Technical University of Denmark



# Correction for X- and -ray coincidence effects in the efficiency calibration of an n-type germanium detector

Xilei, Lin; Heydorn, Kaj

Publication date: 1991

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Xilei, L., & Heydorn, K. (1991). Correction for X- and -ray coincidence effects in the efficiency calibration of an n-type germanium detector. (Denmark. Forskningscenter Risoe. Risoe-R; No. 593).

#### DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

D49100082



# Correction for X- and $\gamma$ – Ray Coincidence Effects in the Efficiency Calibration of an n-Type Germanium Detector

Lin Xilei and K. Heydorn



Risø National Laboratory, Roskilde, Denmark June 1991 **Risø-R-593** 

# Correction for X- and $\gamma$ – Ray Coincidence Effects in the Efficiency Calibration of an n-Type Germanium Detector

Lin Xilei\* and K. Heydorn

\*On leave from the General Research Institute of Non-ferrous Metals, Beijing, China

Risø National Laboratory, Roskilde, Denmark June 1991

## Abstract

The absolute full-energy peak efficiency  $(\epsilon_p)$  is an essential parameter in work related to the determination of gamma-ray intensities.  $\epsilon_p$  may be determined by means of radioactive standard point sources with single or multiple gamma energies covering the range of interest. Cascade coincidence effects may be avoided by counting point sources 15 cm or more from the detector; however, the efficiency of actual samples measured at positions closer to the detector may have to be determined by means of standard sources of the same geometry and with similar main components as the actual sample.

For n-type gamma-X detectors the situation is particularly difficult. Even single gamma-ray standard sources useful for calibration of p-type gamma-ray detectors cannot always be assumed to be coincidence-free; this is due to the much higher efficiency for X-rays, resulting in gamma-X coincidence effects when counting close to an n-type detector.

This work includes the study of combinations of cascade coincidences between gamma-rays, X-rays, and 511 keV annihilation radiation, as well as  $\beta$ -radiation and its associated bremsstrahlung. The radionuclides <sup>22</sup>Na, <sup>24</sup>Na, <sup>51</sup>Cr, <sup>54</sup>Mn, <sup>57</sup>Co, <sup>60</sup>Co, <sup>65</sup>Zn, <sup>85</sup>Sr, <sup>86</sup>Y, <sup>109</sup>Cd, <sup>113</sup>Sn, <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>139</sup>Ce, <sup>203</sup>Hg, and <sup>241</sup>Am were scrutinized for potential cascade coincidences, and practical corrections were calculated.

A gamma-X detector with a relative efficiency of 35 % was calibrated with these 15 nuclides in 1 cm<sup>3</sup> aqueous solutions in half-dram polyethylene containers at 7 distances, ranging from 0.88 cm to 17.5 cm, with and without a perspex  $\beta$ -absorber between the detector and sample. After correcting for coincidences, smooth  $\epsilon_p$ -curves were obtained for the energy range 12 to 2750 keV, even for the position closest to the detector.

ISBN 87-550-1753-3 ISSN 0106-2840

# Contents

Cascade Coincidence of Gamma and K X-rays       6         1. γ-γ Cascade       7         (1) γ       7         (2) γ       7         (3) γ       6         (4) Coincidence correction factor COI       7         2. Orbital electron capture followed by y-transition/internal conversion       9         (1) γ       7         (2) K X-rays (K <sub>a</sub> and K <sub>b</sub> )       7         3. γ-transition preceded by β'-decay       11         (1) γ       7         (2) KX(IC)       7         (3) 511 keV       12         (1) γ       7         (2) KX(IC)       13         (1) γ       12         (2) KX(IC)       13         (1) γ       12         (2) KX(IC)       13         (1) β98.0 keV       12         (2) 1836.1 keV       13         (3) K X-rays (K <sub>a</sub> and K <sub>b</sub> )       14 <sup>21</sup> ½       26.3 keV         (3) L X-rays       21         P/T-determination       22         e <sub>y</sub> -determination       22         e <sub>y</sub> -determination       23         Results and Discussion       24         P/T-Curves       24         COI fa	Introdu	letion	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
1. γ-γ Cascade       7         (1) γ <sub>1</sub> (2) γ <sub>2</sub> (3) γ <sub>3</sub> (4) Coincidence correction factor COI         2. Orbital electron capture followed by         γ-transition/internal conversion       9         (1) γ       (2) KX-rays (K <sub>a</sub> and K <sub>p</sub> )         3. γ-transition preceded by β'-decay       11         (1) γ       (2) KX(IC)         (3) 511 keV       4. γ-transition preceded by β'-decay       12         (1) γ       (2) KX(IC)         (3) 511 keV       12         (1) γ       (2) KX(IC)         Nuclides Used in ε <sub>p</sub> -calibration of Gamma-X Detector       12         "Y	Cascade	e Coincidence of Gamma and K X-rays	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	6
<pre>(1) Y<sub>1</sub> (2) Y<sub>2</sub> (3) Y<sub>3</sub> (4) Coincidence correction factor COI 2. Orbital electron capture followed by y-transition/internal conversion</pre>	1.	γ-γ Cascade	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
<ol> <li>Orbital electron capture followed by γ-transition/internal conversion</li></ol>		(1) $\gamma_1$ (2) $\gamma_2$ (3) $\gamma_3$ (4) Coincidence correction factor	: CC	)I								
<pre>(1) γ (2) K X-rays (K<sub>a</sub> and K<sub>p</sub>) 3. γ-transition preceded by β'-decay</pre>	2.	Orbital electron capture followed $\gamma$ -transition/internal conversion	lby •	? 	•	•	-	•	•	•	•	9
<ul> <li>3. γ-transition preceded by β<sup>*</sup>-decay</li></ul>		(1) $\gamma$ (2) K X-rays (K <sub>a</sub> and K <sub>b</sub> )										
<pre>(1) γ (2) KX(IC) (3) 511 keV 4. γ-transition preceded by β<sup>-</sup>-decay</pre>	3.	$\gamma\text{-transition}$ preceded by $\beta^{\star}\text{-decay}$	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	11
<ul> <li>4. γ-transition preceded by β<sup>-</sup>-decay</li></ul>		<pre>(1) γ (2) KX(IC) (3) 511 keV</pre>										
<pre>(1) Y (2) KX(IC) Nuclides Used in e<sub>p</sub>-calibration of Gamma-X Detector</pre>	4.	$\gamma\text{-transition}$ preceded by $\beta\text{-decay}$	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12
Nuclides Used in $\epsilon_p$ -calibration of Gamma-X Detector       12         **y       13         (1) & 898.0 keV       13         (2) 1836.1 keV       13         (3) K X-rays (K <sub>a</sub> and K <sub>p</sub> )       16         (1) 59.5 keV       16         (2) 26.3 keV       21         P/T-determination       22 $\epsilon_p$ -determination       23         Results and Discussion       24         P/T-Curves       24         COI factors       25 $\epsilon_p$ -curves       28         Conclusion       29         References       31         Tables       33         Figures       37		(1) γ (2) KX(IC)										
<pre>**Y</pre>	Nuclide	es Used in $\varepsilon_p$ -calibration of Gamma-X Detect	or		•	•	•		•			12
<pre>(1) 898.0 keV (2) 1836.1 keV (3) K X-rays (K<sub>a</sub> and K<sub>p</sub>) <sup>241</sup>Am</pre>	**Y		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	13
<pre><sup>241</sup>Am</pre>		(1) 898.0 keV (2) 1836.1 keV (3) K X-rays (K <sub>a</sub> and K <sub>p</sub> )										
(1) 59.5 keV (2) 26.3 keV (3) L X-rays Experimental	<sup>241</sup> An	1	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	16
Experimental		(1) 59.5 keV (2) 26.3 keV (3) L X-rays										
$\begin{array}{c} P/T-determination & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & . & $	Experim	nental	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	21
\$\mathbf{e}_p\$-determination       . <td< td=""><td>P/T-</td><td>-determination</td><td>•</td><td></td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>•</td><td>22</td></td<>	P/T-	-determination	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	22
Results and Discussion $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $24$ P/T-Curves $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $24$ COI factors $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $25$ $\epsilon_p$ -curves $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $28$ Conclusion $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $29$ References $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $31$ Tables $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $33$ Figures $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $37$	ε <sub>p</sub> −d	etermination	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	23
P/T-Curves	Results	and Discussion	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	24
COI factors	P/T-	-Curves	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	24
$\epsilon_p$ -curves	COI	factors	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	25
Conclusion	ε <sub>ρ</sub> -с	urves	•	• .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	28
References	Conclu	sion	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	29
Tables	Referen	nces	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	31
Figures	Tables		•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	33
	Figures	<b>3</b>	•	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	37

# Introduction

The absolute full-energy peak efficiency  $(\epsilon_p)$  is an essential parameter in work related to the determination of gamma-ray intensities. This is also the case in neutron activation analyses that rely on the absolute or  $k_o$ -standardization methods.  $\epsilon_p$  may be determined by means of radioactive standard point sources with single or multiple gamma energies covering the range of interest. Cascade coincidence effects may be avoided by counting at a distance greater than 15 cm from the detector. Under certain conditions the efficiency of actual samples measured at positions closer to the detector may be evaluated by a semiempirical method [1]. Often there is no way to calculate the efficiency accurately, and the  $\epsilon_p$  has to be determined by using standard sources with the same geometry and main components as the actual sample.

For p-type gamma-ray detectors with an aluminium end-cap and a dead-layer of germanium crystal facing the radioactive sources, single gamma-line sources are useful for calibration even close to the detector. Many such sources contain electron-capture nuclides, which decay to an excited level and emit a cascade of low-energy K X-rays along with the gammaray; in practice they are considered coincidence-free, because the efficiency of such detectors at less than 50 keV drops sharply with decreasing photon energy.

For n-type gamma-X detectors the situation is different. Even single gamma-ray standard sources cannot always be assumed to be coincidence-free; this is due to the much higher efficiency for X-rays, resulting in gamma-X coincidence effects when counting close to the detector.

