Technical University of Denmark



Structure determination of a novel metal-organic compound synthesized from aluminum and 2,5-pyridinedicarboxylic acid

Ståhl, Kenny; Brink, Bastian; Andersen, Jonas

Published in: Powder Diffraction

Link to article, DOI: 10.1154/1.3659288

Publication date: 2011

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA): Ståhl, K., Brink, B., & Andersen, J. (2011). Structure determination of a novel metal-organic compound synthesized from aluminum and 2,5-pyridinedicarboxylic acid. Powder Diffraction, 26(S1), S44-S46. DOI: 10.1154/1.3659288

DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Structure determination of a novel metal-organic compound synthesized from aluminum and 2,5-pyridinedicarboxylic acid

Kenny Ståhl,^{a)} Bastian Brink, and Jonas Andersen

Department of Chemistry, Building 207, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

(Received 8 August 2011; accepted 1 September 2011)

The structure of $[Al_2(pydc)_2(\mu_2\text{-}OH)_2(H_2O)_2]_n(pydc = 2,5\text{-pyridinedicarboxylate})$ was successfully determined from powder X-ray diffraction data. The compound crystallizes in the triclinic system (space group P -1) with a = 6.7813(1) Å, b = 7.4944(1) Å, c = 8.5013(1) Å, $\alpha = 95.256(1)^\circ$, $\beta = 102.478(1)^\circ$, $\gamma = 108.979(1)^\circ$. The structure consists of aluminum ions coordinating N and O in distorted octahedra, sharing an edge through two hydroxide ions. These dinuclear complexes are connected by pydc ions, which at one end coordinate by nitrogen and oxygen and only by oxygen at the other end. The pydc orientation is reversed in the neighboring pydc, forming double stranded chains interconnected by the aluminum dinuclear complexes in a ladder-like arrangement along [001]. © 2011 International Centre for Diffraction Data. [DOI: 10.1154/1.3659288]

Key words: aluminum, 2,5-pyridinedicarboxylic acid, hydrothermal synthesis, metal-organic framework, XRPD

I. INTRODUCTION

Studies of dicarboxylic acids and their reactions with various metals have led to novel materials, the so-called metal organic frameworks (MOFs). These compounds can form layered materials as well as microporous and macroporous three-dimensional structures. Increasing interest in MOF is connected with the possible applications in, e.g., gas storage, gas separation, drug delivery, ion exchange, and catalysis (Férey, 2008). In the investigation of functionalized materials based on the well-known MOFs, MIL-53 (Loiseau et al., 2004) and MOF-5 (Li et al., 1999) with benzene-1,4dicarboxylate linkers, a new material was synthesized from 2,5-pyridinedicarboxylic acid (H₂pydc) and aluminum nitrate. This paper presents the synthesis and structure determination and refinement from X-ray powder diffraction data for a new aluminum 2,5-pyridinedicarboxylate compound, $[Al_2(pydc)_2(\mu_2-OH)_2(H_2O)_2]_n$.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

A. Synthesis

The synthesis of aluminum 2,5-pyridinedicarboxylate hydroxide dehydrate is carried out with 5.5 g aluminum nitrate nonahydrate (Sigma-Aldrich 98+ % ACS), 2.95 g 2,5-pyridinedicarboxylic acid (Aldrich, 98%) and 0.7 g sodium hydroxide (Fluka \geq 97.0%) dissolved in 150 ml of water. The solution is transferred to a Teflon lined stainless steel autoclave and heated to 150 °C for 24 h. The solution is cooled and the crystals are separated by centrifugation and washed with water to neutral *p*H. The white crystals are finally dried in air at 102 °C.

B. Data collection

The powder diffraction data were collected using a Huber G670 Guinier imaging plate camera, using Cu $K\alpha_1$

radiation. The samples were placed in a thin layer on scotch tape and rotated during data collection. Data were collected in transmission mode for 2 h in the range of 3 to 100° in steps of 0.005° in 2θ .

C. Structure determination and refinements

The pattern was indexed using the program ITO (Visser, 1969) and the crystal structure subsequently solved by EXPO (Altomare *et al.*, 1994; Altomare *et al.*, 1995). The structure was refined by WINPOW (a local variation of LHMP, Howard *et al.*, 1986). The Rietveld refinement was carried out by refining 80 parameters in a 2θ interval from 7° to 99° in steps of 0.005° in 2θ . A split pseudo-Voigt profile function was applied together with a Chebyshev background polynomial. All nonhydrogen

TABLE I. Crystallographic data and refinement summary of $[Al_2(pydc)_2(\mu_2\text{-}OH)_2(H_{2O})_2]_{\infty}$.

