

# PERIODIC SOLUTIONS FOR NONAUTONOMOUS SECOND ORDER DIFFERENTIAL INCLUSIONS SYSTEMS WITH $p$ -LAPLACIAN

DANIEL PAȘCA

ABSTRACT. Using the nonsmooth variant of minimax point theorems, some existence results are obtained for periodic solutions of nonautonomous second-order differential inclusions systems with  $p$ -Laplacian.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Consider the second order system

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \ddot{u}(t) &= \nabla F(t, u(t)) \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) - u(T) &= \dot{u}(0) - \dot{u}(T) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $T > 0$ ,  $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the following assumption:

(A)  $F(t, x)$  is measurable in  $t$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and continuously differentiable in  $x$  for a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ , and there exist  $a \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $b \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that

$$|F(t, x)| + \|\nabla F(t, x)\| \leq a(\|x\|)b(t),$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Suppose that the nonlinearity  $\nabla F(t, x)$  is bounded, that is, there exists  $g \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that

$$\|\nabla F(t, x)\| \leq g(t),$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ . In [3] the authors proved the existence of solutions for problem (1) under the condition that

$$\int_0^T F(t, x)dt \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|x\| \rightarrow \infty,$$

or that

$$\int_0^T F(t, x)dt \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \|x\| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Tang in [5] proved the existence of solutions for problem (1) under more general conditions. He supposes that assumption (A) holds, that

$$\|\nabla F(t, x)\| \leq f(t)\|x\|^\alpha + g(t),$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34C25, 49J24.

*Key words and phrases.*  $p$ -Laplacian, differential inclusions, the (PS) condition, periodic solutions, Rabinowitz's saddle point theorem.

<sup>†</sup> The author was supported by Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, grant number SB2003-0337.

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a.e.  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $f, g \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  and

$$\|x\|^{-2\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|x\| \rightarrow \infty,$$

or that

$$\|x\|^{-2\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \|x\| \rightarrow \infty.$$

In order to prove the above results, Mawhin-Willem and Tang apply the classical (smooth) variant of minimax methods. In [4] we have considered the following problem which is a generalization of problem (1)

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \ddot{u}(t) &\in \partial F(t, u(t)) \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) - u(T) &= \dot{u}(0) - \dot{u}(T) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $T > 0$ ,  $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\partial$  denotes the Clarke subdifferential (see [2]) and  $F(t, x)$  is measurable in  $t$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and locally Lipschitz and regular (see [2]) in  $x$  for each  $t \in [0, T]$ . Under some additional assumptions (see [4]) on  $F$  and  $\partial F$  we proved the existence of solutions for problem (2).

The aim of this paper is to consider the problem (2) in a more general sense. More exactly our results represent the extensions to systems with  $p$ -Laplacian.

Consider the second order differential inclusions system

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} (|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)) &\in \partial F(t, u(t)) \text{ a.e. } t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) &= u(T), \dot{u}(0) = \dot{u}(T), \end{aligned}$$

where  $p > 1$ ,  $T > 0$ ,  $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\partial$  denotes the Clarke subdifferential.

The corresponding functional  $\varphi(u) : W_T^{1,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is given by

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |\dot{u}(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt.$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(t, x)$  is measurable in  $t$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and regular in  $x$  for each  $t \in [0, T]$ . We suppose that exist  $k \in L^q(0, T; \mathbb{R})$  such that*

$$(4) \quad |F(t, x_1) - F(t, x_2)| \leq k(t) \|x_1 - x_2\|$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and all  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If there exist  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$(5) \quad \zeta_1 \in \partial F(t, x) \Rightarrow \|\zeta_1\| \leq c_1 \|x\|^\alpha + c_2$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and if for  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$

$$(6) \quad \|x\|^{-q\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } \|x\| \rightarrow \infty$$

