1

2

15

17

18

19

20

21

Keywords

# Trophodynamics in novel coral reef ecosystems

Tessa N. Hempson<sup>1\*</sup> · Nicholas A.J. Graham<sup>1,2</sup> · M. Aaron MacNeil<sup>1,3,4</sup> · Andrew S. 3 Hoev<sup>1</sup> · Glenn R. Almany<sup>5</sup> 4 5 <sup>1</sup>ARC Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville, 7 Queensland 4811, Australia <sup>2</sup>Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YO, United Kingdom <sup>3</sup>Australian Institute of Marine Science, PMB 3, Townsville MC, Townsville, Queensland 4810, 10 Australia <sup>4</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS B3H 12 4R2, Canada <sup>5</sup>5CRIOBE-USR 3278, CNRS-EPHE-UPVD and Laboratoire d'Excellence "CORAIL", 58 Avenue Paul Alduy, 66860 Perpignan Cedex, France

Climate change · Coral bleaching · Coral reef fish · Functional group · Habitat

\*Corresponding author: tessa.hempson@my.jcu.edu.au

degradation · Mesopredator · Thermal tolerance · Trophic structure

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

Ecosystems are becoming vastly modified through disturbance. In coral reef **Abstract** ecosystems, the differential susceptibility of coral taxa to climate-driven bleaching is predicted to shift coral assemblages towards reefs with an increased relative abundance of taxa with high thermal tolerance. Many thermally tolerant coral species are characterised by low structural complexity, with reduced habitat niche space for the small-bodied coral reef fishes on which piscivorous mesopredators feed. This study used a patch reef array to investigate the potential impacts of climate-driven shifts in coral assemblages on the trophodynamics of reef mesopredators and their prey communities. The 'tolerant' reef treatment consisted only of coral taxa of low susceptibility to bleaching, while 'vulnerable' reefs included species of moderate to high thermal vulnerability. 'Vulnerable' reefs had higher structural complexity, and the fish assemblages that established on these reefs over 18 months had higher species diversity, abundance and biomass than those on 'tolerant' reefs. Fish assemblages on 'tolerant' reefs were also more strongly influenced by the introduction of a mesopredator (Cephalopholis boenak). Mesopredators on 'tolerant' reefs had lower lipid content in their muscle tissue by the end of the six-week experiment. Such sublethal energetic costs can compromise growth, fecundity and survivorship, resulting in unexpected population declines in long-lived mesopredators. This study provides valuable insight into the altered trophodynamics of future coral reef ecosystems, highlighting the potential increased vulnerability of reef fish assemblages to predation as reef structure declines, and the cost of changing prey availability on mesopredator condition.

# Introduction

Climate change is increasingly recognised as a key driver of ecosystem structure and
trophic dynamics in marine and terrestrial ecosystems worldwide (Hoegh-Guldberg and
Bruno 2010; Byrnes et al. 2011; Buitenwerf et al. 2012; Brandt et al. 2013; Wernberg et al.
2016). Coral reefs are one of the most vulnerable ecosystems due to the high thermal
sensitivity of habitat-forming scleractinian corals (e.g. Hoegh-Guldberg et al. 2007). Indeed,
climate-driven increase in ocean temperature is emerging as the greatest driver of large scale
disturbance and regime-shifts in these ecosystems, with mass coral bleaching events
becoming more frequent, widespread and sustained (Hughes et al. 2017). The degree of
vulnerability to bleaching, however, varies substantially among coral taxa (Marshall and
Baird 2000; Loya et al. 2001; Grottoli et al. 2006; McClanahan et al. 2007). This differential
susceptibility to bleaching is predicted to result in large-scale changes in the composition of
coral assemblages, with an expected overall shift towards more thermally tolerant species
(Riegl et al. 2009; Van Woesik et al. 2011; Pratchett et al. 2014). As the frequency and
severity of bleaching increases, the composition of future coral assemblages will depend not
only on the thermal tolerance of coral taxa, but also how they respond to changing
disturbance regimes (Fabina et al. 2015), and their ability persist or to re-establish in the post-
disturbance environment (Darling et al. 2013; Graham et al. 2014).
Many of the coral taxa predicted to have high thermal tolerance, and therefore likely
to characterise many future coral reef assemblages, are also species with low structural
complexity (Loya et al. 2001; DeMartini et al. 2010; Alvarez-Filip et al. 2013). Habitat
structure is known to be a key determinant of coral reef fish species diversity, abundance, and
biomass (Graham and Nash 2013; Darling et al. 2017), with a loss of complexity resulting in
a decline in habitat niche space and refugia, leading to increased competition and predation
(Beukers and Jones 1997: Holbrook and Schmitt 2002: Kok et al. 2016). The predicted

changes in coral assemblages in response to ocean warming are therefore likely to lead to a shift in coral reef fish assemblage composition (Graham et al. 2014).

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

Small-bodied species of fish are vulnerable to changes in the composition and structure of the coral reef benthos (e.g. Alvarez-Filip et al. 2011; Nash et al. 2013), particularly those species that are directly reliant on live coral for food or shelter (Munday et al. 2008; Coker et al. 2014). While these changes are expected to result in long term reductions in fisheries yields (Graham 2014; Rogers et al. 2014), there remains a lack of understanding of how these changes in the fish assemblage will affect piscivorous reef mesopredators. These larger bodied, more mobile species are less likely to be directly affected by changes in coral assemblages, but may be vulnerable through alterations in the fish assemblage on which they predate (Hempson et al. 2017). Due to the longevity of many piscivores, relative to their small-bodied prey, the impacts of changing prey availability may initially manifest at a sublethal level, resulting in a loss of condition due to reduced nutritional value (Pratchett et al. 2004; Berumen et al. 2005), or higher energetic demands associated with hunting alternate prey (Cohen et al. 2014). Reduced energy reserves can reduce resource allocation to important life history functions such as growth (Kokita and Nakazono 2001; Feary et al. 2009), fecundity (Jones and McCormick 2002), age of first reproduction (Jonsson et al. 2013) and survivorship, resulting in potential population decline in the long term (Graham et al. 2007).

