Technical University of Denmark



Calculation method for gamma-dose rates from spherical puffs

Thykier-Nielsen, Søren; Deme, S.; Láng, E.

Publication date: 1993

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA): Thykier-Nielsen, S., Deme, S., & Láng, E. (1993). Calculation method for gamma-dose rates from spherical puffs. (Denmark. Forskningscenter Risoe. Risoe-R; No. 692(EN)).

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Risø-R-69

Calculation Method for Gamma-Dose Rates from Spherical Puffs

S. Thykier-Nielsen, S. Deme and E. Láng

Ris#-R-692(EN)

Calculation Method for Gamma-Dose Rates from Spherical Puffs

S. Thykier-Nielsen, S. Deme and E. Láng

Abstract The Lagrangian puff-models are widely used for calculation of the dispersion of atmospheric releases. Basic output from such models are concentrations of material in the air and on the ground. The most simple method for calculation of the gamma dose from the concentration of airborne activity is based on semi-infinite cloud model. This method is however only applicable for points far away from the release point. The exact calculation of the cloud dose using the volume integral requires significant computer time.

The volume integral for the gamma dose could be approximated by using the semi-infinite cloud model combined with correction factors. This type of calculation procedure is very fast, but usually the accuracy is poor due to the fact that the same correction factors are used for all isotopes.

The authors describe a more elaborate correction method. This method uses precalculated values of the gamma-dose rate as a function of the puff dispersion parameter (σ_p) and the distance from the puff centre for four energy groups. The release of energy for each radionuclide in each energy group has been calculated and tabulated. Based on these tables and a suitable interpolation procedure the calculation of gamma doses takes very short time and is almost independent of the number of radionuclides.

ISBN 87-550-1905-6 ISSN 0106-2840

Grafisk Service, Risø, 1993

Contents

- 1 Introduction 5
- 2 Rise Puff Diffusion Model 5
- **3** Present Gamma-Dose Model 5
- 4 Calculation Method for Gamma Dose Rates from Spherical Puffs 6
- 5 Comparison of Spherical and Cylindrical Models 9
- 6 Conclusions 9
- 7 References 10

3/4

1 Introduction

The mesoscale dispersion model RIMPUFF (Thykier-Nielsen and Mikkelsen, 1987) is a fast and operational computer code suitable for real-time simulation of dispersion environmentally hazardous materials and gases released to the atmosphere. Suitable as a real-time model for emergency preparedness, it has recently been selected for inclusion in the CEC RODOS, real time decision support system under development at KfK.

RIMPUFF includes models for calculating external gamma-doses from air-borne as well as deposited radioactivity. In order to improve these, very simple, models KFKI AERI and Risø has started a joint project supported by the CEC. The first results are reported below.

2 **Risø Puff Diffusion Model**

The mesoscale dispersion model RIMPUFF applies to non-homogeneous terrain and moderate topography on a horizontal scale of 0 to 50 km, and responds to changing (instationary) meteorological conditions. The Lagrangian puff-model simulates time changing continuous releases by sequentially releasing a series of Gaussian shaped puffs at fixed release rate on a specified grid. The amount of radioactive material allocated to individual puffs equals the release rate times the elapsed time between puff releases.

RIMPUFF is equipped with computer time effective features for terrain and stability-dependent dispersion parametrization, plume rise formulas, inversion and ground-level reflection capabilities and wet/dry (source) depletion. In addition, the code optionally provides local relative diffusion parametrization and scheme for horizontal/vertical shear diffusion.

When applied to orographically influenced dispersion scenarios, RIMPUFF is advantageously interfaced with a high resolution mean flow-model such as LINCOM. This enables the model to treat plume bifurcation in complex terrain by use of the puff pentafurcation scheme.

3 Present Gamma-Dose Model

The present gamma-dose model used in RIMPUFF is based on the semi-infinite cloud model with correction factors given in (Slade, 1968). The model starts by calculating the concentration X_{part} (0,0,0) in the center of each puff and the distance, R, from the puff-center to each grid point.

