Technical University of Denmark



Sulfur poisoning and regeneration of the Ag/-Al2O3 catalyst for H2-assisted SCR of NOx by ammonia

Doronkin, Dmitry E.; Khan, Tuhin Suvra; Bligaard, Thomas; Fogel, Sebastian; Gabrielsson, Pär; Dahl, Søren *Published in:*

Applied Catalysis B: Environmental

Link to article, DOI: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2012.01.002

Publication date: 2012

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Doronkin, D. E., Khan, T. S., Bligaard, T., Fogel, S., Gabrielsson, P., & Dahl, S. (2012). Sulfur poisoning and regeneration of the Ag/-Al2O3 catalyst for H2-assisted SCR of NOx by ammonia. Applied Catalysis B: Environmental, 117-118, 49-58. DOI: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2012.01.002

DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Sulfur poisoning and regeneration of the Ag/γ - Al_2O_3 catalyst for H_2 -assisted SCR of NO_x by ammonia

Dmitry E. Doronkin¹*, Tuhin Suvra Khan², Thomas Bligaard³, Sebastian Fogel^{1, 4}, Pär Gabrielsson⁴, Søren Dahl¹

 ¹Center for Individual Nanoparticle Functionality (CINF), Department of Physics, Technical University of Denmark, Fysikvej 307, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
 ²Center of Atomic-scale Materials Design (CAMD), Department of Physics, Technical University of Denmark, Fysikvej 307, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
 ³SUNCAT Center for Interface Science and Catalysis, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA 94025, U.S.A.

⁴Haldor Topsøe A/S, Nymøllevej 55, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

* Corresponding author: tel.: +45-4525-3275, e-mail: dmdo@fysik.dtu.dk

Abstract. Sulfur poisoning and regeneration mechanisms for a $2\% Ag/\gamma$ -Al₂O₃ catalyst for the H₂-assisted selective catalytic reduction of NO_x by NH₃ are investigated. The catalyst has medium sulfur tolerance at low temperatures, however a good capability of regeneration at 670 °C under lean conditions when H₂ is present. These heating conditions can easily be established during soot filter regeneration. Furthermore, two types of active sites could be identified with different regeneration capabilities, namely finely dispersed Ag and larger Ag nanoparticles. The most active sites are associated with the finely dispersed Ag. These sites are irreversibly poisoned and cannot be regenerated under driving conditions. On the other hand the larger Ag nanoparticles are reversibly poisoned by direct SO_x adsorption. The interpretation of the data is supported by DFT calculations. Keywords: Ag/Al₂O₃; SO₂; NO_x SCR; poisoning; regeneration

1. Introduction

Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) is the leading NO_x control technique for diesel vehicles with ammonia used as a reductant. Commonly used catalysts are vanadia-based catalysts and Cu and Fe-containing zeolites. However, none of the systems demonstrate high thermal durability together with a good activity throughout the broad temperature region from 150 to 550 °C which is needed for vehicle applications [1]. Therefore, research of novel non-toxic, inexpensive and durable catalytic systems for NH₃-SCR is still an important focus area.

Recently two research groups suggested to use Ag/Al_2O_3 , which is a well-known catalyst for NO_x SCR by hydrocarbons (HC-SCR), for SCR of NO_x by ammonia or urea with co-feeding hydrogen, resulting in nearly 90% NO_x conversion at temperatures as low as 200 °C [2,3]. Still, one of the major obstacles for the application of Ag/Al_2O_3 for NO_x SCR by ammonia is its rather poor sulfur tolerance [4]. A catalyst of 2% Ag/Al₂O₃ demonstrated a decrease in H₂-assisted NO_x conversion by urea from 50% to 30% after 20 hours on stream in the presence of 50 ppm SO₂ at 250 °C. This is a rather good result considering the very high GHSV=380 000 h⁻¹ in the tests. However, the large amount of hydrogen (0.5%, 5:1 H₂:NO) used in this study is probably inacceptable for application in diesel vehicles because such a large consumption of hydrogen leads to a high "fuel penalty" [5].

A significant amount of data on sulfur tolerance of Ag/Al_2O_3 catalysts exists for NO_x SCR by hydrocarbons. Meunier and Ross [6] observed strong deactivation of a $1.2\% Ag/Al_2O_3$ catalyst for propene-SCR by 100 ppm SO₂ in the feed. It is noteworthy

that the authors were able to recover most of the catalyst activity by treatment in 10% H₂/Ar at 650 °C or heating in the reaction mixture at 750 °C. Park and Boyer [7] compared the catalytic behavior of 2% and 8% Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts in the presence of SO₂ and concluded that high Ag loadings may be preferrential for making a sulfur tolerant catalyst. The authors demonstrated prominent activation of 8% Ag/Al₂O₃ by SO₂ in the feed and ascribed that to the formation of a very active silver sulfate phase.

When estimating the SO₂ tolerance of Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts attention should be given also to the process temperature. Satokawa and coworkers [8] showed a clear dependence of the propane-SCR temperature on the deactivation degree with permanent catalyst deactivation at T<500 °C and futhermore the ability to partially regenerate the catalyst by heating to 600 °C, even without removing low amounts (1 ppm) of SO₂ from the feed. Further studies [8] of sulfation-regeneration mechanisms included obtaining SO₂ TPD profiles and attribution of peaks to different types of adsorbed SO₂, bound to Ag and alumina. The catalyst regeneration temperature was lower than any of the SO₂ desorption peaks, observed in the study, which did not allow drawing a clear conclusion about the deactivation and regeneration mechanisms.

Breen with coworkers [9] also demontrated a drastic dependence of the catalyst degree of poisoning on the temperature of NO_x SCR by octane and toluene. The following was observed; at low temperatures (<235 °C) little deactivation, between 235 and 500 °C – severe deactivation and at T>590 °C – activation due to a suppression of unselective oxidation of hydrocarbons. The low temperature sulfur tolerance was ascribed to low catalyst activity in SO₂ oxidation to SO₃ with the latter considered to be the main poisoning agent for Ag/Al₂O₃. The authors have evaluated a few regeneration options of which heating to 650 °C in hydrogen-containing lean mixture showed

promising results rather than regeneration under oxidizing conditions without H_2 . The fastest regeneration technique included heating the catalyst a rich mixture containing CO and hydrogen.