Change in the benthic composition of coral reefs therefore has the potential to have a substantial impact on reef mesopredator populations, yet there remains little known about how mesopredator trophodynamics will respond to climate-driven shifts coral assemblages. To address this knowledge gap, this study used an array of patch reefs with varying coral compositions that simulated both undisturbed and predicted climate altered configurations. This experimental setup was then used to investigate (1) the prey base among reefs in terms

of diversity, abundance, and biomass, (2) the role of mesopredators in shaping these prey communities, and (3) the effect of differing reef compositions on the condition of mesopredators.

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

93

94

95

## Methods

### Study site and patch reefs

This study was conducted at Lizard Island, a high continental island on the mid-shelf of the northern Great Barrier Reef (14°41'31.5"S 145°27'39.3"E), using a patch reef array positioned on the south-eastern side the island's lagoon between October 2013 and July 2015. The experimental setup consisted of twenty large (1.5 m diameter) patch reefs, with two distinct coral assemblages (i.e., thermally tolerant and thermally sensitive or vulnerable) constructed in October 2013. The 10 x 2 array was built at a depth of 3 - 5 m on the sandy lagoon flat, parallel to the surrounding reef, with a distance of at least 15 m separating the patch reefs from each other and from the main reef. Each patch reef consisted of a coral rubble base, stabilised with nylon line, and populated with equal numbers of colonies of six local coral species collected from the reefs surrounding the lagoon. 'Vulnerable' reefs included coral taxa that are currently abundant on reefs across the full range of thermal tolerance, including those that are sensitive to increasing ocean temperatures and prone to coral bleaching (bottlebrush Acropora sp., branching Acropora sp., Porites cylindrica, Porites sp. massive, Stylophora pistulata, Turbinaria reniformis; Fig. 1a). 'Tolerant' reefs consisted only of coral taxa that have high thermal tolerance and low vulnerability to bleaching, to simulate predicted future coral assemblages (Fungia spp., Goniastrea retiformis, Goniopora sp., Porites sp. massive, Symphyllia radians, Turbinaria reniformis; Fig. 1b). Species were chosen based on the current best knowledge of their susceptibility to

bleaching recorded during previous natural mass bleaching events in the Indo-Pacific (e.g. Marshall and Baird 2000, Loya et al. 2001, McClanahan et al. 2007).

The same number of taxa was used in each treatment to exclude species diversity as a variable, the number and size of coral colonies kept as consistent as possible among reefs, and the distribution of treatments randomised within the array. Once built, the live coral cover, average height, and structural complexity of each patch reef was measured along three haphazard 1.5 m transects across the reef, passing through the centre. Percentage live coral cover was estimated by recording the benthos (live coral cover vs alternative substrate) at 12 random points along each transect. Reef height was measured as the distance from the sand to the top of the coral at nine random points on each reef. Structural complexity was measured using a standard rugosity index for each transect, calculated as the ratio of the linear straight line distance across the reef, to the same diameter measured using a fine-linked (8 mm diameter) chain draped across the surface of the reef (Luckhurst and Luckhurst 1978).

#### Fish assemblages

Fish assemblages were allowed to establish on the patch reefs over the following 18 months, which included two periods of peak settlement (2013 - 14, 2014 – 15), which occur annually between late October and late January at Lizard Island (Milicich and Doherty 1994). In April 2015, the composition of the fish assemblage resident on each reef was surveyed. Each reef was systematically searched and all fishes identified to species and their total length estimated to the nearest 0.5 cm. Length estimates were converted to biomass using published length-weight relationships for each species sourced from Fish Base (Froese and Pauly 2016) according to the formula:

 $140 W = a \times L^b$ 

where L is the visually estimated length recorded for an individual fish, W is individual fish biomass (g), and a and b are published species specific constants.

# Mesopredator caging experiment

To examine the effect of the different fish assemblages from the two coral treatments on the trophodynamics of coral reef mesopredators, mesopredators were introduced in April 2014. The chocolate grouper, *Cephalopholis boenak*, was selected as the study mesopredator species as it is both locally abundant on the Lizard Island reefs and predominantly piscivorous (Beukers-Stewart and Jones 2004). Fourteen grouper were collected from the reefs surrounding the lagoon using baited hook and line underwater, and placed in aquaria at the Lizard Island Research Station. Only adult fish (17.1 – 21.3 cm TL) were collected to avoid any confounding effects of ontogenetic diet shifts (Chan and Sadovy 2002), and to ensure that there were minimal differences in the prey sizes available to the introduced mesopredators, as grouper are known to be limited by their gape size.

Prior to the introduction of the *C. boenak* to the patch reefs, all mesopredators that had recruited naturally to the patch reefs were removed using a net and clove oil anaesthetic, and relocated to the reef habitat surrounding the lagoon. Using the same method, all members of the family Apogonidae were also removed, as these species tend to recruit to reefs in large clouds of hundreds of fish, that could confound measures of both fish assemblage composition and predation. The reefs were then enclosed using cages constructed from 2.5 cm x 2.5 cm wire mesh attached to a 2 m x 2 m x 1.2 m frame of PVC piping. A skirt of 2.5 cm mesh nylon netting was attached to the base of the cage, and weighted with metal chain that was buried in the sand to ensure that fish could not escape from the reefs, and to avoid burrowing predators like lizardfishes from gaining access to the prey in the cages.

