ON SQUARE PERMUTATIONS

ENRICA DUCHI AND DOMINIQUE POULALHON

ABSTRACT. Severini and Mansour introduced in [4] *square polygons*, as graphical representations of *square permutations*, that is, permutations such that all entries are records (left or right, minimum or maximum), and they obtained a nice formula for their number. In this paper we give a recursive construction for this class of permutations, that allows to simplify the derivation of their formula and to enumerate the subclass of square permutations with a simple record polygon. We also show that the generating function of these permutations with respect to the number of records of each type is algebraic, answering a question of Wilf in a particular case.

1. INTRODUCTION

A permutation $\pi = \pi_1 \ldots \pi_n$ of size n can be naturally represented on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ by the set $\mathcal{G}_\pi$ of points (i, π_i). In order to construct a grid polygon on $\mathcal{G}_\pi$, we classify its elements as follows: a point $(i, j) \in \mathcal{G}_\pi$ is respectively said to be

- a *left-right minimum* (*lrmin*) if, for any $(i', j') \in \mathcal{G}_\pi$, $i' < i \implies j' > j$;
- a *right-left minimum* (*rlmin*) if, for any $(i', j') \in \mathcal{G}_\pi$, $i' > i \implies j' > j$;
- a *left-right maximum* (*lrmax*) if, for any $(i', j') \in \mathcal{G}_\pi$, $i' < i \implies j' < j$;
- a *right-left maximum* (*rlmax*) if, for any $(i', j') \in \mathcal{G}_\pi$, $i' > i \implies j' < j$;
- an *interior point* otherwise.

Observe that these definitions agree with usual definitions of lrmin, rlmin, lrmax, rlmax on permutations.

The sequences of lrmin, rlmin, lrmax and rlmax are respectively called *left lower path*, *right lower path*, *left upper path* and *right upper path* of $\mathcal{G}_\pi$.

The *record polygon* of π is the grid polygon with the concatenation of its left lower path, right lower path, right upper path and left upper path as contour.

A *square permutation* is a permutation without interior point, and a *square polygon* is the record polygon of such a permutation. Square polygons were defined in a slightly different but equivalent way by Severini and Mansour [4]. They are also related to the permutominoes considered by Boldi *et al.* [2] and Rinaldi *et al.* [3].

(a) An ordinary one,

(b) a square one, with ascending double points

(c) and a simple square one.

FIGURE 1. Some examples of record polygons.

An *extremal point* is a point on the border of the bounding box of the polygon. A *descending double point* is a non extremal point that is simultaneously lrmin and rlmax. An *ascending double point* is a non extremal point that is simultaneously lrmax and rlmin. These points are respectively the common points between the left lower and right upper paths, and between the left upper and right lower paths. Points of the polygon that are neither extremal nor double are said *simple*. A *simple square polygon* is a square polygon without double points. Observe that ascending double points of a record polygon are fixed points of the associated permutation,

and descending double points are fixed points of the reverse permutation; moreover, a non simple square polygon has either descending or ascending double points, but not both, and these two classes of square polygons are mapped one onto the other by vertical symmetry.

From now on we denote indifferently by $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\ldots\pi_n$ a square permutation or the associated square polygon, and by $\mathcal{SP}_n$ the class of square permutations (or square polygons) of size n.

In Section 2 we present a construction for square permutations of size n. Our construction allows us in Section 2.3 to recover easily the formula obtained by Severini and Mansour, but also to control various parameters in Section 3: we show in particular that their generating function according to the parameters lrmin, lrmax, rlmin and rlmax is algebraic. This answers in the special case of square permutations a question of Wilf during an invited communication at CRM [5], asking for a way yo keep track simultaneously of these four types of records in permutations. Finally our construction allows us to calculate the number of square permutations with a simple record polygon.

2. A CONSTRUCTION FOR THE CLASS OF SQUARE POLYGONS

2.1. **The construction.** We now define some operations to produce unambiguously all permutations in class $\mathcal{SP}_{n+1}$ out of permutations in class $\mathcal{SP}_n$.

In order to define these operations, we introduce the following notations. Given a square permutation π, let j_π denote the least integer such that (j_π, π_{j_π}) is a simple point belonging to the left lower path of π. Observe that this point is well defined except for the permutation $\pi = n, n-1, \ldots, 1$, for which we set $j_\pi = n$, and for permutations π such that $\pi_1 = 1$, in which case the left lower path is reduced to the extremal point $(1, 1)$, and we set $j_\pi = 1$. Then the points of left lower path with absissa strictly between 1 and j_π form a (possibly empty) sequence of $\ell(\pi)$ descending double points which we call the *initial double points* of π. Let also

$$H(\pi) = \begin{cases} [\![\pi_{j_\pi} + 1, \pi_1]\!] & \text{if } \pi_{j_\pi} \neq 1, \\ [\![1, \pi_1]\!] & \text{if } \pi_{j_\pi} = 1, \end{cases}$$

so that

$$h(\pi) = |H(\pi)| = \begin{cases} \pi_1 - \pi_{j_\pi} & \text{if } \pi_{j_\pi} \neq 1, \\ \pi_1 & \text{if } \pi_{j_\pi} = 1. \end{cases}$$

