

1-18-2012

MRL's - What They Are and Why Do They Matter 2012

Carolyn J. DeMoranville

University of Massachusetts - Amherst, carolynd@umext.umass.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.umass.edu/cranberry_extension



Part of the [Horticulture Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

DeMoranville, Carolyn J., "MRL's - What They Are and Why Do They Matter 2012" (2012). *Cranberry Station Extension meetings*. 124.
Retrieved from https://scholarworks.umass.edu/cranberry_extension/124

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Cranberry Station Outreach and Public Service Activities at ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. It has been accepted for inclusion in Cranberry Station Extension meetings by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks@UMass Amherst. For more information, please contact scholarworks@library.umass.edu.

MRLs – what are they and why
do they matter

MRL vs Tolerance

- When pesticides registered by US EPA – tolerance is established
 - Amount of residue that is ok on harvested crop
 - If you obey the label, you should not have a problem meeting this
 - Research to determine the labeling to meet tolerance done through IR-4
- MRL – “maximum residue level” is the same as tolerance but in foreign countries

Harmonization (or not)

- Problems may come when the MRL for a potential foreign market is less than the US EPA tolerance
- Not always a problem if the use pattern gives residues that meet the MRL
- BUT in some cases the MRL is so much lower (or virtually zero) that you can't meet it with the current use pattern

What's being done

- CMC subcommittee
 - Identifies important compounds from a list of those that are not harmonized
- CMC contractor
 - works on the political negotiations to achieve harmonization

Impact on growers

- Handler bans certain uses
 - Example – Quinstar no use for EU fruit
 - EU MRL is 0.05 ppm (under consideration); US is 15 ppm
 - Example – Assail – OS says no use on export fruit
 - US MRL is 0.6 ppm; Codex and Australia have no MRL approved (in the works); EU and Taiwan MRL is 0.01 ppm
 - Example of a newer compound where the MRL work comes after the EPA registration – IR-4 in 2012

Date restrictions

- No use after a certain date
- Based on reducing residue to meet MRLs that are lower than US
 - Example – Lorsban US tolerance is 1 ppm; EU is 0.05 ppm

New compounds

- Generally cannot begin MRL petition work until EPA approves US label
- Problem for new registrations in international trade
- Trying to work in tandem for most promising ones

Important older compounds

- Bravo
 - US tolerance is 5 ppm
 - EU and Canada MRL – 2 ppm
 - Solution?
 - Use pattern
 - 2012 IR-4 project; also for CODEX re-registration

Important older compounds

- Sevin
 - US tolerance is 3 ppm
 - EU MRL – 0.05 ppm
 - Solution?
 - 2012 IR-4 project to get new EU MRL
- CA Prop 65 too!
 - Sevin on the list since Feb. 2010
 - No “safe harbor” level