Formula	C ₇ H ₆ AlNO ₆
Formula mass (g/mol)	227.11
Crystal system	Triclinic
Space group	P 1
<i>a</i> (Å)	6.7813(1)
b (Å)	7.4944(1)
<i>c</i> (Å)	8.5013(1)
α (°)	95.257(1)
β (°)	102.478(1)
γ (°)	108.979(1)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	392.73(3)
Z	2
$\rho (g/cm^3)$	1.895
No. of parameters	80
$R_{ m wp}$ (%)	5.77
GOF	3.14
$R_{\rm p}$ (%)	4.16
No. of Bragg reflections	791
$R_{\mathrm{B}}\left(\% ight)$	1.83

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: kenny@kemi.dtu.dk

TABLE II. Fractional coordinates and thermal parameters of $[Al_2(pydc)_2(\mu_2\text{-}OH)_2(H_2O)_2]_\infty.$

Atom	Х	У	Z	$U_{iso({\mathring{A}}^2)}$
Al1	0.2013(5)	0.1529(4)	0.5291(3)	0.0515(9)
C1	0.2500(8)	0.1244(8)	0.8971(6)	0.0206(5)
C2	0.2458(9)	0.1989(9)	1.0622(8)	0.0206(5)
C3	0.2225(8)	0.3740(8)	1.0812(7)	0.0206(5)
C4	0.2407(7)	0.4843(7)	0.9628(7)	0.0206(5)
C5	0.2313(9)	0.4219(10)	0.8040(8)	0.0206(5)
C6	0.2550(10)	0.0619(8)	1.1882(8)	0.0206(5)
C7	0.2512(9)	0.5323(8)	0.6600(7)	0.0206(5)
N1	0.2421(7)	0.2354(6)	0.7843(6)	0.0206(5)
01	0.3082(5)	-0.0704(5)	1.1760(5)	0.0206(5)
O2	0.2212(6)	0.1511(5)	1.3129(4)	0.0206(5)
03	0.2742(6)	0.6932(5)	0.6970(4)	0.0206(5)
O4	0.2571(5)	0.4251(5)	0.5405(4)	0.0206(5)
05	0.8944(5)	0.1041(6)	0.4704(4)	0.0206(5)
06	0.5202(5)	0.2048(4)	0.5903(4)	0.0206(5)
H1	0.2649	0.0035	0.8732	0.0380
H3	0.1935	0.4213	1.1784	0.0380
H4	0.2662	0.6175	0.9966	0.0380



Figure 2. (Color) The molecular structure and atomic positions of $[Al_2(pydc)_2(\mu_2-OH)_2(H_2O)_2]_n$. Red: oxygen, blue: nitrogen, green: aluminum, grey: carbon, white: calculated hydrogen positions.

are shown in Figure 1. In addition, a CIF file is provided as supplemental data.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

coordinates were refined with thermal parameters coupled for the pydc and for the hydroxyl and water oxygens. The expected bond lengths of the pydc (Liang *et al.*, 2001) were used as restrains. Hydrogens of the pydc were added in calculated positions and allowed to ride on the corresponding carbon atom. The hydroxyl and water hydrogens could not be located. Some crystallographic data and a refinement summary are given in Table I, the resulting atomic parameters in Table II and the refinement profiles





Figure 1. Rietveld refinement profile for $[Al_2(pydc)_2(\mu_2-OH)_2]$ $(H_2O)_2]_n$. The top pattern illustrates observed intensities, while the lower curve shows the difference from calculated intensities. Bragg positions are illustrated by short vertical bars.

TABLE III. Selected interatomic and tentative hydrogen bond distances in $[Al_2(pydc)_2(\mu_2\text{-}OH)_2(H_2O)_2]_n.$

Bond	Distance (Å)
Al1-O2 ^a	1.870 (5)
Al1-O4 ^b	1.940 (5)
Al1-O5 ^c	1.936 (4)
Al1-O5	1.821 (5)
Al1-06	2.002 (4)
Al1-N1	2.145 (5)
0106 ^d	2.539 (5)
O3O5 ^e	2.542 (5)

^aSymmetry code: 1-x, y, 1-z.

^bSymmetry code: x, y, z-1.

