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#### Abstract

In this paper we study one-dimensional reflected backward stochastic differential equation when the noise is driven by a Brownian motion and an independent Poisson point process when the solution is forced to stay above a right continuous left-hand limited obstacle. We prove existence and uniqueness of the solution by using a penalization method combined with a monotonic limit theorem


## 1. Introduction

Let $\left(B_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ be a $d$-dimensional Wiener process defined on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathrm{P})$. Let $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq T}$ denote the natural filtration of $\left(B_{t}\right)$ such that $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ contains all P-null sets of $\mathcal{F}$, and $\xi$ be an $\mathcal{F}_{T}$-measurable one dimensional random variable. Let $f$ be an $\mathbb{R}$-valued function defined on $[0, T] \times \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that for all $(y, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$, the map $(t, \omega) \longrightarrow f(t, \omega, y, z)$ is $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-progressively measurable. We consider the following backward stochastic differential equation (BSDE for short) associated with the coefficient $f$ and the terminal value $\xi$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d B_{s}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A solution for such equation is a couple of adapted processes $(Y, Z)$ with values in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$ which mainly satisfies equation (1). This Kind of equations have been first introduced by Pardoux \& Peng [13]. Their aim was to give a probabilistic interpretation of a solution of second order quasi-linear partial differential equation. Since then, those equations have been intensively investigated due to their connections with financial mathematics, optimal

[^0]control and stochastic game, non-linear PDEs and homogenization (see, for example, $[5,6,7,8,14,13,2,3]$ and the references therein).

The notion of reflected BSDE have been introduced by El Karoui et al [6]. A solution of such equation, associated with a coefficient $f$; terminal value $\xi$ and a barrier $S$, is a triple of process $(Y, Z, K)$ with values in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}\right) d s+K_{T}-K_{t}-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d B_{s}, \quad Y_{t} \geq S_{t} \quad \forall t \leq T \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the additional process $K$ is continuous nondecreasing and its role is to push upwards the process $Y$ in order to keep it above the barrier $S$ and moreover it satisfies $\int_{0}^{T}\left(Y_{s}-S_{s}\right) d K_{s}=0$, this means that the process $K$ acts only when the process reaches the barrier $S$ in a minimal way. The authors have proved that equation (2) has a unique solution when $\xi$ is square integrable, $f$ is uniformly Lipschitz with respect to $(y, z)$ and $S$ is continuous.

The extension to the case of reflected BSDE with jumps, which is a standard reflected BSDE driven by a Brownian motion and an independent Poisson point process, have been established by Hamadène \& Ouknine [9]. A solution for such equation, associated with a coefficient $f$; terminal value $\xi$ and a barrier $S$, is a quadruple of process $(Y, Z, K, V)$ of adapted solutions which satisfy the following equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s+K_{T}-K_{t}-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d B_{s}-\int_{t}^{T} \int_{U} V_{s}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e),  \tag{3}\\
(i i) \forall t \leq T ; Y_{t} \geq S_{t}, \\
(i i i) \int_{0}^{T}\left(Y_{t}-S_{t}\right) d K_{t}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using two methods: the first one is based on the penalization argument and the second one on the snell envelope theory, the authors have shown the existence and uniqueness of solutions if $\xi$ is square integrable, $f$ is uniformly lipschitz with respect to $y$ and $z$ and the barrier $S$ is right continuous lefthand limited (rcll for short) whose jumping times are inaccessible stopping times. Note that this later condition played a crucial role in their proofs. It is worth nothing also that, in this case, the jumping times of the process $Y$ come only from those of its Poisson process and then they are inaccessible.

The problem of existence and uniqueness of reflected BSDE when the noise is driven only by a Brownian motion and the reflecting barrier $S$ is
rcll has been studied, first, by Hamadène [7] using the snell envelope method and later by Lepeltier and $\mathrm{Xu}[12]$ using a monotonic limit theorem initially introduced by Peng [15].

In this work, we study the problem of existence and uniqueness of solution to equation (3) when the barrier $S$ is just rcll and the jumping times of process $Y$ come not only from those of its Poisson process (inaccessible jumps) but also from those of the process $S$ (predictable jumps), which means that the process $Y$ have two types of jumps: inaccessible and predicatable ones. The difficulty here lies in the fact that since the barrier $S$ is allowed to have predictable jumps then the process $Y$ and then the reflecting process $K$ are no longer continuous but just rcll. Roughly speaking, we consider the following reflected BSDE with jumps

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(i) Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s+K_{T}-K_{t}-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s} d B_{s}-\int_{t}^{T} \int_{U} V_{s}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e)  \tag{4}\\
(i i) \forall t \leq T ; Y_{t} \geq S_{t} \\
\text { (iii) } \int_{0}^{T}\left(Y_{t^{-}}-S_{t^{-}}\right) d K_{t}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that the difference between equation (3) and (4) is in the Skorohod condition (iii).
In order to state the existence of solution for our reflected BSDE with jumps (4), we consider the following penalized equation

$$
Y_{t}^{n}=
$$

$=\xi+\int_{t}^{T} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right) d s+K_{T}^{n}-K_{t}^{n}-\int_{t}^{T} Z_{s}^{n} d B_{s}-\int_{t}^{T} \int_{U} V_{s}^{n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e), t \leq T$,
where $K_{t}^{n}=n \int_{0}^{t}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-S_{s}\right)^{-} d s$. We prove that $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}, K^{n}, V^{n}\right)$ has, in some sense, a limit $(Y, Z, K, V)$ which satisfies our reflected BSDE with jumps (4). To get this convergence we need to state a monotonic limit theorem, in the framework of filtration generated by a Brownian motion and Poisson point processs, which generalizes a useful tool initially introduced by Peng [15].

At the same time, Hamadène \& Ouknine [10] studied the same problem of existence and uniqueness of reflected BSDE with jumps and rcll barrier using another proof based on a combination of penalization and the snell envelope theorey.

Let us describe our plan. First of all, most of the material used in this paper is defined in Section 2, uniqueness of solutions for our reflected BSDE with jumps is also given. A monotonic limit theorem is proved in Section 3. In Section 4, we use the monotonic limit theorem in order to prove the
convergence of a our penalized equation. The proof of existence result of our reflected BSDE with jumps is stated is Section 5.
2. Problem formulation, assumptions and uniqueness of the SOLUTION FOR REFLECTED BSDES WITH JUMPS
2.1. Problem formulation and assumptions. Let $\left.\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F},\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \leq 1}\right)\right)$ be a stochastic basis such that $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ contains all $P$-null sets of $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_{t+}=\bigcap_{\epsilon>0} \mathcal{F}_{t+\epsilon}=$ $\mathcal{F}_{t}, \forall t \leq 1$, and suppose that the filtration is generated by the two following mutually independent processes :

- a $d$-dimensional Brownian motion $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \leq 1}$,
- a Poisson random measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{+} \times U$, where $U:=\mathbb{R}^{l} \backslash\{0\}$ is equipped with its Borel fields $\mathcal{U}$, with compensator $\nu(d t, d e)=d t \lambda(d e)$, such that $\{\tilde{\mu}([0, t] \times A)=(\mu-\nu)([0, t] \times A)\}_{t \leq 1}$ is a martingale for every $A \in \mathcal{U}$ satisfying $\lambda(A)<\infty$. $\lambda$ is assumed to be a $\sigma$-finite mesure on $(U, \mathcal{U})$ satisfying

$$
\int_{U}\left(1 \wedge|e|^{2}\right) \lambda(d e)<\infty
$$

We will need the following notations :

