# brought to you by I CORE

## Technical University of Denmark



EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (CEF); Scientific Opinion on Flavouring Group Evaluation 220, Revision 1 (FGE.220Rev1): alpha,beta-Unsaturated ketones and precursors from chemical subgroup 4.4 of FGE.19: 3(2H)-Furanones.

EFSA Publication; Larsen, John Christian; Nørby, Karin Kristiane; Beltoft, Vibe Meister; Lund, Pia; Binderup, Mona-Lise

Link to article, DOI: 10.2903/j.efsa.2011.1841

Publication date: 2011

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

EFSA Publication (2011). EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (CEF); Scientific Opinion on Flavouring Group Evaluation 220, Revision 1 (FGE.220Rev1): alpha,beta-Unsaturated ketones and precursors from chemical subgroup 4.4 of FGE.19: 3(2H)-Furanones. European Food Safety Authority. (EFSA Journal; No. 1841). DOI: 10.2903/j.efsa.2011.1841

#### DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- · You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



#### SCIENTIFIC OPINION

# Scientific Opinion on Flavouring Group Evaluation 220, Revision 1 (FGE.220Rev1):

# alpha,beta-Unsaturated ketones and precursors from chemical subgroup 4.4 of FGE.19:

3(2H)-Furanones<sup>1</sup>

EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (CEF)<sup>2, 3</sup>

#### Adopted on 30 September 2010

#### SUMMARY

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) asked the Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (the Panel) to provide scientific advice to the Commission on the implications for human health of chemically defined flavouring substances used in or on foodstuffs in the Member States. In particular, the Panel was asked to evaluate flavouring substances using the Procedure as referred to in the Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000.

The present revision of FGE.220, FGE.220Rev1, concerns the evaluation of additional data submitted by Industry in response to the requested genotoxicity data in FGE.220 on the representative substance for subgroup 4.4b, 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010].

Flavouring Group Evaluation 220 (FGE.220) concerns 10 substances, corresponding to subgroup 4.4 of FGE.19. The 10 substances are alpha,beta-unsaturated 3(2H)-furanones [FL-no: 13.010, 13.084, 13.085, 13.089, 13.099, 13.117, 13.119, 13.157, 13.175 and 13.176]. The substances were further subdivided into two subgroups as five of the 10 substances can only exist as alpha,beta-unsaturated

© European Food Safety Authority, 2011

<sup>1</sup> On request from the Commission, Question No EFSA-Q-2009-00568, adopted on 30 September 2010.

<sup>2</sup> Panel members Arturo Anadon, Mona-Lise Binderup, Wilfried Bursch, Laurence Castle, Riccardo Crebelli, Karl-Heinz Engel, Roland Franz, Nathalie Gontard, Thomas Haertle, Trine Husøy, Klaus-Dieter Jany, Catherine Leclercq, Jean Claude Lhuguenot, Wim Mennes, Maria Rosaria Milana, Karla Pfaff, Kettil Svensson, Fidel Toldra, Rosemary Waring, Detlef Wölfle. Correspondence: <a href="mailto:cef-unit@efsa.europa.eu">cef-unit@efsa.europa.eu</a>

<sup>3</sup> Acknowledgement: The Panel wishes to thank the members of the Working Groups on Flavourings for the preparation of this Opinion: Ulla Beckman Sundh, Vibe Beltoft, Wilfried Bursch, Angelo Carere, Karl-Heinz Engel, Henrik Frandsen, Rainer Gürtler, Frances Hill, Trine Husøy, John Christian Larsen, Pia Lund, Wim Mennes, Gerard Mulder, Karin Nørby, Gerard Pascal, Iona Pratt, Gerrit Speijers, Harriet Wallin and EFSA's staff member Kim Rygaard Nielsen for the preparatory work on this scientific Opinion.

Suggested citation: EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (CEF); Scientific Opinion on Flavouring Group Evaluation 220, Revision 1 (FGE.220Rev1):

 $alpha, beta-Unsaturated\ ketones\ and\ precursors\ from\ chemical\ subgroup\ 4.4\ of\ FGE. 19:$ 

<sup>3(2</sup>H)-Furanones. EFSA Journal 2011; 9(3):1841. [26 pp.]. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2011.1841. Available online: www.efsa.europa.eu/efsajournal.htm



ketones (subgroup 4.4a) while in the other five substances the alpha,beta double bond can be involved in keto-enol tautomerism (subgroup 4.4b).

For the substances in subgroup 4.4a [FL-no: 13.089, 13.117, 13.119, 13.157 and 13.175], the previous conclusions of the Panel in FGE.220 were that the available data on genotoxicity were too limited to evaluate these substances through the Procedure. Additional studies were needed as outlined in the Genotoxicity Test Strategy for Substances belonging to Subgroups of FGE.19 (EFSA, 2008bb).

For the substances in subgroup 4.4b [FL-no: 13.010, 13.084, 13.085, 13.099 and 13.176], the Panel had in FGE.220 expressed the view that evidence for genotoxicity was available both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Evidence from *in vitro* studies indicated that the genotoxicity of the candidate substances in this subgroup may be caused by indirect (thresholded) mechanisms of action (in particular generation of reactive oxygen species). The concern for carcinogenicity was alleviated, since one of the substances, for which positive genotoxicity data in mice were obtained, was not carcinogenic in a valid chronic assay in rats. Therefore, no further genotoxicity tests in somatic cells were required. However, some evidence was also available that this substance might elicit genotoxic effects in germ cells, which theoretically may result in reduced reproductive capacity or in inheritable genetic damage. Reduced reproductive capacity and inheritable genetic damage are toxicological endpoints which differ from carcinogenicity and therefore, the negative results for the carcinogenicity study could not be used to overrule this concern. It is not clear if (and if so to what extent) the thresholded mechanism mentioned above would be relevant for genotoxic effects in the germ cells. Therefore, the Panel conclusions of the previous evaluation in FGE.220 were that these five substances could not be evaluated through the Procedure.

The Panel recognised that the studies which provided indications for germ cell genotoxicity were of limited validity. For this reason a robust GLP-controlled cytogenetic investigation in mouse spermatocytes according to the OECD guideline 483 was requested.

In March 2009 the Flavouring Industry submitted new data in reply to the above requested data for subgroup 4.4b of FGE.220. These data have now been examined by the Panel which has concluded the following. The results of a valid rat fertility and dominant lethal study have shown that the representative substance for subgroup 4.4b, 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010], is unable to induce adverse effects both on male rat reproductive capacity and dominant lethality. On this basis, the Panel concludes that there is no concern for this substance to induce heritable genetic damage or adverse effects on male reproductive capacity. Accordingly the substances in subgroup 4.4b of FGE.19 [FL-no: 13.010, 13.084, 13.085, 13.099 and 13.176] can be evaluated using the Procedure.

Since no data were submitted to further evaluate the genotoxic potential of the substances in subgroup 4.4a, the Panel maintains its position that for this subgroup additional data on genotoxicity are needed.

