Publicacions Matemàtiques, Vol 37 (1993), 45-55.

# POINTWISE CONVERGENCE OF THE FOURIER TRANSFORM ON LOCALLY COMPACT ABELIAN GROUPS

MARIA L. TORRES DE SQUIRE\*

Abstract \_

We extend to locally compact abelian groups, Fejer's theorem on pointwise convergence of the Fourier transform. We prove that  $\lim \varphi_U * f(y) = f(y)$  almost everywhere for any function f in the space  $(L^P, l^\infty)(G)$  (hence in  $L^P(G)$ ),  $2 \le p \le \infty$ , where  $\{\varphi_U\}$ is Simon's generalization to locally compact abelian groups of the summability Fejer Kernel. Using this result, we extend to locally compact abelian groups a theorem of F. Holland on the Fourier transform of unbounded measures of type q.

## 1. Notation and Preliminary Results

Throughout, G is a locally compact abelian group, with dual group  $\Gamma$ , and Haar measure m. By the structure theorem, G is represented by  $\mathbf{R}^a \times G_1$ , where a is a nonnegative integer and  $G_1$  is a group which contains an open compact subgroup H. The set of basic neighbourhoods of  $x \in G$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_x(G)$ . We write  $C_c(G), C_0(G)$  for the spaces of functions on G that are continuous, with compact support and vanish at infinity, respectively. We consider the amalgam spaces  $(L^p, l^q)(G), (C_0, l^q)(G)(1 \leq p, q \leq \infty)$  as defined in [S]. The Fourier transform (inverse Fourier transform) of a measure  $\mu$ , is denoted by  $\hat{\mu}$  ( $\hat{\mu}$ ). We let  $A_c(G)$  be the set of all functions f in  $C_c(G)$  such that  $\hat{f} \in L^1(\Gamma)$ . The characteristic function of a subset E of G is denoted by  $\chi_E$ . The conjugate p' of a number p is such that 1/p + 1/p' = 1. For each  $U \in \mathcal{N}_0(G)$ , A.B. Simon [Si] defined a function  $\varphi_U$  as the product of two functions  $\alpha_U$  and  $\beta_U$  defined on  $\mathbf{R}^a$  and on  $G_1$ , respectively.

<sup>\*1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 43A55, 43A25. Research supported by NSERC grant 7914.

The function  $\beta_U$  is continuous, nonnegative, with  $L^1(G)$ -norm equal to 1, and

(1) 
$$\sup_{G} |\beta_U(x)| = B_U \le 2m(U)/1 - 2m(U) \quad \text{finite.}$$

Hence  $B_U \to 0$  as  $U \to 0$ .

The function  $\alpha_U$  is defined as follows.

Let  $(-\delta_1, \delta_1) \times \cdots \times (-\delta_a, \delta_a) \times U_H$  be a product neighbourhood contained in U, where  $\delta_i > 0$  (i = 1, ..., a), and  $U_H$  is an element of  $\mathcal{N}_0(G)$  included in H. For i = 1, ..., a we set  $U_i = (-\delta_i, \delta_i), N_i = 1/\delta_i$ , and define the function  $\alpha_{U_i}$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  by

$$\alpha_{U_i}(t) = \frac{1 - \cos(N_i t)}{\pi N_i t^2}$$

For  $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_a)$  in  $\mathbf{R}^a$ , the function  $\alpha_U$  is given by  $\alpha_U(t) = \prod_{i=1}^a \alpha_{U_i}(t_i)$ . Clearly  $\alpha_U$  is continuous, nonnegative, and its  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^a)$ -norm is equal to 1. Each  $\varphi_U$  has the following properties. For a proof see [Si].