- $\mathcal{P}$ be the sigma algebra of $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-progressively measurable sets on $\Omega \times[0,1]$.
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}$ be the sigma algebra of predictable sets on $\Omega \times[0,1]$.
- $\mathcal{S}^{2}$ be the set of $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-adapted $r c l l$ processes $\left(Y_{t}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{2}\right]<\infty$.
$t \leq 1$
- $\mathcal{H}^{2, k}$ be the set of $\mathcal{P}$-measurable processes with values in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right]<\infty
$$

- $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ be the set of mappings $V: \Omega \times[0,1] \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} \otimes \mathcal{U}$ measurable such that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1} d s \int_{U}\left(V_{s}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e)\right]<\infty
$$

- $\mathcal{K}^{2}$ be the set of $\mathcal{F}_{t}$-adapted rcll increasing processes $K$ such that $K(0)=0$ et $\mathbb{E}\left(K_{1}^{2}\right)<\infty$.
- For a given rcll process $\left(w_{t}\right)_{t \leq 1}, w_{t^{-}}=\lim _{s / t} w_{s}, t \leq 1\left(w_{0^{-}}=w_{0}\right)$; $w_{-}:=\left(w_{t^{-}}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ and $\Delta_{s} w=w_{s}-w_{s^{-}}$

Let $\xi$ be an $\mathcal{F}_{1}$-measurable one dimensional random variable and a function $f: \Omega \times[0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^{1+d} \times L^{2}(U, \mathcal{U}, \lambda ; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which to $(t, \omega, y, z, v)$ associates $f(t, \omega, y, z, v)$ which is $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{1+d}\right) \otimes \mathcal{B}\left(L^{2}(U, \mathcal{U}, \lambda ; \mathbb{R})\right)$-measurable and a real valued barrier $\left\{S_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$ which is $\mathcal{P}$-measurable process. For the problem of existence and uniqueness of solution for reflected BSDE with jumps, we introduce the following assumptions:
(A.1) The terminal value $\xi$ is square integrable, i.e. $\xi \in L^{2}\left(\Omega, F_{1}, P\right)$
(A.2) The function $f$ satisfies the following conditions :
(i) the process $(f(t, 0,0,0))_{t \leq 1}$ belongs to $L^{2}(\Omega \times[0,1], d P \otimes d t)$
(ii) $f$ is uniformly Lipschitz with respect to $(y, z)$, i.e., there exists a constant $0<k<\infty$ such that for any $y, y^{\prime}, z, z^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v, v^{\prime} \in$ $L^{2}(U, \mathcal{U}, \lambda ; \mathbb{R})$,

$$
P-a . s .,\left|f(\omega, t, y, z, v)-f\left(\omega, t, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq k\left(\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|+\left|z-z^{\prime}\right|\right)
$$

(iii) there exist two constants $-1<C_{1} \leq 0$ and $C_{2} \geq 0$ such that $\forall y \in \mathbb{R}, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \forall v, v^{\prime} \in L^{2}(U, \mathcal{U}, \lambda ; \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$
f(\omega, t, y, z, v)-f\left(\omega, t, y, z, v^{\prime}\right) \leq \int_{U}\left(v(e)-v^{\prime}(e)\right) \gamma_{t}^{y, z, v, v^{\prime}}(e) \lambda(d e)
$$

where $\gamma_{t}^{y, z, v, v^{\prime}}: \Omega \times[0, T] \times U \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{U}$-measurable and satisfies $C_{1}(1 \wedge x) \leq \gamma_{t}(e) \leq C_{2}(1 \wedge x)$.
(A.3) The barrier process $\left\{S_{t}, 0 \leq t \leq 1\right\}$, is right continuous left-hand limited and satisfying

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left(S_{t}\right)^{2}\right]<+\infty \text { and } S_{1} \leq \xi, \text { a.s. }
$$

Remark 2.1. Note that, under condition (A.2)(iii), the function $f$ is Lipschitz with respect to $v$, i.e., there exists a constant $0<\Gamma<\infty$ such that for any $y, z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v, v^{\prime} \in L^{2}(U, \mathcal{U}, \lambda ; \mathbb{R}), P$-a.s.,

$$
\left|f(\omega, t, y, z, v)-f\left(\omega, t, y, z, v^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq \Gamma\left(\int_{U}\left|v(e)-v^{\prime}(e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Now we introduce the definition of our reflected BSDE with jumps with a single lower obstacle $S$.

Definition 2.1. A solution for such an equation is a quadruple $(Y, Z, K, V):=\left(Y_{t}, Z_{t}, K_{t}, V_{t}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ of processes with values in $\mathbb{R}^{1+d} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times$ $L^{2}(U, \mathcal{U}, \lambda ; \mathbb{R})$ and which satisfies :

$$
\begin{cases}\text { (i) } \quad & Y \in \mathcal{S}^{2} ; Z \in H^{2, d} ; V \in \mathcal{L}^{2} \text { and } K \in \mathcal{K}^{2}, \\ \text { (ii) } \quad & Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s+K_{1}-K_{t}-\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s} d B_{s}- \\ & -\int_{t}^{1} \int_{U} V_{s}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e), t \leq 1, \\ \text { (iii) } \quad Y_{\text {dominates } S, \text { i.e. } \forall t \leq 1, Y_{t} \geq S_{t}}^{\text {(iv) }} \quad \text { the Skorohod condition holds }: \\ & \int_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{t^{-}}-S_{t^{-}}\right) d K_{t}=0, \text { a.s. }\end{cases}
$$

In our definition, the jumping times of process $Y$ come not only from those of its Poisson process (inaccessible jumps) but also from those of the process $S$ (predictable jumps).

Remark 2.2. It's worth nothing that condition (iv) is equivalent to the following condition :
If $K=K^{c}+K^{d}$, where $K^{c}$ (resp. $K^{d}$ ) is the continuous (resp. the discontinuous) part of $K$, then
$\int_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{t}-S_{t}\right) d K_{t}^{c}=0$ and for every predictable stopping time $\tau \leq 1$
$\Delta_{\tau} Y=Y_{\tau}-Y_{\tau^{-}}$
$=-\left(S_{\tau^{-}}-Y_{\tau}\right)^{+} 1_{\left\{S_{\tau^{-}}=Y_{\tau^{-}}\right\}}$. Moreover, since the jumping times of the Poisson process are inaccessible, for every predictable stopping time $\tau \leq 1$, $\Delta_{\tau} Y=-\Delta_{\tau} K=-\left(S_{\tau^{-}}-Y_{\tau}\right)^{+} 1_{\left\{S_{\tau^{-}}=Y_{\tau^{-}}\right\}}$.