This study includes combinations of cascade coincidences between gamma-rays, X-rays, 511 keV annihilation radiation, as well as  $\beta^-$ -radiation and its associated bremsstrahlung. The following radionuclides <sup>22</sup>Na, <sup>24</sup>Na, <sup>51</sup>Cr, <sup>54</sup>Mn, <sup>57</sup>Cc, <sup>60</sup>Co, <sup>65</sup>Zn, <sup>85</sup>Sr, <sup>88</sup>Y, <sup>109</sup>Cd, <sup>113</sup>Sn, <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>139</sup>Ce, <sup>203</sup>Hg, and <sup>241</sup>Am, which are frequently used in  $\varepsilon_p$ -calibration, were scrutinized for potential cascade coincidences, and practical corrections were calculated. A gamma-X detector with a relative efficiency of 35 % was calibrated with these 15 nuclides at 7 separate positions.

# Cascade Coincidence of Gamma- and K X-rays

Figure 1 shows four basic cascade decay schemes often encountered in Gamma-X detector calibration work: (a)  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  cascade with the internal conversion (IC) K X-rays; (b) electron capture (EC) with the resulting K X-rays, KX(EC), followed by a  $\gamma$ transition/internal conversion; (c)  $\beta^+$  decay and its associated 511 keV annihilation radiation followed by a  $\gamma$ -transition/internal conversion; and (d)  $\gamma$ -transition/internal conversion preceded by a  $\beta^-$ -decay and its associated bremsstrahlung. L X-rays created by electron capture/internal conversion are not considered here due to their low photon energy/intensity for the nuclides considered, apart from <sup>241</sup>Am. The L X-rays of <sup>241</sup>Am, which play an important role in the efficiency calibration at the low photon energy range, will be discussed separately in the next section.

The  $\gamma-\gamma$  coincidence effects (Fig. 1 (a)) have been investigated extensively. The line of reasoning used in this work to calculate the  $\gamma-\gamma$  coincidence correction factor, COI, was originally introduced by Moens [2]. His method was simplified and modified for arbitrary  $\gamma-\gamma$  decay schemes by Lin [3], and extended to include the K X-rays by De Corte [4]. The present work generalizes this reasoning to include all four decay schemes shown in Fig. 1, and formulae are derived to correct for coincidence effects of any combination of gamma or X-rays. Angular correlation is not taken into account.

# 1. γ-γ Cascade, Fig. 1 (a)

#### (1) $\gamma_i$

Counts in the  $\gamma_1$  full-energy peak can be lost by the coincidence of  $\gamma_1$  with  $\gamma_2$ , denoted as  $[\gamma_1 - \gamma_2]$ , or with its internal conversion K X-rays,  $[\gamma_1 - KX(IC)\gamma_2]$ . The coincidence probability of a detected  $\gamma_1$ , denoted as  $P(\gamma_1)$ , is the sum of the probabilities of  $[\gamma_1 - \gamma_2]$  and  $[\gamma_1 - KX(IC)\gamma_2]$ , namely

$$P(\gamma_1) = p[\gamma_1 - \gamma_2] + p[\gamma_1 - KX(IC)\gamma_2]$$
(1)

The individual terms can be derived as follows:

$$p[\underline{\gamma_1} - \underline{\gamma_2}] = \text{ probability that } \gamma_1, \text{ is followed by a } \gamma_2 \text{ transition } (a_2);$$
  
$$\gamma_2 - \text{ray is emitted } (c_2) \text{ and detected } (\varepsilon_{\tau, \tau_2})$$
  
$$= a_2 \cdot c_2 \cdot \varepsilon_{\tau, \tau_2}$$
(2)

where a - branching ratio  $c = \frac{1}{1+\alpha_r} \quad \alpha_r - \text{total internal conversion coefficient}$ 

 $\varepsilon_{\tau}$  - absolute total efficiency

and

$$p[\underline{\gamma_{1}}-KX(IC)\gamma_{2}] = probability that \gamma_{1} is followed by a \gamma_{2}$$
  
transition (a<sub>2</sub>); K-shell vacancy is created  
(c<sub>2</sub>· $\alpha_{x2}$ ); K X-ray is emitted ( $\omega_{x}$ ) and (K<sub>a</sub> or K<sub>b</sub>)  
is detected (k<sub>a</sub>· $\varepsilon_{r, \kappa a}$ +k<sub>b</sub>· $\varepsilon_{r, \kappa b}$ )  

$$= a_{2} \cdot c_{2} \cdot \alpha_{\kappa 2} \cdot \omega_{\kappa} \cdot (k_{a} \cdot \varepsilon_{r, \kappa a} + k_{b} \cdot \varepsilon_{r, \kappa b})$$
(3)

where  $\alpha_{x}$  - K-shell internal conversion coefficient  $\omega_{x}$  - K X-ray fluorescence yield k - fraction factor of K X-ray k<sub>w</sub> refers to K<sub>wi</sub> and K<sub>w2</sub>, k<sub>p</sub> to K<sub>p1</sub>, and K<sub>p2</sub>

From the formulae (2) and (3)  

$$P(\gamma_{i}) = a_{2} \cdot c_{2} \cdot (\varepsilon_{\tau, \gamma_{2}} + \alpha_{\pi_{2}} \cdot \omega_{\pi} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{r} \cdot \varepsilon_{\tau, \pi_{i}}) \qquad (4)$$

with 
$$\sum_{x_1} \cdot \varepsilon_{\tau, x_1} = k_{\alpha} \cdot \varepsilon_{\tau, x\alpha} + k_{\beta} \cdot \varepsilon_{\tau, x\beta}$$
 (5)

(2) 
$$\gamma_2$$
  
As shown in Fig. 1 (a),  $\gamma_2$  is in cascade with  $\gamma_1$  and its internal conversion K X-rays. The coincidence probability of a detected  $\gamma_2$ , P( $\gamma_2$ ) = p[ $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2$ ] + p[KX(IC) $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2$ ], can be derived as follows:

$$p[\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}] = \text{probability that } \gamma_{2} \text{ is preceded by } \gamma_{1} \text{ emission}$$

$$(e_{\gamma_{1}}/e_{\gamma_{2}}); \gamma_{1} \text{ is detected } (\varepsilon_{\tau,\gamma_{1}}); \gamma_{1} \text{ is followed by } \gamma_{2} \text{ transition}$$

$$(a_{2}) \text{ and } \gamma_{2}-\text{ray is emitted } (c_{2})$$

$$= (e_{\gamma_{1}}/e_{\gamma_{2}}) \cdot a_{2} \cdot c_{2} \cdot \varepsilon_{\tau,\gamma_{1}}$$
(6)

```
where e - absolute emission intensity

and

p[KX(IC)\gamma_{1}-\gamma_{2}] = probability that \gamma_{2} is preceded by K X-ray

emission from the <math>\gamma_{1} internal conversion (e_{KX}(IC)\gamma_{1}/e_{\gamma_{2}});

the K X-rays are detected (\sum_{i=1}^{K} e_{T,Ki}); followed by \gamma_{2}

transition (a_{2}) and the \gamma_{2}-ray is emitted (c_{2})

= (e_{KX}(IC)\gamma_{1}/e_{\gamma_{2}}) \cdot a_{2} \cdot c_{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{K} e_{T,Ki}
= (e_{\gamma_{1}}/e_{\gamma_{2}}) \cdot a_{2} \cdot c_{2} \cdot \alpha_{Ki} \cdot \omega_{K} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{K} e_{T,Ki}
(7)
```

with 
$$e_{KX(IC)_{\gamma i}} = e_{\gamma i} \cdot \alpha_{\kappa i} \cdot \omega_{\kappa}$$
 (8)

So  

$$P(\gamma_2) = (e_{\gamma_2}/e_{\gamma_2}) \cdot a_{2-}c_2 \cdot (\varepsilon_{r,\gamma_1} + \alpha_{\pi_1} \cdot \omega_{\pi} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{m} \cdot \varepsilon_{r,\pi_k})$$
(9)

(3) **%** 

As shown in Fig. 1 (a), the counts in  $\gamma_3$  full-energy peak will be increased by coincidence of  $\gamma_1 - \gamma_2$ , if both  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are totally absorbed by the detector. The summing probability, P<sup>SUN</sup>( $\gamma_3$ ), can be calculated as follows [3]

$$P^{\text{SUM}}(\gamma_{3}) = \frac{e_{\gamma i}}{e_{\gamma 3}} \cdot a_{2} \cdot C_{2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{p,\gamma i} \cdot \varepsilon_{p,\gamma 2}}{\varepsilon_{p,\gamma 3}}$$
(10)

(4) Coincidence correction factor COI

The coincidence correction factor, COI, is defined as

$$COI = \frac{N_p(exp)}{N_p}$$
(11)

where  $N_p(exp)$  and  $N_p$  are counts of a full-energy peak experimentally observed and corrected for coincidence effects, respectively;

the COI is now calculated as [2]

 $COI = (1 - P) - (1 + P^{SUN})$ (12)

2. Orbital electron capture followed by  $\gamma$ -transition/internal conversion Fig. 1 (b)

Figure 1 (b) shows that the  $\gamma$ -line and its internal conversion K X-rays are in cascade with the K X-rays created by electron capture, KX(EC).

Following a reasoning similar to that above for the  $\gamma - \gamma$  coincidence calculation, the coincidence probability of the  $\gamma$ -line with the KX(EC), P( $\gamma$ ) = p[KX(EC)- $\gamma$ ], can be derived as

$$P(\gamma) = p[KX(EC) - \gamma]$$

$$= (e_{KX}(EC) / e_{\gamma}) \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{\tau, kL}$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon(EC) \cdot P_{\chi} \cdot \omega_{\chi}}{e_{\gamma}} \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \sum_{k} \varepsilon_{\tau, kL}$$
(13)

with  $e_{KX(EC)} = \epsilon(EC) \cdot P_{R} \cdot \omega_{R}$  (14) where  $\epsilon(EC)$  - branch ratio of orbital electron capture  $P_{R}$  - relative probability of electron capture in K-shell

Note that  $e_{KX(EC)}$  is the K X-ray intensity from a specified EC decay branch; it differs from the K X-ray emission intensity  $e_{KX}$ , given in the literature for any nuclide, which is the total K X-ray intensity created by all EC branches and internal conversions.