The gamma-dose rate in grid point is then calculated using formula:

 $d_{\gamma} = \sum_{e} f(E_{\gamma}) E_{\gamma} 0.2292 \ GKOR(\sigma, R/\sigma) GKORI(\sigma, E_{\gamma}) \ X_{eq}(0,0,0)$

where

JE,	frequency of photons in energy group
E,	mean energy of gamma radiation in energy group [MeV]
GKOR(0,R/0)	correction factor for variation of doses with distance and dispersion parameter from fig. 7.14 in (Slade, 1968).
GKORI (G.E.)	correction factor for variation of doses with photon energy from fig. 7.16 in (Slade, 1968). This factor is
	> 1 for $E_{\gamma} < 0.7$ MeV and
	< 1 for $E_{\gamma} > 0.7$ MeV.
G	dispersion parameter, where
	σ √σ_σ ,

 $X_{perf}(0,0,0)$ activity concentration in puff center [Civin³].

4 Calculation Method for Gamma Dose Rates from Spherical Puffs

The gamma-dose rate calculation method described above is based on the cylindrical plume model. For a puff model the use of a semi-infinite cloud model may lead to large errors. Therefore we have implemented a method for calculation of gamma-dose rates based on a spherical puff model. This method is described in detail below.

The gamma dose rate for a spherical puff using volume integral at point R is equal to

$$d(Q, E_{\gamma}, \sigma_{\mu}, R) = 4K\sigma_{an}E_{\gamma}\int\int_{x}^{\infty}\int_{y}^{\infty}\frac{B(\mu r)}{4\pi r^{2}}e^{-\mu}X(x, y, x)dxdydx \qquad Gy/sec$$

where

- Q activity in one puff [Bq] with 1 photon/disintegration
- E, energy of gamma radiation [MeV]
- σ_{p} pulf parameter [m], $\sigma_{p} = (\sigma_{xy}^{2} \sigma_{z})^{1/2}$ *R* distance of the pulf center (x=y=z=t)
- R distance of the puff center (x=y=z=0) from the receptor point [m]
- K constant, 1.6-10¹³ [Gy/sec/MeV/kg]
- σ_{ac} energy absorption coefficient for air (m^2/kg)
- **B** build up factor
- μ linear attenuation factor for air $\{m^{-1}\}$
- r distance of the volume dxdydz from the receptor point located at the distance R from the puff center $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 + (z+R)^2$

X(x,y,z) the concentration in point x,y,z [Bq/m³]

$$X(x,y,z) = \frac{Q}{(2\pi)^{3/2}\sigma_{p}^{2}} e^{\pi p(-\frac{l^{2}}{2\sigma_{p}^{2}})}$$

1 distance of the point x,y,z from the puff center [m], $l^2 = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$

Calculations were more using the following set of numerical data:

- Q 1/E, (in this case 5; 2; 1 and 0.5 Bq respectively)
- E, 0.2; 0.5; 1 and 2 MeV
- B Capo polynomials and Rise data for energy 0.2 MeV from (Jensen and Thykier-Nielsen, 1980)
- o, range 2 5000 m (11 values)
- R/o, range 0 1000 (17 values)
- σ data of (Storm 1967) reproduced in (Lauridsen, 1982)
- for air data interpolated from (Thykier, 1978). The numerical values are:
 0.2 MeV 1.60 10⁻²
 0.5 MeV 1.14 10⁻²
 1.0 MeV 8.30 10⁻³
 2.0 MeV 5.70 10⁻³ m⁻¹

The other values were chosen so that the total error of the calculations is minimized. Special attention was paid to the values of the volume integral close to the receptor point r. For B outside the of range of approximation, the last acceptable value has been used in each case. The infinite cloud model was used for large σ_p (usually above 500m) where it gives more reliable data than the numerical integration.

It is assumed that the ground surface is totally reflecting. This is taken in to account by modelling the puff as a perfect sphere, which is "folded" at the ground surface, as shown in fig. 1. The implication of this model is that due to symmetry both the semi-infinite (with reflection) and the infinite (without ground reflection) puff gamma dose model give the same gamma-dose rate at ground level.



Figure 1. Reflection of Puff at Ground Level.

The results of calculations are given in tables 1-4 for energies 0.2, 0.5 1 and 2 MeV. Table 5 contains data for the semi-infinite (infinite) cloud model. On fig. 2, the dose constants are given as a function of distance from the pull center for different σ_p values at gamma-energy 2 MeV. For comparison the infinite cloud model overestimates the gamma dose for small values of R and it underestimates the doses for large values of R.