then problem (3) has at least one solution which minimizes the functional  $\varphi$  on  $W_T^{1,p}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(t, x)$  is measurable in  $t$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and locally Lipschitz and regular in  $x$  for each  $t \in [0, T]$ . We suppose that exist  $a \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $b \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}_+)$  such that

$$(7) \quad \|F(t, x)\| \leq a(\|x\|)b(t)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If there exist  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  and  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that

$$\zeta_1 \in \partial F(t, x) \Rightarrow \|\zeta_1\| \leq c_1 \|x\|^\alpha + c_2$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and if for  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$

$$(8) \quad \|x\|^{-q\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \|x\| \rightarrow \infty$$

then problem (3) has at least one solution on  $W_T^{1,p}$ .

**Remark 1.** Theorems 1 and 2 generalizes the corresponding Theorems 1 and 2 of [4]. In fact, it follows from these theorems letting  $p = 2$ .

### 3. THE PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We introduce some functional spaces. Let  $T$  a positive real number and  $1 < p < \infty$ . We denote by  $W_T^{1,p}$  the Sobolev space of functions  $u \in L^p(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$  having a weak derivative  $\dot{u} \in L^p(0, T; \mathbb{R}^n)$ . The norm over  $X$  is defined by

$$\|u\|_{W_T^{1,p}} = \left( \int_0^T \|u(t)\|^p dt + \int_0^T \|\dot{u}(t)\|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We recall that

$$\|u\|_{L^p} = \left( \int_0^T \|u(t)\|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ and } \|u\|_\infty = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|.$$

For our aims it is necessary to recall some very well know results (for proof and details see [3]).

**Proposition 3.** If  $u \in W_T^{1,p}$  then

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq c \|u\|_{W_T^{1,p}}.$$

If  $u \in W_T^{1,p}$  and  $\int_0^T u(t) dt = 0$  then

$$\|u\|_\infty \leq c \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} \text{ (Sobolev inequality),}$$

$$\|u\|_{L^p} \leq c \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} \text{ (Wirtinger's inequality).}$$

**Proposition 4.** If the sequence  $(u_k)_k$  converges weakly to  $u$  in  $W_T^{1,p}$ , then  $(u_k)_k$  converges uniformly to  $u$  on  $[0, T]$ .

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Now follows [2], for each  $x, v \in X$ , we define the *generalized directional derivative* at  $x$  in the direction  $v$  of a given  $f \in Lip_{loc}(X, \mathbb{R})$  as

$$f^0(x; v) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow x, \lambda \searrow 0} \frac{f(y + \lambda v) - f(y)}{\lambda}$$

and we denote by

$$\partial f(x) = \{x^* \in X^* : f^0(x; v) \geq \langle x^*, v \rangle, \text{ for all } v \in X\}$$

the *generalized gradient* of  $f$  at  $x$  (the Clarke subdifferential).

We recall the *Lebourg's mean value theorem* (see [2], Theorem 2.3.7).

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $x$  and  $y$  be points in  $X$ , and suppose that  $f$  is Lipschitz on open set containing the line segment  $[x, y]$ . Then there exists a point  $u$  in  $(x, y)$  such that*

$$f(y) - f(x) \in \langle \partial f(u), y - x \rangle.$$

Clarke consider in [2] the following abstract framework:

- let  $(T, \mathcal{T}, \mu)$  be a positive complete measure space with  $\mu(T) < \infty$ , and let  $Y$  be a separable Banach space;
- let  $Z$  be a closed subspace of  $L^p(T; Y)$  (for some  $p$  in  $[1, \infty)$ ), where  $L^p(T; Y)$  is the space of  $p$ -integrable functions from  $T$  to  $Y$ ;
- define a functional  $f$  on  $Z$  via

$$f(x) = \int_T f_t(x(t)) \mu(dt),$$

where  $f_t : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(t \in T)$  is a given family of functions;

- suppose that for each  $y$  in  $Y$  the function  $t \rightarrow f_t(y)$  is measurable, and that  $x$  is a point at which  $f(x)$  is defined (finitely).

