

Combined Biogas and Bioethanol Production in Organic Farming

Schmidt, Jens Ejbye; Thomsen, Anne Belinda; Bangsø Nielsen, Henrik; Østergård, Hanne; Kádár, Zsófia; Oleskowicz-Popiel, Piotr; Markussen, Mads Ville

Publication date:
2009

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Schmidt, J. E., Thomsen, A. B., Bangsø Nielsen, H., Østergård, H., Kádár, Z., Oleskowicz-Popiel, P., & Markussen, M. V. (2009). Combined Biogas and Bioethanol Production in Organic Farming [Sound/Visual production (digital)]. Risø International Energy Conference 2009, Roskilde, Denmark, 14/09/2009

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Combined Biogas and Bioethanol Production in Organic Farming



Jens Ejbye Schmidt

Act. Head of program

NRG - Biosystems Division

Risø – National Laboratory for Sustainable Energy

Technical University of Denmark

Anne Belinda Thomsen

Henrik Bangsø Nielsen


Hanne Østergård

Zsófia Kádár

Piotr Oleskowics-Popiel

Mads Markussen

Introduction - BioConcens



<http://www.bioconcens.elr.dk/uk/>

Production of bioenergy and animal feed



Aim: Convert animal manure, energy crops and agricultural residuals and agro-industrial by-products to biogas, bioethanol and fodder protein

Hypothesis: It is possible to use the organic residuals in organic agricultural (OA) for energy production without diminish the necessary amount of carbon and nutrients that should be recycled to the soil in OA.

Tested biomasses




- **Clover grass (fresh and silage)**
- **Maize straw (fresh and silage)**
- **Grass from meadows**
- **Rye straw**
- **Vetch straw**
- **Whey**
- **Cattle manure**



Experimental setup - Biogas




- Batch fermentations trials:
 - each biomass was fermented in triplicate in different concentrations (from 0.5% to 3.3%)




Reactor experiments –

- 50°C and HRT of 19 days
- Manure and agricultural residuals

Results – biogas potentials



Methane production from fresh rye (FR), fresh clover (FC) and two types of whey (W)



Methane production from dry vetch (DV) and dry rye (DR) at different substrate concentrations:
samples 1-3, 4-6 and 7-9
in concentrations 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 gVS/100g, respectively.



Methane potentials of clover grass and clover grass silage






Field	Harvested
24-5	10-10-08
24-7	10-10-08
24-9	10-10-08
24-12	30-10-07
24-12	16-05-08
24-12	14-08-08
24-12	10-08-08

Field 24-12	Silage time days
Control	0
1	7
2	19
3	34
4	69
5	130


Clover grass. Methane potentials – batch experiments



Clover grass silage. Methane potentials – batch experiments



Reactor experiments




R1: manure, R2: manure + maize, R3: manure + clovergrass

Methane yields




	Reactor yield ml/g VS	Batch potential ml/g VS	
Manure	208	235	Std. dev.
Maize 17.5% VS	327	427	26,0
Maize 25.8% VS	335	427	26,0
Maize 33.0% VS	380	427	26,0
Clover-grass 22.4% of VS	294	406	9,9
Clover-grass 28.9% of VS	328	406	9,9
Clover-grass 36.8% of VS	367	406	9,9

Summery - Biogas




Results – ethanol potential was expressed as an amount of glucose



Composition of the raw materials

The sugars yield after enzymatic hydrolysis



Ethanol production from treated/non-treated maize silage



In order to check if it is necessary to pre-treat/sterilize/pasteurize maize silage, SSF was performed with:

- raw maize silage
- maize silage pasteurized for 4 hours at 70°C
- hydro-thermo-treated for 10 minutes at 190°C

The experiments were carried out with two types C-6 fermenting microorganisms:

- Saccharomyces cerevisiae* at 32°C
- and with thermotolerant yeast, *Kluyvomyces marxianus*, at 40°C



Ethanol production from treated/non-treated maize silage





Ethanol production from non-treated maize silage

(M – maize; E – ensilaged;
S – *S.cerevisiae*; K - *K.marxianus*;
X – non-treated; amylase+cellulase,
' – only cellulase enzymes)

Production of ethanol during SSF of maize silage pretreated at different conditions

(M – maize; E – ensilaged;
S – *S.cerevisiae*; K - *K.marxianus*;
H – hydrothermal treatment (190°C,
10 min); P – pasteurized (70°C, 4h);
X – non-treated)



