



Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Documents de Treball

NARRATING URBAN ENTREPRENEURSHIP: A MATTER OF IMAGINEERING

Chris Steyaert

Timon Beyes

Document de Treball núm. 09/1

Departament d'Economia de l'Empresa

© Chris Steyaert, Timon Beyes.

Coordinador / Coordinator *Documents de treball*:

David Urbano

<http://selene.uab.es/dep-economia-empresa/dt>

e-mail: david.urbano@uab.es

Telèfon / Phone: +34 93 5814298

Fax: +34 93 5812555

Edita / Publisher:

Departament d'Economia de l'Empresa

<http://selene.uab.es/dep-economia-empresa/>

Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona

Facultat de Ciències Econòmiques i Empresariales

Edifici B

08193 Bellaterra (Cerdanyola del Vallès), Spain

Tel. 93 5811209

Fax 93 5812555

ISSN:

1988-7736. Documents de Treball (Departament d'Economia de l'Empresa, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona)

**NARRATING URBAN ENTREPRENEURSHIP: A
MATTER OF IMAGINEERING**

Chris Steyaert

Timon Beyes

Document de Treball núm. 09/1

La sèrie *Documents de treball d'economia de l'empresa* presenta els avanços i resultats d'investigacions en curs que han estat presentades i discutides en aquest departament; això no obstant, les opinions són responsabilitat dels autors. El document no pot ser reproduït total ni parcialment sense el consentiment de l'autor/a o autors/res. Dirigir els comentaris i suggerències directament a l'autor/a o autors/res, a la direcció que apareix a la pàgina següent.

A Working Paper in the *Documents de treball d'economia de l'empresa* series is intended as a mean whereby a faculty researcher's thoughts and findings may be communicated to interested readers for their comments. Nevertheless, the ideas put forwards are responsibility of the author. Accordingly a Working Paper should not be quoted nor the data referred to without the written consent of the author. Please, direct your comments and suggestions to the author, which address shows up in the next page.

Narrating Urban Entrepreneurship: A Matter of Imagineering?¹

Chris Steyaert and Timon Beyes

University of St Gallen

A city that does not curate its image and manage its story is out of date.

Sharon Zukin

Then, there are the myriad experiments that set out to invent flexible models of imagination and narrative outside the enforced routines of consumption.

Nigel Thrift

The battle between cities with regard to their creative possibilities has evolved into a process of multiplying ever-new images and variegated stories of urban attractiveness and success. Engineering “cool” images and “hot” stories about one’s city is now a central endeavor in the narratives of urban policy-making that center more and more on the idea of the entrepreneurial city. The making of an entrepreneurial image is enacted through various narrative genres that lie somewhere between place making and place marketing, between branding and boosting, between restoration and revanchism, between iconic architecture and mega-spectacle. This “imagineering” is not only part of the way cities try to (re)present themselves as entrepreneurial to various audiences through a real “image inflation” (Zukin, 2008, p. xii) but is

¹ Forthcoming in: B. Lange, A. Kalandides, B. Stoeber, I. Wellmann (Hrsg.) (2009): *Governance der Kreativwirtschaft. Diagnosen und Handlungsoptionen*. Transcript-Verlag, Bielefeld.

also inscribed in the various ways urban creativity and entrepreneurship can be studied, researched and imagined.

In this chapter we aim to differentiate the political narratives of the entrepreneurial city as we emphasize the need to understand the politics of narration and make a plea for critical reflexivity in our forms of researching and theorizing. We will thus try to investigate how the politics of narration is intertwined with the narration of political concepts and will argue that the narrating of urban entrepreneurship can raise very different images and discourses of city life beyond those that are currently engineered. We will distinguish between a grand narrative, a counter-narrative, and an assemblage of more ambivalent little narratives, which we call prosaic narration. While the distinction between these three types might be seen as a bit too simple and “straight”, we believe that by juxtaposing these different forms of narration and alternating between them, we can help problematize the engineering of the city as entrepreneurial and imagine alternative views both of city life and of what is understood as its creativity.

Reflexivity requires that we reflect carefully upon the ways of examining how the relationship between cities, entrepreneurship and culture has been established in narratives of policy-making in the last 25 years. Thus, the imagineering of the city is connected to the way that research itself is critical of how certain images and narratives are kept prominent; it can provide other kinds of stories in which the city is addressed as another kind of space, a heterotopia (Foucault, 1986; Steyaert, 2006). We will argue that it is time to go beyond the choice between a celebratory and a critical analysis of urban entrepreneurialism. By investigating cities through non-representational narratives, we can orient the narration of urban entrepreneurialism towards a politics of everyday life (Thrift, 2008), “a politics of what happens” (Thrift, 2008, p. 2) and of the “ordinary” city (Robinson, 2006).

The rise of the entrepreneurial city and the grand narrative of the creative class

Whether we look at Manchester which “has long been seen as the definitive entrepreneurial city” (Mace, Hall and Gallent, 2007, p. 60; see also: Quilley, 2000; Williams, 2003) or Barcelona which convincingly connects the spectacle of new and old architecture with economic dynamism (McNeill, 2001; Marshall, 2004; Luna-Garcia, 2008), an almost endless series of cities have been called “entrepreneurial” or have been given a “creative label”. The list includes former industrial towns and postmodern cities, middle-sized towns and world cities. No end of how-to books provide readers with tools to develop their cities as entrepreneurial (Goldsmith, 1999) or creative (Landry, 2000). All of this activity illustrates how it has become *bon ton* to combine city development with entrepreneurship, creativity and culture.

The turn to the entrepreneurial city can be seen as part of a wider “entrepreneurial shift” since the eighties (Locke and Schöne, 2004; Steyaert, 2007) which also suggests that we connect the trope of the entrepreneurial city with the creativity discourse. The latter’s emergence at the dawn of the entrepreneurial shift is illustrated by a book by Åke Andersson (1985), a Swedish professor of regional economy. In *Kreativitet: Storstadens Framtid* he outlines the role of creativity for future urban development, taking Stockholm as its case study. This book can be said to be a pioneering work, anticipating the next waves of creativity, carried out by Landry (2000) and Florida (2002), among others.