The results of other research groups [10, 11] agree with Breen's results in SO_2 oxidation to SO_3 by NO_2 being the major step in the sulfur poisoning of Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts. Partial regeneration of the catalyst was observed after heating to 600 °C in a hydrocarbon-containing feed.

In this work we have attempted to reveal the Ag/Al₂O₃ sulfation and regeneration mechanisms, which will allow us to develop an efficient regeneration strategy for the ammonia SCR catalyst in question. Special attention was given to the catalyst operation below 300 °C for applications in light-duty diesel vehicles low temperatures are of great importance [10]. The suggested mechanism was supported by DFT calculations. The regeneration strategy using the high temperatures developed during Diesel Particulate Filter (DPF) regeneration in diesel cars was evaluated.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation

Parent γ -alumina (Puralox TH 100/150, S_{BET} = 150 m²/g) was kindly provided by SASOL. 1-3 wt.% Ag/Al₂O₃ were obtained by incipient wetness impregnation of parent γ -alumina by AgNO₃ (Sigma-Aldrich) dissolved in deionized water. After impregnation the catalyst was dried at room temperature overnight and calcined at 550 °C for 4 hours in static air. The calcined catalyst was tableted, crushed and sieved to obtain a 0.18 – 0.35 mm fraction (mesh 80 – mesh 45) used in the catalytic tests. A new batch of catalyst was sulfated and used to test every new regeneration recipe.

2.2. Determination of the specific surface area

The specific surface areas (S_{BET}) of the catalysts were measured by N₂-adsorption with a Micromeritics Gemini instrument. Untreated catalysts were measured in powder form and for the catalysts after testing a 0.18 – 0.35 mm fraction of particles (as in catalytic tests) was used for the BET measurement.

2.3. Catalysis

Temperature-programmed activity tests were carried out in a fixed-bed flow reactor (quartz tube with 4 mm inner diameter) in a temperature programmed mode while the temperature was decreased from 400 °C to 150 °C with a rate of 2 °C/min. Prior to the temperature ramp the catalyst was heated to 470 °C for 30 min. in the gas mixture used for the tests. The temperature was controlled using a Eurotherm 2408 temperature controller with a K-type thermocouple. 45 mg of catalyst was diluted with 100 mg of SiC (mesh 60) and placed on a quartz wool bed. The bed height was ~11 mm and the GHSV, calculated using the volume of the pure catalyst was ~ 110 000 h⁻¹. The gas composition normally contained 500 ppm NO, 520 ppm NH₃, 1200 ppm of H₂, 8.3% O₂, and 7% water balanced with Ar. For sulfur poisoning tests 10 ppm SO₂ was admixed to the feed. Water was dosed by an ISCO 100DM syringe pump through a heated capillary. Reaction products were analyzed by a Thermo Fisher Nicolet 6700 FTIR analyzer, equipped with a 2 m gas cell. Gas capillaries were heated to ~130 °C and the FTIR gas cell to 165 °C to avoid condensation of water and formation of ammonium nitrate.

Conversions were calculated using the following equations:

$$X_{NOx} = 1 - \frac{C_{NOx}^{outlet}}{C_{NOx}^{inlet}} , \qquad (1)$$

and
$$X_{NH_3} = 1 - \frac{C_{NH_3}^{outlet}}{C_{NH_3}^{inlet}}$$
, (2)

where X_{NOx} denotes the conversion of NO_x to N₂ and C_{NOx}^{inlet} and C_{NOx}^{outlet} is the NO_x concentrations at the inlet and outlet of the reactor respectively, where:

$$C_{NOx} = C_{NO} + C_{NOy} + C_{NyO} \,. \tag{3}$$

2.4. DFT calculations

The plane wave density functional theory (DFT) code DACAPO was used to calculate the adsorption energies and the gas phase energies of the adsorbates [12]. A plane wave cutoff of 340.15 eV and a density cutoff of 680 eV was used in the calculations. The core electrons were described by Vanderbilt ultrasoft pseudopotentials. The RBPE functional was used for describing the exchange correlation energy [13].

The adsorption energies of the SO₂, SO₃, and SO₄ species were studied over the Ag (111) terrace and (211) step surfaces, on a γ -Al₂O₃ model step surface, and two single Ag sites constructed by replacing one Al atom for Ag in the alumina step surface and by attaching one Ag atom to the γ -Al₂O₃ step (see supplementary material for the geometries).

For the Ag (111) and (211) surfaces, we used a $4 \times 4 \times 1$ Monkhorst-Pack **k**-point sampling in the irreducible Brillouin zone. We employed a 3×3 surface cell for the Ag (111) and 3×1 surface cell for the Ag (211) surfaces. For the (111) surface we used a four-layer slab where the two top-most layers were allowed to relax, whereas for the (211) surfaces we used a slab model with nine layers and the topmost three layers are allowed to relax. In all the model calculations, neighboring slabs were separated by more than 10 Å of vacuum.

For the calculation of γ -Al₂O₃ and the adsorption of different species on γ -Al₂O₃ we also used the DACAPO code with a plane wave cutoff of 340.15 eV and a density cutoff of 680 eV. A 4× 4 × 1 Monkhorst-Pack **k**-point sampling in the irreducible Brillouin zone was used for γ -Al₂O₃. The γ -Al₂O₃ surface was modeled by a step on a nonspinel γ -Al₂O₃ structure which was derived from bulk γ -Al₂O₃ model in [14]. The cell parameters for the γ -Al₂O₃ model step surface are a = 8.0680 Å and b = 10.0092 Å and $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$. For the γ -Al₂O₃ surface the bottom two layers were fixed whereas the top-most three layers were allowed to relax. In all the model γ -Al₂O₃ surfaces, the neighboring slabs are separated by more than 10 Å of vacuum.