- 1.1)  $\varphi_U$  is continuous, nonnegative and bounded
- 1.2)  $\varphi_U$  is integrable and  $||\varphi_U||_1 = 1$
- 1.3)  $\hat{\varphi}_U \in C_c(\Gamma)$  and  $||\hat{\varphi}_U||_{\infty} \leq 1$
- 1.4)  $\varphi_U(x) = \int_{\Gamma} \hat{\varphi}(\gamma) \gamma(x) d\gamma$  by 1.3)
- 1.5) For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $U \in \mathcal{N}_0(G)$  given, we can find a V such that if  $V' \leq V$  and  $x \notin U$ , then  $\varphi_V(x) < \varepsilon$  and  $\int_{G-V} \varphi_{V'}(x) dx < \varepsilon$ .
- 1.6)  $\lim_U \hat{\varphi}_U(\gamma) = 1.$
- 1.7) the family  $\{\varphi_U | U \in \mathcal{N}_0(G)\}$  is an approximate identity in  $L^1(G)$ .

We add to this list the fact that each  $\varphi_U$  belongs to the Wiener algebra  $(C_0, l^1)(G) = [W]$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** For each U in  $\mathcal{N}_0(U)$ , the function  $\alpha_U$  belongs to  $(C_0, l^1)(\mathbf{R}^a)$ .

*Proof:* . Since  $\alpha_{U_i}(i=1,\ldots,a)$  is an even function we have for n in  $\mathbb{Z} - \{0,-1\}$  that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \alpha_{U_i}(t+n) = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \alpha_{U_i}(t-(1+n)) \le \frac{2}{N_i \pi} \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

If  $n \in \{0, -1\}$ , then there exists a constant  $C_i$  such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,1]}\alpha_{U_i}(t+n)\leq \frac{N_i}{\pi}C_i$$

because the limit

$$\lim_{t \to -n} \frac{1 - \cos N_i(n+t)}{(N_i(n+t))^2}$$

exists.

Therefore for all i = 1, ..., a and all integer n we have that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} |\alpha_{U_i}(t+n)| \le ca_n$$

where

$$c = \max_{1 \leq i \leq a} (2/(N_i\pi), N_iC_i/\pi)$$

and  $a_n$  is equal to  $1/n^2$  if  $n \in \mathbb{Z} - \{0, -1\}$ , and to 1 if  $n \in \{0, -1\}$ . Finally, for i = 1, ..., a we have that

$$\begin{aligned} ||\alpha_{U_i}||_{\infty 1} &= \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} \sup_{t \in [n, n+1]} |\alpha_{U_i}(t)| \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |\alpha_{U_i}(t+n)| \\ &\leq C \sum_{\mathbf{Z}} a_n < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the norm  $|| ||_{\infty 1}$ , it is easy to see that

$$||\alpha_U||_{\infty 1} = \prod_{i=1}^{a} ||\alpha_{U_i}||_{\infty 1}.$$

**Corollary 1.2.** For each U in  $\mathcal{N}_0(G)$ , the function  $\varphi_U$  belongs to  $(C_0, l^1)(G)$ .

*Proof:* By (1) we have for all (t, s) in G that

$$\varphi_U(t,s) = \alpha_U(t)\beta_U(s) \le B_U\alpha_U(t),$$

hence

$$||\varphi_U||_{\infty 1} \leq B_U \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}^a} \sup_{t+n \in [0,1]^a} |\alpha_U(t)| = B_U ||\alpha_U||_{\infty 1}. \quad \blacksquare$$

For the rest of this paper  $\varphi_U, \alpha_U$ , and  $\beta_U$  are as indicated in this section.

#### 2. Main Theorem

In this second section we want to prove that

(2) 
$$\lim_{U \to 0} \varphi_U * f(y) = f(y) \quad \text{almost everywhere}$$

for all f in  $(L^p, l^\infty)(G)(2 .$ 

First, we prove two lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let V and K be two elements of  $\mathcal{N}_0(G)$  of the form

$$V = (-\delta_1, \delta_1) imes \cdots imes (-\delta_a, \delta_a) imes V_H$$

and  $K = [-\gamma_1, \gamma_1] \times \cdots \times [-\gamma_a, \gamma_a] \times K_H$ , where  $\delta_i > 0, \gamma_i > 0$   $(i = 1, \ldots, a), V_H$  and  $K_H$  are elements of  $\mathcal{N}_0(G)$  contained in H, and  $K_H$  is compact.

