Technical University of Denmark



# On the viscosity and heat conductivity of a collisionless plasma in a magnetic field

Forskningscenter Risø, Roskilde

Publication date: 1968

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

# Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Milantiev, V. P. (1968). On the viscosity and heat conductivity of a collisionless plasma in a magnetic field. (Denmark. Forskningscenter Risoe. Risoe-R; No. 175).

# DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

## **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

### March, 1968

### On the Viscosity and Heat Conductivity of a Collisionless Plasma in a Magnetic Field

by

V.P. Milantiev

### ERRATUM

To the last sentence of the text on page 2 should be added;

However, this is only true when the magnetic field lines are straight. In the general case our expressions (A, 6) and (A, 7) differ from the formulas of Simon and Thompson ((27)), and they reduce exactly to Macmahon's result as shown in the appendix.

On the Viscosity and Heat Conductivity of a Collisionless Plasma in a Magnetic Field

by

V. P. Milantiev

The Danish Atomic Energy Commission Research Establishment Risö Physics Department

### Abstract

The viscous stress tensor and the heat flux tensor of a collisionless plasma immersed in a strong magnetic field are calculated by Grad's moments method. No contradiction is found between the expressions of the viscous stress tensor obtained earlier by A. Macmahon and by A. Simon and W. Thompson. It is shown that in the approximation considered the "longitudinal" heat flux is absent.

\*Permanent address: Patrice Lumumba Peoples' Friendship University, Moscow, USSR.

# BLANK

# PAGE

A rarefied plasma consisting of electrons and ions is usually described by the kinetic equation in the self-consistent field approximation (Vlasov plasma). However, many problems of plasma physics can be solved by means of the more simple and descriptive hydrodynamic equations. As is known, the hydrodynamic description of ordinary neutral gases is applicable because of the smallness of the molecular free path.

In a collisionless plasma immersed in a strong magnetic field the Larmor radius (more accurately, the cyclotron radius) is an analogue of the molecular free path.

The hydrodynamic equations for a collisionless plasma immersed in a strong magnetic field were first obtained by Chew, Goldberger and Low<sup>1</sup>. They are equations of the zero-order approximation with the parameter  $\xi = \frac{a}{L}$  and seem to be equivalent to the equations of ideal magnetohydrodynamics. Here, <u>a</u> is a Larmor radius, and L is a characteristic macroscopic length<sup>2</sup>. However, for solving many problems of plasma physics the Chew-Goldberger-Low zero approximation is inadequate. In such cases the effects of the finite Larmor radius (FLR) have to be taken into account.<sup>‡</sup> These effects are expressed in terms of "magnetic viscosity" and "magnetic heat conductivity" in the hydrodynamic equations<sup>3-7</sup>.

The expression for the viscous stress tensor of collisionless plasma with anisotropic pressure was first found by W.B. Thompson<sup>3</sup>). It has, however, been noticed in the papers refs. 8 and 9 that Thompson's results were incorrect.

A. Simon and W. B. Thompson have later corrected some errors of the paper ref. 3 and obtained an expression for the stress tensor which, in their opinion, differs from Macmahon's expression in ref. 8. Therefore, according to Simon and Thompson, the results of the paper ref. 8 are incorrect.

To make these distinctions clear, we have performed all the calculations once again in order to find the stress tensor and the heat fluxes in a collisionless plasma immersed in a strong magnetic field. Our results coincide exactly with Macmahon's. It is also shown that the expressions for the stress tensor in the works refs. 15 and 8 are exactly the same.

- 2 -

It should be noted that there are some differences between the equations of the Chew-Goldberger-Low expansion and those of the FLR theory<sup>14</sup>).

We use Grad's method of momenta<sup>10</sup>. This method has been used in 13 moments approximation in ref. 11 for investigating transport processes in a plasma with collisions. We consider a collisionless plasma with anisotropic pressure. For such a plasma the 13 moments approximation in general seems to be inapplicable if there are heat fluxes along the magnetic field lines.

Therefore, if there are longitudinal heat fluxes, the 20 moments approximation ought to be used.

1. A rarefied plasma is described by the Vlasov equation and Maxwell's equations (in standard notation) as

$$\frac{\delta f_{a}\left(\vec{F},\vec{\nabla},t\right)}{\delta t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \nabla f_{a} + \vec{G}_{a} \cdot \frac{\delta f_{a}}{\delta \vec{\nabla}} + \frac{e_{a}}{m_{a}c} \left[\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{B}\right] \cdot \frac{\delta f_{a}}{\delta \vec{\nabla}} = 0,$$

$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{E} = -\frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta \vec{B}}{\delta t} , \qquad \operatorname{rot} \vec{B} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \vec{j} + \frac{1}{c} \frac{\delta \vec{E}}{\delta t} ,$$

$$\operatorname{div} \vec{E} = 4\pi\rho , \qquad \operatorname{div} \vec{B} = 0,$$

$$\rho = \sum_{i}^{n} e_{a} \int f_{a} d\vec{\nabla} , \qquad \vec{j} = \sum_{i}^{n} e_{a} \int \vec{\nabla} f_{a} d\vec{\nabla} .$$

$$(1)$$

Here, "a" refers to particle species and is to be summed over values i and e for ions and electrons.  $\vec{G}_a$  represents the acceleration of all the forces except the  $\frac{e}{c}[\vec{v} \cdot \vec{B}]$  force (for instance,  $\vec{G}_a = \frac{e_a}{m_a}\vec{E} + \vec{g}$ , where g is an artificial gravity which approximates the magnetic field curvature effects). From now on we will omit the subscript "a".