As the book was never translated, it was not likely even to make it into a footnote, but Peter Hall picked it up in his urban epos on *Cities in Civilization*: “So the Swedes are right: creative cities, creative urban milieux, are places of great social and intellectual turbulence, not comfortable places at all” (1999, p. 285-286). Hall’s “magisterial book” (LeGates, 2000, p. 201) presents an expansive overview of cases and theories to explain how cities have evolved into “golden ages” or “belles époques”, forming creative crucibles and innovative milieus. Emphasizing social and cultural turmoil, Hall argues that “creative urban societies often emerge as new classes, whose wealth derives from entrepreneurship and trade, and who challenge traditional propertied elites” (LeGates, 2000, p. 201). Hall connects the emergence

of creativity with cosmopolitanism based on an influx of young immigrants and proposes that a fluid class structure, and the tension this brings along between old and new social groups, fosters innovation. Thus his position is that creativity is part of a tension between “classes” rather than involving the performance of a “new, creative class”.

The latter view became prominent at the time Hall’s book was published. During the wave of the so-called “new economy” the connection between cities, creativity and economic success became a dominant formula (Hjorth and Steyaert, 2003). While the belief in the new economy faded quickly, Richard Florida (once an urban planner, now a professor of business and creativity in Toronto), was able to elevate this “new credo of creativity” (Peck, 2005, p. 740) to a wide acceptance in circles of (urban) policy makers as a kind of “*new new economy*” (ibidem, p. 743). Florida argues that urban economic development is to be formed within a cocktail that includes entrepreneurship, creative life styles and a diverse, creative class. Florida’s logic of argumentation – which Peck (p. 741) calls “a sales pitch” – aims to re-install a grand narrative (Lyotard, 1984), which promotes an optimistic, if not utopian image of urban policy making, and is supported by a practice of boosterism.

What Florida (2002) sees as the “rising” creative class is a group of so-called creative “professionals” – from artists to scientists, from entrepreneurs to venture capitalists – who turn their lifestyles, values and tastes, as well as their relationships, into the main point of departure for combining work, leisure and living; in doing so they seem to complement such identifiable “classes” as the working, service and agriculture classes. Creativity thus gravitates to specific locations, as creative people tend to “cluster in places that are centers of creativity and also where they like to live” (p. 7). For Florida, this is not a small change, but a “sea-change”; indeed, “it is the emergence of a new society and a new culture – ... a whole new way of life” (p. 12).

In addition to attracting talented professionals, Florida further advises cities to seek technological prominence and to encourage a multicultural environment. These three elements are

combined in a magic formula of 3 Ts: talent is connected with technology and tolerance. In this formula, the connection to art and culture is not first on the list, but art is seen as the close associate that combines well with technological nerds and with cosmopolitan and queer lifestyles. In an interview as he launched his book in 2002, Florida summarized his view by stating that “cities must attract the new “creative class” with hip neighborhoods, an arts scene and a gay-friendly atmosphere – or they’ll go the way of Detroit” (Dreher, 2002, p. 1; quoted in Peck, 2005, p. 740).

As a consequence, Florida inscribes a strange mixture of figures into a narrative of mega-optimism and elitism. Artists, nerds, homosexuals and others are needed to enact the urban imagineering projects and to play a prime role in aestheticizing the urban landscape and concocting spectacles and mega-events. Art, sexuality, and in the end, city life itself, become commodities. For instance, Florida’s emphasis on the gay and lesbian community has been contested both by conservatives who find that it undermines the values of family life so central in these conservative narratives (Peck, 2005) and by the gay and lesbian community which finds itself staged in a spectacle of creativity where “queer difference is now exploited as a material and semiotic resource in the commodification of the city” (Grundy, 2003, p. 4).

A counter-narrative: Harvey’s concept of urban entrepreneurialism

Those who currently embrace the politics of the entrepreneurial city by subscribing to the grand narrative sketched out above must remember that this idea is part of a lasting, entrepreneurial shift which was masterfully captured by David Harvey (1989). In his seminal article in the *Geografiska Annaler*, he describes how the discourse of urban governance moves from managerialism to entrepreneurialism. While the discourse of the entrepreneurial city might try to present itself as “new”, Harvey situates the rise of the connection between the city and entrepreneurship in the seventies: “the shift from urban managerialism to some kind of entrepreneurialism remains a persistent and recurrent theme in the period since the early 1970s”

(1989a, p. 5). Thus, according to Harvey, the phenomenon of civic boosterism and entrepreneurialism has long been a major feature of urban systems. It arose when the economic and fiscal base of many large cities started to erode, especially in industrial cities; then, it was argued, cities required new and innovative models of governance.

The discourse about a “spatial economy” (Fujita, Krugman and Venables, 2001) began at economic colloquia as advocates of a closer link between the public and private sectors also held government responsible for promoting local areas to attract new businesses and entrepreneurial activity. The new adage of governance was “to maximize the attractiveness of the local side as a lure for capitalist development” (Harvey, 1989a, p. 5). Goodman’s (1979) assessment of government as “the last entrepreneurs” illustrates the belief in the urgent application of the entrepreneurial recipe, even if it did not mean the end of this argument (du Gay, 2004).

Thus Harvey’s analysis is timely as it connects to the developments towards what has been described as a post-industrial, post-Fordist, post-modern metropolis (Soja, 2000), where new modes of organizing transport, work and shopping change the appearance of cities, along with their social structure, which can be characterized as “a veritable archipelago of elite enclaves, fragmented neighbourhoods and ‘edge’ cities” (Hubbard and Hall, 1998, p. 1). This was the era of the enterprise culture as instigated by the neo-liberal economic politics of Thatcher and Reagan, which propelled the entrepreneur to the forefront as the symbolic figure of the new imaginary of urban (economic) life. This was the era of the yuppie culture where the golden boys of Wall Street were keen to sublimate their profits in narcissistic lifestyles (Hjorth and Steyaert, 2006).