(2) K X-rays ( $K_{\alpha}$  and  $K_{\beta}$ )

I I I I

Fig. 1 (b) shows that KX(IC), K X-rays from the internal conversion, are in cascade with KX(EC), the K X-ray from the electron capture, and KX(EC) is in cascade separately with  $\gamma$  and KX(IC). The coincidence probabilities of KX(IC) and KX(EC), p(KX(IC)) and p(KX(EC)) can be calculated separately as follows:

$$p(KX(IC)) = p[KX(EC) - \underline{KX(IC)}]$$

$$= (e_{KX}(EC) / e_{KX(IC)}) \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \alpha_{\kappa} \cdot \omega_{\kappa} \cdot \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{\tau,\kappa_{i}}$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon(EC) \cdot P_{\kappa}}{e_{\tau}} \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \omega_{\kappa} \cdot \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{\tau,\kappa_{i}}$$
and
(15)

(1) Y

$$p(KX(EC)) = p[\underline{KX(EC)} - \gamma] + P[\underline{KX(EC)} - KX(IC)]$$
  
=  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c} \cdot (\varepsilon_{\tau,\gamma} + \alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \omega_{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{\tau,\mathbf{x}i})$  (16)

The averaged coincidence probability, P(KX), for a detected K X-ray, regardless of its origin, can be calculated as

$$P(KX) = p(KX(EC)) \cdot R_{KX}(EC) + p(KX(IC)) \cdot R_{KX}(IC)$$
(17)

with 
$$R_{KX}(EC) = \frac{e_{KX}(EC)}{e_{KX}(EC) + e_{KX}(IC)} = \frac{\epsilon(EC) \cdot P_x}{\epsilon(EC) \cdot P_x + e_y \cdot \alpha_x}$$
 (18)

$$R_{KX}(IC) = \frac{e_{KX}(IC)}{e_{KX}(EC) + e_{KY}(IC)} = \frac{e_{\gamma} \cdot \alpha_{\kappa}}{\epsilon(EC) \cdot P_{\kappa} + e_{\gamma} \cdot \alpha_{\kappa}}$$
(19)

## 3. $\gamma$ -transition preceded by $\beta$ +-decay, Fig. 1 (c)

(1) Y

The  $\gamma$ -ray is in coincidence with the 511-keV annihilation from the  $\beta^+$ -decay. Taking into account that two 511-keV photons are emitted from one  $\beta^+$ -annihilation event, the probability of a  $\gamma$ ray coincidence, P( $\gamma$ ), is calculated as

$$P(\gamma) = p[511-\gamma]$$
  
=  $(e_{511}/e_{\gamma}) \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \varepsilon_{r511}$   
=  $(2\varepsilon(\beta^+)/e_{\gamma}) \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \varepsilon_{r511}$  (20)

with  $e_{sl1} = 2 \cdot \epsilon(\beta^+)$ where  $\epsilon(\beta^+)$  is the  $\beta^+$ -decay branching ratio

Note that the  $e_{311}$  here is the 511-keV emission intensity from the specified  $\beta^+$ -decay branch, excluding that of any other possible  $\beta^+$ -decay branches (to the ground level of the nuclide, for example), which also results in 511 keV annihilation radiation.

(2) 
$$KX(IC)$$
  
P( $KX(IC)$ ) = p[511 -  $KX(IC)$ ]  
=  $(e_{111}/e_{KX}(IC)) \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \alpha_{x} \cdot \omega_{x} \cdot \varepsilon_{r511}$   
=  $(2\varepsilon(\beta^{+})/e_{y}) \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \varepsilon_{r511}$  (21)  
(3) 511 keV  
P(511) = p[511 -  $y$ ] + p[511 -  $KX(IC)$ ]  
=  $a \cdot c \cdot (\varepsilon_{r,y} + \alpha_{x} \cdot \omega_{x} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{x} \cdot \varepsilon_{r,ki})$  (22)

# 4. $\gamma$ -transition preceded by $\beta$ -decay, Fig. 1 (d)

(1) 
$$\gamma$$
  

$$P(\gamma) = p[\beta^{-} - \gamma]$$

$$= (e_{\beta}^{-}/e_{\gamma}) \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \varepsilon_{\tau,\beta}^{-}$$

$$= (\varepsilon(\beta^{-})/e_{\gamma}) \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \varepsilon_{\tau,\beta}^{-}$$
(23)

with  $e_{\beta} = \epsilon(\beta^{-})$ where  $\epsilon(\beta^{-})$  - branching ratio of the specified  $\beta^{-}$ -decay

(2) KX (IC)  

$$P(KX (IC)) = P[\beta^{-} - \underline{KX (IC)}]$$

$$= (e_{\beta} - /e_{KX (IC)}) \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \alpha_{\kappa} \cdot \omega_{\kappa} \cdot \varepsilon_{\tau,\beta}^{-}$$

$$= (\varepsilon (\beta^{-}) / e_{\tau}) \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \varepsilon_{\tau,\beta}^{-}$$
(24)

# Nuclides Used in Ep-calibration of a Gamma-X Detector

In this section, 15 nuclides that were applied in the  $\varepsilon_p$ -calibration of a gamm\_-X detector were investigated for the potential cascade coincidences of all their important gamma- and X-rays covering the energy range of 12 to 2754 keV. Figures 2-16 provide the decay schemes and the related nuclear parameters of the 15 nuclides, simplified to suit the needs of this work.

These data were extracted from Refs. [5-8], unless otherwise indicated. For easy use of these nuclides in  $\varepsilon_{\rm p}$ -related work, appropriate formulae are provided along with the figures where needed to calculate a coincidence correction factor COI. These formulae are based on the discussion in the preceding section, excluding K X-rays with energies lower than 11 keV (<sup>22</sup>Na, <sup>51</sup>Cr, <sup>54</sup>Mn, <sup>57</sup>Co and <sup>65</sup>Zn), as well as  $\beta^--\gamma$  coincidences with maximum  $\beta^-$ -energy lower than about 300 keV (<sup>60</sup>Co and <sup>203</sup>Hg).

With the amplifier shaping time set at 6  $\mu$ s, as used in the present work, half-lives of metastable  $\gamma$ -levels of 1  $\mu$ s (<sup>85</sup>Sr) or shorter (<sup>57</sup>Co, <sup>139</sup>Ce and <sup>241</sup>Am) may be disregarded [4]. Levels with half-lives on the order of seconds or more (<sup>109</sup>Cd, <sup>113</sup>Sn and <sup>137</sup>Cs), on the other hand, do not contribute to cascade coincidences.

Altogether 6 of the nuclides are thus considered coincidence-free under practical conditions of measurement, and no formulae for calculating COI are therefore included in Figs. 4, 5, 8, 11, 13, and 15, corresponding to the radionuclides  ${}^{51}$ Cr,  ${}^{54}$ Mn,  ${}^{65}$ Zn,  ${}^{109}$ Cd,  ${}^{137}$ Cs, and  ${}^{203}$ Hg, respectively.

The actual procedure used for generating coincidence correction formulae for individual radionuclides is illustrated in detail for <sup>88</sup>Y and <sup>241</sup>Am. The calculation of coincidence probabilities for nuclides with multiple/branch de ay cascades was presented in Ref. [3].

#### <sup>88</sup>Y

As shown in Fig. 10,  $\gamma$ -lines, 898.0 keV and 1836.1 keV, and K X-rays form cascades. K X-rays from the internal conversion of 898.0 keV and 1836.1 keV are negligible. The intensity of 511keV annihilation is very weak, so its contribution to the coincidence effect of 1836.1 keV is ignored.

The 898.0 keV γ-line is in cascade with KX(EC879) and the 1836.1 keV γ-line. The coincidence probability, P(898.0 keV), can be calculated as P(898.0 keV) = p[KX(EC879.0) - <u>898.0</u>] + [<u>898.0</u> - 1836.1] p[KX(879) - <u>898.0</u> - 1836.1]

$$= \frac{\varepsilon (879) \cdot P_{\kappa} \cdot \omega_{\kappa}}{e_{898.0}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{898.0} \cdot \sum_{i} k_{i} \cdot \varepsilon_{r, \kappa i} + (a \cdot c)_{1836.1} \cdot \varepsilon_{r1836.1}}{e_{898.0}} - \frac{\varepsilon (879) \cdot P_{\kappa} \cdot \omega_{\kappa}}{e_{898.0}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{998.0} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{1836.1} \cdot (\sum_{i} k_{i} \cdot \varepsilon_{r, \kappa i}) \cdot \varepsilon_{r1836.1}}{e_{898.0}}$$

$$= (0.5253 \ \varepsilon_{\text{r14.4}} + 0.0856 \ \varepsilon_{\text{r15.86}}) \cdot (1 - \varepsilon_{\text{r1836.1}}) + \varepsilon_{\text{r1836.1}}$$
(25)

(2) 1836.1 keV As shown by the <sup>88</sup>Y decay scheme, the 1836.1 keV  $\gamma$ -line is in cascade with KX(EC879) and the 898.0 keV line with KX(EC1777). The total coincidence probability P(1536.1 keV), can be expressed as follows:

$$P(1836.1 \text{ keV}) = p[KX(EC879) - 1836.1] + p[898.0 - 1836.1] - p[KX(EC879) - 898.0 - 1836.1] + p[KX(EC1777) - 1836.1]$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon (879) \cdot P_{\kappa} \cdot \omega_{\kappa}}{e_{1836.1}} \cdot a_{898.0} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{1836.1} \cdot \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{r,\kappa_i}$$

+ 
$$\frac{e_{898.0}}{e_{1836.1}}$$
 ·  $(a \cdot c)_{1836.1} \cdot \epsilon_{T898.0} - \frac{\epsilon(b79) \cdot P_{\kappa} \cdot \omega_{\kappa}}{e_{1836.1}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{898.0} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{1836.1} \cdot (\sum_{i} \epsilon_{r,\kappa_i}) \epsilon_{T898}$ 

+ 
$$\frac{\epsilon(1777) \cdot P_{K} \cdot \omega_{K}}{\epsilon_{1836.1}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{1836.1} \sum_{i=1}^{K} \epsilon_{T,Ki}$$

1

 $= (0.6101 - 0.5736 \ \varepsilon_{r_{898,0}}) \cdot (0.8599 \ \varepsilon_{r_{14,14}} + 0.1401 \ \varepsilon_{15,88}) + 0.9471 \varepsilon_{r_{898,0}}$ (26)

(3) K X-rays ( $K_{\alpha}$  and  $K_{\beta}$ ) As shown in Fig. 10, there are two cascade chains related respectively to KX(EC879) and KX(EC1777). The average coincidence probability of K X-rays, P(KX), is calculated as follows (refer to Eq. 17):