 SO_x adsorption energies were calculated relative to gas phase energies of $SO_2(g) + O_2(g)$.

In the case of the Ag (111) and Ag (211) surfaces desorption of SO_4 as the most stable species was considered as $SO_2(g) + O_2(g)$. For calculation of desorption temperatures for SO_2 and SO_3 we used the following procedure. Starting from the chemical equation:

$$SO_2 + * \leftrightarrow SO_2^*,$$
 (4)

$$SO_2 + 1/2 O_2 + * \leftrightarrow SO_3^*$$
 (5)

where * is the free surface site and SO_x^* is the adsorbed species. We can write down the ratio of occupied and free adsorption sites:

$$\frac{\theta_{SOx}}{\theta^*} = K_{ads} \cdot P_{SOx} = \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta G_{ads}}{kT}\right) \cdot P_{SOx} = \exp\left(\frac{-(\Delta G^{\Theta}_{ads} - kT \ln P_{SOx})}{kT}\right)$$
(6)

that at the desorption temperature the numbers of occupied and free adsorption sites will equal ($\Theta_{SOx} = \Theta^*$), which gives:

$$\Delta G^{\Theta}_{ads} - kT \ln P_{SOx} = 0, \text{ or}$$
⁽⁷⁾

$$\Delta E_{ads} - \Delta ZPE_{ads} - T \cdot \Delta S_{ads} - kT \ln P_{SOx} = 0, \qquad (8)$$

We calculate the ZPE (zero point energy) and the entropy of the SO_x in their adsorbed state and so it is possible to calculate the desorption temperature for a given partial pressure of SO_x :

$$T = \frac{\Delta E_{ads}}{k \ln P_{SOx} - \Delta S_{gas}} \quad . \tag{9}$$

The SO_x entropy and ZPE found for γ -Al₂O₃ model surface were used for the single Ag atom sites on the γ -Al₂O₃. Standard entropy values for SO₂ and SO₃ from [15] (neglecting entropy change with temperature) and a partial pressure of SO_x 4·10⁻⁷ bar (0.4 ppm in [9]) and partial pressure of O₂ is 0.07 bar [9] were used in the calculations.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalyst choice: stability of Ag/Al₂O₃ and options for the regeneration

3.1.1. The catalyst choice

Temperature dependence of NO_x and NH₃ conversions for the fresh 1-3%Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts is shown on figures 1a and 1b respectively. 1%Ag/Al₂O₃ exhibits SCR onset at 130 °C reaching 80% NO_x conversion at 200 °C and leveling NO_x conversion at 90% at T> 300 °C. This is in agreement with previous studies [2]. 2% and 3%Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts demonstrate SCR onset shifted by 7 °C to lower temperatures compared 1%, but lower maximum conversion and generally lower SCR activity at higher temperatures, unlike results of Shimizu and Satsuma [3]. The NH₃ conversion follow the NO_x conversion at T< 270-300 °C. At higher temperature NH₃ becomes oxidized and the NH₃ conversion is higher than NO_x conversion. Thus, NH₃ oxidation plays some role in the decrease of high temperature NO_x conversion but this is not the main reason. The reason for observing conversion maxima for 2% and 3%Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts at 200 °C with subsequent drop in NH₃ and NO_x conversions could be direct oxidation of H₂ by oxygen taking over. As it was shown earlier no NO and NH₃ is converted over an Ag/Al₂O₃ catalyst in the absence of H₂ [16]. Another possible reason is the lack of strong acid sites for NH₃ adsorption in the 2-3%Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts which is demonstrated in [17].

Noteworthy, the tested catalysts demonstrate very high stability at temperature up to 700 °C which has also been shown in the number of papers on HC-SCR [3, 9]. To further check the thermal stability of the 1% Ag/Al₂O₃ catalyst it was subjected to hydrothermal deactivation at 750 °C for 16 hours. The activity of the obtained catalyst is reported in figs. 1a and 1b as gray dotted lines. The low-temperature conversion is only slightly shifted by 3 °C, whereas at T> 300 °C one may observe a decrease in NO_x and NH₃ conversions similar to that observed for catalysts with higher Ag loading. This may indicate sintering of Ag particles leading to the increased unselective oxidation of hydrogen. At the same time, the relatively small decrease of the catalyst specific surface area (S_{BET}) does not indicate any significant change in the alumina support (table 1).

Contrary to the hydrothermal aging, sulfur poisoning of Ag/Al_2O_3 leads to significant catalyst deactivation. Preliminary experiments on the choice of sulfur poisoning temperature showed no catalyst deactivation with SO_2 in the feed at 500 °C and the most severe deactivation in the temperature range 200 – 300 °C in very good agreement with the earlier reported results for HC-SCR [8, 9]. Therefore, preliminary SO_2 deactivation studies of 1-3% Ag/Al₂O₃ were performed at 200-227 °C and all the following deactivation-regeneration studies of 2% Ag/Al₂O₃ were done at 250 °C (Fig. 1c). For the comparison of regeneration methods the SO_2 poisoning was obtained by introducing 10 ppm SO_2 to the SCR feed for 4 hours.

Catalytic performance of 1-3% Ag/Al₂O₃ in NO_x SCR after such sulfur treatment at 200-227 °C is shown on fig. 1d. Lowering deactivation temperature from 250 °C to 200 °C leads to a very small shift of the low-temperature activity within 5 °C, therefore, the temperature difference is not the determining factor for the observed activity difference. 1% Ag/Al₂O₃ was poisoned to the highest degree, whereas higher Ag loading led to better sulfur tolerance with 3% Ag/Al₂O₃ showing the highest NO_x conversion at T< 300 °C. It should be noted that after exposure to SO₂ (and even after regeneration of 1% and 2% Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts at 670 °C) the NH₃ conversion profiles coincided with the NO_x conversion profiles for all tested samples. That indicates quenching of NH₃ unselective oxidation over 1-3% Ag/Al₂O₃ by SO₂. Due to the similarity of NO_x and NH₃ conversion curves for the sulfated catalysts only NO_x conversions will be reported throughout the article.

