

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Automation

in Construction

Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: AUTCON-D-16-00352R2

Title: The Building Information Modelling Trajectory in Facilities Management: A Review

Article Type: Review Article

Keywords: Building information modelling; data interoperability; facilities management; asset operations and maintenance

Corresponding Author: Miss Erika Anneli Pärn, BSc Architectural Technology, PGCert,

Corresponding Author's Institution: Birmingham City University

First Author: Erika Anneli Pärn, BSc Architectural Technology, PGCert,

Order of Authors: Erika Anneli Pärn, BSc Architectural Technology, PGCert,; David J Edwards, BSc, PhD; Michael C Sing, BSc, PhD

HIGHLIGHTS

- For new buildings, research into BIM-FM integration is rare.
- A review of developments and opportunities for BIM-FM integration is presented.
- Challenges posed include interoperability, performance enhancement and training.
- Future work seeks to produce commercial products and record contemporary practice.

1	The Building Information Modelling Trajectory in Facilities Management:
2	A Review
3	
4	Pärn, E.A. ¹ , Edwards, D.J. ² and Michael, C.P. Sing ³
5	
6	
7	¹ Faculty of Technology Environment and Engineering, Birmingham City University
8	City Centre Campus, Millennium Point, Birmingham B4 7XG, United Kingdom
9	Email: Erika.Pärn@bcu.ac.uk (Corresponding Author)
10	
11	_
12	² Faculty of Technology Environment and Engineering, Birmingham City University
13	City Centre Campus, Millennium Point, Birmingham B4 7XG, United Kingdom
14	Email: David.Edwards@bcu.ac.uk
15	
16	2
17	² Department of Building and Real Estate
18	7/F, Block Z, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University
19	Email: mcpsing@outlook.com
20	

21 ABSTRACT

There is a paucity of literature that examines building information modelling (BIM) for asset 22 management within the architecture, engineering, construction and owner-operated (AECO) 23 sector. This paper therefore presents a thorough review of published literature on the latest 24 research and standards development that impact upon BIM and its application in facilities 25 management (FM) during the operations and maintenance (O&M) phase of building usage. The 26 purpose is to generate new ideas and provide polemic clarity geared to intellectually challenge 27 readers from across a range of academic and industrial disciplines. The findings reveal that 28 29 significant challenges facing the FM sector include the need for: greater consideration of longterm strategic aspirations; amelioration of data integration/ interoperability issues; augmented 30 31 knowledge management; enhanced performance measurement; and enriched training and 32 competence development for facilities managers to better deal with the amorphous range of services covered by FM. Future work is also proposed in several key areas and includes: case 33 34 studies to observe and report upon current practice and development; and supplementary research related to concepts of knowledge capture in relation to FM and the growing use of BIM for asset 35 36 management.

37

38 KEYWORDS

Building information modelling, data interoperability, facilities management, asset operations and
 maintenance

-1-

41 **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

The proliferation of advanced computerisation throughout industry has revolutionised the way that 42 buildings are designed, constructed, operated and maintained [1]. Today, computerisation is firmly 43 44 embedded within a building's lifecycle from earliest concept through to occupation and operation, a transition made possible via disruptive technologies such as Building Information Modelling (BIM) 45 46 which have displaced traditional approaches and created virtual communities of practice (CoP) [2]. A virtual CoP represents an extensive 'multiple stakeholder' collaboration platform that is generated 47 during design and construction through a single integrated BIM [1]. The dynamic, open access, 48 digital environment afforded by BIM enables storage, sharing and integration of information for 49 buildings' operations and management (O&M) (ibid). BIM can embed key product and asset data 50 within a three-dimensional computer model to effectively and efficiently manage building 51 information [3]. Consequently, BIM deployment becomes extremely invaluable to organisations that 52 53 seek to reap inherent value and efficiency gains from the technology [4, 5].

54

However, capturing a building's intricate and expanding portfolio of data requirements for facilities 55 management (FM) is complex and requires facilities managers with tenacious strategic and tactical 56 skills [6,7]. These skills encompass diverse roles and duties may include the strategic planning and 57 management of: plant operations; computer systems analysis; building assets; interior operations; and 58 day-to-day tactical operations of assets and staff [8]. The problems related to optimising O&M are 59 further exacerbated by the vast complexity and volume of data and information generated during a 60 61 building's whole life cycle [9]. Automating this amorphous range of roles and duties, and engendering intelligent decision support, are feasible with the aid of BIM-FM integration [10,11,12]. 62 However, within the UK, practitioners¹ reside within a transition period of adopting BIM and the 63 extant literature simultaneously discloses limitations in: related procedures [13]; established 64 65 standards [12]; and computerised FM system integration [11]. Many practitioners have sought bespoke pathways to adopting new technologies in a climate of exponential technological 66 advancement but few have sought guidance from more technologically advanced sectors as aerospace 67 and automotive manufacturing [14]. Inconsistencies in technology adaptation are complicated by a 68 paucity of standardisation within FM procedures and processes. At present, the literature contains 69 limited evidence of applied studies of hybrid BIM-FM environment development and the tangible 70 benefits to be accrued from such [12,9]. 71

¹ Practitioners in the context of this paper includes all parties involved in construction project development including: client's estates department; construction manager; architect; mechanical electrical plumbing designer; structural engineer; sub-contractor; and consultant.

To provide polemic clarity of the emergent hybrid BIM-FM environment, this research aims to: i) conduct a critical synthesis of extant literature and identify key challenges around BIM-FM integration; and ii) investigate state of-the-art tools used for BIM-FM knowledge capture. In realising these aims, the objectives are to argue the case for greater BIM-FM integration and stimulate wider debate and software development amongst academics and practitioners from a broader range of industrial sectors (including aerospace and automotive manufacturing). Knowledge transfer from these more technologically advanced industries will be beneficial to the AECO sector.

- 80
- 81

2.0 FACILITIES MANAGEMENT: DEFINITIONS, INFLUENCES AND CHALLENGES

FM represents an integrated approach to maintaining, improving and adapting an organisation's 82 buildings to promote a fertile environment that supports the organisation's primary objectives 83 [15,16]. Literature is replete with FM definitions, for example, Alexander [17] defines FM as: "the 84 process by which an organization delivers and sustains support services in a quality environment to 85 meet strategic needs." McGregor and Then [18] further proffer that FM is: "a hybrid management 86 discipline, which combines the management expertise of people, property and process(es).(p.1)", 87 88 whilst Nutt [19] defines FM as: "a supporting tool to obtain sustainable and operational strategy for an organisation over time through management of infrastructure resources and services.(p.462)". 89 Chotipanich [20] elucidates the benefits derived from FM, highlighting improvements in managing 90 facility resources, support services and working environment. 91

92

These delineations illustrate that the definition of FM has evolved over time and this can be attributed 93 to several influential, interventional factors which impact upon the configuration of FM regime 94 adopted. These factors can be conveniently allocated to three thematic groupings: i) business 95 environment – including organisational structure [16,21]; business objectives [22]; and company 96 97 culture and contextual issues [23]; ii) buildings and facilities characteristics - for example, facility type [23]; location; and size (*ibid.*); and iii) external interventions/ factors – such as business needs 98 and processes [18]; asset maintenance priorities [24, 22]; legislation [21]; and interrelationships with 99 other contractors [16]. In synthesising and evaluating the literature, Chotipanich [20] suggests 100 101 categorising these factors as *internal factors* (i.e. characteristics of the organisation, facility features and business sectors) or external factors (i.e. social, economic, legislative and regulative, local 102 culture and context and market context for FM) [25]. Appraising this eclectic mix of definitions and 103 factors illustrates that internal factors have received wider attention vis-à-vis external factors, even 104 105 though the latter are quintessentially important to organisational resilience and business stability [15]. 106

Information is critical for supporting efficient and effective building maintenance and day-to-day 107 operations [15.24,26]. However, the FM sector continues to grapple with information management. 108 predominantly due to the peculiarity of information and its fragmentation [1, 7]. These two causal 109 factors are attributed as being the leading causes for knowledge loss within the architecture, 110 engineering, construction, owner-operated (AECO) sector [27]. Computerisation alleviates asset 111 information capture and retrieval, but knowledge capture and automated data analysis is limited 112 within computer aided facilities management (CAFM) systems [15,11]. Commonly established 113 CAFM tools are: computer aided design (CAD) (*ibid.*); integrated workplace management systems 114 (IWMS) [28]; enterprise asset management (EAM) [29]; and computerized maintenance management 115 systems (CMMS) [30]. Although these disparate tools have inherently different capabilities and 116 functions, a vital prerequisite to implementing an appropriate CAFM system is that an organisation 117 perceives data as its most invaluable asset [31]. A recent survey result is juxtaposed against this 118 119 position and reveals that 43% of UK employees do not understand the value of business data [32].