Fig. 3 illustrates the spatial distribution of dose rates for two different energies. Close to the pull center the dose rate decreases with increasing energy. At large distances from the pull center the situation is the opposite. Fig. 4, shows the dose rate as a function of σ_p for different R values. It should be noticed that the maximum dose rates are obtained for R equal to twice the value of σ_p . The dotted line indicates the dose rates calculated by the semi-infinite cloud model.

On fig. 5 the ratio of infinite cloud model values to numerical integration values are shown as a function of σ_p for 4 values of R/σ_p at 0.2 and 2 MeV. Ratio > 1 for these two models can be found above σ_p between 200 and 1000 m. A part of the increase of the ratio at large σ_p is caused by the extrapolation of B out of its validity range. Consequently the semi-infinite model dose constants are used in all tables and figures for σ_p exceeding the aforementioned values.

Based on the data given in tables 1-5 the gamma-dose rate can be calculated. In these tables the logarithms of dose rates in Gy/s are given for 1 MeV release in the puff as a function of σ_p and the ratio R/σ_p . When the activity of different radionuclides in a puff is known then the simplest method is to divide the gamma energies of different gamma-radiation lines into several groups.

An example of the division in to energy groups is:

```
        group 1
        E ≤ 0.35 MeV

        group 2
        0.35 MeV < E ≤ 0.75 MeV</th>

        group 3
        0.75 MeV < E ≤ 1.5 MeV</th>

        group 4
        1.5 MeV < E</th>
```

For each radionuclide the library must contain the energy release rate (MeV/s) for unit activity in each energy group. Using the library, the puff inventory and the dispersion parameter the dose rate for each energy group can be calculated for a given distance R from the puff center. For values not given in tables 1 - 4 a two dimensional linear or logarithmic interpolation must be carried out. The full cloud gamma dose-rate is the sum of dose rates from the four energy groups.

The energy emission data for 22 nuclides (mainly fission products) typically released into the atmosphere are given in table 6.

5 Comparison of Spherical and Cylindrical Models

Based on data given in tables 1 - 4 a comparison with Slade's figures has been carried out. In fig. 6 the Slade's figure 7.14 is reproduced (continuous line). In the same figure the results for spherical model are also shown (dotted line). For small relative y (given in σ units) and large σ values the difference between the results of two models is insignificant, but for other cases it can reach a factor of 5 and in some combinations even more. Smaller differences can be found in fig. 7 (equal to fig. 7.16 in Slade) for energy dependence.

The method given in paragraph 3 may also be used for creating a database for the spherical model. In table 7 the numerical values of the correction factor are given for 6 values of σ_y and y (in the present paper it is equal to R) and for 4 energies. For any combination of parameters a suitable interpolation method could be set-up to yield correction factors for the modified semi-infinite cloud calculation procedure presently used in the RIMPUFF model.

6 Conclusions

The method described will significantly improve the procedure for calculation of the gamma-radiation doses from puffs. The main limitation of the method described is that it is, strictly speaking, only applicable to spherical puffs. For puffs where there are significant differences between the values of σ_{y} and σ_{y} , this could lead to large errors. For some combinations of σ -values and receptor point locations, the method described will lead to either under- or over-estimation of the gamma-doses.

The gamma dose model for asymmetrical puffs will be developed within the framework of a the CEC RODOS contract in co-operation between Riss and AERI.

