

## Application of Fuzzy Analysis in Comprehensive Evaluation in SP Flooding Test of Daqing Oilfield

**Gong, Yanqiu; Yuan, Hao; Feng, Yuliang; Liu, Wenyu; Huang, Bing**

*Published in:*

Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Information and Management Sciences

*Publication date:*

2008

*Document Version*

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

*Citation (APA):*

Gong, Y., Yuan, H., Feng, Y., Liu, W., & Huang, B. (2008). Application of Fuzzy Analysis in Comprehensive Evaluation in SP Flooding Test of Daqing Oilfield. In Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Information and Management Sciences (pp. 696-699). California USA: California Polytechnic State University. (Series of Information & Management Sciences).

## DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

---

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Series of Information & Management Sciences

Series Editor: Eldon Y. Li, Cal Poly State University

## Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Information and Management Sciences

August 12-19, 2008

Xinjiang Grand Hotel, Urumchi, China

Edited by

Mitsuo Gen

Xiande Zhao

Jinwu Gao

The logo for the International Management Sciences (ims) series, consisting of the lowercase letters 'ims' in a bold, blue, sans-serif font.

<http://orisc.edu.cn/ims>

Development Fashion Optimization of High Concentration Polymer Flooding in Central Area of Daqing Oilfield.....683  
 Yi-kun Liu, Fu-lin Wang, Xin-guang Sui, Tao Yang, Daqing Petroleum Institute

Application of Fuzzy Mathematics Method in Redevelopment Potential Prediction of Long Time Closed Oil Wells.....687  
 Li-jun Wang, Xiao-fen Li, Yue Guan, Hai-yu Yang, Daqing Petroleum Institute

Optimization and Evaluation of Potassium Sulfate Drilling Mud System.....692  
 Yanling Cao, Chunyan Li, Xingyu Zhang, Kuangzhao Ma, Haibin Guo, Zhiyong Wang, Chunli Liu  
 Daqing Oilfield Corporation Ltd

Application of Fuzzy Analysis in Comprehensive Evaluation in SP Flooding Test of Daqing Oilfield.....696  
 Yanqiu Gong, Hao Yuan, Yuliang Feng, Wenyu Liu, Bing Huang, Daqing Petroleum Institute

The Research of Frontal Advance Laws of Polymer Flooding in the Condition of Anisotropy and Nonhomogeneity.....700  
 Zhi-jun Zhou, Xiang-yu Kong, Wei-wei Liu, Xiao-feng Li, Jing Li, Hui-bo Ma, Daqing Petroleum Institute

Multiple Regression Analysis and Prediction for Intake Capacity at Water Wells.....705  
 Shenggao Qin, Jingchun Wu, Zhenqi Jia, Daqing Petroleum Institute

Optimization of Well Spacing and Array Pitch at Low-permeability Reservoir.....709  
 Jingchun Wu, Jinglan Jiang, Yanling Sun, Daqing Petroleum Institute

Study on Drilling Parameters Real-time Optimal Method.....712  
 Shibin Li, Jiandong Wu, Ligang Zhang, Daqing Petroleum Institute

The Study & Application of a New Testing and Adjusting Linkage Meter.....717  
 Jihong Zhang, Gang Zhang, Zhongguo Wang, Mingjun Liu, Lijuan Zhao, Daqing Petroleum Institute

The Use of Diversions in Locating an Emergency Facility.....720  
 Ahat Rashida, Hai-yan Yu, Xinjiang University

Moments and Tails Inequality for Various Uncertain Variables.....723  
 Xiaohu Yang, Xi'an University of Finance and Economics

Automaton Model with Variable Cell Size for the Simulation of Pedestrian Flow.....727  
 Minjie Chen, Guenter Bärwolff, Hartmut Schwandt, Technische Universität Berlin

Product Recovery Network Model under Stochastic Environment.....737  
 Xiaohu Yang, Xi'an University of Finance and Economics

Warehouse Design for Marine Products Storing Warehouse.....741  
 Heung Suk Hwang, Suk-Tae Bae, Gyu-Sung Cho, Tong Myong University

Lattice Boltzmann Equation for Transient Flow of Long-distance Water Supply Pipeline.....742  
 Shilin Qu, Fei Ma, Miao Wang, University of Science and Technology Beijing

**Authors Index**

# Application of Fuzzy Analysis in Comprehensive Evaluation in SP Flooding Test of Daqing Oilfield

Yanqiu Gong<sup>1</sup>, Hao Yuan<sup>2</sup>, Yuliang Feng<sup>3</sup>, Wenyu Liu<sup>4</sup>, Bing Huang<sup>4</sup>

1 Daqing Petroleum Institute, Daqing, Heilongjiang 163318

2 Department of Chemical Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Copenhagen, DK-2800

3 College of Automation; Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing; Jiangsu 210094

4 Dagang Oil Field Company, Tianjin, 300280

**Abstract:** There are several economic indexes must be taken into account besides many technical indexes during the decision and optimization in oilfield. These technical and economic indexes must be considered together at the same time, and they can be combined reasonably by fuzzy analysis method. The results can provide direct and quick assessment data for the operator. It's simple, more integrated, more scientific and more comprehensive results can be gained. SP flooding in No.2 oil production plant was discussed in this paper, the fuzzy analysis data indicated a good results.