*Hypothesis 1:* There is a function  $k$  in  $L^q(T, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1\right)$  such that, for all  $t \in T$ ,

$$|f_t(y_1) - f_t(y_2)| \leq k(t) \|y_1 - y_2\|_Y \text{ for all } y_1, y_2 \in Y$$

*Hypothesis 2:* Each function  $f_t$  is Lipschitz (of some rank) near each point of  $Y$ , and for some constant  $c$ , for all  $t \in T$ ,  $y \in Y$ , one has

$$\zeta \in \partial f_t(y) \Rightarrow \|\zeta\|_{Y^*} \leq c\{1 + \|y\|_Y^{p-1}\}.$$

Under this conditions described above Clarke prove (see [2], Theorem 2.7.5):

**Theorem 6.** *Under the conditions described above, under either of Hypothesis 1 or 2,  $f$  is uniformly Lipschitz on bounded subsets of  $Z$ , and one has*

$$\partial f(x) \subset \int_T \partial f_t(x(t)) \mu(dt).$$

*Further, if each  $f_t$  is regular at  $x(t)$  then  $f$  is regular at  $x$  and equality holds.*

**Remark 2.**  *$f$  is globally Lipschitz on  $Z$  when Hypothesis 1 hold.*

Now we can prove the following result.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $F : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F(t, x)$  is measurable in  $t$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and locally Lipschitz and regular in  $x$  for each  $t \in [0, T]$ , and there exist  $a \in C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $b \in L^1(0, T; \mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  and  $\alpha \in [0, p-1)$  such that*

$$(9) \quad |F(t, x)| \leq a(\|x\|)b(t),$$

$$(10) \quad \zeta_1 \in \partial F(t, x) \Rightarrow \|\zeta_1\| \leq c_1 \|x\|^\alpha + c_2,$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We suppose that  $L : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , is given by  $L(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{p}\|y\|^p - F(t, x)$ .

Then, the functional  $f : Z \in \mathbb{R}$ , where

$$Z = \left\{ (u, v) \in L^p(0, T; Y) : u(t) = \int_0^t v(s)ds + c, c \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

given by  $f(u, v) = \int_0^T L(t, u(t), v(t))dt$ , is uniformly Lipschitz on bounded subsets of  $Z$  and one has

$$(11) \quad \partial f(u, v) \subset \int_0^T \partial L(t, u(t), v(t))dt.$$

*Proof.* We can apply Theorem 6 under Hypothesis 2, with the following cast of characters:

- $(T, \mathcal{T}, \mu) = [0, T]$  with Lebesgue measure,  $Y = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  be the Hilbert product space (hence is separable);
- $p > 1$  and

$$Z = \left\{ (u, v) \in L^p(0, T; Y) : u(t) = \int_0^t v(s)ds + c, c \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}$$

be a closed subspace of  $L^p(0, T; Y)$ ;

- $f_t(x, y) = L(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{p}\|y\|^p + F(t, x)$ ; in our assumptions it results that the integrand  $L(t, x, y)$  is measurable in  $t$  for a given element  $(x, y)$  of  $Y$ , locally Lipschitz in  $(x, y)$  for each  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Proposition 2.3.15 from [2] implies

$$\partial L(t, x, y) \subset \partial_x L(t, x, y) \times \partial_y L(t, x, y) = \partial\{F(t, x)\} \times \{\|y\|^{p-2}y\}.$$

Using (3) and (4), if  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in \partial L(t, x, y)$  it results  $\zeta_1 \in \partial\{F(t, x)\}$  and  $\zeta_2 = \|y\|^{p-2}y$ , and hence

$$\|\zeta\| = \|\zeta_1\| + \|\zeta_2\| \leq c_1\|x\|^\alpha + c_2 + \|y\|^{p-1} \leq \tilde{c}\{1 + \|(x, y)\|^{p-1}\}$$

for each  $t \in [0, T]$ , since  $\alpha < p - 1$  and  $p > 1$ . The hypotheses of Theorem 6 are satisfied, therefore  $f$  is uniformly Lipschitz on the bounded subsets of  $Z$  and one has (11).  $\square$

