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#### Abstract

The topological centralizers of Toeplitz flows satisfying a condition (Sh) and their $Z_{2}$-extensions are described. Such Toeplitz flows are topologically coalescent. If $\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, \ldots\right\}$ is a set of all except at least one prime numbers and $I_{0}, I_{1}, \ldots$ are positive integers then the direct sum $\left.\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} Z_{q i}\right|_{i} \oplus Z$ can be the topological centralizer of a Toeplitz flow.


## Introduction

In this paper we study the topological centralizers of Toeplitz flows and their $Z_{2}$-extensions. Toeplitz flows are obtained as the orbit closure of special points in $\{0,1\}^{2}$ called Toeplitz sequences. They were introduced by Jacobs and Keane [2]. Many metric and topological properties of Toeplitz flows were investigated by those authors and by Williams [10]. Markley [6], [7] has examined Toeplitz sequences as characteristic sequences over zero-dimensional groups. Lemańczyk [5] used special Toeplitz sequences to produce examples of $Z_{2}$-extensions over dynamical system with discrete spectrum that have Lebesgue component of finite multiplicity. A regular Toeplitz sequence $\omega$ with a period structure $\left\{p_{t}\right\}$, $t \geq 0$, defines a cocycle $\bar{\psi}_{\omega}$ on the group $G$ of $p_{t}$-adic integers. A cocycle $\bar{\psi}_{w}$ determines a skew product transformation $T_{\psi}$ on $G \times Z_{2}$. Each transformation $S$ commuting with $T_{\psi}$ can be identified with a pair ( $T_{g}, f$ ), where $T_{g}$ is a rotation of $G$ by $g$ and $f$ is a measurable function, $f: G \rightarrow Z_{2}$ [8]. In this case it is natural to say that $T_{g}$ can be lifted to $S \in C\left(T_{\psi}\right)$ (the metric centralizer of $T_{\psi}$ ). The problem how big is the set of such $g^{\prime} s$ was investigated in [4]. In [3] this set is described for Morse cocycles. But the same problem can be considered from the topological point of view. A dynamical system ( $G, m, \widehat{1}$ ), ( $m$ the Haar measure, $\hat{1}$ the unit element of $G$ ) is metrically isomorphic to $\Theta(\omega)=(O(\omega), \mu, \sigma)$, where $\sigma$ is the shift and $\mu$ the unique $\sigma$-invariant measure [2]. The cocycle $\bar{\psi}_{\omega}$ becomes a continuous cocycle $\psi_{\omega}, \psi_{\omega}: \overline{O(\omega)} \rightarrow Z_{2}$ [5]. The latter enables one to define a $Z_{2}$-extension $\Theta(\omega)=\left(0(\omega) \times Z_{2}, \widetilde{\sigma}\right)$ over $\Theta(\omega)$ as a topological dynamical system. Each homeomorphism $\widetilde{S}$ commuting with $\widetilde{\sigma}$ induces a homeomorphism $S$ commuting with $\sigma$ and $S$ induces a rotation $T_{g}$
of $G$. The problem arises to describe the set of those $g \in G$ which can be lifted to an element of $C(\sigma)$ and those $S \in C(\sigma)$ which can be lifted to an element of $C(\tilde{\sigma})$. In this paper we answer these questions assuming that $\omega$ satisfies a condition (Sh) (separated holes). Next we construct a class of special Toeplitz flows with the topological centralizers as in the abstract.

## 1. Preliminaries

We summarize some basic definitions and results. We shall use $Z, N$ to denote the integers, the positive integers, respectively. By flow we will mean a pair ( $X, T$ ), where $X$ is a compact metric space and $T$ is a homeomorphism of $X$ to itself. A flow $(X, T)$ is minimal if $X$ has no proper closed $T$-invariant subset. A flow $(Y, S)$ is a factor of $(X, T)$ if there is a continuous map $\Pi$ of $X$ onto $Y$, with $\Pi \circ T=S \circ \Pi$. If $\Pi$ is a homeomorphism then $(X, T)$ and $(Y, S)$ are isomorphic as flows. Every minimal flow ( $X, T$ ) has a maximal equicontinuous factor $(G, g), \Pi:(X, T) \longrightarrow(G, g)$, where $G$ is a compact metric monothetic group with generator $g$. If $\Pi^{\prime}:(X, T) \longrightarrow\left(G^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ is any other such factor then there is a factor map $\psi:(G, g) \longrightarrow\left(G^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\psi \circ \Pi=\Pi^{\prime}$.

By the topological centralizer of $(X, T)$ we will mean the set of all continuous maps $U: X \longrightarrow X$ which commute with $T$. We use $C(T)$ to denote the centralizer of $T . C(T)$ is automatically a semigroup and it becomes a group if every $U \in C(T)$ is homeomorphism.

Given a finite abelian group $P$, let $\Omega$ be the space of all bisequences over $P$ with its natural compact metric topology and let $\sigma$ be the left shift homeomorphism on $\Omega$. If $\omega \in \Omega$ then $\omega[n]$ will denote the value of $\omega$ at $n \in Z$, and $O(\omega)$ will denote the orbit of $\omega$. A finite sequence $B=(B[0], \ldots, B[n-1])$, $B[i] \in P, n \geq 1$, is called a block. The number $n$ is called the length of $B$ and denoted by $|B|$. If $\omega \in \Omega$ and $B$ is a block then $\omega[i, k], B[i, k]$, $0 \leq i \leq k \leq n-1$, denote the blocks $(\omega[i], \ldots, \omega[k])$ and $(B[i], \ldots, B[k])$ respectively. Let $C=(C[0], \ldots, C[m-1])$ be another block. We say that $B$ appears at the $i-t h$ place in $\omega$ or $C$ if $\omega[i, i+|B|-1]=B$ or $C[i, i+|B|-1]=B$. If $|C|=|B|$ then the sum of $B$ and $C$ is the block $B+C$ such that

$$
B+C=(B[0]+C[0], \ldots, B[n-1]+C[n-1])
$$

where de symbol " + " is the operation of $P$. Likewise we define a sequence $\left(\omega+\omega^{t}\right), \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega$ as

$$
\omega+\omega^{\prime}=\left(\ldots \omega[-1]+\omega^{t}[-1], \omega[0]+\omega^{\prime}[0], \omega[1]+\omega^{\prime}[1], \ldots\right)
$$

A $\omega \in \Omega$ is called a Toeplitz sequence if there exists a collection of pairwise disjoint arithmetic progressions $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ whose union is $Z$ and such that $n, m \in T_{i}$ implies $\omega[n]=\omega[m]$. A Toeplitz sequence $\omega$ is regular if the $T_{i}$ can be choosen so that $\sum_{i} \frac{1}{q_{i}}=1$, where $T_{i}=\left\{r_{i}+k \cdot q_{i} ; k \in Z\right\}$. Let $\overline{O(\omega)}$ be the orbit closure
of $\omega$. The set $\overline{O(\omega)}$ is a closed, $\sigma$-invariant subset of $\Omega$. By a Toeplitz flow we will mean a pair $(\overline{O(\omega)}, \sigma)=\Theta(\omega)$, where $\omega$ is a Toeplitz sequence.

Assume that $\omega$ is a non-periodic, regular Toeplitz sequence. It is know [2] that a Toeplitz fiow $\Theta(\omega)$ is minimal and uniquely ergodic. The maximal equicontinuous factor of $\Theta(\omega)$ was constructed in [10]. We include a part of this construction to introduce ideas we will use later. For $p \in N$ we set

$$
\operatorname{Per}_{p}(\omega)=\left\{n \in N ; \omega[n]=\omega\left[n^{\prime}\right], \text { whenever } n \equiv n^{\prime}(\bmod p)\right\}
$$

By the $p$-skeleton of $\omega$ we will mean a sequence $\omega_{p}$ obtained from $\omega$ by replacing $\omega[n]$ by a new symbol " - " for all $n \notin$ Per $_{p}(\omega)$. Thus $p$ is a period of $\omega_{p}$. We call $p$ an essential period of $\omega$ if $p$ is the smallest period of $\omega_{p}$. A period structure for $\omega$ is an increasing sequence $\left\{p_{t}\right\}$ of natural numbers satisfying
(a) $p_{t}$ is an essential period of $\omega$ for all $t$,
(b) $p_{t} \mid p_{t+1}$
(c) $\bigcup_{t=0}^{\infty}$ Per $_{p_{t}}(\omega)=Z$.