All mesopredators (*C. boenak*) were individually tagged with a unique subcutaneous fluorescent elastomer tag in their pectoral fins. Their total length (TL) and wet weight (WW) were recorded immediately before introducing them to the caged patch reefs. A single *C. boenak* was introduced to seven randomly selected reefs within each treatment, while the remaining six caged reefs (three for each coral treatment) were used as controls. The experiment was then allowed to run for six weeks before the mesopredators were removed. During this period, the cages were monitored daily and cleaned of algae and any other fouling organisms. Immediately prior to removing the *C. boenak*, the fish assemblage on each reef was again surveyed as per the start of the caging experiment.

Mesopredators are physically limited in the prey they are able to consume by their gape size (Mumby et al. 2006). Therefore, to estimate the relative difference in prey biomass available to the *C. boenak* introduced to the patch reefs, their gape height (cm) was measured (mean  $\pm$  standard error;  $3.68 \pm 0.07$  cm, max = 4.15 cm, min = 3.30 cm). A prey size cut-off of 5 cm (TL) was consequently used to calculate the prey biomass available to all mesopredators. This slightly longer size was based on the assumption that mesopredators will not always consume their prey side-on, but rather head or tail first.

#### **Mesopredator sampling**

After six weeks of enclosure, *C. boenak* were removed from the reefs using a net and clove oil anaesthetic, and then euthanised by immersion in ice water. Total wet weight (WW), gutted weight (GW), total length (TL), body height (H), gape height (GH) and liver weight (LW) were recorded for each fish. The livers were excised and fixed in 4% buffered formaldehyde for histological analysis. Samples of white muscle tissue (~ 2.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) were collected from between the lateral line and dorsal fin of each fish, and frozen for lipid analysis.

### **Body condition indices**

Morphometric body measurements were used to calculate Fulton's Condition Index (K; Bagenal and Tesch 1978), which is a commonly used measure of robustness or 'well-being' of a fish, calculated according to the formula:

$$K = \frac{WW}{TL^3} \times 100$$

Short-term changes in energy stores are often first detected in the liver (Ostaszewska et al. 2005), as this is both the primary site of lipid storage in fish (Stallings et al. 2010), and the tissue with the highest metabolic turnover rate (MacNeil et al. 2006). Therefore, we examined the potential for a treatment effect in the livers of caged mesopredators using both the hepatosomatic index and density of liver vacuoles. The hepatosomatic index (Stevenson and Woods 2006) is the ratio of liver weight (LW) to gutted body weight (GW):

$$HSI = \frac{LW}{GW} \times 100$$

To examine the potential difference in glycogen stores in the livers of C. boenak more closely, the density of hepatocyte vacuoles in transverse liver sections were examined using histology. Preserved livers were embedded in paraffin wax, then cut into 5  $\mu$ m sections and stained with eosin and Mayer's haematoxylin. A Weibel eyepiece was then used to count vacuole densities at a magnification of 400x (Pratchett et al. 2001).

Finally, total lipid content of white muscle tissue samples was quantified using a chloroform-methanol mixture to dissolve all lipids from the tissues (Bligh and Dyer 1959). The solvent was then evaporated off, and the total lipid mass weighed and expressed as a percentage of the total sample.

#### **Statistical Analyses**

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

Differences in the structural complexity of the benthic habitat between patch reef treatments (vulnerable vs tolerant) was tested using Welch's t-test, which adjusts degrees of freedom to account for unequal variances between groups (Welch 1947). Similarly, differences in the diversity (Shannon-Weaver Index; H), abundance (fish.reef<sup>-1</sup>) and total biomass (g.reef<sup>-1</sup>) of the entire fish assemblage, as well as the available prey fish biomass (g.reef<sup>-1</sup>, based on a 5 cm body size cut off), were compared between coral treatments.

To examine how the fish assemblages on the patch reefs shifted in terms of their composition over the duration of the 6-week mesopredator caging experiment, a principal coordinates analysis (PCO) was used, based on a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix. Data were square root transformed to reduce the influence of highly abundant species. Eigenvectors of the species accounting for the largest separation in the fish assemblages (> 0.7 Pearson correlation co-efficient) were then overlaid to show the key distinguishing taxa. The change in species composition was measured in terms of the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between the fish assemblage on each reef at the end of the caging experiment compared to the start. Based on the results of the PCO, the prey species, P. chrysurus, was identified as a potential driver the differences between treatments. To test this possibility, we reran the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity analysis without this species to see if there was a change in the results, or whether observed effects were community driven. A linear mixed effects model was then used to test for a difference in Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between reef treatments (vulnerable vs tolerant), with the predator treatment (control vs C. boenak) included as a random effect. We also tested whether there was a difference in the overall abundance (fish.reef<sup>-1</sup>) and biomass (g.reef<sup>-1</sup>) from the beginning to the end of the caging experiment, within each treatment, using a matched pair t-test (non-parametric Wilcoxon matched pair rank test)

Differences in the condition of mesopredators caged on vulnerable and tolerant reefs in terms of Fulton's condition index (K), hepatosomatic index (HSI) and the density of hepatocyte vacuoles in liver sections were all tested using notched boxplots and associated 95% confidence intervals. To test for a difference in body condition in *C. argus* from the beginning to end of the experiment within each treatment, we used a matched pair t-test (non-parametric Wilcoxon matched pair rank test).