Sulfation of 2 and 3%Ag/Al₂O₃ leads not only to a shift of the maximum NO_x conversion to higher temperatures but also to an increase to significantly higher values than demonstrated over the fresh catalysts. The shift of the maximum activity of 2%Ag/Al₂O₃ along with "activation" of the catalyst at 227 °C (near the conversion maximum of the fresh catalyst) and at 250 °C can be seen in Fig. 1c. Higher SO₂ exposure leads to a shift of the maximum NO_x conversion to higher temperatures along with deterioration of the low-temperature activity. The activity gain induced by sulfation has been observed earlier and attributed to the redistribution of Ag species [4].

However, as we have observed the decrease of unselective NH_3 oxidation after SO_2 exposure, we suppose the SO_x blocking of sites active in NH_3 and H_2 oxidation to play a major role in the increased NO_x conversion over 2 and $3\% Ag/Al_2O_3$ catalysts. At the same time SO_2 adsorption increases the alumina acidity which can also play the role for the SCR activity as discussed in a separate publication [17].

Several options for the catalyst regeneration under hydrocarbon (HC) SCR have been suggested in the literature. All of them include heating sulfated Ag/Al_2O_3 in different media – oxidizing [9], hydrogen (or hydrocarbon)-containing lean exhaust [6, 8, 9, 10] or rich exhaust [6, 9].

Heating sulfated 2% Ag/Al₂O₃ to 670 °C for 10 min in the NO_x SCR feed without hydrogen leads only to a small 10 °C shift of T50% to lower temperatures (not shown). Therefore, regeneration of Ag/Al₂O₃ for NO_x SCR by NH₃ without co-feeding hydrogen is ineffective. Thus, regeneration at 670 °C in the reaction gas mixture was used to test the regeneration capability of 1-3% Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts. Activity of the catalysts regenerated during 40 min. is reported in fig. 1e. All catalysts partially regained the low-temperature activity, however, the high-temperature activity of 3% Ag/Al₂O₃ was decreased compared to the sulfated catalyst. At the same point this catalyst demonstrated a higher conversion of NH₃ compared to NO_x at T> 350 °C, indicating NH₃ oxidation. 2% Ag/Al₂O₃ showed the highest NO_x conversion throughout the whole temperature region and will therefore, be used for the further study. For the simplicity in the text below and the following figures 2% Ag/Al₂O₃ will be referred as Ag/Al₂O₃.

3.1.2. Regeneration options

To simulate regeneration in rich exhaust the catalyst was heated to 670 °C for 1 min. with oxygen removed from the feed. The activity following from this rich regeneration is presented on fig. 2a as a solid line. The profile is significantly shifted to lower temperatures compared to the non-regenerated sample. Another feature is the maximum NO_x conversion (96%), which is now higher than that of both the fresh and the non-regenerated catalysts. Still, regeneration under rich conditions did not allow to regain the low-temperature activity completely.

However, obtaining rich exhaust from diesel engine leads to high fuel consumption and is, therefore, undesirable. Thus, we have preferred relatively fast catalyst regeneration under lean conditions with co-feeding hydrogen. The NO_x conversion profile for Ag/Al₂O₃ regenerated 10 min. at 670 °C in the standard NO_x SCR feed (with hydrogen) is shown on fig. 2a as a dashed line. The catalyst shows the same activity below 200 °C as when regenerated under rich conditions and at higher temperatures even higher conversion (up to 100%). At the same time the surface area of the catalyst regenerated for 10 minutes is not deteriorated compared to the fresh catalyst (table 1). This kind of regeneration is very easy to implement in diesel vehicles because it can coincide with regeneration of the DPF, which requires a similar heating strategy.

3.2. Influence of the regeneration time on the catalyst activity

Regeneration time is of high importance for automotive catalysts, as heating the catalyst requires a lot of energy, i.e. fuel to be spent. Influence of the regeneration time (for regeneration under lean conditions with co-feeding hydrogen) on the activity of the regenerated catalyst is shown in fig. 2b. The value on the Y-axis is the shift of

temperature for 50% NO_x conversion over the regenerated catalyst relative to the fresh catalyst :

Zero at the timescale stands for non-regenerated catalyst. Heating to 670 °C for 1 min. leads to the shift of T 50% by 24 °C towards lower temperatures, which is already very good. Heating for 10 min. allows us to get 6 °C lower T 50%, but further treatment at high temperatures does not lead to significant further activation of the catalyst. The best T 50%, we could get by regenerating Ag/Al₂O₃, is 15 °C higher than T 50% of the fresh Ag/Al₂O₃. That result is obtained after 40 min of regeneration. Higher regeneration time does not yield better activity but causes loss of the catalyst surface area (table 1) and is, therefore, undesirable. It is worth noting that we were not able to match the low-temperature activity of the fresh catalyst after regeneration.

3.3. Developing a deactivation – regeneration strategy to mimic automotive catalyst operating conditions

Typical lifecycle of an automotive light-duty Ag/Al_2O_3 NO_x SCR catalyst comprises normal driving, during which the catalyst operates at low temperatures 150 - 350 °C [10] and is poisoned by sulfur, and regeneration which optimally coincides with regeneration of the DPF. To be more precise, useful vehicle running time according to the modern Euro 5 and Euro 6 standards is 160 000 km [18], and typical intervals between DPF regenerations are 300 to 900 km (with the modern Volvo D5 light-duty diesel engine as an example) [19], which gives a minimum of 160 catalyst regeneration cycles. Using average fuel consumption of this engine during urban driving (6.7 l/100 km with a manual gearbox), an average diesel fuel density approx. 850 g/l [20], and a maximum allowed sulfur content of 10 ppm in the diesel fuel [21], the total sulfur passed through the catalyst will amount to 91 g or 2.85 mol. Using available data on the volume of monolith catalyst for the mentioned engine (9 liters) and the monolith density 2.5 g/in³ [10], the weight of the washcoat for an automotive catalyst (15% of the total) and the relative weight of the powder catalyst in the washcoat (80%) [22] we get a total of 0.47 g (14.7 mmol) sulfur per gram of powder catalyst during the vehicle lifetime. Therefore, the amount of sulfur per one deactivation cycle will be 83 µmol per gram of catalyst, assuming adsorption of all sulfur. In reality, however, not all sulfur will be adsorbed partly due to very high or low temperatures [9].