Every non-periodic Toeplitz sequence has a period structure.
Let $G$ be the group of all $p_{i}$-adic integers i.e.

$$
G=\left\{g=\sum_{t \geq 0} g_{t} \cdot p_{t-1} ; \quad 0 \leq g_{t} \leq \lambda_{t}-1\right\}
$$

where $\lambda_{t}=p_{t-1} / p_{t}, t \geq 0$ and $p_{-3}=1$. A $p_{t}$-adic integer $g$ may be represented also as a class of sequences $\left(n_{t}\right), n_{t} \in N$, such that $n_{t+1} \equiv n_{t}\left(\bmod p_{t}\right), t \geq$ 0 . If ( $n_{t}^{\prime}$ ) is another sequence satisfying the above condition then ( $n_{t}$ ) and ( $n_{t}^{\prime}$ ) determine the same $p_{t}$-adic number $g$ iff $n_{t} \equiv n_{t}^{\prime}\left(\bmod p_{t}\right), t \geq 0$. Let $T$ be the translation of $G$ by the unit element $\widetilde{1}$. In [10] it is proved that $(G, T)$ is the maximal equicontinuous factor of $\Theta(\omega)$. To define a corresponding homomorphism $\Pi$ from $(\overline{O(\omega)}, \sigma)$ to $(G, T)$ a special partition $\left\{\Pi_{g}\right\}, g \in G$, of $\overrightarrow{O(\omega)}$ was constructed. For fixed $t, t \geq 0$, and $n, 0 \leq n \leq p_{t}-1$, set

$$
\Omega_{n}^{t}=\left\{x \in \overline{O(\omega)} ; x \text { has the same } p_{t}-\text { skeleton as } \sigma^{n}(\omega)\right\}
$$

Then $\Omega_{n}^{t}, n=0,1, \ldots, p_{t}-1$, are pairwise disjoint closed and open subsets of $\overline{O(\omega)}$. For $g \in G, g=\left(n_{t}\right), 0 \leq n_{t} \leq p_{t}-1, n_{t+1} \equiv n_{t}\left(\bmod p_{t}\right)$ we set

$$
\Omega_{g}=\bigcap_{t=0}^{\infty} \Omega_{n_{t}}^{t}
$$

The family of sets $\left\{\Omega_{g}\right\}, g \in G$ is partition of $\overline{O(\omega)}$. Each of them is a closed and non-empty set and

$$
\sigma\left(\Omega_{g}\right)=\Omega_{g+\tilde{1}}
$$

(Here the symbol " + " means the operation in $G$. We will use this symbol in different meanings and we will not remark if no confusion becomes). The factor map II: $\overline{O(\omega)} \rightarrow G$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi\left(\Omega_{g}\right)=g \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following remark follows easily from the above construction.
Remark 1. If a sequence $\left\{\sigma^{n_{t}}(\omega)\right\}$ is convergent in $\overline{O(\omega)}$ then $\left(n_{t}\right)$ determines a $p_{t}$-adic integer, i.e., for any $t$ there exists $i_{0}$ such that $n_{i} \equiv n_{j}\left(\bmod p_{t}\right)$ whenever $i, j \geq i_{0}$.

Let $A_{t}=\omega_{p t}\left[0, p_{t}-1\right] . A_{t}$ is a block of the length $p_{t}$ with symbols from $P$ and " ${ }^{-}$(we will call it a "hole"). By a filled place in $A_{t}$ we will mean each place $i$ such that $A_{t}[i] \in P$. A sequence of blocks $\left(A_{i}\right)$ satisfies the following conditions:
(A) The block $A_{t+1}$ is obtained as a concatenation of $A_{t} A_{t} A_{t} \ldots A_{t}$, where some "holes" are filled by symbols of $P$,
(B) $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} r_{t} / p_{t}=1$, where $r_{t}$ is the number of the filled places in $A_{t}$ (regularity),
(C) For every $i \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists an index $t$ such that $A_{t}[i] \in P$ and $A_{t}\left[p_{t}-i\right] \in P$.

Conversely, each sequence of blocks $\left(A_{t}\right)_{0}^{\infty}$ satisfying (A), (B) and (C) determines a Toeplitz sequence $\omega$ (may be periodic).

In the sequel we change a bit a definition of a Toeplitz sequence. Suppose that a sequence $\left(A_{t}\right)_{0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the conditions (A) and (B). Then we can define a two-sided sequence $\omega$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega\left[i \cdot p_{t},(i+1) p_{t}-1\right]=A_{t} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \in Z$ and $t \geq 0$. We will call it a $T^{\circ}$-sequence. The sequence $\omega$ can have the symbol " " at some places. Let $g=\left(n_{t}\right), 0 \leq n_{t} \leq p_{t}-1, n_{t+1} \equiv n_{t}$ $\left(\bmod p_{t}\right)$, be a $p_{t}$-adic integer. We denote by $A_{t}(g)$ the following block

$$
A_{t}(g)=A_{t} A_{t}\left[n_{t}, p_{t}+n_{t}-1\right]
$$

The sequence $\left(A_{t}(g)\right)_{0}^{\infty}$ satisfies the conditions (A) and (B) and hence determines a two-sided sequence $\omega(g)$ given by (2). It is easy to describe the set $G_{0}$ of those $g \in G$ for which $\omega(g)$ is a Toeplitz sequence. Let $G_{2}$ be the set of all $g=\left(n_{t}\right)_{0}^{\infty}$ from $G$ such that $A_{t}\left[n_{t}\right]={ }^{\prime \prime}-"$ for each $t \geq 0$. It follows from (B) that $G_{2}$ is of Haar measure zero. Then the set $G_{1}=G_{2}+Z(Z$ is a subset of $G$ consisting of all elements of the form $k \tilde{1}$, where $k$ is an integer) is of Haar measure zero again. It is not hard to observe that $G_{0}=G-G_{1}$. Now we can define $\overline{O(\omega)}$ as the orbit closure of $\omega$ in the sense that $x=\lim \sigma^{z_{t}}(\omega), z_{t} \rightarrow \infty$, and $x[i] \in P$ for all $i=0, \pm 1, \ldots$ For all $g \in G$ we have $\overline{O(\omega)}=\overline{O(\omega(g)})$. If $g \in G_{0}$ then $\omega(g)$ is a Toeplitz sequence what implies that $\Theta(\omega)=(\bar{O}(\omega), \sigma)$ is
a Toeplitz flow. We define the sets $\Omega_{g}(\omega), g \in G$, in the same way as above. The construction of the sequences $\omega(h)$ implies

$$
\Omega_{g}(\omega(h))=\Omega_{g+h}(\omega) .
$$

Remark 2. If $\omega$ is a $T^{\circ}$-sequence, then $\omega$ satisfies the property from Remark 1. Therefore $x \in \Omega_{0}(\omega)$ implies that $x$ coincides with $\omega$ at each $i-t h$ place which $\Omega[i] \in P$. Thus if $g \in G_{0}$ then $\Omega_{g}$ is an one-point set and $\Omega_{g}=\{\omega(g)\}$.

Remark 3. For fixed $t \geq 0$ and $0 \leq n \leq p_{t}-1$ set

$$
C_{t}(n)=\left\{g \in G, g=\left(n_{u}\right)_{0}^{\infty} ; n_{t}=n\right\}
$$

The sets $C_{t}(0), C_{t}(1), \ldots, C_{t}\left(p_{t}-1\right)$ are closed and open subsets of $G$ and

$$
\bigcup_{i=0}^{p_{i}-1} C_{t}(i)=G .
$$

Further we have

$$
C_{t}(0) \xrightarrow{T} C_{t}(1) \xrightarrow{T} \ldots \xrightarrow{T} C_{t}(p-1) \xrightarrow{T} C_{t}(0) .
$$

Denote by $\xi_{t}$ a partition of $G$ determined by the family $\left\{C_{t}(i)\right\}, 0 \leq i \leq p_{t}-1$. If $\omega$ is a $T^{\circ}$-sequence then $\omega$ defines a function $\widetilde{\psi}_{\omega}: G \rightarrow P$ such that

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{\omega}(g)=A_{t}[i]
$$

if $g \in C_{t}(i)$ and $A_{t}[i] \in P$. The function $\tilde{\psi}_{\omega}$ is defined on $G$ except of the set $G_{2}$. If $\omega$ is non-periodic then $G_{2}$ is just the set of all $g$ for which $\tilde{\psi}_{\omega}$ is not continuous. The function $\tilde{\psi}_{\omega}$ is $V_{t=0}^{\infty} \xi_{t}-$ measurable. Further observe that if $\Pi:(\overline{O(\omega)}, \sigma) \longrightarrow(G, T)$ is the homomorphism defined by (1) then

$$
\psi_{\omega}=\tilde{\psi}_{\omega} \circ \Pi \quad \text { on } \quad \Pi^{-1}\left(G-G_{2}\right)
$$

where $\psi_{\omega}(y)=y[0], y \in \overline{O(\omega)}$.