#### Results

## Benthic habitat and fish assemblage

In April 2015, immediately prior to the introduction of the mesopredator caging
experiment, patch reefs of the 'tolerant' treatment had significantly lower structural
complexity than those of the 'vulnerable' treatment (RI; Vulnerable: $2.46\pm0.14$ , Tolerant:
$1.87 \pm 0.10$ , $t_{11.72} = 4.154$ , $p < 0.001$ , mean $\pm$ standard error; Fig. 2a). The reef fish
assemblages that established over 18-month period differed significantly between treatments
in terms of their Shannon-Weiner Diversity (H'; Vulnerable: $2.32\pm0.12$ , Tolerant: $1.70\pm$
0.09, $t_{17.48} = -5.01$ , $p < 0.001$ , Fig. 2b). Fish assemblages on vulnerable reef treatments also
had higher overall abundance (fish.reef <sup>-1</sup> ; Vulnerable: $47.45 \pm 3.83$ , Tolerant: $29.4 \pm 2.71$ ,
$t_{17.64} = -4.712$ , $p < 0.001$ ), and biomass (g.reef <sup>-1</sup> ; Vulnerable: $340 \pm 30$ , Tolerant: $200 \pm 50$ ,
$t_{16.10} = -3.27$ , $p < 0.005$ ) than those on tolerant reefs. There was more available prey biomass
(<5cm) on vulnerable patch reefs than on tolerant reefs (g.reef $^{-1}$ ; Vulnerable: 54 $\pm$ 3,
Tolerant: $30 \pm 5$ , $t_{13.03} = 4.87$ , $p < 0.001$ ; Fig. 2c).
The PCO analysis showed a clear separation of fish assemblage composition between
vulnerable versus tolerant reefs (Fig. 3a). Fish assemblages on vulnerable reefs were
characterised by high abundances of Pomacentrus moluccensis, Dascyllus aruanus,
Gobiodon ceramensis, and Halichoeres melanurus. Tolerant reef fish assemblages were

distinguished by higher abundances of *Canthigaster papua* and *Balistoides viridis*, while *Pomacentrus chrysurus* was equally abundant across both treatments.

# Effect of mesopredators on fish assemblages

A greater shift was evident in the composition of reef fish assemblages on tolerant reefs than vulnerable reefs following the introduction of the mesopredator (Fig. 3a). Bray-Curtis dissimilarity of the fish assemblages between the start and end of the caging experiment was somewhat greater on tolerant reefs (Vulnerable: 12.40 [6.24, 18.56], Tolerant: 19.73 [13.57, 25.89]; Fig. 3b), an effect which did not change with the exclusion of P. chrysurus, indicating that this species is not responsible for driving the response. On vulnerable reefs, there was little difference in mean Bray-Curtis dissimilarity between control reefs and those with C. boenak introduced, while on tolerant reefs, mean Bray-Curtis dissimilarity in fish assemblage composition was higher for reefs with mesopredators than for controls (Fig. S1). Overall abundance and biomass in the fish communities in each treatment did not differ significantly from the beginning to the end of the experiment (Abundance; Vulnerable: W = -9 p = 0.438, Tolerant: W = 14, p = 0.281, Biomass; Vulnerable: W = -6 p = 0.688, Tolerant: W = -4, p = 0.813).

# Effect of fish assemblages on mesopredators

Fulton's condition index (K) showed no difference in the robustness of *C. boenak* at the start of the experiment, prior to being introduced to the patch reefs (Vulnerable:  $1.516 \pm 0.052$ , Tolerant:  $1.602 \pm 0.039$ ,  $t_{13.98} = 1.719$ , p = 0.108). By the end of the 6-week mesopredator caging experiment, fish caged on vulnerable reefs showed no decrease in their Fulton's condition (K), while those on tolerant reefs showed a significant loss of body condition (Vulnerable: W = -18, p = 0.156, Tolerant: W = -24, p = 0.047).

The hepatosomatic index (HSI) showed no difference in the liver to body mass ratio in *C. boenak* between the two patch reef treatments (Fig. 4a). Similarly, the results of the liver histology analyses showed no significant difference in the hepatocyte densities in *C. boenak* caged on the two patch reef treatments (Fig. 4b). However, there was a much higher variance in the density of hepatocyte vacuoles in the livers of mesopredators caged on tolerant reefs than those from vulnerable reefs (Variance; Vulnerable: 0.676, Tolerant = 79.246). At a finer physiological scale, the results of the total lipid extraction showed a higher percentage lipid composition in the white muscle tissue of *C. boenak* caged on vulnerable reefs than those from tolerant reefs (Fig. 4c).

# **Discussion**

Novel coral reef ecosystems emerging due to climate change are expected to vary substantially, in terms of both structure and function, from the structurally complex, diverse assemblages we associate with current day healthy coral reefs (Graham et al. 2014). This study suggests that these changes are likely to affect the trophodynamics between reef mesopredators and the reef fish assemblages on which they prey. Critically, it provides evidence that mesopredators could experience a loss of condition associated with decreased energy reserves. It also shows that the prey fish assemblages on which they feed on tolerant reefs are less diverse, and prone to greater impacts from piscivore predation. Disruption of trophodynamics on future reefs is thus likely to have repercussions for both mesopredators and their prey.

Reduced lipid energy stores and body condition (K) in the *C. boenak* caged on tolerant reef treatments could be attributable to several factors, including altered prey species availability or reduced available prey biomass. Like many reef mesopredators, *C. boenak* are ambush predators, that rely on structure for shelter to hunt from (Shpigel and Fishelson 1989). They may therefore need to expend more energy in hunting and capturing prey on tolerant reefs due to the decreased structural complexity. In this experiment, we excluded the effects of competition, by only including a single mesopredator on each reef. On a contiguous coral reef, it is possible that the depletion of lipid stores may be exacerbated as mesopredators experience increased competition for shelter and prey, both factors negatively affected by a loss of structural complexity (Hixon and Beets 1993; Beukers and Jones 1997; Syms and Jones 2000; Kerry and Bellwood 2012). The lack of statistical evidence for an effect in coarser measures of condition (HSI and hepatocyte vacuole density), is likely due to the short time period of this experiment. The overall pattern of decline in body condition

across both treatments is likely due to the unavoidable stress of handling and caging on all *C. boenak* during the experiment.