120

The performance of FM must be measurable via knowledge management (KM) [33]. However, 121 agreement over a common definition of KM remains a vexatious issue in FM [34,35,36]. For 122 example, Bosch et al. [37] suggest that KM encapsulates a process of managing corporate knowledge 123 to facilitate competitive advantage and organisational success, whilst Bhatt [38] emphasises KM 124 characteristics and traits such as learning, collaboration, experimentation and implementation of 125 powerful information systems. Commonly used FM performance measurement tools include: post-126 occupancy evaluation [38]: British Institute for Facilities Management (BIFM) measurement protocol 127 [40]; key performance indicators (KPIs) [23]; and the balanced scorecard (BSC) [41] – refer to Table 128 1. Many of these tools are antiquated, often subjective and frequently client driven - consequently, 129 130 they may fail to accurately portray issues facing the facilities management team (FMT) [33].

- 131
- 132

<Insert Table 1 FM performance measurement tools>

133

134 2.1 BIM-FM INTEGRATION

The UK Government define BIM as: "a collaborative way of working, underpinned by digital technologies which unlock more efficient methods of designing, creating and maintaining assets" [63], whilst Succar [3] defines BIM as: "a set of interacting policies, processes and technologies producing a methodology to manage the essential building design and project data in digital format throughout the building's life-cycle." The capacity to harness valuable data and information throughout a building's life cycle is integral within these ubiquitous definitions (*ibid*). BIM has

-4-

orchestrated a paradigm shift in the way that information is managed, exchanged and transformed to stimulate greater collaboration between stakeholders via a single integrated model during the design and construction phases [1]. This integrated approach to BIM ensures a smooth flow of information between all stakeholders and is specified and articulated through Levels of Development or Design [1,64] The Level of Design (LOD) is classified to range from LOD 100 (covering a conceptual 'low definition' design) to LOD 500 (for an as-built 'high definition' model). In practice, models that provide LOD500 are rare.

148

149 BIM and FM integration can be classified as 6D modelling (refer to Table 2) [65], where nD modelling is defined as the addition of supplementary information to three-dimensional model(s) for 150 151 analysis and simulation purposes. BIM-FM integration is increasingly utilised for the building's O&M and provides several benefits which include: augmented manual processes of information 152 handover; improved accuracy of FM data (e.g. manufacturer specifications); and increased efficiency 153 of work order execution to access data and locate interventions [66]. Watson [67] recommends that 154 every constructed facility requires a bespoke BIM model, analogous to an owner's manual, with 155 mandates for model updates that correspond to periodic repair or refurbishment works. In practice, 156 6D BIM data becomes the FMT's responsibility but this can create problems in other areas 157 [11,12,68]. For example, Teicholz [26] reports a litany of issues including: inconsistent naming 158 conventions; a myriad of bespoke FMT information requirements; inadequate data categorization in 159 BIM and CAFM systems; poor information synchronization; and lack of methodology to capture 160 existing facilities and assets. During O&M, more than 80% of an FMT's time is consumed finding 161 relevant information that is often disregarded by designers during pre-construction work [11]. Such 162 information is important when handing-over an accurate as-built model to building owners for the 163 164 purpose of asset management. The Institution of Civil Engineers [69] states that the provision of a reliable, BIM-sourced suite of information can eliminate these issues. A lack of tacit knowledge and 165 166 technical expertise within the FMT represents a major obstacle to the ICE's (*ibid*) assertion.

- 167
- 168
- 169

<Insert Table 2 Dimension of BIM>

McArthur [71] contends that identifying information required to inform operational decisions is critical to configuring data retrieval techniques at the post-construction stages - yet this task, and linking such to the as-built model for O&M usage, remains problematic [72]. Meadati *et al.* [73] observe that inconsistences between demand and availability of particular information in an as-built model incur unnecessary expenditures. Thus, linking data and configuring retrievable information within the as-built model for the project's post-construction operational phase must be consideredduring the design and development of BIM data.

177

BIM offers the FMT opportunities to manipulate and utilise information contained within 3D objects 178 179 [74]. However, Lavy *et al.* [75] find that during the design phase, participants in a BIM project focus predominantly upon clash detections and ignore future-proofing maintenance accessibility. The 180 authors (*ibid*) highlight potential in BIM for designers to explore the background geometry and 181 parametric database and incorporate functions to assist the FMT anticipate and solve maintenance 182 accessibility issues. Similarly, Meadati et al. [73] and Motawa and Almarshad [30] propose 183 additional tools to improve BIM performance at the O&M stage by more effectively engaging 184 stakeholders. Longstreet [76] further adds that the value of implementing BIM increases 185 exponentially as a project lifecycle unfolds. This is because BIM value in FM stems from 186 improvements to: current manual processes of information handover; accuracy of FM data; 187 188 accessibility of FM data; and efficiency increases in work order execution [12]. Consequently, FMT involvement during the BIM development process is essential because the building delivery team can 189 be alerted of any issues related to O&M. Interestingly, Bosch et al. [37] contradict this position and 190 conclude that the current added value of BIM in the O&M stage is marginal due to a lack of 191 alignment between the supply of, and demand for, FM related information and the context-dependent 192 role of information. Although this view (*ibid*) is contrary to those stated within the broader academic 193 discourse, it does resonate with Kassem et al. [12] who concede that BIM-FM integration represents 194 195 a major challenge.

196 197

2.2 INDUSTRY STANDARDISATION AND INTEROPERABILITY

The FM industry standards acknowledge the importance of an organisation's strategic management 198 of its property assets. ISO 55000 (ISO, 2014) for example, is regarded as the principal international 199 document for establishing conformity in asset management, where asset management is defined as 200 the: "coordinated activity of an organisation to realise value from assets." Other emergent standards 201 (which cover building asset maintenance and management) include: PAS 55:2008 published by BSI; 202 ISO 55001 and ISO 55002. Notably, the greatest influx of standardisation occurred between 2010-203 2014 such as ISO 16739: 2013 (covering industry foundation classes (IFCs)); PAS 1192:1,-5, 204 (covering data format specification), ISO:29481;1, (covering BIM information manual). Importantly, 205 these standards profoundly stress the standardisation of data exchange formats for improved semantic 206 data interoperability. Figure 1 presents an abridged timeline overview of prominent UK and 207 international standards governing FM, alongside the key developments in FM and BIM 208 209 documentation in the UK. These standards provide coverage of: data management; naming

conventions; common data environment; IFCs data management and interoperability; as well as construction information transfer. Construction Operations Building Information Exchange (COBie) standards (published since 2007 in the US and later adopted as British Standard in 2014) help to improve the handover of asset related data via the BIM model to the facility managers and/ or building owners [1,77]. This improvement is achieved by standardisation of data management in COBie for improved interoperability between BIM and CAFM systems [37].

- 216
- 217 218

< Insert Figure 1 Development of BIM and FM standards>

219 2.3 DATA INTEGRATION

Data integration is embedded within the broader concept of interoperability between systems, 220 services or programs [78] and is commonly defined as: "the combination of data from different 221 sources with unified access to the data for its users" [79]. Inadequate data integration is a constant 222 223 issue amongst building information modellers because of differences in syntax, schema or semantics. Multiple levels of data interoperability exist. However, out of the six levels of conceptual 224 interoperability, 'semantic interoperability' is the one most applied to BIM data integration with 225 other systems. Semantic heterogeneity of data results from different meanings or interpretations of 226 data that may arise from various contexts (ibid). Hence, data integration and interoperability are 227 inextricably linked when discussing BIM and other systems that need to integrate with it. 228

229

230 Data interoperability issues related to integration of BIM data with existing FM systems may be resolved through of ISO 16739 certification 16739). 231 partly the use (ISO, The IFCs specification within ISO 16739 is an open and neutral data file format for data sharing and 232 233 exchange within construction and FM, affording greater integration between BIM software vendors. IFCs is the only object orientated 3D "vendor-neutral BIM data format for the semantic information 234 of building objects" [77]. IFCs models have been used as the file format for transferring BIM model 235 data into CAFM tools due to the lack of interoperability between existing CAFM tools and the 236 growing number of commercially available BIM packages [11]. Emerging literature on data 237 integration between BIM and FM shows that software interoperability remains a significant and 238 239 persistent obstacle [11,80, 81].

