

Technical University of Denmark



Meta-analysis on the efficacy of foot-and-mouth disease emergency vaccination

Hisham Beshara Halasa, Tariq; Boklund, Anette ; Cox, S.; Enøe, Claes

Published in:

Optimizing the control of foot-and-mouth disease in Denmark by simulation

Publication date:

2012

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Hisham Beshara Halasa, T., Boklund, A., Cox, S., & Enøe, C. (2012). Meta-analysis on the efficacy of foot-and-mouth disease emergency vaccination. In *Optimizing the control of foot-and-mouth disease in Denmark by simulation: Final report* (pp. 10-12). Kgs. Lyngby: Technical University of Denmark (DTU).

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Meta-analysis on the efficacy of foot-and-mouth disease emergency vaccination

T. Halasa^{a*}, A. Boklund^a, S. Cox^b, C. Enøe^a

^a Technical Section for Epidemiology, National Veterinary Institute, Technical University of Denmark

^b Institute for Animal Health, Pirbright Laboratory, United Kingdom

The objectives of this study were to provide a summary quantification of the efficacy of FMD emergency vaccination based on a systematic review and a meta-analysis of available literature, and to further discuss the suitability of this review and meta-analysis to summarize and further interpret the results. Peer-reviewed, symposium, and unpublished studies were considered in the analysis.

Clinical protection and virological protection against foot and mouth disease were used as parameters to assess the efficacy of emergency vaccination. The clinical protection was estimated based on the appearance of clinical signs including FMD lesions and fever, while the virological protection parameter was estimated based on the outcome of laboratory tests that were used to diagnose FMD infection. A meta-analysis relative risk was calculated per protection parameter. Results of the meta-analyses were examined using publication bias tests.

In total, 31 studies were included in the analyses, of which 26 were peer-reviewed studies, 1 was a symposium study and 4 were unpublished studies. Cattle, swine and sheep were well protected against clinical disease and foot-and-mouth disease infection following the use of emergency vaccine. Fortunately, no significant bias that would alter the conclusions was encountered in the analysis.

Meta-analysis showed to be a useful tool to summarize literature results from a systematic review of the efficacy of foot and mouth disease emergency vaccination.

Reference:

Halasa, T., Boklund, A., Cox, S., Enøe, C., 2011. Meta-analysis on the efficacy of foot-and-mouth disease emergency vaccination, *Prev. Vet. Med.* 98, 1-9.