**Remark 3.** *The interpretation of expression (11) is as follows: if  $(u_0, v_0)$  is an element of  $Z$  (so that  $v_0 = \dot{u}_0$ ) and if  $\zeta \in \partial f(u_0, v_0)$ , we deduce the existence of a measurable function  $(q(t), p(t))$  such that*

$$(12) \quad q(t) \in \partial\{F(t, u_0(t))\} \text{ and } p(t) = \|v_0(t)\|^{p-2}v_0(t) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T]$$

and for any  $(u, v)$  in  $Z$ , one has

$$\langle \zeta, (u, v) \rangle = \int_0^T \{\langle q(t), u(t) \rangle + \langle p(t), v(t) \rangle\} dt.$$

In particular, if  $\zeta = 0$  (so that  $u_0$  is critical point for  $\varphi(u) = \int_0^T \left[ \frac{1}{p}\|\dot{u}(t)\|^p + \right.$

$F(t, u(t)) \Big] dt$ ), it then follows easily that  $q(t) = \dot{p}(t)$  a.e., or taking into account (12)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \|\dot{u}_0(t)\|^{p-2} \dot{u}_0(t) \right) \in \partial F(t, u_0(t)) \text{ a.e. on } [0, T],$$

so that  $u_0$  satisfies the inclusions system (3).

**Remark 4.** If  $p = 2$  then the system (3) becomes system (2). If in addition  $F$  is continuously differentiable in  $x$ , then the system (3) becomes system (1).

In proving Theorem 2 we will invoke the following nonsmooth variant of the Rabinowitz's saddle point theorem (see [1], Theorem 3.3):

**Theorem 8.** Let  $X$  be a real Banach space, and let  $f$  be a locally Lipschitz function defined on  $X$  satisfies (PS) condition. Suppose  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$  with a finite-dimensional subspace  $X_1$ , and there exist constants  $b_1 < b_2$  and a bounded neighborhood  $N$  of  $\theta$  in  $X_1$  such that

$$f|_{X_2} \geq b_2, \quad f|_{\partial N} \leq b_1,$$

then  $f$  has a critical point.

The definitions of a critical point and the Palais-Smale condition are now recalled.

**Definition 1.** A point  $u \in X$  is said to be a critical point of  $f \in Lip_{loc}(X, \mathbb{R})$  if  $\theta \in \partial f(u)$ , namely  $f^0(u, v) \geq 0$  for every  $v \in X$ . A real number  $c$  is called a critical value of  $f$  if there is a critical point  $u \in X$  such that  $f(u) = c$ .

**Definition 2.** If  $f \in Lip_{loc}(X, \mathbb{R})$ , we say that  $f$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition (in short (PS)) if each sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  such that  $(f(x_n))$  is bounded and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda(x_n) = 0$  has a convergent subsequence. We denote  $\lambda(x) = \min_{x^* \in \partial f(x)} \|x^*\|$ .

#### 4. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

**4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.** For  $u \in W_T^{1,p}$ , let  $\bar{u} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u(t) dt$  and  $\tilde{u} = u - \bar{u}$ . From Lebourg's mean value theorem it follows that for each  $t \in [0, T]$  there exist  $z(t)$  in  $(\bar{u}, u(t))$  and  $\zeta \in \partial F(t, z(t))$  such that  $F(t, u(t)) - F(t, \bar{u}) = \langle \zeta, \tilde{u}(t) \rangle$ . It follows from (5) and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T [F(t, u(t)) - F(t, \bar{u})] dt \right| &\leq \int_0^T |F(t, u(t)) - F(t, \bar{u})| dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^T |\zeta| |\tilde{u}(t)| dt \leq \int_0^T \left[ 2c_1 (|\bar{u}|^\alpha + |\tilde{u}(t)|^\alpha) + c_2 \right] |\tilde{u}(t)| dt \leq \\ &\leq C_1 \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^{\alpha+1} + C_2 \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \|\bar{u}\|^\alpha + C_3 \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \leq \\ &\leq C_4 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} + \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + C_5 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} + C_6 \|\bar{u}\|^{q\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u \in W_T^{1,p}$  and some positive constants  $C_4, C_5$  and  $C_6$ . Hence we have