We are now in position to define the construction. For any $p \in H(\pi)$, let $\vartheta_1^p(\pi)$ and $\vartheta_2^p(\pi)$ be respectively the permutation $\sigma = \sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_{n+1}$ and

$\tau = \tau_1 \ldots \tau_{n+1}$ defined by:

$$\sigma_m = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } m = 1 \\ \pi_{m-1} & \text{if } m \geqslant 2 \text{ and } \pi_{m-1} < p \\ \pi_{m-1} + 1 & \text{if } m \geqslant 2 \text{ and } \pi_{m-1} \geqslant p \end{cases}$$

and by

$$\begin{cases} \tau_1 = \sigma_2 \\ \tau_2 = \sigma_1 \\ \tau_m = \sigma_m & \text{if } m \geqslant 3. \end{cases}$$

(a) A permutation π,   (b) $\vartheta_1^p(\pi)$,

(c) and $\vartheta_2^p(\pi)$.

FIGURE 2. Example of the ϑ-construction

This construction is illustrated in Figure 2. In other terms, given a polygon π and an integer $p \in H(\pi)$, we produce a polygon $\vartheta_1^p(\pi)$ by inflating the polygon between lines $p-1$ and p to insert a point in a new first column, and a polygon $\vartheta_2^p(\pi)$ by exchanging the first two columns of the previous

FIGURE 3. The first levels of the generating tree of square permutations.

polygon. Finally let $\vartheta(\pi)$ be the set of all $\vartheta_i^p(\pi)$ for i in $\{1, 2\}$ and p in $H(p)$. This set has clearly cardinality $2h(\pi)$.

Theorem 1. *All elements of $\mathcal{SP}_{n+1}$ are produced in a unique manner by applying the operator ϑ to all objects in $\mathcal{SP}_n$:*

$$\mathcal{SP}_{n+1} = \bigsqcup_{\pi \in \mathcal{SP}_n} \vartheta(\pi).$$

Proof. Omitted.   □

Observe that ϑ forms an ECO operator in the sense of [1]. Figure 3 shows the first levels of the generating tree associated with the previous construction.

2.2. **The succession rule.** Now we want to describe the way the construction ϑ affects the parameter h. This leads us to distinguish four different

(a) Class $\mathcal{A}$,

(b) class $\mathcal{B}$,

(c) class $\mathcal{C}$,

(d) and class $\mathcal{D}$.

FIGURE 4. The four subclasses of square polygons (cases of a non-empty initial sequence of double points).

sub-classes $\mathcal{A}_n$, $\mathcal{B}_n$, $\mathcal{C}_n$ and $\mathcal{D}_n$ of $\mathcal{SP}_n$, depending on the values of π_1 and π_{j_π}:

	$\pi_1 = n$	$\pi_1 < n$
$\pi_{j_\pi} = 1$	$\mathcal{A}$ (Fig. 4(a))	$\mathcal{B}$ (Fig. 4(b))
$\pi_{j_\pi} > 1$	$\mathcal{C}$ (Fig. 4(c))	$\mathcal{D}$ (Fig. 4(d))

Figure 4 shows the shapes of generic square permutations in each sub-class, with a non-empty initial sequence of double points, but of course this sequence may be empty.

For each one of the previous classes, we want to describe the evolution of the parameter h (the *label* in ECO-terminology) by giving a so-called *succession rule*, which describes the types and labels of the polygons generated out of a polygon with a given type and label.

(a) $\vartheta_1^1(\pi) \in \mathcal{B}$, with label 1,

(b) $\vartheta_1^p(\pi) \in \mathcal{B}$, with label p,

(c) $\vartheta_1^n(\pi) \in \mathcal{B}$, with label n,

(d) $\vartheta_2^1(\pi) \in \mathcal{A}$, with label $n+1$,

(e) $\vartheta_2^p(\pi) \in \mathcal{C}$, with label $n+1-p$,

(f) $\vartheta_2^n(\pi) \in \mathcal{A}$, with label $n+1$.

FIGURE 5. Construction for polygons of class $\mathcal{A}$ (with shape as in Fig. 4(a)), in the three cases $p = 1$, $1 < p < n$ and $p = n$.