© European Food Safety Authority, 2011

#### **KEYWORDS**

alpha, beta-Unsaturated ketones, 3(2H)-furanones, flavouring substances, safety evaluation.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary	1
Keywords	2
Table of contents	3
Background	4
Terms of Reference	5
History of the Evaluation of the Substances in the present FGE	5
Assessment	
1. Presentation of the Substances in Flavouring Group Evaluation 220	6
1.1. Description	6
2. Toxicity	6
2.1. (Q)SAR Predictions	6
2.2. Carcinogenicity Studies	7
2.3. Genotoxicity Studies	7
2.4. Conclusion on Genotoxicity and Carcinogenicity – Text taken from FGE.220 (EFS	A,
2009ae)9	
3. Conclusions – Text taken from FGE.220 (EFSA, 2009ae)	9
4. Additional data submitted by Industry	10
4.1. Evaluation of Additional Data	10
4.2. Conclusion on Additional Data	11
Table 1: Specification Summary of the Substances in the Flavouring Group Evaluation 220 (J	ECFA,
2006a)	12
Table 2: Summary of Safety Evaluation Applying the Procedure (Based on Intakes Calculated	by the
MSDI Approach)	
Table 3: QSAR Predictions on Mutagenicity in Five Models for 10 Ketones from Subgroup 4	.4 16
Table 4: Carcinogenicity Studies	18
Table 5: Genotoxicity (in vitro)	18
Table 6: Genotoxicity (in vivo)	20
Table 7: Summary of Additional Genotoxicity Data on 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-o	ne
Submitted by Industry	
References	22
Abbreviations	25



#### BACKGROUND

Regulation (EC) No 2232/96 of the European Parliament and the Council (EC, 1996a) lays down a Procedure for the establishment of a list of flavouring substances the use of which will be authorised to the exclusion of all other substances in the EU. In application of that Regulation, a Register of flavouring substances used in or on foodstuffs in the Member States was adopted by Commission Decision 1999/217/EC (EC, 1999a), as last amended by Commission Decision 2009/163/EC (EC, 2009a). Each flavouring substance is attributed a FLAVIS-number (FL-number) and all substances are divided into 34 chemical groups. Substances within a group should have some metabolic and biological behaviours in common.

Substances which are listed in the Register are to be evaluated according to the evaluation programme laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 (EC, 2000a), which is broadly based on the Opinion of the Scientific Committee on Food (SCF, 1999a). For the submission of data by the manufacturer, deadlines have been established by Commission Regulation (EC) No 622/2002 (EC, 2002b).

After the completion of the evaluation programme the Union list of flavouring substances for use in or on foods in the EU shall be adopted (Article 5 (1) of Regulation (EC) No 2232/96) (EC, 1996a).

Flavouring Group Evaluation 19 (FGE.19) contains 360 flavouring substances from the EU Register being alpha, beta-unsaturated aldehydes or ketones and precursors which could give rise to such carbonyl substances via hydrolysis and/or oxidation (EFSA, 2008b).

The alpha,beta-unsaturated aldehyde and ketone structures were considered by the Panel to be structural alerts for genotoxicity. The Panel noted that there were limited genotoxicity data on these flavouring substances but that positive genotoxicity studies were identified for some substances in the group.

The alpha,beta-unsaturated carbonyls were subdivided into 28 subgroups on the basis of structural similarity (EFSA, 2008b). In an attempt to decide which of the substances could go through the Procedure, a (quantitative) structure-activity relationship (Q)SAR prediction of the genotoxicity of these substances was undertaken considering a number of models (DEREKfW, TOPKAT, DTU-NFI-MultiCASE Models and ISS-Local Models (Gry et al., 2007)).

The Panel noted that for most of these models internal and external validation has been performed, but considered that the outcome of these validations was not always extensive enough to appreciate the validity of the predictions of these models for these alpha, beta-unsaturated carbonyls. Therefore, the Panel considered it inappropriate to totally rely on (Q)SAR predictions at this point in time and decided not to take substances through the Procedure based on negative (Q)SAR predictions only.

The Panel took note of the (Q)SAR predictions by using two ISS Local Models (Benigni & Netzeva, 2007a; Benigni & Netzeva, 2007b) and four DTU-NFI MultiCASE Models (Gry et al., 2007; Nikolov et al., 2007) and the fact that there are available data on genotoxicity *in vitro* and *in vivo*, as well as data on carcinogenicity for several substances. The Panel decided that 11 subgroups (1.1.2, 1.1.3, 1.1.4, 2.4, 2.6, 2.7, 3.1, 3.3, 4.1, 4.2 and 4.4) (EFSA, 2008b) should be further examined to determine whether evaluation through the Procedure is feasible. Corresponding to these 11 subgroups, 11 Flavouring Group Evaluations (FGEs) were established (FGE.201, 202, 203, 210, 212, 213, 214, 216, 217, 218 and 220). If the Panel concludes for any substances in these 11 FGEs that they cannot be evaluated using the Procedure then it has to be decided if there is a safety concern for certain substances or if additional data are required in order to finalise the evaluation. If the Panel concludes that a genotoxic potential can be ruled out for the substances, they will be merged with structurally related substances in other FGEs and evaluated using the Procedure.



#### TERMS OF REFERENCE

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) is requested to carry out a risk assessment on flavouring substances in the Register prior to their authorisation and inclusion in a Union List according to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 (EC, 2000a).

In addition, in letter of 29 April 2009, "The European Commission requests the European Food Safety Authority to carry out a risk assessment on 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010] and on substances of sub-group 4.4b covered by [FL-no: 13.010] as representative substance, as stated in "List of alpha,beta-Unsaturated Aldehydes and Ketones representative of FGE.19 substances for Genotoxicity Testing" (Opinion adopted 26 March 2009) and in FGE.220 (minutes of CEF Panel 4<sup>th</sup> Plenary, 26-29 January 2009), in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000, if possible by the end of the evaluation programme, if not, within nine month from the finalisation of that programme". The deadline of the Terms of Reference was negotiated to 30 September 2010.

#### HISTORY OF THE EVALUATION OF THE SUBSTANCES IN THE PRESENT FGE

EFSA considered in FGE.220 subgroup 4.4 of FGE.19. Subgroup 4.4 consists of 10 alpha, beta-unsaturated 3(2H)-furanones, which have been further subdivided into two groups 4.4a and 4.4b based on chemical structures (Table 1). For both groups the Panel concluded that the genotoxicity alert could not be ruled out based on data available at that time, and accordingly additional genotoxicity data were requested for both groups. The additional information should be based on specific data requested in FGE.220 and performed on representative substances selected from both groups (EFSA, 2008bb).

FGE	Adopted by	Link	No. of
	EFSA		Substances
FGE.220	29 January 2009	http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/efsa_locale- 1178620753812_1211902503180.htm	10
FGE.220Rev1	30 September		10
	2010		

#### Representatives selected by EFSA for Subgroup 4.4 of FGE.220 (EFSA, 2008bb)

Subgroup	FL-no	Register name for representatives	Structural formula
4.4a	13.157	5-Methylfuran-3(2H)-one	
	13.175	4-Acetyl-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one	
4.4b	13.010	4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one	НО

The present revision of FGE.220, FGE.220Rev1, concerns the evaluation of additional data submitted by Industry in response to the requested genotoxicity data in FGE.220 on the representative substance for subgroup 4.4b, 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010]. These new data are described and evaluated in Section 4 in the present version of FGE.220Rev1. Sections 1-3 report the same information that was present in the earlier version of FGE.220. Additional data on subgroup 4.4a have not been submitted by Industry yet.



#### ASSESSMENT

#### 1. Presentation of the Substances in Flavouring Group Evaluation 220

#### 1.1. Description

The present Flavouring Group Evaluation 220 (FGE.220) concerns 10 substances, which are presented in Table 1. The 10 substances correspond to subgroup 4.4 of FGE.19 (EFSA, 2008b). These substances are all alpha,beta-unsaturated 3(2H)-furanones [FL-no: 13.010, 13.084, 13.085, 13.089, 13.099, 13.117, 13.119, 13.157, 13.175 and 13.176]. Five of the 10 substances can only exist as ketones [FL-no: 13.089, 13.117, 13.119, 13.157 and 13.175] (subgroup 4.4a). In the remaining five substances, the alpha,beta double bond can be involved in keto-enol tautomerism as such [FL-no: 13.010, 13.084 and 13.085] or after hydrolysis of the ester moiety [13.099 and 13.176] (subgroup 4.4b).

