



Integrated intensities based on grain orientation distribution functions

Sørensen, Henning Osholm; Wright, Jonathan; Schmidt, Søren; Hansen, Per Christian; Poulsen, Henning Friis

Publication date:
2009

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Sørensen, H. O., Wright, J., Schmidt, S., Hansen, P. C., & Poulsen, H. F. (2009). *Integrated intensities based on grain orientation distribution functions*. Paper presented at Total Cryst Workshop, Grenoble, France.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Integrated intensities based on grain orientation distribution functions


Henning Osholm Sørensen , Risø DTU

Jonathan Wright, ESRF

Søren Schmidt, Risø DTU

Per Christian Hansen, IMM, DTU

Henning Friis Poulsen, Risø DTU



Acknowledgments

- Zsuzsanna Sokusd, summer student
- Gavin Vaughan, ESRF, Grenoble
- Anette Frost Jensen, H. Lundbeck A/S
- Heidi Lopez de Diego, H. Lundbeck A/S
- and all project participants



New and Emerging Science
and Technology -
Adventure






Peak overlap

single crystal



polycrystal


powder



No of irradiated grains

Intensity integration/separation

- Having known reflection profiles can help extracting the individual intensities
 - A simple fit can be made of the intensities of the overlapping peak profiles
- Other integration programs do this, by “learning” the peak profile in different parts of detector space¹
 - This is not a viable route with a high number of overlaps




¹Kabsch, W. (1988) J. Appl. Cryst. **21**, 916.

Peak profiles and shapes

A convolution of several factors

- Some are related to the crystalline grains
 - Morphology
 - Orientation distribution
- Others are instrumental in nature
 - beam divergence
 - beam profile
 - detector point spread
 - goniometer geometry


Topo-tomography (W. Ludwig)



Peak profiles and shapes

Grain properties

- Morphology
- Orientation distribution





- If the grain size is *smaller* than the detector pixel size the crystal morphology does not contribute to the peak profile
- Hence the peak profile is determined mainly by the orientation spread of the grain
- The aim will then be calculate the grain orientation distribution from a few non-overlapping reflections.

Orientation Distribution Function (ODF)

The ODF will be discretized in Rodrigues space

$$\mathbf{r} = \tan(\theta / 2) \mathbf{n}$$



Properties:


Perfect single-crystal => single point in Ω

Mosaic crystal => a distribution function in Ω

Pro: Euclidian at small angles ϕ

Con: For many space groups Ω extends to infinity

From data to the ODF




$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_0 + d\mathbf{y},$$

$d\mathbf{y}$ is parameterized in u, v coordinates

$$\hat{u} = \frac{\vec{y}_0 \times \hat{z}}{|\vec{y}_0 \times \hat{z}|}$$


$$\hat{v} = \hat{u} \times \vec{y}_0$$

From data to the ODF




Relation between ODF and "data" is: $\mathbf{dy} = 2(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{y}_0)$

Data integration route




Projection method

- ODF voxels can be listed in 1D array, \mathbf{x}



- The transformed intensities in the uv map is listed as 1D array, \mathbf{b}
- \mathbf{A} describes the geometrical relation between *uv-maps* (\mathbf{b}) and ODF (\mathbf{x})

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$$



Solve: $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$

We want to solve $\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{b}$, but \mathbf{A} is ill-conditioned and \mathbf{b} is noisy, hence

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{Ax}_{\text{exact}} + \mathbf{e}, \quad \mathbf{e} \text{ being noise}$$

It follows that

$$\mathbf{x}_{\text{naive}} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{x}_{\text{exact}} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{e}, \quad \text{where} \quad \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{e}\| \gg |\mathbf{x}_{\text{exact}}|$$

Not very useful results will be obtained


Aim: Find a solution for which $\|\mathbf{Ax} - \mathbf{b}\|$ is small (good fit) and $\mathbf{x} \sim \mathbf{x}_{\text{exact}}$

- To be solved by iterative methods as ART, CGLS etc.

Algebraic Reconstruction Technique

One approach to compute an approximate solution to $Ax = b$ ART does (by projections on hyperplanes):

$$x \leftarrow -x \frac{b_i - a_i^T x}{\|a\|_2^2} a_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$



During the initial iterations the convergence is fast
Later the convergence slows down
Good method if only few iterations can be afforded

CGLS algorithm

Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_k \equiv \text{span}\{A^T b, A^T A A^T b, \dots, (A^T A)^{k-1} A^T b\}$, that is:

$$x^{(k)} = \operatorname{argmin}_x \|Ax - b\|_2 \quad \text{subject to } x \in \mathcal{K}_k.$$

$$x^{(0)} = 0, r^{(0)} = b, d^{(0)} = A^T r^{(0)}$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\bar{\alpha}_k = \|A^T r^{(k-1)}\|_2^2 / \|A d^{(k-1)}\|_2^2$$

$$x^{(k)} = x^{(k-1)} + \bar{\alpha}_k d^{(k-1)}$$

$$r^{(k)} = r^{(k-1)} - \bar{\alpha}_k A d^{(k-1)}$$

$$\bar{\beta}_k = \|A^T r^{(k)}\|_2^2 / \|A^T r^{(k-1)}\|_2^2$$


$$d^{(k)} = A^T r^{(k)} + \bar{\beta}_k d^{(k-1)}$$

end

preconditioned reconstructions

Since the border pixels generally are transversed by less rays than more central this can lead to ripples at the border