However, this entrepreneurial optimism, that brings forth “a futuristic vision of a visually enticing city of dreams”, is “entwined with a post-apocalyptic scenario of urban unrest, deprivation and despair” (Hubbard and Hall, 1998, p. 1). Such dystopian images notwithstanding, what Harvey finds most striking is the “general consensus” then “emerging

throughout the advanced capitalist world that positive benefits are to be had by cities taking an entrepreneurial stance to economic development” (1989a, p. 4). In fact, he sees it as remarkable “that this consensus seems to hold across national boundaries and even across political parties and ideologies” (p. 4).

Harvey (1989a, p. 8) focuses on three features of this entrepreneurial shift. First, as the influence of business interests increases, so does the number of public-private partnerships. Second, local governments engage in entrepreneurial and speculative risk-taking and assume activities which had been associated solely with the private sector. Third, the focus shifts from a political economy of territory to one of place where attention is drawn to the construction of a specific place and away from the broader problems of a region or territory. In summary, “The new urban entrepreneurialism typically rests ... on a public-private partnership focusing on investment and economic development with the speculative construction of place rather than amelioration of conditions within a particular territory as its immediate (though by no means exclusive) political and economic goal”.

Urban governance in an entrepreneurial mode is then enacted through a combination of strategies: 1) respond to international competition based on local advantage and investment; 2) develop a local service-oriented economy; 3) assemble a wide range of supportive services in high finance, media and government; and 4) make central resources available regionally. With regard to urban regeneration, Harvey underlines what he calls “the up-grading of the image” (1989a, p. 7) of cities and the emphasis on appearance, style, spectacle, display and imagery. “Above all”, he writes, “the city has *to appear* as an innovative, exciting, creative, and safe place to live or to visit, to play and consume in” (p. 9; our emphasis). This entails an orientation to quality of life, cultural innovation, postmodern design, consumer attractions (such as convention and shopping centres, marinas, exotic eating places) as well as urban spectacles including festivals and cultural events. For Harvey, it follows that other cities imitate these strategies; then, instead of being unique, cities seem to look more and more the

same: “How many successful convention centres, sports stadia, Disney-worlds, harbour places and spectacular shopping malls can there be?” (1989a, p. 12). Furthermore, he says the social costs of urban entrepreneurialism are immense; witness the increasing disparity in wealth and income and the processes of urban impoverishment, dispossession and displacement (see also Harvey, 2008).

In hindsight, Harvey’s analysis was most clairvoyant, and in our view is still quite valuable in a contemporary context where cities are increasingly competing on a global scale, selling themselves based “upon the creation of an attractive urban imagery” (1989a, p. 13) and being compared and measured with regard to their potential to attract a creative class. When we call his analysis timely, we must remark that Harvey might even have underestimated the increasingly prominent role of culture, creativity and the aesthetic in the shift to urban entrepreneurialism. To be fair, Harvey was already relating the entrepreneurial urbanism to a political aesthetic:

Local coalitions (of city management and the regional private sphere) have no option, given the coercive laws of competition, except to keep ahead of the game thus engendering leap-frogging innovations in life styles, cultural forms, products and service mixes, even institutional and political forms if they are to survive. The result is a stimulating if often destructive maelstrom of urban-based cultural, political, production and consumption innovations. It is at this point that we can identify an albeit subterranean but nonetheless vital connection between the rise of urban entrepreneurialism and the postmodern penchant for design of urban fragments rather than comprehensive urban planning, for ephemerality and eclecticism of fashion and style rather than the search for enduring values, for quotation and fiction rather than invention and function, and, finally, for medium over message and image over substance (1989a, p. 12-13).

While this eloquently formulated observation reflects Harvey’s analysis of the condition of postmodernity (see Harvey, 1989b), twenty years later we see that his critical analysis has not been able to call a halt to the by now overwhelming spread of this urban aesthetic; the situation now requires a complementary, analytical strategy, as we will discuss in the next section.

In search of prosaic narratives

While the discourse of creativity, occurring in several waves, has increasingly been appropriated into optimistic tales of urban entrepreneurship, it is clear from Harvey's critique that critical voices began quite early to question this success story and utopian-like narrative; they also documented the dystopian side of the connection between city and creativity. Others picked up on Harvey's critique, which was extended, both empirically and conceptually, almost ten years later in Hall and Hubbard's (1998) edited volume *The Entrepreneurial City*, illustrating what they called the "burgeoning cross-disciplinary literature on urban entrepreneurialism" (p. 3). The book tried to capture the central debates around the entrepreneurial city and to map the new modes of governance implicated in the economic, social and cultural transformation of cities. It provides several illustrations of how changing the image of a locality is a central component of entrepreneurial governance, suggesting that "it is perhaps best to consider the entrepreneurial city as an imaginary city, constituted through a plethora of images and representations" (p. 7).

These counter-narratives have been valuable, responding to the tendency to reduce city governance to myth making and spectacle styling, but they have not been able to shift policymakers from seeing the entrepreneurial city as a preferred script. While we can trace a clear genealogical history from the contemporary narrative of urban creativity back to the entrepreneurial efforts of de-industrialized cities, we must remember that "[t]he script of urban creativity reworks and augments the old methods and arguments of urban entrepreneurialism in politically seductive ways" (Peck, 2005, p. 766). The emergence of the creative industries and the valorization of the cultural economy in the nineties have ossified the association between the urban, the entrepreneurial and the cultural, making them harder to critique. According to Boltanski and Chiapello (2006), among others, the entrepreneurial spirit has recuperated the strategies of artistic critique at the advantage of its own ideology; in doing so, it has made traditional critique look ineffective.

