THE EFFECTS OF PORT SECURITY COMPLIANCE ON THE COMPETITIVNESS

OF EUROPEAN UNION MARITIME INDUSTRY FIRMS

An Undergraduate Research Scholars Thesis

By

JONATHAN TAYLOR STONE

Submitted To Honors and Undergraduate Research
Texas A&M University
In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the designation as

UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH SCHOLAR

Approved By
Research Advisor:
Dr. Joan Mileski

May 2013

Major: Maritime Administration

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
TABLE O	OF CONTENTS	1
ABSTRAC	CT	2
DEDICAT	ΓΙΟΝ	4
ACKNOW	VLEDGEMENTS	5
СНАРТЕ	R	
I	INTRODUCTION	6
II	SURVEY OF LITERATURE	8
III	METHODS	12
IV	RESULTS	14
V	CONCLUSION	15
REFRENC	CES	16
APPENDI	IX A	17
APPENDI	IX B	41

ABSTRACT

The Effects Of Port Security Compliance On The Competitiveness Of European Union Maritime Industry Firms. (May 2013)

Jonathan Stone
Department of Maritime Administration
Texas A&M University

Research Advisor: Dr. Joan Mileski Department of Maritime Administration

Prior research has addressed European Union (EU) water transportation policy and its impact on firm strategy. We extend this research by attempting to measure the effect of port security regulation compliance implementation on the perceived competitiveness of maritime firms located in European Union ports. We ask the question: Can firm specific implementation of required port security compliance enhance or hinder a firm's competitive advantage?

Using Resource-Based Strategic Theory was used as a framework for evaluating competitiveness. Resource Based Theory purports that assets and systems can give a firm a competitive advantage if they follow the "VRIN" criteria of Valuable, Rare, Inimitable, and Not easily substitutable. We ask via email and United States Postal Service survey instrument whether certain security assets, resources and systems are "VRIN" and whether these assets, resources and systems give competitive advantage to the firm. The type of resources/assets/systems include physical assets such as fencing; ongoing management assets such as communication systems, planning and structuring management assets such as security planning systems; human assets such as employee knowledge; technological assets such as software protection; intangible assets such as a safety culture and financial assets such as cost

savings from security compliance. We administer the instrument to all firms operating in EU ports in 2011-2012. A list of firms is obtained from IHSfairplay Ports and Terminals Guide.

The results of the survey shows that most managers do not perceive a competitive advantage was gained in the way security assets/resources/management systems were implemented. However, a strong minority 34.12% of managers did perceive competitive advantage was gained from port security compliance where systems/assets/resources were not easily imitated or the "I." Furthermore, managers perceive where they were located within the port as an important advantage to security and competitiveness.

DEDICATION

To Miss K,

My wonderful daughter and best friend

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Joan Mileski and Dr. Max Mejia, without their support and guidance none of this would have been possible. Financial support for this research was helped in part by Texas A&M University and the Texas Institute of Oceanography.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Prior research has addressed European Union (EU) water transportation policy and its impact on firm strategy. We extend this research by attempting to measure the effect of port security regulation compliance implementation on the perceived competitiveness of maritime firms located in European Union ports. We ask the question: Can firm specific implementation of required port security compliance enhance or hinder a firm's competitive advantage?

Transporting raw materials and finished product safely and securely is essential to smooth trading across countries. Ninety-five percent of global trade is transported by ship. In the EU, roughly 35% of goods used are imported by ship. Furthermore, approximately 47% of the total world merchant fleet calls are in Europe. The Council on Foreign Relations reports that the security concerns of the international supply chains and transportation systems remain high. With elevated levels of terrorist and piracy attacks in recent years and the threat of war in many areas, ports are particularly attractive for attack due to the economic disruption closing a large port can have on a nation or region of nations.

The EU has several current and proposed regulations on the maritime industry. Many of these regulations address safety and security throughout the industry. Although it appears that much of port security to date has been focused on "gates, guards, gadgets and gizmos," regulations are now beginning to address better management systems. Compliance with security by firms within an EU port involves not only EU regulation but the global regulation of the International Maritime Organization and how these two levels of regulations fit together.

Resource-Based Strategic Theory was used as a framework for evaluating competitiveness.

Resource based theory purports that assets and systems can give a firm a competitive advantage if they follow the "VRIN" criteria of Valuable, Rare, Inimitable, and Not easily substitutable.

We ask via email and United States Postal Service survey instrument whether certain security assets, resources and systems are "VRIN" and whether these assets, resources and systems give competitive advantage to the firm. The type of resources/assets/systems include physical assets such as fencing; ongoing management assets such as communication systems, planning and structuring management assets such as security planning systems; human assets such as employee knowledge; technological assets such as software protection; intangible assets such as a safety culture and financial assets such as cost savings from security compliance. We administer the instrument to all firms operating in EU ports in 2011-2012. A list of firms is obtained from IHSfairplay Ports and Terminals Guide.

CHAPTER II

SURVEY OF LITERATURE

Measurement of port competitiveness is difficult. Port Authorities evaluate competitiveness differently from business entities. This different measure is due to the economic multiplier effect a port offers the local economy. A firm will ultimately choose whichever port it uses based on a variety of factors. Factors that play into this final decision are numerous, location being the most important (Brooks & Cullinane, 2007). Due to the relatively small land mass of Europe and the proximity of other potentially similar ports, location as a factor in port selection is of lesser importance. Other factors in port choice can be given more weight. For example weather conditions are a factor because ships would not select a port where weather conditions regularly limit the ports activity (United Nations 2002). Another factor is the duration of a ship's port of stay, because the less time it takes for a ship to be processed, the shorter they remain in port which reduces port time charges (United Nations 2002). Berth occupancy is another consideration, as this is a measurement of use of a berth facility in a given time period (Tongzon, 2002). The longer a ship stays at berth, the higher the cost a ship will have to pay, and these higher cost can be passed on to shippers in terms of high freight charges and longer cargo dwelling time. Dwelling Time which is the time cargo remains in a terminal's in-transit storage area while awaiting shipment by vessels in exports or evacuation by rail or road in imports. Actual port throughput is the number of crates, tons of cargo, etc. that actually pass through the port. This can also impact the time in port and increase cost (Brooks & Cullinane, 2007). Type of cargo handled can impact port efficiency and therefore time in port (United Nations 2002). Port fees are port charges and have been found to be a driving factor in port choice, but many shippers are willing to pay higher fees for better service. (Murphy, Daley & Dalenberg, 1991)

Shippers, as opposed to shipping companies, are often more concerned with indirect cost, such as loss of market share, loss of customer confidence, and inefficient service (Foster 1978). There is an economies of scale to cargo handling in a port making size of the port important in port selection. Some ports go as far to ship their cargo from a smaller port to a larger one before shipping overseas because it is more cost effective. Further, ports with a high variety of services such as Union and Non-Union labor, available freight repair companies, and easy access to land transportation can be very attractive to users (Maxwell, 2011).

Security has been noted to be a top priority among users, however there has to be an acceptable balance between security and the risk of theft or damage (Marine Cargo Insurance, 2011). Ports will often try and add security features to make themselves more attractive to businesses. This must be done with balance so as not to become intrusive to the business or leading to inefficient port service that would cause delays in insurance claims to be processed. Ports are able to make themselves more competitive by picking security options that best fit the needs of their target customer base (Marine Cargo Insurance, 2011).