Now let us recall the Itô formula for rcll semimartingales.
2.2. Itô's formula for $r$ rll semi-martingales. Let $X=\left\{X_{t}: t \in[0, T]\right\}$ be a rcll semimartingale, its quadratic variation is denoted by $[X]=\left\{[X]_{t}\right.$ : $t \in[0, T]\}$ and let $F$ be a $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ real valued function, then $F(X)$ is also a semimartingale, and the following formula holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(X_{t}\right) & =F\left(X_{0}\right)+\int_{0}^{t} F^{\prime}\left(X_{s-}\right) d X_{s}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} F^{\prime \prime}\left(X_{s}\right) d[X]_{s}^{c} \\
& +\sum_{0<s \leq t}\left\{F\left(X_{s}\right)-F\left(X_{s-}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(X_{s-}\right) \Delta X_{s}\right\} . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $[X]^{c}$ (sometimes denoted by $\langle X\rangle$ ) is the continuous part of the quadratic variation $[X]$. We also note that in the case where $F(x)=x^{2}$,
the formula (6) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}^{2}=X_{0}^{2}+\int_{0}^{t} 2 X_{s-} d X_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} d[X]_{s} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover if $X$ and $Y$ are two càdlàg semimartingales then we have

$$
X_{t} Y_{t}=X_{0} Y_{0}+\int_{0}^{t} X_{s-} d Y_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} Y_{s-} d X_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} d[X, Y]_{s}
$$

where $[X, Y]$ stands for the quadratic covariation of $X, Y$ also called the bracket process. For a complete survey in this topic we refer to Protter [17].

After these preliminaries, we are going to show the uniqueness of the solution for the reflected BSDE with jumps (5) under the above assumptions on $f, \xi$ and $S$.

### 2.3. Uniqueness of the solution for reflected BSDE with jumps.

Proposition 2.1. Assume that assumptions (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3) on $f, \xi$ and $\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ are satisfied. Then the reflected BSDE (5) associated with $(f, \xi, S)$ has a unique solution.
Proof: Assume $(Y, Z, K, V)$ and $\left(Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}, K^{\prime}, V^{\prime}\right)$ are two solutions of equation (5). Using Itô's formula (7) with the discontinuous semi-martingale $Y-Y^{\prime}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}-Z_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s+\int_{t}^{1} \int_{U}\left(V_{s}(e)-V_{s}^{\prime}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e) d s \\
& =2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}-Y_{s}^{\prime}\right)\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}^{\prime}, V_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right) d s \\
& +2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s-}-Y_{s-}^{\prime}\right)\left(d K_{s}-d K_{s}^{\prime}\right)-2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}-Y_{s}^{\prime}\right)\left(Z_{s}-Z_{s}^{\prime}\right) d B_{s} \\
& -2 \int_{t}^{1} \int_{U}\left[\left(Y_{s-}-Y_{s-}^{\prime}+V_{s}(e)-V_{s}^{\prime}(e)\right)^{2}-\left(Y_{s-}-Y_{s-}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thanks to the Skorohod condition (iv), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{t^{-}}-Y_{t^{-}}^{\prime}\right)\left(d K_{t}-d K_{t}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{t^{-}}-S_{t^{-}}\right) d K_{t}+\int_{t}^{1}\left(S_{t^{-}}-Y_{t^{-}}^{\prime}\right) d K_{t}+ \\
& \quad \quad+\int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{t^{-}}^{\prime}-S_{t^{-}}^{\prime}\right) d K_{t}^{\prime}+\int_{t}^{1}\left(S_{t^{-}}^{\prime}-Y_{t^{-}}\right) d K_{t}^{\prime} \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now since $\int_{t}^{1} \int_{U}\left[\left(Y_{s-}-Y_{s-}^{\prime}+V_{s}(e)-V_{s}^{\prime}(e)\right)^{2}-\left(Y_{s-}-Y_{s-}^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right] \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e)$ and $\int_{0}\left(Y_{s}-Y_{s}^{\prime}\right)\left(Z_{s}-Z_{s}^{\prime}\right) d B_{s}$ are $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}, P\right)$-martingales, then taking the expectation in both sides of equality (8) yields, for any $t \leq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}-Z_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s+\int_{t}^{1} \int_{U}\left(V_{s}(e)-V_{s}^{\prime}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e) d s\right] \\
& \leq 2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}-Y_{s}^{\prime}\right)\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}^{\prime}, V_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right) d s \\
& =2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}-Y_{s}^{\prime}\right)\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}^{\prime}, V_{s}\right)\right) d s \\
& +2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}-Y_{s}^{\prime}\right)\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}^{\prime}, V_{s}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}^{\prime}, Z_{s}^{\prime}, V_{s}^{\prime}\right)\right) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Using assumptions (A.2)(ii) - (iii) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}-Z_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s+\int_{t}^{1} \int_{U}\left(V_{s}(e)-V_{s}^{\prime}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e) d s\right] \\
& \left.\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}-Y_{s}^{\prime}\right|\left(k\left|Y_{s}-Y_{s}^{\prime}\right|+k\left|Z_{s}-Z_{s}^{\prime}\right|+\Gamma\left\|V_{s}-V_{s}^{\prime}\right\|\right)\right) d s \\
& \leq\left(2 k+k \alpha^{2}+\Gamma \beta^{2}\right) \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}-Y_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s+\frac{k}{\alpha^{2}} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}-Z_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s \\
& +\frac{\Gamma}{\beta^{2}} \mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1}\left\|V_{s}-V_{s}^{\prime}\right\|^{2} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are two constants. Now, if we choose $\frac{k}{\alpha^{2}}=\frac{1}{2}=\frac{\Gamma}{\beta^{2}}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{\prime}\right|^{2}+\right. & \left.\frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}-Z_{s}^{\prime}\right|^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{1} \int_{U}\left(V_{s}(e)-V_{s}^{\prime}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e) d s\right] \\
& \leq\left(2 k+2 k^{2}+2 \Gamma^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}-Y_{s}^{\prime}\right)^{2} d s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

With this estimate and using Gronwall's lemma and the right continuity of $\left(Y_{t}-Y_{t}^{\prime}\right)_{t \leq 1}$, we get $Y=Y^{\prime}$.
Consequently $(Y, Z, V, K)=\left(Y^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}, V^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)$ whence the uniqueness of the solution of (5).

We now make more precise the dependence of the norm of the solution $(Y, Z, K, V)$ upon the data $(\xi, f, S)$. Using the same technique as in the proof of uniqueness we have also the following estimate :

Proposition 2.2. Under the above assumption, there exists a constant $C$ which depends only on $k$ and $\Gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{2}+\mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|K_{t}\right|^{2}+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{t}\right|^{2} d t+\mathbb{E} \int_{t}^{1} \int_{U}\left(V_{s}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e) d s \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E}\left(|\xi|^{2}+\int_{0}^{1}|f(t, 0,0,0)|^{2} d t+\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left(S_{t}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Monotonic limit theorem for reflected BSDE with jumps.