Thus we see a constant attempt to present the relationship between the urban and the entrepreneurial as self-evident, forcing researchers themselves to keep trying to change and recreate their strategies of analysis and critique. We argue that in order to make the relationship between entrepreneurship and the city more ambivalent we need to invent alternative forms of critique that can not only document how the styles of seduction have altered but also affirm other uses of the city-space and invest(igate) in the de-commodification of the city. The question now is how to move beyond the dichotomous representation that divides the analysis of city life in two too clear camps; this analysis seems to (re)present the city as either Pleasantville or Panicville (Virilio, 2005), as either urban dream or metropolitan nightmare (Gundle quoted in Harvey, 1989a) or as the site of either an “experience economy” of play and passion (Hjorth and Kostera, 2007) or a “fear economy” of surveillance and security (Davis, 2002; Thrift, 2005).

This attempt to change the dualistic representation can be illustrated by a minor but, we think, symptomatic recent debate within the field of urban studies (Latham, 2006a, 2006b; Cochrane, 2006). In the *Journal of European Urban and Regional Studies*, the geographer Alan Latham staged an intervention into what he perceives as the “limitations” of Anglophone urban studies (2006a, p. 88); his example is the interest in and the corresponding studies of the (development of the) city of Berlin. Latham identifies a consensus at work in current discussions in English-language urban studies: an implicitly shared understanding of what is ‘driving’ the development of the European city, of what processes call for scholarly attention and, therefore, of what is seen and what is left out.

This consensus concurs broadly with the counter-narrative of the entrepreneurial city as geared towards an intensified entrepreneurialism. Consequently, this scholarly consensus extends to the worrisome effects of the ‘entrepreneurialization’ of cities that are usually denoted by phenomena such as gentrification, boosterism, and gated communities as well as social technologies such as new surveillance mechanisms and Business Improvement Districts.

Latham (2003; 2006a) traces several widely shared and interrelated propositions: an intensified orientation towards consumption, a “hyper-aestheticisation” of the everyday” (Latham, 2003, p. 1701) and neo-liberal governmental strategies go hand-in-hand with a diagnosed globalization of cities and an increasing polarization by wealth and income as well as increased social exclusion.

The counter-narrative has itself become a compelling and dominant narrative of Anglophone urban literature that, according to Latham, has been ‘applied’ to Berlin. Apart from studying how Berlin has been re-imagined through place-marketing “and the symbolic spectacle of global architecture” (Cochrane and Jonas, 1999, p. 152, and ignoring for now the fact that these authors also identify the coeval imagineerings of Berlin as national capital and “normal” city), he sees the new ‘invention’ of the Potsdamer Platz as the most obvious case that demonstrates the dominance of economic power and consumerist urban development (e.g. Marcuse, 1998; Allen, 2006). Certainly, the construction of the Potsdamer Platz seems to be an almost ideal-typical example of the “potential tragedy (...) that the menu from which big cities seem to be permitted to choose their futures appears to remain so limited” (Cochrane and Jones, 1999, p. 161).

The question, therefore, is not whether such analyses might miss the point; in fact, they vividly reveal the power of urban entrepreneurialism at work, so to speak. Rather, the question is what the shared agenda of Anglophone urban studies does *not* permit us to see. That is, what happens if, in Latham’s words, the “Anglophone consensus (...) comes to be the account that matters most” (2006a, p. 91)? One risk is that this particular discourse – its significant merits notwithstanding – may fail to notice the diversity *between* (European) cities. As Latham points out, Berlin has a distinct history of urban planning and renewal that, even now, continues to deviate from the clear-cut neo-liberal or ‘entrepreneurial’ model which apparently determines urban development in larger (Western) cities.

For us a more important danger is in overlooking the “contemporaneous heterogeneities of space” (Massey, 2005, p. 5): the plurality of spatial trajectories that produce urban spaces. This is not limited to the example of Berlin, of course. But it is somewhat ironic that at the same period when the Potsdamer Platz was being conceived, built and put to use, Berlin was becoming an object of inquiry as a hotbed for experimental, ‘autonomous’ and often minor spaces, for so-called ‘counter-urbanities’ in multiple expressions (e.g. Latham, 1999; Oswald, 2000; Cupers and Miessen, 2002; Groth and Corijn, 2005), including a host of endeavours to resist or playfully parody consumer culture and the privatization of space. Thus, as Latham puts it, the problem is that through dominant critical patterns of thinking, “we end up with accounts of Berlin which (...) miss many of the more interesting and exceptional phenomena which are shaping Berlin” (2006b, p. 377).

Both Latham’s diagnostic reading of urban theory’s dominant (counter-)narrative and his call to open up to the plurality of spatio-urban trajectories fit well with our broad distinction between grand narrative, counter-narrating and what we call the prosaic narration of spatial performances that moves beyond orthodox theoretical orderings. Perhaps not surprisingly, artistic performances and their potential to reconfigure what we can perceive, see and speak are of considerable interest here (Rancière, 2004; e.g. Beyes, 2009). Instead of reinforcing the domestication of artistic events, Amin and Thrift (2002) point out, “the most exacting, exciting and enticing attempts to produce (...) new modes of belonging have been taking place in contemporary architecture and performance art as they have tried to redefine - in practice - what is meant by place as *living* rather than *lived* space” (p. 48).

These kinds of artistic urban interventions are processual, dynamic, not static, and “they rest on a particular understanding of architecture, somewhat in line with Benjamin’s notions of architecture as ‘tactile appropriation’, as constantly being transformed by its use, its boundaries renegotiated by habits.” (p. 49). And yet, the metaphor of performance leads us beyond the realm of art, into very practical imaginations and creations of encounters, affects,

unforeseen relations, play, liminality, protest and transformation (Thrift, 2000; Thrift and Dewsbury, 2000). From serious or carnivalesque performances of resistance (Lyle, 2008) to the affective enactments and reorderings of urban geographies by homeless people (Cloke, May and Johnsen, 2008) or to the reclaiming of the urban agenda by informal actors reanimating indeterminate spaces (Groth and Corijn, 2005), the urban fabric produces manifold manifestations and new forms of expression which allow change to happen.