7 References

- Hedemann Jensen, P., Thykier-Nielsen, S. (1908). Shielding Factor Calculation for Plume Radiation. In: Radiation Protection Programme. Progress Report 1908. (Commission of the European Communities, Brussels, 1909). EUR-12064, p. 1561-1565.
- Jensen, P.H. and Thykier-Nielsen, S. (1980). Recommendations on Dose Buildup Factors used in Models for Calculating Gamma Doses from a Plume. Risp National Laboratory. Risp-M-2204. 66 p.
- Lauridsen, B. (1982): Table of Exposure Rate Constants and Dose Equivalent Rate Constants. Risp-M-2322.
- Legrand, J., Roux., Y., Meckbach, R., Jacob, P., Hedemann Jensen, P. and Thykier-Nielsen, S. (1990). External exposure from airborne radionaclides. Proceedings of the Seminar on Methods and Codes for Assessing the Off-Site Consequences of Nuclear Accidents, Adiens, Greece, CEC Report EUR 13013, 385-406.
- Mikkelsen, T., Larsen, S. and Thykier-Nielsen, S. (1984). Description of the Risp Pull Diffusion Model. Nuclear Technology, 67, pp. 56-65.
- Nielsen, S.P., Gryning S.E., Karlberg O., Lyck E. and Thykier-Nielsen, S. (1986). A Field Experiment to Validate Aamospheric Dispersion and Dose Models. Risø National Laboratory. Risø-R-495.
- Sinde D.H. (editor)(1968): Meteorology and Atomic Energy, TID-24190.
- Thykier-Nielsen, S. (1978): Comparison of Nordic Dose Models. Risp National Laboratory. Risp-M-1972, p. 180.
- Thykier-Nielsen, S. (1980). The Risø model for calculating the consequences of the release of the release of radioactive material to the atmosphere. RISØ National Laboratory, Risø-M-2214, 65 p.
- Thykier-Nielsen, S., Gryning, S.-E. and Mikkelsen, T. (1987): Simulation of the Øresund-experiment Tracer Releases with the Risg Mesoscale Puff Diffusion Model. Proceedings from Workshop II on the Øresund Experiment. Nordforsk, Uppsala, Sweden, October 13-14th.
- Thykier-Nielsen, S. and Mikkelsen T. (1993). RIMPUFF user's guide. Version 33 (PC version). Risø National Laboratory, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark. 60 pp.



Figure 2. Normalized dose rates in Gy/s for $E_{\gamma} = 2$ MeV as a function of distance at several σ_p -values.

Riss-R-692(EN)



Figure 3. Normalized dose rates in Gyls for $E_{\gamma} = 0.2$ and 2 MeV as a function of distance at several σ_p -values. (At $\sigma_p = 500$ m the curves coincide).



Figure 4. Normalized dose rates in Gy/s for $E_{\gamma} = 2$ MeV as a function of σ_{p} -values at several distances R. The semi-infinite model results are also shown for four distances R.



Figure 5. The ratio of infinite cloud model values to numerical integration values as a function of σ_p for 4 values of R/σ_p at 0.2 and 2 MeV.



Figure 6. Ratio of gamma dose rates to puff center dose rate for several distances as function of σ -values. Continuous line - after (Slade, 1968), dotted line - recent calculations for spherical puffs.



Figure 7. Ratio of gamma dose rates to puff center dose rate for several energies as function of σ -values. Continuous line - after (Slade, 1968), dotted line - recent calculations for spherical puffs. (Values of E_{τ} : 1 - 0.1 MeV, 2 - 0.7 MeV, 3 - 2 MeV, 1' - 0.2 MeV, 2' - 2 MeV

						<u>[</u>]					
R/o	8	~	01	50	8	100	200	80	0001	2000	5000
0	-17.06	-17.85	-18.44	- 19.02	-19.80	-20.43	-21.16	-22.20	-23.10	-24.00	-25.20
0.2	-17.07	-17.86	-18.44	-19.03	-19.80	-20.44	-21.16	-22.21	-23.11	-24.01	-25.21
0.4	-17.09	-17.87	-18.46	-19.04	-19.82	-20.46	-21.19	-22.23	-23.14	-24.04	-25.23
0.6	-17.11	-17.90	-18.49	-19.07	-19.85	-20.49	-21.22	-22.28	-23.18	-24.08	-25.28
0.8	-17.15	-17.94	-18.53	-19.11	-19.89	-20.53	-21.27	-22.34	-23.24	-24.14	-25.34
1	-17.20	-17.99	-18.57	-19.15	-19.94	-20.59	-21.34	-22.41	-23.32	-24.22	-25.41
1.3	-17.29	-18.07	-18.66	-19.24	-20.03	-20.69	-21.46	-22.56	-23.47	-24.37	-25.56
1.6	-17.39	-18.17	-18.76	-19.34	-20.13	-20.82	-21.62	-22.75	-23.66	-24.56	-25.75
2	-17.55	-18.33	-18.91	-19.49	-20,30	-21.03	-21.87	-23.07	-23.97	-24.87	-26.07
3	-17.92	-18.69	-19.27	-19.85	-20.75	-21.64	-22.73	-24.15	-25.05	-25.96	-27.15
S	-18.40	-19.16	-19.74	-20.38	-21.54	-22.94	-25.08	-27.42			
7	-18.69	-19.45	-20.04	-20.76	-22.21	-24.40	-28.02				
10	-18.99	-19.75	-20.39	-21.25	-23.23	-26.81	-32.60				
30	-19.91	-20.86	-21.95	-24.20	-31.13						
100	-21.26	-23.37	-27.45	-34.98							
300	-24.23	-31,28									
0001	-35.00										