In this section, we will prove a convergence theorem for a monotonic sequence of processes. It is a generalized version, in the framework of filtration generated by a Brownian motion and Poisson point processs, of a monotonic limit theorem obtained in [15]. This theorem is the following :

Theorem 3.1. We assume that $f$ satisfies condition (A.2), $\xi \in L^{2}\left(\Omega, F_{1}, P\right)$ and $K^{n}$ is continuous process with $\mathbb{E}\left(K_{1}^{n}\right)^{2}<\infty$ and $K_{0}^{n}=0$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}, V^{n}\right)$ be the solution of the following BSDE
$Y_{t}^{n}=$
$=\xi+\int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right) d s+K_{1}^{n}-K_{t}^{n}-\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s}^{n} d B_{s}-\int_{t}^{1} \int_{U} V_{s}^{n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e), t \leq 1$, such that $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s<\infty$ and $\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{U}\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e) d s<\infty$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\left(Y^{n}\right)$ converges increasingly to $Y$ with $\mathbb{E} \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}\right|^{2}<\infty$, then there exist $Z \in \mathcal{H}^{2, d}, K \in \mathcal{K}^{2}$ and $V \in \mathcal{L}^{2}$, such that, the triple $(Z, K, V)$ satisfies the following equation
$Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s+K_{1}-K_{t}-\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s} d B_{s}-\int_{t}^{1} \int_{U} V_{s}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e), t \leq 1$.
Here $Z$ is the weak limit in $\mathcal{H}^{2, d}, K$ is the weak limit of $\left(K_{t}^{n}\right)$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ and $V$ is the weak limit in $\mathcal{L}^{2}$. Moreover, for every $p \in[1,2[$, the following strong convergence hold

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right|^{2} d s\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{p} d s+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{U}^{\left.\left.\left|V_{s}^{n}-V_{s}\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d s\right] \rightarrow 0 . . . . ~}\right.\right.
$$

Proof. From the hypothesis, the sequences $\left(Z^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0},\left(V^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left(f\left(., Y^{n}, Z^{n}, V^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}\right.$ are bounded in the respective Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}^{2, d}, \mathcal{L}^{2}$ and $L^{2}([0,1] \times \Omega)$. Then we can extract sequences which weakly converge in the related spaces. We call $Z, V$ and $g$ the respective weak limits. Thanks
to the martingale representation theorem, for every stopping time $\tau \leq 1$, the following weak convergence hold in $L^{2}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right)$

$$
\int_{0}^{\tau} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right) d s \rightharpoonup \int_{0}^{\tau} g(s) d s, \quad \int_{0}^{\tau} Z_{s}^{n} d B_{s} \rightharpoonup \int_{0}^{\tau} Z_{s} d B_{s}
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{U} V_{s}^{n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e) \rightharpoonup \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{U} V_{s}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e), \text { when } n \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Since
$K_{\tau}^{n}=Y_{0}^{n}-Y_{\tau}^{n}-\int_{0}^{\tau} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{\tau} Z_{s}^{n} d B_{s}+\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{U} V_{s}^{n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e)$,
thus we have also the following weak convergence in $L^{2}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\tau}\right)$

$$
K_{\tau}^{n} \rightharpoonup K_{\tau}=Y_{0}-Y_{\tau}-\int_{0}^{\tau} g(s) d s+\int_{0}^{\tau} Z_{s} d B_{s}+\int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{U} V_{s}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e)
$$

Since the process $\left(K_{t}^{n}\right)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ is increasing predictable process $K_{0}^{n}=0$ the limit process $K$ remains an increasing predictable ( $K$ is equal to its dual predictable projection) process with $\mathbb{E}\left(K_{1}\right)^{2}<\infty$ and $K_{0}=0$. Moreover the processes $K$ and $Y$ are rcll processes (see Lemma 2.2 in [15]) and then $Y$ has the form

$$
Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{1} g(s) d s+K_{1}-K_{t}-\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s} d B_{s}-\int_{t}^{1} \int_{U} V_{s}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e), t \leq 1 .
$$

It remains to prove that, for all $p \in[1,2[$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s=\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s, \quad \text { and } \\
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{p} d s+\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}-V_{s}\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d s\right] \rightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $N_{t}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} V_{s}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e)$ and $N_{t}^{n}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} V_{s}^{n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e)$, then $\Delta_{s}\left(Y^{n}-Y\right)=\Delta_{s}\left(N^{n}-N+K\right)$. Applying Itô's formula to $\left(Y_{t}^{n}-Y_{t}\right)^{2}$ on each given subinterval $] \sigma, \tau]$, here $0 \leq \sigma \leq \tau \leq 1$ are two predictable
stopping times, we obtain
$\left(Y_{\sigma}^{n}-Y_{\sigma}\right)^{2}+\int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s+\sum_{\sigma<s \leq \tau}\left(\Delta_{s}\left(N^{n}-N+K\right)\right)^{2}$
$=\left(Y_{\tau}^{n}-Y_{\tau}\right)^{2}+2 \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right) d K_{s}^{n}-2 \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s^{-}}^{n}-Y_{s^{-}}\right) d K_{s}$
$+2 \int_{t_{\tau}}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right)\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right)\right) d s$
$-2 \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s^{-}}^{n}-Y_{s^{-}}\right)\left(Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right) d B_{s}-2 \int_{\sigma}^{\tau} \int_{U_{\tau}}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s^{-}}^{n}-Y_{s^{-}}\right)\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)-V_{s}(e)\right) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e)$
$=\left(Y_{\tau}^{n}-Y_{\tau}\right)^{2}+2 \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right) d K_{s}^{n}+2 \int_{\sigma}^{\tau} \Delta_{s}\left(N^{n}-N\right) d K_{s}+2 \int_{\sigma}^{\tau} \Delta_{s} K d K_{s}$
$-2 \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right) d K_{s}+2 \int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right)\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right)\right) d s$
$-2 \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s^{-}}^{n}-Y_{s^{-}}\right)\left(Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right) d B_{s}-2 \int_{\sigma}^{\tau} \int_{U}\left(Y_{s^{-}}^{n}-Y_{s^{-}}\right)\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)-V_{s}(e)\right) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e)$
Taking the expectation and using the fact that $\mathbb{E} \sum_{\sigma<s \leq \tau} \Delta_{s}\left(N^{n}-N\right) \Delta_{s} K=$
$\mathbb{E} \int_{\sigma}^{\tau} \Delta_{s}\left(N^{n}-N\right) d K_{s}$ and $\mathbb{E} \sum_{\sigma<s \leq \tau}\left(\Delta_{s} K\right)^{2}=\mathbb{E} \int_{\sigma}^{\tau^{\tau}} \Delta_{s} K d K_{s}$ (see Lemma
A. 1 in M. Royer [16]), we get
$\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{\sigma}^{n}-Y_{\sigma}\right)^{2}+\mathbb{E} \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s+\mathbb{E} \sum_{\sigma<s \leq \tau}\left(\Delta_{s}\left(N^{n}-N\right)\right)^{2}$
$=\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{\tau}^{n}-Y_{\tau}\right)^{2}+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right) d K_{s}^{n}+\mathbb{E} \sum_{\sigma<s \leq \tau}\left(\Delta_{s} K\right)^{2}-2 \mathbb{E} \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right) d K_{s}$
$+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right)\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right)-g(s)\right) d s$.
Since $\int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right) d K_{s}^{n} \leq 0$ and $\mathbb{E} \sum_{\sigma<s \leq \tau}\left(\Delta_{s}\left(N^{n}-N\right)\right)^{2}=$ $\mathbb{E} \int_{\sigma}^{\tau} d s \int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}(e)-V_{s}(e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)$, we obtain
$\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{\sigma}^{n}-Y_{\sigma}\right)^{2}+\mathbb{E} \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s+\mathbb{E} \int_{\sigma}^{\tau} d s \int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}(e)-V_{s}(e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)$
$\leq \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{\tau}^{n}-Y_{\tau}\right)^{2}+\mathbb{E} \sum_{\sigma<s \leq \tau}\left(\Delta_{s} K\right)^{2}-2 \mathbb{E} \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right) d K_{s}$
$+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{\sigma}^{\tau}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right)\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right)-g(s)\right) d s$.