**Keywords:** fuzzy analysis, SP flooding, comprehensive evaluation

## 1 Introduction

Since 1993, the application of ASP flooding has been enhanced in Daqing oilfield, 5 pilot sites were carried out and the results indicate an increased recovery of OOIP by more than 20% after water flooding. With the development of surfactant agent produced in Daqing and its successful application in Daqing oilfield, the number of ASP flooding pilot sites increases to 14 now. But with the benefit of its ability to increase the recovery, some other things become obvious, such as crustation, stuck pump, and so on. These problems has become the bottle neck of the application of ASP flooding. So, to avoid these problems during ASP flooding, and to achieve a better oil recovery, without the alkali, study on SP flooding certainly begins.

Of course, the recovery of SP flooding is lower than that of ASP flooding, but SP flooding will never eat the injection and production pipes, so we can cut some injection equipment, and the pump inspection period is shorten, the economic effect is better than that of ASP flooding. But some people will only focus on the final recovery, the economic effect will always be the second in their mind. Actually, we can't make the decision only by technical specification, or the economic effect, these two must be considered at the same time. The technical and economic effects must be combined reasonably, and only by this way, the comprehensive evaluation can be scientific and effective.

Technical and economic indexes were taken into account at the same time by the use of fuzzy analysis method in Daqing SP flooding, and the result was given to guide the application in oilfield.

There are five steps to make the decision:

1. Technical and economic indexes calculation;
2. Normalization of indexes;
3. Indexes evaluation matrix calculation;
4. The weights of all the technical and economic indexes;
5. Comprehensive evaluation result, to make the decision.

## 2 Calculation of Technical and Economic Indexes of the Project

Although the lab experiment can guide the field in some way, but there is somewhat difference between lab experiment and field effect. So, for the technical indexes of pilot tests in oilfield, the main methods to predict are comprehensive analysis by dynamic data and reservoir simulation. The main indexes include periodic oil recovery, water cut falloff and low water cut period. For economic indexes, IRR(Internal Rate of Return) and payback period are the two main aspects.

## 3 Technical and Economic Indexes Processing

First, the intervals of all the technical and economic indexes should be defined according to the industry standard and their ranges (Tab.1), and then according to EQ.1 and EQ.2, all these indexes should be processed.

For index  $X_i \in [X_{\min}, X_{\max}]$ , which belongs to the type of the bigger the better, so we have<sup>[1]</sup>:

$$x_i = \frac{X_i - X_{\min}}{X_{\max} - X_{\min}} \quad (1)$$

For the type of the smaller the better,

$$x_i = 1 - \frac{X_i - X_{\min}}{X_{\max} - X_{\min}} \quad (2)$$

Where  $i$  is the number of indexes,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , in this paper  $n = 5$ .

Table 1 Range of all the indexes in SP pilot test in No.2 oil production plant in Daqing oilfield

No.	symbol	index	unit	range
1	X <sub>1</sub>	Periodic oil recovery	%	[5,20]
2	X <sub>2</sub>	Water cut falloff	%	[0,25]
3	X <sub>3</sub>	Low water cut period	month	[0,18]
4	X <sub>4</sub>	IRR	%	[0,50]
5	X <sub>5</sub>	Payback period	year	[1,5]

### 4 Indexes Evaluation Matrix Calculation

According to the need of final comprehensive evaluation, we divide the range [0,1] into four levels, the details are as followings in Tab.2:

Table 2 Divided levels of the comprehensive evaluation

levels	bad	ordinary	good	better
range[Y,Z]	[0, 0.25]	[0.25, 0.5]	[0.5, 0.75]	[0.75, 1]

Evaluation level vector  $V$  is calculated as:

$$V = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m]^T \tag{3}$$

$$v_j = y_j + \frac{z_i - y_j}{2} \tag{4}$$

Where  $j$  is the number of levels,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , in this paper there are four levels, so  $m = 4$ . Fuzzy matrix is:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} r_{11} & \dots & r_{1m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ r_{n1} & \dots & r_{nm} \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

Where  $r_{ij}$  is the probability of index  $i$  belongs to level  $j$ ,  $r_{ij} = 1 - |x_i - v_j|$ .