Again, this is not to gloss over the oppressive and damaging consequences of entrepreneurial urbanism which the critical counter-narrative lays bare. But it seems all the more urgent to enrich our understanding of cities in neo-liberal times by exploring stories that present alternatives to the dominant critique of urban entrepreneurialism, because it is here that we might “imagine possible futures beyond the narrow confines of a globalized, neo-liberal, free-market model” (Latham, 2006a, p. 91). Conceptualizing urban space as an effect of assemblages of heterogeneous interrelations and interactions, as an open, unfinished and relational ‘becoming space’, first and foremost engenders the *possibility* of politics (Massey, 2005, p. 149 et seqq.). In this sense, the discourse of prosaic narration leaves no other choice than to refrain from advocating for or prescribing a new mode of urban governance. Following Lefebvre’s notion of “the right to the city” (1996) and Amin and Thrift’s articulation of a “politics of the common” (2002), we believe the focus must shift from a particular form of urban democracy and governance to “the city as a site of politics in motion” (Amin and Thrift, 2002, p. 155): “The ideal city (...) would be the *ephemeral* city, the perpetual *oeuvre* of the inhabitants, themselves mobile and mobilized for and by this *oeuvre*. (...) The right to the *oeuvre*, to participation and *appropriation* (clearly distinct from the right to property), are implied in the right to the city” (Lefebvre, 1996, p. 173 et seq.; original emphasis).

Inquiries into the mundane and artistic performances of city life cannot be disentangled from the politics of narration and critique. To attend to these prosaic events, academics will need to change their narrative performances by engaging with what Thrift (2008) calls

non-representational theorizing. Challenging classic narrations and semiotic accounts and their interest in how meaning is produced and articulated, non-representational accounts “emphasize bodily and technological engagements with urban space through affect and ontology” (Hetherington and Cronin, 2008, p. 6). Non-representational theorizing can lead to an important shift in understanding how the narration of urban creativity moves from a discursive level of meaning-making to a performative, neo-materialist level that takes on the intensities and affects through which creative space is assembled. Such a different style of narrating can be related to a detailed, prosaic narration (Steyaert, 2004) and a performative narration (Thrift, 2000), both of which pull in everyday but intense stories and other fragments of urban life, as well as to a narration that practices the possibility of fabulation, that is imagining the future becoming of a collective urbanity (Hjorth and Steyaert, 2006). Rather than set out a grand political scheme or try to counter it, we suggest that by increasingly circulating little narratives, we can collectively move the engineering of images towards a practice of imagination that can help bring forward an intensive urban life. Beyond a theoretical diagnostics of grand (counter-) narrations, we suggest engaging with a form of living space inquiry by attending to the intensities, connections and blockages in everyday urban interaction. Such an affirmative politics narrates the city as a heterotopia where affects, ideas and possibilities are assembled and where the grand narratives are not denied but are instead deterritorialized and launched again. A heterotopic politics of urban creativity thus requires a different narration of entrepreneurship *tout court*.

References

- ALLEN, J. (2006) Ambient Power: Berlin's Potsdamer Platz and the Seductive Logic of Public Spaces. *Urban Studies*, 43(2), 441-455.
- AMIN, A. & THRIFT, N. (2002) *Cities: Reimagining the Urban*, Cambridge, Polity Press.

- ANDERSSON, Å. E. (1985) *Kreativitet: Storstadens framtid*, Stockholm, Prisma.
- BEYES, T. (2009) Spaces of intensity: Urban entrepreneurship as redistribution of the sensible. IN HJORTH, D. & STEYAERT, C. (Eds.) *The Politics and Aesthetics of Entrepreneurship*. Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, 92-112.
- BOLTANSKI, L. & CHIAPELLO, E. (2006) *The New Spirit of Capitalism*, London, Verso.
- CLOKE, P., MAY, J. & JOHNSEN, S. (2008) Performativity and Affect in the Homeless City. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 26(2), 241-263.
- COCHRANE, A. (2006) Euro-commentary: (Anglo)phoning Home from Berlin: A Response to Alan Latham. *European Urban and Regional Studies* 13(4), 371-376.
- COCHRANE, A. & JONAS, A. (1999) Reimagining Berlin: World City, National Capital or Ordinary Place? *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 6(2), 145-164.
- CUPERS, K. & MIESSEN, M. (2002) *Spaces of Uncertainty*, Wuppertal, Müller + Busmann.
- DAVIS, M. (2002) *Dead Cities and Other Tales*. New York, New Press.
- DU GAY, P. (2004) Against 'Enterprise' (but not against 'enterprise', for that would make no sense). *Organization*, 11(1), 37-57.
- FLORIDA, R. (2002) *The Rise of the Creative Class*, Cambridge, MA, Basic Books.
- FOUCAULT, M. (1986) Of Other Spaces. *Diacritics*, 16(1), 22-27.
- FUJITA, M., KRUGMAN, P. & VENABLES, A. J. (2001) *The Spatial Economy: Cities, Regions, and International Trade*, Cambridge, MA, MIT Press.
- GOLDSMITH, S. (Ed.) (1999) *The Entrepreneurial City: A How-To Handbook for Urban Innovators*, New York, Manhattan Institute.
- GOODMAN, R. (1979) *The Last Entrepreneurs: America's Regional Wars for Jobs and Dollars*, New York, Simon and Schuster.
- GROTH, J. & CORIJN, E. (2005) Reclaiming Urbanity: Indeterminate Spaces, Informal Actors and Urban Agenda Setting. *Urban Studies*, 42, 3, 503-526.