Competitiveness of port firm's will ultimately decide if business at that port is competitive. It is known that firms will look at security as an important part of choosing where they will ultimately do business (Maxwellsc, 2011). Firms have different needs and/or wants when identifying the impact port security has on competitiveness. Firms want the safest environment possible while not having a negative impact on port services. Ports enacting security measures such scanning all containers would only be more competitive if it does not negatively impact firm's earnings due to a slower processing time. Some firms will be forced to find ports that have higher security options if they are shipping to the United States (Bichou, 2004). Laws and regulations governing incoming cargo to the United States are stricter than most countries

This research applies the Resource Based Theory (RBT) as a framework on how to evaluate port competitiveness. RBT states that competitiveness is derived from an organization's combination of unique resources include assets, competencies and capabilities. The resource-based view is based on the idea that the effective and efficient applications of all useful resources that the company can muster helps determine its competitive advantage (Barney, 1991). Resources provide competitiveness if they are valuable, rare, in-imitable and substitutable (VRIN) because competitors cannot duplicate this mix of resources exactly.

Security measures for ports are required under the International Ship and Port Facility Security Code (ISPS), but how and what combination of security measures is not required for EU Ports. By using the VRIN method, this research will test whether it is possible for a port to become more competitive through the choice of security implementation resources. I hypothesize if the security implementation of a port is VRIN in its mix of resources, then it will be more competitive.

Competitiveness is generally measured by high financial performance over competitors, since each EU port measures its performance differently, i.e. various combinations of efficiency and financial performance, we rely on management's perception of whether the port possesses VRIN to determine competitiveness. However, if all ports have the same security measures and operating procedures, then they will lose their uniqueness.

Prior to ISPS ports had control over security; most standard operating procedures were produced at a local level (Coleman, 2002). After 9/11 attacks, the Inter National Maritime Origination amended the Safety of Life At Sea (SOLAS) act which came in to force in 2004, to include ISPS

code. Originally, this was very general with ports told to act but not what to do. This was given more direction leaning heavily on the U.S. Coast Guard's best practices (BMP4).

CHAPTER III

METHODS

In order to collect information on how EU port operators and firm decision makers deployed resource capabilities and competencies to comply with ISPS, two survey instruments were developed, one to Port Authority managers, and the other to business executives using Resource-based theory framework. The two surveys are attached in Appendix Two. Questions were developed to determine which security considered resources at the port were: Valuable, Rare, Inimitable, and/or Not easily substitutable by the respondent. All surveys conducted on human subjects comply with the 1981 U.S. Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects (Title 45, Part 56).

Failure to collect data from a high percentage of those surveyed can create bias in the information collected (Fowler, 1993). The groups who did not respond could be different and change the findings compared to those groups who had a higher response rate. The identification of both port managers and port firms is confidential. Past research shows that the response rate for emails surveys for industry surveys approximate 6% (Tse,1995). The response rate received for this survey was 5.52%.

The list of Port Authority managers and firm managers was obtained from the IHS Fairplay Ports and Terminals guide. This guide has contact information by port, both the Port Authority and the companies located at the port.

No sample was taken; the Port Authorities and companies contacted represent the entire population of EU ports. Recipients that did not respond or provided a bad email address were then contacted by USPS. If we failed to receive a reply we then followed up with a 2nd email

request. After the 2nd email request had been made, executives were then contacted by telephone. All telephone requests were made by the same person to ensure inter-rater reliability. Request made by telephone urged for executive to fill out online survey to ensure all data received was identical.

The surveys given in this study asked for factual information from the respondent. In order to increase the validity of the surveys, all surveys were identical and were tested by experts in the industry advising the project and wording of the questions was clarified. Further, a pilot test with two ports in Sweden was also conducted. All changes to the instrument confirmed with the test subjects to ensure that the information was understood.

To make sure that the surveys were reliable, the following steps were taken: First, all respondents were asked the exact same set of questions. Second, the survey was proofread in multiple languages to ensure that all questions meant the same thing to each respondent. Third, the appropriate type of response is communicated consistently to and from all respondents. Fourth, the meaning of the questions was reviewed with the experts to ensure the meaning was consistent to potential respondents. Finally, all responses were summarized. Summarized responses showed that the respondents consistently reported similar knowledge in the same manner.

Validity of questions asked was also tested by academic experts from the Mays School of Business. All feedback was checked for understanding and applied to survey. Survey questions were also tested with Teloar Transport to ensure viability and understanding.

CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

Results show that 34.12% of firms find a competitive advantage in the way they complied to competitive advantage over their peers. This is 8.12% higher than firms that found a competitive advantage in physical security assets. All countries with maritime firms were contacted and asked to respond in this survey. The only survey bias represented is a lack of responses from firms within Portugal. It is not possible to definitively state how this would change findings, but responses were comparable between individual countries.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

This research shows that the methods port sand companies working in ports use to comply with security regulation can impact their competitive advantage. The survey results show that 74% managers do not perceive a competitive advantage was gained in the way security assets/resources/management systems were implemented. However, a strong minority 34.12% of managers did perceive competitive advantage was gained from their method of port security compliance. Furthermore, managers perceive where they were located within the port as an important advantage to security and competitiveness.

Using Resource Based Strategic Theory, this research shows that the perception managers had about their competitiveness was impacted by their mix of competences, capabilities and resources for security compliance.

REFRENCES

Barney, Jay. "Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage." *Journal of Management* 17 (1991): 99-120. Print.

Bichou, Khalid. "The ISPS Code and The Cost of Port Compliance: An Initial Logistics and Supply Chain Framework for Port Security Assessment and Management." *Centre For International Shipping and Logistics* 6 (2004): 322-348. Print.

Brooks, Mary R., and Kevin Cullinane. *Devolution, port governance and port performance*. 2007. Reprint. Amsterdam: Elsevier JAI, 2007. Print.

Foster, George. "2." *Financial statement analysis*. Englewood Cliffs (N.J.): Prentice-Hall, 1978. 2/e. Print.

Fowler, Floyd J.. *Survey research methods*. 2nd ed. Newbury Park: Sage Publications, 1993. Print.

Linville, John. Interview by Joan Mileski. Personal interview. 10 June 2011.

Maxwell, John. Interview by Joan Mileski. Phone interview. 20 June 2011

Murphy, Paul, James Daley, and Douglas Dalenberg. "Selecting Links and Nodes in International Transportation: An Intermediary's Perspective." *Transportation Journal* 31.Winter (1991): 23. Print.

Tongzon, Jose. "An Evaluation of ASEAN Port Performance and Efficiency." *Asian Economic Journal* 8.3 (1994): 317-330. Print.

Tse, A., K.C. Tse, C.H. Yin, C.B. Ting, K.W. Yi, K.P Yee, and W.C. Hong. "Comparing Two Methods of Sending Out Questionnaires: E-mail versus Mail." Journal of the Market Research Society, 4, 37 (1995): 441-445.

APPENDIX A

The following questions 3 through 9 list security compliance assets/resources by type. This list was obtained from the U.S. Coast Guard's best practices. Please check each box that applies for each asset. The headings mean:

Unique means the asset/resource is unique from my competitors' assets/resources

Valuable means the asset/resource is more valuable than my competitors' assets/resources

Not easily imitated means the asset/resource is difficult for my competitors to imitate(replicate).

Non sub means the asset/resource is not **easily substituted by other resources** (rather than exactly imitated)

Specific means the asset/resource is specific to my company and cannot be easily acquired or used by my competitors

No competitive advantage means you have the asset/resource but **you are not sure** it gives you a competitive advantage.