Fix a nonnegative constants $\varepsilon, \delta$, thanks to Appendix in [16] there exist predictable times $\sigma_{k}, \tau_{k}, k=0,1, \ldots N$ such that $\left.\left.\left.] \sigma_{j}, \tau_{j}\right] \cap\right] \sigma_{i}, \tau_{i}\right]=\emptyset, \forall j \neq i$ and
i) $\mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left(\tau_{k}-\sigma_{k}\right)(\omega) \geq 1-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$,
ii) $\sum_{k=0}^{N} \mathbb{E} \sum_{\sigma_{k}<t \leq \tau_{k}}\left|\Delta_{s} K\right|^{2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon \delta}{3}$.

Now for each $\sigma=\sigma_{k}$ and $\tau=\tau_{k}$ we apply estimate (9) and then take the sum, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \int_{\sigma_{k}}^{\tau_{k}}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s+\mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \int_{\sigma_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} d s \int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}(e)-V_{s}(e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e) \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{N}\left(Y_{\tau_{k}}^{n}-Y_{\tau_{k}}\right)^{2}+\mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{\sigma_{k}<s \leq \tau_{k}}\left(\Delta_{s} K\right)^{2}+2 \mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right| d K_{s} \\
& +2\left|\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right)\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right)-g(s)\right) d s\right| \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

For the last term of the right hand of equation (10) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right)\left(f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right)-g(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \leq\left(\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left|f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right)-g(s)\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right)^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \leq C\left(\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right)^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows also from dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} \int_{] 0,1]}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right| d K_{s} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the convergence results (11) and (12) we obtain from estimate (10) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \int_{\sigma_{k}}^{\tau_{k}}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s+\mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \int_{\sigma_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} d s \int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}(e)-V_{s}(e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)\right) \\
& \leq \mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{\sigma_{k}<s \leq \tau_{k}}\left(\Delta_{s} K\right)^{2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon \delta}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, there exists $N(\varepsilon, \delta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall n \geq N(\varepsilon, \delta)$ we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \int_{\sigma_{k}}^{\tau_{k}}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{2} d s+\mathbb{E} \sum_{k=0}^{N} \int_{\sigma_{k}}^{\tau_{k}} d s \int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}(e)-V_{s}(e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e) \leq \frac{\varepsilon \delta}{3}
$$

Denoting by $m$ the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$ one can prove that

$$
\left.\left.m \times P\left\{(\omega, s) \in \Omega \times \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N}\right] \sigma_{k}(\omega), \tau_{k}(\omega)\right] /\left|Z_{s}^{n}(\omega)-Z_{s}(\omega)\right|^{2} \geq \delta\right\} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}
$$

$\left.\left.m \times P\left\{(\omega, s) \in \Omega \times \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq N}\right] \sigma_{k}(\omega), \tau_{k}(\omega)\right] / \int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}(\omega, e)-V_{s}(\omega, e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e) \geq \delta\right\} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$,
and then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m \times P\left\{(\omega, s) \in \Omega \times[0,1] /\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{2} \geq \delta\right\}=0 \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} m \times P\left\{(\omega, s) \in \Omega \times[0,1] / \int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}(e)-V_{s}(e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e) \geq \delta\right\}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, on $[0,1] \times \Omega$ (resp. $[0,1] \times \Omega \times U)$, the sequence $\left(Z^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ ( resp. $\left.\left(V^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}\right)$ converges in measure to $Z$ (resp. V). Since $\left(Z^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left(V^{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ are also bounded in $\mathcal{H}^{2, d}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ respectively. Then, for any $p \in[1,2[$, the uniform integrability give us

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{p} d s+\int_{t}^{1}\left(\int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}-V_{s}\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d s\right] \rightarrow 0, \forall p \in[1,2[.
$$

Moreover we have also, for any $p \in[1,2[$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right)-f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right)\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\int _ { 0 } ^ { 1 } \left(\left(k\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right|+k\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|+\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\quad+\Gamma\left(\int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}(e)-V_{s}(e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{p}\right) d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}  \tag{13}\\
& \leq k \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+k \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|^{p} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}+ \\
& \quad+\Gamma \mathbb{E}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}-V_{s}\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Henceforth

$$
\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s=\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

## 4. The penalization method for Reflected BSDE with jumps

Before giving the main result of this section, it is worth nothing that, in general, we do not have a comparison theorem for solution of BSDE driven by Brownain motion and an independent Poisson process. However, if we consider the following BSDE with jumps

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=\xi+\int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s-\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s} d B_{s}-\int_{t}^{1} \int_{U} V_{s}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e), t \leq 1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and suppose, in addition, that $f$ satisfies (A.2)(iii) we have the following
Theorem 4.1. (see M. Royer [16]) Let us give two pair $\left(f^{1}, \xi^{1}\right)$ and $\left(f^{2}, \xi^{2}\right)$ where $\xi^{1}, \xi^{2} \in L^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_{1}, P\right)$. Denote by $\left(Y^{1}, Z^{1}, V^{1}\right)$ and $\left(Y^{2}, Z^{2}, V^{2}\right)$ the solutions of BSDEs with jumps (14) associated respectively with $\left(\xi^{1}, f^{1}\right)$ and $\left(\xi^{2}, f^{2}\right)$. Assume that (A.2) is fulfilled for $f^{1}$ and $f^{2}, \xi^{1} \leq \xi^{2}$ a.s. and $f^{1}\left(t, Y_{t}^{1}, Z_{t}^{1}, V_{t}^{1}\right) \leq f^{2}\left(t, Y_{t}^{1}, Z_{t}^{1}, V_{t}^{1}\right) d t \times d P$ a.e. Then $Y_{t}^{1} \leq Y_{t}^{2}$, for $t \in[0,1]$, a.s.

Note that this comparison theorem will be used only in Step 2 of the proof of Theorem 4.1 below.
Now let us introduce the following penalized equation
$Y_{t}^{n}=\xi+\int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right) d s+K_{1}^{n}-K_{t}^{n}-\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s}^{n} d B_{s^{-}} \int_{t}^{1} \int_{U} V_{s}^{n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e), t \leq 1$,
where $K_{t}^{n}=n \int_{0}^{t}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-S_{s}\right)^{-} d s$. Note that this equation has a unique solution (see, for example, Hamadène \& Ouknine [9] or Barles et al [1] or Tang \& Li [18]).
We have the following theorem :
Theorem 4.2. The sequence of processes $\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}, V^{n}\right), n \in N$ has a limit ( $Y, Z, V)$ such that $Y^{n}$ converges to $Y \in \mathcal{S}^{2}$ and $Z$ is the weak (resp. strong) limit in $\mathcal{H}^{2, d}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}^{p, d}, \quad 1 \leq p<2$ ), $K$ is the weak limit of $\left(K_{t}^{n}\right)$ in $L^{2}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ and $V$ is the weak (resp. strong) limit in $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}^{p}, \quad 1 \leq p<2$ ).

Proof. First, let us prove that there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that
$\forall n \geq 0$ and $t \leq 1, \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\int_{0}^{1} d s \int_{U}\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e)+\left(K_{1}^{n}\right)^{2}\right] \leq C$.