 $P(KX) = p(KX(EC879)) \cdot R_{KX}(EC879) + p(KX(EC1777)) \cdot R_{KX}(EC1777)$ 

with 
$$R_{KX}(EC879) = \frac{e_{KX}(EC879)}{e_{KX}(EC879) + e_{KX}(EC1777)}$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon(879) \cdot PK879}{\varepsilon(879) \cdot P_{K879} + \varepsilon(1777) \cdot P_{K1777}} = 0.9482$$

$$R_{KX}(EC1777) = \frac{e_{KX}(EC1777)}{e_{KX}(EC879) + e_{KX}(EC1777)} = 0.0511$$

$$p(KX(EC879)) = p[KX(879) - 898.0] + p[KX(879) - 1836.1]$$
$$-p[KX(879) - 898.0 - 1836.1]$$

$$= (a \cdot c)_{898.0} \cdot \varepsilon_{T898.0} + a_{898.0} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{1836.1} \cdot \varepsilon_{T1836.1}$$
  
-  $(a \cdot c)_{898.0} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{1836.1} \cdot \varepsilon_{T898.0} \cdot \varepsilon_{T1836.1}$   
=  $0.9933 \varepsilon_{T898.0} + 0.9936 \varepsilon_{T1836.1} - 0.9933 \varepsilon_{T898.0} \cdot \varepsilon_{T1836.1}$  (27)

and

$$p(KX(EC1777) = (a \cdot c)_{1836.1} \cdot e_{T1836.1}$$
  
=  $e_{T1836.1}$  (28)

Finally  

$$P(KX) = 0.9425 \epsilon_{r898.0} \cdot (1 - \epsilon_{r1836.1}) + 0.9939 \epsilon_{r1836.1}$$
 (29)

<sup>241</sup>Am

In the simplified decay scheme of <sup>241</sup>Am presented in Fig. 16,  $\alpha$ -decays with branching ratios ( $\epsilon(\alpha)$ ) less than 1.5 % are omitted along with less important  $\gamma$ -lines with intensities (e $\gamma$ ) lower than 0.05 %. In the  $\epsilon_p$ -determination at the low energy range, the 59.5 and 26.3 keV  $\gamma$ -lines are often used together with the L X-rays. Figure 16 shows that these  $\gamma$ - and X-rays are subject to cascade coincidence effects.

L X-rays of <sup>237</sup>Np, the  $\alpha$ -decay product of <sup>241</sup>Am, originate from the internal conversion of the corresponding  $\gamma$ -transitions, as shown in Fig. 16. The L X-ray intensity may be written as follows:

$$e_{LX} = e_{\gamma} \cdot (\alpha_{L} + \alpha_{K} \cdot n_{KL}) \cdot \overline{\omega}_{L}$$
 (30)  
where  $\alpha_{L}$  and  $\alpha_{K} - L$ - and K-shell internal conversion  
coefficients, respectively  
 $n_{KL}$  - the total number of L-vacancies created by  $K \rightarrow L$   
vacancy transfer  
 $\overline{\omega}_{L}$  - the mean L-shell fluorescence yield

Since the  $\gamma$ -transition energies concerned here are insufficient to produce K X-rays of <sup>237</sup>Np (the lowest K X-ray energy, EK<sub>02</sub>, is 97 keV), the intensity of the conversion L X-rays may be rewritten as

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mathrm{LX}} = \mathbf{e}_{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathrm{L}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\overline{\omega}}_{\mathrm{L}} \tag{31}$$

In fact, the K X-rays of <sup>237</sup>Np are extremely weak. They are produced by the  $\gamma$ -transitions with higher energy but with very low intensities, which are omitted in Fig. 16. Compared with the L X-rays intensity  $e_{LX} = 39.54$  %, the K X-ray intensity is only 0.0040 % [10]. Consequently, the contribution to the L Xrays from K-vacancies giving rise to K  $\rightarrow$  L vacancy transfer is negligible in this context.

A calculation based on Eq. 31 shows that the four  $\gamma$ -transitions presented in Fig. 16 produce 28 % of the total L Xrays. In other words, the simplified decay scheme of <sup>241</sup>Am will be adequate for making the following calculations:

(1) 59.5 keV

The summing effect on 59.5 keV by full-coincidence of 26.3 keV with 33.2 keV is negligible because of the very low intensities associated with these lines. The calculation of <sup>SUM</sup>F based on Eq. (10) showed that the effect is never higher than 1 %.

The coincidence loss of 59.5 keV with 43.4 keV and its internal conversion L X-rays can be calculated as follows:  $P(59.5 \text{ keV}) = p[43.4/LX_{43.4} - 59.5]$ 

$$= \frac{e_{43.4}}{e_{59.5}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{59.5} \cdot (\varepsilon_{T43.4} + \alpha_{L43.4} \cdot \widetilde{\omega}_{L} \cdot \sum_{i} k_{i} \cdot \varepsilon_{T, Li})$$
$$= 8.5 \cdot 10^{-4} \quad \varepsilon_{T43.4} + 0.0552 \quad \sum_{i} k_{i} \cdot \varepsilon_{T, Li}$$
(32)

with

 $\sum_{i} \epsilon_{T,Li} = 0.0205 \ \epsilon_{T11.87} + 0.3288 \ \epsilon_{T13.93} + 0.5192 \ \epsilon_{T17.58} + 0.1315 \ \epsilon_{T21.0}$ (33)

(2) 26.3 keV 26.3 keV  $\gamma$ -line is in cascade with 43.4 and 33.2 keV, as well as with their conversion L X-rays.

$$P(26.3 \text{ keV}) = p[43.4/LX_{43.4} - \underline{26.3}] + p[\underline{26.3} - 33.2/LX_{33.2}] - p[43.4/LX_{43.4} - \underline{26.3} - 33.2/LX_{33.2}]$$
(34)

with

$$p[43.4/LX_{43.4} - \underline{26.3}] = \frac{e_{43.4}}{e_{26.3}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{26.3} \cdot (\varepsilon_{T26.3} + \alpha_{L43.4} \cdot \overline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \sum_{i} k_{1} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,Li})$$

$$= 2.1 \cdot 10^{-4} \ \epsilon_{r_{26.3}} + 0.01383 \ \sum_{i} \epsilon_{r, Li}$$
(35)

$$p[\underline{26.3} - 33.2/KL_{33.2}] = (a \cdot c)_{33.2} \cdot (\underline{\epsilon}_{T33.2} + \underline{\alpha}_{L33.2} \cdot \underline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \underline{\sum}_{L^{1}} \cdot \underline{\epsilon}_{T,L1})$$

$$= 5.38 \cdot 10^{-3} \ \underline{\epsilon}_{T33.2} + 0.3903 \ \underline{\sum}_{L^{1}} \cdot \underline{\epsilon}_{T,L1}$$
(36)
$$p[43.4/LX_{43.4} - \underline{26.3} - 33.2/LX_{33.2}]$$

$$= \frac{e_{43.4}}{e_{26.3}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{26.3} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{33.2} \cdot (\underline{\epsilon}_{T43.4} + \underline{\alpha}_{L43.4} \cdot \underline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \underline{\sum}_{L^{1}} \cdot \underline{\epsilon}_{T,L1}) \cdot (\underline{\epsilon}_{T33.2} + \underline{\alpha}_{L33.2} \cdot \underline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \underline{\sum}_{L^{1}} \cdot \underline{\epsilon}_{T,L1})$$

$$= 1.14 \cdot 10^{-6} \ (\underline{\epsilon}_{T43.4} + 65.22 \ \underline{\sum}_{L^{1}} \cdot \underline{\epsilon}_{T,L1}) \cdot (\underline{\epsilon}_{T33.2} + 72.59 \ \underline{\sum}_{L^{1}} \cdot \underline{\epsilon}_{T,L1}) \ (37)$$

So  
P(26.3 keV) = 
$$2.1 \cdot 10^{-4} \epsilon_{r_{26.3}} + 5.38 \cdot 10^{-3} \epsilon_{r_{33.2}} + 0.404 \sum_{i} \epsilon_{r_{r,Li}} - 1.14 \cdot 10^{-6} (\epsilon_{r_{43.4}} + 65.22 \sum_{i} k_i \cdot \epsilon_{r_{r,Li}}) \cdot (\epsilon_{r_{33.2}} + 72.59 \sum_{i} k_i \cdot \epsilon_{r_{r,Li}})$$
 (38)

(3) L X-rays As discussed above, more than 98 % of the L X-rays are created by the four  $\gamma$ -transitions shown in Fig. 16. The average coincidence probability of an observed L X-ray, P(LX), is calculated as follows (cf. Eq. 17):

$$P(LX) = p(LX_{26,3}) \cdot R_{LX_{26,3}} + p(LX_{33,2}) \cdot R_{LX_{33,2}} + p(LX_{43,4}) \cdot R_{LX_{43,4}} + p(LX_{59,5}) \cdot R_{LX_{59,5}}$$
(39)

where R is the intensity ratio of the L X-ray from a specified conversion to the total L X-rays

$$R_{LX_{26.3}} = \frac{e_{LX_{26.3}}}{e_{LX}} = \frac{e_{26.3} \cdot \alpha_{L26.3}}{\sum_{i} e_{i} \cdot \alpha_{Li}} = 0.2035$$

with  $\sum_{i} e_{1} \cdot \alpha_{Li} = e_{26.3} \cdot \alpha_{L26.3} + e_{33.2} \cdot \alpha_{L33.2} + e_{43.4} \cdot \alpha_{L43.4} + e_{59.5} \cdot \alpha_{L59.5}$ 

Similarly,

1

$$R_{LX_{33.2}} = \frac{e_{LX_{33.2}}}{e_{LX}} = 0.2447$$

1 I

$$R_{LX_{43.4}} = \frac{e_{LX_{43.4}}}{e_{LX}} = 0.1274$$

$$R_{LX_{59.5}} = \frac{e_{LX_{59.5}}}{e_{LX}} = 0.4244$$

(i)  $LX_{26.3}$ , L X-rays from the 26.3 keV transition  $LX_{26.3}$  is in cascade with the 43.4 keV and 33.2 keV  $\gamma$ -lines and their associated L X-rays. The coincidence probability of  $LX_{26.3}$ ,  $p(LX_{26.3})$ , is essentially the same as that of the 26.3 keV  $\gamma$ -line (Eq. 38).