In subgroup 4.4a, two substances possess alkoxy groups as side chains [FL-no: 13.089 and 13.117], two are mono- and di-methylated furanones [FL-no: 13.119 and 13.157] and one is a di-methylated furanone with an additional acetyl group as substituent [FL-no: 13.175].

A summary of the current evaluation status of both subgroups 4.4a and 4.4b by the JECFA is given in Table 2 (JECFA, 2006a).

The alpha, beta-unsaturated aldehyde and ketone structures are considered by the Panel to be structural alerts for genotoxicity (EFSA, 2008b). Accordingly the available data on genotoxic or carcinogenic activity for the ten ketones in FGE.220 were considered in this FGE.

The Panel has also taken into consideration the outcome of the predictions from five selected (Q)SAR models (Benigni & Netzeva, 2007a; Gry et al., 2007; Nikolov et al., 2007) on the ketones in the present FGE. The 10 alpha,beta-unsaturated ketones and their (Q)SAR predictions are shown in Table 3.

#### 2. Toxicity

#### 2.1. (Q)SAR Predictions

In Table 3 the outcomes of the (Q)SAR predictions for possible genotoxic activity in five *in vitro* (Q)SAR models (ISS Local Model-Ames test, DTU-NFI MultiCASE-Ames test, -Chromosomal aberration test in Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO), -Chromosomal aberration test in Chinese hamster lung cells (CHL), and -Mouse lymphoma test) are presented.

For none of the candidate substances in this FGE a prediction was obtained with the ISS Local Model for gene mutations in *Salmonella* TA100, as all substances were out of domain. The DTU-NFI MultiCase models for mutagenicity predicted negative (no genotoxic potential) in the Ames test for all 10 substances, and also for three substances (all three in subgroup 4.4b) in the Mouse lymphoma assay. For one substance [FL-no: 13.157] from subgroup 4.4a, a positive response in this assay was predicted. The other candidate substances were out of domain. All but four substances were out of domain for both the Chromosomal aberration CHO and CHL models. Four substances from subgroup 4.4b were in the domain of the Chromosomal aberrations CHL model and for these four the application of the model resulted in a negative prediction.

It is concluded that these models, except for the negative predictions for the substance in the DTU-NFI MultiCASE model for Ames test, do not seem to generate a reliable and reproducible pattern of predictions for this group. Negative predictions in mammalian cells were only available for four of the substances in subgroup 4.4b (Furan-3(2H)-ones in which the alpha,beta double bond can be involved



in keto-enol tautomerism). One positive prediction was available for genotoxic activity in mammalian cells for a substance in subgroup 4.4a (Furan-3(2H)-ones).

#### 2.2. Carcinogenicity Studies

A carcinogenicity study with chronic exposure is available for one substance in subgroup 4.4b.

In an OECD Guideline 451- and GLP-compliant study, groups of 60 male and 60 female Sprague-Dawley rats were fed diets containing 0 (controls), 100, 200 or 400 mg 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010] per kg body weight (bw)/day for two years. Mean body weights and body weight gains of male and female rats exposed to 400 mg 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furanone/kg bw/day were decreased compared to those of the controls in the last part of the study. No neoplasms or non-neoplastic lesions were attributed to exposure to 4-hydroxy-5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furanone. The NOAEL was 200 mg/kg bw/day (Kelly & Bolte, 2003).

The Panel concluded that the study on 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010] was valid and did not show a carcinogenic potential in rats.

Study validation and results are presented in Table 4.

#### 2.3. Genotoxicity Studies

Studies are available for four of the candidate substances in FGE.220, as summarised in Tables 5 and 6.

#### Subgroup 4.4a (Furan-3(2H)-ones)

For one substance in subgroup 4.4a (2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furanone [FL-no: 13.119]) no mutagenic activity was observed in *S. typhimurium* in a valid assay. No experimental data were available for any of the other substances in this subgroup.

<u>Subgroup 4.4b</u> (Furan-3(2H)-ones in which the alpha,beta double bond can be involved in keto-enol tautomerism)

For three substances, which belong to subgroup 4.4b, the following results have been reported:

4-Hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010]

For 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010] publications on *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies are available. In three studies the potential of the test substance to induce gene mutations in *S. Typhimurium* was studied. The substance was found positive in two valid studies and in one study with limited validity. The substance did not cause gene mutations in a valid study in *Escherichia coli* WP2 uvrA<sup>-</sup>. It was also observed that the substance caused DNA repair in a less relevant bacterial test and single strand breaks in purified DNA.

All *in vivo* studies provided indications for a genotoxic potential. Two studies showing micronucleus formation in peripheral blood cells were considered valid (Hiramoto et al., 1996b; Hiramoto et al., 1998); in a third study similar evidence, but of limited validity, was obtained (Xing et al., 1988). The latter authors also reported an increase in sister chromatid exchanges (SCE) in mouse bone marrow, but the validity of that observation could not be assessed. In addition this endpoint is of questionable relevance for the assessment of genotoxicity.

In addition to the genotoxicity observed in somatic cells, three studies provided evidence for genotoxicity in germ cells.



The evidence of chromosome aberration induction in mouse germ cells provided in the study by Xing et al. (1988) is poor because it is essentially based on an increase of premature disjunction of sex chromosomes and autosomes at metaphase I. This effect could be considered at most an alert of possible subsequent missegregation events; even so, data have been published (Liang & Pacchierotti, 1988) showing the lack of correlation between univalents at metaphase I and aneuploidy at metaphase II

Tian et al. (1992) reported an induction of SCE in spermatogonia. Incomplete information is given on the experimental protocol. There is a dose-dependent increase of SCE/cell, with each dose group significantly higher than the negative control. For these reasons, these data seem to be convincing although obtained on a small (3) number of animals/group. The relevance of SCE in spermatogonia as an indicator of heritable genetic damage is limited.

In the same paper Tian et al. (Tian et al., 1992) reported the induction of micronuclei in early sperm cells. This test measures the induction of DNA lesions in preleptotene spermatocytes that can lead to breaks and fragments several days later, at the first or second meiotic division. The test has not been standardised and validated for routine regulatory application, but has been conducted by more than one laboratory in the world with consistent results. The study seems adequately performed. Staining with Giemsa is not optimal and does not allow to distinguish among phases of spermatid differentiation as recommended by the guidelines (Russo, 2000). However, this drawback could hardly produce an overestimation of the effect, more likely, if any, an underestimation.

4-Hydroxy-5-methylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.085] and 2-Ethyl-4-hydroxy-5-methyl-3(2H)-furanone [FL-no: 13.084]

Reverse mutations were also observed in *S. typhimurium* TA100, but not TA98 with 4-hydroxy-5-methylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.085] and with 2-ethyl-4-hydroxy-5-methyl-3(2H)-furanone [FL-no: 13.084]. The other strains were not tested. The same substances could induce single strand breaks in purified DNA. With 2-ethyl-4-hydroxy-5-methyl-3(2H)-furanone [FL-no: 13.084] also induction of micronuclei in peripheral erythrocytes was observed in two valid *in vivo* assays.

#### Mechanistic data

For the substances in subgroup 4.4b also mechanistic studies were carried out with [FL-no: 13.010, 13.084 and 13.085], all of which were considered valid. These substances were identified as Maillard reaction products in soy sauce. When the substance [FL-no: 13.085] was incubated with supercoiled pBR 322 plasmid DNA, single strand breaks were observed at pH 4.4, but not at pH 7.4. When a spin trap was also present, formation of hydroxy radicals together with a carbon-centered radical could be demonstrated. Subsequent addition of superoxide dismutase and catalase inhibited the DNA breaking showing involvement of hydrogen peroxide. Potassium iodide, mannitol, sodium azide and ethanol were also inhibitory to the DNA breaking showing involvement of hydroxy radicals. Spin trapping agents and thiol compounds and metal chelators also effectively inhibited the breaking of DNA (Hiramoto et al., 1996a). Similar studies were carried out with [FL-no: 13.010 and 13.084] with the same results and it was also demonstrated that these substances are capable to reduce Fe<sup>3+</sup> at neutral or alkaline pH (Li et al., 1998).