By Itô's formula we obtain,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{t}^{n 2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\sum_{t<s \leq 1}\left(\Delta_{s} Y^{n}\right)^{2}=\xi^{2}+2 \int_{] t, 1]} Y_{s}^{n} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right) d s \\
& +2 \int_{j t, 1]} n Y_{s}^{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-S_{s}\right)^{-} d s-2 \int_{] t, 1]} Y_{s-}^{n} Z_{s}^{n} d B_{s}-2 \int_{] t, 1]} Y_{s-}^{n} \int_{U} V_{s}^{n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e), t \leq 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by taking the expectation in both sides and since $\mathbb{E} \sum_{t<s \leq 1}\left(\Delta_{s} Y^{n}\right)^{2}=$ $\mathbb{E} \int_{\mathrm{J} t, 1]} d s \int_{U}\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\int_{] t, 1]} d s \int_{U}\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e)\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\xi^{2}\right]+2 \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{] t, 1]} Y_{s}^{n} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right) d s\right]+2 \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{] t, 1]} n Y_{s}^{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-S_{s}\right)^{-} d s\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\xi^{2}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{] t, 1]}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)^{2} d s\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{] t, 1]}(f(s, 0,0,0))^{2} d s\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[\int _ { ] t , 1 ] } \left[k | Y _ { s } ^ { n } | \left(\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|\right)+\Gamma\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|| | V_{s}^{n} \mid \|\right] d s+\gamma^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq s \leq 1}\left(S_{s}\right)^{2}\right]+\gamma \mathbb{E}\left[\left(K_{1}^{n}-K_{t}^{n}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a universal non-negative real constant. But for any $t \leq 1$ we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\left(K_{1}^{n}-K_{t}^{n}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& \quad \leq C\left\{\mathbb { E } \left[\xi^{2}+\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}+\left(\int_{t}^{1}\left|f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, U_{s}^{n}\right)\right| d s\right)^{2}+\left(\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s}^{n} d B_{s}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\left(\int_{] t, 1]} \int_{U} V_{s}^{n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e)\right)^{2}\right]\right\} \\
& \quad \leq C\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\xi^{2}+\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}+\left(\int_{t}^{1}|f(s, 0,0,0)| d s\right)^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\quad+\int_{] t, 1]} d s \int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}(e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)\right]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a constant. Now plugging this inequality in the previous one yields,
$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\int_{] t, 1]} d s \int_{U}\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e)\right]$
$\leq(1+\gamma C) \mathbb{E}\left[\xi^{2}\right]+\gamma C \mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}\right]+\left(1+k+k \alpha^{2}+\Gamma \beta^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mid t, 1]}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)^{2} d s\right]$
$+(1+\gamma C) \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{] t, 1]}(f(s, 0,0,0))^{2} d s\right]+\gamma^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq s \leq 1}\left(S_{s}\right)^{2}\right]$
$\left.+\left(\gamma C+\frac{k}{\alpha^{2}}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s\right)+\left(\gamma C+\frac{\Gamma}{\beta^{2}}\right) \int_{] t, 1]}^{t \leq s \leq 1} d s \int_{U}\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e)\right], t \leq 1$.
Choosing $\gamma C=1 / 4=\frac{\Gamma}{\beta^{2}}=\frac{k}{\alpha^{2}}$ we obtain
$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{] t, 1]} d s \int_{U}\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e)\right] \leq \widetilde{C}\left(1+\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{1}\left(Y_{s}^{n}\right)^{2} d s\right]\right), t \leq 1$,
where $\widetilde{C}$ is positive real constant. Finally applying Gronwall's inequality we get the desired result for $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}\right]$ and then also for $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s\right]$, $\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{0}^{1} d s \int_{U}\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e)\right]$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[\left(K_{1}^{n}\right)^{2}\right]$.
Second, we prove that there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that for any $n \geq 0$ we have $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}\right] \leq C$.

Indeed for $n \geq 0$, using Itô's formula we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{t}^{n 2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\sum_{t<s \leq 1}\left(\Delta_{s} Y^{n}\right)^{2} \\
& =\xi^{2}+2 \int_{] t, 1]} Y_{s}^{n} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, U_{s}^{n}\right) d s+2 \int_{] t, 1]} n Y_{s}^{n}\left(Y_{s}^{n}-S_{s}\right)^{-} d s  \tag{16}\\
& -2 \int_{J t, 1]} Y_{s-}^{n} Z_{s}^{n} d B_{s}-2 \int_{] t, 1]} Y_{s-}^{n} \int_{U} V_{s}^{n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e), t \leq 1 .
\end{align*}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{t}^{1} Y_{s}^{n} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, U_{s}^{n}\right) d s\right| \\
& \quad \leq(k+k \alpha+\Gamma \beta) \int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\frac{k}{\alpha} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s \\
& \quad+\frac{\Gamma}{\beta} \int_{t}^{1}\left\|V_{s}^{n}\right\|^{2} d s+\int_{t}^{1}\left\{C_{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}+C_{1}^{-1}|f(s, 0,0,0)|^{2}\right\} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\int_{t}^{1} Y_{s}^{n} d K_{s}^{n} \leq C_{2} \sup _{t \leq s \leq 1}\left|S_{s}\right|^{2}+C_{2}^{-1}\left(K_{1}^{n}-K_{t}^{n}\right)^{2}
$$

On the other hand using Burkholder-Davis-Gundy's inequality ([4],p.304) we get,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq s \leq 1}\left|\int_{] s, 1]} Y_{r-}^{n} Z_{r}^{n} d B_{r}\right|\right] \leq C_{3} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq s \leq 1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}\right]+C_{3}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{r}^{n}\right|^{2} d r\right]
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq s \leq 1} \mid \int_{] s, 1]} \int_{U}\right. & \left.Y_{r-}^{n} V_{r}^{n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d r, d e) \mid\right] \\
& \leq C \mathbb{E}\left[\left\{\int_{] t, 1]} d r \int_{U}\left|Y_{r-}^{n} V_{r}^{n}(e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)\right\}^{1 / 2}\right] \\
& \leq C_{4} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq s \leq 1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{C_{4}} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{1} d s \int_{U}\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\alpha, \beta, C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}$ and $C_{4}$ are universal non-negative real constants. Now combining these inequalities with (16) yields,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq s \leq 1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}+\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\int_{] t, 1]} d s \int_{U}\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e)\right] \\
& \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\xi^{2}\right]+2(k+k \alpha+\Gamma \beta) \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\frac{k}{\alpha} \int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{s}^{n}\right|^{2} d s+\frac{\Gamma}{\beta} \int_{t}^{1}\left\|V_{s}^{n}\right\|^{2} d s\right. \\
& +\int_{t}^{1}\left\{C_{1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}+C_{1}^{-1}|f(s, 0,0,0)|^{2}\right\} d s+2 C_{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq s \leq 1}\left|S_{s}\right|^{2}\right] \\
& +2 C_{2}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\left(K_{1}^{n}-K_{t}^{n}\right)^{2}\right]+2 C_{3} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq s \leq 1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}\right]+2 C_{3}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{1}\left|Z_{r}^{n}\right|^{2} d r\right] \\
& +2 C_{4} \mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq s \leq 1}\left|Y_{s}^{n}\right|^{2}\right]+2 C_{4}^{-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{t}^{1} d s \int_{U}\left(V_{s}^{n}(e)\right)^{2} \lambda(d e)\right], \forall t \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally for choosing suitable constants we obtain $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq 1}\left|Y_{t}^{n}\right|^{2}\right] \leq C$.
Now let $Y_{t}=\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_{t}^{n}, t \leq 1$. Since $f_{n}(s, y, z, v)=f(s, y, z, v)+n(y-$ $\left.S_{s}\right)^{-}$satisfies condition (A.2)(iii), it follows from comparison theorem (see Theorem 4.1) that for any $n \geq 0, Y^{n} \leq Y^{n+1}$ then, using Fatou's lemma, $\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}^{1}\right] \leq \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}\right] \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}^{n}\right] \leq C$. It follows that for any $t \leq 1, Y_{t}<\infty$ and then P-a.s., $Y_{t}^{n} \stackrel{n}{\uparrow} Y_{t}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
The proof of Theorem 4.2 will be finished by using Theorem 3.1.