According to the moments method of Grad, the distribution function is expanded in Hermite polynomials as follows:

$$f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t) = f^{0} \left\{ a^{(0)} + a^{(1)}_{i_{1}}(\vec{r}, t) H^{(1)}_{i_{1}}(\vec{t}) + \ldots + \frac{1}{N!} a^{(N)}_{i_{1}} + \frac{1}{N!} H^{(N)}_{i_{1}} + \frac{1}{N!}$$

Here and in the following, summation over the repeated indexes is assumed. The Hermite polynomial tensors

$$\mathbf{H}_{i_{1}\cdots i_{N}}^{(N)}(\xi) = (-1)^{N} e^{\xi^{2}/2} \frac{e^{N}}{\delta \xi_{i_{1}\cdots}\delta \xi_{i_{N}}} e^{-\xi^{2}/2}$$

satisfy the ortho-normalization conditions

\_

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int e^{-\xi^{2/2}} H_{i_1 \cdots i_N}^{(N)}(\xi) H_{j_1 \cdots j_M}^{(M)}(\xi) d\xi = \delta_{MN} \delta_{ij}^{(N)}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\delta_{ij}^{(1)} \equiv \delta_{ij}$$
 is the Kronecker symbol;  $\delta_{ij}^{(2)} \equiv \delta_{i_1 i_2} \delta_{j_1 j_2} + \delta_{i_2 j_2} \delta_{i_2 j_2} + \delta_{i_2 j$ 

+ <sup>b</sup><sub>i1</sub>j<sub>2</sub> <sup>b</sup>i2j<sub>1</sub> , .....,

----

 $\mathbf{\tilde{t}}$  is the dimensionless peculiar velocity relative to the fluid velocity. The first few Hermite polynomial tensors are

$$H^{(0)} = 1,$$

$$H_{1}^{(1)} = \xi_{1},$$

$$H_{1j}^{(2)} = \xi_{1}\xi_{j} - \delta_{1j},$$

$$H_{1j}^{(3)} = \xi_{1}\xi_{j}\xi_{k} - (\xi_{1}\delta_{jk} + \xi_{1}\delta_{k} + \xi_{k}\delta_{1j}).$$
(4)

In the following we also need some general formulae<sup>10</sup>:

$$= \frac{H_{1}^{(N)}(\tilde{t})}{\tilde{t}_{i_{r}}} = H_{1}^{(N-1)}(\tilde{t})$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 - 1)$$

$$= (1 -$$

$$\xi H_{i_{1}\cdots i_{N}}^{(N)}(\vec{\xi}) = H_{ji_{1}\cdots i_{N}}^{(N+1)}(\vec{\xi}) + b_{ji_{r}} H_{i_{1}\cdots i_{r}\cdots i_{N}}^{(N-1)}(\vec{\xi}) .$$
 (4b)

Here the notation ...,  $i_{r_1}$  .... shows that the index  $i_{r_1}$  must be omitted.

By using equations (3) it can easily be shown that the coefficients  $a_1^{(N)}$  are given by  $a_1^{i_1} \dots a_N^{i_N}$ 

$$a_{i_{1}\cdots i_{N}}^{(N)}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{n(\vec{r},t)} \int f(\vec{r},\vec{v},t) H_{i_{1}\cdots i_{N}}^{(N)}(\vec{t}) d\vec{v} \equiv H_{i_{1}\cdots i_{N}}^{(N)} .$$
 (5)

We observe that the coefficients  $a_{i_1...i_N}^{(N)}$  are proportional to combinations of moments of the distribution function  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{v}, t)$ . Therefore the calculation of  $a_{i_1...i_N}^{(N)}$  is equivalent to the calculation of the moments of the distribution function.

Further we choose the function  $f^0$  as a local Maxwellian distribution with anisotropic temperature:

$$f^{0} = \frac{n}{\theta_{d} \sqrt{\theta_{d}}} \left(\frac{m}{2\pi}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{mc_{d}^{2}}{2\theta_{d}} + \frac{mc_{d}^{2}}{2\theta_{d}}\right)\right\}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\vec{c}(\vec{r}, t) = \vec{v} - \vec{u}(\vec{r}, t)$  is the peculiar velocity of plasma particles;  $\vec{u}(\vec{r}, t) = \frac{1}{n} \int \vec{v} f d\vec{v}$  is the flow velocity;

$$\vec{c}_{ij} \equiv \vec{b}(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{c}); \quad \vec{c}_{j} \equiv \vec{c} - \vec{c}_{ij}; \quad \vec{b} \equiv \vec{B};$$
 (6a)

 $\Theta_{R}$ ,  $\Theta_{L}$  are "longitudinal" and "transverse" kinetic temperatures respectively. In the isotropic case  $\theta_{R} = \theta_{L}$ . As is known, the anisotropic Maxwellian distribution (6) is inconsistent with the Boltzmann equation<sup>12</sup>). But such a distribution is probably a very good approximation in the collisionless plasma. (About the speed of the equalization of the temperatures  $\theta_{0}$ ,  $\theta_{L}$  see, for example ref. 13.)

In the isotropic case the pressure p is defined by the formula  $p = \frac{1}{3}$  trace  $\hat{P}$ , where  $\hat{P}$  is the pressure (stress) tensor:

$$(\hat{\mathbf{P}})_{ij} \equiv \mathbf{P}_{ij} = \mathbf{m} \int \mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{c}_j f \, d\vec{\mathbf{v}} \,. \tag{7}$$

So it is possible to define a viscous stress tensor  $\frac{2}{3}$  by the formula

$$P_{ij} = p_{ij}^b + \sigma_{ij}$$

provided that trace  $\hat{\sigma} = 0$ .

In the anisotropic case

$$\mathbf{P}_{ij} = \mathbf{m} \int \mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{c}_j f d\vec{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbf{p}_a \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{b}_j + \mathbf{p}_j (\mathbf{b}_{ij} - \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{b}_j) + \mathbf{v}_{ij}.$$
(8)

Then, provided that

trace 
$$\vec{\sigma} = 0$$
,  
 $\mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{b}_j \mathbf{\sigma}_i \mathbf{\sigma}_j = 0$ , (8a)

$$P_{p} = b_{i}b_{j}P_{ij}, \qquad (6b)$$

$$P_{j} = \frac{1}{2}(b_{ij} - b_{i}b_{j})P_{ij}.$$

1

So  $p_{i} + 2p_{i}$  = trace  $\hat{P}$ . "Longitudinal" and "transverse" temperatures are defined by the two equations

$$\theta_g = \frac{P_g}{n}$$
 and  $\theta_i = \frac{P_i}{n}$ . (8c)