2.2.1. *Class $\mathcal{A}$, illustrated in Figure 5.* For any polygon $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_n$, $h(\pi) = n$ and the construction consists in adding a point with ordinate p, $1 \leqslant p \leqslant n$,

in the first or second column of the polygon. In the picture we distinguish the cases in which the added point has ordinate $p = 1$, $1 < p < n$ or $p = n$.

The first operation ϑ_1 produces only polygons σ of type $\mathcal{B}$ since $\sigma_1 = p < n + 1$, and 1 remains the ordinate of the first simple point in the left lower path of σ (in other words $\sigma_{j_\sigma} = 1$); for these polygons, $h(\sigma) = p$.

The second operation ϑ_2 produces polygons τ of type $\mathcal{A}$ for $p = 1$ and $p = n$, since in these cases $\tau_1 = n + 1$, and 1 remains the ordinate of the first simple point in the left lower path of τ. Hence for both of these cases $h(\tau) = n + 1$. For $1 < p < n$, the second operation produces a polygon τ of type $\mathcal{C}$ since $\tau_2 = p$ is the first simple point in the left lower path of τ. For these cases $h(\tau) = n + 1 - p$.

Then this can be summarized by a succession rule as follows:

$$(n)_a \rightarrow (1)_b(2)_b \ldots (n)_b(2)_c(3)_c \ldots (n-1)_c(n+1)_a(n+1)_a$$

meaning that a polygon of type $\mathcal{A}$ and label n produces:

- n polygons of type b with respective labels $1, 2, \ldots, n$,
- $n - 2$ polygons of type $\mathcal{C}$ with respective labels $2, 3, \ldots, n - 1$,
- 2 polygons of type $\mathcal{A}$ with labels $n + 1$.

2.2.2. *Class $\mathcal{B}$, illustrated in Figure 6.* Let $\pi \in \mathcal{B}_n$; observe that $h(\pi) = \pi_1$. In Figure 6 we distinguish the case $p = 1$ and the generic case $1 < p \leqslant k$.

The first operation ϑ_1 produces polygons σ of type $\mathcal{B}$ since $\sigma_1 \neq n + 1$, and 1 remains the ordinate of the first simple point in the left lower path. For these polygons $h(\sigma) = p$.

The second operation produces for $p = 1$ a polygon τ of type $\mathcal{B}$ since $\tau_1 \neq n + 1$, and 1 remains the ordinate of the first simple point in the left lower path. For this polygon $h(\tau) = h(\pi) + 1$. The other polygons have type $\mathcal{D}$ since $\tau_1 \neq n + 1$ and $\tau_2 = p > 1$ is the ordinate of the first simple point in the left lower path. Hence $h(\tau) = h(\pi) + 1 - p$ for these polygons.

Therefore we have the following succession rule for this case:

$$(h)_b \rightarrow (1)_b(2)_b \ldots (h)_b(h+1)_b(1)_d(2)_d \ldots (h-1)_d.$$

2.2.3. *Class $\mathcal{C}$, illustrated in Figure 7.* Let $\pi \in \mathcal{C}_n$; observe that $1 < h(\pi) < n - 1$. We distinguish the case $p = n$ and the cases $n - h(\pi) < p < n$.

The first operation ϑ_1 produces in any case a polygon σ of type $\mathcal{D}$, since $\sigma_1 \neq n + 1$ and $\pi_j \neq 1$ is the ordinate of the first simple point in the left lower path. Hence for these polygons $h(\sigma) = p - n + h(\pi)$.

The second operation ϑ_2 produces only polygons of type $\mathcal{C}$. Indeed when $\tau_2 = p = n$ then $\pi_j \neq 1$ remains the ordinate of the first simple point in the left lower path, and $h(\tau) = h(\pi) + 1$ for this polygon. On the contrary,

(a) $\vartheta_1^1(\pi) \in \mathcal{B}$, with label 1,

(b) $\vartheta_1^p(\pi) \in \mathcal{B}$, with label p,

(c) $\vartheta_2^1(\pi) \in \mathcal{B}$, with label $h(\pi) + 1$,

(d) $\vartheta_2^p(\pi) \in \mathcal{B}$, with label $h(\pi) + 1 - p$.

FIGURE 6. The construction for polygons of type $\mathcal{B}$ (with shape as in Fig. 4(b)), in the two cases $p = 1$ and $1 < p \leqslant h(\pi)$.

when $\tau_2 = p < n$ then p is the ordinate of the first simple point of the left lower path and $h(\tau) = n + 1 - p$ for these polygons. Therefore we have the following succession rule for this case:

$$(h)_c \rightarrow (1)_d (2)_d \ldots (h)_d (2)_c (3)_c \ldots (h)_c (h+1)_c.$$

2.2.4. *Class $\mathcal{D}$, illustrated in Figure 8.* Let $\pi \in \mathcal{D}_n$. In this case, $\pi_1 < n$ and $\pi_j > 1$, hence p may not be equal to 1 nor n.