## 5. Existence of the solution for reflected BSDE with jumps

Now we are in position to show the main result of this paper.
Theorem 5.1. The limit $\left(Y_{t}, Z_{t}, K_{t}, V_{t}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ of $\left(Y_{t}^{n}, Z_{t}^{n}, K_{t}, V_{t}^{n}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ is the unique solution of the reflected BSDE with jumps (5).

Proof. The uniqueness result is proved in Section 2. Let us now focus on the existence. We have already proved that $(Y, Z, K, V)$ satisfy $(i)$ and (ii) of equation (5). It remains to prove (iii) and (iv). First observe that for each $n,\left(Y^{n}, Z^{n}, V^{n}\right)$ is the solution of the reflected BSDE with jumps and lower barrier $Y_{t}^{n} \wedge S_{t}$. We get from Hamadène \& Ouknine [10], that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}^{n}=e s s \sup _{v \in \mathcal{T}_{t}} \mathbb{E}\left[\xi 1_{\{v=1\}}+Y_{\nu}^{n} \wedge S_{\nu} 1_{\{v<1\}}+\int_{t}^{v} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{n}, Z_{s}^{n}, V_{s}^{n}\right) d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{t}$ is the set of all stopping times valued between $t$ and 1.
Therefore
$Y_{t}^{n} \leq e s s \sup _{v \in \mathcal{T}_{t}} \mathbb{E}\left[\xi 1_{\{v=1\}}+S_{v} 1_{\{v<1\}}+\int_{t}^{v} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]$
$+\mathbb{E}\left[\left.\int_{0}^{1}\left(k\left|Y_{s}^{n}-Y_{s}\right|+k\left|Z_{s}^{n}-Z_{s}\right|+\Gamma\left(\int_{U}\left|V_{s}^{n}(e)-V_{s}(e)\right|^{2} \lambda(d e)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) d s \right\rvert\, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]$
By the convergence in Theorem 3.1, we can choose a subsequence such that the last term converges to 0 , a.s. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t} \leq e s s \sup _{v \in \mathcal{I}_{t}} \mathbb{E}\left[\xi 1_{\{v=1\}}+S_{v} 1_{\{v<1\}}+\int_{t}^{v} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from Hamadène \& Ouknine [9], we deduce that for every stopping time $\tau \leq 1, Y_{\tau} \geq S_{\tau} 1_{\{\tau<1\}}+\xi 1_{\{\tau=1\}}$. From that and the section theorem (see [4]), we deduce that, $Y_{t} \geq S_{t} 1_{\{t<1\}}+\xi 1_{\{t=1\}}, \forall t \leq 1 P-$ a.s. Moreover, since $Y_{t}+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s$ is a supermartingale then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t} \geq e s s \sup _{v \in \mathcal{T}_{t}} \mathbb{E}\left[\xi 1_{\{v=1\}}+S_{v} 1_{\{v<1\}}+\int_{t}^{v} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (18) and (19) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=e s s \sup _{v \in \mathcal{I}_{t}} \mathbb{E}\left[\xi 1_{\{v=1\}}+S_{v} 1_{\{v<1\}}+\int_{t}^{v} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\eta:=\left(\eta_{t}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ be the process defined as follows :

$$
\eta_{t}=\xi 1_{\{t=1\}}+S_{t} 1_{\{t<1\}}+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s-\mathbb{E}\left[\xi+\int_{0}^{1} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s \mid \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]
$$

such that $\eta_{1}=0$. Observe that $\eta$ is rcll. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1}\left|\eta_{t}\right| \in L^{2}(\Omega) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Snell envelope of $\eta$ is the smallest $r c l l$ supermartingale which dominates the process $\eta$, it is given by :

$$
\mathcal{S}_{t}(\eta)=e s s \sup _{\nu \in \mathcal{T}_{t}} \mathbb{E}\left[\eta_{\nu} \mid F_{t}\right] .
$$

Now, by assumptions (A.1) and (A.2), we have $\mathbb{E}\left[\sup _{t \leq 1}\left|\mathcal{S}_{t}\right|^{2}\right]<\infty$ and then $\left(\mathcal{S}_{t}(\eta)\right)_{t \leq 1}$ is of class [D], i.e. the set of random variables $\left\{\mathcal{S}_{\tau}(\eta), \tau \in\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{T}_{0}\right\}$ is uniformly integrable. Henceforth it has the following Doob-Meyer decomposition

$$
\mathcal{S}_{t}(\eta)=Y_{t}-\mathbb{E}\left[\xi+\int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s \mid F_{t}\right]=M_{t}^{1}+K_{t}^{1}
$$

where $M^{1}$ is an $\mathcal{F}_{t}$ martingale and $\left(K^{1}=K^{1, c}+K^{1, d}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ is a predictable rcll non-decreasing process such that $\mathbb{E}\left(K_{1}^{1}\right)^{2}<\infty$ and $K_{0}^{1}=0$. Through the representation theorem of martingales with respect to $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \leq 1}$, applied to the martingale

$$
M_{t}^{1}+\mathbb{E}\left[\xi+\int_{0}^{1} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s \mid F_{t}\right]
$$

there exist two processes $Z^{1}=\left(Z_{t}^{1}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ and $V^{1}=\left(V_{t}^{1}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ which belong respectively to $H^{2, d}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{2}$ such that,
$Y_{t}=Y_{0}-\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, Y_{s}, Z_{s}, V_{s}\right) d s+\int_{0}^{t} Z_{s}^{1} d W_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{U} V_{s}^{1}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e)-K_{t}^{1}$.
By identification with (ii) we see that $K^{1}=K, Z^{1}=Z$ and $V^{1}=V$.
Now let us show that $\int_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{t^{-}}-S_{t^{-}}\right) d K_{t}=0$, a.s.. We have $\mathcal{S}_{t}(\eta)=M_{t}^{1}-$ $K_{t}^{1, c}-K_{t}^{1, d}$. Since the filtration is generated by a Brownian motion and an independent Poisson measure, the jumping times of $\left(M_{t}^{1}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ are those of the poisson part and then there are inaccessible. Therefore, when $K^{1, d}$ jumps, the process $S$ has the same jump. Then $\left\{\Delta K^{1, d}>0\right\} \subset\left\{S_{-}(\eta)=\eta_{-}\right\}$and $\Delta_{t} K^{1, d}=\left(\eta_{t^{-}}-S_{t}(\eta)\right)^{+} 1_{\left\{\eta_{t^{-}}=S_{t^{-}}(\eta)\right\}}$. Henceforth $\int_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{t^{-}}-S_{t^{-}}\right) d K_{t}^{1, d}=0$. Now, since the supermartingale $\left(S_{t}(\eta)+K_{t}^{d}\right)_{t \leq 1}$ is regular, i.e. ${ }^{p}(S(\eta)+$ $\left.K^{d}\right)=S_{-}(\eta)+K_{-}^{d}=\left(S(\eta)+K^{d}\right)_{-}$, where ${ }^{p}\left(S(\eta)+K^{d}\right)$ denote the predictable projection of $\left(S(\eta)+K^{d}\right)$, using the same argument as in Lepeltier $\& \mathrm{Xu}[12]$ (see also [7]) we have also $\int_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{t^{-}}-S_{t^{-}}\right) d K_{t}^{1, c}=0$.