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we define  $\eta_i = \min(\delta_i^{2p}, \gamma_i)$  (i = 1, ..., a), and we let  $W_H$  be the interior of  $K_H$ . Then the set  $W = [-\eta_1, \eta_1, ] \times \cdots \times [-\eta_a, \eta_a] \times W_H$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_0(G)$  and for a fixed  $y = (y_0, s_0) = (y_1, \ldots, y_a, s_0)$  in G, the element  $W_y = y + W$  of  $N_y(G)$  has the following properties:

2.1)  $W_y \subseteq y + K_H$ 2.2) If  $\Pi_a = [-\eta_1 + y_1, \eta_1 + y_1] \times \cdots \times [-\eta_a + y_a, \eta_a + y_a]$ , then

$$\left[\int_{\Pi a} \alpha_U (y_0 - x)^p dx\right]^{1/p} = O(\prod_{i=1}^a \delta_i)$$

2.3)  $\mathbf{R}^a - \Pi_a \subseteq \bigcup I_n$ , where  $\{I_n\}$  is a countable family of compact subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^a$ , and

$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}} \left[ \int_{In} \alpha_U (y_0 - x)^p dx \right]^{1/p} = O(\prod_{i=1}^a \delta_i).$$

2.4) There exists a constant C such that  $\sup_{\mathbb{N}} C(I_n) \leq C$ , where  $C(I_n)$  is the cardinality of the set

$$\{j \in \mathbf{Z}^a \mid (j + [0, 1]^a) \cap I_n \neq \phi\}.$$

**Proof:** Several constants will appear during the proof and since their specific value is irrelevant for our needs we just write  $C_1, C_2, \ldots C_q$ . Part

2.1) is clear. Set  $J_i = [-\eta_i + y_i, \eta_i + y_i](i = 1, ..., a)$ . Part 2.2) follows from the continuity of  $\alpha_{U_i}$  because (3)

$$\left[\int_{J_i} \alpha_{U_i} (y_i - x)^p dx\right]^{1/p} = \left[\int_{-\eta_i}^{\eta_i} \alpha_{U_i} (x)^p dx\right]^{1/p} \le C_1 N_i \eta_i^{1/p} \le C_2 \delta_i.$$

Now, for each i = 1, ..., a, let L(n, i) and  $R(n, i)(n \in \mathbb{N})$  be the intervals

$$[-n - 1 - \eta_i + y_i, -n - \eta_i + y_i]$$
 and  $[n + \eta_i + y_i, n + 1 + \eta_i + y_i]$ 

respectively. Then

$$\mathbf{R} - J_i = (-\infty, -\eta_i + y_i) \cup (\eta_i + y_i, \infty) \subseteq \bigcup_{\mathbf{N}} L(i, n) \cup \bigcup_{\mathbf{N}} R(i, n),$$

and

$$\int_{L(n,i)} \alpha_{U_i} (y_i - x)^p dx \le C_3 \delta_i^p a_n$$

where

$$a_n = rac{1}{(n_i+n)^{2p-1}} - rac{1}{(n_i+n+1)^{2p-1}}$$

Since  $\sum a_n^{1/p}$  converges we conclude that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}} \left[ \int_{L(n,i)} lpha_{U_i} (y_i - x)^p dx 
ight]^{1/p} \leq C_4 \delta_i.$$

Similarly

$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}}\left[\int_{R(n,i)}lpha_{U_i}(y_i-x)^pdx
ight]^{1/p}=C_5\delta_i.$$

Clearly  $\sup_{\mathbf{N}} C(L(n, i))$  and  $\sup_{\mathbf{N}} C(R(n, i))$  are less than or equal to 2, hence for i = 1, ..., a, the set  $\mathbf{R} - J_i$  is equal to  $\bigcup I_n$ , where each  $I_n$  is compact,  $\sup C(I_n) \leq 2$  and