^cSymmetry code: x-1, y, z.

^dSymmetry code: 1-x, y, 2-z. ^eSymmetry code: 1-x, 1-y, 1-z.

Symmetry code: 1-x, 1-y, 1-z.

in Figure 3. The ladders are tentatively connected by hydrogen bonds. Although the hydroxyl and water hydrogen positions could not be located in this study the O5 and O6 distances to the uncoordinated carboxylate O1 and O3 in the range 2.539(5) to 2.542(5) Å suggest reasonably strong hydrogen bonding (Table III). The crystal structure of $[Al_2(pydc)_2(\mu_2-OH)_2(H_2O)_2]_n$ is surprisingly similar to the structures of $[Ni_2(Hdcp)_2(\mu_2-H_2O)_2(H_2O)_2]_n$ (King et al., 2004) and $[Cu_2(Hdcp)_2(\mu_2-H_2O)_2(H_2O)_2]_n$ (Hdpc = pyrazole-3,5-dicarboxylate) (Han and Ma, 2006) despite the difference in ring system of the organic linker and in cation charge. The charge difference is compensated for by exchanging one water by one hydroxyl ion per aluminum. The hydroxyl O5 thus shows a significantly shorter Al coordination distance than the water O6, 1.825(5) Å as compared with 2.005(4) Å, as also observed in MIL-53 (Loiseau et al., 2004). Also the hydrogen bonding schemes will be different in that the additional water H and the protonated pyrazole N allow for more hydrogen bonds (King et al., 2004; Han and Ma, 2006).

IV. CONCLUSION

The crystal structure of $[Al_2(pydc)_2(\mu_2-OH)_2(H_2O)_2]_{\infty}$ was successfully determined from X-ray powder diffraction data. The structure can be described as a parallel stacking of ladders, with ladder steps consisting of two edge-sharing aluminum octahedra connected by organic 2,5-pyridinedicarboxylate linkers forming a one-dimensional metal-organic network structure.



Figure 3. (Color) Packing of the unit cell of $[Al_2(pydc)_2(\mu_2-OH)_2(H_2O)_2]_n$ seen along the *a* axis. Red: oxygen, blue: nitrogen, green: aluminum, grey: carbon. Hydrogens are omitted for clarity.

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., and Polodori, G. (1995). "EXTRA: A program for extracting structure-factor amplitudes from powder diffraction data," J. Appl. Crystallogr. 28, 842–846.
- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Burla, M. C., Polodori, G., and Camalli, G. (1994). "SIR92—A program for automatic solution of crystal structures by direct methods," J. Appl. Crystallogr. 27, 435–436.
- Férey, G. (2008). "Hybrid porous solids: Past, present, future," Chem. Soc. Rev. 37, 191–214.
- Han, Z. and Ma, Y. (2006). "Poly[di-µ₂-aqua-µ-pyrazole-3,5-dicarboxylatocopper(II)]," Acta. Crystallogr. E 62, m2236–m2237.
- Howard, C. J. and Hill, R. J. (1986). A computer program for Rietveld analysis of fixed wavelength X-ray and neutron powder diffraction patterns, AAEC (now ANSTO) Report M112, Lucas Heights Research Laboratory, Australia.
- King, P., Clérac, R., Anson, C. E., and Powell, A. K. (2004). "The building block approach to extended solids: 3,5-pyrazoledicarboxylate coordination compounds of increasing dimensionality," Dalton Trans. 852–861.
- Li, H., Eddaoudi, M., O'Keeffe, M., and Yaghi, O. M. (1999). "Design and synthesis of an exceptionally stable and highly porous metal-organic framework," Nature (London) 402, 276–279.
- Liang, Y., Hong, M., Cao, R., and Weng, J. (2001). "Hydrothermal synthesis and structure characterization of compound Zn(H₂pydc)₂(H₂O) (pydc = pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylate)," Chinese J. Struct. Chem. 20, 455–458.
- Loiseau, T., Serre, C., Huguenard, C., Fink, G., Taulelle, F., Henry, M., Bataille, T., and Férey, G. (2004). "A Rationale for the large breathing of the porous aluminum terephthalate (MIL-53) upon hydration," Chem. Eur. J. 10, 1373–1382.
- See supplementary material at http://dx.doi.org/10.1154/1.3659288 for Crystallograpfic Information File (CIF)
- Visser, J. W. (1969). "A fully automatic program for finding the unit cell from powder data," J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2, 89–95.