Let us now introduce the vector of dimensionless peculiar velocity

$$\mathbf{\xi}_{\mathbf{i}} = \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{\theta}_{\mathbf{j}}}} \left( \mathbf{\theta}_{\mathbf{ij}} - \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{\theta}_{\mathbf{j}}}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{j}} \right\} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} \cong \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{ij}}^{-1} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}}$$
(9)

and vice versa

$$c_{i} = \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\theta_{i}}{m}} \left( \delta_{ij} - b_{i}b_{j} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\theta_{i}}{m}} b_{i}b_{j} \right\} \mathbf{L} \equiv V_{ij}\mathbf{L}_{j} , \qquad (9a)$$

so that

.

$$v_{ik} v_{kj}^{-1} = a_{ij}$$
. (9b)

By using formulae (5), (3), (4), and (9) one can easily obtain

$$\mathbf{a}^{(\mathbf{o})} = \mathbf{1} ; \quad \mathbf{a}_{1}^{(1)} = 0 ,$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{1j}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{P_{d}} \left\{ \sigma_{1j} + \left( \sqrt{\frac{P_{d}}{P_{g}}} - 1 \right) \left( b_{1}\sigma_{jk} + b_{j}\sigma_{1k} \right) b_{k} \right\} ,$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{1jk}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{P_{d}} \sqrt{\frac{m}{\theta_{d}}} \left\{ M_{1jk} + \left( \sqrt{\frac{P_{d}}{P_{g}}} - 1 \right) \left( b_{1}M_{jkn} + b_{j}M_{1kn} + b_{k}M_{1jn} \right) b_{n} + \left( \sqrt{\frac{P_{d}}{P_{g}}} - 1 \right)^{2} \left( b_{1}b_{j}M_{kmn} + b_{1}b_{k}M_{jmn} + b_{j}b_{k}M_{1mn} \right) b_{m}b_{n} + \left( \sqrt{\frac{P_{d}}{P_{g}}} - 1 \right)^{3} b_{1}b_{j}b_{k}b_{1}b_{m}b_{n}M_{1mn} \right\} .$$

$$(10)$$

Here  $\sigma_{ij}$  is the viscous stress tensor;  $M_{ijk}$  is the heat flux tensor:

$$\mathbf{M}_{ijk} = \mathbf{m} \int \mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{c}_k f d\vec{\mathbf{v}}, \qquad (11)$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{2}M_{ikk} = \int c_i \frac{mc^2}{2} f d\tilde{v} \equiv q_i$$
(11a)

is the heat flux vector.

To obtain evolution equations for the coefficients  $a_{i_1\cdots i_N}^{(N)}$  it is convenient to exchange the variables  $t, \vec{r}, \vec{v}$  for the variables  $t, \vec{r}, \vec{c} = \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u}$ in the Vlasov equation (1):

$$\frac{df}{dt} + c_1 \frac{\delta f}{\delta x_1} - \frac{\delta f}{\delta c_k} \left\{ \frac{du_k}{dt} + c_1 \frac{\partial u_k}{\delta x_1} - G_k - \Theta \epsilon_{klm} (c_1 + u_1) b_m \right\} = 0,$$
(12)

where  $\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{\theta}{\theta t} + \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla$ ;  $\Omega = \frac{eB}{mc}$ ;  $\varepsilon_{klm}$  - Levy-Civita symbol.

Multiplying equation (12) by  $\underline{H}_{i_1,..,i_N}^{(N)}(\xi)$ , integrating over  $\forall$  and using formulae (5), (4a) and (4b), we obtain after some calculations

$$\frac{d a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}}^{(N)}}{dt} + \left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{N}}^{(N+1)} + b_{l_{1_{g}}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}}^{(N-1)} \cdots b_{N}\right) \left(\frac{\partial V_{l_{1}}}{\partial x_{j}} + V_{l_{1}} \frac{\partial \ln n}{\partial x_{j}}\right) + \\
+ V_{l_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(a_{l_{l_{1} \cdots l_{N}}}^{(N+1)} + b_{l_{1_{g}}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}}^{(N-1)} \cdots b_{N}\right) - \\
- \left(V_{l_{j}} \frac{d V_{j_{l_{g}}}^{-1}}{dt} - \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{k}} V_{kl} V_{j_{1_{g}}}^{-1}\right) \left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}}^{(N)} \cdots b_{N}^{-1} + b_{l_{1_{r}}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}}^{(N-2)} \cdots b_{N}^{-1}\right) + \\
+ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{dt} - G_{k}\right) V_{ki_{g}}^{-1} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}}^{(N-1)} \left(a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}}^{(N)} \cdots b_{N}^{-1} + b_{l_{1_{r}}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}}^{(N-1)} \cdots b_{N}^{-1}\right) + \\
- \left(V_{l_{j}} V_{mk} \frac{\partial V_{ki_{g}}^{-1}}{\partial x_{k}^{-1}} \left(a_{i_{mi_{1} \cdots i_{g}}^{(N-1)}} \cdots b_{N}^{-1} + b_{mi_{g}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}^{-1} \cdots b_{N}^{-1}}^{(N-1)} + \\
+ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} - G_{k}\right) V_{ki_{g}}^{-1} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}^{-1} \cdots i_{N}^{-1}} + b_{mi_{g}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}^{-1} \cdots i_{N}^{-1}}^{(N-1)} + \\
+ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} - G_{k}\right) V_{ki_{g}}^{-1} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}^{-1} \cdots i_{N}^{-1}}^{(N-1)} + b_{mi_{g}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}^{-1} \cdots i_{N}^{-1}}^{(N-1)} + \\
+ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} - G_{k}\right) V_{ki_{g}}^{-1} \left(a_{i_{mi_{1} \cdots i_{g}^{-1} \cdots i_{N}^{-1}}^{(N-1)} + b_{mi_{g}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}^{-1} \cdots i_{N}^{-1}}^{(N-1)} + \\
+ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} - G_{k}\right) V_{km} \frac{\partial V_{ki_{g}}^{-1}}{\partial x_{j}^{-1}} \left(a_{i_{mi_{1} \cdots i_{g}^{-1} \cdots i_{N}^{-1}}^{(N-1)} + b_{mi_{g}} a_{i_{1} \cdots i_{g}^{-1} \cdots i_{g}^{-1} \cdots i_{N}^{-1}} + \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t_{g}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t_{g}} \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \\ \left(\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \\ \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t} + \\ \left$$

Here, the notation  $\dots$  i  $\dots$  i... shows that the indices  $i_s$ ,  $i_r$  must be omitted,

In the isotropic case the left-hand side of the system (13) of course coincides with the known expression<sup>10</sup>. The system (13) is equivalent to a Vlasov equation and represents an infinite chain of moment equations. For practical purposes it is necessary to select a method of cutting off this chain. By the Grad method it is possible to describe the properties of the system by the quantities  $a_{11}^{(M)}$ , provided that all the other coefficients  $a_{11}^{(M+2)}$ , are equal to zero. But it does not mean,  $a_{11}^{(M+2)}$ , ...