In our tests we have chosen the scheme involving catalyst poisoning with 10 ppm SO_2 at intermediate temperature of 250 °C for 1 hour which gives us a sulfur exposure before regeneration of 65 µmol per gram of catalyst, which is close to the theoretical maximum value calculated above. Thus, we will use this protocol as "worst case" scenario.

Figs. 3a and Figs. 3b show two different ways of testing sulfur tolerance with the same total sulfur exposure (4 hours with 10 ppm SO₂, corresponds to 260 μ mol/g catalyst) and the same regeneration time, but split by four relatively small regeneration segments in the second case.

The comparison of the catalyst activity after these two tests is given on fig. 3c. Evidently, the low-temperature activities of the two poisoned catalysts are identical. The data in Figs. 3a and 3b does not allow us to state that the regenerated catalyst activity observed in fig. 3c represents "steady state" automotive catalyst activity in both cases. Further testing is needed to reveal "steady state" catalyst activity during sulfation – regeneration cycles.

14

3.4. Cycling deactivation – regeneration

In order to clarify if the catalyst will be further deactivated after many 1 h. SO_2 poisoning – 10 min. regeneration cycles we have carried out 30 deactivation (at 250 °C) – regeneration (at 670 °C) cycles. Evolution of the NO_x and NH₃ conversions during the first 9 cycles of the experiment is shown in fig. 4.

During the sulfation of the fresh catalyst (first 60 min.) NO_x conversion steadily increases. During heating the catalyst to 670 °C the NO_x conversion drops to slightly negative values. According to eq. (1) in the section 2.3 this is due to a higher NO_x concentration at the reactor outlet than at the inlet. The latter is caused by oxidation of part of ammonia to NO_x at the regeneration temperature which can be seen by the higher conversion of NH₃ compared to NO_x at T>500 °C. To prevent ammonia oxidation in the real life application it is possible to switch off ammonia supply during regeneration without compromising regeneration efficiency.

The NO_x conversion following regeneration is maximal (97%) after the first regeneration and decreases only a little (to 95%) with further regeneration cycles. However, sulfur poisoning of the regenerated sample leads to a decrease in the NO_x conversion at the end of each of the first deactivation cycles. This decrease in NO_x conversion could indicate that during each of these first regenerations the SO_x adsorbed during the preceding deactivation cycle is not completely removed from the catalyst surface. After seven sulfation-regeneration cycles NO_x conversion is stabilized, so each new testing cycle yields the same profile as the previous. Thus, further sulfation and regeneration do not change the catalyst performance.

Integration of the SO₂ signal measured by FTIR during $10^{th} - 20^{th}$ cycles (they are all equal) gives the amount of SO₂ equal to the amount of SO₂ passed through the catalyst during these cycles. Therefore, using FTIR data we can estimate the amount of SO₂, which was accumulated in the catalyst and not desorbed during the first regenerations to be 0.11 mmol per gram catalyst.

Our data (not shown) suggests that the SO_2 poisoning effect is cumulative in the range of SO_2 concentrations 0.5 - 10 ppm, i.e. the catalyst deactivation degree depends only on total SO_2 exposure. Therefore, with the same SO_x exposure between DPF regenerations as in this study real catalyst performance will be high enough even in the end of a sulfation cycle before the next regeneration.

3.5. Mechanism of Ag/Al₂O₃ sulfation and regeneration

The results obtained in the previous section 3.4. set the ground for a few conclusions regarding the sulfation and regeneration mechanisms for Ag/Al_2O_3 catalysts of hydrogen-assisted NO_x SCR by NH₃.

First of all, some amount of SO_x is not desorbed after regeneration. This amount was estimated in the previous section and is reproducible. At the same time we cannot regenerate the full low-temperature activity of Ag/Al₂O₃, no matter if lean hydrogencontaining or rich mixtures were used for the regeneration. The SCR reaction onset for the sulfated and regenerated catalyst is always shifted to higher temperatures. Therefore, we suppose that a certain type of active sites exists (name it "Type I"), which stand for Ag/Al₂O₃ activity at low temperatures (<200 °C), that are irreversibly poisoned by SO_2 and can not be regenerated using standard techniques. Taking into account the very low sulfur tolerance of low-loaded Ag/Al₂O₃ [6,7], we can attribute Type I active sites to highly dispersed silver e.g. Ag^{δ +} atoms or Ag⁺ ions [23, 24] (see Fig. 5).

 SO_x adsorption on the alumina surface (where dispersed silver is localized) blocks these type I active sites. SO_x can be adsorbed on single-atom Ag sites on the alumina as well as on the neighboring Al atoms. It is impossible to desorb SO_x from the alumina surface by heating the catalyst to 670 °C [25] and, therefore, Type I active sites could not be regenerated.

Another evidence of irreversibly poisoned active sites is the formation of excess of nitrogen dioxide over the fresh catalyst (fig. 6b, solid line), a catalytic function which is irreversibly poisoned by SO_2 and cannot be regenerated (fig. 6b, dotted line). Therefore, we also attribute the increased NO oxidation capacity to Type I active sites.