MeV
0.2
H
4
ġ
Gyls,
.5
rates
dose
normalized
5
Logarithms
-
Table

MeV
0.5
n
ц
Ś
Gy/s]
.5
rales
dose
normalized
5
Logarithms
N
Table

ļ			1			a,[m]					
К/Q	2	5	10	20	50	100	200	500	0001	2000	\$000
0	-17.04	-17.84	-18.44	-19.05	-19.85	-20.49	-21.19	-22.20	-23.10	-24.00	-25.20
0.2	-17.05	-17.84	-18.45	-19.05	-19.86	-20.49	-21.19	-22.21	-23,11	-24.01	-25.21
0.4	-17.06	-17.86	-18.46	-19.07	-19.87	-20.51	-21.21	-22.23	-23,14	-24.04	-25.23
0.6	-17.09	-17.89	-18,49	-19,10	-19.90	-20.54	-21.25	-22.28	-23,18	-24.08	-25.28
0.8	-17.13	-17.93	-18.53	-19.13	-19.94	-20.58	-21.30	-22.34	-23.24	-24.14	-25.34
1	-17.18	-17.97	-18.58	-19.18	-19.99	-20.64	-21.36	-22.41	-23.32	-24.22	-25.41
1.3	-17.27	-18.06	-18.67	-19.27	-20.08	-20.74	-21.48	-22.56	-23.47	-24.37	-25.56
1.6	-17.37	-18.17	-18.77	-19.38	-20.19	-20.86	-21.56	-22.75	-23.66	-24.56	-25.75
2	-17.53	-18.33	-18.93	-19.53	-20.36	-21.05	-21.87	-23.07	-23.97	-24.87	-26.07
3	-17.91	-18.71	-19.31	-19.92	-20.80	-21.61	-22.63	-24.15	-25.05	-25.96	-27.15
S	-18.41	-19.21	-19.82	-20.45	-21.48	-22.67	-27.48	-27.18			
7	-18.71	-19.51	-20.13	-20.80	-22.04	-23.67	-26.39				
10	-19.03	-19.83	-20.47	-21.22	-22.82	-25.14	-29.29				
30	-20.00	-20.89	-21.82	-23.38	-27.77						
100	-21.23	-22.88	-25.37	-30.39							
300	-23.39	-27.83									
1000	-30.41										

				·····		o₀[m]					
R/σ	2	5	10	20	50	100	200	500	1000	2000	5000
0	-17.07	-17.87	-18.47	-19.07	-19.89	-20,53	-21.23	-22.20	-23.10	-24.00	-25.20
0.2	-17.08	-17.87	-18.47	-19.08	-19.89	-20.53	-21.24	-22.21	-23.11	-24.01	-25.21
0.4	-17.09	-17.89	-18,49	-19.10	-19.91	-20.55	-21.26	-22.23	-23.14	-24.04	-25,23
0.6	-17.12	-17.92	-18.52	-19.12	-19.94	-20.59	-21.29	-22.28	-23.18	-24.08	-25,28
0.8	-17.16	-17.95	-18.56	-19.16	-19.98	-20.63	-21.34	-22.34	-23.24	-24.14	-25.34
1	-17.21	-18.00	-18.61	-19.21	-20.03	-20.68	-21.40	-22.41	-23.32	-24.22	-25.41
1.3	-17.30	-18.09	-18.70	-19.30	-20.12	-20.79	-21.52	-22.56	-23.47	-24.37	-25.56
1.6	-17.40	-18.20	-18.80	-19.41	-20.24	-20.91	-21.66	-22.75	-23.66	-24,56	-25.75
2	-17.56	-18.35	-18.96	-19.57	-20.40	-21.10	-21.90	-23.07	-23.97	-24.87	-26.07
3	-17.94	-18.74	-19.34	-19.96	-20.85	-21.64	-22.62	-24.15	-25.05	-25.96	-27.15
5	-18.44	-19.24	-19.85	-20.50	-21.52	-22.61	-24.21	-26-77			
7	-18.74	-19.55	-20.17	-20.86	-22.04	-23.44	-25.72				
10	-19.06	-19.87	-20.52	-21.28	-22.72	-24.61	-27.94				
30	-20.04	-20.95	-21.84	-23.17	-26.60						
100	-21.28	-22.75	-22.75	-24.75	-28.48						
300	-23.17	-26.63									
1000	-28.49										