Study results and comments on study validity are presented in Table 5 and 6.



# 2.4. Conclusion on Genotoxicity and Carcinogenicity – Text taken from FGE.220<sup>4</sup> (EFSA, 2009ae)

Apart from the negative predictions for the substances in the DTU-NFI MultiCASE model for the Ames test, the (Q)SAR models do not seem to generate a reliable and reproducible pattern of predictions on the genotoxicity for the substances in this FGE.

For one substance in subgroup 4.4a (2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furanone [FL-no: 13.119]) no mutagenic activity was observed in *S. typhimurium* in a valid assay. This study result is insufficient to reach a conclusion as to the (absence) of genotoxicity for this subgroup.

With several substances in subgroup 4.4b indications have been obtained in *in vitro* studies that the genetic damage they cause is related to the generation of reactive oxygen species as a result of redox cycling in combination with metal ions present in the media. The valid positive *in vivo* data were obtained with high dose levels that may be anticipated to have exhausted the anti-oxidant capacity of the target cells. This, in combination with the absence of carcinogenicity observed in a valid carcinogenicity study in rats with one of the substances [FL-no: 13.010], which was tested positive in the genotoxicity assays, takes away a concern for genotoxic events resulting in carcinogenicity in somatic cells.

For two of the studies in which genotoxic effects were observed in germ cells *in vivo* the studies had limited validity and/or address endpoints that may have limited relevance for the assessment of genotoxic potential. The Panel noted that a positive result was obtained in a micronucleus study in early sperm cells. However, a micronucleus test does not discriminate between aneuploidy and chromosomal breakage. The observed effects in the germ cells could be the result of the malsegregation of chromosomes which is generally considered a thresholded event. They may alternatively be the result of the (thresholded) generation of reactive oxygen species.

# 3. Conclusions – Text taken from FGE.220<sup>5</sup> (EFSA, 2009ae)

For the substances in subgroup 4.4a [FL-no: 13.089, 13.117, 13.119, 13.157 and 13.175], the Panel considered that presently the available data on genotoxicity are too limited to evaluate these substances through the Procedure. Additional studies are needed as outlined in the Genotoxicity Test Strategy for Substances belonging to Subgroups of FGE.19 (EFSA, 2008bb).

For the substances in subgroup 4.4b [FL-no: 13.010, 13.084, 13.085, 13.099 and 13.176], evidence for genotoxicity was obtained *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Evidence is available from *in vitro* studies that the genotoxicity of the candidate substances in this subgroup may be caused by indirect (thresholded) mechanisms of action (in particular generation of reactive oxygen species). The concern for carcinogenicity is alleviated, since one of the substances, for which positive genotoxicity data in mice were obtained, was not carcinogenic in a valid chronic assay in rats. Therefore, no further genotoxicity tests in somatic cells are required. However, some evidence was also available that this substance might elicit genotoxic effects in germ cells, which theoretically may result in reduced reproductive capacity or in inheritable genetic damage. Reduced reproductive capacity and inheritable genetic damage are toxicological endpoints which differ from carcinogenicity and therefore, the negative results for the carcinogenicity study cannot be used to overrule this concern. Also it is not clear if (and if so to what extent) the thresholded mechanism mentioned above would be relevant for genotoxic effects in the germ cells. Therefore, the Panel concluded that presently these five substances cannot be evaluated through the Procedure.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The conclusion in Section 2.4 is cited from the previous version of the present FGE, FGE.220. This conclusion is the basis for the request of additional genotoxicitydata in FGE.220.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The conclusion in Section 3 is cited from the previous version of the present FGE, FGE.220. This conclusion is the basis for the request of additional genotoxicity data in FGE.220.



The Panel recognised that the studies which provided indications for germ cell genotoxicity are of limited validity. For that reason a robust GLP-controlled cytogenetic investigation in mouse spermatocytes according to the OECD Guideline 483 is requested.

#### 4. Additional data submitted by Industry

In response to the EFSA request in FGE.220, of a cytogenetic study in mouse spermatocytes (OECD TG 483), Industry has submitted the following data:

- 2-Year carcinogenicity bioassay in rats with a substance coded ST 07 C99 (this is the study on [FL-no: 13.010] by Kelly & Bolte, 2003);
- Oral male fertility study of FURANEOL = 4-Hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010] (test article code ST17C07) in rats (Sloter, 2008);
- Oral micronucleus assay in bone marrow cells of the mouse with NEOFURANEOL (no identification of this substance is available) (Honarvar, 2008b);
- Mouse lymphoma (TK) specific locus mutation assay with compound 0478/1 (Ross & Harris, 1979a).

#### 4.1. Evaluation of Additional Data

The Panel noted that among the studies submitted by Industry only the rat fertility study, which includes also the analysis of dominant lethals, is considered relevant for the specific EFSA request.

The 2-year carcinogenicity bioassay in rats by Kelly and Bolte (Kelly & Bolte, 2003) was already evaluated by the Panel in the previous version of this FGE (Section 2.2 (Table 4)). It was considered as a valid, negative study, however not relevant for the evaluation of possibly inheritable damage. Also the mouse bone marrow micronucleus assay with neofuraneol (Honarvar, 2008b) and the *in vitro* mouse lymphoma TK assay (Ross & Harris, 1979a) are considered not relevant to clear the concern for possible inheritable damage. Furthermore, an adequate identification of the test substance Neofuraneol was not possible, due to incomplete reporting. For these reasons these three studies will not be further considered in this section.

Oral Male Fertility Study of 4-Hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010] in Rats (Sloter, 2008)

The objective of this study, performed according to ICH Guideline 4.1.1 (ICH, 2006) under GLP, was to determine the potential effects of 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010] on mating, fertility and gonadal function in male rats with two separate mating trials. 4-Hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one was administered by gavage once daily to three groups of 25 male Crl:CD(SD) rats. Dosage levels were 100, 500 and 1000 mg/kg bw/day. A concurrent control group of 25 males received the vehicle (propylene glycol) on a comparable regimen. The first mating (Phase I), following 2 weeks of male administration, using untreated females, was conducted to detect potential elicitation of early genotoxic effects on the embryo with reduced risk of test-article related deficiencies in mating or fertility. The second mating (Phase II), following 9 weeks of male dose administration, was conducted following male exposure throughout a complete spermatogenic cycle using a second set of untreated females.

There was no test-article related mortality noted in this study. A slightly lower mean body-weight gain was noted in the 1000 mg/kg/day group when evaluated for the overall treatment period. No test-article related effects on male reproductive performance were observed at 100, 500 and 1000 mg/kg/day when males were mated with Phase I or Phase II females. In particular, there were no effects on spermatogenic endpoints (mean testicular and epididymal sperm numbers, sperm production rate, motility and morphology, reproductive organs or macroscopic findings) at any of the doses tested. The mean percentage of sperm with abnormal morphology (separated head and flagellum) was



slightly higher in the 500 and 1000 mg/kg/day groups; however, this was primarily attributed to a single male in the respective groups and therefore not considered test-article related. The number of females mated and the number of pregnant females was comparable to controls. Uterine examination was performed for both Phase I and Phase II females. The analysis of embryonic data (corpora lutea, implantation sites, viable embryos, dead embryos, early resorptions, late resorptions, total resorptions, post- and pre-implantation losses) did not reveal dominant lethal effects. The study does not indicate a potential of 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [FL-no: 13.010] to affect male fertility. This study can be considered to be equivalent to an OECD 478 Dominant Lethal assay. The Dominant Lethal assay has been recommended as a follow-up study in case of positive results in the OECD TG 483 (Eastmond et al., 2009). On this basis the Panel considers it acceptable to substitute the requested study according to OECD Guideline 483 with the Dominant Lethal test.