$$\varphi(u) \geq \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |\dot{u}(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}) dt + \int_0^T [F(t, u(t)) - F(t, \bar{u})] dt \geq$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p - C_4 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - C_5 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} - C_6 \|\bar{u}\|^{q\alpha} + \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}) dt \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p - C_4 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - C_5 \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p} + \|\bar{u}\|^{q\alpha} \left\{ \frac{1}{\|\bar{u}\|^{q\alpha}} \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}) dt - C_6 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $u \in W_T^{1,p}$ , which implies that  $\varphi(u) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$  by (6) because  $\alpha < p - 1$ , and the norm  $\|u\| = (\|\bar{u}\|^p + \|\dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  is an equivalent norm on  $W_T^{1,p}$ . Now we write  $\varphi(u) = \varphi_1(u) + \varphi_2(u)$  where

$$\varphi_1(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |\dot{u}(t)|^p dt \text{ and } \varphi_2(u) = \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt.$$

The function  $\varphi_1$  is weakly lower semi-continuous (w.l.s.c.) on  $W_T^{1,p}$ . From (4), (5) and Theorem 7, taking to account Remark 2 and Proposition 4, it follows that  $\varphi_2$  is w.l.s.c. on  $W_T^{1,p}$ . By Theorem 1.1 in [3] it follows that  $\varphi$  has a minimum  $u_0$  on  $W_T^{1,p}$ . Evidently  $Z \simeq W_T^{1,p}$  and  $\varphi(u) = f(u, v)$  for all  $(u, v) \in Z$ . From Theorem 7, it results that  $f$  is uniformly Lipschitz on bounded subsets of  $Z$ , and therefore  $\varphi$  possesses the same properties relative to  $W_T^{1,p}$ . Proposition 2.3.2 in [2] implies that  $0 \in \partial\varphi(u_0)$  (so that  $u_0$  is critical point for  $\varphi$ ). Now from Theorem 7 and Remark 3 it follows that the problem (3) has at least one solution  $u \in W_T^{1,p}$ . ■

**Remark 5.** Evidently if  $p = 2$  then we obtain the existence of solutions of problem (2). If in addition  $F$  is continuously differentiable in  $x$ , then we obtain the existence of solutions of problem (2).

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.** We will see that the functional

$$\varphi(u) : W_T^{1,p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \varphi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |\dot{u}(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T F(t, u(t)) dt.$$

verify the assumptions of Theorem 8. Evidently  $Z \simeq W_T^{1,p}$  and  $\varphi(u) = f(u, v)$  for all  $(u, v) \in Z$ . From Theorem 7, it results that  $f$  is uniformly Lipschitz on bounded subsets of  $Z$  and regular at each  $(u, v) \in Z$ , and therefore  $\varphi$  possesses the same properties relative to  $W_T^{1,p}$ . The functional  $\varphi$  is neither bounded from below, nor from above. Indeed, if  $w \in W_T^{1,p}$  is a constant function, then

$$\varphi(w) = \int_0^T F(t, w) dt = \|w\|^{q\alpha} \left( \|w\|^{-q\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, w) dt \right) \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } \|w\| \rightarrow \infty$$