Table 3. Logarithms of normalized dose rates in Gy/s for $E_{\gamma} = 1.0$ MeV

MeV
2.0
11
<u>ц</u>
ð
Gyls
.E
rales
dose
normalized
5
Logarùhms
4
Table

i						σ ₆ [m]					
б Ко	2	S	10	20	50	100	200	500	1000	2000	5000
0	-17.15	-17.94	-18.55	-19.15	-19.97	-20.60	-21.29	-22.20	-23.10	-24.00	-25.20
0.2	-17.15	-17.95	-18.55	-19.16	-19.97	-20.61	-21.29	-22.21	-23.11	-24.01	-25.21
0.4	-17.17	-17.97	-18.57	-19.18	-19.99	-20.63	-21.32	-22.23	-23.14	-24.04	-25.23
0.6	-17.20	-18.00	-18.60	-19.20	-20.02	-20.66	-21.35	-22.28	-23.18	-24.08	-25.28
0.8	-17.24	-18.03	-18.64	-19.24	-20.06	-20.70	-21.40	-22.34	-23.24	-24.14	-25.34
1	-17.28	-18.08	-18.69	-19.29	-20.11	-20.75	-21.45	-22.41	-23.32	-24.22	-25.41
1.3	-17.37	-18,17	-18.77	-19.38	-20.20	-20.85	-21.57	-22.56	-23.47	-24.37	-25.56
1.6	-17.48	-18.27	-18.88	-19.49	-20.31	-20.97	-21.70	-22.75	-23.66	-24.56	-25.75
2	-17.63	-18.43	-19.04	-19.65	-20.48	-21.16	-21.92	-23.07	-23.97	-24.87	-26.07
3	-18.02	-18.82	-19.43	-20.05	-20.91	-21.67	-22.58	-24.00	-25.05	-25.96	-27.15
S	-18.51	-19.32	-19.94	-20.58	-21.55	-22.51	-23.87	-26.50			
7	-18.82	-19.63	-20,26	-20.93	-22.01	-23.18	-25.02				
10	-19.14	-19.95	-20.60	-21.32	-22.58	-24.09	-26.64				
30	-20.12	-21.01	-21.82	-22.94	-25.55						
100	-21.33	-22.60	-24.17	-26.92							
300	-22.94	-25.57									
1000	-26,92										

I

						σ,[m]					
R/G	2	5	10	20	50	100	200	500	1000	2000	5000
0	-15.00	-16.20	-17.10	-18.00	-19.20	-20.10	-21.00	-22.20	-23.10	-24.00	-25.20
0.2	-15.01	-16.21	-17.11	-18.01	-19.21	-20.11	-21.01	-22.21	-23.11	-24.01	-25.21
0.4	-15.04	-16.23	-17.14	-18,04	-19.23	-20.14	-21.04	-22.23	-23.14	-24.04	-25.23
0.6	-15.08	-16.28	-17.18	-18.08	-19.28	-20.18	-21.08	-22.28	-23.18	-24.08	-25.28
0.8	-15.14	-16.34	-17.24	-18.14	-19.34	-20.24	-21.14	-22.34	-23.24	-24.14	-25.34
1	-15.22	-16.41	-17.32	-18.22	-19.41	-20.32	-21.22	-22.41	-23.32	-24,22	-25,41
1.3	-15.37	-16.56	-17.47	-18.37	-19.56	-20.47	-21.37	-22.56	-23.47	-24.37	-25.56
1.6	-15.56	-16.75	-17.66	-18.56	-19.75	-20.66	-21.56	-22.75	-23.66	-24.56	-25.75
2	-15.87	-17.07	-17.97	-18.87	-20.07	-20.97	-21.87	-23.07	-23.97	-24.87	-26.07
3	-16.96	-18.15	-19.05	-19.96	-21.15	-22.05	-22.96	-24.15	-25.05	-25,96	-27.15
5	-20,43	-21.63	-22.53	-23.43	-24.63	-25.53	-26.43	-27.63	-28.53	-29.4 3	-30.6 3
7	-25.64	-26.84	-27.74	-28.64	-29.84	-30.74	-31.64	-32.84	-33.74	-34,64	-35.84
10											
30											
100											
300											
1000											