Study results and comments on study validity are presented in Table 7.

#### 4.2. Conclusion on Additional Data

The results of a valid rat fertility and dominant lethal study have shown that 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one is unable to induce both adverse effects on male rat reproductive capacity and dominant lethality. On this basis the Panel concludes that for this substance there is no concern for its potential to induce heritable genetic damage or adverse effects on male reproductive capacity. Accordingly the substances in subgroup 4.4b of FGE.19 [FL-no: 13.010, 13.084, 13.085, 13.099 and 13.176] can be evaluated using the Procedure. Since no data were submitted to further evaluate the genotoxic potential of the substances in subgroup 4.4a, the Panel maintains its position that for this subgroup additional data on genotoxicity are needed.



# TABLE 1: SPECIFICATION SUMMARY OF THE SUBSTANCES IN THE FLAVOURING GROUP EVALUATION 220 (JECFA, 2006A)

Table 1: Specification Summary of the Substances in the Flavouring Group Evaluation 220 (JECFA, 2006a)

FL-no JECFA- no	EU Register name	Structural formula	FEMA no CoE no CAS no	Phys.form Mol.formula Mol.weight	Solubility 1) Solubility in ethanol 2)	Boiling point, °C 3) Melting point, °C ID test Assay minimum	Refrac. Index 4) Spec.gravity 5)
Substan	ces in subgroup 4.4a (Furan-3(2H)-	ones)					
13.089 1451	2,5-Dimethyl-4-methoxyfuran-3(2H)-one		3664 4077-47-8	Liquid C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 142.15	Insoluble Soluble	61-63 (0.4 hPa) NMR 97 %	1.475-1.481 1.091-1.097
13.117	2,5-Dimethyl-4-ethoxyfuran-3(2H)-one		65330-49-6	Solid C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>12</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 156.18	1 ml in 1 ml	251 60 95 %	n.a. n.a.
3.119	2,5-Dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one		11066 14400-67-0	Liquid C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>8</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 112.13	1 ml in 1 ml	68 (16 hPa) 95 %	1.473-1.479 1.050-1.060
3.157	5-Methylfuran-3(2H)-one		3511-32-8	Liquid C₅H <sub>6</sub> O <sub>2</sub> 98.10	1 ml in 1 ml	59 (13 hPa) 95 %	1.492-1.498
13.175	4-Acetyl-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one			Solid C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 154.17	1 ml in 1 ml	283 34 95 %	n.a. n.a.

Substances in subgroup 4.4b (Furan-3(2H)-ones in which the alpha, beta-unsaturated double bond can be involved in keto-enol tautomerism)



Table 1: Specification Summary of the Substances in the Flavouring Group Evaluation 220 (JECFA, 2006a)

FL-no JECFA- no	EU Register name	Structural formula	FEMA no CoE no CAS no	Phys.form Mol.formula Mol.weight	Solubility 1) Solubility in ethanol 2)	Boiling point, °C 3) Melting point, °C ID test Assay minimum	Refrac. Index 4) Spec.gravity 5)
13.010 1446	4-Hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one	но	3174 536 3658-77-3	Solid C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>8</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 128.13	Insoluble Soluble	n.a. 78-80 IR 98 %	n.a. n.a.
13.084 1449	2-Ethyl-4-hydroxy-5-methyl-3(2H)-furanone	HOO	3623 27538-09-6	Liquid C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 142.15	Soluble Soluble	103 (20 hPa) NMR 96 %	1.509-1.514 1.133-1.143
13.085 1450	4-Hydroxy-5-methylfuran-3(2H)-one	но	3635 11785 19322-27-1	Solid C <sub>5</sub> H <sub>6</sub> O <sub>3</sub> 114.10	Soluble Soluble	n.a. 126-133 NMR 97 %	n.a. n.a.
13.099 1456	4-Acetoxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one		3797 4166-20-5	Liquid C <sub>8</sub> H <sub>10</sub> O <sub>4</sub> 170.17	Slightly soluble Soluble	243 NMR 85 %	1.476-1.480 1.159-1.167
13.176 1519	Furaneyl butyrate		3970	Liquid C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>14</sub> O <sub>4</sub> 198.22	Insoluble Soluble	287 NMR 93 %	1.467-1.473 1.095-1.103

<sup>1)</sup> Solubility in water, if not otherwise stated.

n.a.: not applicable.

<sup>2)</sup> Solubility in 95 % ethanol, if not otherwise stated.

<sup>3)</sup> At 1013.25 hPa, if not otherwise stated.

<sup>4)</sup> At 20°C, if not otherwise stated.

<sup>5)</sup> At 25°C, if not otherwise stated.



## TABLE 2: SUMMARY OF SAFETY EVALUATION APPLYING THE PROCEDURE (BASED ON INTAKES CALCULATED BY THE MSDI APPROACH)

Table 2: Summary of Safety Evaluation Applying the Procedure (based on intakes calculated by the MSDI approach) (JECFA, 2006a)

FL-no JECFA-no	EU Register name	Structural formula	MSDI 1) (μg/capita/day) EU USA	Class 2) Evaluation procedure path 3)	Outcome on the named compound [4) or 5)]
Substanc	es in subgroup 4.4a (Furan-3(2H)-one	es)			
13.089 1451	2,5-Dimethyl-4-methoxyfuran-3(2H)-one		12 0.7	Class II A3: Intake below threshold	4)
13.117	2,5-Dimethyl-4-ethoxyfuran-3(2H)-one		0.018		Not evaluated by JECFA
13.119	2,5-Dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one		1.9		Not evaluated by JECFA
13.157	5-Methylfuran-3(2H)-one		0.0061		Not evaluated by JECFA
13.175	4-Acetyl-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one		1.3		Not evaluated by JECFA

Substances in subgroup 4.4b (Furan-3(2H)-ones in which the alpha,beta-unsaturated double bond can be involved in keto-enol tautomerism)



Table 2: Summary of Safety Evaluation Applying the Procedure (based on intakes calculated by the MSDI approach) (JECFA, 2006a)

FL-no JECFA-no	EU Register name	Structural formula	MSDI 1) (μg/capita/day) EU USA	Class 2) Evaluation procedure path 3)	Outcome on the named compound [4) or 5)]
13.010 1446	4-Hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one	но	4483 5203	Class II A3: Intake above threshold, A4: Not endogenous, A5: Adequate NOAEL exists	4)
13.084 1449	2-Ethyl-4-hydroxy-5-methyl-3(2H)-furanone	HOOO	203 13	Class II A3: Intake below threshold	4)
13.085 1450	4-Hydroxy-5-methylfuran-3(2H)-one	но	47.8 0.07	Class II A3: Intake below threshold	4)
13.099 1456	4-Acetoxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one		ND 8	Class II A3: Intake below threshold	4)
13.176 1519	Furaneyl butyrate				Evaluation deferred by JECFA

<sup>1)</sup> EU MSDI: Amount added to food as flavour in (kg / year) x 10E9 / (0.1 x population in Europe (= 375 x 10E6) x 0.6 x 365) = µg/capita/day.

<sup>2)</sup> Thresholds of concern: Class I = 1800, Class II = 540, Class  $III = 90 \mu g/person/day$ .

<sup>3)</sup> Procedure path A substances can be predicted to be metabolised to innocuous products. Procedure path B substances cannot.