## 2. Minimality of $\widetilde{\Theta(\omega)}$

Let $\omega$ be the regular non-periodic $T^{\circ}$-sequence over $Z_{2}=\{0,1\}$ with a period structure $\left\{p_{t}\right\}, t \geq 0$. On the one hand $\omega$ determines a Toeplitz flow $\Theta(\omega)=(\overline{O(\omega)}, \sigma)$. On the other hand $\omega$ determines a $Z_{2}$-extension $\widehat{\Theta(\omega)}$ of $\Theta(\omega)$ defined by

$$
\widetilde{\Theta(\omega)}=\left(\overline{O(\omega)} \times Z_{2}, \tilde{\sigma}\right)
$$

where

$$
\tilde{\sigma}(y, i)=\left(\sigma(y), i+\psi_{\omega}(y)\right),
$$

$i \in Z_{2}, y \in \overline{O(\omega)}$. Put $X=\overline{O(\omega)} \times Z_{2}$ and denote by $\Pi^{*}$ the natural projection of $X$ on $\overline{O(\omega)}$ i.e.

$$
\Pi^{*}(y, i)=y
$$

We have the following commutative diagram


Let $C(\tilde{\sigma})$ and $C(\sigma)$ be the topological centralizers of $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\sigma$ respectively. If $S \in C(\sigma)$ then $S$ induces a continuous map $S^{\prime}$ on $G$ commuting with $T$ because $(G, T)$ is the maximal equicontinuous factor of $(\overline{O(\omega)}, \sigma)$. But $S^{\prime}$ is a translation by an element $g_{0} \in G$. In this case it is natural to say that $g_{0}$ can be lifted to $S$. The question arises which elcments $g_{0} \in G$ can be lifted to an element of $C(\sigma)$. Notice that if $g_{0} \in G$ can be lifted to $S$, then $S$ is unique because the homomorphism $\Pi$ is one-to-one on $G_{0}$ which is of Haar measure one and the flows $(G, T)$ and $(\overline{O(\omega)}, \sigma)$ are minimal. We will show (proposition 1 below) that if ( $X, \widetilde{\sigma}$ ) is a minimal flow and $\widetilde{S} \in C(\tilde{\sigma})$ then $\widetilde{S}$ induces an $S \in C(\sigma)$.

The next problem is how to describe those $S \in C(\sigma)$ which can be lifted to elements of $C(\tilde{\sigma})$. In $\S 3$ we answer these questions provided $\omega$ satisfies additional conditions.

Suppose that a $T^{\circ}$-sequence $\omega$ is determined by a sequence of blocks $\left\{A_{t}\right\}$, $\left|A_{t}\right|=p_{t}$ and each $A_{t}$ is partially filled by 0's and 1 's. Denote by $k_{t}$ the smallest distance between neighbouring holes in $A_{t}$ i.e. if $A_{t}$ has holes at $I_{1}$-th, $I_{2}$-th, $\ldots, I_{s}$-th places and

$$
I_{1}<I_{2}<\cdots<I_{s}
$$

then

$$
k_{t}=\min \left\{\left[I_{j+1}-I_{j}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, s-1\right], p_{t}-I_{s}+I_{1}\right\}
$$

We say that $\omega$ has the property $(S h)$ (separated holes) if

$$
k_{t} \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty .
$$

Remark 4. If $\omega$ has the property ( $S h$ ) then each $\Omega_{g}, g \in G$, contains at most two points.

In fact, let $g=\left(I_{t}^{\prime}\right) \in G_{2}$ with $0 \leq I_{t}^{\prime} \leq p_{t}-1, I_{t+1}^{\prime} \equiv I_{t}^{\prime}\left(\bmod p_{t}\right)$ and suppose $y, y^{\prime} \in \Omega_{g}$. Then $y\left[-I_{t}^{\prime}, p_{t}-I_{i}^{\prime}-1\right]=y^{\prime}\left[-I_{t}^{\prime}, p_{t}-I_{t}^{\prime}-1\right]=A_{t}$ what implies that the blocks $y\left[-k_{t}, k_{t}\right]$ and $y^{\prime}\left[-k_{t}, k_{t}\right]$ coincide except at the 0 -th place. The condition $k_{t} \rightarrow \infty$ implies that $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ can differ only at the 0 -th place. Simultaneously $\Omega_{g}$ contains precisely two points because $g \in G_{2}$. If $g \in\left(G_{2}+Z\right)$ then the same argument shows that $\Omega_{g}$ contains precisely two points. Of course, if $g \in G_{0}$ then $\Omega_{g}$ consist of the only one point $\omega(g)$.

Proposition 1. If $\overline{\Theta(\omega)}$ is a minimal flow and $\widetilde{S} \in C(\widetilde{\sigma})$ then there exist $S \in C(\sigma)$ and a continuous function $\psi: \bar{O}(\omega) \rightarrow Z_{2}$ such that

$$
\widetilde{S}(y, i)=(S(y), i+\psi(y))
$$

Moreover the function $\psi$ satisfies a condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(y)+(S y)[0]=y[0]+\psi(\sigma(y)) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: For $a \in G$, a set $\Delta_{a} \subset G \times G$ is defined by

$$
\Delta_{a}=\{(g, g+a) ; g \in G\}
$$

The sets $\Delta_{a}, a \in G$, are closed, $T \times T$-invariant and minimal. Consider a family of subsets $\widetilde{\Delta}_{a}$ of $X \times X, a \in G$, where

$$
\tilde{\Delta}_{a}=\left(\Pi^{*}\right)^{-1} \Pi^{-1}\left(\Delta_{a}\right) \quad(\operatorname{see}(3))
$$

The sets $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{a}}$ are closed in $X \times X, \tilde{\sigma} \times \tilde{\sigma}$-invariant, pairwise disjoint and

$$
\bigcup_{a \in G} \tilde{\Delta}_{a}=X \times X
$$

Take $\tilde{S} \in C(\tilde{\sigma})$. The graph $\Gamma$ of $\widetilde{S}$ is a minimal subset of $(X \times X, \tilde{\sigma} \times \tilde{\sigma})$ and hence is contained in one of the $\widetilde{\Delta}_{a}^{\prime} s$ i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}\left\{\left(\Omega_{g}, i\right)\right\}=\left\{\left(\Omega_{g+a}, i\right)\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g \in G$ and $i=0,1$. Take $g \in G_{0} \cap\left(G_{0}-a\right)$. Then $(g+a) \in G_{0}$ what means that $\Omega_{g}=\{\omega(g)\}$ and $\Omega_{g+a}=\{\omega(g+a)\}$. Denote $v=\omega(g)$ and $u=\omega(g+a)$. The condition (5) implies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{S}(v, 0)=(u, \psi(v))  \tag{6}\\
\widetilde{S}(v, 1)=(u, 1+\psi(v))
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\psi(v)=0$ or 1 .
Now we show that (6) holds for any $y \in \overline{O(\omega)}$. The minimality of the flow $(X, \tilde{\sigma})$ implies that there exists $r_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
(y, 0)=\lim _{n} \tilde{\sigma}^{r_{n}}(v, 0) .
$$

We have

$$
\tilde{S}(y, 0)=\lim _{n} \widetilde{S}^{\tilde{\sigma}_{n}}(v, 0)=\lim _{n} \tilde{\sigma}^{r_{n}}(u, \psi(v))=\left(u_{0}, j\right)
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{S}(y, 1)=\lim _{n} \tilde{S}^{\sigma_{n}}(v, 1)=\lim _{n} \tilde{\sigma}^{r_{n}}(u, 1+\psi(v))=\left(u_{0}, 1+j\right),
$$

 $u_{n}, u_{0} \in \overline{O(\omega)}$.

The last equalities imply (6) for $y$. We can rewrite (6) as

$$
\widetilde{S}(y, i)=(S(y), i+\psi(y)),
$$

$i \in Z_{2}$ and $y \in \overline{O(\omega)}$. It is a standard argument that $S \in C(\sigma)$ and $\psi$ is a continuous function. The equality (4) follows from the condition $\widetilde{S} \tilde{\sigma}=\tilde{\sigma} \widetilde{S}$. In this way the proposition is proved.