240

241 **3.0 BIM AS A FACILITATOR FOR FM EFFICIENCY**

Environmental impact and stricter environmental regulations have required the AECO sector to manage resources more efficiently [82]. This includes a building's O&M costs which far exceed capital expenditures (CapEx) incurred during design and construction [21]. According to Mirjana and

Milan [83], the cost of O&M occupies more than 80% in the lifecycle of the building. The global 245 economic crisis has further exacerbated the need for organisations to cut business overheads in 246 response to tighter budgets [82]. Within this climate of financial austerity, BIM has been heralded as 247 a facilitator for improvements in FM efficiency by enhancing the integration of FM related 248 249 information [82,11,84]. These improvements are accrued during: the generation and management of a facility's digital specification and characteristics data; and cooperation between all parties involved 250 in both building design and operation [20]. Consequently, BIM can overcome some of the 251 complexity and fragmentation experienced within the FM sector [84]. 252

253

The effective management of asset maintenance is heavily reliant upon continuous and reliable 254 255 information on asset inventory, condition and performance [85]. Such non-geometrical information can be gathered and integrated with existing geometrical data retrievable in the BIM environment. 256 257 This affords ease of access for information retrieval and enhanced visual recognition when locating 258 facility assets [4]. Such measures provide substantial enhancements to traditional methods of managing assets during the O&M phase; case studies of BIM applied to FM have demonstrated 259 palpable long-term benefits for O&M [86, 87]. An early case study observed a 98% reduction in time 260 and resourcing for producing and managing an FM database through BIM [88]. Similarly, the Sydney 261 Opera House case study demonstrates increased efficacy in data consistency, data mining and 262 operating from a single source of information for the FMT [1]. Evidence also reveals how BIM has 263 orchestrated efficient data retrieval and storage, and reduced time and resource spent on finding 264 265 relevant equipment and building materials information [1,87]. Ding et al. [89] further reinforces these findings and reveals that BIM enabled FM witnessed a 98% reduction in time used to update FM 266 databases. 267

268

Implementing BIM in FM also allows asset owners to formulate intelligent decisions on facility 269 270 related activities, and consequently optimize the outcome [75]. Because BIM facilitates collaboration 271 and information integration during the O&M phases, it is beneficial for processing large sets of 272 complex information typically associated with maintaining building assets [4]. The aggregation of 273 various FM information perspectives requires a high-level integration generated by different 274 stakeholders using multiple sources such as maintenance records, work orders, causes and knock-on effects of failures [90]. It also describes how information flows through three different analysis 275 276 nodes, namely: legal; technical; and administrative aspects. Each node produces outputs that are 277 influential to others in order to correctly process and interpret data. However, when examining FM holistically and how extensive its information perspectives and disciplines are, it can be argued that 278 three nodes cannot provide universal coverage of all sources of information flow. Given the inherent 279

complexity of facilities and FM maintenance procedures, BIM process adaptation offers exciting
 opportunities for encapsulating such data for asset maintenance. Future research is needed to further
 substantiate the potential benefits afforded by BIM-FM integration using real life case-studies [11].

283 284

3.1 OBSTACLES IN BIM-FM INTEGRATION

285 As-built BIM models require data updates when maintenance work is conducted to ensure that the most recent asset history data is readily available for the FMT [24]. This movement towards BIM 286 reuse for FM imposes new processes and tasks for the FMT, and represents a challenge for BIM-FM 287 integration (ibid). For example, BIM and CAFM integration has been heavily criticized for limited 288 data interoperability, namely the aptitude for transferring appropriate FM semantic data [13], whilst 289 Bosch et al. [37] find the benefits of BIM for operations are marginal. Incongruence between the 290 supply of, and demand for, information has also proved to be the key obstacle of BIM-FM 291 integration. Although BIM enables greater data integration, such data is not necessarily presented in 292 a pertinent semantic format for FM [91]. FMT involvement in the design and construction phase 293 could improve interoperability of semantic data and hence the delivery of O&M [75]. COBie has 294 295 similarly been criticised for its inability to ensure comprehensive semantic data for FM and provide guidance for the design team on sourcing additional operational semantic data for FM [13]. Table 3 296 presents a critical synthesis and evaluation of the benefits derived from BIM-FM integration and the 297 298 corresponding obstacles reported in the literature.

299

300

- 301
- 302

<Insert Table **3** Overview of the commonly outlined benefits and corresponding obstacles in the BIM-FM integration>

Decision making for the O&M of assets directly influences the annual expenditure of buildings [8]. 303 304 However, accurate decision-making is unnecessarily convoluted given disintegration of multiple databases and data formats used [21]. Often decisions derive from various information sources (i.e. 305 306 historical data, design drawings, inspection records and sensor data) which frequently reside in separate text-based spreadsheets [99]. Decisions based upon their large, textual based, data sets are 307 unintuitive, time consuming and prone to human error (ibid.). Moreover, FM is inextricably linked to 308 business operations within a building which vary building-to-building, hence the need for a tailored 309 service [100,18]. The challenge is for BIM to provide strategic decision making for improved 310 maintenance performance - often measured in terms of cost, time, health and safety, functionality and 311 maintainability [101]. Successfully integrated CAFM and BIM systems provide an invaluable source 312 of knowledge capture for existing facilities [102]. Figure 2 demonstrates how functions of existing 313 314 maintenance processes have been integrated via BIM and CMMS, BIM and CAFM and in BIM

Expert systems; it also illustrates that decision support and diagnosis is yet to be achieved using BIM systems or with BIM and CAFM systems.

- 317
- 318

<Insert Figure 2 O&M functions mapped with CAFM, CMMS, BIM tools>

319

Knowledge capture becomes beneficial for predictive and preventative maintenance where asset 320 information and operation data is accumulated and turned into insights about FM [109,72]. A dearth 321 of studies demonstrate initial concepts of knowledge capture in relation to FM and the growing use of 322 323 BIM for asset management. Table 4 summarises these studies to provide a foundation for knowledge based predictive maintenance management with BIM. Hassanain et al. [110] were the first to propose 324 325 an IFCs based data model for an integrated maintenance management system. Later, Hassanain et al. [109] proposed an object-oriented method for supporting the information exchange between different 326 327 domains in an FM project which allows the computer applications used by all project participants to 328 share and exchange the project information. For example, using the concept of virtual reality, Chen and Wang [99] developed a 3D visual approach for maintenance management which provides the 329 FMT with component and maintenance information. 330

- 331
- 332

<Insert Table 4 State of the art knowledge based decision tools in FM >

333

Lin and Su [64] developed a BIM-based facility maintenance management system for the FMT in the 334 335 O&M phase - this allows the FMT to access and review 3D BIM models for updating maintenance records in a digital format. The study proved that the structured information handover is fundamental 336 to implementing BIM for FM. Motamedi et al. [72] also applied information generated from BIM to 337 338 detect failure patterns of building components. As IFCs (and model view definitions (MVD) integral within these) are published and maintained by the buildingSMART alliance, it is currently supported 339 340 by circa 150 software applications worldwide and used throughout industry [117]. The interoperability of the IFCs format allows designers, contractors and the FMT to utilize different 341 342 software through the entire building lifecycle and improve the building's maintainability. Motawa and Almarshad [30] developed knowledge-based Building Information Modeling (K-BIM) that has 343 344 been highly advocated in the field of facility management. Unlike the traditional application of BIM, the K-BIM proposed to capture the failure-cause-effect pattern of the component failure and then link 345 346 to the corresponding elements of the BIM.

347

348 Whilst an influx of innovative, state-of-the-art tools demonstrate knowledge capture, limited 349 evidence exists to substantiate the presence of a systematic feedback loop from the FMT (reporting

upon actual- vis-a-vis predicted-building performance) to other relevant stakeholders engaged earlier 350 in the development (e.g. design team members, contractors and other parties within the supply chain). 351 BIM is heralded as a new facilitator for collaboration, however the key beneficiaries of building 352 performance knowledge should not be limited to the FMT in the post occupancy phase. In order to 353 354 facilitate a CoP that could augment the performance of future building developments, such knowledge is most valuable when fed back to participating stakeholders during the design and 355 construction phases. Optimising the effectiveness of knowledge generated will require existing and 356 future generations of personnel to be fully trained and competent in computer software systems, 357 applications and developments. Figure 3 presents a diagrammatic representation of the potential for a 358 knowledge based feedback loop from BIM and FM data integration. This development could 359 improve interoperability in several key areas. First, data pertaining to a building's operational 360 performance during the O&M phase allows clients to develop optimum strategic maintenance plans. 361 362 Second, comparison between actual and predicted building performance will allow both designers 363 and contractors to improve the performance of future building developments [13].

- 364
- 365

5 <Insert Figure 3 Potential for the knowledge based feedback loop from BIM and FM integration>

366

367 4.0 CONCLUSIONS

368 The extant literature is replete with widespread endorsement for BIM, which is seen to expedite the enhancement in building data management throughout the building's life-cycle. The increased 369 370 demand for data management due to computerisation within the AECO industry has engendered a shift in existing processes towards more model based collaboration that has impacted upon the way in 371 which buildings are operated and maintained. BIM and computerised FM tools used to manage and 372 operate building asset data are ubiquitous whilst FMT requirements are often unique and bespoke. 373 374 While some academics expound the virtues of COBie, anecdotal evidence suggests that this one shoe fits all approach is not well received by practitioners - indeed, the general consensus appears to 375 suggest that there is little value in collecting data for the sake of such. Nevertheless, the inherent 376 complexity of FM maintenance procedures presents exciting opportunities for encapsulating rich 377 semantic data within BIM at the earlier stages of the building life cycle (design and construction). 378 This early integration of both geometric and semantic data would prove invaluable to the FMT during 379 building occupancy, particularly with respect to monitoring building performance. In turn, a more 380 accurate measurement of building performance in-use provides a virtual circle and invaluable 381 382 knowledge based feedback opportunity for designers and contractors to improve the development of future projects commissioned. 383

