Technical University of Denmark



Change of Static and Dynamic Elastic Properties due to CO² Injection in North Sea Chalk

Alam, Mohammad Monzurul; Hjuler, M.L.; Christensen, H.F.; Fabricius, Ida Lykke

Published in: Proceedings of the 74th EAGE Conference & amp; Exhibition incorporating SPE EUROPEC 2012

Publication date: 2012

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Alam, M. M., Hjuler, M. L., Christensen, H. F., & Fabricius, I. L. (2012). Change of Static and Dynamic Elastic Properties due to CO² Injection in North Sea Chalk. In Proceedings of the 74th EAGE Conference & Exhibition incorporating SPE EUROPEC 2012

DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



D047

Change of Static and Dynamic Elastic Properties due to CO2 Injection in North Sea Chalk

M.M. Alam* (Technical University of Denmark), M.L. Hjuler (Danish Geotechnical Institute), H.F. Christensen (Danish Geotechnical Institute) & I.L. Fabricius (Technical University of Denmark)

SUMMARY

Reservoir modeling and monitoring uses dynamic data for predicting and determining static changes. Dynamic data are achieved from the propagation velocity of elastic waves in rock while static data are obtained from the mechanical deformation. Reservoir simulation and monitoring are particularly important in enhanced oil recovery by CO2 injection (CO2-EOR) in chalk as, chalk reservoirs are vulnerable to compaction under changed stress and pore fluid. From South Arne field, North Sea, we used Ekofisk Formation chalk having approximately 20% non-carbonate and Tor Formation chalk having less than 5% non-carbonate. We studied difference in static and dynamic behavior. Furthermore, brine saturated data were compared with CO2 injected data to reveal the effect of supercritical CO2 injection in both static and dynamic elastic properties. We used strain gauges and LVDTs to measure static deformation. We observed lower dynamic elastic modulus for chalk with higher non-carbonate content at porosities lower than 30%. In 30% porosity chalk, dynamic compressional and bulk modulus were found significantly higher than the static modulus. Static measurements with LVDT were found lowest. The effect of CO2 injection was notable in dynamic elastic properties, while a possible change in static elastic properties was below detection limit.



Introduction

Enhanced oil recovery by CO_2 injection (CO_2 -EOR) could be a potential method for getting extra oil from the depleted North Sea hydrocarbon reservoirs in chalk (Olsen, 2011). At the same time, alteration in the pore fluids due to CO_2 injection may cause change in stiffness and make a reservoir more susceptible to compaction (Plummer and Busenberg, 1982; Wolcott et al., 1989; Wellman et al., 2003; Hawkes et al., 2005; Madland et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2007; Zuta and Fjelde, 2008). Compaction is already a major challenge during production in the North Sea chalk fields (Hermansson and Gudmundsson, 1990; Kristiansen, 1998; Barkved and Kristiansen, 2005). This is particularly important during and after CO_2 -EOR as there are huge concerns on stability of reservoir during production strategy. As dynamic methods are used for monitoring of static compaction, relationship between dynamic and static reservoir properties are required for reservoir simulation.

Reservoir compaction is generally monitored by 4D seismic utilizing changes in sonic velocity and changing thickness of reservoir layers. Changing thickness in reservoirs (static) is due to both elastic and plastic deformations. In contrast, sound wave propagation (dynamic) characterizes purely elastic behaviour. Numerous studies show significant difference between static and dynamic elastic properties (e.g. Simmons and Brace, 1965; Jizba and Nur, 1990; Tutuncu et al., 1994; Fjær, 2009). One reason is that the strain amplitude in sonic velocity measurement is very low compared to the strain amplitude of rock-mechanics tests (Simmons and Brace, 1965; Cheng and Johnston, 1981; Plona and Cook, 1995). Olsen et al. (2008) suggested that the difference in drainage condition between a static and a dynamic experiment is a major source of difference between measured static and dynamic properties. These observations suggest that there is a need for calibration of dynamic data with laboratory determined static data.

We studied the difference in static and dynamic elastic properties of chalk from South Arne field in the North Sea. We determined static compressional modulus and bulk modulus from rock-mechanical testing. On identical samples we estimated velocity of elastic waves and calculated the dynamic compressional and bulk modulus. We compared static modulus with dynamic modulus. We further studied the effect of supercritical CO_2 injection on both static and dynamic modulus of chalk.

Data

We used core material from the reservoir zone of South Arne field. For static test, we used 37.5 mm cylindrical plugs of 75 mm long, while for dynamic testing sample length was variable. Our study includes pure Tor Formation (CaCO₃>97%) and impure Ekofisk Formation (CaCO₃<85%). Due to the difference in mineralogy, rock-mechanical properties are different in these formations (Alam et al., 2011). Therefore, static and dynamic properties are also expected to be different.