The first operation ϑ_1 produces polygons σ of type $\mathcal{D}$ since $\sigma_1 = p < n$ and the ordinate of the first simple point of the lower path is the same as for π. Hence $h(\sigma) = p - \pi_1 + h(\pi)$.

(a) $\vartheta_1^n(\pi) \in \mathcal{D}$, with label $h(\pi)$,

(b) $\vartheta_1^p(\pi) \in \mathcal{D}$, with label $p - n + h(\pi)$,

(c) $\vartheta_2^n(\pi) \in \mathcal{C}$, with label $h(\pi) + 1$,

(d) $\vartheta_2^p(\pi) \in \mathcal{C}$, with label $n - p$.

FIGURE 7. The construction for polygons of type $\mathcal{C}$ (with shape as in Fig. 4(c)), in the two cases $p = n$ and $n - h(\pi) < p < n$.

The second operation ϑ_2 also produces polygons τ of type $\mathcal{D}$ since $\tau_1 = \pi_1 + 1 \neq n + 1$ and $p \neq 1$ is the ordinate of the first simple point in the left lower path. Hence $h(\tau) = \pi_1 + 1 - p$ for these polygons.

Therefore we have the following succession rule for this case:

$$(h)_d \rightarrow (1)_d (2)_d \ldots (h)_d (1)_d (2)_d \ldots (h)_d$$

Finally, we remark that the permutation $\pi = 1$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{A}$ and that the image of this permutation by the application ϑ consists of the permutation 12, belonging to the class $\mathcal{B}$, and the permutation 21, still belonging to the class $\mathcal{A}$. Then the complete succession rule is the following:

(a) $\vartheta_1^p(\pi) \in \mathcal{D}$, with label $p - \pi_1 + h(\pi)$,   (b) $\vartheta_2^p(\pi) \in \mathcal{C}$, with label $\pi_1 + 1 - p$.

FIGURE 8. The construction for polygons of type $\mathcal{D}$ (with shape as in Fig. 4(d)), and $\pi_1 - h(\pi) < p \leqslant \pi_1$.

$$
\begin{cases}
(1)_a \\
(1)_a \rightarrow (1)_b (2)_a \\
(h)_a \rightarrow (1)_b (2)_b \ldots (h)_b (2)_c (3)_c \ldots (h-1)_c (h+1)_a (h+1)_a & \text{for } h \geqslant 2, \\
(h)_b \rightarrow (1)_b (2)_b \ldots (h+1)_b (1)_d (2)_d \ldots (h-1)_d & \text{for } h \geqslant 1, \\
(h)_c \rightarrow (1)_d (2)_d \ldots (h)_d (2)_c (3)_c \ldots (h)_c (h+1)_c & \text{for } h \geqslant 1, \\
(h)_d \rightarrow (1)_d (2)_d \ldots (h)_d (1)_d (2)_d \ldots (h)_d & \text{for } h \geqslant 1.
\end{cases}
$$

2.3. The generating function.

Proposition 1. *Any succession rule of the form* $(k)_f \rightarrow (1)_g \ldots (k)_g$ *gives in the equation for the generating function* $G(y) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{G}} x^{n(o)} y^{k(o)}$ *of the class* $\mathcal{G}$ *a contribution*

$$
\frac{xy}{1-y} \left[F(1) - F(y) \right],
$$

where $F(y) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{F}} x^{n(o)} y^{k(o)}$ *is the generating function for the class* $\mathcal{F}$.

More generally, a rule $(k)_f \rightarrow (1+p)_g \ldots (k+q)_g$ *for fixed integers* p *and* q *gives a contribution*

$$
\frac{xy}{1-y} \left[y^p F(1) - y^q F(x) \right].
$$

Proof. The contribution to the generating function $G(y)$ of objects generated at level $n+1$ from the objects at level n by the rule $(k)_f \rightarrow (1)_g \ldots (k)_g$

is:

$$\sum_{o \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{k(o)} x^{n(o)+1} y^i,$$

since each object o with size $n(o)$ and parameter $k(o)$ produces $k(o)$ objects with size $n(o) + 1$ and respective parameter $1, \dots, k(o)$. Then this contribution rewrites as

$$\sum_{o \in \mathcal{F}} x^{n+1} \frac{y - y^{k(o)+1}}{1 - y} = \frac{xy}{1 - y}(F(1) - F(y)). \qquad \square$$