3. Physical Assets/Resources include the following, etc.:

•	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
structures	3. Physical Assets/Resource include the following,etc.: structures Unique	s structures Valuable	structures Not Easily Imitated	o structures Non sub	O structures Specific	structures No Competitive Advantage
physical and perimeter barriers	3. Physical Assets/Resource include the following,etc.: physical and perimeter barriers Unique	C Sphysical and perimeter barriers Valuable	physical and perimeter barriers Not Easily Imitated	Ophysical and perimeter barriers	ophysical and perimeter barriers Specific	physical and perimeter barriers No Competitive Advantage
lighting	3. Physical Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: lighting Unique	^{S O} lighting Valuable	ြ lighting Not Easily Imitated	उ ि lighting Non sub	ا lighting Specific	lighting No Competitive Advantage
screening and detection devices	3. Physical Assets/Resource include the following,etc.:	Sscreening and detection	screening and detection	screening and detection	screening and detection	screening and detection

	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
	screening and detection devices Unique	devices Valuable	devices No Easily Imitated	tdevices Non sub	devices Specific	devices No Competitive Advantage
towers	3. Physical Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: towers Unique	es () towers Valuable	towers Not Easily Imitated	towers	towers Specific	towers No Competitive Advantage
fencing	Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: fencing Unique	es fencin _ę Valuable	fencing Not Easily Imitated	了(fencing Non sub	G fencing Specific	Competitive Advantage
turnstiles	3. Physical Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: turnstiles Unique	turnstiles Valuable	turnstiles Not Easily Imitated	turnstiles Non sub	turnstiles Specific	turnstiles No Competitive Advantage
anti-vehicle barricades	3. Physical Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: anti-vehicle barricades Unique	es anti- vehicle barricades Valuable	anti- vehicle barricades Not Easily Imitated	anti- vehicle barricades Non sub	anti- vehicle barricades Specific	anti- vehicle barricades No Competitive Advantage
uniforms	3. Physical Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: uniforms Unique	uniforms Valuable e	ouniforms Not Easily Imitated	O uniforms Non sub	O uniforms Specific	ouniforms No Competitive Advantage
4. Ongoing Management Unique	Valuable	Not Easil	ly Non si			lo Competitive
	going					Advantage
communicatio n systems Assets/Re es include following communi	esourc communica the n systems , etc.: Valuable cation	commun atio n system Easily Imitated	comm		nmunicatio n rstems	ommunicatio systems No competitive dvantage
systems l documentatio 6 4. On	going C	0	0	0	(

	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
n and security reports systems	Management Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: documentation and security reports systems Unique	n and security reports systems Valuable			n and security reports	documentatio
patrolling systems	A. Ongoing Management Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: patrolling systems Unique		patrolling systems Not Easily Imitated	patrolling systems Non sub		patrolling systems No Competitive Advantage
access systems	4. Ongoing Management Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: access systems Unique	systems	access systems Not Easily Imitated	access systems Non sub	access systems Specific	access systems No Competitive Advantage
cargo tracking systems	4. Ongoing Management Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: cargo tracking systems Unique	Cargo tracking systems Valuable	cargo tracking systems Not Easily Imitated	cargo tracking systems Non sub	cargo tracking systems Specific	cargo tracking systems No Competitive Advantage
security and access procedures	A. Ongoing Management Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: security and access procedures Unique	security and access procedures Valuable	security and access procedures Not Easily Imitated	security and access procedures Non sub	security and access procedures Specific	security and access procedures No Competitive Advantage
security incentive systems	4. Ongoing Management Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.:	security incentive systems Valuable	security incentive systems Not Easily Imitated	security incentive systems Non sub	security incentive systems Specific	security incentive systems No Competitive Advantage

	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
warning and alarm systems	security incentive systems Unique 4. Ongoing Management Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: warning and alarm systems Unique	warning	warning and alarm systems Not Easily Imitated	warning and alarm systems Non sub	warning and alarm systems Specific	warning and alarm systems No Competitive Advantage
checklists	4. Ongoing Management Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: checklists Unique	checklists Valuable	C checklists Not Easily Imitated	C checklists Non sub	checklists Specific	Checklists No Competitive Advantage
5. Planning an	d Structuring Ma	anagement Ass	ets/Resources	include the fo	llowing, etc.:	Nia
	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
security planning systems	5. Planning and Structurin Management Assets/Resour include the following, etc. security planning systems Unique	g Security cesplanning systems Valuable ing	security planning systems Not Easily Imitated	Security planning systems Non sub	security planning systems Specific	security planning systems No Competitive Advantage
assessment systems	5. Planning and Structurin Management Assets/Resour include the following, etc. assessment systems Unique	g Cesassessment systems : Valuable	C assessment systems Not Easily Imitated	assessment systems Non sub	assessment systems Specific	assessment systems No Competitive Advantage
dual usage asset plans	5. Planning and Structurin Management Assets/Resour include the	g dual g usage asset	Odual usage asset plans Not Easily Imitated	dual usage asset plans Non sub	dual usage asset plans Specific	dual usage asset plans No Competitive Advantage

	Unique		Valuable		Not Easi	-	Non s	ub	Spe	cific (No Competitive Advantage
brainstorming session system	include th following, brainstorn session sy Unique	e asset que nning curing ent sources e etc.: ning	C brainstorn session system Valuable	ning	C brainsto session system I Easily Imitated	Not	sessio	storming on m Non	sess	instorming sion tem	C brainstorming session system No Competitive Advantage
security logistics design	5. Plar and Struct Managem Assets/Re include th following, security lo design Un	curing ent sources e etc.: ogistics ique	design Valuable	•	logistics design N Easily Imitated	lot	C se logisti desigr sub		des	ign cific	Security logistics design No Competitive Advantage
6. Human Asset	s/ kesourc	es inciu Unique			ing, etc.: luable	Not E Imita	-	Non sul	b	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
employee know	rledge	Assets, include	ing, etc.: yee edge	em kno	ployee owledge luable	© emplo know Not E Imita	ledge asily	employ knowled Non sub	dge	C employee knowledge Specific	employee knowledge No Competitive Advantage
employee expe	rience	Assets, include	ing, etc.: yee ence	em exp	ployee perience luable	C emple exper Not E Imita	rience Easily	employ experie Non sub	nce	C employee experience Specific	employee experience No Competitive Advantage

training

systems

Valuable

 \circ

training

systems

Assets/Resourcesemployee employee employee employee

Not Easily Non sub

training

systems

 \circ

training

systems

Specific

training

systems No

Competitive

Unique

employee training

systems

6. Human

following, etc.:

include the

employee

	Unique training systems	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage Advantage
guard forces	Onique 6. Human Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: guard forces Unique	s guard forces Valuable	guard forces Not Easily Imitated	○ guard forces Non sub	guard forces Specific	guard forces No Competitive Advantage
trained canine units	6. Human Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: trained canine units Unique	s trained canine units Valuable	trained canine units Not Easily Imitated	trained canine units Non sub	trained canine units	trained canine units No Competitive Advantage
drills	6. Human Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: drills Unique	^S drills Valuable	odrills Not Easily Imitated	C drills Non sub	O drills Specific	odrills No Competitive Advantage
exercises	6. Human Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: exercises Unique	exercises Valuable	exercises Not Easily Imitated	C exercises Non sub	C exercises Specific	exercises No Competitive Advantage
7. Technological Assets/R	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
biometrics	7. Technological Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: biometrics Unique	_s C biometrics Valuable	C biometrics Not Easily Imitated	C biometrics Non sub	C biometrics Specific	biometrics No Competitive Advantage
software protection	7. Technological Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: software protection	C Ssoftware protection Valuable	osoftware protection Not Easily Imitated	osoftware protection Non sub	osoftware protection Specific	oftware protection No Competitive Advantage

		Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
electronic access	s control	Unique 7. Technological Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: electronic access control Unique 7.	access control	electronic access control Not Easily Imitated	electronic access control Non sub	electronic access control Specific	electronic access control No Competitive Advantage
electronic survei	llance	Technological Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: electronic surveillance Unique	s C electronic surveillance Valuable	electronic surveillance Not Easily Imitated	C electronic surveillance Non sub		
electronic and automatic tracki	ng	7. Technological Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: electronic and automatic tracking Unique	© selectronic and automatic tracking Valuable	electronic and automatic tracking Not Easily Imitated	electronic and automatic tracking Non sub	electronic and automatic tracking Specific	electronic and automatic tracking No Competitive Advantage
enterprise resou planning system	s (ERP)	7. Technological Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: enterprise resource planning systems (ERP) Unique	resource planning systems (ERP) Valuable	enterprise resource planning systems (ERP) Not Easily Imitated	enterprise resource planning systems (ERP) Non sub	enterprise resource planning systems (ERP) Specific	enterprise resource planning systems (ERP) No Competitive Advantage
8. Intangible Ass	ets/Reso	urces include the	<u> </u>			ı	No
ι	Jnique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non su	ıb Spe		Competitive Advantage
location F f	8. ntangible Assets/Reses include ollowing, ocation U	the Valuable etc.:	C locati Not Easily Imitated			cific (location No Competitive Advantage

	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
capacity	8. Intangible Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: capacity Unique		C capacity Not Easily Imitated	apacity Non sub	apacity Specific	Capacity No Competitive Advantage
complementa ry infrastructure (rail,roadways , pipeline, etc)	8. Intangible Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: complementary infrastructure (rail,roadways, pipeline, etc) Unique	C complementa ry infrastructure (rail,roadways , pipeline, etc) Valuable	complementa ry infrastructure (rail,roadways , pipeline, etc) Not Easily Imitated	ry infrastructure (rail,roadways		infrastructure (rail,roadways , pipeline, etc)
third-party security contracts	8. Intangible Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: third-party security contracts Unique	C third- party security contracts Valuable	third- party security contracts Not Easily Imitated	third- party security contracts Non sub	third- party security contracts Specific	third- party security contracts No Competitive Advantage
relationships with local fishermen	8. Intangible Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: relationships with local fishermen Unique		relationships with local fishermen Not Easily Imitated	relationships with local fishermen Non sub	relationships with local fishermen Specific	relationships with local fishermen No Competitive Advantage
safety culture	8. Intangible Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: safety culture Unique	culture	safety culture Not Easily Imitated	safety culture Non sub	safety culture Specific	safety culture No Competitive Advantage
union relationships	° 8.	union	union	C union	O union	union

	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
	Intangible Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: union relationships Unique 8.	relationships Valuable	relationships Not Easily Imitated	relationships Non sub	relationships Specific	relationships No Competitive Advantage
outreach relationships	Intangible Assets/Resourc es include the following, etc.: outreach relationships Unique	outreach relationships Valuable	outreach relationships Not Easily Imitated	outreach	outreach relationships Specific	outreach relationships No Competitive Advantage

9. Financial Assets/Resources include the following, etc.:

	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
port security fees	9. Financial Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: port security fees Unique	port security fees Valuable	port security fees Not Easily Imitated	port security fees Non sub	port security fees Specific	port security fees No Competitive Advantage
other revenue generation for security and safety	9. Financial Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: other revenue generation for security and safety Unique	other revenue generation for security and safety Valuable	Other revenue generation for security and safety Not Easily Imitated	Other revenue generation for security and safety Non sub	other revenue generation for security and safety Specific	other revenue generation for security and safety No Competitive Advantage
cost savings from security compliance	9. Financial Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: cost savings from security compliance Unique	C cost savings from security compliance Valuable	cost savings from security compliance Not Easily Imitated	C cost savings from security compliance Non sub	C cost savings from security compliance Specific	0

The scale used in the following questions is as follows:

5 Strongly Agree 4 Agree
3 Neutral
2 Disagree
1 Strongly Disagree
10. We have cooperated with other ports to share resources for security.
5 C 4
11. My ability to select or develop resources for security at this port is influenced by local laws and local community standards.
4
 1 12. My ability to select or develop resources for security at this port is influenced by engineering and
union professional standards.
5
1 13. My relationships with other organizations (ESPO) or other ports influence my ability to select or
develop resources for security.
14. My ability to select or develop resources for security at this port is influenced by how other ports
handle security compliance.

15. The resources acquired or developed for security have the support of top management.
\circ 4
° ,
16. The resources acquired or developed for security align with the organization's culture.
17. Employees are more vigilant about security than before July 1, 2004.
O 5
6
1
18. The security investments changed which types of customers we serve.
° 5
° ₄
° 3
$^{\circ}$ 2
$^{\circ}$ 1
19. The mix of companies that work in the port and their security assets provide a competitive
advantage to the port over my competitors.
° ₅
° 4
$^{\circ}$ 3
° 2
1
20. The overall security compliance benefits have exceeded security compliance costs. $\hfill \hfill \hfil$
<u></u>
° 3
° ₂
\circ 1

21. Indicate the number of industry organizations memberships (that share security information such as ESPO, etc.) your port has.		
C ₁₋₅		
° 6-10		
° ₁₁₋₁₅		
16 or more		
22. What is your investment in security compliance resources for the port as compared to your		
competitors O Lower		
LOWEI		
Tilgrici		
About the same 23. Has your port been privatized?		
Wholly		
Partially		
Not at all		
24. Does your company have ISO 28000 certification?		
○ Yes		
○ _{No}		
25. If so, on what date did you adopt?		
2004		
2005		
2006		
2007		
© 2008		
2009		
© 2010		
2011		
26. Estimate the total cargo that passed through (throughput) the port for the last closed fiscal year.		
Containers (numbers of containers TEUs)		
° ₁₋₁₀₀		
101-1.000		
1.001-10.000		
10.001-100.000		
100.001-1.000.000		

```
Over 1.000.000
Oil (Tons)
0
<sup>0</sup> 1-100
° 101-1.000
1.001-10.000
<sup>O</sup> 10.001-100.000
<sup>0</sup> 100.001-1.000.000
Over 1.000.000
Dry Bulk (Tons)
0
<sup>0</sup> 1-100
101-1.000
1.001-10.000
10.001-100.000
<sup>O</sup> 100.001-1.000.000
Over 1.000.000
Passengers in total including ferries and cruises but not in automobiles (numbers of passengers)
0
<sup>O</sup> 1-100
101-1.000
1.001-10.000
10.001-100.000
   100.001-1.000.000
Over 1.000.000
Ro-ro (Number of trucks)
0
  1-100
101-1.000
1.001-10.000
<sup>O</sup> 10.001-100.000
100.001-1.000.000
Over 1.000.000
Ro-ro (Number automobiles in transit)
0
<sup>0</sup> 1-100
```

0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000
0	10.001-100.000
0	100.001-1.000.000
0	Over 1.000.000
	-ro (Number of railcars)
0	0
0	1-100
0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000
0	10.001-100.000
0	100.001-1.000.000
0	Over 1.000.000
Otl	her
0	Please indicate
0	0
0	1-100
0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000
0	10.001-100.000
0	100.001-1.000.000
0	Over 1.000.000
	Estimate, if possible, the total security infrastructure value (historical costs) as a percentage of
C	al infrastructure value (historical costs)?
Ö	
0	1-4%
0	5-9%
0	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
	25% and over What are the total annual security compliance maintenance costs as a percentage of total annua
costs?	
\circ	
0	1-4%
0	5-9%