and, if  $v \in W_T^{1,p}$  has mean zero, by the proof of Theorem 1 one has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(v) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |\dot{v}(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T F(t, 0) dt + \int_0^T [F(t, v(t)) - F(t, 0)] dt = \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |\dot{v}(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T F(t, 0) dt + \int_0^T \langle \zeta_1, v(t) \rangle dt \geq \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{v}\|_{L^p}^p - C_4 \|\dot{v}\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - C_5 \|\dot{v}\|_{L^p} + \int_0^T F(t, 0) dt \end{aligned}$$

where we applied the Lebourg's mean value theorem and Sobolev inequality, and where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are positive constants, so that  $\varphi$  is not bounded from above. We denote

$$X_1 = \{w \in W_T^{1,p} : w = \text{constant}\}$$

and

$$X_2 = \left\{v \in W_T^{1,p} : \int_0^T v(t) dt = 0\right\}.$$

Evidently  $W_T^{1,p} = X_1 \oplus X_2$  with  $\dim X_1 < \infty$ . From the above observations, we see that there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{S_R} \varphi < \inf_{X_2} \varphi$$

where  $S_R = \{w \in X_1 : \|w\|_{W_T^{1,p}} = R\}$ .

We shall show that  $\varphi$  satisfies the *(PS)* condition. Let  $(u_k)$  be a sequence in  $W_T^{1,p}$  such that  $\varphi(u_k)$  is bounded and  $\lambda(u_k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Writing  $u_k(t) = \tilde{u}_k(t) + \bar{u}_k$  with  $\bar{u}_k = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u_k(t) dt$ , and using the definition of  $\lambda(u_k)$  it results that there is some  $k_0$  such that for each  $k \geq k_0$  there exist  $u_k^* \in \partial\varphi(u_k)$  with

$$|\langle u_k^*, h \rangle| \leq \|h\|_{W_T^{1,p}}, \quad \text{for all } h \in W_T^{1,p}.$$

From Theorem 7, if  $u_k^* \in \partial\varphi(u_k)$  it results that there exist  $q_k(t) \in \partial F(t, u_k(t))$  such that

$$|\langle u_k^*, \tilde{u}_k \rangle| = \left| \int_0^T [\|\dot{u}_k(t)\|^p + \langle q_k(t), \tilde{u}_k(t) \rangle] dt \right| \leq \|\tilde{u}_k\|_{W_T^{1,p}}, \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0.$$

In similar way to the proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$\left| \int_0^T \langle q_k(t), \tilde{u}_k(t) \rangle dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p + C_4 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} + C_5 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p} + C_6 \|\bar{u}_k\|^{q\alpha}$$

for all  $k$ . Hence one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_k\|_{W_T^{1,p}} &\geq \langle u_k^*, \tilde{u}_k \rangle = \int_0^T [\|\dot{u}_k(t)\|^p + \langle q_k(t), \tilde{u}_k(t) \rangle] dt \geq \\ &\geq \frac{2p-1}{2p} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p - C_4 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - C_5 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p} - C_6 \|\bar{u}_k\|^{q\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

for  $k \geq k_0$ . It follows from Wirtinger's inequality that

$$\|\tilde{u}_k\|_{W_T^{1,p}} \leq (1+c)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}$$

for all  $k$ . Hence we obtain

$$(1+c)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p} \geq \frac{2p-1}{2p} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p - C_4 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - C_5 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p} - C_6 \|\bar{u}_k\|^{q\alpha}$$

for  $k \geq k_0$ , and it follows that

$$C_6 \|\bar{u}_k\|^{q\alpha} \geq \frac{2p-1}{2p} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p - C_4 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} - [(1+c)^{\frac{1}{p}} + C_5] \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}$$

or

$$(13) \quad C_7 \|\bar{u}_k\|^{q\alpha} \geq \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p$$



for some  $C_7 > 0$  and for  $k \geq k_0$ . By the proof of Theorem 1 we have

$$\left| \int_0^T [F(t, u_k(t)) - F(t, \bar{u}_k)] dt \right| \leq \frac{1}{2p} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p + C_4 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} + C_5 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p} + C_6 \|\bar{u}_k\|^{q\alpha}$$