Finally

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{t^{-}}-S_{t^{-}}\right) d K_{t}=0
$$

The process $(Y, Z, K, V)$ is then the solution of our reflected BSDE with jumps.

Now, let us give a comparison theorem for reflected BSDE with jumps. Let $\left(Y^{i}, Z^{i}, K^{i}, V^{i}\right)(i=1,2)$ be two solutions of our equation with jumps (5) associated respectively with $\left(\xi^{1}, f^{1}\right)$ and $\left(\xi^{2}, f^{2}\right)$, then we have the following
Theorem 5.2. Assume that (A.1), (A.2) and (A.3) are fulfilled for $\xi^{1}$, $\xi^{2}, f^{1}, f^{2}$ and $S, \xi^{1} \leq \xi^{2}$ a.s. and $f^{1}\left(t, Y_{t}^{1}, Z_{t}^{1}, V_{t}^{1}\right) \leq f^{2}\left(t, Y_{t}^{1}, Z_{t}^{1}, V_{t}^{1}\right) d t \times$ $d P$ a.e. Then $Y_{t}^{1} \leq Y_{t}^{2}$, for $t \in[0,1]$, a.s.

Proof. Consider the two penalized equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{t}^{1, n}=\xi^{1} & +\int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{1, n}, Z_{s}^{1, n}, V_{s}^{1, n}\right) d s+K_{1}^{1, n}-K_{t}^{1, n}- \\
& -\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s}^{1, n} d B_{s}-\int_{t}^{1} \int_{U} V_{s}^{1, n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e) \\
Y_{t}^{2, n}=\xi^{2} & +\int_{t}^{1} f\left(s, Y_{s}^{2, n}, Z_{s}^{2, n}, V_{s}^{2, n}\right) d s+K_{2}^{1, n}-K_{t}^{2, n}- \\
& -\int_{t}^{1} Z_{s}^{2, n} d B_{s}-\int_{t}^{1} \int_{U} V_{s}^{2, n}(e) \tilde{\mu}(d s, d e)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{t}^{1, n}=n \int_{0}^{t}\left(Y_{s}^{1, n}-S_{s}\right)^{-} d s$ and $K_{t}^{2, n}=n \int_{0}^{t}\left(Y_{s}^{2, n}-S_{s}\right)^{-} d s$. Since $f_{n}^{1}(s, y, z, v)=f^{1}(s, y, z, v)+n\left(y-S_{s}\right)^{-}$and $f_{n}^{2}(s, y, z, v)=f^{2}(s, y, z, v)+$ $n\left(y-S_{s}\right)^{-}$satisfy condition (A.2), $f_{n}^{1}(s, y, z, v) \leq f_{n}^{2}(s, y, z, v)$ and $\xi^{1} \leq \xi^{2}$, then by Theorem 4.1 we get $Y_{t}^{1, n} \leq Y_{t}^{2, n}$, for $t \in[0,1]$. Passing to the limit, by Theorem 3.1 we have that $Y_{t}^{1} \leq Y_{t}^{2}$, for $t \in[0,1]$, a.s.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thanks the "Centre de Recerca Matemàtica" for their extraordinary hospitality and facilities for doing this work.

## References

[1] G. Barles, R. Buckdahn, E. Pardoux, BSDEs and integral-partial differential equations, Stochastics, 60, 57-83, (1997).
[2] R. Buckdahn, Y. Hu, Probabilistic approach to homogenizations of systems of quasilinear parabolic PDEs with periodic structures. Nonlinear Anal., 32, no. 5, 609-619, (1998).
[3] R. Buckdahn, Y. Hu, S. Peng, Probabilistic approach to homogenization of viscosity solutions of parabolic PDEs. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 6, no. 4, 395411, (1999).
[4] C. Dellacherie, P. A. Meyer, Probabilités et Potentiel, Chap. V-VIII, Hermann, Paris, (1980).
[5] N. El Karoui, S. Peng and M. C. Quenez, Backward stochastic differential equations in finance. Mathematical Finance, 7, 1-71, (1997).
[6] N. El-Karoui, C. Kapoudjian, E. Pardoux, S. Peng, M.C. Quenez, Reflected solutions of backward SDE's and related obstacle problems for PDE's, Annals of Probability, 25, 2, pp. 702-737, (1997).
[7] S, Hamadène, Reflectd BSDE's with discountinous barrier and application, Stochastics and Stochastics reports, 74, 3-4, 571-596, (2002).
[8] S, Hamadène, J.P, Lepeltier, Zero-sum stochastic differential games and BSDEs, Systems and control letteres, 24, 259-263, (1995).
[9] S. Hamadène, Y. Ouknine Reflected backward stochastic differential equation with jumps and random obstacle, EJP, 8 pp. 1-20, (2003).
[10] S. Hamadène, Y. Ouknine Reflected backward SDEs with general jumps. Preprint, Université du Maine, (2006).
[11] N. Ikeda, S. Watanabe: Stochastic differential equations and diffusion processes, North Holland/Kodansha, (1981).
[12] J. P. Lepeltier, M. Xu: Penalization method for reflected backward stochastic differential equations with one r.c.l.l berrier, Statistics and Probability Letters, 75, Issue 1, pp. 58-66, (2005).
[13] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, Adapted solutions of backward stochastic differential Equations, Systems and Control Letters 14, pp. 51-61, (1990).
[14] E. Pardoux, S. Peng, Backward stochastic differential equations and quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations. Stochastic partial differential equations and their applications (Charlotte, NC, 1991), Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci., 176, 200-217, Springer, Berlin, (1992).
[15] S. Peng, Monotonic limit theorem of BSDE and nonlinear decomposition theorem of Doob-Meyer's type, Proba. Theory Relat. Fields, 113, pp. 473-499, (1999).
[16] M. Royer, Backward stochastic diffrential equations with jumps and related non linear expectations. Accepted in Stochastic Processes and their Applications.
[17] P. Protter, Stochastic ntegration and differential equations, Springer-Verlag. Berlin, (1990).
[18] S. Tang and X. Li: Necessary condition for optimal control of stochastic systems with random jumps, SIAM JCO, 332, pp. 1447-1475, (1994).

## E. H. Essaky

Université Cadi Ayyad,
Faculté Poly-Disciplinaire,
Département de Mathématiques et d'Informatique,
B.P 4162, Safi, Morocco.

E-mail: essaky@ucam.ac.ma


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Supported by Ministerio de Educacion y Ciencia, grant number SB2003-0117.
    Current adress : Centre de Recerca Matemàtica Apartat 50 E-08193 Bellaterra, Barcalona.

    1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 60H10, 60H20.
    Key words and phrases. Reflected backward stochastic differential equation; Poisson point process; Martingale representation theorem; Penalization; Monotonic limit theorem.