However, efficient utilisation and integration of complex FM semantic data in BIM poses three 385 significant challenges. First, computerisation technology is developing at an exponential pace and 386 hence, training personnel to keep abreast of the latest knowledge and developments can be 387 problematic for industry. Higher education institutes (and other education providers) must collaborate 388 389 more closely with practitioners to fully embrace the concept of a life-long learner in order to avoid tacit knowledge redundancy within the workforce. Second, there is a lack of alignment in the supply 390 and demand of FM semantic data from project clients, which can also indicate an inadequate 391 understanding in what semantic data is usable or required during the building's life cycle. Realising a 392 393 solution to this issue will be multifaceted but is likely to include a combination of aspects relating to greater knowledge management, better education during building conception, supported by a robust 394 395 form of procurement. Third, data within BIM for FM is not fully exploited for the decision support knowledge inherent within it. Therefore the opportunity to enhance a building's performance using 396 397 rich semantic data is lost. This issue is further exacerbated by gaps in software interoperability when transitioning between as-built BIM and a CAFM system. Subsequently, the broad range of geometric 398 and semantic data embedded in BIM model, points to the potential to augment data analysis and 399 generate accurate knowledge capture and decision making. Opportunities are myriad but include the 400 greater use of plug-ins to meet bespoke client requirements and machine learning algorithms to assist 401 with the collation and interpretation of voluminous data accrued throughout the building's life cycle. 402

Future research is however needed to: i) further develop the concepts of, and applied methodological 404 approaches for, knowledge capture in relation to FM and the growing use of BIM for asset 405 management. Such work should aspire to produce tangible commercial products founded upon robust 406 testing by scientific validation; ii) substantiate the potential benefits afforded by BIM-FM integration 407 408 using real life case-studies as a means of broadening the industrial engagement, collaboration and future participation. To date, case studies of practice-based initiatives are scant or provide 409 410 rudimentary insight into the myriad of opportunities available to clients and the building's FMT; and iii) conduct comparative analysis between BIM applications within the AECO sector and more 411 412 technologically advanced industries such as aerospace and automotive. Such analysis may propagate the transference of readily available solutions to challenges reported upon in this paper. Automation 413 within the BIM-FM integration process will revolutionise how buildings are conceived, developed, 414 built and utilised - the challenges and opportunities identified here require innovative solutions to 415 416 transform industry practice and should be augmented with far greater industry-academic collaboration and education. 417

418

419 Table **1** FM performance measurement tools

Performance Measurement Tools	Definitions and Attributes	Authors
Post-occupancy evaluation	The evaluation of a building's performance in use by auditing client satisfaction. Implemented at the concluding stage of the design process, this can identify potential system inefficiencies and improve design and procurement for future projects.	[39, 42, 43, 44, 45]
Business excellence model (BEM)	Represents a conceptual framework to measure business performance, using processes based upon cause and effect. More widely accepted and more effective than other types of performance measurement tool.	[33,46,47,48]
Capability maturity model (CMM)	A process maturity framework of five maturity levels (the structural components that comprise the CMM Software), based upon a software development evaluation methodology which has been introduced to other disciplines.	[33,49,50]
Balanced scorecard (BSC)	A semi-standard structured report used by managers to monitor staff activities and any consequences arising from these actions It may include additional perspectives such as service, physical, financial, community, environmental and utilisation. The most popular method of measurement in the FM field.	[33,41,51,52,53,54]
BIFM measurement protocol	Measures the effectiveness of facility management operation in terms of cost and attempts to measure value for money. Aims to resolve the problems resulting from the amorphous range of services covered by FM and represents a first step in the development of standardised facilities management performance measurement.	[13,51,55]
Hierarchical system of performance indicators	Key performance indicators (KPIs) that have intrinsic mutual relationships/ dependencies with other KPIs that are linked through a hierarchal structure.	[56]
Benchmarking and cost of operation	Benchmarking reflects the ethos of promoting continuous improvement, determined from both within and outside the organisation. It is the associated tool used to achieve critical success factors such as operational service efficiency	[57]
Key performance indicators (KPIs)	A performance measure of the success of an organisation or activity in which it engages; performance indicators are seen to deliver a service and are used to select providers of FM; KPIs seek to benchmark industry performance with a view to improving it; results show that there is a relationship between types of maintenance strategy implemented and end user satisfaction. Widely used performance measurement tool in FM.	[23,58,59,60,61]
Input versus output based performance measurement	Seeks to develop standardized performance metrics.	[51,62]
Service balanced scorecard (SBS)	A method for measuring facility performance that encompasses financial and non-financial indicators.	[52]

420 Table **2** Dimensions of BIM

Dimension of Development	Descriptions	Stakeholder Impact
3D	Consists of two and three dimensional model data to represent the building design. 3D BIM can also be defined as: "geometric presentation, parametric descriptions and legal regulations associated with the construction of a building" [70]	Design team, supplier
4D (3D + time)	Links scheduling/time related information to the 3D model's objects in order to sequence the construction process over time. [65]	Contractor, sub-contractor
5D(3D + cost)	Adds cost related information to the 3D model's elements. This enables early cost estimation and quantity take offs directly from a single 3D file (<i>ibid</i> .).	Quantity surveyor
$6D\left(3D+FM\right)$	Integrates FM and building lifecycle information. 6D is related to asset information useful for facility management processes, but after 5D no general consensus on the dimensions has been reached in the literature (<i>ibid.</i>).	Facility manager, building owner
nD (3D +nD)	Other possible dimensions associated with the BIM model.	Can relate to any specified stakeholder.

Benefit	Results	Authors	Limitations
Increased utility and speed for data retrieval from a centralised BIM model.	Information is more easily shared, can be value-added, and reused.	[26,37,75,92,93,94,98]	Designers do not always know what data is relevant to the FMT, slowing down the process of COBie data drops. Although BIM enables for more data to be added this does not necessarily mean it will be usable during the FM stages. Data is not necessarily presented in a usable format, survey results illustrate that manual input can take up to two years into CMMS after the handover stages.
Enhanced collaboration through BIM processes and modelling.	Built asset proposals can be rigorously analysed across disciplines and organisations. Simulations can be quickly executed and performance benchmarked, enabling improved and innovative solutions	[26,75,94,95,96,98]	Collaboration between building owners and the FMT at the design stages is very limited. Little evidence shows FM related constraints being analysed in BIM. For example, Lavy <i>et al.</i> [75] find that maintenance accessibility tends to be ignored in the design stages although collaboration is facilitated through BIM. Collaboration that is afforded with the design team stakeholders for the design of the building will not necessarily improve upon how that building is to be maintained in the latter stages.
Improved embedded building data in a centralised model.	Requirements, design, construction, and operational information can be used in FM resulting in better management of assets.	[26,73,75,94,95,96]	There are still many limitations with BIM integration into existing CAFM systems; this integration is necessary as not all FM related information is suitable for hosting in a BIM environment. There is also a lack of standardized tools and processes and determining the specific data required remains a key challenge for both the design team members and the building owner.
Visualisation of assets.	The value of 3D visualization eliminates misinterpretation. Navigation of information becomes more fluent in a 3D environment.	[37,94,95]	Locating and navigating in a complex BIM becomes difficult if GIS information or barcoding is not linked with the BIM model.
Longer equipment asset life.	Through better knowledge of existing assets and CAFM integration preparation and planning enables longer asset life.	[26,94,95,97]	The learning process needs to be facilitated during building operations for better knowledge on asset performance. Lindkvist [95] highlighted the necessity of balance between exploration and exploitation of learning in order to shape BIM for maintenance.
More effective space/ move planning.	In depth knowledge on the assets that are fixed or movable means better space movement can be planned and executed.	[26,73,94]	If RFID tags are not used this can limit the accuracy of model data as it is so heavily reliant on precise and up to date information being added by the management team.