According to this proposition we can easily translate the previous succession rule in the following system of functional equations for the generating functions of the classes $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$. Let

$$A(y) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} x^{n(\pi)} y^{h(\pi)},$$

and define similarly $B(y)$, $C(y)$, and $D(y)$ (we write explicitly only the variables that need to be substituted); then:

$$\begin{cases} A(y) = x^2 y^2 + 2xy A(y) \\[2ex] B(y) = x^2 y^1 + \dfrac{xy}{1 - y} \left[A(1) - A(y) \right] + \dfrac{xy}{1 - y} \left[B(1) - y B(y) \right] \\[2ex] C(y) = \dfrac{xy}{1 - y} \left[y A(1) - y^{-1} A(y) \right] + \dfrac{xy^2}{1 - y} \left[C(1) - C(y) \right] \\[2ex] D(y) = \dfrac{xy}{1 - y} \left[B(1) - y^{-1} B(y) \right] + \dfrac{xy}{1 - y} \left[C(1) - C(y) \right] + \\[2ex] \qquad + 2 \dfrac{xy}{1 - y} \left[D(1) - D(y) \right] \end{cases}$$

From the previous system, we see that $A(y)$ is rational. Replacing $A(1)$ and $A(y)$ by their explicit expressions in the equation for $B(y)$ leads to an equation for the unknowns $B(y)$ and $B(1)$ that can be solved by the kernel method. Doing the same thing for $C(y)$ and $D(y)$ we obtain the following

expressions:

$$\begin{cases} A(1) = \dfrac{x^2}{1 - 2x} \\[3mm] B(1) = \dfrac{x(x - 1)}{1 - 2x} + \dfrac{x}{\sqrt{1 - 4x}} \\[3mm] C(1) = \dfrac{x^2}{1 - 2x} + \dfrac{x}{\sqrt{1 - 4x}} \\[3mm] D(1) = x\,\dfrac{1 - 7x + 14x^2 - 4x^3}{(1 - 2x)(1 - 4x)^2} + \dfrac{x(1 - 3x)}{(1 - 4x)^{3/2}} \end{cases}$$

The generating function for square polygons according to the number of points is given by

$$A(1) + B(1) + C(1) + D(1) \;=\; \frac{2x^2(1 - 3x)}{(1 - 4x)^2} \;-\; \frac{4x^3}{(1 - 4x)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

as was obtained by Severini and Mansour in [4].

Theorem 2 (Severini-Mansour). *The generating function of square polygons according to the size is*

$$\frac{2x^2(1 - 3x)}{(1 - 4x)^2} \;-\; \frac{4x^3}{(1 - 4x)^{\frac{3}{2}}},$$

3. Refined enumeration

3.1. **New parameters.** Square polygons consist in four paths, or faces, and we want to study the number of points in each of these paths: in other terms we consider the numbers of lrmin, rlmin, lrmax and rlmax in square permutations. Since the *extremal* points with abscissa or ordinate 1 or n are always in at least 2 of these faces we consider the number of edges in each faces rather than the number of points.

As we look forward a formula for the number of simple square permutations, we also want to keep track of double points. Recall that polygons may have either descending or ascending double points, but not both, and that these two classes are mapped one onto the other by vertical symmetry. The parameters we are interested in are therefore the following: let π be a square polygon and

- $\alpha(\pi)$ be the number of edges in the left lower path of π, minus the number of descending double points.
- $\beta(\pi)$ be the number of edges in the left upper path of π,

- $\gamma(\pi)$ be the number of edges in the right upper path of π, minus the number of descending double points.
- $\delta(\pi)$ be the number of edges in the right lower path of π,

As before, let $\ell(\pi)$ denote the number of initial double points, and let $k(\pi) = h(\pi) + \ell(\pi)$, and $m(\pi)$ be the number of non initial double points: we need to refine the parameter h of our first succession rule by distinguishing the contribution of double points in the active region.