0	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
0	25% and over
29.	Estimated profit for last fiscal year as percentage of historical cost of assets.
0	Less than 0
0	0
0	1-4%
0	5-9%
0	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
0	25% and over
	Estimate your earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) for last closed fiscal year as a percentage
of t	total revenue
0	Less than 0
	0
0	1-4/0
	5-9%
	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
0	25% and over
	Estimate your long-term liabilities for last closed fiscal year as a percentage of own capital (equity)
0	Less than 0
0	0
0	1-4%
0	5-9%
0	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
0	25% and over
	Estimated return on investment (ROI) from your company's activities in this port.
0	Less than 0
0	0
0	1-4%

0	5-9%
0	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
0	25% and over
	Berth occupancy Percentage (number of hours a berth is occupied divided by the number of hours
	e berth is available)
0	100%
0	90-99%
0	80-89%
0	70-79%
0	60-69%
0	50-59%
0	Under 50%
	Average\ship's turn around time (in hours) upon arrival in port (berth occupancy time) including
	iting time to berth (vessel turn time), time at berth, operational time at berth, leave berth, leave
po	rt confidential
Tai	nkers
	INCIS
0	Under 3 hours
_	
0	Under 3 hours
0	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours
000	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours
0000	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours
0 0 0 0 0 Bul	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours
000000	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours
0 0 0 0 0 Bul	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours Ik Carriers
0 0 0 0 0 Bul	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours Ik Carriers Under 3 hours
0 0 0 0 0 0 Bul 0 0 0	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours Ik Carriers Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours
0 0 0 0 0 0 Bul 0 0 0 0	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours Ik Carriers Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 10 to 15 hours 10 to 48 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Bul 0 0 0 0 0 0 Co	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours Over 48 hours
000000000000000000000000000000000000000	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 10 to 15 hours 10 to 48 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Bul 0 0 0 0 0 0 Co	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours 25 to 48 hours Over 48 hours Over 48 hours
0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Under 3 hours 3 to 10 hours 10 to 15 hours 16 to 24 hours Over 48 hours Under 3 hours Under 3 hours 10 to 15 hours 10 to 24 hours 10 to 3 hours 10 to 3 hours 10 to 3 hours

O 25	5 to 48 hours
° 0	ver 48 hours
	ral cargo
_	nder 3 hours
	to 10 hours
	O to 15 hours
_	6 to 24 hours
_	5 to 48 hours
	ver 48 hours
	timated time cargo moves from ship to outside of port (gate) or warehousing (throughput) by of cargo (also known as dwell time) in number of days.
type	or eargo (also known as awell time) in namber of adys.
Co	ntainers
ି U	nder 3 hours
_	to 10 hours
_	O to 15 hours
	6 to 24 hours
	5 to 48 hours
	ver 48 hours
_	ver one week
	ot applicable
Oil	
_ 0	nder 3 hours
	to 10 hours
	O to 15 hours
_	6 to 24 hours
	5 to 48 hours
_	ver 48 hours
0	ver one week
Dry B	ot applicable
_	nder 3 hours
-	to 10 hours
_	
_	O to 15 hours
~ 16	6 to 24 hours

25 to 48 hours

0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
	sengers in total including ferries and cruises but not in automobiles
0	Under 3 hours
0	3 to 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
Ko-	ro (trucks)
0	Under 3 hours
0	3 to 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
	Not applicable -ro(Automobiles)
0	Under 3 hours
0	3 to 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
\circ	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
\circ	Over one week
0	Not applicable
Ro-	ro (Railcars)
0	Under 3 hours
0	3 to 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours

0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
Oth	ner
0	Please indicate
0	Under 3 hours
0	3 t0 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
	Estimated time cargo moves from outside of port (gate) or warehousing (throughput) by type of
car	go (also known as receiving time) in number of days to ship.
(Containers
0	Under 3 hours
0	3 to 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
Oil	
0	Under 3 hours
0	3 to 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
-	r Bulk
0	Under 3 hours

\cup	3 to 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
	sengers in total including ferries and cruises but not in automobiles
0	Under 3 hours
0	3 to 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
	ro (Trucks)
0	Under 3 hours
0	3 to 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
	ro(Automobiles)
0	Under 3 hours
0	3 to 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
Ro-	ro (Railcars)
0	Under 3 hours

O	3 to 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
Oth	ner
0	Please indicate
0	Under 3 hours
0	3 t0 10 hours
0	10 to 15 hours
0	16 to 24 hours
0	25 to 48 hours
0	Over 48 hours
0	Over one week
0	Not applicable
37.	Indicate your port's storage capacity
C	ontainers (numbers of containers TEUs
0	0
0	1-100
0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000
0	10.001-100.000
0	100.001-1.000.000
0	Over 1.000.000
	(Tons)
0	0
0	1-100
0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000
0	
gen.	10.001-100.000
0	10.001-100.000 100.001-1.000.000
0	

```
° 0
° <sub>1-100</sub>
101-1.000
1.001-10.000
10.001-100.000
   100.001-1.000.000
  Over 1.000.000
Passengers in total including ferries and cruises but not in automobiles (numbers of passengers)
\circ
   1-100
101-1.000
1.001-10.000
10.001-100.000
   100.001-1.000.000
Over 1.000.000
Ro-ro (Number of trucks)
° 0
  1-100
101-1.000
1.001-10.000
10.001-100.000
   100.001-1.000.000
Over 1.000.000
Ro-ro (Number of automobiles in transit)
° 0
   1-100
101-1.000
1.001-10.000
10.001-100.000
   100.001-1.000.000
   Over 1.000.000
Ro-ro (Number of railcars)
\circ 0
  1-100
   101-1.000
  1.001-10.000
```

0	10.001-100.000
0	100.001-1.000.000
0	Over 1.000.000
Otł	ner
0	Please indicate
0	0
0	1-100
0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000
0	10.001-100.000
0	100.001-1.000.000
0	Over 1.000.000
	Indicate the total square metres at this port
0	0
0	1-100
0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000
0	Over 10.000
	Total number of port personnel employed by port authority.
0	0
0	1-10
0	11-50
0	51-100
0	101-250
\circ	
_	251-500
0	Over 500
୍ଦ 40.	Over 500 Indicate the total number of berths at this port.
○ 40. ○	Over 500 Indicate the total number of berths at this port. 0-10
0 40. 0	Over 500 Indicate the total number of berths at this port. 0-10 11-20
0 40. 0 0	Over 500 Indicate the total number of berths at this port. 0-10 11-20 21-35
0 40. 0 0	Over 500 Indicate the total number of berths at this port. 0-10 11-20 21-35 35-50
0 40. 0 0 0	Over 500 Indicate the total number of berths at this port. 0-10 11-20 21-35 35-50 Over 50
0 40. 0 0 0	Over 500 Indicate the total number of berths at this port. 0-10 11-20 21-35 35-50 Over 50 Indicate the port's length of quay
0 40. 0 0 0	Over 500 Indicate the total number of berths at this port. 0-10 11-20 21-35 35-50 Over 50

42. Number of vessels (by type of vessel) handled annually/vessel calls for this port

	Inland waterways vessels
0	0-10
0	11-100
\circ	101-500
0	501-1.000
0	Over 1.000
Sea	going vessels
0	0-10
0	11-100
0	101-500
0	501-1.000
0	Over 1.000
Oth	ner non commercial vessels
0	0-10
0	11-100
0	101-500
0	501-1.000
0	Over 1.000
	Indicate the total number of cranes at this port
0	0-10
0	11-25
0	26-50
0	Over 50
	Indicate the annual growth rate percentage for this port.
0	Less than 0
0	0
0	1-4%
	5-9%
0	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
0	25% and over
	Please add your email address.
Em	ail

Appendix B

WHY YOU SHOULD COMPLETE THIS SURVEY

We are trying to determine if EU port-based companies can receive a competitive advantage from their methods and processes of security compliance with ISPS and EU regulation.