- 8 -

of course, that all the moments of the order M+1, M+2, ... are equal to zero. In the present case, moments of the order M+1, M+2, ... are expressed in terms of moments of the 0<sup>th</sup>, 1<sup>st</sup>, ... M<sup>th</sup> order. In practice one usually restricts oneself by setting M = 3 so that all coefficients  $a^{(4)} = a^{(5)} = \ldots = 0$ . In this approximation a system is assumed to be described completely by 20 macroscopic quantities (moments) n,  $u_i$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\sigma_{ij}$ . M<sub>ijk</sub>. In many cases a more simple description is possible for M = 3 when the 3<sup>rd</sup>-order moments M<sub>ijk</sub> can be expressed in terms of a heat flux vector:

$$M_{ijk} = \frac{2}{5} (q_i b_{jk} + q_j b_{ik} + q_k b_{ij}) . \qquad (14)$$

In this approximation a system is described by 13 moments  $n, \vec{u}, \theta, \sigma, \vec{q}$ . (For a full description of the plasma, the variables of the electromagnetic field must of course be added.) In general, however, in our anisotropic case the 13-moments approximation is invalid. In this approximation the calculated tensor  $M_{ijk}$  has the form

$$M_{ijk} = \frac{2}{5} \left\{ q_i a_{jk} + q_j a_{ik} + q_k a_{jj} + q_{jk} \left[ \left( \frac{P_{\perp}}{P_{a}} \right)^{3/2} - 1 \right] (b_i a_{jk} + b_j a_{ik} + b_k b_{ij}) \right\}$$
(14a)

This contradicts the general relation

$$M_{ikk} = 2q_i . \tag{14b}$$

It is obvious that (14a) and (14b) coincide only in the absence of longitudinal heat flux ( $q_{\mu} \equiv \vec{q} \cdot \vec{b} = 0$ ). Therefore, if there are longitudinal heat fluxes, a Vlasov plasma in a strong magnetic field can be described by the quantities

n, 
$$\vec{u}$$
,  $\theta_{j}$ ,  $\theta_{\eta}$ ,  $\sigma_{ij}$  (or  $a_{ij}^{(2)}$ ),  $M_{ijk}$  (or  $a_{ijk}^{(3)}$ ),  $\vec{E}$ ,  $\vec{B}$ .

The first few moments of the Vlasov equation may be written

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div} n \vec{u} = 0,$$
 (15)

$$\frac{d\theta_{g}}{dt} = 2\theta_{g}\overline{b} \cdot (\overline{b} \cdot \nabla)\overline{u} - \frac{b_{g}b_{r}}{n} \left(2e_{rm} \frac{\partial u_{g}}{\partial x_{m}} + \frac{\partial M_{rem}}{\partial x_{m}}\right) + \frac{1}{n}e_{rg}\frac{d}{dt}b_{r}b_{g}$$
(17)

$$\frac{d\theta_{j}}{dt} = -\theta_{j} \operatorname{div} \vec{u} + \theta_{j} \vec{b} \cdot (\vec{b} \cdot \nabla) \vec{u} - \frac{\sigma_{rs}}{n} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{s}} - \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{div} \vec{q} + \frac{b_{r} b_{s}}{2n} \left( 2 \sigma_{rm} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{m}} + \frac{\partial M_{rsm}}{\partial x_{m}} \right) - \frac{\sigma_{rs}}{2n} \frac{d}{dt} b_{r} b_{s} .$$
(18)

Then, from equations (13) we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(2)}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \frac{1}{\mathbf{b}_{I}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\theta_{I}}{\mathrm{d}t} \mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\mathbf{b}_{H}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\theta_{I}}{\mathrm{d}t} - \frac{1}{\mathbf{b}_{I}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\theta_{I}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right) \mathbf{b}_{1} \left( \mathbf{s}_{1j}^{(2)} \mathbf{b}_{i} + \mathbf{a}_{1i}^{(2)} \mathbf{b}_{j} \right) + \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{b}_{I}}{\mathbf{b}_{I}}} \right) \left[ \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{b}_{I}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{a}_{1j}^{(2)} + \mathbf{b}_{j} \mathbf{a}_{1i}^{(2)} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{b}_{R}}{\mathbf{b}_{J}}} \mathbf{b}_{1} \left( \mathbf{a}_{1j}^{(2)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{b}_{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} + \mathbf{a}_{1i}^{(2)} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{b}_{i}}{\mathrm{d}t} \right) \right] - \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{b}_{I}}{\mathbf{b}_{I}}} \right) \left[ \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{b}_{I}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{a}_{1j}^{(2)} + \mathbf{b}_{j} \mathbf{a}_{1i}^{(2)} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{b}_{R}}{\mathbf{b}_{J}}} \mathbf{b}_{I} \left( \mathbf{b}_{I} \mathbf{a}_{1j}^{(2)} + \mathbf{b}_{I} \mathbf{a}_{1j}^{(2)} \right) + \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{b}_{R}}{\mathbf{b}_{I}}} \left( 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{b}_{I}}{\mathbf{b}_{R}}} \right) \mathbf{b}_{I} \mathbf{b}_{I} \left( \mathbf{a}_{1j}^{(2)} + \mathbf{b}_{I} \mathbf{a}_{1i}^{(2)} \mathbf{b}_{J} \right) + \left( \sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{b}_{I}}{\mathbf{b}_{I}}} - \mathbf{b}_{I} \frac{\mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{b}_{I}}{\mathbf{b}_{R}} \right) \mathbf{b}_{I} \mathbf{b}_{$$