Proposition 2. If a $T^{\circ}$-sequence $\omega$ satisfies (Sh) then $\widetilde{\Theta(\omega)}$ is a minimal fow.

Proof: Suppose $(X, \tilde{\sigma})$ is not minimal. It follows from [9] that there exists a continuous function $f: \bar{O}(\omega) \rightarrow K(K=\{z ;|z|=1\}$ is the circle group) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(\sigma(y))}{f(y)}=(-1)^{3^{[0]}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in \overline{O(\omega)}$. Thus the function $f^{2}$ satisfies the condition

$$
f^{2}(\sigma(y))=f^{2}(y) .
$$

This means that $f^{2}$ is $\sigma$-invariant and hence constant $\left(f^{2}=c\right)$ because $(\overline{O(\omega)}, \sigma)$ is an ergodic system (it is uniquely ergodic). Then the function $\tilde{f}=\frac{1}{c} \cdot f$ satisfies (7) again and $\tilde{f}$ admits only 1 and -1 as its value. So we can assume that $f$ has the above property. Define a function $F: \overline{O(\omega)} \rightarrow Z_{2}$ in the following way

$$
F(y)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } f(y)=1 \\ 1 & \text { if } f(y)=-1\end{cases}
$$

Then (7) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\sigma(y))+F(y)=y[0] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in \overline{O(\omega)}$.
We will show that (8) implies $\omega$ is a periodic sequence. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\omega$ is a Toeplitz sequence.

As previously let

$$
I_{1}<I_{2}<\cdots<I_{s}, \quad s=s(t)
$$

be places in $A_{t}$ such that $A_{t}\left[I_{j}\right]=^{\prime \prime}{ }^{-\prime \prime}, j=1,2, \ldots, s$. First we show that ( 8 ) implies $F$ is constant on $\Omega_{1}^{t}$ (see §1) if $I \neq I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{s}$, and $t$ is large enough. There exist a positive integer $L$ such that

$$
F(y)=F\left(y^{i}\right)
$$

whenever $y[-L, L]=y^{\prime}[-L, L]$. Take $I$ such that $I_{1}+L<I<I_{2}-L$ (it is possible because $\left.I_{2}(t)-I_{1}(t) \geq k_{t} \rightarrow \infty\right)$. Then $y, y^{\prime} \in \Omega_{1}^{t}$ implies

$$
y[-L, L]=A_{t}[I-L, I+L]=y^{\prime}\{-L, L]
$$

and hence $F(y)=F\left(y^{\prime}\right)$.
Applying (8) we have

$$
F(y)=F\left(y^{\prime}\right)
$$

whenever $y, y^{t} \in \Omega_{I}^{t}, I_{1}<I<I_{2}$ because $y[0]=A_{t}[I]=y^{\prime}[0]$. Now we can repeat the above consideration for all $I, 0 \leq I \leq p_{t}-1$ such that $I \neq$ $I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{s}$. As a consequence we obtain that $F$ is constant on $\Omega_{I}^{t}$ for $t$ large enough and $I \neq I_{1}, I_{2}, \ldots, I_{s}$.

Denote, by $\hat{\omega}$ the one-sided sequence obtained from $\omega$ by taking the partial sums of its members in $Z_{2}$ i.e.

$$
\widehat{\omega}=(0, \omega[0], \omega[0]+\omega[1], \omega[0]+\omega[1]+\omega[2], \ldots)
$$

Set $F\left(\Omega_{0}^{t}\right)=0$ and

$$
i_{j}=F\left(\Omega_{I_{j}+1}^{t}\right), j=1,2, \ldots, s, \quad i_{j} \in Z_{2}
$$

It follows from (8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\Omega_{I_{j}+1}^{t}\right)=i_{j}+\omega\left[I_{j}+1\right]+\cdots+\omega\left[I_{j}+I-1\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $2 \leq I<I_{j+1}-I_{j}$, because

$$
y[0]=\omega\left[I_{j}+I\right] \text { if } y \in \Omega_{I_{j}+I}^{t}
$$

Moreover we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\Omega_{I}^{\mathbf{t}}\right)=\widehat{\omega}[I-1] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $I=1,2, \ldots, I_{1}-1$.
Now suppose that $A_{t+1}\left[I_{j}\right]=0$ or 1 if $j=1,2, \ldots, s$ (it is possible because $\omega$ is a Toeplitz sequence and $i_{1}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ ). We obtain from (9) and (10)

$$
i_{j}=F\left(\Omega_{I_{j}+1}^{t}\right)=F\left(\Omega_{I_{j}+1}^{t+1}\right)=\widehat{\omega}\left[I_{j}\right] .
$$

Thus we can write (9) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\Omega_{I}^{t}\right)=\widehat{\omega}[I-1] \tag{I1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $0 \leq I \leq p_{t}$ and $I \neq I_{1}, \ldots, I_{s}$. Using (1I) and the condition $i_{1}(t) \rightarrow$ $\infty$ it is not hard to check that $\hat{\omega}$ is periodic with period $p_{t}$ if $t$ is large enough. Then $\omega$ is a periodic sequence with the same period $p_{t}$ and moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega[0]+\omega[1]+\cdots+\omega\left[p_{t}-1\right]=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we proved the proposition.
Remark 5. If $S \in C(\sigma)$ can be lifted to an $\widetilde{S} \in C(\widetilde{\sigma})$ then it can be lifted to two $\widetilde{S}, \widetilde{S}^{\prime} \in C(\tilde{\sigma})$.
In fact, if

$$
\widetilde{S}(y, i)=(S(y), i+\psi(y))
$$

then the function

$$
\psi^{\prime}(y)=1+\psi(y)
$$

satisfies (4) what implies that $\widetilde{S}^{\prime}$ given by

$$
\widetilde{S}^{\prime}(y, i)=\left(S(y), i+\psi^{\prime}(y)\right)
$$

is an element of $C(\tilde{\sigma})$. Suppose that $\widetilde{S}_{1}$ is such that

$$
\widetilde{S}_{1}(y, i)=\left(S(y), i+\psi_{1}(y)\right)
$$

Then $\psi_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\psi_{1}(y)+(S y)[0]=y[0]+\psi_{1}(\sigma(y)) .
$$

Adding the above equality and (4) in $Z_{2}$ we obtain

$$
\psi_{1}(y)+\psi(y)=\psi_{1}(\sigma(y))+\psi(\sigma(y)) .
$$

Thus the function $\psi_{1}+\psi$ is $\sigma$-invariant and hence constant ( $=0$ or 1) by minimality of $(\overline{O(\omega)}, \sigma)$. So we have

$$
\psi_{1}(y)=\psi(y) \text { or } \psi_{1}(y)=1+\psi(y) .
$$

It follows from (4) that $\widetilde{S}$ is an automorphism iff $S$ is an automorphism.

## 3. The Topological Centralizers of $\Theta(\omega)$ and $\widetilde{\Theta(\omega)}$

Let $\omega$ be a $T^{\circ}$-sequence satisfying the condition ( $S h$ ) and as preceding let $G_{2}$ be the set of all $g=\left(I_{t}\right) \in G, 0 \leq I_{t} \leq p_{t}-1, I_{t+1} \equiv I_{t}\left(\bmod p_{t}\right)$ for every $t \geq 0$, and $G_{1}=G_{2}+Z$.

Proposition 3. The flow $(\overline{O(\omega)}, \sigma)$ is topologically coalescent i.e. each $S \in$ $C(\sigma)$ is a homeomorphism.

Proof: Take $S \in C(\sigma)$. By preceding considerations there exists $h=\left(h_{t}\right) \in$ $G, 0 \leq h_{t} \leq p_{t}-1$, such that

$$
S\left(\Omega_{g}\right)=\Omega_{g+r}
$$

for all $g \in G$. If $g \in G_{0}$ then card $\left(\Omega_{g}\right)=1$ and then card $\left(\Omega_{g+h}\right)=1$ so that $\left(G_{0}+h\right) \subset G_{0}$. We will show that $\left(G_{0}+h\right)=G_{0}$.

The map $S$ can be obtained by a code, i.e., there exist integers $k, I$ with $k>0$ and a function $f:\{0,1\}^{k} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ such that

$$
(S y)[i]=f(y[i+I, \ldots, i+I+k-1])
$$

for all $i=0, \pm 1, \ldots$ and $y \in \overline{O(\omega)}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $I=0$. Choose $t$ large enough so that $k_{t}>(2 k+1)$ and consider $\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega_{0}$. Then $S \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega_{h}$.