(ii)  $LX_{33.2}$ , L X-rays from the 33.2 keV transition  $LX_{33.2}$  are in cascade with 43.4 keV, 26.3 keV, and their associated L X-rays

$$p(LX_{33,2}) = p[43.4/LX_{43,4} - LX_{33,2}] + p[26.3/LX_{26,3} - LX_{33,2}] - p[43.4/LX_{43,4} - 26.3/LX_{26,3} - LX_{33,2}]$$
(40)

with

$$p[43.4/LX_{43.4} - \underline{LX}_{33.2}] = \frac{e_{43.4}}{e_{33.2}} \cdot a_{26.3} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{33.2} \cdot (\varepsilon_{T43.4} + \alpha_{L43.4} \cdot \overline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{T,Li})$$
  
= 0.0002  $\varepsilon_{T43.4}$  + 0.0128  $\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{T,Li}$  (41)

$$p[26.3/LX_{26.3} - \underline{LX}_{33.2}] = \frac{e_{26.3}}{e_{33.2}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{33.2} \cdot (\varepsilon_{r_{26.3}} + \alpha_{L_{26.3}} \cdot \overline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \underline{\Sigma}_{1k_{1}} \cdot \varepsilon_{r_{r_{1}L_{1}}})$$

$$= 0.103 \varepsilon_{r_{26,3}} + 0.325 \Sigma_{r_1} \varepsilon_{r_1, L_1}$$
(42)

$$p[43.4/LX_{43.4} - 26.3/LX_{26.3} - \underline{LX}_{33.2}]$$

$$= \frac{e_{43.4}}{e_{33.2}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{26.3} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{23.2} \cdot (e_{r_{43.4}} + \alpha_{L_{43.4}} \cdot \overline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{Lk_{1}} \cdot e_{r_{r,L1}}) \cdot (e_{r_{26.3}} + \alpha_{L_{26.3}} \cdot \overline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{Lk_{1}} \cdot e_{r_{r,L1}})$$

$$= 2 \cdot 10^{-5} (e_{r_{43.4}} + 65.22 \sum_{i=1}^{Lk_{1}} \cdot e_{r_{r,L1}}) \cdot (e_{r_{26.3}} + 3.16 \sum_{i=1}^{Lk_{1}} \cdot e_{r_{r,L1}})$$
(43)

$$p(LX_{33,2}) = 2 \cdot 10^{-4} \epsilon_{T43,4} + 0.103 \epsilon_{T26,3} + 0.337 \sum_{i=1}^{K_{1}} \epsilon_{T,Li}$$
$$- 2 \cdot 10^{-5} (\epsilon_{T43,4} + 65.22 \sum_{i=1}^{K_{1}} \epsilon_{T,Li}) \cdot (\epsilon_{T26,3} + 3.16 \sum_{i=1}^{K_{1}} \epsilon_{T,Li})$$
(44)

 $LX_{43.4}$  is in two cascade chains, one with 59.5 keV and the other with 26.3 and 33.2 keV.

$$p(LX_{43.4}) = p[\underline{LX}_{43.4} - 59.5/LX_{59.5}] + [\underline{LX}_{43.4} - 26.3/LX_{26.3}] + p[\underline{LX}_{43.4} - 33.2/LX_{33.2}] - p[\underline{LX}_{43.4} - 26.3/LX_{26.3}] - 33.2/LX_{33.2}]$$
(45)

with

$$p[\underline{LX}_{43.4} - 59.5/LX_{59.5}] = (a \cdot c)_{59.5} \cdot (\varepsilon_{r59.5} + \alpha_{L59.5} \cdot \overline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{L} \varepsilon_{r,Li}) = 0.435 \varepsilon_{r59.5} + 0.192 \sum_{i=1}^{L} \varepsilon_{r,Li}$$
(46)

$$\overline{p}[\underline{LX}_{43.4} - 26.3/LX_{26.3}] = (a \cdot c)_{26.3} \cdot (\varepsilon_{r_{26.3}} + \alpha_{L_{26.3}} \cdot \omega_{L} \cdot \sum_{i}^{L_{i}} \cdot \varepsilon_{r,Li})$$

$$= 0.007 \ \varepsilon_{r_{26.3}} + 0.022 \ \sum_{i}^{L_{i}} \cdot \varepsilon_{r,Li}$$
(47)

$$p[\underline{LX}_{43.4} - 33.2/LX_{33.2}] = a_{26.3} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{33.2} \cdot (\varepsilon_{T33.2} + \alpha_{L33.2} \cdot \overline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \sum_{i}^{L} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,Li})$$
  
= 3.4 \cdot 10^{-4} \varepsilon\_{T33.2} + 0.025 \sum\_{L}^{L} \cdot \varepsilon\_{L} \vare

$$p[\underline{LX}]_{43.4} - 26.3/LX_{26.3} - 33.2/LX_{33.2}]$$
  
=  $(a \cdot c)_{26.3} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{33.2} \cdot (\varepsilon_{r_{26.3}} + \alpha_{L_{26.3}} \cdot \overline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \sum_{i}^{L_{i}} \cdot \varepsilon_{r_{r,Li}}) \cdot (\varepsilon_{r_{33.2}} + \alpha_{L_{33.2}} \cdot \overline{\omega}_{L} \cdot \sum_{i}^{L_{k_{i}}} \cdot \varepsilon_{r_{33.2}})$   
=  $4 \cdot 10^{-5} (\varepsilon_{r_{26.3}} + 3.16 \sum_{i}^{L_{k_{i}}} \cdot \varepsilon_{r_{r,Li}}) \cdot (\varepsilon_{r_{33.2}} + 72.6 \sum_{i}^{L_{k_{i}}} \cdot \varepsilon_{r_{r,Li}})$  (49)

So  

$$p(LX_{43.4}) = 0.435 \ \varepsilon_{r59.5} + 0.007 \ \varepsilon_{r26.3} + 3.4 \ 10^{-4} \ \varepsilon_{r33.2} + 0.24 \ \sum_{i}^{k_{i}} \cdot \varepsilon_{r,Li} - 4 \cdot 10^{-5} \ (\varepsilon_{r26.3} + 3.16 \ \sum_{i}^{k_{i}} \cdot \varepsilon_{r,Li}) \cdot (\varepsilon_{r33.2} + 72.6 \ \sum_{i}^{k_{i}} \cdot \varepsilon_{r,Li})$$
(50)

1 1

1

1 I I I I

(iv) LX<sub>59.5</sub>, L X-rays from the 59.5 keV transition

The coincidence probability of LX59.5, p(59.5), is the same as that of the 59.5 keV  $\gamma$ -line (Eq. 32).

Finally, the P(LX) is expressed as the following:  

$$P(LX) = 0.2035 p(LX_{26.3}) + 0.2447 p(LX_{33.2})$$
  
 $+ 0.1274 p(LX_{43.4}) + 0.4244 p(LX_{59.5})$  (60)  
and the coincidence correction factor COI(LX) is  
 $COI(LX) = 1 - P(LX)$  (70)

# Experimental

The  $\varepsilon_{\rm p}$ -calibration was carried out for an n-type gamma-X detector (Ortec GMX-model), horizontally mounted with a 0.5 mm beryllium window and 0.3-µm inactive germanium layer. The relative efficiency and energy resolution (FWHM) of the detector for <sup>60</sup>Co 1333 keV are 34.8% and 1.77 keV, respectively. The detector was connected to a ND-680 nuclear system through an Ortec spectroscopy amplifier/gated integrator 673 and a ND ADC570. The amplifier time constant was set to 6 µs. The net peak area for  $\gamma$ -lines was calculated using the computer program built into the ND-680 system; the same calculation for multi-energy K X-rays was carried out manually, dealing with the K X-rays as K<sub>a</sub> and K<sub>B</sub> with their respective effective energies.

Standard radioactive solutions listed in Table 1 were used to prepare 11 radioactive standard  $\gamma$ -ray sources by weighing suitable amounts into half-dram polyethylene containers and then diluting to a volume of 1 cm<sup>3</sup>. The activities of the calibration sources were made so as to keep the counting rate (CPS) lower than 400, avoiding pile-up and random coincidence. The uncertainties of the standard radioactive solutions shown in Table 1 vary from 0.5% (<sup>241</sup>Am) to 4.6% (<sup>113</sup>Sn). A strong  $\beta$ source was prepared in a similar manner from a <sup>32</sup>P reference solution with an uncertainty of 10%. Counting statistics were controlled to be better than 0. % for most X- and  $\gamma$ -lines measurements, but no poorer than 1% for the rest.

In-house radioactive sources of <sup>22</sup>Na, <sup>24</sup>Na, <sup>51</sup>Cr, and <sup>65</sup>Zn were calibrated by measuring at positions far from the detector, where the detector efficiencies were well established by the standard radioactive sources.

#### P/T-determination

The peak-to-total ratio,  $P/T = \epsilon_p/\epsilon_T$ , serves to obtain the total efficiency  $\epsilon_r$ , which is the essential parameter in the calculation of the coincidence factor COI, according to the preceding sections.

The radioactive sources <sup>109</sup>Cd (K X-rays, 22.6 keV), <sup>241</sup>Am (59.5 keV), <sup>57</sup>Co (122.1 keV), <sup>203</sup>Hg (279.2 keV), <sup>51</sup>Cr (320.1 keV), <sup>137</sup>Cs (661.6 keV), <sup>54</sup>Mn (834.8 keV), and <sup>65</sup>Zn (1115.5 keV) were measured at the 7 positions listed in Table 3. P/T was calculated as the ratio of the net peak area to the total area of the complete y-spectrum. The latter was compensated for the cut-off of the low-energy part below the threshold energy; the contributions from the environmental background were subtracted along with any co-existing X-rays,  $\gamma$ -rays, and  $\beta$ -particles other than those under consideration. So the P/T-value of 122 keV (<sup>57</sup>Co) was obtained by applying an iterative method for subtracting the contributions from 14 and 136 keV. The same procedure was applied to the P/T-calculations of the following lines: the 279 keV (203 Hg, subtracting the K X-rays contribution), 1115.5 keV (<sup>65</sup>2n, subtracting the 511-keV contribution), and 22.6 keV (109Cd, subtracting the 88-keV contribution). When counted at the 4 positions with no perspex  $\beta$ -absorber between the n-type detector and the source (see Table 2), corrections were applied for the contribution from 1173-keV  $\beta$ -particles in the P/T-calculation for 661 keV ( $^{137}$ Cs). The effect of the L X-

rays on the P/T-value of 59.5 keV ( $^{241}$ Am) was corrected for by simply subtracting the peak-area of the L X-rays. The experimentally determined P/T-curves are shown in Fig. 17.