- 10 -

$$+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m\theta_{\eta}}}-\frac{\partial\theta_{\eta}}{\partial x_{k}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{m\theta_{j}}}-\frac{\partial\theta_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)b_{k}b_{l}a_{lij}^{(3)} +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{m\theta_{\eta}}}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{j}}{\theta_{s}}}\right)\frac{\partial\theta_{n}}{\partial x_{m}}b_{m}b_{n}b_{l}\left(b_{l}a_{lnj}^{(3)}+b_{j}a_{lni}^{(3)}\right) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\theta_{\mu}}\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{j}}{m}}-\frac{\partial\theta_{j}}{\partial x_{m}}b_{l}\left(b_{j}a_{lnj}^{(3)}+b_{j}a_{lni}^{(3)}\right) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\theta_{\mu}}\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{j}}{m}}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{j}}{\theta_{\mu}}}\right)\frac{\partial\theta_{j}}{\partial x_{m}}b_{m}b_{n}\left(2a_{lnj}^{(3)}-b_{l}b_{l}a_{lnj}^{(3)}-b_{l}b_{j}a_{lni}^{(3)}\right) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\theta_{\mu}}\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{j}}{m}}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{j}}{\theta_{\mu}}}\right)\frac{\partial\theta_{j}}{\partial x_{m}}b_{m}b_{n}\left(2a_{lnj}^{(3)}-b_{l}b_{l}a_{lnj}^{(3)}-b_{l}b_{j}a_{lni}^{(3)}\right) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{m\theta_{j}}}\frac{\partial\theta_{j}}{\partial x_{n}}\left(2a_{ljn}^{(3)}-b_{l}b_{l}a_{lnj}^{(3)}-b_{j}b_{l}a_{lni}^{(3)}\right) -$$

$$-2\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{j}}{\theta_{j}}}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{j}}{\theta_{\mu}}}\right)^{2}b_{l}b_{j}b_{k}b_{m}\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{m}} + \left(\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{j}}{\theta_{\mu}}}-1\right)b_{k}\left(b_{l}a_{l}\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}+b_{l}\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}\right) +$$

$$+\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{j}}{\theta_{j}}}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{\theta_{j}}{\theta_{\mu}}}\right)b_{m}\left(\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{m}}b_{j}+\frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{m}}b_{l}\right) + \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{j}} +$$

$$+\frac{1}{\theta_{j}}\frac{d\theta_{j}}{dt}\left(b_{lj}-b_{l}b_{j}\right) + \frac{1}{\theta_{\eta}}\frac{d\theta_{\mu}}{dt}b_{l}b_{j} - \sqrt{\frac{\theta_{\mu}}{\theta_{\mu}}}\left(\frac{\theta_{j}}{\theta_{\mu}}-1\right)\frac{d}{dt}b_{l}b_{j} =$$

$$= G\left(a_{lkl}a_{kj}^{(2)}+a_{jkl}a_{kl}^{(2)}\right)b_{l} .$$
(19)

The equation for  $a_{ijk}^{(3)}$  is much more complicated, and we do not write it explicitly. To have a full set of equations we need the equation for 5. To obtain that it is necessary to use the Maxwell induction equation

$$\operatorname{rot}\left(\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{j}^{*}+\widetilde{\mathbf{E}}_{j}\right) + \frac{1}{c} - \frac{\partial \widetilde{\mathbf{B}}}{\partial t} = 0.$$
 (20)

$$If \frac{\mathbf{u}}{\mathbf{a}} \sim \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{L}} \sim \epsilon \langle \langle 1 , \text{ then } \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{g}} \sim \epsilon \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{g}}^{\mathbf{S} \rangle}.$$

So the first and the third term in (20) are zero-order quantities, and the second term is proportional to (. Therefore we obtain in the lowestorder approximation

$$-\frac{B}{c}\frac{ab}{at} = (\hat{I} - bb) \cdot rot \overline{E_{i}} , \qquad (21)$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{I}}$  is the unit tensor;  $\mathbf{\overline{b}}\mathbf{\overline{b}}$  is the dyadic (tensor);  $\mathbf{\overline{b}} = \mathbf{\overline{B}}/\mathbf{B}$ .

If we introduce the electric drift velocity

$$\vec{V} = c \frac{[\vec{E}, \vec{b}]}{B} , \qquad (22)$$

th en

$$\operatorname{rot} \vec{\mathbf{E}}_{\perp} = \frac{1}{c} \left\{ \vec{\mathbf{B}} \operatorname{div} \vec{\nabla} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{\mathbf{B}} - \vec{\mathbf{B}} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \vec{\nabla} \right\}.$$
(23)

Thus we obtain

$$\frac{\partial b_i}{\partial t} = -V_k \frac{\partial b_i}{\partial x_k} + b_k \frac{\partial V_i}{\partial x_k} - b_i b_e b_k \frac{\partial V_e}{\partial x_k}, \qquad (24)$$

where it is taken into account that  $b_e = \frac{\partial b_e}{\partial x_k} = 0$  because  $\overline{b}^2 = 1$ .

2. Now it is possible to find explicit expressions for the viscous stress tensor  $\hat{J}$  and the heat flux tensor  $\hat{M}$ . Let us consider  $\sigma_{ij}$ ,  $M_{ijk}$  as being proportional to  $\xi$ . Then in the left-hand side of equations for  $\hat{J}$  and  $\hat{M}$  it is sufficient to use only the zero-order terms (see eq. (19)). Therefore, by using equations (17), (18) and (24) one can easily obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - 2\left(\sqrt{\frac{p_{q}}{p_{1}}} + \sqrt{\frac{p_{1}}{p_{q}}}\right) b_{1}b_{j}b_{k}b_{j} - \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} + \left(\sqrt{\frac{p_{1}}{p_{q}}} - 1\right) b_{k}\left(b_{1}\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} + b_{j}\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{k}}\right) + \\ + \sqrt{\frac{p_{q}}{p_{1}}}\left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{p_{1}}{p_{q}}}\right) b_{k}\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{k}}b_{j} + \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{k}}b_{j}\right) + \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}} + \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} - \\ - (b_{1j} - b_{1}b_{j})div\vec{u} + \sqrt{\frac{p_{q}}{p_{q}}}\left(\frac{p_{q}}{p_{q}} - 1\right) \left[V_{k}\frac{\partial b_{1}b_{j}}{\partial x_{k}} - b_{k}\left(b_{j}\frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial x_{k}} + b_{j}\frac{\partial V_{j}}{\partial x_{k}}\right) + 2b_{1}b_{1}b_{k}b_{1}\frac{\partial V_{1}}{\partial x_{k}}\right] = \\ = 0 (\varepsilon_{1k1}a_{kj}^{(2)} + \varepsilon_{jk1}a_{ki}^{(2)}b_{1}.$$
(25)