BENEFITS TO YOU

This research should provide companies with information on the management practices that help you beat your competition and make better security compliance decisions. The knowledge gained will be freely shared with you in final tabulator form. We will not reveal the nature of any individual response to any outside source.

WHY YOU

You are a manager in a company working in an EU port. The EU controls over one third of the world's shipping.

WHO WE ARE

We are non profit university research professors from the World Maritime University (Malmo, Sweden) and Texas A & M University at Galveston (Galveston, Texas, USA)

TIME TO COMPLETE

The time to complete is approximately 15 minutes. We know you are busy and will appreciate your help with this voluntary survey.

Two Points

When we refer to Assets/Resources we mean only assets/resources acquired after July 1,2004.

When we refer to **Competitive Advantage or Competitiveness** we mean that which makes your company perform at a higher level than others in your same industry or market. Your competitors may include companies in other ports.

1. Please fill in the information below:	
Your Company Name	
Your title	
The EU port in which your company does business and for which you are responding	
2. Area of port operations in which your company is involved (Select all that apply)	
Port/Terminal Operator	
Pilotage Authority	
Port Agent	
Stevedore	
Chandler	

	Bunkerer
	Port Towage
	Shipyard
	Other
3. \	What kind of cargo does your company handle? Indicate all cargo you handle.
0	Containers
0	Oil
0	Dry Bulk
0	Passengers
0	Ro-ro
0	Other. Please indicate

The following questions 1 through 7 list security compliance assets/resources by type. This list was obtained from the U.S. Coast Guard's best practices. Please check each box that applies for each asset. The headings mean:

Unique means the asset/resource is unique from my competitors' assets/resources

Valuable means the asset/resource is more valuable than my competitors' assets/resources

Not easily imitated means the asset/resource is difficult for my competitors to imitate (replicate).

Non sub means the asset/resource is not **easily substituted by other resources** (rather than exactly imitated)

Specific means the asset/resource is specific to my company and cannot be easily acquired or used by my competitors

No competitive advantage means you have the asset/resource but **you are not sure** it gives you a competitive advantage.

4. Physical Assets/Resources include the following, etc.:

	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
structures	4. Physical Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: structures Unique	s structures Valuable	structures Not Easily Imitated	o structures Non sub	C structures Specific	structures No Competitive Advantage
physical and perimeter barriers	4. Physical Assets/Resource include the following, etc.:	C sphysical and perimeter	O physical and perimeter	Ophysical and perimeter	O physical and perimeter	physical and perimeter barriers No

	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
	physical and perimeter barriers Unique	barriers Valuable	barriers Not Easily Imitated	barriers Non sub	barriers Specific	Competitive Advantage
lighting	Assets/Resource include the following,etc.: lighting Unique	^{S ()} lighting Valuable	Imitated	ड ि lighting Non sub	g [©] lighting Specific	Competitive Advantage
screening and detection devices	Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: screening and detection devices Unique	S screening and detection devices Valuable	screening and detection devices No Easily Imitated	screening and detection devices Non sub	screening and detection devices Specific	screening and detection devices No Competitive Advantage
towers	4. Physical Assets/Resource include the following,etc.: towers Unique	^s C towers Valuable	towers Not Easily Imitated	C towers Non sub	c towers	towers No Competitive Advantage
fencing	4. Physical Assets/Resource include the following,etc.: fencing Unique	s fencing Valuable	fencing Not Easily Imitated	fencing Non sub	g	fencing No Competitive Advantage
turnstiles	4. Physical Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: turnstiles Unique	turnstiles Valuable	turnstiles Not Easily Imitated	C turnstiles Non sub	C turnstiles Specific	turnstiles No Competitive Advantage
anti-vehicle barricades	A. Physical Assets/Resource include the following,etc.: anti-vehicle barricades Unique	s anti- vehicle barricades Valuable	anti- vehicle barricades Not Easily Imitated	anti- vehicle barricades Non sub	anti- vehicle barricades Specific	anti- vehicle barricades No Competitive Advantage
uniforms	4. Physical Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: uniforms Unique	uniforms Valuable	ouniforms Not Easily Imitated	O uniforms Non sub	O uniforms Specific	ouniforms No Competitive Advantage

5. Ongoing Management Assets/Resources include the following, etc.:

5. Origoing i	vianagement Ass	ets/Resources i	include the folio	owing, etc.:		
	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
communicatio n systems	5. Ongoing Management Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: communication systems Unique	C communicatio n systems Valuable		C communicatio n systems Non sub		communicatio n systems No Competitive Advantage
documentatio n and security reports systems	5. Ongoing Management Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: documentation and security reports systems Unique	documentatio		documentatio n and security reports systems Non sub		documentatio n and security reports systems No Competitive Advantage
patrolling systems	5. Ongoing Management Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: patrolling systems Unique	systems	patrolling systems Not Easily Imitated	patrolling systems Non sub	patrolling systems Specific	patrolling systems No Competitive Advantage
access systems	5. Ongoing Management Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: access systems Unique	systems	access systems Not Easily Imitated	access systems Non sub	access systems Specific	access systems No Competitive Advantage
cargo tracking systems	5. Ongoing Management Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: cargo tracking systems Unique	Cargo tracking systems Valuable	Cargo tracking systems Not Easily Imitated	Cargo tracking systems Non sub	Cargo tracking systems Specific	cargo tracking systems No Competitive Advantage
security and access procedures	5. Ongoing Management Assets/Resource s include the	security and access procedures Valuable	security and access procedures Not Easily	security and access procedures Non sub	security and access procedures Specific	security and access procedures No Competitive

	Unique	Valuable	Not Imita	Easily ated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
	following, etc.: security and access procedures Unique		Imita	ated			Advantage
security incentive systems	5. Ongoing Management Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: security incentive systems Unique	incentive systems Valuable	ince: syste		security incentive systems Non sub	security incentive systems Specific	security incentive systems No Competitive Advantage
warning and alarm systems	5. Ongoing Management Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: warning and alarm systems Unique	and alarm	and a	warning alarm ems Not ly Imitated	warning and alarm systems Non sub	warning and alarm systems Specific	warning and alarm systems No Competitive Advantage
checklists	Management Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: checklists Unique	^{:e}	2	checklists Easily ated	C checklists Non sub	checklists Specific	Competitive Advantage
6. Planning	and Structuring	Management A	Assets/	'Resources	include the fol	lowing, etc.:	No
	Unique	Valuable	3	Not Easily mitated	Non sub	Specific	Competitive Advantage
security planni systems	6. Plant and Structu Manageme Assets/Res include the following, e security pla systems Ur	uring ent secu ourcesplanning systems etc.: Valuable anning	, r s . E	Securit planning systems No Easily mitated	security	planning	security planning systems No Competitive Advantage
assessment syst	6. Plan	ning C uring assessmo	ent a	C assessmen systems No	C t assessment ot systems No		assessment systems No