for all  $k$ . It follows from the boundedness of  $(\varphi(u_k))$ , (13) and the above inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} C_8 \leq \varphi(u_k) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_0^T |\dot{u}_k(t)|^p dt + \int_0^T [F(t, u_k(t)) - F(t, \bar{u}_k)] dt + \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}_k) dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{2p-1}{2p} \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^p + C_4 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p}^{\alpha+1} + C_5 \|\dot{u}_k\|_{L^p} + C_6 \|\bar{u}_k\|^{q\alpha} + \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}_k) dt \leq \\ &\leq \|\bar{u}_k\|^{q\alpha} \left( \|\bar{u}_k\|^{-q\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, \bar{u}_k) dt + C_9 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for  $k \geq k_0$  and some positive constants  $C_8$  and  $C_9$ . The above inequality and (8) implies that  $(\|\bar{u}_k\|)$  is bounded. Hence  $(u_k)$  is bounded by (13). Thus  $(u_k)$  is bounded in  $W_T^{1,p}$  and hence contains a subsequence, relabeled  $(u_k)$ , which converge to some  $u \in W_T^{1,p}$ , weakly in  $W_T^{1,p}$  and strongly in  $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)$  (see Proposition 4). Therefore we have for  $u_k^* \in \partial\varphi(u_k)$  and  $u^* \in \partial\varphi(u)$

$$\langle u_k^* - u^*, u_k - u \rangle \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u_k^* - u^*, u_k - u \rangle &= \int_0^T \left[ \langle q_k(t) - q(t), u_k(t) - u(t) \rangle + \|\dot{u}_k(t) - \dot{u}(t)\|^p \right] dt = \\ &= \|\dot{u}_k - \dot{u}\|_{L^p}^p + \int_0^T \langle q_k(t) - q(t), u_k(t) - u(t) \rangle dt \end{aligned}$$

where  $q_k(t) \in \partial F(t, u_k(t))$  and  $q(t) \in \partial F(t, u(t))$ . It is easy to verify, that  $\|\dot{u}_k - \dot{u}\|_{L^p} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence  $u_k \rightarrow u$  in  $W_T^{1,p}$ . We conclude that  $(PS)$  is satisfied and from Theorem 8,  $\varphi$  admits a critical point. Now from Theorem 7 and Remark 3 it follows that the problem (3) has at least one solution  $u \in W_T^{1,p}$ . ■

**Remark 6.** Evidently if  $p = 2$  then we obtain the existence of solutions of problem (2). If in addition  $F$  is continuously differentiable in  $x$ , then we obtain the existence of solutions of problem (2).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks Centre de Recerca Matemàtica for the hospitality and facilities for doing this work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Kung-Ching Chang - *Variational Methods for Non-Differentiable Functionals and Their Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 80 (1981), 102–129.
- [2] F.H. Clarke - *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*, SIAM, Classics in Applied Mathematics vol.5, Philadelphia, 1990.
- [3] J. Mawhin and M. Willem - *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1989.
- [4] Daniel Pașca - *Periodic Solutions for Second Order Differential Inclusions with Sublinear Nonlinearity*, PanAmerican Mathematical Journal, vol. 10, nr. 4 (2000) 35–45.
- [5] Chun-Lei Tang - *Periodic Solutions for Nonautonomous Second Order Systems with Sublinear Nonlinearity*, Proc. AMS, vol. 126, nr. 11 (1998), 3263–3270.

PERMANENT ADDRESS: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ORADEA, UNIVERSITY STREET 1, 410087 ORADEA, ROMANIA  
*E-mail address:* `dpasca@uoradea.ro`

CURRENT ADDRESS: CENTRE DE RECERCA MATEMÀTICA, 08193 BELLATERRA, BARCELONA, SPAIN  
*E-mail address:* `dpasca@crm.es`