This equation defines the components of the tensor  $\sigma$  because of (10);

$$\mathbf{a}_{ij}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{p_{j}} \left\{ \mathbf{\sigma}_{ij} + \left( \frac{\mathbf{P}_{j}}{\mathbf{P}_{a}} - 1 \right) \left( \mathbf{b}_{i} \mathbf{\sigma}_{jk} + \mathbf{b}_{j} \mathbf{\sigma}_{ik} \right) \mathbf{b}_{k} \right\}.$$

Further, in the approximation considered it is possible to assume  $\vec{u} \sim \vec{V}$ .

As follows from (25), in the local co-ordinate system with the z-axis along the magnetic field lines, the components of the  $\sigma$  tensor are

$$\sigma_{xx} = -\sigma_{yy} = -\frac{P_{A}}{2\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial x} \right),$$

$$\sigma_{xy} = \sigma_{yx} = \frac{P_{I}}{2\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial y} \right),$$

$$\sigma_{xz} = \sigma_{zx} = -\frac{1}{\Omega} \left\{ p_{L} \frac{\partial u_{z}}{\partial y} + (2p_{H} - p_{L}) \frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial z} \right\},$$

$$\sigma_{yz} = \sigma_{zy} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \left\{ p_{L} \frac{\partial u_{z}}{\partial x} + (2p_{H} - p_{L}) \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial z} \right\},$$

$$\sigma_{zz} = 0.$$
(26)

This result is exactly the same as Macmahon's<sup>8</sup>), <sup>9</sup>). A. Simon and W. B. Thompson state in their work<sup>15</sup>) that the components  $\sigma_{\chi\chi}$ ,  $\sigma_{\chi\gamma}$ ,  $\sigma_{\chi\gamma}$  are correct, but Simon and Thompson disagree with Macmahon's results for  $\sigma_{\chi\chi}$  and  $\sigma_{\chi\chi}$ , and they give their formulae for these components as follows:

$$\sigma_{xx} = -\frac{1}{D} \left\{ p_{\mu} \frac{\partial u_{\mu}}{\partial x} + p_{\mu} \vec{b} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial y} + (p_{\mu} - p_{\mu}) \vec{i}_{\mu} \cdot \frac{d\vec{b}}{dt} \right\},$$

$$\sigma_{yx} = \frac{1}{D} \left\{ p_{\mu} \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x} + p_{\mu} \vec{b} \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{u}}{\partial x} + (p_{\mu} - p_{\mu}) \vec{i}_{x} \cdot \frac{d\vec{b}}{dt} \right\}.$$
(27)

At first sight these formulae seem to differ from (26). But if one reduces formulae (27) by using equation (24), one can easily convince oneself that expressions (26) and (27) coincide exactly (in the given local co-ordinate system). So, actually, there is not any difference between the results of Macmahon and those of Simon and Thompson. We note that the calculated viscous stress tensor  $\sigma$  automatically gives an expression for the coefficient of the "magnetic viscosity"  $\Psi = \frac{p}{2pB} = \frac{1}{4} \Delta a^2$ , where a is the Larmor radius of charged particles. It can easily be shown that, unlike the usual "collision" viscosity<sup>9</sup>, the "magnetic viscosity" is not connected with a dissipation of energy.

To find the components of the tensor  $M_{ijk}$  one can obtain the first-order equation for  $a_{ijk}^{(3)}$  from (13):

(28)

In the local co-ordinate system with the z-axis along the magnetic field lines the tensor  $M_{ijk}$  has the components, as follows from (28) and (10):

$$M_{111} = -\frac{3p_{a}}{m\Omega} \frac{\partial \theta_{a}}{\partial y} ; \qquad M_{122} = -\frac{p_{a}}{m\Omega} \frac{\partial \theta_{a}}{\partial y} ;$$

$$M_{211} = \frac{p_{a}}{m\Omega} \frac{\partial \theta_{a}}{\partial x} ; \qquad M_{222} = \frac{3p_{a}}{m} \frac{\partial \theta_{a}}{\partial x} ;$$

$$M_{133} = -\frac{p_{a}}{m\Omega} \frac{\partial \theta_{a}}{\partial y} ; \qquad M_{233} = \frac{p_{a}}{m\Omega} \frac{\partial \theta_{a}}{\partial x} ;$$

$$M_{123} = 0 ; \qquad \frac{\partial \theta_{a}}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial \theta_{a}}{\partial z} = 0 .$$
(29)

The components  $M_{311} \approx M_{322}$  and  $M_{333}$  remain undetermined (as in Macmahon's work<sup>8</sup>). But these components define a longitudinal heat flux vector

$$\vec{q}_{p} \equiv \vec{b} (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{q}) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 0, 0, M_{311} + M_{322} + M_{333} \right\}$$

It is naturally assumed that the vector  $\vec{q}_{ij}$  is determined by the gradients  $\frac{\partial \theta_{ij}}{\partial z}$ ,  $\frac{\partial \theta_{ij}}{\partial z}$ . However, in accordance with (29),  $\frac{\partial \theta_{ij}}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial \theta_{ij}}{\partial z} = 0$ . Thus we see that in the frame considered longitudinal heat fluxes must be absent:  $q_{ij} = 0$ . This means that the 13 moments theory can be used in the anisotropic case also (in the approximation considered).