Sublethal effects, such as the loss of condition and energy reserves, in mesopredators can compromise not only their ability to withstand periods of stress (Jones and McCormick 2002), but also the resources they are able to allocate to important life history components, such as growth, age of first reproduction and fecundity (Kokita and Nakazono 2001, Pratchett et al. 2006). This study was too short to empirically detect these effects, but previous field studies have shown that despite mesopredators being able to adapt their diets to a changing prey base, this altered trophic niche carried a cost to their condition (Berumen et al. 2005). Due to the relative longevity of many reef mesopredators, sublethal costs may not be easily detected in the short term, but may result in unexpected population crashes in the long term (Graham et al. 2007). This has implications for fisheries management, as mesopredators are often highly targeted species, and if catch rates are not managed when populations are stressed and experiencing reduced recruitment rates, fisheries could face severe declines.

Changes in the broader fish assemblage associated with predicted shifts in coral assemblages are also likely to have wide-ranging ecological and economic implications. This study suggests that the abundance and diversity of reef fish assemblages will decline as coral communities become dominated by taxa with higher thermal tolerance and low structural complexity. This is not surprising, as a reduction in structural complexity decreases available habitat niche space for fish species (Darling et al. 2017). The overall reduction in reef fish biomass also supports previous findings that biodiversity and biomass are closely related, with high biomass reefs supporting a high diversity of species (McClanahan et al. 2011), and biomass found to scale with biodiversity (Mora et al. 2011). Reduced diversity and biomass in coral reef fish assemblages would compromise the sustainability of multispecies reef

fisheries, with severe repercussions for the food security of some of the world's poorest coastal populations (Cinner et al. 2013).

High species diversity is frequently predicted to confer ecological stability to communities, by increasing the functional diversity represented among species (McCann 2000; Gross et al. 2014; Duffy et al. 2016). Greater functional diversity can increase community resilience, allowing them to better respond to perturbation (e.g. Nash et al. 2016), an attribute which may become increasingly important in responding to new future disturbance regimes (Nyström et al. 2008). In this study, fish assemblages on tolerant patch reefs were both less diverse and more strongly affected by the introduction of a mesopredator, suggesting that they may be less resilient to predation than fish assemblages on vulnerable patch reefs.

The species that distinguished fish assemblages on vulnerable reefs from those on tolerant reefs represent a variety of different functional groups (e.g. planktivores, coral dwellers, mixed-feeding mid-trophic level wrasses). These species also included habitat specialists that rely on complex live corals (*Dascyllus aruanus*, *Gobiodon ceramensis*) (Froese and Pauly 2016). Tolerant reefs were characterised by species of the order Tetraodontiformes (*Canthigaster papua*, *Balistoides viridescens*), which are known to associate with rubble bottoms as juveniles, and have broad habitat use (Froese and Pauly 2016). Species that were ubiquitous between treatments were omnivorous habitat generalists (e.g. *Pomacentrus chrysurus*). This suggests that degree of habitat specialisation likely to be a strong driver of future reef fish assemblages, with generalist species potentially emerging as the successful species on future novel reef assemblages due to their adaptability.

As atmospheric carbon concentrations continue to rise, it is improbable that coral reef ecosystems will return to their pre-disturbance state. It is therefore essential that we improve our understanding of how these novel configurations in future ecosystems are likely to

function. While the findings presented here will need to be tested on contiguous natural reefs, this study provides insight into how the trophodynamics of piscivorous mesopredators and their prey communities could be affected as coral assemblages shift with rising ocean temperatures. Predation is one of the fundamental ecological processes in food webs (Legović 1989), and therefore of key importance to understanding how ecosystem function may be disrupted or maintained in future reef ecosystems. Mesopredators are also important target species in many reef fisheries (Cinner et al. 2009; Mumby et al. 2012; GBRMPA 2014). To ensure the best possible management of these ecologically and economically valuable species, is crucial that we improve our understanding of the probable effects of changing prey bases and habitats on mesopredators, to maintain ecological function and provision of ecosystem services.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank the Lizard Island Research Station and its directors, Lyle Vail and Anne Hoggett for all the logistical support and facilities they provided for the field component of this research. This research was conducted under animal ethics approval from James Cook University (Ethics approval number A1996). This research was supported by the Australian Research Council and the Australian Institute for Marine Science.

# **Figure Legends**

Fig. 1 Photos illustrating the two reef treatments in the patch reef array immediately after construction in 2013, prior to recruitment of fish assemblages. All reefs were constructed on a 2 m diameter base of coral rubble, with live colonies of six coral taxa each. a. Vulnerable reefs were composed of coral taxa from the entire spectrum of predicted vulnerability to increasing ocean temperatures (bottlebrush *Acropora* sp., branching *Acropora* sp., *Porites cylindrical, Porites* sp. massive, *Stylophora pistulata, Turbinaria reniformis*). b. Tolerant reefs consisted only of coral taxa that are expected to have high thermal tolerance (*Fungia* spp., *Goniastrea retiformis*, *Goniopora* sp., *Porites* sp. massive, *Symphyllia radians*, *Turbinaria reniformis*).

**Fig. 2** Comparison of mean (± standard error) **a** benthic structural complexity, **b** Shannon Diversity (H') of fish assemblages, and **c** prey fish biomass available to *C. boenak* between vulnerable and tolerant reef treatments at the start of the mesopredator caging experiment in March 2015.