Table 5. Logarithms of normalized dose rates in Gy/s for semi-infinite cloud model

		Energy	in MeV/decay f	or group	
Nuclide	1	2	3	4	5
⁴¹ Ar	0	0	1.283+0	0	1.283
^{15a} Kr	0	0	0	0	1.561-1
*7Kr	0	2.140-1	9.364-2	4.632-1	7.709-1
²² Kr	5.704-2	1.572-2	2.166-1	1.619+0	1.908
"Rb	0	0	1.145+0	7.430-1	1.888
"Nb	0	0	7.658-1	0	7.658-1
110m Ag	0	9.302-1	1.593+0	2.157-1	2.739
1 ¹⁰¹	2.060-2	3.585-1	0	0	3.791-1
^{III} Xe	2.00-2	0	0	0	2.00-2
132]	0	1.013+0	1.152+0	5.639-2	2.222
¹³² Te	2.313-1	0	0	0	2.313-1
133	0	4.848-1	1.096-1	0	5.944-1
¹³³ Xe	4.600-2	0	0	0	4.6-2
^{133m} Xe	4.070-2	0	0	0	4.07-2
¹³⁴ Cs	0	7.322-1	8.226-1	0	1.555
¹³⁴ I	1.466-2	3.320-1	1.896+0	0	2.243
¹³⁵ I	0	6.645-2	9.910-1	4.743-1	1.532
¹³⁵ Xe	2.256-1	1 990-2	0	0	2.455-1
^{135m} Xe	0	4.276-1	0	0	4.276-1
¹³⁷ Cs	0	5.627-1	0	0	5.627-1
¹³⁷ Xe	0	1.367-1	7.227-3	6.955-3	1.508-1
¹³⁸ Xe	1.002-1	1.286-1	5.060-2	7.991-1	1.079

Table 6. The energy emission data for 22 nuclides typically released into atmosphere

 group 1
 $E \le 0.35$ MeV

 group 2
 0.35 MeV < $E \le 0.75$ MeV

 group 3
 0.75 MeV < $E \le 1.5$ MeV

 group 4
 1.5 MeV < E</th>

 group 5
 total energy

R = 0

σ		E, [N	feV]	
[m]	0.2	0.5	1.0	2.0
2	0.0007	0.0091	0.0065	0.00 71
5	0.0224	0.0229	0.0214	0.0182
10	8.846	8.846	0.043	0.0355
20	8.895	8.869	0.065	0.071
50	0.251	0.224	0.204	0.170
109	0.47	0.41	0.37	0.32
200	0.69	0.65	0.59	0.51
500	1.00	1.69	1.89	1.90
1000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

R = 0

σ		E, [MeV]	
(m)	0.2	0.5	1.0	2.0
2	0.0105	0.0110	0.0102	0.0087
5	0.0263	0.0275	0.0257	0.0213
10	0.056	0.055	0.051	0.043
20	J.118	0.110	0.102	0.085
50	0.295	0.263	0.240	0.200
100	0.54	0.48	0.44	0.37
200	0.76	0.72	0.66	0.59
500	0.93	0.92	0.87	0.85
1000	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

R =	20
------------	----

σ (m)	E, [MeV]			
	0.2	8.5	1.0	2.0
2	0.0299	0.0219	0.0204	0.9 174
5	0.055	0.055	0.052	8.844
10	0.115	0.110	0.102	0.005
20	0.240	0.219	8.200	0.166
50	0.59	6. 51	0.47	0.39
100	0.87	0.83	0.74	0.65
299	1.00	1.00	0.93	0.89
500			1.00	1.00
1000				