### 5 Weights of All the Technical and Economic Indexes

There are many ways to calculate the weight, the usual way is improved analytical hierarchy process, expert scoring method, or principal components analysis.

#### 5.1 Expert scoring method<sup>[2]</sup>

Expert scoring method is to give the weight coefficient by people's experience. In order to avoid some person's hobby of particular indexes, experts are needed as many as possible in theory, and the average of all the weight coefficients will be available. But in practice, this result is easily influenced by people's knowledge and hobby, if there are not enough experts, or some experts only focus on technical indexes or economic indexes, the results will be greatly different. This method is simple, no complex calculation, if all the experts have a good understanding of the problem, the result can

represent main contradictory, and it's suitable for practical work anyway.

#### 5.2 Improved analytical hierarchy process<sup>[3]</sup>

Another name of this method is "three standard degree method", its important character is: comparing the two indexes  $x$  and  $y$ , if  $x$  is more important than  $y$ , we have  $C_{xy} = 2$ ; if  $x$  is as important as  $y$ , we have  $C_{xy} = 1$ ; and if  $x$  is less important than  $y$ , we finally have  $C_{xy} = 0$ . Details of comparison can accord to market needs or the importance difference between the indexes, repeated calculation should be done to check the results. All the comparison results between two indexes can form the comparison matrix  $C$ :

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & \dots & C_{1n} \\ C_{21} & C_{22} & \dots & C_{2n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ C_{n1} & C_{n2} & \dots & C_{nm} \end{bmatrix} \tag{6}$$

where  $C_{ij}$  is the comparison result between two indexes.

$$C_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{when index } i \text{ is more important than index } j \\ 1 & \text{when index } i \text{ is as important as index } j \\ 0 & \text{when index } i \text{ is less important than index } j \end{cases}$$

where  $n$  is the number of indexes. Obviously,  $C_{ii} = 1$ , we define the order of importance  $r_i$ :

$$r_i = \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ij} \tag{7}$$

Judgement matrix  $B = [b_{ij}]$  is formed from matrix R:

$$b_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{(r_i - r_j)}{(r_{\max} - r_{\min})} (b_m - 1) + 1, & r_i > r_j \\ 1, & r_i = r_j \\ \left[ \frac{(r_j - r_i)}{(r_{\max} - r_{\min})} (b_m - 1) + 1 \right]^{-1}, & r_i < r_j \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where  $b_m = r_{\max} / r_{\min}$ ,  $r_{\max} = \max r_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ ,  $r_{\min} = \min r_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ .

But there is one point we must pay attention to, the judgement matrix as above isn't in accordance with the consistency of humankind's thinking. For example, if index  $x$  is more important than index  $y$ , and index  $y$  is more important than index  $z$ , so we know that, index  $x$  is much more important than index  $z$ . But in fact, index  $x$  is as important as index  $z$ , this is caused by not in accordance with the consistency of humankind's thinking. So, we can improve matrix  $B$  by optimal transfer matrix,

after this, the consistency will be automatically fulfilled, and the weight can be calculated directly. Now, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{ij} &= 1gb_{ij} \\
 c_{ij} &= \sum_{k=1}^n (a_{ik} - a_{jk}) / n \\
 b_{ij}^* &= 10^{c_{ij}}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9}$$

So the matrix  $B^* = (b_{ij}^*)_{n \times n}$  has a good consistency.  $B^*$  is the judgement matrix, the eigenvector  $W = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]^T$  can be calculated, and all the parameters of  $W$  is the weight of indexes. Eigenvector calculated by square root method is as followings,

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
 u_i &= \prod_{j=1}^n b_{ij}^* \\
 \bar{w} &= (u_i)^{\frac{1}{n}}
 \end{aligned} \right\} (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)
 \tag{10}$$

Standardization of vector  $\bar{w} = [\bar{w}_1, \bar{w}_2, \dots, \bar{w}_n]^T$  is:

$$w_i = \frac{\bar{w}_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n \bar{w}_j}
 \tag{11}$$

So the vector  $W = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]^T$  is the index's weight, and  $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$

### 6 Comprehensive Evaluation Results Calculation

The evaluation result can be gained by multiply weight vector  $W$  with judgement matrix  $R$ :

$$B = W \cdot R = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m]^T
 \tag{12}$$

As we have the evaluation level vector  $V = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m]^T$  and evaluation result  $B = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m]^T$ , comprehensive result  $D$  is:

$$D = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m b_i v_i}{\sum_{i=1}^m b_i}
 \tag{13}$$

The level that  $D$  is in is the comprehensive evaluation result of the whole project.