<sup>4)</sup> No safety concern based on intake calculated by the MSDI approach of the named compound.

<sup>5)</sup> Data must be available on the substance or closely related substances to perform a safety evaluation.



TABLE 3: QSAR PREDICTIONS ON MUTAGENICITY IN FIVE MODELS FOR 10 KETONES FROM SUBGROUP 4.4

FL-no JECFA-no	Sub- group	EU Register name	Structural formula	FEMA no CoE no CAS no	ISS Local Model Ames Test TA100	MultiCASE Ames test	MultiCASE Mouse lymphoma test	MultiCASE Chromosomal aberration test in CHO	MultiCASE Chromosomal aberration test in CHL
Substance	es in sub	ogroup 4.4a (Furan-3(2H)-ones)							
13.089 1451	4.4	2,5-Dimethyl-4-methoxyfuran-3(2H)-one	0	3664 - 4077-47-8	OD*	NEG	OD*	OD*	OD*
13.117	4.4	2,5-Dimethyl-4-ethoxyfuran-3(2H)-one		- - 65330-49-6	OD*	NEG	OD*	OD*	OD*
13.119	4.4	2,5-Dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one		- 11066 14400-67-0	OD*	NEG	OD*	OD*	OD*
13.157	4.4	5-Methylfuran-3(2H)-one		- - 3511-32-8	OD*	NEG	POS	OD*	OD*
13.175	4.4	4-Acetyl-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one		: :	OD*	NEG	OD*	OD*	OD*

Substances in subgroup 4.4b (Furan-3(2H)-ones in which the alpha,beta-unsaturated double bond can be involved in keto-enol tautomerism)



FL-no JECFA-no	Sub- group	EU Register name	Structural formula	FEMA no CoE no CAS no	ISS Local Model Ames Test TA100	MultiCASE Ames test	MultiCASE Mouse lymphoma test	MultiCASE Chromosomal aberration test in CHO	MultiCASE Chromosomal aberration test in CHL
13.010 1446	4.4	4-Hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one	но	3174 536 3658-77-3	OD*	NEG	NEG	OD*	NEG
13.084 1449	4.4	2-Ethyl-4-hydroxy-5-methyl-3(2H)-furanone	но	3623 - 27538-09-6	OD*	NEG	NEG	OD*	NEG
13.085 1450	4.4	4-Hydroxy-5-methylfuran-3(2H)-one	но	3635 11785 19322-27-1	OD*	NEG	NEG	OD*	NEG
13.099 1456	4.4	4-Acetoxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one		3797 - 4166-20-5	OD*	NEG	OD*	OD*	OD*
13.176	4.4	Furaneyl butyrate		3970 - -	OD*	NEG	OD*	OD*	NEG

Column 2: Structure group 4.4: α,β-unsaturated ketones.

Column 6: Local model on aldehydes and ketones, Ames TA100. (NEG: Negative; POS: Positive; OD\*: Out of domain).

Column 7: MultiCase Ames test (OD\*: Out of domain; POS: Positive; NEG: Negative; EQU: Equivocal).

Column 8: MultiCase Mouse Lymphoma test (OD\*: Out of domain; POS: Positive; NEG: Negative; EQU: Equivocal).

Column 9: MultiCase Chromosomal aberration in CHO (OD\*: Out of domain; POS: Positive; NEG: Negative; EQU: Equivocal).

Column 10: MultiCase Chromosomal aberration in CHL (OD\*: Out of domain; POS: Positive; NEG: Negative; EQU: Equivocal).

\* OD, out of applicability domain: not matching the range of conditions where a reliable prediction can be obtained in this model. These conditions may be physicochemical, structural, biological, etc..



# TABLE 4: CARCINOGENICITY STUDIES

#### **Table 4: Carcinogenicity Studies**

Chemical Name [FL-no]	Species; Sex No./Group	Route	Dose levels	Duration	Results	Reference	Comments
4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [13.010]	Rats; Male, Female 60/sex/group	Diet	0, 100, 200, or 400 mg/kg bw/day	2 years	Males: No increase in tumour incidences Females: No increases in tumour incidences	(Kelly & Bolte, 2003)	Valid (GLP/OECD compliant). The NOAEL was 200 mg/kg bw/day based on reduced mean body weight at the highest dose.

# TABLE 5: GENOTOXICITY (IN VITRO)

#### **Table 5: GENOTOXICITY** (in vitro)

Chemical Name [FL-no]	Test System	Test Object	Concentration	Reported Result	Reference	Comments <sup>e</sup>
4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [13.010]	Reversed mutation	S. typhimurium TA1535, TA1537, TA1538,TA100 and TA98	10.0, 33.3, 100.0, 333.3, 1000, 2000, 3300, 4000, 6000, 8000 µg/plate	Positive <sup>a, b</sup>	(Gilroy et al., 1978)	Valid. Unpublished non-GLP study. The report contains sufficient details. Result is considered valid.
	Reversed mutation	S. typhimurium TA100 and TA98	0 – 10000 μg/plate	Positive <sup>a, b</sup>	(Hiramoto et al., 1996b)	Valid. Positive in TA 100 + and – S9; negative in TA 98 (+/- S9).
	Reversed mutation	S. typhimurium TA100, TA102, TA98 and TA97	500 – 4000 μg/plate	Positive <sup>a, c</sup>	(Xing et al., 1988)	Limited validity. No methodological details, but stated to be performed according to (Maron & Ames, 1983). Some errors reduce the trustworthiness of the paper.
	Reversed mutation	E. coli WP2 uvrA	10.0, 33.3, 100.0, 333.3, 1000, 3300 µg/plate	Negative	(Gilroy et al., 1978)	Valid. Unpublished non-GLP study. The report contains sufficient details. Result is considered valid.
	DNA damage	B. subtilis H17 (Rec <sup>+</sup> ) and M45 (Rec <sup>-</sup> )	20, 40, 60, 80, 120 μg/disc	Positive	(Xing et al., 1988)	Validity cannot be evaluated (Test system with low predictive value for genotoxicity). No methodological details, but stated to be performed according to (Kada et al., 1972).
	DNA strand breaks	pBR322 DNA	2.6 – 780 μmol/l (0.3 – 100 mg/l)	Positive	(Hiramoto et al., 1996b)	Valid. Single strand breaks caused by redox cycling of the substance in combination with metal ions, generating Reactive oxygen species.



#### **Table 5: GENOTOXICITY** (in vitro)

Chemical Name [FL-no]	Test System	Test Object	Concentration	Reported Result	Reference	Comments <sup>e</sup>
4-Hydroxy-5-methylfuran- 3(2H)-one [13.085]	Reversed mutation	S. typhimurium TA100 and TA98	$0 - 5000 \mu g/plate$	Positive <sup>a, b</sup>	(Hiramoto et al., 1996a)	Limited validity. Limited due to uncertainty of test substance. Positive in TA 100 + and – S9; negative in TA 98 (+/- S9).
4-Hydroxy-5-methylfuran-3(2H)-one [13.085] cont.	DNA strand breaks	pBR322 DNA	0 -900 µmol/l (0 – 103mg/l)	Positive <sup>a, d</sup>	(Hiramoto et al., 1996a)	Valid. Single strand breaks caused by redox cycling of the substance in combination with metal ions, generating reactive oxygen species.
2,5-Dimethyl-3(2H)-Furanone [13.119]	Reverse mutation	S. typhimurium TA1535, TA1537, TA98,TA100 and TA102,	$0-5000~\mu g/plate$	Negative	(RCC - CCR, 2007)	Valid. According to current guidelines.
2-ethyl-4-hydroxy-5-methyl- 3(2H)-furanone [13.084]	Reversed mutation	S. typhimurium TA100 and TA98	$0-10000~\mu g/plate$	Positive <sup>a, b</sup>	(Li et al., 1998)	Valid. + with and without S9 in TA 100; negative in TA98 (+/- S9).
	DNA strand breaks	pBR322 DNA	0-2000 μΜ	Positive <sup>d</sup>	(Li et al., 1998)	Valid. Single strand breaks caused by redox cycling of the substance in combination with metal ions, generating reactive oxygen species.

a: With and without metabolic activation provided by S9 (9000 x g supernatant from rodent liver).