Suppose that $I_{0}, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{s-1}$ be places in $A_{t}$ such that $A_{t}\left[I_{j}\right]="-", j=$ $0,1, \ldots, s-1$. Then $A_{t}$ is of the following form

$$
A_{t}=B_{t}(0) \underline{I_{1}} B_{t}(1) \underline{I_{2}} \cdots \underline{I_{s-1}} B_{t}(s)
$$

The sequences $\omega_{p_{1}}^{\prime}$ and $\left(S \omega^{\prime}\right)_{p_{i}}$ (see $\S 1$ ) are concatenations of blocks $A_{t}$ and $\left(S \omega^{\prime}\right)_{p_{t}}$ is the translation of $\omega_{p_{t}}^{\prime}$ on $h_{t}$. We can compare $\omega_{p_{t}}^{\prime}$ and $\left(S \omega^{\prime}\right)_{p_{t}}$ using the following figure


Figure 1.
Using a coding argument it is easy to see that each hole in $\left(S \omega^{\prime}\right)_{p_{t}}$ appears at the place which is distant not more than $k$ places from some hole in $\omega_{p_{i}}^{\prime}$. Thus
there exists an one-to-one correspondence between the holes in $\omega_{p_{t}}^{\prime}$ and $\left(S \omega^{\prime}\right)_{p_{t}}$. This means the following: whenever the block $B_{t}(0) \quad$ _ $B_{t}(1)$ appears in $\omega_{p_{t}}^{\prime}$ then the block $B_{t}(r) \ldots B_{t}(r+1)$ (see Figure 1) appears in $\left(S \omega^{t}\right)_{p t}$ and they are placed as follows


Figure 2.
where $k^{t} \leq k$. Both the blocks $B_{t}(0) 0 B_{t}(1)$ and $B_{t}(0) 1 B_{t}(1)$ appear in $\omega^{t}$ and the blocks $B_{t}(r) 0 B_{t}(r+1)$ and $B_{t}(r) 1 B_{t}(r+1)$ appear in $S \omega^{\prime}$. Using a coding argument again it is clear that whenever the block $B_{t}(0) 0 B_{t}(1)$ appears in $\omega^{t}$ then $B_{t}(r) b_{0} B_{t}(r+1)$ appears under it which some $b_{0}=0$ or 1. If $B_{t}(0) 1 B_{t}(1)$ appears in $\omega^{\prime}$ then $B_{t}(r) \tilde{b}_{0} B_{t}(r+1)$ appears in $S \omega^{\prime}\left(\tilde{b_{0}}=1+b_{0}\right)$. We can repeat the same argument for each block $B_{t}(I) 0 B_{t}(I+1)$ and $B_{t}(I) 1 B_{t}(I+1)$, $I=0,1, \ldots, s-1$.

Now, take $g \in G_{2}$. According to Remark 4 there exist precisely two $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in$ $\Omega_{g}$ such that $\omega_{1}[i]=\omega_{2}[i]$ for $i \in Z, i \neq 0$, and $\omega_{1}[0]=\omega_{2}[0]+1$. The above reasoning shows that $S \omega_{1}$ and $S \omega_{2}$ differ at one place (see Figure 2) and they coincide at the remaining places. This means that card $\left(\Omega_{g+h}\right)=2$ so that $g+h \in G_{1}$. The last condition implies $\left(G_{1}+h\right) \subset G_{1}$ and then $G_{1}+h=G_{1}$ because $\left(G_{0}+h\right) \subset G_{0}$. It foilows from Remark 4 that $S$ is one-to-one.

Corollary 1. We conclude from the proof of the above proposition that if $h \in G$ can be lifted to an element of $C(\sigma)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G_{1}+h\right)=G_{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(equivalently $G_{0}+h=G_{0}$ ), moreover there exists $k>0$ such that

$$
\left(G_{2}+h\right) \subset \bigcup_{I=0}^{k}\left(G_{2}+I\right)
$$

To answer the question which $h \in G$ satisfying (13) can be lifted to a $S \in$ $C(\sigma)$ we need two notions. By a $t$-symbol of $\omega$ we mean every block $A$ of length $p_{\mathrm{t}}$ such that

$$
A=\omega\left[I p_{t}, I p_{t}+p_{t}-1\right], \quad I=0, \pm 1, \ldots
$$

and all the members of $\omega\left[I p_{t}, I p_{t}+p_{t}-1\right]$ are 0 or 1 . Each $t$-symbol of $\omega$ coincides with $A_{t}$ except at the $I_{0}$-th, $\ldots, I_{s-1}$-th places. The sequence $\omega^{\prime}\left(\omega^{\prime} \in \Omega_{0}\right)$ is a concatenation of $t$-symbols of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime}=\ldots A_{t}\left(j_{-1}\right) A_{t}\left(j_{0}\right) A_{t}\left(j_{1}\right) \ldots \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{t}(\cdot)$ denote $t$-symbols and $A_{t}\left(j_{0}\right)=\omega^{\prime}\left[0, p_{t}-1\right]$. Likewise, if $y \in \Omega_{h}$, $h=\left(h_{t}\right)$, then $y$ is a concatenation of $t$-symbols $A_{t}(h)(\cdot)$ of $\omega(h)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
y=\ldots A_{t}(h)\left(j_{-1}\right) A_{t}(h)\left(j_{0}\right) A_{t}(h)\left(j_{1}\right) \ldots  \tag{15}\\
0-\text { th place }
\end{gather*}
$$

Each of the $t$-symbols of $\omega(h)$ coincides with $A_{t} A_{t}\left[h_{t}, h_{t}+p_{t}-1\right]$ except at the places $I_{0}-h_{t}, \ldots, I_{s-1}-h_{t}\left(\right.$ taken mod $\left.p_{t}\right)$.

Define a two-sided sequence $\omega^{t+1}$ as follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\omega^{t+1}=\ldots \omega\left[I_{0}-p_{t}\right] \ldots \omega\left[I_{s-1}-p_{t}\right] \omega\left[I_{0}\right] \ldots \omega\left[I_{s-1}\right] \omega\left[I_{0}+p_{t}\right] \ldots \omega\left[I_{s-1}+p_{t}\right] \ldots \\
\text { 0-th place }
\end{gathered}
$$

i.e., $\omega^{t+1}$ is the sequence which we should put in the holes of $\omega_{p t}$ to obtain $\omega$. Analogously we define $\omega^{t+1}(h)$.

Theorem 1. An element $h \in G$ satsifying (19) can be lifted to a $S \in C(\sigma)$ if and only if there exists $t$ such that

$$
\omega^{t+1}+\omega^{t+1}(h)
$$

is a periodic sequence with period equal to the number of all holes of $A_{t}$.
Proof: It is casy to prove the necesity repeating the same arguments as in the proof of Proposition 3.
Sufficiency. Suppose that the condition

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\omega^{t+1}+\omega^{t+1}(h)=\ldots B B B \ldots  \tag{16}\\
0 \text {-th place }
\end{array}
$$

holds for $t=t_{0}$ with $|B|=s, s$-the number of all the holes in $A_{t}$, and assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{t_{0}}>4 k+1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (17) is not satisfied then we can take large enough $t>t_{0}$ because (16) is satisfied for each $t \geq t_{0}$.

Using this condition we will construct an one-to-one correspondence $f_{t}\left(\geq t_{0}\right)$ between the sets $Z_{t}$ and $Z_{t}^{\prime}$ of all the $t$-symbols of $\omega$ and $\omega(h)$ respectively. Let $t=t_{0}$ again, write $\omega$ and $\omega(h)$ as in (14) and (15) (except at may be one place). Each $t$-symbol of $\omega$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{t}(j)=B_{t}(0) \underline{a_{0}} B_{t}(1) \underline{a_{1}} \cdots a_{s-1} B_{t}(s) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}, \ldots, a_{s-1}$ are at the $I_{0}$-th $, \ldots, I_{s-1}$-th places and they depend on $j$. Thus each $t$-symbol is completely determined by its values at the places
$I_{0}, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{s+1}$. Likewise if $g=\left(g_{t}\right), 0 \leq g_{t} \leq p_{t}-1$, then each $t$-symbol of $\omega(g)$ is determined by its values at the places $I_{0}-g_{t}, I_{1}-g_{t}, \ldots, I_{s-1}-g_{t}$ (taken $\bmod p_{t}$ ). The condition (13) implies that each place $I_{0}-h_{t}, \ldots, I_{s-1}-h_{t}$ in $A_{t}(h)$ is distant not more than $k$ places from a place from among $I_{0}, \ldots, I_{s-1}$ in $A_{t}$. That property and (17) define an one-to-one correspondence between the holes in $\omega_{p_{t}}$ and $(\omega(h))_{p_{i}}$. Moreover (16) implies that if a $t$-symbol $A_{t}(j)$ appears in $\omega$ then replacing each $a_{i}, i=0, \ldots, s-1$, (see (18)) by $a_{i}+B[i]$, respectively, we obtain a $t$-symbol $A_{i}(h)(j)$ of $\omega(h)$. It is easy to see that the above operation determines an one-to-one correspondence $f_{t_{0}}$ between the sets $Z_{t_{0}}$ and $Z_{t_{0}}^{\prime}$. Now we can extend that correspondence between $Z_{i}$ and $Z_{t}^{\prime}$ for $t \geq t_{0}$. Take a $t$-symbol $A_{t}(g)$ of $\omega$. Then $A_{t}($.$) is a concatenation of t_{0}-$ symbols. Then a concatenation of their images by $f_{t_{0}}$ forms a $t$-symbol of $\omega(h)$ (see (16)). In this manner $f_{t}$ is defined. To define $S \in C(\sigma)$ take $y \in \overline{O(\omega)}$ with $y \in \Omega_{g}, g=\left(g_{t}\right)$. Then $y$ is a concatenation of $t_{0}$-symbols