(4) 
$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}} \left[ \int_{I_n} \alpha_{U_i} (y_i - x)^p dx \right]^{1/p} = O(\delta_i).$$

Since  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbf{R} - J_a) \cup J_a$ , and  $J_a$  is compact, by (3) and (4) we see that  $\mathbf{R} = \bigcup K_n$ , with each  $K_n$  compact,  $\sup \mathcal{C}(K_n) \leq C_6$ , and

(5) 
$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}} \left[ \int_{K_n} \alpha_{U_a} (y_a - x)^p dx \right]^{1/p} = O(\delta_a).$$

49

We prove properties 2.3) and 2.4) by induction on a. The case a = 1 follows from (3). Suppose that 2.3) and 2.4) hold for a - 1. That is,  $\mathbf{R}^{a-1} - \prod a - 1 \subseteq \bigcup I_n$ , each  $I_n$  a compact subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{a-1}$ , sup  $C(I_n) \leq C_7$ , and

(6) 
$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}} \left[ \int_{I_n} \Pi_{i=1}^{a-1} \alpha_{U_i} (y_i - x_i)^p dx \right]^{1/p} = O(\Pi_{i=1}^{a-1} \delta_i).$$

By (4) with i = a, we have that  $\mathbf{R} - J_a \leq \bigcup I_j$ , each  $I_j$  a compact subset of  $\mathbf{R}, \sup \mathcal{C}(I_j) \leq 2$  and

(7) 
$$\sum_{\mathbf{N}} \left[ \int_{I_j} \alpha_{U_a} (y_a - x)^p dx \right]^{1/p} = O(\delta_a).$$

Then

$$\mathbf{R}^{a} - \Pi a = (\mathbf{R}^{a-1} \times \mathbf{R}) - (\Pi(a-1) \times Ja)$$
  
=  $(\mathbf{R}^{a-1} - \Pi(a-1)) \times (\mathbf{R} \cup \Pi(a-1)) \times (\mathbf{R} - Ja)$   
 $\leq \bigcup_{n,m} (I_{n} \times K_{m}) \bigcup_{\mathbf{N}} (\Pi(a-1) \times Ij).$ 

The sets  $I_n \times K_m$  and  $\Pi(a-1) \times Ij$  are compact subsets of **R**, for all n, m, j. Hence  $\sup \mathcal{C}(I_n \times K_m) \leq C_8$  and  $\sup \mathcal{C}(\Pi(a-1) \times Ij) \leq C_9$ . Therefore 2.4) holds with  $C = \max(C_8, C_9)$ . Finally, by (5) and (6) we have that

$$\sum_{n,m} \left[ \int_{I_n \times K_m} \alpha_U (y_0 - x)^p dx \right]^{1/p} =$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \left[ \int_{I_n} \prod_{i=1}^{a-1} \alpha_{U_i} (y_i - x_i)^p dx \right]^{1/p} \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \left[ \int_{K_m} \alpha_{U_a} (y_a - x)^p dx \right]^{1/p} =$$

$$= O(\prod_{i=1}^a \delta_i).$$

We conclude from (3) and (7) that

$$\sum_{N} \left[ \int_{\Pi_{a}-1\times I_{j}} \alpha_{U} (y_{0}-x)^{p} dx \right]^{1/p} =$$
  
=  $\prod_{i=1}^{a-1} \left[ \int_{I_{i}} \alpha_{U_{i}} (y_{i}-x)^{p} dx \right]^{1/p} \sum_{N} \left[ \int_{I_{j}} \alpha_{U_{a}} (y_{a}-x)^{p} dx \right]^{1/p} =$   
=  $O(\prod_{i=1}^{a} \delta_{i}).$ 

**Lemma 2.2.** For each  $V_y$  in  $\mathcal{N}_y(G)$  ( $y \in G$ )

$$\lim_{u\to 0} \int_{G-V_y} \varphi_U(y-x) f(x) dx = 0$$

for all f in  $(L^p, l^{\infty})(G)(1 .$ 

Proof: Let  $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_a, s_0) = (y_0, s_0)$  be an element of  $\mathbb{R}^a \times G_1$ . We choose two elements V and K of  $\mathcal{N}_0(G)$  with the same form as in Lemma 2.2, such that  $y + K \subseteq V_y$  and  $V \subseteq U$ .

