



Biogas produktion i økologisk landbrug. Samfundsøkonomisk analyse

Nielsen, Lars Henrik

Publication date:
2011

Document Version
Også kaldet Forlagets PDF

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Nielsen, L. H. (2011). Biogas produktion i økologisk landbrug. Samfundsøkonomisk analyse [Lyd og/eller billed produktion (digital)]., København (DK), 31 Oct, 01/01/2011

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Første møde i følgegruppe relateret til "økologisk" biogasproduktion

- *Biogasanlæg, drevet primært på basis af Grønbiomasse*
- *Hovedresultaterne af udregningerne på samfundsøkonomien for anlæggene og videre – hvordan anvender man optimalt biogas i økologisk produktion –*

gårdanlæg eller fællesanlæg?

Hvor mangler der viden?

Seniorforsker, Lars Henrik Nielsen, Risø – DTU.

"økologisk" biogasproduktion primært på grønbiomasse

Erhvervet peger på **fordele** i form af

- **mindre klimabelastning,**
- **bedre næringsstofudnyttelse,**
- **øget højværdiproduktion,**
- **optimeret sædkifte** og ikke mindst
- **afgasset grønbiomasse** som **vektor for øget omlægning til økologi** i egne med begrænset adgang til husdyrgødning.

Dele af erhvervet ser endvidere anlæggene som **midlet til**

- **øget uafhængighed af gødning fra konventionelt landbrug.**

Udfordringer:

- **utilstrækkelig gasproduktion,**
- **høje omkostninger**

og deraf følgende dårlig økonomi i anlæggene er en udfordring **for danske biogasanlæg baseret på grøn biomasse.**

Indhold

- Samfundsøkonomisk metode (≠ selskabs-økonomi)
- Biogas: Hvad medregnes i en samfundsøkonomisk analyse
- Generelle forudsætninger
- Scenarier i BioConcens
- Resultater
- Diskussion: Vigtige faktorer og usikkerheder

Method

socio-economy including externalities

- **Difference analysis (cost benefit)**

Difference = Alternative – Reference

- **Key numbers focussed on:**

- Socio-economy (relative to defined reference organic agriculture)
- Energy-balance
Energy-autonomy
- CO₂ –eq. emission
- CO₂ –eq. emission reduction cost
- ...

- **Inputs**

- What has been impacted: **Any kind of 'relevant' effect of the alternative!**
- Quantification: **To what extents**
- **Monetisation:** **Related costs and benefits**
 - **Some effects are reflected in market prices**
 - **and some are not..**

Externalities..

- With very few data:
Does the impact have a positive or negative effect for society

Market prices and externalities

Externalities:

Socio-economic costs and benefits
not reflected in market prices

“Socio-economic price” = Market price + External costs/benefits

Methods for monetising external effects:

- **Preference-based methods**

E.g. via virtual markets that reveal market-preferences

(Interview investigations: How much will people pay to avoid an external effect.)

Theoretical preferable. However, data often not available.

- **Cost-based methods**

Focus on damage costs due to the external effect.

(Repair of damage, loss of production value, medical costs et.c.)

May not reveal all external costs.



Monetising via: Costs for avoiding / removing the negative external effect

Basis socio-economic assumptions

- Rate of interest: 5% p.a.
- Base year: Year 2010
- Period analysed: Year 2011-2030
Time horizon year 2030
- Terminal values: Via annuity until time horizon.
- Re-investments: Identical re-investments at life times below time horizon.
- Price level: Fixed year 2008 price level.
(Ex. tax, subsidies et.c.)

- Fuel prices: Danish Energy Agency forecasts, 2010.