3.2. The refined succession rule.

$$
\begin{cases}
(1,0,0,0,0,0,0)_a \\[2mm]
(1,0,0,0,0,0,0)_a \;\to\; (1,0,0,0,1,0,1)_b \,(2,0,0,1,0,1,0)_a \\[2mm]
(k,\ell,m,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)_a \to (k+\ell+1,0,m,1,0,\gamma+\ell,\delta+1)_a \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad (k,\ell+1,m,1,0,\gamma,\delta)_a \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad (1,0,m,0,1,\gamma+\ell,\delta+1)_b \\
\qquad\qquad\quad (\mathbf{2},0,m,1,1,\gamma+\ell,\delta)_b\ldots \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad (\mathbf{k},0,m,1,1,\gamma+\ell,\delta)_b \\
\qquad\qquad\quad (k,\mathbf{1},m,1,1,\boldsymbol{\gamma+\ell-1},\delta)_b\ldots \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad (k,\boldsymbol{\ell},m,1,1,\boldsymbol{\gamma},\delta)_b \\
\qquad\qquad\quad (\mathbf{2},0,\boldsymbol{m+\ell-1},2,0,\boldsymbol{\gamma+1},\delta)_c\ldots \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad (\boldsymbol{\ell+1},0,\boldsymbol{m},2,0,\boldsymbol{\gamma+\ell},\delta)_c \\
\qquad\qquad\quad (\boldsymbol{\ell+2},0,m,2,0,\gamma+\ell,\delta)_c\ldots \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad (\boldsymbol{\ell+k-1},0,m,2,0,\gamma+\ell,\delta)_c \\[2mm]
(k,\ell,m,\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta)_b \to (1,0,m,0,\beta+1,\ell+\gamma,\delta+1)_b \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad (k+\ell+1,0,m,1,\beta,\gamma+\ell,\delta+1)_b \\
\qquad\qquad\quad (\mathbf{2},0,m,1,\beta+1,\gamma+\ell,\delta)_b\ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad (\mathbf{k},0,m,1,\beta+1,\gamma+\ell,\delta)_b \\
\qquad\qquad\quad (k,\mathbf{1},m,1,\beta+1,\boldsymbol{\ell-1+\gamma},\delta)_b\ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad (k,\boldsymbol{\ell},m,1,\beta+1,\boldsymbol{\gamma},\delta)_b \\
\qquad\qquad\quad (\mathbf{1},0,\boldsymbol{m+\ell},\alpha+1,\beta,\boldsymbol{\gamma},\delta)_d\ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad (\boldsymbol{\ell+1},0,\boldsymbol{m},\alpha+1,\beta,\boldsymbol{\gamma+\ell},\delta)_d \\
\qquad\qquad\quad (\boldsymbol{\ell+2},0,m,\alpha+1,\beta,\gamma+\ell,\delta)_d\ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad (\boldsymbol{\ell+k-1},0,m,\alpha+1,\beta,\gamma+\ell,\delta)_d
\end{cases}
$$

$$\begin{cases}
(k, \ell, m, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)_c \to (k, \ell+1, m, \alpha, 0, \gamma, \delta)_c \\
\qquad (\mathbf{2}, 0, \boldsymbol{m+\ell-1}, \alpha+1, 0, \boldsymbol{\gamma+1}, \delta)_c \ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad (\boldsymbol{\ell+1}, 0, \boldsymbol{m}, \alpha+1, 0, \boldsymbol{\gamma+\ell}, \delta)_c \\
\qquad (\boldsymbol{\ell+2}, 0, m, \alpha+1, 0, \gamma+\ell, \delta)_c \ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad (0, \boldsymbol{\ell+k}, 0, m, \alpha+1, 0, \gamma+\ell, \delta)_c \\
\qquad (\mathbf{1}, 0, m, \alpha, 1, \gamma+\ell, \delta)_d \ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad (\boldsymbol{k}, 0, m, \alpha, 1, \gamma+\ell, \delta)_d \\
\qquad (k, \mathbf{1}, m, \alpha, 1, \boldsymbol{\gamma+\ell-1}, \delta)_d \ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad (k, \boldsymbol{\ell}, m, \alpha, 1, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \delta)_d \\[1em]
(k, \ell, m, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)_d \to (\mathbf{1}, 0, m, \alpha, \beta+1, \gamma+\ell, \delta)_d \ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad (\boldsymbol{k}, 0, m, \alpha, \beta+1, \gamma+\ell, \delta)_d \\
\qquad (k, \mathbf{1}, m, \alpha, \beta+1, \boldsymbol{\gamma+\ell-1}, \ \delta)_d \ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad (k, \boldsymbol{\ell}, m, \alpha, \beta+1, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \delta)_d \\
\qquad (\mathbf{1}, 0, \boldsymbol{m+\ell}, \alpha+1, \beta, \boldsymbol{\gamma}, \delta)_d \ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad (\boldsymbol{\ell+1}, 0, \boldsymbol{m}, \alpha+1, \beta, \boldsymbol{\gamma+\ell}, \delta)_d \\
\qquad (\boldsymbol{\ell+2}, 0, m, \alpha+1, \beta, \gamma+\ell, \delta)_d \ \ldots \\
\qquad\qquad (\boldsymbol{\ell+k}, 0, m, \alpha+1, \beta, \gamma+\ell, \delta)_d
\end{cases}$$