A reference radioactive source <sup>12</sup>P, the pure  $\beta^-$ -emitter with maximum energy 1.7 MeV, was measured at the 7 positions to obtain  $\epsilon_{r,\beta-}$ , the total efficiency of  $\beta$ -rays.  $\epsilon_{r,\beta-}$  was calculated as follows:

$$\varepsilon_{\tau,\beta^-} = \frac{N_{\tau}}{A_o \cdot e_{\beta} \cdot t_m}$$
(71)

where  $N_{T}$  - the total counts of the obtained spectrum, corrected for the environmental background  $e_{\beta}$  - absolute  $\beta$  -emission intensity The results are shown in Table 2.

#### $\varepsilon_{p}$ -determination

1

The standard  $\gamma$ -ray sources of 15 nuclides were measured at 7 separate positions with distances to the detector from 0.88 to 17.5 cm, with and without a perspex  $\beta$ -absorber between the detector and sample, as indicated in Table 3. The peak efficiency was then calculated by

$$\varepsilon_{\rm p} = \frac{N_{\rm p}}{A_{\rm o} \cdot e \cdot t_{\rm m} \cdot COI}$$
(72)

I I

where  $A_{o}$  - absolute activity

e - emission intensity of measured  $\gamma$  or X-ray

COI - coincidence correction factor (see Eq. 12)

The results are presented in Figs. 18 and 19.

## **Results and Discussion**

#### P/T curves

Obviously, single-line photon emitters are the nuclides most suitable for determining peak-to-total ratios. For use with an n-type detector, however, the number of such nuclides is quite limited. The nuclides used in this work wert selected to satisfy the requirements of uniformly covering a wide energy range, and of being practically coincidence-free when measured close to the detector; they have a single  $\gamma$ -line (<sup>51</sup>Cr and <sup>54</sup>Mn) or at least a dominant one so that the corrections for coexisting X-,  $\gamma$ -, and  $\beta$ -rays will not result in large errors for the P/T values.

Figure 17 shows that as a log-log plot versus photon energy the P/T curves are linear down to about 200 keV; thus a small number of nuclides is sufficient to obtain reliable P/T values in this energy region. At the position closest to the detector (0.88 cm), the uncertainties of the P/T-values in this region varied from 0.75% (<sup>54</sup>Mn) to 1.6% (<sup>203</sup>Hg), with the intermediate percentages of 0.83% (<sup>51</sup>Cr), 1.0% (<sup>137</sup>Cs) and 1.1% (<sup>65</sup>Zn).

In the energy region below 200 keV, however, the P/T curves do not appear to have a common shape that could be fitted to the three P/T-values available in this work. At the 0.88 cm position, the uncertainties of the P/T-values found for  $^{57}$ Co,  $^{241}$ Am and  $^{109}$ Cd are 1.1%, 12%, and 7.1%, respectively. The large uncertainty associated with the P/T value of  $^{241}$ Am (59.5 keV) is due mainly to the correction for the L X-rays. It was found that an aluminium absorber (800 mg/cm<sup>2</sup>) could totally eliminate the L X-rays, so that no correction was needed; however, the corresponding P/T value is then valid only for the particular geometry with an aluminium absorber – and not for the rest of the work. The large uncertainty for <sup>109</sup>Cd is due mainly to a correction for undefined low-energy photon peaks, probably X-rays excited by the <sup>109</sup>Cd in the materials surrounding the detector. Nevertheless, from the point of view of coincidence correction, an uncertainty up to 15% in this energy region is tolerable; this is due to a <sup>1</sup>arge error reduction obtained when calculating the COI factor, as discussed in the following paragraph.

#### COI factors

The coincidence correction factors COI, defined by Eq. (11), were calculated for those photon lines affected by cascade coincidences, based on corresponding formulae in Figs. 2-16. The results are presented in Table 3.

As expected, serious coincidence effects occur at the position closest to the detector (0.88 cm). The 1274.5 keV  $\gamma$ -ray of <sup>22</sup>Na is in cascade with the 511-keV annihilation, and shows the strongest coincidence effect. When measuring at the closest position we must correct for a counting loss of 27%. Even at the most distant position, 17.5 cm from the detector and with a 1.0 cm perspex absorber, a coincidence correction of 1.4% should be applied.

The 1.0 cm perspex absorber removed most low-energy photons so that the main  $\gamma$ -lines of <sup>57</sup>Co (122.1 keV and 136.5 keV), <sup>85</sup>Sr (514 keV), and <sup>241</sup>Am (59.5 keV) were coincidence-free even when counted at the 2.70 cm position. In addition, the coincidence effects of the remaining photon lines of <sup>241</sup>Am (L X-rays and 26.3 keV  $\gamma$ -line) were mostly reduced.

Coincidence of K X-rays with a  $\gamma$ -line could play an important role as demonstrated by the COI values of the 165.9 keV (<sup>139</sup>Ce). This line is in cascade with the K X-rays from electron capture (see Fig. 14), and a 12.4% counting loss should be corrected for at the 0.88 cm position. Because of

the relatively high energy of the K X-rays, there was still a 4.7% coincidence effect at the 2.70 cm position with the 1.0 cm perspex absorber.

The coincidence of high-energy  $\beta^-$ -particles and their bremsstrahlung should also be taken into account when the ntype detector is used. As shown in Fig. 3, the 1368.6 keV and 2754.0 keV  $\gamma$ -rays from <sup>24</sup>Na are in cascade with each other and also with the 1.39 MeV  $\beta^-$ -rays. Table 3 shows that at the 0.88 cm position the coincidence effects of these two  $\gamma$ -lines were 11.7% and 13.4%, respectively. The  $\beta^-$ -coincidence contributed 15% and 13%, respectively, to the total effects. The  $\beta^-$ -coincidence contribution fractions decreased to only 3-4% of the total coincidence effects at the 2.70 cm position, with the 1.0 cm perspex absorber.

The total detection efficiencies for <sup>32</sup>P-particles or bremsstrahlung (Table 2) were used for the  $\beta^-$ -coincidence calculation of <sup>24</sup>Na. Obviously, the  $\epsilon_{r,\beta^-}$  is a function of the  $\beta^-$ energy spectrum. The difference in the energies of <sup>32</sup>P (1.7 MeV) and <sup>24</sup>Na (1.4 MeV) would introduce some error in the COI calculation, but it was presumed to be unimportant due to the large error reduction in the calculation of COI.

As a demonstration, we will discuss uncertainties of the COI values at the 0.88 cm position for some representative photon lines. A relative uncertainty of 1.2% was found in the COI value (0.729) of <sup>22</sup>Na 1274.5 keV, mainly coming from the uncertainty of  $\varepsilon_{\tau,SII}$ . The uncertainties associated with the nuclear constants used in the calculation formulae ( $\omega$ ,  $e_{\tau}$ ,  $a_{\tau}$ , and  $\alpha$ ) may be neglected for most nuclides in this work, because their decay schemes and nuclear parameters are well established. In this example, an uncertainty of about 3% in  $\varepsilon_{\tau,SII}$  resulted in an uncertainty of only 1.2% in the COI value due to the large error reduction, as shown next:

In the simplest case of  $\gamma - \gamma$  coincidence

COI = 1-P (see Eq. (12),  $^{SUM}P = 0$ ), and  $P = a \cdot c \cdot \epsilon_T$  (see Eq. (2)).

I<sup>c</sup> uncercainties of the nuclear constants a and c are ignored, the relative uncertainty of COI is

$$S_{cor} = \frac{S_{e_T}}{1/P - 1}$$
(73)

In general, the largest value of P is about 0.25 (closest position to a large volume detector), and the uncertainty of  $\varepsilon_{\rm T}$  will be reduced by a factor of three. In this work most P values at the 0.88 cm position are about 0.15 or lower; the error reduction factors are then 5.6 or higher. In other words, a 10% uncertainty of the  $\varepsilon_{\rm T}$  will result in uncertainties of less than 2% for the calculated COI values.

As an example of X- $\gamma$  coincidence, the uncertainty in the COI value (0.876) of the 165.9 keV (<sup>139</sup>Ce) was found to be 2.1%; it stems from the uncertainties of  $\varepsilon_{T,KX}$  and atomic parameters related to X-ray emission. The latter numbers, particular the  $\omega$ , have lárger uncertainties.

For the  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$  coincidence in the energy region higher than 200 keV, where both  $\varepsilon_{\rm p}$  and P/T were well determined, the COI values were established with uncertainties below about 1%. For example the relative uncertainty in the COI value (0.880) for <sup>60</sup>Co 1332 keV was 0.5%.

As discussed above, 1368 keV of <sup>24</sup>Na is in cascade with the 1.4 MeV  $\beta^-$  and 2754 keV  $\gamma$ -lines. The uncertainty in the COI value (0.883) of the 1368 keV was found to be 1.1 %, estimated from the uncertainties of  $\varepsilon_{r,2754}$  (5.8 %) and  $\varepsilon_{r,8^-}$  (20 %).

This example clearly shows that a significant coincidence effect can be corrected for satisfactorily, in spite of large uncertainties associated with the  $\varepsilon_{r}$ -values.

#### $\varepsilon_{p}$ -curves

Figures 18 and 19 show photo-peak efficiencies  $\epsilon_{\rm p}$  calculated by Eq. (71) using coincidence correction factors listed in Table 3 for two counting geometries: without and with a 1.0 cm perspex absorber, respectively. The  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_p$  has a maximum value at the region 60-90 keV (without absorber) or 60-100 keV (with the absorber). In a log/log representation,  $\epsilon_{\rm p}$  is a linear function of photon energy E in the energy region from about 200 keV to 2000 keV. Above 2000 keV,  $\epsilon_{\rm p}$  at the 2754.0 keV point (<sup>24</sup>Na) indicates a more rapid decrease, corresponding to an increasing escape peak efficiency. In the energy region below 50 keV,  $\epsilon_{\rm p}$  decreases with decreasing photon energy due to the self-absorption in the sample volume together with the absorption in the air, the beryllium window, and the 0.3  $\mu$ m inactive germanium layer. For the counting geometry with 1.0 cm perspex absorber, the decrease is expected to be much more pronounced.