	Unique	Valuable	Not Eas	-	Non s	ub	Specific	No Competitive
	Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: assessment systems Unique	sValuable	Easily Imitated		sub		Specific	Advantage Competitive Advantage
dual usage asset plans	6. Planning and Structuring Management Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: dual usage asset plans Unique	O dual susage asset plans Valuable	O dua usage as plans No Easily Imitated	sset ot	C dusage plans sub	Non	O dual usage asset plans Specific	dual usage asset plans No Competitive Advantage
brainstorming session system	6. Planning and Structuring Management Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: brainstorming session system Unique	C sbrainstorming session system Valuable	brainstong session system Easily Imitated	Not	sessio	n n Non	C brainstorming session system Specific	brainstorming session system No Competitive Advantage
security logistics design	6. Planning and Structuring Management Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: security logistics design Unique	design Valuable	logistics design N Easily Imitated	Not	C se logisti desigr sub	n Non	Security logistics design Specific	security logistics design No Competitive Advantage
7. Human Asset	s/Resources includes Uniqu		ing, etc.:	Not E	-	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive
employee knowledge	7. Assets includ follow emplo knowl Uniqu	Human :/Resources e the e ing, etc.: k yee V edge	mployee nowledge aluable	emple know Not E Imitat	oyee ledge asily	C employe knowled Non sub	lge knowledg	•
employee experience		/Resourcese	mployee	emplo	oyee	employe	ee employee	employee

	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
	include the following, etc.: employee experience Unique	experience Valuable	experience Not Easily Imitated	experience Non sub	experience Specific	_
employee training systems	7. Human Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: employee training systems Unique	employee training systems	employee training systems Not Easily Imitated	employee training systems Non sub	employee training systems Specific	employee training systems No Competitive Advantage
guard forces	7. Human Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: guard forces Unique	s guard forces Valuable	guard forces Not Easily Imitated	oguard forces Non sub	guard forces Specific	guard forces No Competitive Advantage
trained canine units	7. Human Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: trained canine units Unique	s trained canine units Valuable	trained canine units Not Easily Imitated	trained canine units Non sub	trained canine units Specific	trained canine units No Competitive Advantage
drills	7. Human Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: drills Unique	S drills Valuable	Odrills Not Easily Imitated	odrills Non sub	odrills Specific	drills No Competitive Advantage
exercises	7. Human Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: exercises Unique	exercises Valuable	exercises Not Easily Imitated	C exercises Non sub	C exercises Specific	exercises No Competitive Advantage
8. Technological Assets/R	esources include t Unique	he following Valuable	, etc.: Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
biometrics	8.TechnologicalAssets/Resourceinclude the	O biometrics ^S Valuable	Obiometrics Not Easily Imitated	obiometrics Non sub	O biometrics Specific	biometrics No Competitive Advantage

	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
software protection	following, etc.: biometrics Unique 8. Technological Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: software protection Unique	s C software protection Valuable	osoftware protection Not Easily Imitated	o software protection Non sub	C software protection Specific	© software protection
electronic access control	8. Technological Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: electronic access control Unique	access control	electronic access control Not Easily Imitated	electronic access control Non sub	electronic access control Specific	electronic access control No Competitive Advantage
electronic surveillance	8. Technological Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: electronic surveillance Unique	s C electronic surveillanc Valuable	C electronic surveillance Not Easily Imitated	C electronic surveillance Non sub	C electronic esurveillance Specific	electronic surveillance eNo Competitive Advantage
electronic and automatic tracking	R. Technological Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: electronic and automatic tracking Unique	C selectronic and automatic tracking Valuable	electronic and automatic tracking Not Easily Imitated	electronic and automatic tracking Non sub	electronic and automatic tracking Specific	electronic and automatic tracking No Competitive Advantage
enterprise resource planning systems (ERP)	8. Technological Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: enterprise resource planning systems (ERP) Unique	resource planning systems (ERP)	enterprise resource planning systems (ERP) Not Easily Imitated	enterprise resource planning systems (ERP) Non sub	enterprise resource planning systems (ERP) Specific	enterprise resource planning systems (ERP) No Competitive Advantage

9. Intangible Assets/Resources include the following, etc.:

9. Intangible	e Assets/Resourc	es include the i	ollowing, etc.:			No
	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
location	9. Intangible Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: location Unique	location Valuable	location Not Easily Imitated	o location Non sub	C location Specific	location No Competitive Advantage
capacity	9. Intangible Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: capacity Unique	capacity Valuable	capacity Not Easily Imitated	C capacity Non sub	C capacity Specific	Capacity No Competitive Advantage
complementar y infrastructure (rail,roadways , pipeline, etc)	9. Intangible Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: complementary infrastructure (rail,roadways, pipeline, etc) Unique	complemental y infrastructure (rail,roadways pipeline, etc) Valuable	infrastructure	y infrastructure (rail,roadways	C r complementar y infrastructure , (rail, roadways pipeline, etc) Specific	infrastructure (rail,roadways,
third-party security contracts	9. Intangible Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: third-party security contracts Unique	third-party	y third-party security contracts Not Easily Imitated	security contracts Non	security	third-party /security contracts No Competitive Advantage
relationships with local fishermen	9. Intangible Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: relationships with local fishermen Unique		relationships with local fishermen Not Easily Imitated	C relationships with local fishermen Nor I sub	relationships with local ofishermen Specific	relationships with local fishermen No Competitive Advantage
safety culture	9. Intangible Assets/Resource s include the following, etc.: safety culture Unique		safety culture Not Easily Imitated	safety culture Non d sub	safety culture Specific	safety culture No Competitive Advantage

	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
union relationships	9. Intangibl Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: union relationships Unique	e O _{union}	union relationships Not Easily Imitated	union relationships Non sub	o union relationships Specific	union relationships No Competitive Advantage
outreach relationships	9. Intangibl Assets/Resources include the following, etc.: outreach relationships Unique	e Outreach	outreach relationships Not Easily Imitated	outreach relationships Non sub	outreach relationships Specific	outreach relationships No Competitive Advantage

10. Financial Assets/Resources include the following, etc.:

2011	arees merade the i	01101111116, 00	···			
	Unique	Valuable	Not Easily Imitated	Non sub	Specific	No Competitive Advantage
port security fees	10. Financia Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: port security fee Unique	es port security fees	port security fees Not Easily Imitated	port security fees Non sub	port security fees Specific	port security fees No Competitive Advantage
other revenue generation for security and safety	10. Financia Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: other revenue generation for security and safety Unique	es other revenue generation	other revenue generation for security and safety Not Easily Imitated	for security	other revenue generation for security and safety Specific	
cost savings from security compliance	10. Financia Assets/Resource include the following, etc.: cost savings fror security compliance Unique	es cost savings from nsecurity compliance Valuable	cost savings from security compliance Not Easily Imitated	cost savings from security compliance Non sub	cost savings from security compliance Specific	0

he scale used in the following questions is as follows:

5 Strongly Agree

4 Agree
3 Neutral
2 Disagree
1 Strongly Disagree
11. We have cooperated with other companies in this port to share resources for security.
<u> </u>
° ₄
° 3
° 2
$^{\circ}$ 1
12. My ability to select or develop resources for security at this port is influenced by local laws and local community standards
° ₅
° 4
$^{\circ}$ 3
\circ 2
O 1
13. My ability to select or develop resources for security at this port is influenced by engineering and union professional standards
° 5
C 4
O 3
\circ 2
C 1
14. My relationships with other organizations (eg. BIMCO, etc.) influence my ability to select or develop resources for security
° ₅
° 4
\circ 3
° 2
2
1 15. My ability to select or develop resources for security at this port is influenced by how other
companies handle security compliance.
° 5
° 4
\circ 3
C 2
° 1