3.3. The generating function.

Proposition 2. *Any succession rule of type* $(p, q)_f \to (1, p+q-1)_g \ldots (p, q)_g$ *gives in the equation for the associated generating function* $G(y, z) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{G}} x^{n(o)} y^{po)} z^{q(o)}$ *of the class* $\mathcal{G}$ *a contribution*

$$x \frac{y}{z-y} \left[F(z, z) - F(y, z) \right],$$

where $F(y, z) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{F}} x^{n(o)} y^{p(o)} z^{p(o)}$ *is the generating function for the class* $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. According to the rule $(p, q) \to (1, p+q-1) \ldots (p, q)$ we have that:

$$\sum_{o \in \mathcal{F}} \sum_{i=1}^{p(o)} x^{n(o)+1} y^i z^{p(o)+q(o)-i} = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{F}} x^{n(o)+1} z^{p(o)+q(o)} \sum_{i=1}^{p(o)} \left(\frac{y}{z} \right)^i$$

$$= \sum_{o \in \mathcal{F}} x^{n(0)+1} z^{p(o)+q(o)} \frac{\frac{y}{z} - \left(\frac{y}{z} \right)^{p(o)+1}}{1 - \frac{y}{z}}.$$

That is: the contribution to the class $\mathcal{G}$ is given by $x \frac{y}{z-y} F(z, z) - \frac{y}{z-y} F(y, z)$.

$\square$

Proposition 3. *Any succession rule of type* $(p, q, r, s)_f \to (0, 1, p+r, s)_g \dots$
$(0, p+1, r, s+p)_g$ *gives in the equation for the associated generating function*
$G(y, z) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{G}} x^{n(o)} y^{p(o)} z^{q(o)} w^{r(o)} t^{s(o)}$ *of the class* $\mathcal{G}$ *a contribution*

$$x \frac{z}{w - tz} \left[wF(w, 1, w, t) - tzF(tz, 1, w, t) \right],$$

where $F(y, z, w, t) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{F}} x^{n(o)} y^{p(o)} z^{q(o)} w^{r(o)} t^{s(o)}$ *is the generating function for the class* $\mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Omitted. $\square$

Let

$$A(y, z, u, v) = \sum_{o \in \mathcal{A}} x^{n(o)} y^{l(o)} z^{h(o)} w^{m(o)} u^{\alpha(o)} v^{\beta(o)} s^{\gamma(o)} t^{\delta(o)}$$

where we indicate only the variables that we will need to substitute to write the equations, and similarly for $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$. According to the previous propositions we can easily translate the refined succession rule in the following system of functional equations:

$$
\begin{cases}
A(y, z, u, v) = x^2 z^2 us + xzut A(sz, z, 1, 1) + xyu A(y, z, 1, 1) \\[2mm]
B(y, z, u, v) = x^2 zvt + xzvt A(s, 1, 1, 1) + \dfrac{xzuv}{1 - z}(zA(s, 1, 1, 1) \\[2mm]
\qquad\qquad - A(s, z, 1, 1)) + \dfrac{xyuv}{s - y}(A(s, z, 1, 1) - A(y, z, 1, 1)) \\[2mm]
\qquad\qquad + xzvt B(s, 1, 1, v) + xzut B(sz, z, 1, v) \\[2mm]
\qquad\qquad + \dfrac{xuvz}{1 - z}(zB(s, 1, 1, v) - B(s, z, 1, v)) \\[2mm]
\qquad\qquad + \dfrac{xyuv}{s - y}(B(s, z, 1, v) - B(y, z, 1, v)) \\[4mm]
C(y, z, u, v) = \dfrac{xz^2 u^2 s}{w - sz}(A(w, 1, 1, 1) - A(sz, 1, 1, 1)) \\[2mm]
\qquad\qquad + \dfrac{xu^2}{1 - z}(z^2 A(zs, 1, 1, 1) - A(zs, z, 1, 1)) \\[2mm]
\qquad\qquad + xyC(y, z, u, 1) + \dfrac{xz^2 us}{w - sz}(C(w, 1, u, 1) \\[2mm]
\qquad\qquad - C(sz, 1, u, 1)) + \dfrac{xzu}{1 - z}(zC(sz, 1, u, 1) \\[2mm]
\qquad\qquad - C(sz, z, u, 1))
\end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{cases}
D(y, z, u, v) = \dfrac{xuz}{w - sz}(wB(w, 1, u, v) - szB(sz, 1, u, v)) \\
\qquad\qquad + \dfrac{xu}{1 - z}(z^2 B(sz, 1, u, v) - B(sz, z, u, v)) \\
\qquad\qquad + \dfrac{xzv}{1 - z}(C(s, 1, u, 1) - C(s, z, u, 1)) \\
\qquad\qquad + \dfrac{xvy}{s - y}(C(s, z, u, 1) - C(y, z, u, 1)) \\
\qquad\qquad + \dfrac{xvz}{1 - z}(D(s, 1, u, v) - D(s, z, u, v)) \\
\qquad\qquad + \dfrac{xvy}{s - y}(D(s, z, u, v) - D(y, z, u, v)) \\
\qquad\qquad + \dfrac{xuz}{w - sz}(wD(w, 1, u, v) - szD(sz, 1, u, v)) \\
\qquad\qquad + \dfrac{xuz}{1 - z}(zD(sz, 1, u, v) - D(sz, z, u, v))
\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 3. *The series $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are algebraic series.*