Following the notation of Lemma 2.2, we set  $\eta_i = \min(\delta_i^{2p}, \gamma_i)(i = 1, \ldots, a)$ , and  $W_H$  the interior of  $K_H$ . Then the set  $W = [-\eta_1, \eta_1] \times \cdots \times [-\eta_a, \eta_a] \times W_H$  satisfies the properties listed in Lemma 2.2. Hence by property 2.1) it is enough to prove that

$$\lim_{U\to 0} \int_{G-W_y} \varphi_U(y-x)f(x)dx = 0.$$

Since

$$G - W_y = (\mathbf{R}^a - \Pi_a) \times G_1 \cup \ \Pi_a \times (G_1 - (s_0 + W_H)),$$

we have by the definition of the function  $\varphi_U$ , that

$$arphi_U(y-x)=lpha_U(y_0-t)\ eta_U\ (s_0-s)=0$$

if  $s_0 - s \not\in H$ , and x = (t, s) in G. Hence

(8) 
$$\int_{G-W_y} \varphi_U(y-x)f(x)dx = \int_{(\mathbf{R}^a - \Pi a) \times (s_0 + H)} \varphi_U(y-x)f(x)dx + \int_{\Pi a \times (s_0 + (H-W_g))} \varphi_U(y-x)f(x)dx.$$

Let  $\{I_n\}$  be the countable family of sets given by property 2.3). For each  $I_n$  we have by the Hölder inequality and (1)

$$\begin{split} \int_{I_n \times (s_0 + H)} \mid \varphi_U(y - x) f(x) \mid dx &\leq ||f \chi_{I_n \times (s_0 + H)}||_p B_U \leq \\ &\leq \left[ \int_{I_n} \alpha_U(y_0 - x)^{p'} dx \right]^{1/p'} \end{split}$$

By property 2.4)  $\sup_{\mathbf{N}} |S(I_n \times (s_0 + H))| \leq C$ , where C is a constant, and  $|S(I_n \times (s_0 + H))|$  is the number of  $K_{\alpha}$ 's (as defined in [S]) such that  $I_n \times (s_0 + H) \cap K_{\alpha} \neq \phi$ . This implies that for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ 

$$||f\chi_{I_n \times (s_0 + H)}||_p \le |S(I_n \times (s_0 + H))| ||f||_{p\infty} \le C||f||_{p\infty}.$$

Thus, we conclude from 2.2) that

$$(9) \quad \int_{(\mathbf{R}^{a}-\Pi_{a})\times G_{1}} \varphi_{U}(y-x) \mid f(x) \mid dx \leq \\ \leq C ||f||_{\infty} B_{U} \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \left[ \int_{I_{n}} \alpha_{U}(y_{0}-x)^{p'} dx \right]^{1/p'} = \\ = O(\Pi_{i=1}^{a} \delta_{i} B_{U}).$$

Applying Hölder's inequality we get

Note that  $\Pi a \times (s_0 + (H - W_H))$  is compact (*H* is compact and  $H - W_H$  is closed), and because  $B_U \to 0$  as  $U \to 0$ 

Now, since  $\Pi a \to y$  as  $U \to 0$  and  $s_0 + (H - W_H) \leq s_0 + H$  is independent of U, we have that  $|S(\Pi a \times (s_0 + (H - W_H))| \to 0$  as  $U \to 0$ . Therefore by property 2.2)

(10) 
$$\int_{\prod a \times (s_0 + (H - W_H))} \varphi_U(y - x) |f(x)| dx \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad U \to 0.$$

The result follows from (8), (9), and (10).