We collected static data on five brine saturated (reference) and five CO_2 injected samples from each formation. Dynamic data was collected on 14 samples. The same samples were used at brine saturated condition and after CO_2 injection. Detail experimental procedure of this study is described by Alam et al., 2011. Olsen (2011) published fluid production data after CO_2 injection.

Theory and Method

Elastic Moduli of material defines how easy (or difficult) a volume can be changed when changing the effective stress working upon it. Compressional modulus determines the amount a material will deform in the direction of an applied (axial) stress. Bulk modulus indicates stiffness in both axial and radial direction and therefore determined from the volumetric strain. Compressional modulus is the most relevant parameter for a compaction study as it could be correlated with change in reservoir thickness by 4D seismic. In the following sections we described how static and dynamic compressional and bulk modulus was determined for this study.



Static properties:

The bulk modulus (*K*) was calculated based on expelled fluid (volumetric strain) and mean effective stress, calculated from stresses in axial (σ_a') and radial direction (σ_r') applied during uniaxial loading:

$$p' = \frac{1}{3} \left(\sigma_{\mathsf{a}}' + 2\sigma_{\mathsf{r}}' \right) \tag{1}$$

On the *p*'-volumetric strain curve, *K* is determined as the tangent at half the mean effective stress at yield. The modulus of uniaxial compaction was calculated from Linear variable differential transformer (LVDT) (M^*) and strain gauge (M) measurements produced from uniaxial loading. On the σ_a -vertical strain curve M^* and M were determined as the tangent at half the yield stress.

Dynamic properties:

Compressional wave velocity V_p and shear wave velocity V_s were measured by recording the travel time of a transmitted ultrasonic wave at 200 KHz through a sample of known length. Measurements were performed under uniaxial stress condition by placing the sample between the two pistons of a loading frame and inside a triaxial cell at 4 MPa axial stress and 1 MPa radial stress (uniaxial stress condition). Dynamic elastic properties were calculated from the velocity of elastic waves and bulk density (ρ_b):

$$M = \rho_{b}V_{p}^{2}$$
(2)
$$K = \rho_{b}V_{p}^{2} + \frac{4}{3}\rho_{b}V_{s}^{2}$$
(3)

Result and Discussion

Both bulk modulus and compressional modulus are correlated to porosity for both static and dynamic case. These parameters show notable difference according to the presence of non carbonate content at lower porosity. Ekofisk Formation with more than 15% quartz and clay shows lower stiffness than pure Tor Formation chalk below 30% porosity. Above 30% porosity the difference due to non carbonate content become insignificant. Therefore we compared static and dynamic data at this porosity.

For a 30% porosity brine saturated chalk, dynamic bulk modulus is 13 GPa to 16 GPa while the static bulk modulus measured by strain gauge is 3 GPa to 8 GPa (Figure 1a). LVDTs cannot be used for bulk modulus measurement as it measures deformation in one direction only. Compressional modulus measured for same porosity chalk from sound velocity, strain gauge and LVDT are 20 GPa to 25 GPa, 13 GPa to 18 GPa and 5 GPa to 10 GPa respectively (Figure 1c).

After CO_2 injection both bulk modulus and compressional modulus were found smaller than the brine saturated chalk (Figure 1b, d). It indicates that CO_2 injection could have a negative effect on the elastic stiffness properties of rock. One interesting observation is that the difference between dynamic and static values measured by strain gauges becomes smaller after CO_2 injection. At this condition the tested chalk samples contained 5% to 10% oil with rest filled with brine. There is possibility the changed fluid saturation effects sound velocity and consequently the dynamic elastic modulus.

Static data were found to be significantly lower than the dynamic data. Although strain gauge measurements are close to the dynamic compressional modulus, LVDT measurements indicates that the samples are less stiff. The strain gauge measures deformation over an interval of 1 cm of the sample and is less affected by the apparatus setup, but may not be representative for the whole sample if it is inhomogeneous. On the other hand, while LVDT measures over the entire length of the sample it may be affected by instrument setup, bedding and skew in the sample (Olsen et al., 2008). Therefore, investigation is required to find out the reasons for this discrepancy, relevancy of using static or dynamic data and the relationship between static and dynamic values.





Figure 1 Modulus of the studied chalk: (a) Bulk modulus before CO_2 injection (reference samples for static data), (b) bulk modulus after CO_2 injection (CO_2 injected samples for static data) and (c) Compressional modulus before CO_2 injection (reference samples for static data) and (d) Compressional modulus after CO_2 injection (CO_2 injected samples for static data). Black markers indicate dynamic data calculated from sonic velocity and bulk density and grey markers indicate static data points are Ekofisk Formation samples. Marker shape (square, circle, triangle and diamond) used for each procedure and experimental step is indicated by arrows.