**Fig. 3 a** Principal Co-Ordinates Analysis of fish assemblages on patch reefs prior to Mesopredator introduction and after 6 weeks. **b** Bray-Curtis similarity between fish assemblages at the start and end of mesopredator caging experiment (mean  $\pm$  standard error), based on square-root transformed species abundance.

**Fig. 4** Notched boxplots of **a** hepatosomatic index (HSI), **b** hepatocyte densities from liver tissue sections, and **c.** percentage total lipid content in white muscle tissue of *Cephalopholis boenak* after removal from mesopredator caging experiment on vulnerable and tolerant patch reef treatments. Bold centre line indicates the median, whiskers span maximum and

- 415 minimum values, box height shows the interquartile range, and diagonal notches in the boxes
- 416 illustrate the 95% confidence interval around the median.

# References

418	Alvarez-Filip L, Carricart-Ganivet JP, Horta-Puga G, Iglesias-Prieto R (2013) Shifts in coral
419	assemblage composition do not ensure persistence of reef functionality. Sci Rep 3:3486
420	Alvarez-Filip L, Gill JA, Dulvy NK (2011) Complex reef architecture supports more small-
421	bodied fishes and longer food chains on Caribbean reefs. Ecosphere 2:1–17
422	Bagenal TB, Tesch FW (1978) Age and growth. In: T.B. Bagenal (eds) Methods for
423	assessment of fish production in fresh water. Blackwell Scientific Publication, Oxford,
424	Berumen ML, Pratchett MS, McCormick MI (2005) Within-reef differences in diet and body
425	condition of coral-feeding butterflyfishes (Chaetodontidae). Mar Ecol Prog Ser
426	287:217–227
427	Beukers-Stewart BD, Jones GP (2004) The influence of prey abundance on the feeding
428	ecology of two piscivorous species of coral reef fish. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 299:155-184
429	Beukers JS, Jones GP (1997) Habitat complexity modifes the impact of piscivores on a coral
430	reef fish population. Oecologia 114:50–59
431	Bligh EG, Dyer WJ (1959) A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification. Can J
432	Biochem Physiol 37:911–917
433	Brandt JS, Haynes MA, Kuemmerle T, Waller DM, Radeloff VC (2013) Regime shift on the
434	roof of the world: Alpine meadows converting to shrublands in the southern Himalayas.
435	Biol Conserv 158:116–127
436	Buitenwerf R, Bond WJ, Stevens N, Trollope WSW (2012) Increased tree densities in South
437	African savannas: >50 years of data suggests CO 2 as a driver. Glob Chang Biol
438	18:675–684
439	Byrnes JE, Reed DC, Cardinale BJ, Cavanaugh KC, Holbrook SJ, Schmitt RJ (2011)

440	Climate-driven increases in storm frequency simplify kelp forest food webs. Glob
441	Chang Biol 17:2513–2524
442	Chan TTC, Sadovy Y (2002) Reproductive biology, age and growth in the chocolate hind,
443	Cephalopholis boenak (Bloch, 1790), in Hong Kong. Mar Freshw Res 53:791–803
444	Cinner JE, Huchery C, Darling ES, Humphries AT, Graham NAJ, Hicks CC, Marshall N,
445	McClanahan TR (2013) Evaluating social and ecological vulnerability of coral reef
446	fisheries to climate change. PLoS One 8:e74321–e74321
447	Cinner JE, McClanahan TR, Graham NAJ, Pratchett MS, Wilson SK, Raina JB (2009) Gear-
448	based fisheries management as a potential adaptive response to climate change and coral
449	mortality. J Appl Ecol 46:724–732
450	Cohen LA, Pichegru L, Grémillet D, Coetzee J, Upfold L, Ryan PG (2014) Changes in prey
451	availability impact the foraging behaviour and fitness of Cape gannets over a decade.
452	Mar Ecol Prog Ser 505:281–293
453	Coker DJ, Wilson SK, Pratchett MS (2014) Importance of live coral habitat for reef fishes.
454	Rev Fish Biol Fish 24:89–126
455	Darling ES, Graham NAJ, Januchowski-Hartley FA, Nash KL, Pratchett MS, Wilson SK
456	(2017) Relationships between structural complexity, coral traits, and reef fish
457	assemblages. Coral Reefs 36:561–575
458	Darling ES, McClanahan TR, Côté IM (2013) Life histories predict coral community
459	disassembly under multiple stressors. Glob Chang Biol 19:1930–1940
460	Demartini EE, Anderson TW, Kenyon JC, Beets JP, Friedlander AM (2010) Management
461	implications of juvenile reef fish habitat preferences and coral susceptibility to
462	stressors <sup>1</sup> . Mar Freshw Res 61:532–540

463	Duffy JE, Lefcheck JS, Stuart-Smith RD, Navarrete SA, Edgar GJ (2016) Biodiversity
464	enhances reef fish biomass and resistance to climate change. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
465	113:6230–6235
466	Fabina NS, Baskett ML, Gross K (2015) The differential effects of increasing frequency and
467	magnitude of extreme events on coral populations. Ecol Appl 25:1534–1545
468	Feary DA, McCormick MI, Jones GP (2009) Growth of reef fishes in response to live coral
469	cover. J Exp Mar Bio Ecol 373:45–49
470	Froese R, Pauly D (2016) FishBase, www.fishbase.org, version (10/2016).
471	http://www.fishbase.org.
472	GBRMPA (2014) Great Barrier Reef Outlook Report 2014: In brief.
473	Graham NAJ (2014) Habitat complexity: Coral structural loss leads to fisheries declines. Curr
474	Biol 24:R359–R361
475	Graham NAJ, Cinner JE, Norström A V., Nyström M (2014) Coral reefs as novel
476	ecosystems: embracing new futures. Curr Opin Environ Sustain 7:9–14
477	Graham NAJ, Nash KL (2013) The importance of structural complexity in coral reef
478	ecosystems. Coral Reefs 32:315–326
479	Graham NAJ, Wilson SK, Jennings S, Polunin NVC, Robinson J, Bijoux JP, Daw TM (2007)
480	Lag effects in the impacts of mass coral bleaching on coral reef fish, fisheries, and
481	ecosystems. Conserv Biol 21:1291–1300
482	Gross K, Cardinale BJ, Fox JW, Gonzalez A, Loreau M, Polley HW, Reich PB, van Ruijven
483	J, Wayne Polley H, Reich PB, van Ruijven J (2014) Species Richness and the Temporal
484	Stability of Biomass Production: A New Analysis of Recent Biodiversity Experiments.
485	Am Nat 183:1–12