$$
y=\ldots A_{t_{0}}\left(j_{-1}^{\prime}\right) A_{t_{0}}\left(j_{0}^{t}\right) A_{t_{0}}\left(j_{1}^{t}\right) \ldots
$$

with $y\left[-g_{t_{0}}, p_{t_{0}}-g_{t_{0}}-1\right]=A_{t_{0}}\left(j_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Put

$$
S(y)=\ldots A_{t_{0}}(h)\left(j_{-1}^{\prime}\right) A_{t_{0}}(h)\left(j_{0}^{\prime}\right) A_{t_{0}}(h)\left(j_{1}^{\prime}\right) \ldots
$$

where

$$
A_{t_{0}}(h)(j)=f_{t}\left(A_{t_{0}}(j)\right), j=j_{0}^{t}, j_{-1}^{t}, j_{1}^{t}, \ldots
$$

It is evident that $S$ is a continuous map commuting with $\sigma$ and $S(y) \in \Omega_{g+h}$. This means that $S$ is a lifting of $h$. Thus the theorem is proved.

Theorem 2. If $\omega$ satisfies the condition (Sh) then every $S \in C(\sigma)$ can be lifted to $a \widetilde{S} \in C(\tilde{\sigma})$.

Proof: Assume that $h=\left(h_{t}\right), 0 \leq h_{t} \leq p_{t}-1$ satisfies the condition of Theorem 1 with $t=t_{0}$ and let $B$ be the corresponding block. We will show (Lemma 1) that we can admit

$$
\begin{equation*}
B[0]+B[1]+\cdots+B[s-1]=0 \quad\left(\text { in } Z_{2}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now suppose that (19) holds. Take a $t_{0}$-symbol of the form (18) and construct a $t_{0}$-symbol $A_{t_{0}}(h)(j)$ of $\omega(h)$ as in the proof of Theorem 1. Put $C=A_{t_{0}}(j)+$ $A_{t_{0}}(h)(j)$ and denote by $\widehat{C}$ a block obtained from $C$ by taking partial sums of the members of $C$ i.e.

$$
\widehat{C}[i]=C[0]+\cdots+C[i\} \quad \text { in } Z_{2}, i=0,1, \ldots, p_{t_{0}}-1
$$

Now we can define a function $\psi: \overline{O(\omega)} \rightarrow Z_{2}$. For $y \in \overline{O(\omega)}$ with $y \in \Omega_{g}$, $g=\left(g_{t}\right), 0 \leq g_{t} \leq p_{t}-1, g_{t+1} \equiv g_{t}\left(\bmod p_{t}\right)$ define

$$
\Psi(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
C\left[g_{t_{0}}-1\right] & \text { if } & g_{t_{0}}>0  \tag{20}\\
0 & \text { if } & g_{t_{0}}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

We check that $\psi$ satisfies (4). It follows from considerations of the proof of Theorem 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(S y)[0]=y[0]+C\left[g_{t_{0}}\right] . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using (19), (20) and (21) we can verify (4) in an easy way. To complete the proof it suffices to show (19).

Lemma 1. Let $h=\left(h_{t}\right)$ satisfy the conditions (13) and (16). Then we can find $t_{1}$ satisfying (16) and the corresponding block $B$ satisfying (19).

Proof: It suffices to restrict to the case $h$ is not rational integer. Write again the block $A_{t}\left(t=t_{0}\right)$ in the form

$$
A_{t}=A_{t}(0) \underline{I_{0}} A_{t}(1) \underline{I_{1}} \cdots \underline{I_{s-1}} A_{t}(s)
$$

and let $I_{0}, I_{1}, \ldots, I_{3-1}$ be all places at which $A_{t}$ has holes. If we draw the block $A_{t}(h)=A_{t} A_{t}\left[h_{t}, h_{t}+p_{t}-1\right]$ under $A_{t}$ then the condition(16) says that each hole in it is distant not more than $k$ places from a hole of $A_{t}$. Suppose that the hole of $A_{t}(h)$ with the number $I_{r}, 0 \leq r \leq s-1$ is lying not far from $I_{0}$ in $A_{t}$. Then the $I_{r+1}$ th hole is not far from $I_{1}$ and so on. Let $A_{t+1}^{*}$ be the concatenation of $\lambda_{t+1}$ blocks $A_{t}$

$$
A_{t+1}^{*}=\underbrace{A_{t}(0) \ldots A_{t}(1) \_A_{t}(s)}_{A_{t}} \cdots \underbrace{A_{t}(0) \ldots A_{t}(1) \_\ldots \ldots A_{t}(s)}_{A_{t}}
$$

The block $A_{t+1}^{*}$ has $s \lambda_{t+1}$ holes and to obtain a block $A_{t+1}$ we use a block $\alpha^{t+1}$,

$$
\alpha^{t+1}=\alpha^{t+1}(0) \underline{x_{0}} \alpha^{t+1}(1) \underline{x_{1}} \cdots \underline{x}_{s-1}^{\prime} \alpha^{t+1}\left(s^{t}\right)
$$

by putting the successive members of $\alpha^{t+1}$ in holes of $A_{t+1}^{*}$. We have $\left|\alpha^{t+1}\right|=$ $s \lambda_{t+1}$ and $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s-1}^{\prime}$ denote the positions in $\alpha^{t+1}$ with holes. If we draw the block $A_{t+1}^{*} A_{t+1}^{*}\left[h_{t+1}, h_{t+1}+p_{t+1}-1\right]$ under $A_{t+1}^{*}$ then there exists exactly one hole in it that appears not far from the first hole in $A_{t+1}^{*}$. That hole determines a place $r^{\prime}$ in $\alpha^{t+1}, 0 \leq r^{\prime} \leq s \lambda_{t+1}-1$. Consider the translation to the left of $\alpha^{t+1}$ by $r^{\prime}$ places. The the block $\alpha^{t+1} \alpha^{t+1}\left[r^{t}, r^{\prime}+s \lambda_{t+1}-1\right]$ is the begining of $\omega^{t+1}(h)$ as well as $\alpha^{t+1}$ is the begining of $\omega^{t+1}$. It is not hard to deduce from (16) that the holes of $\alpha^{t+1} \alpha^{t+1}\left[r^{\prime}, r^{\prime}+s \lambda_{t+1}-1\right]$ appear precisely under the holes of $\alpha^{t+1}$. If we denote by $H_{0}^{\prime}$ the subgroup of $Z_{\lambda_{s},} \lambda=\lambda_{t+1}$, generated by $r^{\prime}$ then the last property means that the set $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{9-1}^{\prime}\right\}$ is a sum of cosets of $Z_{\lambda,}$ modulo $H_{0}^{\prime}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $r^{t}$ is the smallest element of $H_{0}^{\prime}$. Then $r^{\prime} \mid s \lambda_{t+1}$. Replacing $t+1$ by $t+v$ cventually we can assume that there exist successive members of $\alpha^{t+1}$ equal 0 or 1 (because $h_{t} \rightarrow \infty$ ). Suppose that $\alpha^{t+1}[0], \alpha^{t+1}[1], \ldots, \alpha^{t+1}[s-1]$ are 0 or 1.