422	Table 3 Critical	overview of	commonly	outlined	benefits a	associated	with	BIM	and FM	integration
-----	------------------	-------------	----------	----------	------------	------------	------	-----	--------	-------------

423 Table 4 State of the art knowledge based decision tools in FM

Use/Functionality	Methods	Authors	Limitations		
3D visual approach for maintenance management	Utilises an external database and OpenGL technology. Virtual facility provides administrators with component and maintenance/ management information	[99]	Requires a substantial amount of manual data input in order to retrieve usable information. No knowledge capture evident.		
Roof maintenance management	Proposed IFCs-based data model for integrated maintenance management for roofing systems.	[110]	Lacking integration of network based data, e.g. weather conditions that may impact upon maintenance.		
Visualizer	An interactive and graphical, decision-support tool for service life prediction for asset managers.	[111]	Limited in showing how learning can occur for an organisation.		
Object-oriented method of asset maintenance management.	Supports information exchange among different domains.	[109]	Does not reveal knowledge capture capacity, merely sets the stage for it.		
Decision support for maintenance evaluation and suggestion	A problem-oriented method of diagnosis of human diseases known as Building Medical Record (BMR) adopted for maintenance engineers, and contractors to access information for evaluations and maintenance suggestions.	[112]	No use of BIM data evident		
Framework for facilities knowledge mapping	The study reveals the main benefits of knowledge mapping for FM: improvements in decision making process, problem identification and solving by providing quick access to critical information, knowledge gaps and island of expertise.	[113]	This framework does not mention how BIM data could be utilised in knowledge mapping.		
Diagnosis of the facility when making decisions	Extension of BMR called Building Diagnosis Navigation System to support on-site managers during the diagnosis of the facility when making decisions about treatment options.	[114]	No use of BIM data evident		
Navigational algorithm in BIM for asset management	For effective utility maintenance management of facilities equipped with passive Radio Frequency Identification (RFID).	[115]	Requires RFID tags, and ignores facilities that may simply be using BIM model data linked into existing CAFM or CMMS system.		
FM visual analytics system (FMVAS) for failure detection	Knowledge capture for root cause failure detection in FM.	[94,72]	Limited in showing knowledge capture as a method.		
Knowledge based FM using BIM (K-BIM)	As constructed information of the facility has the capability for effective and efficient FM and thereby, enhances the competitive advantage of a FM organisation.	[116]	Demonstrates limited learning capacity with K-BIM system (i.e. diagnosis of potential issues).		
Case-based reasoning and BIM systems for asset management	An integrated system to capture, retrieve and manage information/ knowledge for the key asset management operation of building maintenance (BM). This aims to establish the concept of Building Knowledge Modelling (BKM).	[30]	Further research needed to show how this platform can be integrated with various CAFM systems.		

424 Figure 1 Development of BIM and FM standards

BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND ASSET MANAGEMENT FM as a Business Managment Task FM as an industry field emerges with the international standards emerging			D ASSET	COMPUTERISE CAFM tools brin CAD drawings a retrieval IWMS tools brin workflows	ED FACILTY ng new managa llow ease of d ng new workpl	MANAGEME ement workflo ata capture and ace manageme	NT BI ws W i UI int CC BI	M LIFECYCLE MOE hole lifecycle planning Gov Mandate push f ormation to building o DBie Document outlin M projects to ease har	DELING g in BIM environment for better handover of owners es new data standards for idover for FM
BIM ts Development	[3] 2004 FACILIT	BIM era 2007 TIES MANAGEMEN	2009 T AND	Obje colla (CA Revi	ect based aboration D, it, etc.) 0	Mode collat (linke and n 1 2012	el based poration ed files nodels) 20	Network ba collaboratic platforms fo sharing, SA	sed in (cloud or model (AS) 2015
Emerging Standards UK & Development International	BUSINE	EN 15221:2006 Pt.1-2 BSI: PAS 55 Asset Management	T OF IT TOOI MENT CAFN Common Data Environment BS 1192:2007.	S I & CAD TOOL ST COBie and the Facility Management Handover Model View Definition formats	FANDARDISA BUILDING I ISO 29481-1 & 2	NION FORMATION FORMATION Pt.3-7 UK HM Gov: BIM Working Party Strategy	MANAGEMI ISO 12006-2 PAS1192-2 Information Management	NT AND MODELING PASI 192-4 Information Management ISO 16739:2013 ISO 55000 BCF 2.0 BIM Collaboration Format SFG20	RICS BIM Implementation Guide (2014) BS 8544:2014 (LCC) Maintenance 'in use' guide PAS1192-5 Information Management
	2	Data format specification details	Data format specification details	Data handover model format	Process of information delivery	1	Data dictionary, mapping of terms Construction infromation management in Bl	Data transfer with IFC Standard for operational stage BIM Infromation exchange M defined through COBie	FM Practice standardisation Data security, cyber security measures





429 Figure 3 Diagrammatic representation of the potential for knowledge based feedback loop from BIM and FM data integration



431 **REFERENCES**

- 432 [1] Eastman, C., Eastman, C.M., Teicholz, P., Sacks, R. and Liston, K. (2011) BIM
 433 Handbook: A Guide to Building Information Modeling for Owners, Managers,
 434 Designers, Engineers and Contractors, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken. ISBN: 978-0435 470-54137-1
- [2] Dubé, L., Bourhis, A. and Jacob, R. (2005) The Impact of Structuring Characteristics 436 on the Launching of Virtual Communities of Practice, Journal of Organizational 437 Change Management, Vol. 18. 145-166. 438 No. 2. pp. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09534810510589570 439
- Succar, B. (2008) Building Information Modelling Framework: A Research and Delivery Foundation for Industry Stakeholders, Automation in Construction, Vol. 18, No.3, pp. 357-375. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2008.10.003
- Love, P.E., Matthews, J., Simpson, I., Hill, A. and Olatunji, O.A. (2014) A Benefits 443 [4] 444 Realization Management Building Information Modeling Framework for Asset Owners. 445 Automation in Construction, Vol. 37. pp. 1-10. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2013.09.007 446
- Love, P.E., Simpson, I., Hill, A. and Standing, C. (2013) From Justification to
 Evaluation: Building Information Modeling for Asset Owners, Automation in
 Construction, Vol. 35, pp. 208-216. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2013.05.008
- 451 [6] Antje Junghans, D., Steenhuizen, D., Flores-Colen, I., Reitsma, A. and Branco Ló, P.
 452 (2014) The Road to Facility Management, Facilities, Vol. 32, No.1/2, pp. 46-57. DOI:
 453 10.1108/f-09-2012-0072.
- [7] Codinhoto, R., Kiviniemi, A., Kemmer, S. and da Rocha, C.G. (2013) BIM-FM 454 Implementation: An Exploratory Investigation, International Journal of 3-D 455 Information Modeling (IJ3DIM), Vol. 2. No. 2. 1-15. DOI: 456 pp. http://dx.doi.org/10.4018/ij3dim.2013040101 457
- 458 [8] Demkin, J.A. (2006) The Architect's Handbook of Professional Practice, John Wiley
 459 & Sons, Hoboken, New Jersey. ISBN-13: 978-1118308820
- 460 [9] Mohandes, S., Abdul Hamid, A. and Sadeghi, H. (2014) Exploiting Building
 461 Information Modeling Throughout the Whole Lifecycle of Construction Projects,
 462 Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research, Vol. 4, No. 9, pp. 16-27. Available
 463 on-line at:
- 464
 http://www.textroad.com/pdf/JBASR/J.%20Basic.%20Appl.%20Sci.%20Res.,%204(9

 465
)16-27,%202014.pdf (Accessed: November, 2016).
- 466 [10] Azhar, S. (2011) Building Information Modeling (BIM): Trends, Benefits, Risks, and
 467 Challenges for the AEC Industry, Leadership and Management in Engineering, Vol.
 468 11, No. 3, pp. 241-252. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)LM.1943-</u>
 469 <u>5630.0000127</u>
- 470 [11] Becerik-Gerber, B., Jazizadeh, F., Li, N. and Calis, G. (2011) Application Areas and Data Requirements for BIM-enabled Facilities Management, Journal of Construction 471 Engineering and Management, 431-442. 472 Vol. 138. No. 3, pp. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)CO.1943-7862.0000433 473
- 474 [12] Kassem, M., Kelly, G., Dawood, N., Serginson, M. and Lockley, S. (2015) BIM in
 475 Facilities Management Applications: A Case Study of a Large University Complex,
 476 Built Environment Project and Asset Management, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 261-277. DOI:
 477 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-02-2014-0011</u>
- 478 [13] British Institute of Facilities Management, (2013) Benchmarking: Effective
 479 Performance Management for FM, British Institute of Facilities Management, UK.