El fra DK net : Pris og CO₂-emission



Green House Gasses included

IPCC-defined GHGs and GWP cf. 2. Assessment Report (SAR) :

- CO_2 $\text{GWP}_{100} = 1$ (weight based)
- CH_4 $\text{GWP}_{100} = 21$
- N_2O $\text{GWP}_{100} = 310$

GWP_{100} : Global Warming Potential covering 100 years

Other GHGs are not important in relation to biogas plants

Quantify changed emission of:

Carbon dioxide, methane and laughing gas due to the biogas alternatives

Value of GHG emission reduction:

National Quotas


Market for CO₂ emission allowances:

NordPool (CO₂ emission allowance)

The present analysis :

Danish Energy Agency


Forecast from April 2010



Baseline compared to 3 scenarios named:

Biogas I & II and **Combined**(Biogas & Ethanol)
Centralised plants, each to serve 1000 Ha organic farming

	Baseline	Biogas I	Biogas II	Combined
	1000 Ha	10% grass clover	20 % grass clover	10 % winterrye + whey, 10 % grassclover



Biogas/ethanol: Production and conversion

Biogas/ethanol yields:		Biogas I	Biogas II	Combined
Methane	[MWh/year]	5545	7333	7002
Ethanol	[MWh/year]	0	0	1283

Energy production: Biogas and Ethanol plants		Biogas I	Biogas II	Combined
Electricity	[MWh/year]	1663	2200	2101
Heat	[MWh/year]	3050	4033	3851
Ethanol	[MWh/year]	0	0	1283
Energy demand for transports	[MWh/year]	-98	-91	-15

Biogas/ethanol: CO₂- Reduction

CO ₂ - reduction: Biogas and Ethanol plants				
		Biogas I	Biogas II	Combined
CO ₂ eq substituted replacing DK GRID electricity	[ton CO ₂ eq /year]	1405	1858	1774
CO ₂ eq substituted replacing district heat	[ton CO ₂ eq /year]	373	494	471
CO ₂ eq substituted replacing transport fuel	[ton CO ₂ /year]	0	0	342
Increased CO₂ emissions due to transport	[ton CO ₂ /year]	-26	-24	-32
CO₂-balance, biogas plant, scenario A (All heat utilised)	[ton CO ₂ /year]	1752	2327	2556
CO₂-balance, biogas plant, scenario B (No heat utilised)	[ton CO ₂ /year]	1379	1834	2085

Socio-economic aspects included

Level of analysis	Result 0	Result 1	Result 2	Result 3
Aspects included:				
Energy and resources				
Value of energy production (heat, electricity)	X	X	X	X
Savings related to the electricity grid	X	X	X	X
Security of energy supply and political stability issues				
Resource savings (energy, nutrients)				
Global balance of trades				
Increased road/infrastructure costs				
Environment				
GHG balances		X	X	X
Other emissions (SO ₂ , NO _x)				
Change in nitrogen leakage				
Effects on soil fertility				
Value of reduced smells				
Agriculture				
Storage, handling and distribution of liquid manure				
Changes in agricultural system				X
Flexibility gains at farms				
Value fertilizer replacement			X	
Veterinary aspects				
Loss in food sales			X	X
Investments and O&M-costs				
Investments, Bioenergy plant	X	X	X	X
O&M costs for bioenergy plant	X	X	X	X
Investments and O&M for liquid manure transports	X	X	X	X
Other aspects				
Employment effects				
Working environment aspects				

Results of socio-economic analysis

Summary (DRAFT)	Unit: 1000 DKK (2009) / year		
	Biogas I	Biogas II	Combined
Result	(A)	(A)	(A)
R0 (plant only)	19	559	-
R1 (CO2 added)	367	1019	-
R2 (loss in food sales)	-1383	-5188	-
R3 (externalities)	-1252	-5482	-
A: All heat produced utilised			

Diskussion: Vigtige faktorer og usikkerheder

Økonomien 'i' Samfundsøkonomi ↔ Selskabsøkonomi

Dif. i: Energipriser (uden ↔ med) Subsidier, afgifter mm.
 Eksternaliteter (med ↔ uden)

Hvor mangler der viden?

Usikkerhed på:

Anlægsinvestering pr. M³
 Anlægs-Størrelse

Gårdanlæg eller fællesanlæg?

Anlægs-Antal ↔ Anlægs-Størrelse
 1-2000Ha ↔ x Ha

Biogas i

Økologisk ↔ Konventionelt Landbrug

Afgasser Gylle/gødning/**græs** mv. ↔ Gylle/gødning/**affald** ..

Modtage-gebyr **Tab i indkomst** ↔ **Gebyr modtages**

Udbytte/Pris 'relativt' (lavere/højere ↔ højere/lavere)