By “constraining” the solution to go towards zero at the border these types of effects can be suppressed



Preconditioning

- Further smoothing and assert zero boundary conditions
- Can be done by introducing a derivative operator **D**

Variable transformation of $Ax=b$

$$\xi = Dx$$

minimize


$$\min_x \| (AD^{-1})\xi - b \|_2$$

back transform

$$x = D^{-1}\xi$$


Investigate quality of reconstruction methods by simulation

- 5 reconstruction methods
 - ART
 - CGLS
 - P₁ART
 - P₁CGLS
 - P₂CGLS
- Alu grain ODF simulated by 3 Gaussians
- uv maps calculated out $\sin\theta / \lambda = 0.45 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ (29 reflections)
- Added Poissonian noise (6 SNR levels)
- 3 to 18 reflections used in reconstruction – randomly chosen
- All calculations repeated 10 times



Simulations with "inverse crime"

ODF




Project





back project

uv maps



Error histories of the iterative methods



Iteration

1

4

7

10

13

16

19

Stopping Criterion:

Stop the iterations when $x^{(k)}$ captures the desired information

How do we measure this?

- No way to measure if $x^{(k)}$ is close to $\mathbf{x}_{\text{exact}}$
- Fit to noise level: $\|\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}_{\text{exact}}\|_2 \sim \|\mathbf{e}\|_2$
- Information criterion: residual behaves statistically like \mathbf{e}

Fit to noise level can be sensitive to the estimate of $\|\mathbf{e}\|_2$

If \mathbf{e} is white noise, then the *normalized cumulative periodogram* can be used to measure the "white-ness" of the residual.

NCP = Normalized Cum. Periodogram


The NCP measures the frequency content in a signal s .

Let the power spectrum of s (of length n) be given by $p = |\text{dft}(s)|^2$ then the NCP is a plot of the vector c with elements

$$c_l = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^l p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^q p_i}, \quad i=1,2,\dots,q, \quad q=\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$$

The closer c is to a straight line, the "whiter" the signal s

Examples with $n = 256$
and $q = 128$



Dominated by low frequencies

Quite white

Dominated by high frequencies


Use of the NCP stopping criteria

- For each uv -map ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N_{uv}$)
 - For each iteration step k
 - Compute the NCP
 - Return the iteration step giving the optimal NCP
- Choose the iteration step k as


$$k_{\text{opt}} = \text{median}(k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots, k_{N_{uv}})$$

The median was chosen to minimize the influence of outlier uv -maps


Quality of reconstructed ODF as a func. of projections



Extremely noisy data




Slice through ODF



Simulations without "inverse crime"


similar results
similar results

Simulated data




transform

uv maps



Simulation


reconstruct




ODF

Strained Al samples



- For a test sample we have used pre-strained Al 1050 samples
- Mean grain size 75 microns
- Strained to 2, 4, **6**, 8 and 10 %
- Beam energy 25.514 keV
- Beam vertical 100 microns



Al sample - strain 6%




uv-map




Compare experimental and reconstructed maps


-2 0 0: original




0 0 -2: original




1 -1 -1: original




-1 -3 1: original




-2 0 0: reconstructed




0 0 -2: reconstructed





1 -1 -1: reconstructed



-1 -3 1: reconstructed




Al sample - strain 6%




Winther et al. Acta Mater. (2004), **51**, 2863

Test on an organic compound



HLU1 kindly provided by H. Lundbeck A/S



Narrow (a few microns in height) and wide beam (>1 mm) to optimize flux for small crystals

High energy 46.837 keV ($\lambda = 0.2647 \text{ \AA}$)



Frelon4m detector (2k by 2k)

Mounted samples on cryoloops


Temperature $\sim 122 \text{ K}$

Omega rotation 180 degrees in 0.3 deg. steps

Orientation spread




HLU1 - Not quite single crystal



Indexing

First grain indexed
(fft_index.py, J. Wright)



- Unit cell
 - $a = 9.076 \text{ \AA}$
 - $b = 6.050 \text{ \AA}$
 - $c = 43.922 \text{ \AA}$
- Space gr. ?



Comparison of exp. and reconstructed uv-maps

Reflections on detector



uv-maps



Reconstructed maps


Integration by fitting the profile

- Reflection profiles calculated from ODF + point-spread function



- calculate CMS and maximum for both reflection box and profile
- compare their positions
 - If far apart make initial fit a center
 - If not move profile grid to have same CMS as peak for initial fit
- Calculate residual moving profile 1 grid point in all directions (26)
 - if **not** move center to position with lowest residual and do another round
 - If residuals all higher – stay
 - Decrease steps to $\frac{1}{4}$ grid points and do the same analysis until minimum residual found

Integration by fitting the profile



3084 Unique reflections, of which 2886 observed (5982 Reflections read)

$$R_{\text{int}} = 0.1055$$

No structure yet

Summery

- Developed a method to reconstruct orientation-distribution functions of single grains.
- Applied this in a procedure for extraction of integrated intensities.

The python program **Fabric (not in GUI yet)** is made to reconstruct ODF's and do intensity integration.