The uncertainty evaluation of the  $\varepsilon_{\rm p}$ -values in Figs. 18 and 19 should be based on Eq. (72). It should include the uncertainties of the absolute photon emission intensities of the standard sources listed in Table 1, counting statistics of the net peak area, and the COI factor. For homemade sources that were calibrated by measuring at positions furthest from the detector, the uncertainty evaluation should include also the uncertainties associated with the calibration procedure. For the main  $\gamma$ -lines evaluated in this work, the uncertainties of photon emission intensities are negligible. But this is not the case with weak  $\gamma$ -lines and X-rays. For instance, the uncertainties of the 14.4 (<sup>57</sup>Co) and 36.6 keV (K<sub>β</sub>, <sup>137</sup>Cs) lines are 2.6% and 3.7%, respectively.

-----

The uncertainties of the  $\varepsilon_p$ -values at 17.5 cm positions with and without the 1.0 cm perspex absorber were largely determined by the uncertainties of the standard radioactive sources. There were no or only minor coincidence effects. The uncertainties were estimated to be 2.0% in the region 200-2000 keV, 2.1% for the 2754.0 keV point (<sup>24</sup>Na), 3.2% in the 50-200 keV region and 3.8% for energies below 50 keV.

The uncertainty of the coincidence correction should be taken into account for uncertainty evaluations of  $\varepsilon_p$ -values at positions other than 17.5 cm. For the  $\varepsilon_p$ -values at the 0.88 cm position, where the largest coincidence corrections were applied, the uncertainties were estimated to be 2.8% in the 200-2000 keV region, 3.1 % for the  $\varepsilon_p$ -value at 2754 keV, 3.8 % in the 50-200 keV region and 4.3 % for the region below 50 keV.

# Conclusion

This work successfully demonstrates a method to determine the photo-peak efficiency of the n-type gamma-X detector at positions close to the detector, for the energy range 12 to 2754 keV. Between 200 and 2000 keV, uncertainties are only a few per cent and for the other energies no more than 5%. The key to achieving these results was to correct accurately for the coincidence effects using formulae derived in this work for  $\gamma$ - $\gamma$ , X- $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma$ -511-keV annihilation, and  $\beta$ - $\gamma$  cascade coincidences. Additional work was required to determine the P/T-curves with a small number of nuclides.

# References

- [1] Moens, L., De Donder, J., Lin, X.L., De Corte, F., and Hoste, J., Nucl. Instrum. and Methods, 187 (1981) 451
- [2] Moens, L., Ph.D. Thesis, State Univ. Gent (1981)
- [3] Lin, X.L. and Zhang, W.H., Nucl. Tech. 10 (1986) 1
- [4] De Corte, F., "Hoger Aggregaat" Thesis, State Univ. Gent (1987)
- [5] Lagoutine, F., Coursol, N., and Legrand, J., Table de Radionucleides, CEA-LMRI, Saclay (1982, 1983 and 1984)
- [6] Brown, E. and Firestone, R., Table of Radioactive Isotopes (Ed. Shirley, V.S.), John Wiley & Sons, New York (1986)
- [7] Lederer, C.M. and Shirley, V.S., Table of Isotopes, 7th Edit., John Wiley & Sons, New York (1978)
- [8] Ellis-Akovali, Y.A., Nucl. Dat. Sheets 44 (1985) 407
- [9] Krause, M.O., Nestor, C.W., Sparks, C.J., and Ricci, E., Oak Ridge Nat. Lab. Report ORNL-5399 (1978)
- [10] Gunnink, R., Evans. J.E., and Prindle, A.L. UCRL-52139 (1976)
- [11] Yoshizawa, Y., Iwata, Y., Fukuhara, K., and Inoue, H., in: A.L. Nichols, Intern. Cormittee for Radionuclide Metrology, Rept. ICRM 3nd 2/85 (June 1985)
- [12] Schötzig, U. and Schrader, H., Halbwertszeiten und Photonen-Emissionswahrscheinlichkeiten von häufig verwendeten Radionukliden, CPTB-Bericht RA-16 (1984)
- [13] Ballaux, C., Coursey, B.M., and Hopper, D.D., Appl. Radiat. Isot. 39 (1988) 1131 (Int. J. Radiat. Appl. Instrum. Part A)

# Tables

- Table 1 Standard and Reference Radioactive Solutions Used in  $\epsilon_{\!p}\text{-}\textsc{Determination}$
- Table 2 Total Efficiency of  $^{32}\text{P}$   $\beta^-\text{-rays}$

Table 3 Coincidence Correction Factor, COI, at Different Counting Conditions

#### Table 1

	Nuclide	E <sub>y</sub> kev	Overall Uncertainty %
Certified radioactive	<sup>109</sup> Cd	88.1	± 3.8
solution	<sup>57</sup> Co	122.1	± 2.3
QCY.44	<sup>139</sup> Ce	165.9	± 3.8
Amersham (UK)	<sup>203</sup> Hg	279.2	± 2.2
	<sup>113</sup> Sn	391.7	± 4.6
	<sup>85</sup> Sr	514.0	± 1.9
	<sup>137</sup> Cs	661.6	± 3.1
	<sup>88</sup> Y	898.0	± 3.8
	<sup>60</sup> Co	1173.2	± 0.8
		1332.6	± 0.8
	<sup>88</sup> Y	1836.1	± 2.8
Standard radioactive solution - CUZ.64 Amersham (UK)	<sup>109</sup> Cd	88.1	± 1.17 ± 1.00
Certified radioactive solution Amersham (UK)	<sup>241</sup> Am	59.5	± 0.5
Certified radioactive solution LMRI (France)	<sup>54</sup> Mn	834.8	± 1.0
Reference radioactive solution	<sup>32</sup> P	β-	± 10 %

I.

I.

Standard/Reference Radioactive Solutions Used in  $\epsilon_p\-Determination$ 

Tab	le	2
-----	----	---

Total Efficiency of <sup>32</sup>P  $\beta$  -rays

Distance from		without perspex absorber				with a 1.0 cm perspex absorb				
detector face	0.88 cm	2.70 cm	7.60 cm	17.5 cm	2.70 cm	7.60 cm	17.5 cm			
$\varepsilon_{\tau,\beta}$ ( <sup>32</sup> P)	1.78×10 <sup>-2</sup>	9.37×10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.51×10 <sup>-3</sup>	5.93×10 <sup>-4</sup>	2.17×10 <sup>-3</sup>	6.30×10 <sup>-4</sup>	1.61×10 <sup>-4</sup>			

#### Table 3

#### Coincidence Correction Factor, COI, at Different Counting Conditions

<u> </u>			· · · ·		COI					
Isotope	Photon energy (keV)	۲. ۲.	without perspex absorber				with a 1.0 cm perspex absorber			
		0.88 cm*	2.70 cm*	7.60 cm*	17.5 cm*	2.70 cm*	7.60 cm*	17.5 cm*		
²²Na	511.0	0.882	0.940	0.981	0.993	0.942	0.981	0.994		
	1274.5	0.729	0.865	0.957	0.986	0.871	0.959	0.986		
24Na	1368.6	0.883	0.942	0.981	0.994	0.948	0.983	0.994		
	2754.0	0.866	0.933	0.978	0.993	0.940	0.980	0.994		
<sup>57</sup> Co	14.4	0.796	0.900	0.969	0.991	0.908	0.971	0.992		
	122.1	0.993	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
	136.5	1.044	1.022	1.006	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
<sup>85</sup> Sr	13.6	0.852	0.926	0.976	0.992	0.929	0.977	0.993		
	514.0	0.968	0.982	0.994	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0		
<sup>88</sup> Y	898.0	0.859	0.925	0.976	0.992	0.943	0.981	0.994		
	1836.1	0.845	0.919	0.974	0.992	0.937	0.980	0.993		
60CO	1173.2	0.884	0.941	0.981	0.994	0.943	0.981	0.994		
	1332.5	0.880	0.939	0.980	0.993	0.941	0.981	0.994		
<sup>139</sup> Ce	165.9	0.876	0.937	0.983	1.0	0.953	0.987	1.0		
<sup>241</sup> Am	11.87 13.93 **17.58	0.963	0.982	1.0	1.0	0.989	1.0	1.0		
	21.0 26.3 59.5	) 0.963 0.995	0.981 1.0	1.0 1.0	1.0 1.0	0.993 1.0	1.0 1.0	1.0 1.0		

\*Distance from the detector face \*\*Effective energy of  $L_\eta$  and  $L_\beta$ 

# **Figures**

- Fig. 1. Four basic cascade-decay schemes used for deriving coincidence correction factors
- Fig. 2. Decay scheme of <sup>22</sup>Na
- Fig. 3. Decay scheme of <sup>24</sup>Na
- Fig. 4. Decay scheme of <sup>51</sup>Cr
- Fig. 5. Decay scheme of <sup>54</sup>Mn
- Fig. 6. Decay scheme of <sup>57</sup>Co
- Fig. 7. Decay scheme of <sup>60</sup>Co
- Fig. 8. Decay scheme of <sup>65</sup>Zn
- Fig. 9. Decay scheme of <sup>85</sup>Sr
- Fig. 10. Decay scheme of <sup>88</sup>Y
- Fig. 11. Decay scheme of <sup>109</sup>Cd
- Fig. 12. Decay scheme of <sup>113</sup>Sn
- Fig. 13. Decay scheme of <sup>137</sup>Cs
- Fig. 14. Decay scheme of <sup>139</sup>Ce
- Fig. 15. Decay scheme of <sup>203</sup>Hg
- Fig. 16. Decay scheme of <sup>241</sup>Am

# Fig. 17. P/T-curves a) P/T-curves at 3 positions with a 10-mm perspex absorber b) P/T-curves at 4 positions without perspex absorber

- \* distances from the detector face (refer to Table 3)
- Fig. 18.  $\varepsilon_p$ -curves determined at 3 positions with a 10-mm perspex absorber \* distances from the detector face (refer to Table 3)
- Fig. 19. ε<sub>p</sub>-curves determined at 4 positions without perspex absorber \* distances from the detector face (refer to Table 3)