Now let us introduce two vectors (in dyadic notation):

 $\vec{q}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{M} : \vec{b} \vec{b}$  - "longitudinal" heat flux, and  $\vec{q}^{4} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{M} : (\mathbf{I} - \vec{b} \vec{b})$  - "transverse" heat flux,

Their transverse parts have the components

$$\vec{q}_{1}^{I} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ M_{111} + M_{122} ; \quad M_{211} + M_{222} ; \quad 0 \right\} ,$$
$$\vec{q}_{1}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ M_{133} ; \quad M_{233} ; \quad 0 \right\} .$$

By means of formulae (29) we obtain

$$\vec{\mathbf{q}}_{\underline{L}}^{\underline{I}} = \frac{2\mathbf{p}_{\underline{L}}}{m\mathbf{\theta}} \left[ \vec{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{\theta}_{\underline{L}} \right],$$

$$\vec{\mathbf{q}}_{\underline{L}}^{\underline{I}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_{\underline{L}}}{2m\mathbf{\theta}} \left[ \vec{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{\theta}_{\underline{H}} \right].$$
(31)

Exactly the same results follow from the general formulae of Macmahon<sup>8</sup> in the case where the distribution function is a product:  $f(\vec{c}) = f_1(c_1) f_2(c_8)$ .

### Acknowledgement

I wish to thank Professor S. Tjötta for discussion of the results.

### References

1	) G.	Chew	. М.	Goldber	ger and	F.	Low,	Proc.	Roy.	Soc.	A236	. 112 (	(1956)	),

- T.F. Volkov, Voprosy teorii plasmy (Problems in Plasma Theory), vol. 4, p. 3 (Atomizdat, Moscow, 1964) (in Russian).
- W.B. Thompson, Reports on Progress in Physics 24, 363 (1961). An Introduction to Plasma Physics (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1962).
- M. N. Rosenbluth, N. A. Krall and N. Rostoker, Nucl. Fusion Suppl., Pt. 1, 143 (1962).
- 5) M. N. Rosenbluth and A. Simon, Phys. Fluids 8, N7, 1300 (1965).
- 6) L.I. Rudakov, Nucl. Fusion 2, N1, 107 (1962).
- 7) C.F. Kennel and J.M. Greene, Ann. of Phys. 38, N1, 63 (1966).
- 8) A. Macmahon, Phys. Fluids 8, N10, 1840 (1965).
- 9) N. Yajima, Progr. Theor. Phys. 36, N1, 1 (1966).
- 10) H. Grad, Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. 2, 331 (1949).
- 11) R. Herdan and B.S. Liley, Rev. Mod. Phys. 32, N4, 731 (1960).
- A.A. Vlasov, Statistichescie funczii raspredelenija (Statistical Distribution Functions) (Moscow, 1966) (in Russian).
- 13) Yu. M. Aliew and V. P. Silin, Nucl. Fusion 3, N4, 248 (1963).
- 14) E. Friman, R. Davidson and B. Langdon, Phys. Fluids 9, N8, 1475 (1966).
- 15) A. Simon and W.B. Thompson, Plasma Physics (Part C) <u>8</u>, N4, 373 (1966).

### Appendix

We want here to give a more detailed and general proof that the results of Macmahon and those of Simon - Thompson are the same.

According to Macmahon<sup>8</sup>) the "magnetic viscous" stress tensor of a collisionless plasma has the following components (in dyadic notation);

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{22} &= -\sigma_{33} = -\frac{P_{\perp}}{2\Omega} \left( \vec{e}_{2} \vec{e}_{3} + \vec{e}_{3} \vec{e}_{2} \right) : \left( \vec{\nabla u} \right) , \\ \sigma_{23} &= \sigma_{32} = \frac{P_{\perp}}{2\Omega} \left( \vec{e}_{2} \vec{e}_{2} - \vec{e}_{3} \vec{e}_{3} \right) : \left( \vec{\nabla u} \right) , \\ \sigma_{12} &= \sigma_{21} = -\frac{1}{D} \left\{ p_{\perp} \left( \vec{e}_{1} \vec{e}_{3} \right) : \left( \vec{\nabla u} \right) + \left( 2p_{\mu} - p_{\perp} \right) \left( \vec{e}_{3} \vec{e}_{1} \right) : \left( \vec{\nabla u} \right) \right\} , \\ \sigma_{13} &= \sigma_{31} = \frac{1}{D} \left\{ p_{\perp} \left( \vec{e}_{1} \vec{e}_{2} \right) : \left( \vec{\nabla u} \right) + \left( 2p_{\mu} - p_{\perp} \right) \left( \vec{e}_{2} \vec{e}_{1} \right) : \left( \vec{\nabla u} \right) \right\} . \end{split}$$
(A.1)

Here  $\vec{e}_1 \equiv \vec{b}$ ,  $\vec{c}_2$ ,  $\vec{e}_3$  are unit vectors forming a right-handed orthogonal system,  $(\vec{a}\vec{b}) : (\nabla t) \equiv a_i b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u_i$ . In the local co-ordinate system with  $\vec{b} = (0, 0, 1)$ ,  $\vec{e}_2 = (1, 0, 0)$  and

e<sub>2</sub> = (0, 1, 0) eqs. (A. 1) are reduced to eq. (26).

From eq. (19) one obtains in first-order approximation

$$-2\sqrt{\frac{P_{\mu}}{P_{\lambda}}}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{P_{\lambda}}{P_{\sigma}}}\right)^{2}b_{j}b_{j}(\vec{b}\cdot\vec{b}):(\vec{v}\cdot\vec{u})+\left(\sqrt{\frac{P_{\lambda}}{P_{n}}}-1\right)b_{k}\left(b_{j}\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}+b_{j}\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}\right)+$$

$$+\sqrt{\frac{P_{\mu}}{P_{\lambda}}}\left(1-\sqrt{\frac{P_{\lambda}}{P_{\sigma}}}\right)\left(\vec{b}_{j}\cdot\vec{b}\cdot\vec{v}_{u_{1}}+b_{j}\cdot\vec{b}\cdot\vec{v}_{u_{j}}\right)+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{j}}+$$

$$+\frac{1}{\theta_{\lambda}}\frac{d\theta_{\lambda}}{dt}\left(b_{j}-b_{j}b_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{\theta_{\mu}}\frac{d\theta_{\mu}}{dt}b_{j}b_{j}-\sqrt{\frac{P_{\mu}}{P_{\lambda}}}\left(\frac{P_{\lambda}}{P_{\sigma}}-1\right)\frac{d}{dt}b_{j}b_{j} =$$

$$=\varrho\left(\epsilon_{ikl}a_{kj}^{(2)}+\epsilon_{jkl}a_{kj}^{(2)}b_{l}\right).$$
(A.2)