Summery- Bioethanol



Crop	Practical methane yield [mLCH ₄ /gTS]	Practical methane yield [kJ/100gTS]	Theoretical ethanol yield [gEtOH/100gTS]	Theoretical ethanol yield [kJ/100gTS]
Fresh maize (the whole crop)	407 ± 25	1619 ± 99	25.1 ± 0.5	744 ± 15
Maize silage (the whole crop)	426 ± 22	1694 ± 88	22.8 ± 0.5	678 ± 16
Fresh rye (the whole crop)	316 ± 40	1258 ± 160	12.3 ± 0.1	365 ± 4
Rye silage (the whole crop)	422 ± 9	1680 ± 36	12.3 ± 1.3	395 ± 40
Dried rye (the whole crop)	402 ± 31	1601 ± 122	28.3 ± 1.2	839 ± 36
Fresh clover (the whole crop)	375 ± ND	1493 ± ND	13.1 ± ND	390 ± ND
Clover silage (the whole crop)	400 ± ND	1592 ± ND	11.1 ± 0.4	330 ± 1
Dried clover (the whole crop)	245 ± 12	977 ± 49	14.9 ± 0.1	442 ± 4
Dried vetch (the whole crop)	279 ± 13	1111 ± 50	17.1 ± 0.2	507 ± 5
Cattle manure	174 ± 6	51 ± 2 [kJ/100mL]	-	-
Whey	625 ± 48	149 ± 12 [kJ/100mL]	~2.4 g/100mL	~138.8 kJ/100mL

$HHV_{CH_4} = 55.5 \text{ kJ/g} = 39.8 \text{ kJ/dm}^3$

$HHV_{EtOH} = 29.7 \text{ kJ/g}$


Combined biogas and fodder/bioethanol production



Biomasses



Processing



P-15 / AD-101
Anaerobic Digestion

Products


Ethanol

Protein feed/
fertilizer

Biogas

Fertilizer


Combined biogas, bioethanol and fodder production from rye grain, clover grass and whey




Bioethanol and biogas production



The final ethanol concentrations were 29 g/l for germinated grain and whey mixture and 22 g/l for germinated grain, whey and clover silage mixture, which corresponding to 61 and 56% of theoretical yield, respectively.




SSF on germinated grain, clover silage and whey by in situ



Biogas production on the effluent from bioethanol fermentation.

Evaluation of combined biogas and protein/bioethanol production in SuperPro Designer – 100 ha



The energy demand for a Danish organic farm (100 ha) was estimated for 180 GJ (60000 kWh)

Specification - SuperPro Designer



Roughly estimating:

- for production of ethanol: **16.2 ha of rye and 14 milking cows**
- for production of biogas: **5.7 ha clover grass silage, 2.5 ha maize silage and 13 cows**

In the presented scenario, the production of on-farm energy, in order to increase self-sufficiency of 100 ha Danish organic farm, requires around:

- **around 16 % of farm land for bioethanol**
- **around 8 % of farm land biogas**

Reactors specifications


Description	Volume
Ethanol production	
Reactor working volume	1 276 L
Reactor total volume	1 418 L
Anaerobic Digestion	
Reactor working volume	30 429 L
Reactor total volume	40 572 L

However....

There is a question if it could be economical feasible to establish such a small on-farm bioenergy production facility or it rather would be better to build centralized biorefinery to join around 10 organic farms for the area of 1000 ha.



Material and energy flow in reference scenario – 10 x 100 ha.



Input/output of energy products in all scenarios



Definition of scenarios			Input/output evaluation (1000 ha ⁻¹)								
Scenarios	Pct. of energy crops	Milk producing cows	Food (Person year rations of food ^a)			Liquid fuels (1000 l diesel equivalents)			Electricity (TJ)		
			Pro-duced	Used	Self-suffici-ency ^b	Pro-duced	Used	Self-suffici-ency ^b	Pro-duced	Used	Self-suffici-ency ^b
1 Reference	0%	74	3420	20	171	0	59	0	0	4,88	0
2 Oilseed rape	10%	69	3172	20	159	63	62	1,01	0	4,55	0
3 Biogas	10%	67	3080	22	140	0	66	0	4,07	5,03	0,81
4 Bioethanol	10%	67	3080	22	140	123	65	0 (1,9)^c	0	4,59	0
5 20% energy crops	20%	62	2850	22	129	63	65	0,96	4,07	4,70	0,87

^a Based on a daily intake of 2500 kcal per person

^b Degree of self-sufficiency. A value of 1.0 means that the system is self-sufficient.

^c All ethanol is exported because ethanol cannot substitute diesel as a fuel. The ethanol still contains 90% water. The thermal energy content of the ethanol correspond to 1.9 times the diesel used in the system measured in J.

Conclusions

Anaerobic digestion as "waste eater"