$\mathbf{R} = 3\sigma$

с [m]	E, [MeV]			
	0.2	0.5	1.0	2.0
2	0.110	0.112	0.105	0.087
5	0.288	0.275	0.258	0.213
10	0.60	0.55	0.51	0.42
20	1.29	1.10	1.00	0.81
50	2.51	2.24	2.00	1.74
100	2.57	2.75	2.57	2.40
200	1.70	2.14	2.19	2.40
500	1.00	1.17	1.20	1,41
1000	•	00.1	1.00	1.00

Table 7. (continuation)

R = 40

б [m]	E, [MeV]			
	0.2	6. 5	1.0	2.0
2	1.8	1.8	1.7	1.4
5	5.2	4.7	4.4	3.7
10	10.5	9.3	8.7	7.1
20	21	18	16	13.3
50	33	33	31	27
100	21	30	31	33
290	8.5	10	14	21
500	1.3	1.8	2.3	3.4
1000	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2

R = 50

с [m]	E, [MeV]			
	0.2	0.5	1.0	2.0
2	107	104	96	83
5	295	260	245	285
10	620	510	450	390
20	1129	950	850	710
50	1230	1410	1290	1200
100	390	724	830	1050
200	22	89	165	360
500	1.6	2.8	4.5	13.5
1000	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.5

Bibliographic Data Sheet

Tisle and authors(s)

Calculation Method for Gamma-Dose Rates from Spherical Puffs

ISEN **ISSN** 87-550-1905-6 0106-2840 Deer Dept. or group Meteorology and Wind Energy May 1993 Project/contract na.(s) Groups own seg. number(s) Tables Historian References Pages 26 7 7 12

S. Thykier-Nielsen, S. Deme and E. Láng

Abstract (Max. 2000 characters)

The Lagrangian puff-models are widely used for calculation of the dispersion of atmospheric releases. Basic output from such models are concentrations of material in the air and on the ground. The most simple method for calculation of the gamma dose from the concentration of airborne activity is based on semi-infinite cloud model. This method is however only applicable for points far away from the release point. The exact calculation of the cloud dose using the volume integral requires significant computer time.

The volume integral for the gamma dose could be approximated by using the semi-infinite cloud model combined with correction factors. This type of calculation procedure is very fast, but usually the accuracy is poor due to the fact that the same correction factors are used for all isotopes.

The authors describe a more elaborate correction method. This method uses precalculated values of the gamma-dose rate as a function of the puff dispersion parameter (σ_p) and the distance from the puff centre for four energy groups. The release of energy for each radionuclide in each energy group has been calculated and tabulated. Based on these tables and a suitable interpolation procedure the calculation of gamma doses takes very short time and is almost independent of the number of radionuclides.

Descriptors INIS/EDB

AIR POLLUTION; CALCULATION METHODS; COMPUTERIZED SIMULATION; GAMMA RADIATION; MATHEMATICAL MODELS; METEOROLOGY; NUMERICAL DATA; PLUMES; R CODES; RADIATION DOSES: RADIOISOTOPES

Available on request from Riss Library, Riss National Laboratory (Riss Bibliotek, Forskningscenter Riss), P.O. Box 49, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark Telephone (+45) 46 77 46 77, ext. 4004 Telex 43 116 - Telefax (+45) 46 75 56 27



OBJECTIVE

The objective of Risø National Laboratory is to further technological development in three main areas: energy, environment and materials.

USERS

Risø's scientific results are widely applied in industry, agriculture and public services. Risø contributes its share of new knowledge to the global research community.

RESEARCH PROFILE

Risø emphasises long-term and stratrgic research providing a solid scientific foundation for the technological development of society.



PRIORITY AREAS

- Combustion and gasification
- Wind energy
- Energy materials
- Energy and environmental planning
- Assessment of environmental loads
- Reduction of environmental loads
- Safety and reliability of technical systems
- Nuclear safety
- Atomic structure and properties of materials
- Advanced materials and materials technologies
- Optics and fluid dynamics

Rise-R-692(EN) ISBN 87-550-1905-6 ISSN 0106-2840

Available on request from: **Rise Library Rise National Laboratory** PO. Box 49, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark Phone + 45 46 77 46 77, ext. 4004/4005 Telex 43116. Telefax 46 75 56 27