480		Available on-line at: file:///C:/Users/pc%20user/Downloads/3benchmarking-effective-
481		performance-management-for-fm-fmlf.pdf (Accessed: November, 2016).
482	[14]	Khosrowshahi, F. and Arayici, Y. (2012) Roadmap for Implementation of BIM in the
483		UK Construction Industry, Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management
484		Vol. 19 No. 6, pp. 610-635. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09699981211277531
485	[15]	Atkin, B. and Brooks, A. (2009) Total Facilities Management, 3 rd ed., Wiley and
486		Blackwell, Hong Kong. ISBN-13: 978-1118655382
487	[16]	Barrett, P. and Baldry, D. (2009) Facilities Management: Towards Best Practice,
488		Blackwell Science, Oxford. ISBN-13: 978-0632050437
489	[17]	Alexander, K. (2013) Facilities Management: Theory and Practice, Routledge, New
490		York. ISBN-13: 978-0419205807
491	[18]	McGregor W. and Then, D.S.S. (1999) Facilities Management and the Business of
492		Space, Arnold, London, ISBN-13: 978-0415503129
493	[19]	Nutt, B. (2004) Infrastructure Resources: Forging Alignments Between Supply and
494		Demand, Facilities, Vol. 22, No. 13/14, pp. 335-343. DOI:
495		http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02632770410563031
496	[20]	Chotipanich, S. (2004) Positioning Facility Management, Facilities, Vol. 22, No.
497		13/14, pp. 364-372. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02632770410563086
498	[21]	Cotts, D., Roper, K., and Pavant, R. (2010) Facilities Management Handbook, 3 rd ed.,
499	ι ι	Amacom, USA, ASIN: B000VMPMJM
500	[22]	Lee, J.H., Lee, M.S., Lee, S.H., Oh, S.G., Kim, B.H., Nam, S.H. and Jang, J.S. (2013)
501	ι ι	Development of Computerized Facility Maintenance Management System Based on
502		Reliability Centered Maintenance and Automated Data Gathering. International
503		Journal of Control and Automation, SERSC, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 1-12, Available on-line
504		at: http://www.sersc.org/journals/IJCA/vol6_no1/1.pdf (Accessed: November, 2016).
505	[23]	Hinks, J. and McNay, P. (1999) The Creation of a Management-by-Variance Tool for
506	L - J	Facilities Management Performance Assessment, Facilities, Vol. 17, No. 1/2, pp. 31-
507		53. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02632779910248893
508	[24]	Gu N., Singh V., London K., Brankovic L. and Taylor C. (2008) Adopting Building
509	r1	Information Modeling (BIM) as Collaboration Platform in the Design Industry.
510		CAADRIA 2008: Bevond Computer-Aided Design: Proc. of the 13th Conference on
511		Computer Aided Architectural Design Research in Asia. The Association for
512		Computer Aided Architectural Design Research in Asia (CAADRIA).
513	[25]	Olatunii, O.A. and Akanmu, A. (2015) BIM-FM and Consequential Loss: How
514	[]	Consequential Can Design Models be? Built Environment Project and Asset
515		Management, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 304-317, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-03-
516		2014-0021
517	[26]	Teicholz, P. (2013) BIM for Facility Managers, John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey.
518	[]	ISBN-13: 978-1118382813
519	[27]	Kamara, J., Augenbroe, G., Anumba, C. and Carrillo, P. (2002) Knowledge
520	Γ-,]	Management in the Architecture. Engineering and Construction Industry.
521		Construction Innovation Vol 2 No 1 pp 53-67 DOI:
522		http://dx doi org/10 1108/14714170210814685
523	[28]	IBM Corporation (2013) Implementation Guide for Integrated Workplace
523	[20]	Management Software IBM Corporation US
525	[29]	Lin S Gao I and Koronios A (2006) Key Data Quality Issues for Enterprise Asset
526	[>]	Management in Engineering Organisations International Journal of Electronic
527		Business Management (IJEBM) Vol 4 No 1 pp 96-110 Available on-line at
528		http://ijebm je nthu edu tw/LIEBM Web/LIEBM static/Paper-V4 N1/A10-
529		E684 3 pdf (Accessed: November 2016)
525		

- [30] Motawa, I. and Almarshad, A. (2015) Case-based Reasoning and BIM Systems for
 Asset Management, Built Environment Project and Asset Management, Vol.5, No.3,
 pp. 233-247. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-02-2014-0006</u>
- Handzic, M. and Durmic, N. (2015) Knowledge Management, Intellectual Capital and [31] 533 Project Management: Connecting the Dots, Electronic Journal of Knowledge 534 51-61. Available Management, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. on-line 535 at: file:///C:/Users/pc%20user/Downloads/ejkm-volume13-issue1-article656.pdf 536 (Accessed: November 2016). 537
- Warwick, A. (2015) Study Shows UK Workers Fail to Understand Data Value, [32] 538 539 Putting Firms at Risk, Vol. 2015, 27 June. Available on-line at: http://www.computerweekly.com/news/4500250569/Study-shows-UK-workers-fail-540 to-understand-data-value-putting-firms-at-risk (Accessed: November, 2016). 541
- 542 [33] Meng, X. and Minogue, M. (2011) Performance Measurement Models in Facility
 543 Management: A Comparative Study, Facilities, Vol.29, No. 11/12, pp. 472-484.
 544 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02632771111157141</u>
- 545 [34] Bollinger, A.S. and Smith, R.D. (2001) Managing Organizational Knowledge as a
 546 Strategic Asset, Journal of Knowledge Management, Vol.5, No.1, pp. 8-18. DOI: 547 http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/13673270110384365
- [35] Marakas, G.M. (1999) Decision Support System in the Twenty First Century, Prentice
 Hall, Englewood Cliffs. ISBN-13: 978-0137441860
- [36] Uit Beijerse, R.P. (2000) Knowledge Management in Small and Medium-sized 550 Companies: Knowledge Management for Entrepreneurs, Journal of knowledge 551 552 management. Vol. 4. No. 2, 162-179. DOI: pp. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/13673270010372297 553
- [37] Bosch, A., Volker, L. and Koutamanis, A. (2015) BIM in the Operations Stage:
 Bottlenecks and Implications for Owners, Built Environment Project and Asset
 Management, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 331-343. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-03-2014-0017</u>
- [38] Bhatt G.D. (2001) Knowledge Management in Organizations: Examining the 558 Interaction Between Technologies, Techniques, and People, Journal of Knowledge 559 1, Management, Vol. 5, No. 68-75. DOI: 560 pp. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/13673270110384419 561
- 562 [39] Preiser, W.F.E. (1998) Post-occupancy Evaluation, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New
 563 York. ISBN-13: 978-1138888326
- 564 [40] British Institute of Facilities Management, (1997) Facilities Management
 565 Measurement Protocol, Saffron Walden, Essex.
- [41] Kaplan, R.S. and Norton, D.P. (1992) The Balanced Scorecard: Measures that Drive
 Performance, Harvard Business Review, Vol. 70, No. 1, p. 71. Available on-line at:
 <u>https://hbr.org/2005/07/the-balanced-scorecard-measures-that-drive-performance</u>
 (Accessed: November, 2016).
- 570 [42] Cooper, I. (2001) Post-occupancy Evaluation-where Are You?, Building Research &
 571 Information, Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 158-163. DOI: 572 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09613210010016820
- Egan, J. (1998) Britain, Rethinking Construction: The Report of the Construction 573 [43] Task Force to the Deputy Prime Minister, John Prescott on the Scope for Improving 574 the Quality and Efficiency of UK Construction, Department of the Environment, 575 Regions London, London. Transport and the Available on-line 576 at: 577 http://constructingexcellence.org.uk/wp-
- 578content/uploads/2014/10/rethinking_construction_report.pdf(Accessed: November,5792016).

Jaunzens, D., Cohen, R., Watson, M., Maunsell, F. and Picton, E. (2002) Post Occupancy Evaluation – A Simple Method for the Early Stages of Occupancy Usable 581 Building Research Establishment (BRE). Buildings. Available online 582 at: http://www.google.com.mx/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=2&cad=rja 583 &uact=8&ved=0CCQQFjAB&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.usablebuildings.co.uk%2 584 Ffp%2FOutputFiles%2FPdfFiles%2FFR4p1POEFYCIBSEpaperOct02.pdf&ei=uEss 585 VISJIYa5vQTUxYCgAg&usg=AFQjCNFbc08ccBOxr5gFoTzd42kSnUDfJQ&sig2= 586 UOEuD92kG88ek245v2AX8g&bvm=bv.76477589 (Accessed: November, 2016). 587 Zimmerman, A. and Martin, M. (2010) Post-occupancy Evaluation: Benefits and [45] 588 589 Barriers, Building Research & Information, Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 168-174. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09613210010016857 590 Bassioni, H.A., Price, A.D. and Hassan, T.M. (2005) Building A Conceptual [46] 591 592 Framework for Measuring Business Performance in Construction: An Empirical Evaluation, Construction Management and Economics, Vol. 23, No. 5, pp. 495-507. 593 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0144619042000301401 594 [47] European Foundation for Quality Management (1999) Assessing for Excellence: A 595 596 Practical Guide for Self-Assessment, EFQM. Available on-line at: http://www.flow.de/en/pdf/1203change e.pdf (Accessed: November, 2016). 597 Wongrassamee, S., Simmons, J. and Gardiner, P. (2003) Performance Measurement 598 [48] 599 Tools: The Balanced Scorecard and the EFQM Excellence Model, Measuring Business Excellence, Vol. No. 1, 14-29. DOI: 600 7, pp. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/13683040310466690 601 Chrissis, M.B., Konrad, M., Shrum, S. (2003) CMMI Guidlines for Process 602 [49] Integration and Product Improvement, Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc., 603 Boston. ISBN-13: 978-0321154965 604 Paulk, M., Curtis, B., Chrissis M., B. and Weber, C., V. (1993) Capability Maturity 605 [50] Model for Software, Encyclopedia of Software Engineering, Technical Report, pp. 3-606 10. Available on-line at: https://www.sei.cmu.edu/reports/93tr024.pdf (Accessed: 607 November, 2016). 608 Amaratunga, D., Haigh, R., Sarshar, M. and Baldry, D. (2002) Assessment of [51] 609 Facilities Management Process Capability: A NHS Facilities Case Study, 610 International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance, Vol. 15, No.6, pp.277-288. 611 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09526860210442047 612 Brackertz, N. and Kenley, R. (2002) A Service Delivery Approach to Measuring [52] 613 Facility Performance in Local Government, Facilities, Vol. 20, No. 3/4, pp. 127-135. 614 615 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02632770210423885 Felice De Toni, A., Fornasier, A., Montagner, M. and Nonino, F. (2007) A [53] 616 Performance Measurement System for Facility Management: The Case Study of a 617 618 Medical Service Authority, International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, Vol. 5/6. 417-435. DOI: 619 56, No. pp. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/17410400710757123 620 Lohman, C., Fortuin, L. and Wouters, M. (2004) Designing a Performance [54] 621 Measurement System: A Case Study, European Journal of Operational Research, Vol. 622 156, No. 2, pp. 267-286. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(02)00918-9 623 Varcoe, B.J. (1996) Facilities Performance Measurement, Facilities, Vol.14, No.10/11, 624 [55] pp. 46-51. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02632779610129168 625 Belcher, R.G. (1997) Corporate Objectives, Facilities, Measurement and Use: A [56] 626 627 University Model, Proc. of the RICS Cobra Conference, Portsmouth, UK.