Valid.

Limited validity (e.g. if certain aspects are not in accordance with OECD guidelines or current standards and / or limited documentation).

Insufficient validity (e.g. if main aspects are not in accordance with any recognised guidelines (e.g. OECD) or current standards and/or inappropriate test system).

Validity cannot be evaluated (e.g. insufficient documentation, short abstract only, too little experimental details provided).

b: Positive results only observed in TA100.

c: Positive results in all strains at the highest dose tested.

d: Only positive without inhibitors of redox cycling and ROS scavengers.

e: Validity of genotoxicity studies:



# TABLE 6: GENOTOXICITY (IN VIVO)

# Table 6: GENOTOXICITY (in vivo)

Chemical Name [FL-no]	Test System	Test Object	Route	Dose	Reported Result	Reference	Comments a
4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [13.010]	Micronucleus formation	Mouse, bone marrow	Not stated	0, 186, 232 or 309 mg/kg bw	Positive	(Xing et al., 1988)	Limited validity. Important data not given. Reference to methodological description could not be traced.
	Chromosomal aberration	Mouse spermatocytes	Not stated	0, 232, 464 or 928 mg/kg bw	Positive	(Xing et al., 1988)	Limited validity. Important data not given. Reference to methodological description could not be traced.  Predominant aberration: malsegregation of chromosomes.
	Sister chromatid exchange	Mouse, bone marrow	Intra-abdominal injection	0, 185, 232, 303 mg/kg	Positive	(Xing et al., 1988)	Validity cannot be assessed. Dose-related increase; statistically significant at all dose levels, but max increase < 2-fold. Effect not adequately specified; very intense exposure to BrdU. Non- validated protocol. Relevance for the evaluation of genotoxicity questionable.
	Sister chromatid exchange	Mouse spermatocytes	Oral (gavage)	200, 400 or 800 mg/kg bw	Positive	(Tian et al., 1992)	Limited validity. Relevance for the evaluation of genotoxicity questionable; non- validated test protocol.
	Micronucleus formation	Mouse early sperm cells	Oral (gavage)	200, 400 or 800 mg/kg bw	Positive	(Tian et al., 1992)	Limited validity. Non-validated test protocol.
	Micronucleus formation	Mouse peripheral blood cells	Gavage	1000, 2000 3000 mg/kg bw	Positive	(Hiramoto et al., 1998)	Valid.
	Micronucleus formation	Male mice peripheral erythrocytes	i.p.	500, 1000, 1500mg/kg bw	Positive	(Hiramoto et al., 1996b)	Valid.
2-ethyl-4-hydroxy-5-methyl-	Micronucleus	Mouse peripheral	Gavage	0, 1000, 2000, and 3000	Positive	(Hiramoto et al., 1998)	Valid.



#### Table 6: GENOTOXICITY (in vivo)

Chemical Name [FL-no]	Test System	Test Object	Route	Dose	Reported Result	Reference	Comments a
3(2H)-furanone [13.084]	formation	blood cells		mg/kg bw			
	Micronucleus formation	Male mice peripheral erythrocytes	i.p.	0, 500 and 1000 mg/kg bw	Positive	(Li et al., 1998)	Valid.

a: Validity of genotoxicity studies:

Valid.

Limited validity (e.g. if certain aspects are not in accordance with OECD guidelines or current standards and / or limited documentation).

Insufficient validity (e.g. if main aspects are not in accordance with any recognised guidelines (e.g. OECD) or current standards and/or inappropriate test system).

Validity cannot be evaluated (e.g. insufficient documentation, short abstract only, too little experimental details provided).

# TABLE 7: SUMMARY OF ADDITIONAL GENOTOXICITY DATA ON 4-HYDROXY-2,5-DIMETHYLFURAN-3(2H)-ONE SUBMITTED BY INDUSTRY

Table 7: GENOTOXICITY (in vitro and in vivo)

Chemical Name [FL-no]	Test System	Test Object	Route	Dose	Reported Result	Reference	Comments <sup>a</sup>
4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(2H)-one [13.010]	Mouse Lymphoma	L5178Ytk+/- mouse lymphoma cells	-	111, 167, 250, 375 and 750 micrograms/ml	Negative both with and without S9	(Ross & Harris, 1979a)	Limited validity. Study not performed according to current guideline. Too short treatment and no differentiation between small and large colonies.
	Dominant lethal assay in a rat fertility study	Dominant lethals in Crl:CD(SD) male rats (25/group)	Oral gavage	100, 500 and 1000 mg/kg bw/day for 2 weeks(Phase I) and 9 weeks (Phase II)	No increase of dominant lethal effects	(Sloter, 2008)	Valid GLP study in accordance with ICH Guideline 4.1.1.

A study by Honarvar (Honarvar, 2008b) was also submitted. However due to unknown identity of the tested material, this study is not included in the table.



#### REFERENCES

- Benigni R and Netzeva T, 2007a. Report on a QSAR model for prediction of genotoxicity of alpha, beta-unsaturated aldehydes in *S. typhimurium* TA 100 and its application for predictions on alpha, beta-unsaturated aldehydes in Flavouring Group Evaluation 19 (FGE.19). Unpublished report submitted by FLAVIS Secretariat to EFSA.
- Benigni R and Netzeva T, 2007b. Report on a QSAR model for prediction of genotoxicity of alpha, beta-unsaturated ketones in *S. typhimurium* TA 100 and its application for predictions on alpha, beta-unsaturated aldehydes in Flavouring Group Evaluation 19 (FGE.19). Unpublished report submitted by FLAVIS Secretariat to EFSA.
- Eastmond DA, Hartwig A, Anderson D, Anwar WA, Cimino MC, Dobrev I, Douglas GR, Nohmi T, Phillips DH and Vickers C, 2009. Mutagenicity testing for chemical risk assessment: update of the WHO/IPCS Harmonized Scheme. Mutagenesis, 24(4), 341-349.
- EC, 1996a. Regulation No 2232/96 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 28 October 1996. Official Journal of the European Communities 23.11.1996, L 299, 1-4.
- EC, 1999a. Commission Decision 1999/217/EC of 23 February 1999 adopting a register of flavouring substances used in or on foodstuffs. Official Journal of the European Communities 27.3.1999, L 84, 1-137.
- EC, 2000a. Commission Regulation No 1565/2000 of 18 July 2000 laying down the measures necessary for the adoption of an evaluation programme in application of Regulation (EC) No 2232/96. Official Journal of the European Communities 19.7.2000, L 180, 8-16.
- EC, 2002b. Commission Regulation No 622/2002 of 11 April 2002 establishing deadlines for the submission of information for the evaluation of chemically defined flavouring substances used in or on foodstuffs. Official Journal of the European Communities 12.4.2002, L 95, 10-11.
- EC, 2009a. Commission Decision 2009/163/EC of 26 February 2009 amending Decision 1999/217/EC as regards the Register of flavouring substances used in or on foodstuffs. Official Journal of the European Union 27.2.2009, L 55, 41.
- EFSA, 2008b. Minutes of the 26<sup>th</sup> Plenary meeting of the Scientific Panel on Food Additives, Flavourings, Processing Aids and Materials in Contact with Food, Held in Parma on 27 29 November 2007. Parma, 7 January 2008. [Online]. Available: http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/Event\_Meeting/afc\_minutes\_26thplen\_en.pdf
- EFSA, 2008bb. Genotoxicity Test Strategy for Substances belonging to Subgroups of FGE.19 Statement of the Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids (CEF). EFSA Journal (2008) 854, 1-5. [online]. Available: http://www.efsa.europa.eu/EFSA/efsa\_locale-1178620753812\_1211902211354.htm
- EFSA, 2009ae. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids on a request from the Commission related to Flavouring Group Evaluation 220: alpha,beta-Unsaturated ketones and precursors from chemical subgroup 4.4 of FGE.19: 3(2H)-Furanones (Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 of 18 July 2000). Adopted on 29 January 2009. EFSA-Q-2008-763.