- GRUNDY, J. (2003) *Staging Queer Differences in the Entrepreneurial City: The Politics of Pride Toronto*. Doctoral Dissertation, Carleton University.
- HALL, P. (1999) *Cities in Civilization: Culture, Innovation, and Urban Order*, London, Phoenix Giant.
- HARVEY, D. (1989b) *The Condition of Postmodernity*, Oxford, UK and Cambridge, MA, Basil Blackwell.
- HARVEY, D. (1989a) From Managerialism to Entrepreneurialism: The Transformation in Urban Governance in Late Capitalism. *Geografiska Annaler B*, 71(1), 3-17.
- HARVEY, D. (2008) The Right to the City. *New Left Review*, 53, 23-40.
- HJORTH, D. & KOSTERA, M. (Eds.) (2007) *Entrepreneurship and the Experience Economy*, Copenhagen, Copenhagen Business School Press.
- HJORTH, D. & STEYAERT, C. (2003) Entrepreneurship beyond (a New) Economy: Creative Swarms and Pathological Zones. IN STEYAERT, C. & HJORTH, D. (Eds.), *New Movements in Entrepreneurship*, Edward Elgar, London, 286-303.
- HJORTH, D. & STEYAERT, C. (2006) American Psycho/European Schizo: Stories of Managerial Elites in a Hundred Images. IN GAGLIARDI, P. & CZARNIAWSKA, B. (Eds.) *Management Education and Humanities*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham, 2006, 67-97.
- HUBBARD, P. & HALL, T. (1998) The Entrepreneurial City and the "New Urban Politics". IN HALL, T. & HUBBARD, P. (Eds.) *The Entrepreneurial City: Geographies of Politics, Regime and Representation*. West Sussex, John Wiley & Sons, 1-27.
- LANDRY, C. (2000) *The Creative City: A Toolkit for Urban Innovators*, London, Earthscan.
- LATHAM, A. (2006a) Euro-Commentary: Anglophone Urban Studies and the European City: Some Comments on Interpreting Berlin. *European Urban and Regional Studies* 13(1), 88-92.

- LATHAM, A. (2006b) Euro-commentary: Berlin and Everywhere Else: A Reply to Allan Cochrane. *European Urban and Regional Studies* 13(4), 377-379.
- LATHAM, A. (1999) Powers of Engagement: On being Engaged, being Indifferent; and Urban Life. *Area*, 31(2), 161-168.
- LATHAM, A. (2003) Urbanity, Lifestyle and Making Sense of the New Urban Cultural Economy: Notes from Auckland, New Zealand. *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 40(9), 1699-1724.
- LEFEBVRE, H. (1996/1968) Right to the City. IN KOFMAN, E. & LEBAS, E. (Eds.) *Henri Lefebvre, Writings on Cities*. Malden, MA and Oxford, UK, Blackwell, 63-184.
- LEGATES, R. T. (2000) Expansive Overview of Cities. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 66(2), 201-202.
- LOCKE, R. R. & SCHÖNE, K. E. (2004) *The Entrepreneurial Shift*, Cambridge, UK, Cambridge University Press.
- LUNA-GARCIA, A. (2008) Just another Coffee! Milking the Barcelona Model, Marketing a Global Image, and the Restoration of Local Identities. IN ANNE M. CRONIN & K. HETHERINGTON (Eds.) *Consuming the Entrepreneurial City: Image, Memory, Spectacle*. London, Routledge, 143-160.
- LYLE, E. (2008) *On the Lower Frequencies: A Secret History of the City*, Berkeley, Soft Skull Press.
- LYOTARD, J.-F. (1984). *The Postmodern Condition*. Minneapolis, University of Minnesota Press.
- MACE, A., HALL, P. & GALLEN, N. (2007) New East Manchester: Urban Renaissance or Urban Opportunism? *European Planning Studies*, 15(1), 51-67.
- MARSHALL, T. (Ed.) (2004) *Transforming Barcelona*, London, Routledge.
- MASSEY, D. (2005) *For Space*, London, Sage.

- MCNEILL, D. (2001) Barcelona as Imagined Community: Pasqual Maragall's Spaces of Engagement. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 26(3), 340-352.
- OSWALD, P. (2000) *Berlin - Stadt ohne Form*. Munich, Prestel Verlag.
- PECK, J. (2005) Struggling with the Creative Class. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 29(4), 740-770.
- QUILLEY, S. (2000) Manchester First: From Municipal Socialism to the Entrepreneurial City. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 24(3), 601-615.
- RANCIÈRE, J. (2004) *The Politics of Aesthetics: The Distribution of the Sensible*, London/New York, Continuum.
- ROBINSON, J. (2006) *Ordinary Cities*, Abingdon, Routledge.
- SOJA, E. W. (2000) *Postmetropolis. Critical Studies of Cities and Regions*, Oxford, UK and Malden, US, Blackwell.
- STEYAERT, C. (2004) The Prosaics of Entrepreneurship". In HJORTH, D. and STEYAERT, C. (Eds.) *Narrative and Discursive Approaches in Entrepreneurship*. Edward Elgar, London, 8-21.
- STEYAERT, C. (2006) Cities as Heterotopias and Third Spaces: The Example of Imagination, the Swiss Expo02. IN CLEGG, S. R. & KORNBERGER, M. (Eds.) *Space, Organizations and Management Theory*. Malmö, Liber & Copenhagen Business School Press, 248-265.
- STEYAERT, C. (2007) Of course that is not the Whole (Toy) Story: Entrepreneurship and the Cat's Cradle", *Journal of Business Venturing* 22, 733-751.
- THRIFT, N. (2000) Afterwords. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 18(2), 213-255.
- THRIFT, N. (2005) But Malice Aforethought: Cities and the Natural History of Hatred. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 30(2), 133-150.

- THRIFT, N. (2008) *Non-Representational Theory: Space, Politics, Affect*, London, UK and New York, US, Routledge.
- THRIFT, N. & DEWSBURY, J.-D. (2000) Dead Geographies - and How to make them live. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 18(4), 411-432.
- VIRILIO, P. (2005) *City of Panic*, Oxford, Berg.
- WILLIAMS, G. (2003) *The Enterprising City Centre: Manchester's Development Challenge*. London, Spon.
- ZUKIN, S. (2008) Foreword. IN CRONIN, A. M. & HETHERINGTON, K. (Eds.) *Consuming the Entrepreneurial City: Image, Memory, Spectacle*. London, Routledge, xi-xiii.