580

[44]

- Featherstone, P. and Baldry, D. (2000) The Value Of The Facilities Management
 Function In The UK NHS Community Health- Care Sector, Facilities, Vol. 18, No.
 7/8, pp. 302 311. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02632770010340690</u>
- McDougall, G., Kelly, J., R., Hinks, J. and Bititci, U., S. (2002) A Review of the [58] 631 Leading Performance Measurement Tools for Assessing Buildings, Journal of 632 Facilities Management, 142-153. Vol. No. 2, DOI: 633 1, pp. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/14725960310807881 634
- [59] Loosemore, M. and Hsin, Y. (2001) Customer-focused Benchmarking for Facilities
 Management, Facilities, Vol. 19, No. 13/14, pp. 464-476. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/EUM00000006204
- 638 [60] Marsh, L. and Flanagan, R. (2000) Measuring the Costs and Benefits of Information
 639 Technology in Construction, Engineering Construction and Architectural
 640 Management, Vol. 7, No. 4, pp. 423-435. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/eb021164
- 641 [61] Shohet, I.M., Lavy-Leibovich, S. and Bar-On, D. (2003) Integrated Maintenance
 642 Monitoring of Hospital Buildings, Construction Management & Economics, Vol. 21,
 643 No. 2, pp. 219-228. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0144619032000079734</u>
- [62] Heavisides, B. and Price, I. (2001) Input Versus Output-based Performance
 Mesurement in the NHS-the Current Situation, Facilities, Vol. 19, No. 10, pp. 344356. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/EUM000000005533</u>
- HM Government (2012) Final Report to Government by the Procurement/Lean Client 647 [63] Task Group, Government Construction Strategy, London. Available at: 648 https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/61157/ 649 650 Procurement-and-Lean-Client-Group-Final-Report-v2.pdf (Accessed: November, 2016). 651
- [64] Lin, Y.C. and Su, Y.C. (2013) Developing Mobile-and BIM-based Integrated Visual
 Facility Maintenance Management System, The Scientific World Journal. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/124249
- [65] Aouad, G., Cooper, R., Fu, C., Lee, A., Ponting, A., Tah, J. and Wu, S. (2005) nD
 Modelling A Driver or Enabler for Construction Improvement, RICS Research
 Paper Series, Vol.5, No.6. Available on-line at: <u>http://usir.salford.ac.uk/id/eprint/621</u>
 (Accessed: November, 2016).
- [66] Kelly G., Serginson, M., Lockley, S., Dawood, N., Kassem, M., (2013) BIM for
 Facility Management: A Review and a Case Study Investigating the Value and
 Challenges, Proc. of the 13th international Conference on Construction Applications
 of Virtual Reality, London, pp. 30-31.
- 663 [67] Watson, A. (2011) Digital Buildings Challenges and opportunities, Advanced
 664 Engineering Informatics, Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 573-581. DOI: 665 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2011.07.003</u>.
- [68] Volk, R., Stengel, J. and Schultmann, F. (2014) Building Information Modeling (BIM)
 for Existing Buildings Literature Review and Future Needs, Automation in
 Construction, Vol. 38, pp. 109-127. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2013.10.023
- The Institution of Civil Engineers, (2015) Leveraging the Relationship Between BIM [69] 670 Asset Management, Available on-line 671 and at: 672 https://www.ice.org.uk/getattachment/disciplines-and-resources/bestpractice/relationship-between-bim-and-asset-management/BIM Modelling-and-673 Asset-Management Position-Paper.pdf.aspx (Accessed: November, 2016). 674
- [70] Jiao, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, S., Li, Y., Yang, B. and Yuan, L. (2013) A Cloud
 Approach to Unified Lifecycle Data Management in Architecture, Engineering,
 Construction and Facilities Management: Integrating BIMs and SNS, Advanced

- 678Engineering Informatics, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 173-188. DOI:679http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2012.11.006
- McArthur, J. (2015) A Building Information Management (BIM) Framework and [71] 680 Supporting Case Study for Existing Building Operations, Maintenance and 681 Procedia Engineering, 118, Sustainability, Vol. 1104-1111. DOI: 682 pp. 10.1016/j.proeng.2015.08.450 683
- 684 [72] Motamedi, A., Setayeshgar, S., Soltani, M. and Hammad, A. (2013) Extending BIM
 685 to Incorporate Information of RFID Tags Attached to Building Assets, 4th
 686 Construction Specialty Conference, May 29 June 1, 2013, Montréal, Québec, pp.1-9.
- [73] Meadati, P., Irizarry, J. and Akhnoukh, A.K. (2010) BIM and RFID Integration: a
 Pilot Study, Advancing and Integrating Construction Education, Research and
 Practice Second International Conference on Construction in Developing Countries
 (ICCIDC–II) August 3-5, 2010, Cairo, Egypt, pp. 570-578.
- 691[74]HM Government (2013) Building Information Modeling industrial Strategy:692Government and industry in partnership, Government Construction Strategy, London.693Availableat:

694https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/34710/69512-1327-building-information-modelling.pdf (Accessed: November, 2016).

- [75] Lavy, S., Liu, R. and Issa, R.R.A (2014) Design for Maintenance Accessibility using
 BIM Tools, Facilities, Vol. 32, No.3/4, pp.153-159. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/F-</u>
 <u>09-2011-0078</u>
- [76] Longstreet, B., (2010) Finding the Right Solution to Create an As-built BIM of
 Portland International Airport's Baggage Handling Facility, Professional Surveyor
 Magazine, Oct 2010.
- [77] Volker, T. (2011) Industry Foundation Classes (IFCs): BIM Interoperability Through
 a Vendor-independent File Format, A Bentley White Paper, September 2011.
 Available at: <u>http://consultaec.com.au/white-paper-ifc-bim-interoperability-through-a-</u>
 vendor-independent-file-format/ (Accessed: November, 2016).
- [78] Bishr, Y. (1998) Overcoming the Semantic and Other Barriers to GIS Interoperability,
 International Journal of Geographical Information Science, Vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 299 314. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/136588198241806</u>
- [79] Cruz, I.F. and Xiao, H. (2009) Ontology Driven Data Integration in Heterogeneous
 Networks, Complex Systems in Knowledge-based Environments: Theory, Models
 and Applications, Springer, Heidelberg, pp. 75-98. ASIN: B00LKDLDYI
- [80] Codinhoto, R., Kiviniemi, A., Kemmer, S., Essiet, U.M., Donato, V. and Tonso, L.G.
 (2013) BIM-FM Manchester Town Hall Complex, Research Report 2, Manchester
 City Council, University of Salford.
- [81] Ghosh, A. and Chasey, A. (2013) Structuring Data Needs for Effective Integration of
 Building Information Modeling (BIM) with Healthcare Facilities Management, ISEC
 2013 7th International Structural Engineering and Construction Conference: New
 Developments in Structural Engineering and Construction, pp. 1471-1476. DOI:
 10.3850/978-981-07-5354-2-FAM-5-324
- Akbarnezhad, A., Ong, K.C.G. and Chandra, L.R. (2014) Economic and 720 [82] Environmental Assessment of Deconstruction Strategies using Building Information 721 Vol. 722 Modeling, Automation in Construction, 37, pp. 131-144. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2013.10.017 723
- Mirjana D. and Milan, R. (2013) Facility Management: A Paradigm for Expanding the [83] 724 725 Scope of Architectural Practice, International Journal of Architectural Research: 127-139. 726 ArchNet-IJAR. Vol. 1. No. 3, pp. Available on-line at: file:///C:/Users/pc%20user/Downloads/43-141-1-PB.pdf (Accessed: November, 2016). 727