- Gilroy AH, Hastwell RM, McGregor DB and Riach CG, 1978. Testing for mutagenic activity of six compunds with *Salmonella typhimurium* and further testing of one of the compounds with eschericia coli. Inveresk Research International. IRI project no. 410168. Report no. 1133. August 1978. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Gry J, Beltoft V, Benigni R, Binderup ML, Carere A, Engel KH, Gürtler R, Jensen GE, Hulzebos E, Larsen JC, Mennes W, Netzeva T, Niemelä J, Nikolov N, Nørby KK and Wedebye, EB, 2007. Description and validation of QSAR genotoxicity models for use in evaluation of flavouring substances in Flavouring Group Evaluation 19 (FGE.19) on 360 alpha,beta-unsaturated aldehydes and ketones and precursors for these. Unpublished report submitted by FLAVIS Secretariat to EFSA.
- Hiramoto K, Sekiguchi K, Ayuha K, Aso-o R, Moriya N, Kato T and Kikugawa K, 1996a. DNA breaking activity and mutagenicity of soy sauce: characterization of the active components and identification of 4-hydroxy-5-methyl-3(2H)-furanone. Mutat. Res. 359, 119-132.
- Hiramoto K, Aso-o R, Ni-iyama H, Hikage S, Kato T and Kikugawa K, 1996b. DNA strand break by 2,5-dimethyl-4-hydroxy-3(2H)-furanone, a fragrant compound in various foodstuffs. Mutat. Res. 359, 17-24.
- Hiramoto K, Kato T, Takahashi Y, Yugi K and Kikugawa K, 1998. Absorption and induction of micronucleated peripheral reticulocytes in mice after oral administered of fragrant hydroxyfuranones generated in the maillard reaction. Mutat Res. 415, 79-83.
- Honarvar N, 2008b. Micronucleus assay in bone marrow cells of the mouse with neofuraneol. Final report. RCC-CCR study no. 1136200. February 19, 2008. Unpublished report submitted to DG SANCO.
- ICH, 1996. Guideline for Industry. Detection of Toxicity to Reproduction for Medicinal Products: Addendum on Toxicity to Male Fertility. The International Conference on Harmonisation of Technical Requirements for Registration of Pharmaceuticals for Human Use. ICH S5B, April 1996.
- JECFA, 2006a. Safety evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants. Sixty-third meeting of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives, WHO Food Additives Series: 54. IPCS, WHO, Geneva.
- Kada T, Tutikawa K and Sadaie Y, 1972. *In vitro* and host-mediated "rec-assay" procedures for screening chemical mutagens; and phloxine, a mutagenic red dye detected. Mutat. Res. 16, 165-174.
- Kelly CM and Bolte HF, 2003. A 24-month dietary carcinogenicity study in rats. Final report. Study no. 99-2644. 15 January, 2003. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Li X, Hiramoto XLK, Yoshida M, Kato T and Kikugawa, K, 1998. Identification of 2,5-dimethyl-4-hydroxy-3(2H)-furanone (DMHF) and 4-hydroxy-2(or 5)-ethyl-5(or 2)-methyl-3(2H)-furanone with DNA breaking activity in soy sauce. Food Chem. Toxicol. 36, 305-314.
- Liang JC and Pacchierotti F, 1988. Cytogenetic investigation of chemically-induced aneuploidy in mouse spermatocytes. Mutat. Res. 201, 325-335.
- Maron DM and Ames BN, 1983. Revised methods for the salmonella mutagenicity test. Mutat. Res. 113, 173-215.
- Nikolov N, Jensen GE, Wedebye EB and Nimelä, J, 2007. Report on QSAR predictions of 222 alpha, beta-unsaturated aldehydes and ketones from Flavouring Group Evaluation 19 (FGE.19) on 360 alpha, beta-unsaturated aldehydes and ketones and precursors for these. Unpublished report submitted by FLAVIS Secretariat to EFSA.



- RCC Cytotest Cell Research GmbH, 2007. *Salmonella typhimurium* reverse mutation assay with 2,5-dimethyl-3(2H)-furanone. Final report. A. Sokolowski. 10440000. March 26, 2007. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Ross C and Harris WJ, 1979a. Testing of compound 0478/1 in the mouse lymphoma specific locus mutation assay. 4-hydroxy-2,5-dimethylfuran-3(OH)-one. Inveresk Research International, Edinburgh, Scotland. Project no. 410917. October 1979. Unpublished report submitted by EFFA to FLAVIS Secretariat.
- Russo A, 2000. In vivo cytogenetics: mammalian germ cells. Mutat. Res. 455, 167-189.
- SCF, 1999a. Opinion on a programme for the evaluation of flavouring substances (expressed on 2 December 1999). Scientific Committee on Food. SCF/CS/FLAV/TASK/11 Final 6/12/1999. Annex I the minutes of the 119th Plenary meeting. European Commission, Health & Consumer Protection Directorate-General.
- Sloter ED, 2008. Oral male fertility study of furaneol in rats. WIL Research Laboratories, LLC, Ashland, OH. Study no. WIL-658001. July 21, 2008. Unpublished report.
- Tian Q, Shan J and Wang Y, 1992. Genotoxic study of furneol on mice germ cells. Weisheng Dulixue Zazhi 8, 26-28. [In Chinese]
- Xing B, Liu K, Yao A, Li Y, Zhi X, Zhang X and Zheng A, 1988. Mutagenic studies of HDMF. Zhonghua Yafangyixiu Zazhi 22, 85-97. [In Chinese]



#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

ADI Acceptable Daily Intake

BW Body Weight

CAS Chemical Abstract Service

CEF Panel on Food Contact Materials, Enzymes, Flavourings and Processing Aids

Chemical Abstract Service

CHO Chinese Hamster Ovary (cells)
CHL Chinese Hamster Lung (cells)

CoE Council of Europe

DNA Deoxyribonucleic acid

EC European Commission

EFFA European Flavour and Fragrance Association

EFSA The European Food Safety Authority

EU European Union

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

FEMA Flavor and Extract Manufacturers Association

FGE Flavouring Group Evaluation

FLAVIS (FL) Flavour Information System (database)

GLP Good Laboratory Practice

ICH International Conference on Harmonisation

ID Identity

IOFI International Organization of the Flavour Industry

IR Infrared spectroscopy

JECFA The Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives

LD<sub>50</sub> Lethal Dose, 50%; Median lethal dose

MS Mass spectrometry

MSDI Maximised Survey-derived Daily Intake

mTAMDI Modified Theoretical Added Maximum Daily Intake

NAD Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide

NADP Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide Phosphate

No Number

NOAEL No Observed Adverse Effect Level

NOEL No Observed Effect Level
NTP National Toxicology Program

QSAR Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship

SCE Sister Chromatid Exchange



SCF Scientific Committee on Food

SMART Somatic Mutation and Recombination Test
TAMDI Theoretical Added Maximum Daily Intake

UDS Unscheduled DNA Synthesis
WHO World Health Organisation