However, the possibility of regeneration of the most of the SCR activity of Ag/Al_2O_3 hints on the existence of "Type II" active sites. As they are more abundant in more SO₂ tolerant high-loaded Ag/Al_2O_3 [7] we attribute them to the surface of Ag nanoparticles. It has been shown that it is possible to desorb SO₂ from the Ag surface at temperatures near 600 °C [25]. Thus, we assume that sulfation and regeneration of these Type II active sites determines the SCR activity of Ag/Al_2O_3 with sulfur-containing fuel in diesel vehicles. According to the SCR mechanism suggested in [16] these type II species are also capable of oxidizing NO to NO₂ which further reacts with NH₃ over alumina. However, type II sites are less active which leads to the deficit of NO₂ and prevents observing it in the gas phase when NH₃ is present.

Our assumption about the existence and function of Type I active sites can be verified by the following. As follows from the SO_2 TPD profiles in [11, 25] it is possible to desorb SO_x from alumina surface at ca. 1000 °C. Of course, the alumina will

undergo partial restructuring at this temperature [26] accompanied by the formation of the α -Al₂O₃ phase, which will partially ruin the catalyst. However, this may help to test the principle.

The results of heating of sulfated Ag/Al₂O₃ to 950 °C in the SCR gas mixture with further immediate cooling are shown in figs. 6a and 6b as dashed lines. By removing SO_x from the alumina surface (observed by FTIR) we were able to regain SCR onset at the same temperature as for the fresh Ag/Al₂O₃ (fig. 6a). At the same time we were able to regenerate excessive NO₂ production (fig. 6b) which was impossible to get by any kind of regeneration at lower temperature. Still, the maximum activity of the catalyst was lower than that of the fresh catalyst resembling the activity of 3% Ag/Al₂O₃ (fig. 1a). The specific surface area of the catalyst regenerated at 950 °C did not change significantly compared to the fresh sample (table 1), therefore, it is rather sintering of Ag particles which caused a drop in the maximum activity. Thus, we consider possibility of regenerating low temperature activity as an evidence for the existence of several types of active sites in Ag/Al₂O₃ as was previously stated for HC-SCR Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts [27].

The fact that SO_x irreversibly adsorbed on the alumina surface does not hinder that the SCR reaction can be explained if we assume that Ag species participate in the oxidation of NO to NO₂ and the alumina facilitates further reaction of NO, NO₂ and NH₃ according to the "Fast SCR" mechanism [28]. Since "Fast SCR" occurs over a number of acidic surfaces, sulfated alumina should catalyze SCR as well if SO_x-free Ag surface is left to oxidize NO. 3.6. Evaluation of the proposed sulfation and regeneration mechanism of Ag/Al_2O_3 by DFT

Adsorption energies of SO₂, SO₃, and SO₄ for the most energetically favorable adsorption geometries for different adsorption sites are summarized in the Table 2 and the corresponding geometries for the γ -alumina model step surface are shown in Fig. 7. It should be noted that SO_x can be adsorbed on the γ -alumina in different configurations with similar energies and only the lowest energies (strongest adsorption) are shown. The DFT calculation shows that the SO_x adsorbs strongly on the step sites which is expected from the low coordination of these sites and the steric freedom available at the step sites [29 - 31]. At the same time the surface step is representative of small 1-3 nm nanoparticles containing mostly undercoordinated surface atoms [32].

Two trends can be identified from these values. First global trend is that all types of SO_x bind significantly stronger to the alumina surface than the metal surface. The adsorption sites also include single Ag sites at the alumina surface with Ag atom built in the surface substituting Al is binding SO_x most strongly. This can be explained by a thermodinamically unfavorable defect structure of this site. Secondly, the oxidation of SO_2 to SO_3 is thermodynamically favorable, with subsequent poisoning of the catalyst surface by the resulting SO_3 . This has been suggested in [9] and probably involves reaction with NO_2 [11]. SO_2 alone cannot be adsorbed on the studied metallic Ag surfaces under reaction conditions and SO_x can, thus, only poison the alumina support or single Ag sites on this surface.

The calculated desorption temperatures (table 2) are low but the order, at which regeneration of Type II (Ag surface) and Type I (highly dispersed Ag on the alumina) occurs is in agreement with the mechanism of Ag/Al₂O₃ poisoning and regeneration

19

suggested in the section 3.5. The difference between calculated and experimental desorption temperatures [11, 25] might indicate the formation of bulk silver sulfate [7, 33, 34].

At the same time addition of hydrogen significantly enhances catalyst regeneration i.e. removal of SO_x which could be due to the formation of the correspondent HSO_x species with their subsequent desorption. Table 3 shows the energies of the HSO_x species in the gas phase and adsorbed on the most energetically favorable sites. The corresponding adsorption energies are calculated as a difference between the energy in the adsorbed state and gas phase energy. According to the given numbers, the formation of HSO₄ and H₂SO₄ species is highly favorable on all modeled adsorption sites and as the adsorption energies of HSO₄ and H₂SO₄ with respect to H₂SO₄ (g) is very small (-0.17 eV for HSO₄ desorbing as H₂SO₄ from Ag (211) and -0.28 eV for H₂SO₄ on the γ -Al₂O₃ step surface) it can be easily desorbed from the catalytic surface. Thus, presence of H₂ may promote the desorption of SO_x species from the catalyst surface via formation of H₂SO₄ (g) in agreement with the experimental observations.

4. Conclusions

Sulfur tolerance and regeneration options of $2\% \text{Ag}/\gamma$ -Al₂O₃ catalyst for H₂-assisted NO_x SCR by NH₃ have been tested. The catalyst has medium sulfur tolerance at low temperatures, however a good capability of regeneration. This regeneration should include heating to 650 - 700 °C for 10 - 20 min., provided the SCR gas feed is unchanged (ammonia may be removed) and hydrogen is co-fed. Regeneration of Ag/Al₂O₃ without oxygen (rich mixture) leads to essentially the same effect, but requires less time.

Heating to 650 - 700 °C does not allow full regeneration of low-temperature activity and does not allow recovery of NO₂ formation over Ag/Al₂O₃ in the course of SCR.