Edicions / Issues:

- 95/1 *Productividad del trabajo, eficiencia e hipótesis de convergencia en la industria textil-confección europea*
Jordi López Sintas
- 95/2 *El tamaño de la empresa y la remuneración de los máximos directivos*
Pedro Ortín Ángel
- 95/3 *Multiple-Sourcing and Specific Investments*
Miguel A. García-Cestona
- 96/1 *La estructura interna de puestos y salarios en la jerarquía empresarial*
Pedro Ortín Ángel
- 96/2 *Efficient Privatization Under Incomplete Contracts*
Miguel A. García-Cestona
Vicente Salas-Fumás
- 96/3 *Institutional Imprinting, Global Cultural Models, and Patterns of Organizational Learning: Evidence from Firms in the Middle-Range Countries*
Mauro F. Guillén (The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania)
- 96/4 *The relationship between firm size and innovation activity: a double decision approach*
Ester Martínez-Ros (Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona)
José M. Labeaga (UNED & Universitat Pompeu Fabra)
- 96/5 *An Approach to Asset-Liability Risk Control Through Asset-Liability Securities*
Joan Montllor i Serrats
María-Antonia Tarrazón Rodón
- 97/1 *Protección de los administradores ante el mercado de capitales: evidencia empírica en España*
Rafael Crespi i Cladera
- 97/2 *Determinants of Ownership Structure: A Panel Data Approach to the Spanish Case*
Rafael Crespi i Cladera
- 97/3 *The Spanish Law of Suspension of Payments: An Economic Analysis From Empirical Evidence*
Esteban van Hemmen Almazor
- 98/1 *Board Turnover and Firm Performance in Spanish Companies*
Carles Gispert i Pellicer
- 98/2 *Libre competencia frente a regulación en la distribución de medicamentos: teoría y evidencia empírica para el caso español*
Eva Jansson
- 98/3 *Firm's Current Performance and Innovative Behavior Are the Main Determinants of Salaries in Small-Medium Enterprises*
Jordi López Sintas y Ester Martínez Ros

- 98/4 *On The Determinants of Export Internalization: An Empirical Comparison Between Catalan and Spanish (Non-Catalan) Exporting Firms*
Alex Rialp i Criado
- 98/5 *Modelo de previsión y análisis del equilibrio financiero en la empresa*
Antonio Amorós Mestres
- 99/1 *Avaluació dinàmica de la productivitat dels hospitals i la seva descomposició en canvi tecnològic i canvi en eficiència tècnica*
Magda Solà
- 99/2 *Block Transfers: Implications for the Governance of Spanish Corporations*
Rafael Crespí, and Carles Gispert
- 99/3 *The Asymmetry of IBEX-35 Returns With TAR Models*
M.^a Dolores Márquez, César Villazón
- 99/4 *Sources and Implications of Asymmetric Competition: An Empirical Study*
Pilar López Belbeze
- 99/5 *El aprendizaje en los acuerdos de colaboración interempresarial*
Josep Rialp i Criado
- 00/1 *The Cost of Ownership in the Governance of Interfirm Collaborations*
Josep Rialp i Criado, i Vicente Salas Fumás
- 00/2 *Reasignación de recursos y resolución de contratos en el sistema concursal español*
Stefan van Hemmen Alamazor
- 00/3 *A Dynamic Analysis of Intrafirm Diffusion: The ATMs*
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez, Yolanda Polo
- 00/4 *La Elección de los Socios: Razones para Cooperar con Centros de Investigación y con Proveedores y Clientes*
Cristina Bayona, Teresa García, Emilio Huerta
- 00/5 *Inefficient Banks or Inefficient Assets?*
Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 01/1 *Collaboration Strategies and Technological Innovation: A Contractual Perspective of the Relationship Between Firms and Technological Centers*
Alex Rialp, Josep Rialp, Lluís Santamaria
- 01/2 *Modelo para la Identificación de Grupos Estratégicos Basado en el Análisis Envoltante de Datos: Aplicación al Sector Bancario Español*
Diego Prior, Jordi Surroca
- 01/3 *Seniority-Based Pay: Is It Used As a Motivation Device?*
Alberto Bayo-Moriones
- 01/4 *Calidad de Servicio en la Enseñanza Universitaria: Desarrollo y Validación de una Escala de Medida.*
Joan-Lluís Capelleras, José M.^a Veciana

- 01/5 *Enfoque estructural vs. recursos y capacidades: un estudio empírico de los factores clave de éxito de las agencias de viajes en España.*
Fabiola López-Marín, José M.^a Veciana
- 01/6 *Opción de Responsabilidad Limitada y Opción de Abandonar: Una Integración para el Análisis del Coste de Capita.*
Neus Orgaz
- 01/7 *Un Modelo de Predicción de la Insolvencia Empresarial Aplicado al Sector Textil y Confección de Barcelona (1994-1997).*
Antonio Somoza López
- 01/8 *La Gestión del Conocimiento en Pequeñas Empresas de Tecnología de la Información: Una Investigación Exploratoria.*
Laura E. Zapata Cantú
- 01/9 *Marco Institucional Formal de Creación de Empresas en Catalunya: Oferta y Demanda de Servicios de Apoyo*
David Urbano y José María Veciana.
- 02/1 *Access as a Motivational Device: Implications for Human Resource Management.*
Pablo Arocena, Mikel Villanueva
- 02/2 *Efficiency and Quality in Local Government. The Case of Spanish Local Authorities*
M.T. Balaguer, D. Prior, J.M. Vela
- 02/3 *Single Period Markowitz Portfolio Selection, Performance Gauging and Duality: A variation on Luenberger's Shortage Function*
Walter Briec, Kristiaan Kerstens, Jean Baptiste Lesourd
- 02/4 *Innovación tecnológica y resultado exportador: un análisis empírico aplicado al sector textil-confección español*
Rossano Eusebio, Àlex Rialp Criado
- 02/5 *Caracterización de las empresas que colaboran con centros tecnológicos*
Lluís Santamaria, Miguel Ángel García Cestona, Josep Rialp
- 02/6 *Restricción de crédito bancario en economías emergentes: el caso de la PYME en México*
Esteban van Hemmen Almazor
- 02/7 *La revelación de información obligatoria y voluntaria (activos intangibles) en las entidades de crédito. Factores determinantes.*
Gonzalo Rodríguez Pérez
- 02/8 *Measuring Sustained Superior Performance at the Firm Level*
Emili Grifell - Tatjé, Pilar Marquès - Gou
- 02/9 *Governance Mechanisms in Spanish Financial Intermediaries*
Rafel Crespi, Miguel A. García-Cestona, Vicente Salas
- 02/10 *Endeudamiento y ciclos políticos presupuestarios: el caso de los ayuntamientos catalanes*
Pedro Escudero Fernández, Diego Prior Jiménez