By using eqs. (17) and (18) in zero-order approximation and the definition (10) one gets

$$\begin{split} \left[1-2\left(\sqrt{\frac{P_{d}}{P_{d}}}+\sqrt{\frac{P_{d}}{P_{d}}}\right)_{j}b_{j}b_{j}(\vec{b}\cdot\vec{b}):(\vec{v}\cdot\vec{u})+\left(\sqrt{\frac{P_{L}}{P_{d}}}-1\right)b_{k}\left(b_{j}\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}+b_{j}\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{k}}\right)+\\ +\left(\sqrt{\frac{P_{d}}{P_{k}}}-1\right)\left[b_{j}(\vec{b}\cdot\vec{v}\cdot)u_{i}+b_{j}(\vec{b}\cdot\vec{v}\cdot)u_{j}\right]_{i}+\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+\frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}+a_{ij}(\vec{b}\cdot\vec{b}):(\vec{v}\cdot\vec{u})-\\ -\left(a_{j}-b_{j}b_{j}\right)\vec{v}\cdot\vec{u}-\frac{P_{L}-P_{d}}{\sqrt{P_{L}-P_{d}}}-\frac{d}{dt}b_{j}b_{j}=\\ & -\frac{D}{P_{L}}\left\{(e_{ikl}\sigma_{kj}+e_{jkl}\sigma_{ki})b_{l}+\left(\sqrt{\frac{P_{L}}{P_{d}}}-1\right)(e_{ikl}b_{j}+e_{jkl}b_{j})b_{l}b_{m}\sigma_{mk}\right\}. \end{split}$$

These are the equations from which the components of the tensor  $\hat{\sigma}$  must be determined.

By calculating the scalar product of (A. 3) and the dyadic  $\vec{e_2}\vec{e_2}$  we find

$$2(\vec{e}_{2}\vec{e}_{2}) : (\nabla \vec{u}) + (\vec{b}\vec{b}) : (\nabla \vec{u}) - \nabla \cdot \vec{u} =$$

$$= \frac{\hat{\mu}}{P_{1}} (e_{2i}e_{2j}e_{ikl}b_{1}\sigma_{kj} + e_{2i}e_{2j}f_{kl}b_{1}q_{ki}) =$$

$$= \frac{\hat{\mu}}{P_{1}} (e_{3k}e_{2j}q_{kj} + e_{3k}e_{2i}q_{ki}) = \frac{2\hat{\mu}}{P} \vec{e}_{3}\vec{e}_{2} : \hat{\sigma} = \frac{2\hat{\mu}}{P_{1}}\sigma_{32} ,$$

where we have taken into account the relations

$$\vec{e}_2 \cdot \vec{b} = 0, \quad \vec{e}_2^2 = 1, \quad \vec{e}_{1k1} e_{2i} b_1 \equiv - [\vec{e}_2^{\pi} \vec{b}]_k = e_{3k}$$
.  
As  $\vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_2 + \vec{e}_3 \vec{e}_3 + \vec{b} \vec{b} = \hat{1}$ , we finally obtain  
 $\sigma_{32} = \frac{P_1}{28} (\vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_2 - \vec{e}_3 \vec{e}_3) : \langle \nabla \vec{u} \rangle$ . (A.4)

In the same way, by multiplication by  $\vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_3$ ,  $\vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_1 \equiv \vec{e}_2 \vec{5}$  and  $\vec{e}_3 \vec{e}_1 \equiv \vec{e}_3 \vec{5}$ , we get  $\sigma_{33} - \sigma_{22} = \frac{P_1}{\Phi} (\vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_3 + \vec{e}_3 \vec{e}_2) : (\vec{v}\vec{u})$ ,

.

(A.5)

$$\sigma_{13} = \frac{1}{D} \left\{ p_{j} \left( \vec{b} \cdot \vec{e}_{2} \right) : \left( \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u} \right) + p_{j} \left( \vec{e}_{2} \cdot \vec{b} \right) : \left( \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u} \right) + \left( p_{j} - p_{j} \right) \cdot \vec{e}_{2} \cdot \frac{d\vec{b}}{dt} \right\}, \quad (A.6)$$

$$\sigma_{12} = -\frac{1}{6} \left\{ p_{j} \left( \vec{b} \cdot \vec{e}_{3} \right) : \left( \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u} \right) + p_{j} \left( \vec{e}_{3} \cdot \vec{b} \right) : \left( \vec{v} \cdot \vec{u} \right) + \left( p_{j} - p_{j} \right) \cdot \vec{e}_{3} \cdot \frac{d\vec{b}}{dt} \right\}.$$
 (A. 7)

Here 
$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \vec{e}_{\alpha}\vec{e}_{\beta}$$
:  $\hat{\sigma}$  by definition;  $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$ .  
As  $\prod \vec{r} \cdot \vec{s} = 0$ , and  $\vec{b} \cdot \vec{s} = \sigma_{11} = 0$ , we obtain from (A.5)

$$\sigma_{33} = -\sigma_{22} = \frac{P_1}{20} (\vec{e}_2 \vec{e}_3 + \vec{e}_3 \vec{e}_2) : (\nabla \vec{u}) .$$
 (A.8)

Thus the components  $\sigma_{22}$ ,  $\sigma_{32}$  in (A. 4), (A. 8) are exactly the same as those in Macmahon's result (A. 1). The components  $\sigma_{13}$ ,  $\sigma_{12}$  in (A. 6), (A. 7) are identical with those of Simon - Thompson<sup>15</sup>) in the local co-ordinate system. By substituting Maxwell's induction equation (24) in (A. 6) we obtain

$$\sigma_{13} = \frac{1}{\overline{D}} \left\{ p_{\underline{J}} \left( \overline{D} \ \overline{e}_{\underline{J}} \right) : (\nabla \overline{u}) + p_{\underline{a}} \left( \overline{e}_{\underline{J}} \overline{D} \right) : (\nabla \overline{u}) + (p_{\underline{h}} - p_{\underline{J}}) \left( \overline{e}_{\underline{J}} \overline{D} \right) : (\nabla \overline{V}) \right\} . (A, 9)$$

As in the zero-order approximation the electric drift velocity  $\vec{V} \sim \vec{u}$ , we see that (A. 9) does not differ from Macmahon's result (A. 1).