



Planning a new ski lift system in Sisimiut (Greenland)

Nicolas, A. L.; Zarzosa, N. L.; Ingeman-Nielsen, Thomas; Mioc, Darka

Publication date:
2012

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Nicolas, A. L., Zarzosa, N. L., Ingeman-Nielsen, T., & Mioc, D. (2012). Planning a new ski lift system in Sisimiut (Greenland) Technical University of Denmark (DTU). [Sound/Visual production (digital)]. Kortdage 2012, Denmark, 31/10/2012

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Planning for a new ski lift system in Sisimiut (Greenland)

Adrian Läufer Nicolás



Nieves Lantada Zarzosa

Dep. of Geotechnical Engineering and Geo-Sciences

Thomas Ingeman-Nielsen
Darka Mioc

Danmarks Tekniske Universitet



Introduction

1. Sisimiut

5.900 inhabitants (Greenland 55.000 inhabitants)

Second largest city in Greenland

Fishing Industry

Important touristic highlight in Greenland



Introduction

2. Igloo Mountain Project


Solbakken Actual Situation



Introduction

2. Igloo Mountain Project


Solbakken Actual Situation




1. DEM Creation

-From the National Survey and Cadastre of Denmark a list with more than 8000 points was obtained.

-In 2006 ortophotos were taken between the 130 kilometers that separate Sisimiut and Kangerlussuaq.



Source: National Survey and Cadastre of Denmark




20 meters size grid

Kortdage 2012

What was done?

1. GPS Measurements

- Kinematic Survey was realized to obtain more altitude data in the zone of interest.




Kortdage 2012

What was done?

2. Geological Survey

1. Characterization of rock samples

a. *Lithology and Meteorization.*



b. *Physical and Mechanical Properties:* Point Load Index Test were realized

Altitude	Bottom	Middle	Top
σ_c (Mpa)	69	113	32


2. Description of discontinuities

- Orientation*
- Spacing*
- Discontinuity length*
- Roughness*
- Aperture and Infilling*
- Groundwater conditions*



What was done?

3. Rock Mass Classification

Altitude	RMR	RMR significance	Q Index	Q Index significance
Bottom	62	Medium quality	12,5	Medium quality
Middle	67	Medium quality	5,95	Medium quality
Top	52	Medium or fair quality	0,53	Very bad quality



1. DEM Creation and Analysis



3. GIS-Based Kinematic Slope Stability Analysis

3.1. Reasons to use this type of analysis

- More detailed analysis of the rock slope failure, since no general characterizations are done
- Easiness to rapidly analyze all the slopes of a big area

3.2. Methodology

- Introduction of all the geological characteristics used in the kinematic analysis in the DEM as raster data
- Use of the Raster Calculator Tool from ArcGIS to compare different values of raster files

3.3. Results


- Very similar to the ones obtained in the traditional kinematic analysis.

Analysis and Results

1. DEM Creation and Analysis

1. TIN: Triangulated Irregular Network

TIN Model Considering the Existing Points



Kortdage 2012

ARCTIC TECHNOLOGY CENTRE





Analysis and Results

1. DEM Creation and Analysis

1. TIN: Triangulated Irregular Network

TIN Model Considering the Existing Points



Kortdage 2012

ARCTIC TECHNOLOGY CENTRE




Analysis and Results

1. DEM Creation and Analysis

2. Raster Model

Inverse Distance Weighting with $p=2.7$




Analysis and Results


1. Digital Elevation Model Creation and Analysis

3. Contour Lines, Aspect and Slope Analysis


A. Contour Lines



B. Aspect Analysis



C. Slope Analysis




Analysis and Results


2. Kinematic Analysis of Rock Slope Failure

2.3. Failure Analysis


a) Planar Failure




b) Wedge Failure



c) Circular Failure



d) Toppling Failure




Analysis and Results

3. GIS-Based Kinematic Slope Stability Analysis

3.3. Results


-The analysis was done separately for all the different types of failure and all of them could be summarized in the following raster model.




Compliance of the recommendations of the standard DS/EN 12929-1

Ski lift 1 and 2

1. Limitation of the running height above ground (not more than 15m)





2. Observation if the proposed ski lift lines could pass through possible slopes with failure probabilities.



3. Guarantee of the compliance of the clearance envelope and the foot and hand area, as well as analysis of the unloading and loading areas

Ski-tow

1. Longitudinal gradient can not exceed 40 % considering the use of tow-hangers and a low level ski-tow. Almost no negative gradient should be present in the ski-tow line.



2. To avoid skiers from sliding away of the ski-tow line, the transverse gradient of it should be less than 10 %, which could be fulfilled along the hole trace.

1. Overall Conclusions

1. *Digital Elevation Model:*

- The GPS Survey is a too complex method to obtain altitude data in mountaineous regions
- Profiles showed that the ski lifts won't cover zones with extreme steep slopes.
- Unloading and loading areas could be easily defined.

2. *Geological Survey*

- Point load index tests have shown a high variability in the results. Nevertheless the strength results are similar to the ones obtained by the geological hammer.

1. Overall Conclusions

2. *Geological Survey*

- RMR and Q-Index produced almost similar results.


3. *Kinematic Analysis*

- When using the traditional kinematic analysis with stereographic projections a lot of simplifications are done. In this way, GIS-based kinematic analysis is recommended as well as other types of software, which could facilitate this type of analysis.

2. Future Perspective

1. Spatial Analysis

- Other sources to obtain altitude data should be used, like LiDAR
- A more complete DEM of the whole mountain could facilitate the management of the ski resort as it is already done by other ski stations.



Kortdage 2012

Thank you for your attention!



Kortdage 2012