- 728[84]Sabol, L. (2008) Challenges in Cost Estimating with Building Information Modeling,729IFMAWorldWorkplace.Availableon-lineat:730http://www.dcstrategies.net/files/2_sabol_cost_estimating.pdf (Accessed: November,7312016).
- [85] Alvarez-Romero, S.O. (2014) Use of Building Information Modeling Technology in
 the Integration of the Handover Process and Facilities Management, Worcester
 Polytechnic Institute, Dissertation.
- The State of Wisconsin (2011) Digital Facility Management Information Handover: [86] 735 Current DSF Practices Industry-wide Movement Future Directions, A Research, 736 737 Findings and Recommendations Report, Vol. Jul 15. Available at: ftp://doaftp1380.wi.gov/master spec/Digital%20FM%20Handover/FM%20Findings 738 &RecRpt.pdf (Accessed: November, 2016). 739
- [87] UNITEC's Integrated Information System, BIM As An Information Sharing Resource
 For Facilities Management And Operations, UNITEC, Available on-line at:
 <u>https://www.building.govt.nz/assets/Uploads/projects-and-consents/building-</u>
- 743 <u>information-modelling/nz-bim-case-study-5-unitec.pdf</u> (Accessed: November, 2016).
- [88] Dempsey, J. (2009) A Coast Guard Pilot to Make Better Facility Decisions, Journal of
 Building Information Modeling, Vol. Fall 26, p26. Available at:
 <u>https://www.wbdg.org/pdfs/jbim_fall09.pdf</u> (Accessed: November, 2016).
- 747 [89] Ding, L., Drogemuller, R., Akhurst, P., Hough, R., Bull, S. and Linning, C. (2009)
 748 Towards Sustainable Facilities Management, Technology, Design and Process
 749 Innovation in the Built Environment, Spon Press, London, pp. 373-392.
- [90] Cesarotti, V., Benedetti, M., Dibisceglia, F., Di Fausto, D., Introna, V., La Bella, G.,
 Martinelli, N., Ricci, M., Spada, C. and Varani, M. (2014) BIM–based Approach to
 Building Operating Management: A Strategic Lever to Achieve Efficiency, Riskshifting, Innovation and Sustainability, Proc. Conference: XVIII International
 Research Society for Public Management (IRSPM) Conference, At Ottawa, Canada.
- [91] Shen,W., Hao, Q., Mak, H., Neelamkavil, J., Xie, H., Dickinson, J., Thomas, R.,
 Pardasani, A. and Xue, H., (2010) Systems Integration and Collaboration in
 Architecture, Engineering, Construction, and Facilities Management: A Review,
 Advanced Engineering Informatics, Vol. 24, No. 2, pp. 196-207. DOI:
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2009.09.001
- [92] El-Mekawy, M. and Östman, A. (2010) Semantic Mapping: An Ontology Engineering Method for Integrating Building Models in IFC and CityGML, 3rd ISDE Digital Earth Summit, 12-14 June, Nessebar, Bulgaria.
- [93] Korpela, J. and Miettinen R. (2013) BIM in Facility Management and Maintenance —
 The Case of Kaisa Library of Helsinki University, Proc. of 29th Annual ARCOM
 Conference, Reading, UK, pp. 2-4.
- 766 [94] Motamedi, A., Hammad, A. and Asen, Y. (2014) Knowledge-assisted BIM-based Visual Analytics for Failure Root Cause Detection in Facilities Management, 767 Construction. Automation in Vol. pp. 73-83. DOI: 768 43. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2014.03.012 769
- [95] Lindkvist, C. (2015) Contextualizing Learning Approaches Which Shape BIM for
 Maintenance, Built Environment Project and Asset Management, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp.
 318-330. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-03-2014-0018
- [96] Love, P.E., Matthews, J. and Lockley, S. (2015) BIM for Built Asset Management,
 Built Environment Project and Asset Management, Vol. 5, No. 3, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-12-2014-0062
- [97] Alwan, Z. and Gledson, B.J. (2015) Towards Green Building Performance Evaluation
 Using Asset Information Modelling, Built Environment Project and Asset

- Management, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 290-303. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/BEPAM-03-</u>
 <u>2014-0020</u>
- Parsanezhad, P., and Dimyadi, J. (2014) Effective Facility Management and
 Operations via a BIM-based Integrated Information System. CIB Facilities
 Management (CFM) 2014 Conference, Copenhagen, Denmark, pp.8
- [99] Chen, H.M. and Wang, Y.H. (2009) A 3-dimensional Visualized Approach for
 Maintenance and Management of Facilities, Proc. of ISARC09, pp. 468-475.
- [100] Kincaid, D. (1994) Integrated Facility Management, Facilities, Vol. 12, No. 8, pp. 20 23. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02632779410062353</u>
- [101] Talebi, S. (2014) Exploring Advantages and Challenges of Adaptation and
 Implementation of BIM in Project Life Cycle, 2nd BIM International Conference,
 BIM Forum Portugal, Lisbon.
- [102] Keraminiyage, K., Amaratunga, R. and Haigh, R. (2004) A Literature Review of
 Knowledge Management, Facilities Management and Link Between those Two
 Disciplines, Research Institute for the Built and Human Environment, University of
 Salford, London.
- 794 [103] Miettinen, R. and Paavola, S. (2014) Beyond the BIM utopia: Approaches to Implementation of Building 795 the Development and Information Modeling, Automation Construction, 84-91. DOI: 796 Vol. 43, in pp. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2014.03.009 797
- 798 [104] Sackey, E., Tuuli, M., and Dainty, A. (2014) Sociotechnical Systems Approach to BIM Implementation in a Multidisciplinary Construction Context, Journal of 799 800 Management in Engineering, Vol. 31. No. 1. A4014005. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)ME.1943-5479.0000303 801
- [105] Singh, V., Gu, N. and Wang, X. (2011) A Theoretical Framework of a BIM-based
 Multidisciplinary Collaboration Platform, Automation in Construction, Vol. 20, No. 2,
 pp. 134-144. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2010.09.011</u>
- [106] Liu, R. and Issa, R. (2012) Automatically Updating Maintenance Information from a
 BIM Database, International Conference on Computing in Civil Engineering, June
 2012, pp. 373-380. DOI: 10.1061/9780784412343.0047
- 808 [107] Gnanarednam, M. and Jayasena, S., H. (2013) Ability of BIM to Satisfy CAFM
 809 Requirements, Proceedings of the Second World Construction Symposium 2013:
 810 Socio-Economic Sustainability in Construction 14 15 June, Colombo, Sri Lanka, pp.
 811 12-20.
- Rich, S., and Davis, K. H. (2010) Geographic Information Systems (GIS) for Facility
 Management, Whitepaper, IFMA Foundation, pp. 12-17. Available at:
 <u>https://foundation.ifma.org/docs/default-source/Whitepapers/foundation-geographic-</u>
 information-systems-(gis)-technology.pdf?sfvrsn=2 (Accessed: November, 2016).
- [109] Hassanain, M.A., Froese, T. and Vanier, D. (2003) Implementation of a Distributed,
 Model-based Integrated Asset Management System, Journal of Information
 Technology in Construction, Vol. 8, pp. 119-134. Available on-line at
 <u>http://www.itcon.org/2003/10</u> (Accessed: November, 2016).
- [110] Hassanain, M.A., Froese, T.M. and Vanier, D.J. (2001) Development of a
 Maintenance Management Model Based on IAI Standards, Artificial Intelligence in
 Engineering, Vol. 15, No. 2, pp. 177-193. DOI: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0954-1810(01)00015-2</u>
- [111] Kyle, B.R., Vanier, D.J., Kosovac, B., Froese, T.M. and Lounis, Z. (2002) Visualizer:
 An Interactive, Graphical, Decision-support Tool for Service Life Prediction for Asset
 Managers, Proc. 9th International Conference on Durability of Building Materials
 and Components, Brisbance, pp. 17-20.

- [112] Chang, C.Y., Huang, S.M. and Guo, S.J. (2007) Medical Records for Building Health
 Management, Journal of Architectural Engineering, Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 162-171. DOI:
 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)1076-0431(2007)13:3(162)</u>
- [113] Yasin, F. and Egbu, C. (2010) Exploitation of Knowledge Mapping Benefits in the
 Facilities Performance Evaluation Process: A Conceptual Framework, Proc. of the
 26th Annual ARCOM Conference, Association of Researchers in Construction
 Management, Leeds, UK, pp. 799-808.
- [114] Chang, C.Y. and Tsai, M.D. (2013) Knowledge-based Navigation System for
 Building Health Diagnosis, Advanced Engineering Informatics, Vol. 27, No. 2, pp.
 246-260. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.aei.2012.12.003</u>
- [115] Costin, A., Shaak, A. and Teizer, J. (2013) Development of a Navigational Algorithm
 in BIM for Effective Utility Maintenance Management of Facilities Equipped with
 Passive RFID, ASCE Computing In Civil Engineering, Los Angeles, CA, pp. 653-660.
- [116] Charlesraj, V.P.C. (2014) Knowledge-based Building Information Modeling (K-BIM)
 for Facilities Management, The 31st International Symposium on Automation and
 Robotics in Construction and Mining (ISARC 2014), pp. 1-6.
- [117] Wetzel, E.M. and Thabet, W.Y. (2015) The Use of a BIM-based Framework to
 Support Safe Facility Management Processes, Automation in Construction, Vol. 60,
 pp. 12-24. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2015.09.004
- 847
- 848