**Theorem 2.3.** For all f in  $(L^p, l^\infty)(G), 2 \le p \le \infty$ ,

$$\lim_{U\to 0} \int_G \varphi_U(y-x)f(x)dx = f(y)$$

almost everywhere.

*Proof:* Let  $V_y$  be in  $N_y$  compact. We have to show, by Lemma 2.2, that

$$\lim_{V\to 0}\int_{V_y}\varphi_U(y-x)f(x)dx$$

converges to f(x) almost everywhere. Since the function f belongs to  $(L^p, l^{\infty}) \subseteq (L^2, l^{\infty})$ , the function  $g = f\chi_{V_y}$  belongs to  $L^2(G)$ , and by Corollary 1.2, 1.1), and 1.4) each  $\varphi_U$  also belongs to  $L^2(G)$ . Hence by the Parseval identity, we have that

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{V_y}arphi_U(y-x)f(x)dx = \int_Garphi_U(y-x)g(x)dx \ &= \int_{\Gamma}\hat{arphi}_U(\hat{x})\hat{g}(-\hat{x})\overline{[y,\hat{x}]}d\hat{x} \end{aligned}$$

By the Lebesque Dominated Convergence theorem (see properties 1.3 and 1.6) we have that

$$\begin{split} \lim_{U \to 0} \int_{V_y} \varphi_U(y-x) f(x) dx &= \lim_{U \to 0} \int_{\Gamma} \hat{\varphi}_U(\hat{x}) \hat{g}(-\hat{x}) \overline{[y,\hat{x}]} d\hat{x} \\ &= \int_{\Gamma} \hat{g}(-\hat{x}) \overline{[y,\hat{x}]} d\hat{x} = g(y) \end{split}$$

almost anywhere.

## 3. Fourier Transform of Unbounded Measures

The space  $M_q(G)(1 \leq p < \infty)$  of unbounded measures of type q [S], consists of Radon measures  $\mu$  with finite norm  $||\mu||_q$  given by  $\left|\sum_J |\mu|(K_\alpha)^q\right|^{1/q}$ . If  $G = \mathbf{R}$ , then the family  $\{K_\alpha\}$  can be taken as  $\{[n, n+1]|n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

In this section we generalize to locally compact abelian groups, the following theorem due to F. Holland [H].

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $1 \leq q \leq 2$  and  $\mu \in M_q(\mathbf{R})$ . Then as  $N \to \infty$ 

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{-N}^{N}e^{-ixt}d\mu(t)$$

converges in the norm of  $(L^{q'}, l^{\infty})$  to a function  $\hat{\mu}$  and

$$\int h(x)\hat{\mu}(x)dx = \int \hat{h}(x)d\mu(x) \quad (h\epsilon(L^q,l^1)(\mathbf{R})).$$

Further

$$\sqrt{2\pi}\hat{\mu}(x) = (C.1)\int e^{-ixt}d\mu(t)$$

almost everywhere.

(C.1) means that the integral on the right is summable by the Cesáro method of order 1 to the value  $\sqrt{2\pi}\hat{\mu}(x)$ .

It is easy to see, that for any measure  $\mu$  in  $M_q$  ( $1 \le q \le 2$ ), there is a net  $\mu_{\alpha}$  of bounded measures such that  $\lim ||\mu_{\alpha} - \mu||_q = 0$ , and therefore by [S. Theorem 4.2]  $\lim ||\hat{\mu}_{\alpha} - \hat{\mu}||_{g\infty} = 0$ . This generalizes the first part of the theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mu$  be an element of  $M_q$   $(1 \le q \le 2)$ 

- i)  $\int_{\Gamma} \overline{f(\gamma)}\hat{\mu}(\gamma)d\gamma = \int_{G} \overline{\check{f}(x)}d\mu(x)$  for all f in  $(L^{q}, l^{1})(\Gamma)$ . ii)  $(C.1) \int_{G} \overline{\gamma(x)}d\mu(x) := \lim_{U \to 0} \int_{G} \overline{\check{\phi}_{U}(x)\gamma(x)}d\mu(x) = \hat{\mu}(\gamma)$  almost everywhere.