Conclusions

Dynamic data demonstrate higher stiffness of reservoir rocks. Rock-mechanical models based on only dynamic data could underestimate any future reservoir compaction and subsidence. Relationship between dynamic and static data also depends on the type of chalk. Therefore it is necessary to establish relationships between static and dynamic data for each reservoir intervals.

Acknowledgement

The financial support from HTF (Danish Advanced Technology Fund) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank DONG Energy for providing core material.



References

- Alam, M.M., Hjuler, M. L., Christensen H. F., and Fabricius, I. L. 2011, Impact of supercritical CO₂ injection on petrophysical and rock mechanics properties of chalk: an experimental study on chalk from South Arne field, North Sea, *in* Proceedings of the SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, Denver, Colorado, USA. SPE 147056-MS.
- Barkved, O. I. and Kristiansen, T., 2005, Seismic time-lapse effects and stress changes: Examples from a compacting reservoir: The Leading Edge, 24, 1244-1248.
- Cheng, C. H. and Johnston, D. H., 1981, Dynamic and static moduli: Geophysical Research Letters, 8(1), 39-42.
- Fjær, E., 2009, Static and dynamic moduli of a weak sandstone: Geophysics, 74, WA103-WA112.
- Hawkes, C., McLellan, P., Zimmer, U., and Bachu, S., 2005, Geomechanical Factors Affecting Geological Storage of CO₂ in Depleted Oil and Gas Reservoirs: Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 44(10), 52-61.
- Hermansson, L. and Gudmundsson, J. S., 1990, Influence of production on chalk failure in the Valhall Field *in* European Petroleum Conference, 21-24 October 1990, The Hague, Netherlands.
- Jizba, D. and Nur, A., 1990, Static and dynamic moduli of tight gas sandstones and their relation to formation properties *in* SPWLA 31st Annual Logging Symposium.
- Kristiansen, T., 1998, Geomechanical characterization of the overburden above the compacting chalk reservoir at Valhall *in* SPE/ISRM Rock Mechanics in Petroleum Engineering, 8-10 July 1998, Trondheim, Norway.
- Madland, M. V., Finsnes, A., Alkafadgi, A., Risnes, R., and Austad, T., 2006, The influence of CO₂ gas and carbonate water on the mechanical stability of chalk: Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 51(3-4), 149-168.
- Olsen, D., 2011, CO₂ EOR Production Properties of Chalk: prepared for presentation at the SPE EUROPEC/EAGE Annual Conference and Exhibition held in Vienna, Austria, 23–26 May 2011.
- Olsen, C., Christensen, H. F., and Fabricius, I. L., 2008a, Static and dynamic Young's moduli of chalk from the North Sea: Geophysics, 73(2), E41-E50.
- Plona, T. J. and Cook, J. M., 1995, Effects of stress cycles on static and dynamic Young's moduli in Castlegate sandstone *in* The 35th U.S. Symposium on Rock Mechanics (USRMS), June 5 - 7, 1995, Reno, NV: Taylor & Francis.
- Plummer, L. N. and Busenberg, E., 1982, The solubilities of calcite, aragonite and vaterite in CO₂-H₂O solutions between 0 and 90 C, and an evaluation of the aqueous model for the system CaCO₃-CO₂-H₂O: Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 46(6), 1011-1040.
- Simmons, G. and Brace, W. F., 1965, Comparison of static and dynamic measurements of compressibility of rocks: Journal of Geophysical Research, 70(22), 5649-5656.
- Tutuncu, A. N., Podio, A. L., and Sharma, M. M., 1994, Strain amplitude and stress dependence of static moduli in sandstones and limestones: Rock mechanics: Models and measurements challenges from industry, 489-496.
- Wellman, T., Grigg, R., McPherson, B., Svec, R., and Peter, C., 2003, Evaluation of CO₂-Brine-Reservoir Rock Interaction with Laboratory Flow Tests and Reactive Transport Modeling *in* International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry, 5-7 February 2003, Houston, Texas
- Wolcott, J. M., Monger, T. G., Sassen, R., and Chinn, E. W., 1989, The effects of CO₂ flooding on reservoir mineral properties *in* SPE International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry, 8-10 February 1989, Houston, Texas.
- Xu, T., Apps, J. A., Pruess, K., and Yamamoto, H., 2007, Numerical modeling of injection and mineral trapping of CO₂ with H₂S and SO₂ in a sandstone formation: Chemical Geology, 242(3-4), 319-346.
- Zuta, J. and Fjelde, I., 2008, Wettability alteration due to retention of CO₂-foaming agents onto chalk rock *in* International Symposium of the Society of Core Analysts held in Abu Dhabi, UAE 29 October -2 November, 2008.