During the long-term tests with cycling poisoning – regeneration periods catalyst activity is regenerated during each regeneration cycle, but at least for the first 6-7 cycles sulfur species are accumulated on the catalyst. Presumably, SO_x is removed from Ag, but not from the alumina surface during standard regeneration, which allows us to make a conclusion on the existence of different active sites in Ag/Al₂O₃, namely finely dispersed Ag ions and Ag nanoparticles.

5. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by The Danish Council for Strategic Research through grant 09-067233.

References

- [1] T.V. Johnson, Int. J. Engine Res. 10 (2009) 275-285
- [2] M. Richter, R. Fricke, and R. Eckelt, Catal. Lett. 94 (2004) 115-118
- [3] K.-I. Shimizu and A. Satsuma, Appl. Catal. B 77 (2007) 202-205
- [4] A. Abe, N. Aoyama, S. Sumiya, N. Kakuta, and K. Yoshida, Catal. Lett. 51(1998) 5-
- [5] H. Kannisto, X. Karatzas, J. Edvardsson, L.J. Pettersson, and H.H. Ingelsten, Appl. Catal. B 104 (2011) 74-83
- [6] F.C. Meunier and J.R.H. Ross, Appl. Catal. B 24 (2000) 23-32
- [7] P.W. Park and C.L. Boyer, Appl. Catal. B 59 (2005) 27-34
- [8] S. Satokawa, K.-I. Yamaseki, and H. Uchida, Appl. Catal. B 34 (2001) 299-306
- [9] J.P. Breen, R. Burch, C. Hardacre, C.J. Hill, B. Krutzsch, B. Bandl-Konrad, E. Jobson, L. Cider, P.G. Blakeman, L.J. Peace, M.V. Twigg, M. Preis, and M. Gottschling, Appl. Catal. B 70 (2007) 36–44
- [10] F. Klingstedt, K. Eränen, L.-E. Lindfors, S. Andersson, L. Cider, C. Landberg,
 E. Jobson, L. Eriksson, T. Ilkenhans, and D. Webster, Top. Catal. 30/31 (2004) 27-30
- [11] Q. Ma, Y. Liu, and H. He, J. Phys. Chem. A 112 (2008) 6630–6635
- [12] B. Hammer, L.B. Hansen, and J.K. Nørskov, Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) 7413–7421
- [13] E. Mènendez-Proupin and G. Gutièrrez, Phys. Rev. B 72 (2005) 35116-35119
- [14] M. Digne, P. Sautet, P. Raybaud, P. Euzen, and H. Toulhoat, J. Catal., 226 (2004) 54–68
- [15] Chase, M.W. Jr., NIST-JANAF Themochemical Tables, Fourth Edition, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, Monograph 9, 1998, 1-1951
- [16] D.E. Doronkin, S. Fogel, S. Tamm, L. Olsson, T.S. Khan, T. Bligaard,
 P. Gabrielsson, and S. Dahl, Appl. Catal. B 2011, Accepted Manuscript, doi: 10.1016/j.apcatb.2011.11.042

- [17] S. Fogel and D.E. Doronkin, et. al. Manuscript in preparation
- [18] REGULATION (EC) No 715/2007 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT
 AND OF THE COUNCIL of 20 June 2007, Official Journal of the European Union, (29.6.2007), L 171/1 – L 171/16
- [19] "VOLVO S80 Instruktionsbok Web Edition" <u>http://esd.volvocars.com/site/owners-</u> information/MY11/S80/PDF/S80_owners_manual_MY11_SE_tp11740.pdf (accessed Jun 2011)
- [20] G.M. Wallace, "EUROPEAN DIESEL FUEL A REVIEW OF CHANGES IN PRODUCT QUALITY 1986-1989", Preprint archive of the ACS Division of Fuel Chemistry, Vol 35(4) (1990) 1080 – 1099
- [21] DIRECTIVE 2009/30/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 23 April 2009, Official Journal of the European Union, (5.6.2009), L 140/88 – L 140/113
- [22] L. Olsson, H. Sjövall, and R.J. Blint, Appl. Catal. B 81 (2008) 203-217
- [23] A. Sultana, M. Haneda, T. Fujitani, and H. Hamada, Catal. Lett. 114 (2007) 96-102
- [24] K.-I. Shimizu, J. Shibata, H. Y., A. Satsuma, T. Hattori, Appl. Catal. B 30 (2001) 151–162
- [25] Q. Wu, H. Gao, and H. He, J. Phys. Chem. B 110 (2006) 8320-8324
- [26] I. Levin and D. Brandon, J. Am. Ceram. Soc., 81 (1998) 1995–2012
- [27] R. Burch, J.P. Breen, and F.C. Meunier, Appl. Catal. B 39 (2002) 283–303
- [28] T.C. Brüggemann, D.G. Vlachos, F.J. Keil, J. Catal. 283 (2011) 178-191
- [29] B. Hammer, J.K. Nørskov, Adv. Catal. 45 (2000) 71-129
- [30] B. Hammer, O.H. Nielsen and J.K. Nørskov, Catalysis Letters 46 (1997) 31-35
- [31] Á. Logadóttir, J.K. Nørskov, Journal of Catalysis 220 (2003) 273–279
- [32] Ton V. W. Janssens, Bjerne S. Clausen, Britt Hvolbæk, Hanne Falsig, Claus H. Christensen, Thomas Bligaard, and Jens K. Nørskov, Topics in Catalysis 44, (2007), 15-26

- [33] N. Jagtap, S.B. Umbarkar, P.Miquel, P. Granger, and M.K. Dongare, Appl. Catal. B 90 (2009) 416–425
- [34] B. Kartheuser, B. K. Hodnett, Alfredo Riva, G. Centi, H. Matralis, M. Ruwet, Paul Grange, and N. Passarini, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 30 (1991) 2105-2113

Figure legends

Figure 1. NO_x (a) and NH₃ (b) conversion profiles obtained over fresh 1 - 3%Ag/Al₂O₃ (black) and hydrothermally aged 1%Ag/Al₂O₃ (gray dotted) catalysts.

