#### Technical University of Denmark



#### Life Cycle Assessment of electricity generation: overview and methodological issues

Turconi, Roberto; Boldrin, Alessio; Astrup, Thomas Fruergaard

Publication date: 2012

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

*Citation (APA):* Turconi, R., Boldrin, A., & Astrup, T. F. (2012). Life Cycle Assessment of electricity generation: overview and methodological issues. Abstract from LCA XII, Tacoma, Washington, United States.

#### DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Technical University of Denmark



# Life Cycle Assessment of electricity generation: overview and methodological issues

Roberto Turconi, Alessio Boldrin, Thomas Astrup

Technical University of Denmark



**DTU Environment** Department of Environmental Engineering

# Background

## Electricity from natural gas, avg. UCTE plant (impacts per kWh)



Freshwater eutrophication kg P eq



#### Terrestrial acidification kg SO<sub>2</sub> eq



#### Marine eutrophication kg N eq



# **Objective of the study**

 Research question: What are the key parameters determining the environmental impacts of an energy generation technology?

Complementary to NREL Harmonization study

- NREL: "Energy modeler" point of view
  - (**GHG**) Reduce variability  $\rightarrow$  Define <u>average</u> values
- This study: "LCA practitioner" point of view
  - (LCA) Find key parameters to identify a <u>specific</u> technology for a case study

# Methodology

## • Literature review: 167 case studies included

- Technologies considered:
  - Hard Coal
  - Lignite
  - Natural Gas
  - o Oil
  - Nuclear

- Hydro
- Solar PV
- Wind
- Biomass

• Emissions considered: GHG, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>

## Focus on technological and methodological aspects



# **Results – Fossil Fuels**



- Main contributor: Direct emissions
- No methodological issues

Department of Environmental Engineering

DTU Environment



# **Results – Nuclear and renewables**



| Technology            | Main contributor | Sources of variability                                |
|-----------------------|------------------|---|
| Nuclear               | Fuel provision   | Fuel enrichment, el. mix,<br>methodology (IOA vs PCA) |
| Hydro, Wind, Solar PV | Infrastructures  | Type, electricity mix,<br>methodology (IOA vs PCA)    |
| Biomass               | ?                | Combination of methodology<br>and technology 6        |



# Why LCA rather than only GHG?

## Hotspots definition

Example: Natural gas

| • GHG:              | Direct emissions | 83% |
|---------------------|------------------|-----|
| • NO <sub>x</sub> : | Fuel provision   | 54% |
| • SO <sub>2</sub> : | Fuel provision   | 96% |

### Problem shifting

| Example:        | Natural gas | VS           | Oil      |       |
|-----------------|-------------|--------------|----------|-------|
| GHG             | 380-1000    |              | 530-900  | g/kWh |
| SO <sub>2</sub> | 0.01-0.32   |              | 0.85-8   | g/kWh |
|                 |             | ,            |          |       |
|                 | Solar PV    | VS           | Biomass  |       |
| GHG             | 8.5-130     | $\leftarrow$ | 13-190   | g/kWh |
| NO <sub>x</sub> | 0.15-0.40   |              | 0.08-1.7 | g/kWh |
|                 |             | •            |          |       |

# **Discussion**

| Technology               | Technological<br>factors  | Methodological<br>factors                                       |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| Fossil fuels             | Efficiency,<br>FGC (NO <sub>x</sub> and SO <sub>2</sub> ),<br>Fuel quality (SO <sub>2</sub> ) | -   |
| Nuclear                  | Electricity mix,<br>fuel enrichment   | IOA vs PCA data   |
| Hydro, Wind,<br>Solar PV | Electricity mix,<br>reference year  | IOA vs PCA data   |
| Biomass                  | Type, quality, origin of the feedstock  | Multi input/output<br>system, land use,<br>constrained resource |

# Conclusions

■ Existing literature: may be confusing
→ studies often built on different

assumptions/approaches/technologies

 What are the key parameters determining the environmental impacts of an energy generation technology?

- Technological and methodological aspects
  - Differ from one technology to another
  - Depend on the impact category

## • LCA needs Transparency and Comprehensiveness

# Thanks for your attention.

# **Questions?**

Turconi, R., Boldrin, A., Astrup, T. - Life cycle assessment (LCA) of electricity generation technologies: overview, comparability and limitations. Submitted to Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews.

> Roberto Turconi robt@env.dtu.dk