If we write $\tau^{\prime}=q s+u, 0 \leq u \leq s-1$, then $v: r^{\prime} \rightarrow u$ defines a homomorphism of $H_{0}^{\prime}$ to $Z_{s}$. Let $H_{0}=v\left(H_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and let $c$ the order of $r^{t}$ in $Z_{\lambda s}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha^{t+1}\left[r^{\prime}\right]=\alpha^{t+1}[0]+B[0], \\
& \alpha^{t+1}\left[2 r^{\prime}\right]=\alpha^{t+1}\left[r^{\prime}\right]+B[u], \\
& \vdots  \tag{22}\\
& \alpha^{t+1}\left[(c-1) r^{\prime}\right]=\alpha^{t+1}\left[(c-2) r^{\prime}\right]+B[(c-2) u], \\
& \alpha^{t+1}[0]=\alpha^{t+1}\left[c r^{\prime}\right]=\alpha^{t+1}\left[(c-1) r^{\prime}\right]+B[(c-1) u] .
\end{align*}
$$

The above equalities give

$$
B[0]+B[u]+\cdots+B[\underbrace{c-1) u}_{\text {in } Z_{s}}]=0 .
$$

If $\mu=\operatorname{card}\{\operatorname{ker}(v)\}$ then, of course,

$$
B[0]+B[u]+\cdots+B\{(c-1) u]=\mu \cdot \sum_{i \in H_{0}^{\prime}} B[i] .
$$

Taking in (22) the members $\alpha^{t+1}\left[r^{\prime}+j\right], \alpha^{t+1}\left[2 r^{t}+j\right], \ldots, \alpha^{t+1}\left[(c-1) r^{\prime}+j\right]$, $j=1,2, \ldots, s-1$, we obtain

$$
B[j]+B[u+j]+\cdots+B[(c-1) u+j]=0 .
$$

Further we have

$$
B[j]+B[u+j]+\cdots+B[(c-1) u+j]=\mu \cdot \sum_{i \in A} B[i],
$$

where $A$ is a coset of $Z_{s}$ modulo $H_{0}^{\prime}$. In this way we obtain

$$
\mu \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} B[i]=0
$$

If $\mu$ is odd then we have $\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} B[i]=0$. If $\mu$ is even then we replace $t+1$ by $t+2$. If $B^{\prime}$ is a block satisfying

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\omega^{t+2}+\omega^{t+2}(h)=\ldots B^{\prime} & B^{\prime} B^{\prime} \ldots \\
& 0 \text {-th place }
\end{array}
$$

and $\left|B^{\prime}\right|=s^{t}$ (the number of all holes in $A_{t+1}$ ) then it is not hard to deduce that

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{s^{\prime}-1} B^{\prime}[i]=\mu \cdot \sum_{A \in C}\left(\sum_{i \in A} B[i]\right),
$$

where $A$ is a coset of $Z$, modulo $H_{0}^{\prime}$ and $C$ a set of cosets (some cosets in $C$ can be repeated). So we have

$$
B^{\prime}[0]+B^{\prime}[1]+\cdots+B^{\prime}\left[s^{\prime}-1\right]=0 .
$$

In this way the lemma is proved

## 4. $k_{t}$-Toeplitz flows

In this section we examine a class of Toeplitz flows determined by special $T^{\circ}$-sequences. Given two sequences of positive integers $\mu_{0}, \mu_{1}, \ldots ; s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots$ such that $\mu_{i}, s_{i} \geq 2,\left(\mu_{i}, \mu_{j}\right)=1$ for $i \neq j$ and $\left(\mu_{i}, s_{j}\right)=1, i, j=0,1, \ldots$, let us denote

$$
\lambda_{t}=\mu_{t} s_{t}, \quad k_{t}=\mu_{0} \cdot \ldots \cdot \mu_{t}, \quad m_{t}=s_{0} \cdot \ldots \cdot s_{t}, \quad p_{t}=k_{t} m_{t}
$$

We will define a $T^{\circ}$-sequence $\omega$ determined by a sequence of blocks $A_{t}$ with $\left|A_{t}\right|=p_{t}$ in such a way that each $A_{t}$ has $k_{t}$ holes with equal distances between them. To make this precise we define

$$
A_{t}=\ldots \quad A_{t}(0) \ldots \ldots \ldots A_{t}\left(k_{t}-1\right)
$$

where $A_{t}(0), \ldots, A_{t}\left(k_{t}-1\right)$ are blocks of 0's and I's with

$$
\left|\ldots A_{t}(j)\right|=m_{t}, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k_{t}-1
$$

In order to obtain a block $A_{t+1}$ we use a block $\alpha^{i+1}$ of a form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{t+1}=\ldots_{-} \alpha^{t+1}(0) \ldots \alpha^{t+1}(1) \ldots \ldots \alpha^{t+1}\left(k_{t+1}-1\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|\quad \alpha^{t+1}(j)\right|=s_{t+1}, j=0,1, \ldots, k_{t+1}-1$. The block

$$
\underbrace{A_{t} A_{t} \ldots A_{t}}_{\lambda_{t+1} \text { times }}
$$

has $k_{t} \lambda_{t+1}$ holes and we fill them by using the successive members of $\alpha^{t+1}$. As a consequence we obtain a block $A_{t+1}$ having $k_{t+1}$ holes. If we put

$$
\alpha^{0}=A_{0}
$$

then we can say that the $T^{\circ}$-sequence $\omega$ is determined by a sequence $\left\{\alpha^{t}\right\}_{0}^{\circ}$ of blocks of the form (23). We will call such a $k_{i}$-sequence and corresponding $\Theta(\omega)=(\overline{O(\omega)}, \sigma)$ a $k_{i}$-Toeplitz flow. A sequence $\omega$ is regular because

$$
\frac{k_{t}}{p_{t}}=\frac{1}{m_{t}} \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0
$$

To assume $\omega$ is not periodic we will assume that for all $t \geq 0$ and $j ; 0 \leq j \leq$ $k_{t}-1$, there exist at least two places $I, I^{\prime}$ in $\alpha^{t+1}$ such that $\alpha^{t+1}[I]=0$, $\alpha^{t+1}\left[I^{\prime}\right]=I$ and $I \equiv I^{t} \equiv j\left(\bmod k_{t}\right)$.

Now we describe the topological centralizer of $\Theta(\omega)$. To do this we need to know the set $G_{2}$ of all points in which the corresponding function $\tilde{\psi}_{\omega}$ is not
continuous (see Remark 3). Let $Z_{n}$ as usual denote the cyclic group of order $n$. Define a sequence of group homomorphisms

$$
Z_{k_{0}} \stackrel{f_{0}}{\leftrightarrows} Z_{k_{1}} \stackrel{f_{2}}{\leftrightarrows} Z_{k_{2}} \stackrel{f_{2}}{\leftrightarrows} \ldots
$$

such that

$$
f_{t}(i)=i s_{t+1}\left(\bmod k_{t}\right), \quad i \in Z_{k_{t+1}}
$$

The condition $\left(s_{t+1}, k_{t}\right)=1$ implies that $f_{t}$ is on $Z_{k_{t}}$. Let

$$
C=\left\{\left(j_{t} m_{t}\right)_{t=0}^{\infty} \in G ; \quad 0 \leq j_{t} \leq k_{t}-1 ; \quad j_{t}=f_{t}\left(j_{t+1}\right), t \geq 0\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that $C$ is isomorphic to the group $\Delta$ of $k_{t}$-adic integers numbers and that $G_{2}=C$. So $G_{1}=G_{2}+Z$ is a subgroup of $H$ (not closed) and $G_{1}$ is the direct sum of $C$ and $Z$ because $C \cap Z=\{0\}$. Now we want to describe those $h \in C$ that determine $S \in C(\sigma)$. We have $h+G_{2}=G_{2}$ so $h$ can be lifted to a $S \in C(\sigma)$ iff $\omega^{t+1}+\omega^{t+1}(h)$ is $k_{t}$-periodic sequence for some $t \geq 0$.

Proposition 4. If $h \in C$ and $h$ satisfies (16), then the order of $h$ in $G$ is finite.