(c) Evolution of NO_x conversion at 227 and 250 °C over 2%Ag/Al₂O₃ with 10 ppm SO₂ in the feed.

(d) NO_x and NH_3 conversion profiles obtained over sulfur poisoned 1 - 3% Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts.

(e) NO_x and NH₃ conversion profiles obtained over 1 - 3% Ag/Al₂O₃ catalysts after 40 min. regeneration at 670 °C.

Reaction conditions: 500 ppm NO, 520 ppm NH₃, 1200 ppm H₂, 8.3% O₂, 7% H₂O in Ar, GHSV = 110 000 h⁻¹.

Figure 2. (a) NO_x conversion profiles obtained over 2% Ag/Al₂O₃ after 10 min. regeneration at 670 °C (dashed) and after 1 min. regeneration at 670 °C in rich mixture (solid). Reaction conditions: 500 ppm NO, 520 ppm NH₃, 1200 ppm H₂, 8.3% O₂, 7% H₂O in Ar, GHSV = 110 000 h⁻¹.

(b) Dependence of shift of temperature of 50% NO_x conversion on the regeneration time. The 0 corresponds to no regeneration.

Figure 3. (a) Temperature profile of 4 h. sulfation – 40 min. regeneration experiment.
(b) Temperature profile of 4 x 1 h. sulfation – 10 min. regeneration experiment.

(c) NO_x conversion profiles obtained over fresh 2%Ag/Al₂O₃ (solid line), 2%Ag/Al₂O₃ after 4 h. with 10 ppm SO₂ at 240 °C and 40 min. regeneration at 670 °C (dotted line), after 4 cycles 1 h. with 10 ppm SO₂ at 240 °C and 10 min. regeneration (dashed line).

Figure 4. Evolution of NO_x conversion with time for first 9 cycles of the long term stability test of $2\% \text{Ag/Al}_2\text{O}_3$. Reaction conditions: 500 ppm NO, 1200 ppm H₂, 8.3% O₂, 7% H₂O in Ar, GHSV = 110 000 h⁻¹. Sulfation with 10 ppm SO₂ for 1 h. at 240 °C, regeneration for 10 min. at 670 °C.

Figure 5. The scheme of Ag/Al₂O₃ sulfation and regeneration.

Figure 6. (a) NO_x conversion profiles obtained over fresh 2% Ag/Al₂O₃ (solid line), 2% Ag/Al₂O₃ after 4 h. with 10 ppm SO₂ at 240 °C, followed by 40 min. regeneration at 670 °C (dotted line) and after additional regeneration at 950 °C (dashed line). (b) Temperature dependence of NO₂ concentration at the reactor outlet obtained over fresh 2% Ag/Al₂O₃ (solid line), 2% Ag/Al₂O₃ after 4 h. with 10 ppm SO₂ at 240 °C, followed by 40 min. regeneration at 670 °C (dotted line) and after additional regeneration at 950 °C (dashed line). Reaction conditions: 500 ppm NO, 520 ppm NH₃, 1200 ppm H₂, 8.3% O₂, 7% H₂O in Ar, GHSV = 110 000 h⁻¹.

Figure 7. The most energetically favorable adsorption geometries for adsorption of SO₂, SO₃, and SO₄ on γ -Al₂O₃ model surface (with corresponding adsorption energies).

Catalyst	Treatment	S _{BET} [m ² /g]
1% Ag/Al ₂ O ₃	-	142
1%Ag/Al ₂ O ₃	hydrothermal aging (750 °C, 16 h.)	126
2%Ag/Al ₂ O ₃	catalytic test (w/o deactivation)	130
2%Ag/Al ₂ O ₃	sulfation and 10 min. regen. @ 670 °C	129
2%Ag/Al ₂ O ₃	sulfation and 80 min. regen. @ 670 °C	113
2%Ag/Al ₂ O ₃	30 cycles of 1h. sulfation and 10 min. regen. @ 670 °C, followed by heating to 950 °C	121
3%Ag/Al ₂ O ₃	-	141

Table 1. Specific surface areas of tested catalysts as measured by BET.

	Type II (metallic Ag)				Type I (dispersed Ag)					
	Ag (111)		Ag (211)		γ-Al ₂ O ₃		Ag built in the γ- Al ₂ O ₃ surface		Ag on the step of γ -Al ₂ O ₃	
	E _{ads} , eV	T _{des} , K	E _{ads} , eV	T _{des} , K	E _{ads} , eV	T _{des} , K	E _{ads} , eV	T _{des} , K	E _{ads} , eV	T _{des} , K
SO_2	not adsorbed		-0.26	81	-1.43	558	-2.06	791	-1.29	506
SO_3	-1.61	390	-1.82	458	-2.66	630	-3.34	781	-2.64	625
SO_4	-2.65	454	-2.97	597	-1.15	222	-1.77	331	-3.14	572

Table 2. Adsorption energies and desorption temperatures of SO_x for the mostenergetically favorable adsorption geometries in case of different adsorption sites.

Table 3. Energies of HSO_x species in the gas phase and adsorbed on the most

Energy*, eV :	HSO ₂	HSO ₃	H ₂ SO ₃	HSO ₄	H ₂ SO ₄
Gas phase	0.29	-	-	-1.48	-3.39
Adsorbed on γ - Al ₂ O ₃	Dissociates	-2.84	Dissociates	-3.16	Dissociates
Adsorbed on Ag built in the γ - Al ₂ O ₃					
Adsorbed on Ag (211)	0.02	Dissociates	-2.22	-3.94	-3.57

energetically favorable adsorption sites.

* Energy of the HSO_x species is given with respect to SO_2 (g), O_2 (g) and H_2 (g).



Figure 2





Figure 4



Conversion

Figure 5











SO₂@γ-Al₂O₃: -1.43 eV

 $SO_3@\gamma-Al_2O_3: -2.66 \text{ eV}$



SO₄@γ-Al₂O₃: -1.15 eV