_	The resources acquired or developed for security have the support of top management
0	5
\circ	4
0	3
\circ	2
0	1
17.	The resources acquired or developed for security align with the organization's culture
0	5
0	4
0	3
\circ	2
0	1
18.	Employees are more vigilant about security than before July 1, 2004.
\circ	5
\circ	4
0	3
0	2
0	1
19.	The security investments changed which types of customers we serve
\circ	5
0	4
\circ	2
	3
0	
0	2
ි 20.	2
20. adv	2 The mix of companies that work in the port and their security assets provide a competitive vantage to my company over my competitors.
20. adv	1 The mix of companies that work in the port and their security assets provide a competitive vantage to my company over my competitors.
20. adv	1 The mix of companies that work in the port and their security assets provide a competitive vantage to my company over my competitors.
20. adv	1 The mix of companies that work in the port and their security assets provide a competitive vantage to my company over my competitors. 5 4 3
20. adv	1 The mix of companies that work in the port and their security assets provide a competitive vantage to my company over my competitors. 5 4 3 2
C 20. adv	1 The mix of companies that work in the port and their security assets provide a competitive vantage to my company over my competitors. 5 4 3 2 1
C 20. adv C C C C C C C C C 21.	The mix of companies that work in the port and their security assets provide a competitive vantage to my company over my competitors. 5 4 3 2 1 The overall security compliance benefits have exceeded security compliance costs.
C 20. adv	The mix of companies that work in the port and their security assets provide a competitive vantage to my company over my competitors. 5 4 3 2 1 The overall security compliance benefits have exceeded security compliance costs.
C 20. adv	The mix of companies that work in the port and their security assets provide a competitive vantage to my company over my competitors. 5 4 3 2 1 The overall security compliance benefits have exceeded security compliance costs.
C 20. adv C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	The mix of companies that work in the port and their security assets provide a competitive vantage to my company over my competitors. 5 4 3 2 1 The overall security compliance benefits have exceeded security compliance costs.

° 1
22. Indicate the number of industry organizations memberships (that share security information such
as BIMCO, etc.) your company has
[○] ₀
1-5
6-10
O 11-15
16 or more
23. What is your investment in security compliance resources in this port as compared to your competitors
C Lower
C Higher
C About the same
24. Does your company have ISO 28000 certification?
[©] Yes
[©] No
25. If so, on what date did you adopt?
2004
© 2005
© 2006
© 2007
© 2008
© 2009
© 2010
© 2011
26. Estimate the total cargo that your company handled at this port for the last closed fiscal year.
Containers (numbers of containers TEUs)
C ₁₋₁₀₀
C 101-1.000
1.001-10.000
^O 10.001-100.000
© 100.001-1.000.000
Over 1.000.000
Oil (Tons)

```
° <sub>1-100</sub>
° 101-1.000
1.001-10.000
<sup>0</sup> 10.001-100.000
<sup>0</sup> 100.001-1.000.000
Over 1.000.000
Dry Bulk (Tons)
   1-100
° 101-1.000
1.001-10.000
° 10.001-100.000
100.001-1.000.000
Over 1.000.000
Passengers in total including ferries and cruises but not in automobiles (numbers of passengers)
° <sub>1-100</sub>
° 101-1.000
1.001-10.000
10.001-100.000
100.001-1.000.000
   Over 1.000.000
Ro-ro (Number of trucks)
0
° <sub>1-100</sub>
<sup>0</sup> 101-1.000
1.001-10.000
10.001-100.000
100.001-1.000.000
Over 1.000.000
Ro-ro (Number of automobiles in transit)
° 0
° <sub>1-100</sub>
101-1.000
   1.001-10.000
   10.001-100.000
```

0	100.001-1.000.000
0	Over 1.000.000
	-ro (Number of railcars)
0	0
0	1-100
0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000
0	10.001-100.000
0	100.001-1.000.000
0	Over 1.000.000
Otl	her
0	Please indicate
0	0
0	1-100
0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000
0	10.001-100.000
0	100.001-1.000.000
0	Over 1.000.000
	Estimated profit for last closed fiscal year as percentage of historical cost of assets from your
cor	mpany's activities in this port (ROA).
0	Less than 0
0	0
0	1-4%
0	5-9%
0	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
	25% and over Estimate your earnings before interest and taxes(EBIT) for last closed fiscal year as a percentage of
	al revenue
0	Less than 0
0	0
\circ	1-4%
\circ	5-9%
\circ	10-14%

0	15-19%
0	20-24%
\circ	25% and over
29.	Estimate your long-term liabilities for last closed fiscal year as a percentage of own capital (equity)
0	Less than 0
0	0
0	1-4%
0	5-9%
0	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
0	25% and over
30.	Estimated return on investment (ROI) from your company's activities in this port.
0	Less than 0
0	0
0	1-4%
0	5-9%
0	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
0	25% and over
	Estimate, if possible, the total security infrastructure value (historical costs) for your operations at sport as a percentage of total infrastructure value (historical costs)?
0	Less than 0
0	0
0	1-4%
0	5-9%
0	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
0	20-24% 25% and over
	What are the total annual security compliance maintenance costs for your operations at this port
	a percentage of total annual costs?
0	Less than 0
0	0
\circ	1-4%

U	5-9%
0	10-14%
0	15-19%
0	20-24%
0	25% and over
	For the berths you control at this port indicate the average number of hours a berth is occupied as
ар О	ercentage of the average number of hours the berth is available.
0	100%
0	90-99%
0	80-89%
_	70-79%
0	60-69%
0	50-59%
0	Under 50%
34.	Indicate your company's square metres at this port
0	0
	1-100
0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000
0	Over 10.000
35.	Total number of your company's personnel employed by this port
0	0
	1-10
0	11-50
	51-100
	101-250
0	251-500
0	Over 500
	Total number of berths that your company controls at this port
0	0
0	1-10
0	11-20
0	21-35
0	35-50
0	Over 50

37. Number of vessels (by type of vessel) handled annually/vessel calls by your company at this port

Inla	and waterways vessels
0	0
0	1-10
0	11-100
0	101-500
0	501-1.000
0	Over 1.000
	going vessels
0	0
0	1-10
0	11-100
	101-500
0	501-1.000
0	Over 1.000
	ner non commercial vessels
0	
	1-10
	11-100
	101-500
	501-1.000
0	Over 1.000
	Indicate the number of cranes your company controls at this port
0	0
0	1-10
0	11-25
	26-50
0	30
39.	Indicate your company's storage capacity at this port.
TEL	Js (containers)
0	0
0	1-10
0	11-100
0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000

```
<sup>O</sup> 10.001-100.000
Over 100.000
Dry bulk tonnage
0
   1-10
° <sub>11-100</sub>
<sup>0</sup> 101-1.000
1.001-10.000
10.001-100.000
Over 100.000
Oil tonnage
0
° <sub>1-10</sub>
<sup>0</sup> 11-100
<sup>0</sup> 101-1.000
1.001-10.000
10.001-100.000
   Over 100.000
Ro-ro (Number of trucks)
   0
   1-100
   101-1.000
1.001-10.000
   10.001-100.000
<sup>O</sup> 100.001-1.000.000
Over 1.000.000
Ro-ro (Number of automobiles in transit)
° 0
° <sub>1-100</sub>
° 101-1.000
1.001-10.000
   10.001-100.000
   100.001-1.000.000
   Over 1.000.000
Ro-ro (Number of railcars)
```

Other

0	Please indicate
0	
0	L-10
0	11-100
0	101-1.000
0	1.001-10.000
0	10.001-100.000
0	Over 100.000
40.	ndicate the growth rate percentage of your company within this port.
0	ess than 0
0)
0	1-4%
0	5-9%
0	10-14%
0	L5-19%
0	20-24%
0	25% and over
41.	lease add your email address
Em	1