(C.1) means that the integral on the right is summable by the Cesáro method of order 1 to the value  $\hat{\mu}(\gamma)$ .

*Proof:* Let  $\mu_{\alpha}$  be the net of bounded measures related to  $\mu$ , as mentioned above.

Since  $(L^q, l^1)$  is a subspace of  $L^1$  [S,(3,4)], we have by the Extended Parseval Formula [S. Lemma 4.1] that for any f in  $(L^q, l^1)(\Gamma)$ ,

(11) 
$$\int_{\Gamma} \overline{f(\gamma)} \hat{\mu}_{\alpha}(\gamma) d\gamma = \int_{G} \overline{\check{f}(x)} d\mu_{\alpha}(x)$$

By the Hölder inequality

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Gamma} |f(\gamma)| \quad |\hat{\mu}_{\alpha}(\gamma) - \hat{\mu}(\gamma)| d\gamma \leq \\ \leq \sum_{J} \left[ \int_{K_{\beta}} |f(\gamma)|^{q} \right]^{1/q} \left[ \int_{K_{\beta}} |\hat{\mu}_{\alpha}(\gamma) - \hat{\mu}(\gamma)|^{q'} d\gamma \right]^{1/q'} \leq \\ \leq ||f||_{q^{1}} ||\hat{\mu}_{\alpha} - \hat{\mu}||_{q'\infty}. \end{split}$$

Similarly

$$\int_G |\check{f}(x)| \quad d|\mu_lpha-\mu|(x)\leq ||\check{f}||_{\infty q'}||\mu_lpha-\mu||_q.$$

Therefore the left side of (ii) converges to  $\int_{\Gamma} \overline{f(\gamma)} d\mu(\gamma)$ , and the right side to  $\int_G \check{f}(x) d\mu(x)$ . This proves i).

By proposition 1.1 and  $[\mathbf{S},(3.1)]$ , each  $\varphi_U$  belongs to  $(L^q, l^1)(\Gamma)$ , so from i)

$$\int_{\Gamma} arphi_U(y-\gamma) \hat{\mu}(\gamma) d\gamma = \int_G y(x) \overline{\check{\phi}_U(x)} d\mu(x).$$

Hence, part ii) follows from Theorem 2.3.

### References

- [AGL] L. ARGABRIGHT AND J. GIL DE LAMADRID, Fourier analysis of unbounded measures on locally compact abelian groups, *Memoirs* Am. Math. Soc. 145 (1974).
- [F] H. G. FEICHTINGER, On a new segal algebra, Mh. Math. 92 (1981), 269-289.
- [H.] F. HOLLAND, Harmonic analysis on amalgams of  $L^p$  and  $l^q$ , J. London Math. Soc. (2) 10 (1975), 295–305.
- [L] V. LOSERT, A characterization of the ninimal strongly character invariant segal algebra, Ann. Inst. Fourier 30, 3 (1980), 129–139.
- [Si] A. B. SIMON, Cesáro summability on groups: Characterization of Fourier transforms, Function Algebras. Proc. Internat. Sypos. on Function Algebras, Tulane Univ. (1965).
- [S] J. STEWART, Fourier transforms of unbounded measures, Can. J. Math. 31, no. 6 (1979), 1281–1292.
- [TS] TORRES DE SQUIRE, Multipliers for amalgams and the algebra  $S_0(G)$ , Can. J. Math. **39**, no. 1 (1987), 123-148.
- [W] N. WIENER, "The Fourier integral and certain of its applications," Cambridge Univ. Press, 1958.

University of Regina Regina, Saskatchewan S4S 0A2 CANADA

Primera versio rebuda el 8 d'Abril de 1991, darrera versió rebuda el 8 de Setembre de 1992