeds, UK, 2009, http://www.hscic.gov.uk/
pubs/hse08physicalactivity.

900 73. Colley RC, Garriguet D, Janssen I, *et al.* Physical activity of Canadian children and

901 youth: accelerometer results from the 2007 to 2009 Canadian health measures survey.

902 *Health Reports* 2011; **22**: 15– 23.

903 74. Duvivier BM, Schaper NC, Bremers MA, *et al.* Minimal intensity physical activity

904 (standing and walking) of longer duration improves insulin action and plasma lipids more

than shorter periods of moderate to vigorous exercise (cycling) in sedentary subjects

when energy expenditure is comparable. *PLoS One* 2013; **8**:e55542.

907 75. Earnest CP, Lupo M, Thibodaux J, *et al.* Interval training in men at risk for insulin
908 resistance. *Int J Sports Med.* 2013; **34**:355-63.

909 76. Gillen JB, Martin BJ, MacInnis MJ, et al. Twelve weeks of sprint interval training

910 improves indices of cardiometabolic health similar to traditional endurance training

911 despite a five-fold lower exercise volume and time commitment. *PloS One* 2016;

912 **11**:e0154075.

913 77. Shepherd SO, Wilson OJ, Taylor AS, *et al.* Low-volume high-intensity interval training in

a gym setting improves cardiometabolic and psychological health. *Plos One*, 2015;

915 **10**:e0139056.

916 78. Gibala MJ, Little JP, MacDonald MJ *et al.* Physiological adaptations to low-volume, high
917 intensity interval training in health and disease. *J Physiol* 2012; **590**:1077-84.

79. Trost SG, Owen N, Bauman AE, Sallis JF, Brown W. Correlates of adults' participation in
physical activity: review and update. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2002; **34**: 1996–2001.

80. Arad AD, DiMenna FJ, Thomas N, *et al.*, High-intensity interval training without weight
loss improves exercise but not basal or insulin-induced metabolism in overweight/obese
African American women. *J Apply Physiol* 2015; **119**:352-362.

81. Lanzi S, Codecasa F, Cornacchia M, *et al.* Short-term HIIT and Fat<sub>max</sub> training increases
aerobic and metabolic fitness in men with class II and III obesity. *Obesity* 2015; 23:19871994.

926 82. Fisher G, Brown AW, Bohan Brown MM, et al., High intensity interval- vs moderate

927 intensity- training for improving cardiometabolic health in overweight or obese males: a

randomized controlled trial. *Plos One* 2015; **10**:e0138853.

83. Matsuo T, So R, Shimojo N, *et al.* Effect of aerobic exercise training followed by a lowcalorie diet on metabolic syndrome risk factors in men. *Nut Metab Cardiovasc Disease*2015; **25**:832-838.

84. Eikenberg JD, Savla J, Marinik EL, et al. Prediabetes phenotype influences

933 improvements in glucose homeostasis with resistance training. *Plos One* 2016;

934 **11**:e0148009.

85. Oliveira FAO, Gadelha AB, Gauche R, et al., Resistance training improves isokinetic

strength and metabolic syndrome-related phenotypes in postmenopausal women. *Clinical* 

937 Interventions in Aging 2015; **10**:1299-1304.

86. Strasser B, Pesta D. Resistance training for diabetes prevention and therapy:

experimental findings and molecular mechanisms. *Biomed Res Int* 2013; **2013**:805217.

87. Inoue DS, De Mello MT, Foschini D, et al. Linear and undulating periodized strength plus

941 aerobic training promote similar benefits and lead to improvement of insulin resistance on

obese adolescents. *J Diabetes Complications* 2015; **29**:258-64.

88. Dâmaso AR, da Silveira Campos RM, Caranti DA, *et al.* Aerobic plus resistance training
was more effective in improving the visceral adiposity, metabolic profile and inflammatory
markers than aerobic training in obese adolescents. *J Sports Sci* 2014; **32**:1435-45.

89. Nikseresht M, Agha-Alinejad H, Azarbayjani MA, *et al.* Effects of nonlinear resistance
and aerobic interval training on cytokines and insulin resistance in sedentary men who
are obese. J Strength Cond Res. 2014; 28:2560-8.

949 90. Conceição MS, Bonganha V, Vechin FC, *et al.* Sixteen weeks of resistance training can

950 decrease the risk of metabolic syndrome in healthy postmenopausal women. *Clin Interv*951 *Aging* 2013; **8**:1221-1228.

952 91. Molsted S, Harrison AP, Eidemak I, *et al.* Improved glucose tolerance after high-load

953 strength training in patients undergoing dialysis. *Nephron Clin Pract* 2013; **123**:134-141.

954 92. Mavros Y, Kay S, Anderberg KA, *et al.* Changes in insulin resistance and HbA1c are

related to exercise-mediated changes in body composition in older adults with type 2

956 diabetes: interim outcomes from the GREAT2DO trial. *Diabetes Care* 2013; **36**:2372-9.

957 93. Garnett SP, Gow M, Ho M, et al. Improved insulin sensitivity and body composition,

958 irrespective of macronutrient intake, after a 12 month intervention in adolescents with pre-

diabetes; RESIST a randomised control trial. *BMC Pediatr* 2014; **14**:289.

960 94. Van Proeyen K, Szlufcik K, Nielens H, *et al.* Training in the fasted state improves
961 glucose tolerance during fat-rich diet. *J Physiol* 2010; **588**:4289-4302.

962 95. Francois ME, Baldi JC, Manning PJ, *et al.* 'Exercise snacks' before meals: a novel
963 strategy to improve glycemic control in individuals with insulin resistance. *Diabetologia*964 2014; **57**:1437-1445.

965 96. de Sousa MV, Fukui R, Krustrup P, *et al.* Positive effects of football on fitness, lipid
966 profile, and insulin resistance in Brazilian patients with type 2 diabetes. *Scand J Med*967 *Sci Sports* 2014; **24** Suppl 1:57-65.

968	97. Trussardi Fayh AP, Lopes AL, Fernandes PR, et al. Impact of weight loss with or without
969	exercise on abdominal fat and insulin resistance in obese individuals: a randomised
970	clinical trial. Br J Nutr 2013; <b>110</b> :486-92.

- 971 98. Winett RA, Davy BM, Savla J, et al. Using response variation to develop more effective,
- personalized behavioural medicine?: evidence from the Resist Diabetes study. *Transl Behav Med* 2014; **4**:333–8.
- 974 99. Pandey A, Swify DL, McGuire DK, *et al.* Metabolic effects of exercise training among
  975 fitness-nonresponsive patients with type 2 diabetes: the HART-D study. *Diabetes Care*976 2015; **38**:1494–501.
- 100. Bouchard C, Blair SN, Church TS, et al. Adverse Metabolic Response to Regular
- 978 Exercise: Is It a Rare or Common Occurrence? *PLoS one* 2012; **7**:e37887.
- 101. Lakey WC, Barnard K, Batch BC, *et al.* Are current clinical trials in diabetes addressing
  important issues in diabetes care? *Diabetologia* 2013; **56**:1226-1235.