Proof.
- Setting $y = sz$ in the first equation we obtain $A(sz, z, 1, 1)$ and then $A(y, z, 1, 1)$ and $A(y, z, u, v)$, all are rational in the variables.
- Setting $y = sz$ and $u = 1$, the terms in $B(s, z, 1, v)$ cancel and it remains an equation between $B(sz, z, 1, v)$ and $B(s, 1, 1, v)$ which is solved by the kernel method for variable z. Then we apply the kernel method for variable y to the original equation with $u = 1$, to get $B(y, z, 1, v)$ and $B(s, z, 1, v)$. Finally returning again to the original equation we get $B(y, z, u, v)$ which is rational in the variables and the Catalan refinement:
$$
Z_0(x; v, t) = \frac{1 - xv + xt - \sqrt{(1 - xv + xt)^2 - 4xt}}{2xt}.
$$
- We subtract to the third equation with $v = 1$, the same equation with $v = 1$ and $y = sz$, to get an equation between $C(y, z, u, 1)$ and $C(sz, z, u, 1)$. Setting $y = w$ and $z = 1$ in this equation gives $C(w, 1, u, 1)$ in terms of $C(s, 1, u, 1)$. Setting instead $z = 1$ and $y = sz$ in this equation gives $C(sz, 1, u, 1)$ in terms of $C(s, 1, u, 1)$. With these equation the original equation is rewritten in terms of $C(sz, z, u, 1)$ and $C(s, 1, u, 1)$ and the kernel method for variable z allows to express these series, and then $C(y, z, u, v)$ as rational functions of the variables and
$$
Z_0(x; u, s) = \frac{1 - xu + xs - \sqrt{(1 - xu + xs)^2 - 4xs}}{2xs}.
$$

- We subtract to the fourth equation, the same equation with $y = sz$, to get an equation (*) between $D(y, z, u, v)$, $D(sz, z, u, v)$ and $D(s, z, u, v)$. Applying the kernel method for the variable y then gives $D(s, z, u, v)$ in terms of $D(sz, z, u, v)$, which allows to rewrite (*) as an equation between $D(y, z, u, v)$ and $D(sz, z, u, v)$ in which we can set $z = 1$ (the terms of the form $\frac{1}{1-z}$ have cancelled). We then obtain $D(s, 1, u, v)$, $D(y, 1, u, v)$ and $D(y, z, u, v)$ as rational functions of the variables, $Z_0(x; v, t)$ and $Z_0(x; u, s)$. □

4. COUNTING SIMPLE SQUARE POLYGONS

Proposition 4. *The number s_n of simple square polygons of size n is*

$$s_n = p_n - 2(p_n - q_n),$$

where p_n is the number of square polygons of size n, and q_n is the number of square polygons without descending double points.

Proof. $p_n - q_n$ is the number of square polygons with at least one descending double point, and also by symmetry, the number of square polygons with at least one ascending double point. Since a square polygon cannot have both ascending and descending double points the result follows. □

The generating series of q_n is $P|_{y=0,w=0}$, where $P = A + B + C + D$ as above:

$$P|_{y=0,z=0} = \frac{1}{2(2+x)}\left(\frac{x^2(8-23x)}{(1-4x)^2} - \frac{x^3(17+4x)}{(1-4x)^{3/2}}\right).$$

Theorem 4. *The generating series of simple square polygons with respect to the size is*

$$\frac{1}{2+x}\left(\frac{x^2(4-13x+6x^2)}{(1-4x)^2} - \frac{9x^3}{(1-4x)^{3/2}}\right).$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

REFERENCES

[1] Barcucci, E., Del Lungo, A., Pergola, E., Pinzani, R. ECO: a methodology for the Enumeration of Combinatorial Objects. *J. Diff. Eq. and App.* 5(1999) 435-49.
[2] Boldi, P., Lonati, V., Radicioni, R., Santini, M. The number of convex permutominoes. *Rapporto Interno n.311-06, Universita' degli Studi di Milano*, available electronically at `http://santini.dsi.unimi.it/research/papers.php`.

[3] Disanto, F., Frosini, A., Pinzani, R., Rinaldi, S. A closed formula for the number of convex permutominoes. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, Volume 14(1), 2007, R57.

[4] Mansour, T., Severini, S. Grid polygons from permutations and their enumeration by the kernel method. *19-th Intern. Conf. on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, Center for Combin., Nankai Uni., Tianjin, China*, July 2-6, 2007.

[5] Wilf, H. Invited talk at CRM Conference *Enumeration and Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorics*, June 2007, Barcelona.