486	Grotton AG, Rodrigues LJ, Palardy JE (2006) Heterotrophic plasticity and resilience in
487	bleached corals. Nature 440:1186–1189
488	Hempson TN, Graham NAJ, MacNeil MA, Williamson DH, Jones GP, Almany GR (2017)
489	Coral reef mesopredators switch prey, shortening food chains, in response to habitat
490	degradation. Ecol Evol 1–10
491	Hixon MA, Beets JP (1993) Predation , prey refuges , and the structure of coral-reef fish
492	assemblages. Ecol Monogr 63:77–101
493	Hoegh-Guldberg O, Bruno JF (2010) The Impact of Climate Change on the World's Marine
494	Ecosystems. Science (80- ) 328:1523–1528
495	Hoegh-Guldberg O, Mumby PJ, Hooten AJ, Steneck RS, Greenfield P, Gomez E, Harvell
496	CD, Sale PF, Edwards AJ, Caldeira K, Knowlton N, Eakin CM, Iglesias-Prieto R,
497	Muthiga N, Bradbury RH, Dubi A, Hatziolos ME (2007) Coral reefs under rapid climate
498	change and ocean acidification. Science (80- ) 318:1737–1742
499	Holbrook SJ, Schmitt RJ (2002) Competition for shelter space causes density-dependent
500	predation mortality in damselfishes. Ecology 83:2855–2868
501	Hughes TP, Kerry JT, Álvarez-Noriega M, Álvarez-Romero JG, Anderson KD, Baird AH,
502	Babcock RC, Beger M, Bellwood DR, Berkelmans R, Bridge TC, Butler IR, Byrne M,
503	Cantin NE, Comeau S, Connolly SR, Cumming GS, Dalton SJ, Diaz-Pulido G, Eakin
504	CM, Figueira WF, Gilmour JP, Harrison HB, Heron SF, Hoey AS, Hobbs J-PA,
505	Hoogenboom MO, Kennedy E V., Kuo C-Y, Lough JM, Lowe RJ, Liu G, McCulloch
506	MT, Malcolm HA, McWilliam MJ, Pandolfi JM, Pears RJ, Pratchett MS, Schoepf V,
507	Simpson T, Skirving WJ, Sommer B, Torda G, Wachenfeld DR, Willis BL, Wilson SK
508	(2017) Global Warming and Mass Bleaching of Corals. Nature 543:373–377
509	Jones G, McCormick M (2002) Numerical and energetic processes in the ecology of coral

510	reef fishes. In: Sale P.F. (eds) Coral reef fishes: dynamics and diversity in a complex
511	ecosystem. Academic Press, San Diego, pp 221–238
512	Jonsson B, Jonsson N, Finstad AG (2013) Effects of temperature and food quality on age and
513	size at maturity in ectotherms: An experimental test with Atlantic salmon. J Anim Ecol
514	82:201–210
515	Kerry JT, Bellwood DR (2012) The effect of coral morphology on shelter selection by coral
516	reef fishes. Coral Reefs 31:415–424
517	Kok JE, Graham NAJ, Hoogenboom MO (2016) Climate-driven coral reorganisation
518	influences aggressive behaviour in juvenile coral-reef fishes. Coral Reefs 35:473–483
519	Kokita T, Nakazono A (2001) Rapid response of an obligately corallivorous filefish
520	Oxymonacanthus longirostris (Monacanthidae) to a mass coral bleaching event. Coral
521	Reefs 20:155–158
522	Legović T (1989) Predation in food webs. Ecol Modell 48:267–276
523	Loya, Sakai, Yamazato, Nakano, Sambali, Van W (2001) Coral bleaching: the winners and
524	the losers. Ecol Lett 4:122–131
525	Luckhurst BE, Luckhurst K (1978) Analysis of the influence of substrate variables on coral
526	reef fish communities. Mar Biol 49:317–323
527	MacNeil MA, Drouillard KG, Fisk AT (2006) Variable uptake and elimination of stable
528	nitrogen isotopes between tissues in fish. Can J Fish Aquat Sci 63:345-353
529	Marshall PA, Baird AH (2000) Bleaching of corals on the Great Barrier Reef: Differential
530	susceptibilities among taxa. Coral Reefs 19:155–163
531	McCann KS (2000) The diversity-stability debate. Nature 405:228–233
532	McClanahan TR, Ateweberhan M, Graham NAJ, Wilson SK, Ruiz Sebastiàn C, Guillaume