- 02/11 *The phenomenon of international new ventures, global start-ups, and born-globals: what do we know after a decade (1993-2002) of exhaustive scientific inquiry?*
Alex Rialp-Criado, Josep Rialp-Criado, Gary A. Knight
- 03/1 *A methodology to measure shareholder value orientation and shareholder value creation aimed at providing a research basis to investigate the link between both magnitudes*
Stephan Hecking
- 03/2 *Assessing the structural change of strategic mobility. Determinants under hypercompetitive environments*
José Ángel Zúñiga Vicente, José David Vicente Lorente
- 03/3 *Internal promotion versus external recruitment: evidence in industrial plants*
Alberto Bayo-Moriones, Pedro Ortín-Ángel
- 03/4 *El empresario digital como determinante del éxito de las empresas puramente digitales: un estudio empírico*
Christian Serarols, José M.^a Veciana
- 03/5 *La solvencia financiera del asegurador de vida y su relación con el coste de capital*
Jordi Celma Sanz
- 03/6 *Proceso del desarrollo exportador de las empresas industriales españolas que participan en un consorcio de exportación: un estudio de caso*
Piedad Cristina Martínez Carazo
- 03/7 *Utilidad de una Medida de la Eficiencia en la Generación de Ventas para la Predicción del Resultado*
María Cristina Abad Navarro
- 03/8 *Evaluación de fondos de inversión garantizados por medio de portfolio insurance*
Sílvia Bou Ysàs
- 03/9 *Aplicación del DEA en el Análisis de Beneficios en un Sistema Integrado Verticalmente Hacia Adelante*
Héctor Ruiz Soria
- 04/1 *Regulación de la Distribución Eléctrica en España: Análisis Económico de una Década, 1987-1997*
Leticia Blázquez Gómez; Emili Grifell-Tatjé
- 04/2 *The Barcelonnettes: an Example of Network-Entrepreneurs in XIX Century Mexico. An Explanation Based on a Theory of Bounded Rational Choice with Social Embeddedness.*
Gonzalo Castañeda
- 04/3 *Estructura de propiedad en las grandes sociedades anónimas por acciones. Evidencia empírica española en el contexto internacional*
Rabel Crespí; Eva Jansson
- 05/1 *IFRS Adoption in Europe: The Case of Germany.*
Soledad Moya, Jordi Perramon, Anselm Constans

- 05/2 *Efficiency and environmental regulation: a 'complex situation'*
Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo, Diego Prior
- 05/3 *Financial Development, Labor and Market Regulations and Growth*
Raquel Fonseca, Natalia Utrero
- 06/1 *Entrepreneurship, Management Services and Economic Growth*
Vicente Salas Fumás, J. Javier Sánchez Asín
- 06/2 *Triple Bottom Line: A business metaphor for a social construct*
Darrel Brown, Jesse Dillard, R. Scott Marshall
- 06/3 *El Riesgo y las Estrategias en la Evaluación de los Fondos de Inversión de Renta Variable*
Sílvia Bou
- 06/4 *Corporate Governance in Banking: The Role of Board of Directors*
Pablo de Andrés Alonso, Eleuterio Vallelado González
- 06/5 *The Effect of Relationship Lending on Firm Performance*
Judith Montoriol Garriga
- 06/6 *Demand Elasticity and Market Power in the Spanish Electricity Market*
Aitor Ciarreta, María Paz Espinosa
- 06/7 *Testing the Entrepreneurial Intention Model on a Two-Country Sample*
Francisco Liñán, Yi-Wen Chen
- 07/1 *Technological trampolines for new venture creation in Catalonia: the case of the University of Girona*
Andrea Bikfalvi, Christian Serarols, David Urbano, Yancy Vaillant
- 07/2 *Public Enterprise Reforms and Efficiency in Regulated Environments: the Case of the Postal Sector*
Juan Carlos Morales Piñero, Joaquim Vergés Jaime
- 07/3 *The Impact of Prevention Measures and Organisational Factors on Occupational Injuries*
Pablo Arocena, Imanol Núñez, Mikel Villanueva
- 07/4 *El impacto de la gestión activa en la performance de los fondos de inversión de renta fija*
Sílvia Bou Ysàs
- 07/5 *Organisational status and efficiency: The case of the Spanish SOE "Paradores"*
Magda Cayón, Joaquim Vergés
- 07/6 *Longitudinal Analysis of Entrepreneurship and competitiveness dynamics in Latin America*
José Ernesto Amorós, Óscar Cristi
- 08/1 *Earnings Management and cultural values*
Kurt Desender, Christian Castro, Sergio Escamilla

- 08/2 *Why do convertible issuers simultaneously repurchase stock? An arbitrage-based explanation*
Marie Dutordoir, Patrick Verwijmeren
- 08/3 *Entrepreneurial intention, cognitive social capital and culture: empirical analysis for Spain and Taiwan*
Francisco Liñán, Francisco Santos, José L. Roldán
- 08/4 *From creative ideas to new emerging ventures: the process of identification and exploitation among finnish design entrepreneurs*
Henrik Tötterman
- 08/5 *Desempeño de la Política Comercial Pública en España*
Manuel Sánchez, Ignacio Cruz, David Jiménez
- 08/6 *Gender Effects on Performance in Bulgarian Private Enterprises*
Desislava Yordanova
- 09/1 *Narrating Urban Entrepreneurship: A Matter of Imagineering?*
Chris Steyaert, Timon Beyes