### 7 Application in Daqing Sp Flooding Area

After a lot of lab experiments, surfactant that is suitable for the underground water in Daqing oilfield was selected, and on the basis of different multisystems with various polymers, final injection project was worked out. But some people will

doubt that whether SP flooding can gain a good oil recovery, or if it can gain a good oil recovery, can the project be economical? Which is better in whole comparing to ASP flooding, including technical and economic indexes?

Three technical indexes(periodic oil recovery, water cut falloff and low water cut period) and two economic indexes(internal rate of return and payback period) were calculated and chosen to evaluate the feasibility of SP flooding in NO.2 oil production plant in Daqing oilfield.

#### 7.1 Technical and economic indexes normalization

According to EQ.1 and EQ.2, the result is shown in Tab.3.

Table 3 technical and economic indexes normalization result of SP flooding project

No.	symbol	index	unit	range	result
1	X <sub>1</sub>	Periodic oil recovery	%	[5,20]	0.867
2	X <sub>2</sub>	Water cut falloff	%	[0,25]	0.8
3	X <sub>3</sub>	Low water cut period	month	[0,18]	0.667
4	X <sub>4</sub>	IRR	%	[0,50]	0.6
5	X <sub>5</sub>	Payback period	year	[1,5]	0.722

So we have  $X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T = [0.867, 0.8, 0.667, 0.6, 0.722]^T$ .

#### 7.2 Evaluation matrix result

Evaluation level range division is shown in Tab.4, interval [0,1] is divided into four levels.

Table 4 evaluation levels

levels	bad	ordinary	good	better
range[Y,Z]	[0, 0.25]	[0.25, 0.5]	[0.5, 0.75]	[0.75, 1]

Evaluation level vector can be calculated by EQ.3.

$$V = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m]^T = [0.125, 0.375, 0.625, 0.875]^T$$

So, the evaluation matrix  $R$  is

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 0.258 & 0.508 & 0.758 & 0.992 \\ 0.325 & 0.575 & 0.825 & 0.925 \\ 0.458 & 0.708 & 0.958 & 0.792 \\ 0.525 & 0.775 & 0.975 & 0.725 \\ 0.403 & 0.653 & 0.903 & 0.847 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### 7.3 Weights of all the indexes

For simplification, the weights of all the technical and economic indexes are given by expert scoring method:

So, we can get  $W = [w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n]^T = [0.3, 0.15, 0.15, 0.2, 0.2]^T$ .

#### 7.4 Comprehensive evaluation result

According to EQ.11, evaluation result is as below:

$$B = [0.3804, 0.6305, 0.8704, 0.8696]^T$$

Table 5 weights of technical and economic indexes by expert scoring method

Indexes	Periodic oil recovery	Water cut falloff	Low water cut period	IRR	Payback period
Weight/%	30	15	15	20	20

Comprehensive evaluation result calculated by EQ.12,  $D=0.5776$ , it is in the level of good. So for this project, its technical and economic indexes were both good, and of course its good. Besides, for ASP flooding, the comprehensive evaluation result is only 0.4998, it is ordinary, so, according to our comprehensive evaluation result, SP flooding is better than ASP flooding in whole.

## 8 Conclusions

1 Technical and economic indexes are both considered in the evaluation process, and these two are combined reasonably by proper weights, the final comprehensive evaluation result can guide the operation work in oilfield;

2 This method is simple, scientific, reasonable, and the result can avoid the preference to some particular indexes;

3 During the comparison of many projects, this method can give the comprehensive evaluation results directly, it's simple and can save a lot of work.

## Reference

- [1] Sang Yu, Wu Jian. Application of fuzzy analysis in the gas production economic evaluation[J]. Drilling & Production Technology, 2003,26(2):29-31.
- [2] Zhou Zixiang. Applied Mathematics in Oilfield. Xinjiang: Xinjiang University Press. 1993.
- [3] Xiao Fangchun, Zhang Xiaoyu, Zhang Peng, etc. Application of Fuzzy Analysis Design in Oilfield. Beijing: Petroleum Industry Press, 199.

Keywords: polymer flooding, anisotropy, nonhomogeneity,

frontal advance law

(15)

The potential flow is the solution of

(16)

Buckley-Leverett put forward the theory of saturation

section one-dimensional linear-uncompressed flow and

frontal advance theory not considering gravity and capillary

force, but the frontal advance separation gradient in this

method has different value. In view of this problem, Wu

get the sole frontal advance saturation value by employing

unified principal saturation distribution. Yang et al. get

the analytic solution of incompressible considering capillary.

Buckley-Leverett fractional flow equation is now applied

into the research of problem in EOR, which include

reactant flooding, polymer flooding, study of chemical

flooding mechanism, alcohol flooding, surfactant flooding,

active agent and caused flooding and so on. The solution of

well, and it success the flow rate of

Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Information and Management Science, Huzhou, China, August 13-19, 2008, pp. 700-704