533	MMM, Bruggemann JH (2007) Western Indian Ocean coral communities:bleaching
534	responses and susceptibility to extinction. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 337:1–13
535	McClanahan TR, Graham N a. J, MacNeil M a., Muthiga N a., Cinner JE, Bruggemann JH,
536	Wilson SK (2011) Critical thresholds and tangible targets for ecosystem-based
537	management of coral reef fisheries. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108:17230-17233
538	Milicich MJ, Doherty PJ (1994) Larval supply of coral reef fish populations - Magnitude and
539	synchrony of replenishment to Lizard Island, Great Barrier Reef. Mar Ecol Prog Ser
540	110:121–134
541	Mora C, Aburto-Oropeza O, Ayala-Bocos A, Ayotte PM, Banks S, Bauman AG, Beger M,
542	Bessudo S, Booth DJ, Brokovich E, Brooks A, Chabanet P, Cinner JE, Cortés J, Cruz-
543	Motta JJ, Cupul-Magaña A, DeMartini EE, Edgar GJ, Feary DA, Ferse SCA,
544	Friedlander AM, Gaston KJ, Gough C, Graham NAJ, Green A, Guzman H, Hardt M,
545	Kulbicki M, Letourneur Y, Ĺpez-Pérez A, Loreau M, Loya Y, Martinez C, Mascareñas-
546	Osorio I, Morove T, Nadon MO, Nakamura Y, Paredes G, Polunin NVC, Pratchett MS,
547	Reyes Bonilla H, Rivera F, Sala E, Sandin SA, Soler G, Stuart-Smith R, Tessier E,
548	Tittensor DP, Tupper M, Usseglio P, Vigliola L, Wantiez L, Williams I, Wilson SK,
549	Zapata FA (2011) Global human footprint on the linkage between biodiversity and
550	ecosystem functioning in reef fishes. PLoS Biol 9:e1000606
551	Mumby PJ, Dahlgren CP, Harborne AR, Kappel C V., Micheli F, Brumbaugh DR, Holmes
552	KE, Mendes JM, Broad K, Sanchirico JN, Buch K, Box S, Stoffle RW, Gill AB (2006)
553	Fishing, trophic cascades, and the process of grazing on coral reefs. Science (80-)
554	311:98–101
555	Mumby PJ, Steneck RS, Edwards AJ, Ferrari R, Coleman R, Harborne AR, Gibson JP (2012)
556	Fishing down a Caribbean food web relaxes trophic cascades. Mar Ecol Prog Ser

557	445:13–24
558	Munday PL, Jones GP, Pratchett MS, Williams AJ (2008) Climate change and the future for
559	coral reef fishes. Fish Fish 9:261–285
560	Nash KL, Graham NAJ, Jennings S, Wilson SK, Bellwood DR, Angeler D (2016) Herbivore
561	cross-scale redundancy supports response diversity and promotes coral reef resilience. J
562	Appl Ecol 53:646–655
563	Nash KL, Graham NAJ, Wilson SK, Bellwood DR (2013) Cross-scale habitat structure drives
564	fish body size distributions on coral reefs. Ecosystems 16:478–490
565	Nyström M, Graham NAJ, Lokrantz J, Norström A V. (2008) Capturing the cornerstones of
566	coral reef resilience: Linking theory to practice. Coral Reefs 27:795–809
567	Ostaszewska T, Dabrowski K, Czumińska K, Olech W, Olejniczak M (2005) Rearing of
568	pike-perch larvae using formulated diets - First success with starter feeds. Aquac Res
569	36:1167–1176
570	Pratchett MS, Gust N, Goby G, Klanten SO (2001) Consumption of coral propagules
571	represents a significant trophic link between corals and reef fish. Coral Reefs 20:13-17
572	Pratchett MS, Hoey AS, Wilson SK (2014) Reef degradation and the loss of critical
573	ecosystem goods and services provided by coral reef fishes. Curr Opin Environ Sustain
574	7:37–43
575	Pratchett MS, Wilson SK, Baird AH (2006) Declines in the abundance of Chaetodon
576	butterflyfishes following extensive coral depletion. J Fish Biol 69:1269–1280
577	Pratchett MS, Wilson SK, Berumen ML, McCormick MI (2004) Sublethal effects of coral
578	bleaching on an obligate coral feeding butterflyfish. Coral Reefs 23:352–356
579	Riegl B, Bruckner A, Coles SL, Renaud P, Dodge RE (2009) Coral reefs: Threats and

580	conservation in an era of global change. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1162:136-186
581	Rogers A, Blanchard JL, Mumby PJ (2014) Vulnerability of coral reef fisheries to a loss of
582	structural complexity. Curr Biol 24:1000–1005
583	Shpigel M, Fishelson L (1989) Food habits and prey selection of three species of groupers
584	from the genus Cephalopholis (Serranidae: Teleostei). Environ Biol Fishes 24:67–73
585	Stallings CD, Coleman FC, Koenig CC, Markiewicz DA (2010) Energy allocation in
586	juveniles of a warm-temperate reef fish. Environ Biol Fishes 88:389–398
587	Stevenson RD, Woods WA (2006) Condition indices for conservation: New uses for evolving
588	tools. Integr Comp Biol 46:1169–1190
589	Syms C, Jones GP (2000) Disturbance, habitat structure, and the dynamics of a coral-reef
590	fish community. Ecology 81:2714–2729
591	Welch BL (1947) The Generalization of `Student's' Problem when Several Different
592	Population Variances are Involved. Biometrika 34:28–35
593	Wernberg T, Bennett S, Babcock RC, de Bettignies T, Cure K, Depczynski M, Dufois F,
594	Fromont J, Fulton CJ, Hovey RK, Harvey ES, Holmes TH, Kendrick GA, Radford B,
595	Santana-Garcon J, Saunders BJ, Smale DA, Thomsen MS, Tuckett CA, Tuya F,
596	Vanderklift MA, Wilson S (2016) Climate-driven regime shift of a temperate marine
597	ecosystem. Science (80- ) 353:169–72
598	Van Woesik R, Sakai K, Ganase A, Loya Y (2011) Revisiting the winners and the losers a
599	decade after coral bleaching. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 434:67–76
600	