Fig. 1. Four basic cascade-decay schemes used for deriving coincidence correction factors.



$$COI = 1 - P$$

$$P(1274.5 \ keV) = \frac{2\epsilon(\beta^{+})}{e_{\gamma}} \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \epsilon_{T,511} = 1.805 \ \epsilon_{T,511}$$

$$P(511 \ keV) = a \cdot c \cdot \epsilon_{T,1274.5} = \epsilon_{T,1274.5}$$

Fig. 2. Decay scheme of <sup>22</sup>Na.



$$COI = 1 - P$$

$$P(1368.6 \ keV) = \frac{\varepsilon(\beta^{-}1.390)}{e_{1368.6}} \cdot a_{2754.0} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{1368.6} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,\beta^{-}1390} + \frac{\varepsilon_{2754.0}}{e_{1368.6}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{1368.6} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,2754.0}$$

$$-\frac{\varepsilon(\beta^{-}1390)}{e_{1368.6}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{2754.0} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{1368.6} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,\beta^{-}1390} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,2754.0}$$

$$= 0.9995\varepsilon_{T,\beta^{-}1390}(1 - \varepsilon_{T,2754.0}) + 0.9989\varepsilon_{T,2754.0}$$

$$P(2754.0 \ keV) = \frac{\varepsilon(\beta^{-}1390)}{e_{2754.0}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{2754.0} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,\beta^{-}1390} + (a \cdot c)_{1368.6} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,1368.6}$$

$$-\frac{\varepsilon(\beta^{-}1390)}{e_{2754.0}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{2754.0} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{1368.6} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,\beta^{-}1390} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,1368.6}$$

$$= 1.0007\varepsilon_{T,\beta^{-}1390}(1 - \varepsilon_{T,1368.6}) + \varepsilon_{T,1368.6}$$

Fig. 3. Decay scheme of <sup>24</sup>Na.



Fig. 4. Decay scheme of <sup>\$1</sup>Cr.



Fig. 5. Decay scheme of <sup>54</sup>Mn.

I.



$$COI = 1 + P^{SUM}$$

$$P^{SUM}(136.5keV) = \frac{e_{1?2.1}}{e_{136.5}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{14.41} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon_{p,122.1} \cdot \varepsilon_{p,14.41}}{\varepsilon_{p,136.5}} = 0.8351 \frac{\varepsilon_{p,122.1} \cdot \varepsilon_{p,14.41}}{\varepsilon_{r,136.5}}$$

$$COI = 1 - P$$

$$P(122.1 \ keV) = (a \cdot c)_{14.41} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,14.41} = 0.104\varepsilon_{T,14.41}$$

$$P(14.41 \ keV) = \frac{e_{122.1}}{e_{14.41}} (a \cdot c)_{..4.41} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,122.1} = 0.9749\varepsilon_{T,122.1}$$

Fig. 6. Decay scheme of <sup>57</sup>Co.



$$COI = 1 - P$$

$$P(1173.2 \ keV) = (a \cdot c)_{1332.5} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,1332.5} = 0.9999 \varepsilon_{T,1332.5}$$

$$P(1332.5 \ keV) = \frac{e_{1173.2}}{e_{1332.5}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{1332.5} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,1173.2} = 0.9989 \varepsilon_{T,1173.2}$$





Fig. 8. Decay scheme of  $^{65}Zn$ .



where  $e_{x_i}$  - emission intensity of  $E_{x_i}$ 

$$COI = 1 - P$$

$$P(514.0 \ keV) = \frac{\varepsilon(EC) \cdot P_K \cdot \omega_K}{e_{514.0}} \cdot a \cdot c \cdot \sum_i k_i \cdot \varepsilon_{T,K_i} = 0.512\varepsilon_{T,13.37} + 0.081\varepsilon_{T,14.99}$$

$$P(K \ X - rays) = a \cdot c \cdot \varepsilon_{T,514.0} = 0.993\varepsilon_{T,514.0}$$

Fig. 9. Decay scheme of <sup>85</sup>Sr.



COI = 1 - P  $P(898.0 \ keV)^{\dagger} = (0.5253\varepsilon_{T,14.14} + 0.0856\varepsilon_{T,15.88}) \cdot (1 - \varepsilon_{T,1836.1}) + \varepsilon_{T,1836.1}$   $P(1836.1 \ keV)^{\dagger} = (0.6101 - 0.5786\varepsilon_{T,898.0}) \cdot (0.8599\varepsilon_{T,14.14} + 0.1401\varepsilon_{T,15.88}) + 0.9471\varepsilon_{T,898.0}$   $P(K \ X - rays)^{\dagger} = 0.9425\varepsilon_{T,898.0} \cdot (1 - \varepsilon_{T,1836.1}) + 0.9939\varepsilon_{T,1836.1}$ <sup>†</sup> refer to the text for detailed discussion

Fig. 10. Decay scheme of <sup>88</sup>Y.



Fig. 11. Decay scheme of <sup>109</sup>Cd.



Fig. 12. Decay scheme of  $^{113}Sn$ .



Fig. 13. Decay scheme of  $^{137}Cs$ .



$$COI = 1 - P$$

$$P(165.9 \ keV) = \frac{\varepsilon(EC) \cdot P_K \cdot \omega_K}{\varepsilon_{165.9}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{165.9} \cdot (k_{\alpha} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,k\alpha} + k_{\beta} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,K\beta})$$

$$= 0.5173\varepsilon_{T,33.30} + 0.1252\varepsilon_{T,38.02}$$

$$P(K \ X-rays) = R_{KX(EC)} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{165.9}[\varepsilon_{T,165.9} + \alpha_K \cdot \omega_K \cdot (k_{\alpha} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,K\alpha} + k_{\beta} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,K\beta})]$$

$$+ R_{KX(IC)} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon(EC) \cdot P_K \cdot \omega_K}{\varepsilon_{165.9}} \cdot (a \cdot c)_{165.9} \cdot (k_{\alpha} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,K\alpha} + k_{\beta} \cdot \varepsilon_{T,K\beta})$$

$$= 0.6438\varepsilon_{T,165.9} + 0.2010\varepsilon_{T,33.3} + 0.0486\varepsilon_{T,38.02}$$
with  $R_{KX(EC)} = \frac{\varepsilon_{KX(EC)}}{\varepsilon_{KX(EC)} + \varepsilon_{KX(IC)}} = 0.8057; R_{KX(IC)} = \frac{\varepsilon_{KX(IC)}}{\varepsilon_{KX(EC)} + \varepsilon_{KX(IC)}} = 0.1943$ 

Fig. 14. Decay scheme of  $^{139}Ce$ .



Fig. 15. Decay scheme of <sup>203</sup>Hg.



Fig. 16. Decay scheme of <sup>241</sup>Am.





- a) P/T-curves at 3 positions with a 10-mm perspex absorber
- b) P/T-curves at 4 positions without perspex absorber
- \* distances from the detector face (refer to Table 3)



Fig. 18. &p-curves determined at 3 positions with a 10-mm
perspex absorber
 \* distances from the detector face (refer to Table 3)

I.



Fig. 19. E<sub>p</sub>-curves determined at 4 positions without perspex absorber \* distances from the detector face (refer to Table 3)

**Rise National Laboratory** Risø – R – **593** Title and author(s) Date June 1991 Department or group Correction for X- and  $\gamma$ -ray coincidence Isotope Division effects in the efficiency calibration of Groups own registration number(s) an n-type germanium detector Project/contract no. Lin Xilei and K. Heydom References 13 Tables 3 Pages 57 Illustrations ISBN 87-550-1753-3 19

Abstract (Max. 2000 char.)

The absolute full-energy peak efficiency  $(\varepsilon_p)$  is an essential parameter in work related to the determination of gamma-ray intensities.  $\varepsilon_p$  may be determined by means of radioactive standard point sources with single or multiple gamma energies covering the range of interest. Cascade coincidence effects may be avoided by counting point sources 15 cm or more from the detector; however, the efficiency of actual samples measured at positions closer to the detector may have to be determined by means of standard sources of the same geometry and with similar main components as the actual sample.

For n-type gamma-X detectors the situation is particularly difficult. Even single gamma-ray standard sources useful for calibration of p-type gamma-ray detectors cannot always be assumed to be coincidence-free; this is due to the much higher efficiency for X-rays, resulting in gamma-X coincidence effects when counting close to an n-type detector.

This work includes the study of combinations of cascade coincidences between gamma-rays, X-rays, and 511 keV annihilation radiation, as well as β-radiation and its associated bremsstrahlung. The radionuclides <sup>22</sup>Na, <sup>24</sup>Na, <sup>51</sup>Cr, <sup>54</sup>Mn, <sup>57</sup>Co, <sup>60</sup>Co, <sup>65</sup>Zn, <sup>85</sup>Sr, <sup>89</sup>Y, <sup>109</sup>Cd, <sup>113</sup>Sn, <sup>137</sup>Cs, <sup>139</sup>Ce, <sup>203</sup>Hg, and <sup>241</sup>Am were scrutinized for potential cascade coincidences, and practical corrections were calculated.

A gamma-X detector with a relative efficiency of 35 % was calibrated with these 15 nuclides in 1 cm<sup>3</sup> aqueous solutions in half-dram polyethylene containers at 7 distances, ranging from 0.88 cm to 17.5 cm, with and without a perspex  $\beta$ -absorber between the detector and sample. After correcting for coincidences, smooth  $\varepsilon_p$ -curves were obtained for the energy range 12 to 2750 keV, even for the position closest to the detector.

#### Descriptors

05000403

CALIBRATION; COINCIDENCE SPECTROMETRY; GAMMA DETECTION; GAMMA CASCADES; GE SEMICONDUCTOR DETECTORS; X-RAY DETECTION

Available on request from Riss Library, Riss National Laboratory, (Riss Bibliotek, Forekningscenter Riss), P.O. Box 49, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark.

Telephone 02 37 12 12, ext. 2282. Telex: 43116, Telefax: 02 36 05 09

Sales distributors: G.E.C. Gad Strøget Vimmelskaftet 32 DK-1161 Copenhagen K, Denmark

Available on exchange from: Risø Library, Risø National Laboratory, P.O. Box 49, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark Phone 42 37 12 12, ext. 2268/2269 Telex 43 116, Telefax 46 75 56 27

ISBN 87-550-1753-3 ISSN 0106-2840