Proof: Let $h=\left(j_{t} m_{t}\right) ; 0 \leq j_{t} \leq k_{t}-1 ; f_{t}\left(j_{t+1}\right)=j_{t}$. Then the block $A_{t}(h)$ has the form

$$
A_{t}(h)=\ldots \quad A_{t}\left(j_{t}\right) \ldots \quad A_{t}\left(j_{t}+1\right) \quad \cdots \quad A_{t}\left(j_{t}+k_{t}-1\right) .
$$

Therefore the holes in $A_{t}$ and $A_{t}(h)$ appear mod $k_{t}$ precisely at the same places. Assume that the condition (16) holds for $t=t_{0}$. The sequences $\omega$ and $\omega(h)$ are concatenations of the blocks $A_{t}$ and $A_{t}(h)$ and the holes in them are filled by the sequences $\omega^{t+1}$ and $\omega^{t+1}(h)$ respectively. Thus (16) implies that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\omega+\omega(h)=\ldots B^{t} & B^{t} B^{t} \ldots  \tag{24}\\
& 0 \text {-th place }
\end{array}
$$

where $\left|B^{\prime}\right|=p_{t}$. Denote by $\eta_{0}$ the sequence from the right side of (24). Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(y)=y+\sigma^{g t} \eta_{0} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y \in \overline{O(\omega)}, y \in \Omega_{g}, g=\left(g_{t}\right)_{t=0}^{\infty}, 0 \leq g_{t} \leq p_{t}-1$. Using (25) several times we obtain

$$
S^{g}(y)=y+\sigma^{g_{s}} \eta_{0}+\sigma^{g_{t}+h_{t}} \eta_{0}+\cdots+\sigma^{g_{t}+(\underline{q}-1) h_{t}} \eta_{0}
$$

Let $r$ be the order of $h_{t}$ in $Z_{p_{c}}$. Then we have

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
S^{2 r}(y) & =y+\sigma^{g t} \eta_{0}+\cdots+\sigma^{g_{t}+(r-1) h_{t}} \eta_{0}+\sigma^{g_{t}+r h_{t}} \eta_{0}+\cdots+ \\
& +\sigma^{g_{t}+(2 r-1) h_{t}} \eta_{0}=y+2\left(\sigma^{g t} \eta_{0}+\cdots+\sigma^{g t}+(r-1) h_{t}\right. \\
0
\end{array}\right)=y,
$$

because $r h_{t}=0\left(\operatorname{in} Z_{p t}\right)$ and $\sigma^{q} \eta_{0}=\eta_{0}$ whenever $q \equiv 0\left(\bmod p_{t}\right)$ : So we get $S^{2 r}=i d$ and the order of $h$ in $G$ is finite. This finishes the proof.

It is very easy to describe the set of all $h \in C$ with a finite order. Fix $u \geq 0$ and put

$$
\mu_{u}^{t}=\mu_{u+1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \mu_{u+t} \quad \text { for } t \geq 1
$$

Let

$$
H_{u}^{t}=\left\{0, \mu_{u}^{t}, \ldots,\left(k_{u}-I\right) \mu_{u}^{t}\right\}
$$

Then $H_{u}^{t}$ is a subgroup of $Z_{k_{u+t}}$ and the order of $H_{u}^{t}$ is $k_{2}$. Moreover, the homomorphisms $f_{u}, f_{u+1}, \ldots$ in the following sequence

$$
H_{u}^{0}=Z_{k_{u}} \stackrel{f_{u}}{\leftrightarrows} H_{u}^{1} \stackrel{f_{u+1}}{\stackrel{f_{u}}{w}} H_{u}^{2} \stackrel{f_{u+2}}{\leftrightarrows} \ldots
$$

are isomorphism. Define

$$
C_{u}=\left\{\left(j_{t} m_{t}\right)_{0}^{\infty} ; 0 \leq j_{T} \leq k_{t}-1, j_{t}=f_{t}\left(j_{t+1}\right), j_{u+v} \in H_{u}^{v}\right\}
$$

We have $C_{u} \subset C_{u+1}, u \geq 0$, and each $C_{u}$ is a subgroup of $C$ with ord $\left(C_{u}\right)=k_{u}$. It is evident that $C^{*}=\bigcup_{u \geq 0} C_{u}$ is a countable subgroup of $C$ and it is the set of all $h \in C$ of a finite order. Thus the topological centralizer of $\Theta(\omega)$ is a subgroup of $C^{*} \oplus Z$. Now we describe a class of $k_{t}$-sequences with topological centralizer equal to $C^{*} \oplus Z$.

Take $0 \leq j \leq k_{i}-1$ and denote by $\alpha_{j}^{t}$ the following block

$$
\alpha_{j}^{t}=\ldots \_\alpha^{t}(j) \ldots \ldots \alpha^{t}(j+1) \ldots \ldots \ldots \alpha^{t}\left(k_{t}-1+j\right) .
$$

If $h=\left(j_{t} m_{t}\right) \in C$, then the condition (16) can be formulated as follows:
There exists $t_{0} \geq 0$ and a block $B$ with $|B|=k_{t_{0}}$ such that for all $t \geq t_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{t+1}+\alpha_{j_{t+1}}^{t+1}=\underbrace{B_{t} B_{t} \ldots B_{t}}_{\lambda_{t+1}-\text { times }}, \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{t_{0}}=B$ and the next blocks $B_{t_{0}+1}, B_{t_{0}+2}, \ldots$ satisfy the recurrent formulas

$$
B_{t+1}[j]=B_{t}\left[f_{t}(j)\right], \quad j=0,1, \ldots, k_{t+1}-1, \quad t>t_{0} .
$$

Now assume additionally that $s_{t+1}>2 k_{t}+1$ for every $t \geq 0$. Take any block $\alpha^{0}$ of a form (23) such that $p_{0}=k_{0} m_{0}$ is the smallest period of the infinite sequence $\alpha^{0} \alpha^{0} \ldots$. Choose blocks

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \alpha^{t+1}(0) \ldots \ldots \ldots \alpha^{t+1}\left(\mu_{t+1}-1\right), \quad t \geq 0, \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

in such a way that for each $0 \leq j \leq k_{t-1}$ there exist $I \neq I^{\prime}, I \equiv I^{\prime} \equiv j\left(\bmod k_{t}\right)$ with $\alpha^{t+1}(0)[I]=0, \ldots \alpha^{t+1}(0)\left[I^{\prime}\right]=1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{t+1} s_{t+1} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the essential period of (27). Define $\alpha^{t+1}$ of the form (23) by taking the concatenation of $k_{t}$ copies of the blocks (27). As a consequence we obtain a regular $k_{t}$-sequence $\omega$. Moreover the blocks $\left\{\alpha^{t}\right\}$ satisfy (26) if $j_{t+1}$ is the multiplicity of $\mu_{t+1}$ and $B_{t}=00 \ldots 0$. By easy considerations we can prove that every $h \in C^{*}$ can be lifted to a $S \in C(\sigma)$. Therefore $C(\sigma)=C^{*} \oplus Z$.

It remains to prove that the numbers $\left\{p_{t}\right\}, t \geq 0$, form the period structure of $\omega$, i.e., $p_{t}$ is the essential period of $\omega_{p_{i}}$ for every $t \geq 0$. It is true if $t=0$ by our choice of $\alpha^{0}$. Suppose that $p_{t}$ is the smallest period of $\omega_{p_{t}}$. We will show that $p_{t+1}$ is the essential period of $\omega_{p_{t+1}}$. Then the smallest period $p^{\prime}$ of $\omega_{p_{t+1}}$ is the multiplicity of $m_{t+1}$ because $\omega_{p_{t+1}}$ has the holes every $m_{t+1}$ places starting from 0 -th place. On the other hand $p^{\prime}$ is the multiplicity of $p_{t}$. In fact, if

$$
p^{\prime}=I \cdot p_{t}+r, \quad 0 \leq r \leq p_{t}-1
$$

then $p^{\prime}$ is a period of $\omega_{p t}$ so $r$ is. The condition $r<p_{t}$ implies $r=0$. We have shown that $p^{\prime}$ is the multiplicity of the smallest common multiplicity of $p_{t}$ and $m_{t+1}$. We have

$$
\left[p_{t}, m_{t+1}\right]=m_{t}\left[k_{t}, s_{t+1}\right]=m_{t} k_{t} s_{t+1}, \text { because }\left(k_{t}, s_{t+1}\right)=1
$$

At the same time it is easy to deduce that the assumption (28) implies $p^{\prime}$ is the multiplicity of $\mu_{t+1} s_{t+1} m_{t}$. Now the condition $\left(k_{t}, \mu_{t+1}\right)=1$ implies $p^{\prime}=s_{t+1} m_{t} k_{t} \mu_{t+1}=m_{t+1} k_{t+1}=p_{t+1}$. In this manner the sequence $\left\{p_{t}\right\}_{0}^{\infty}$ is a period structure of $\omega$.

Let $q$ be a fixed prime number and $q_{0}, q_{1}, \ldots$ all the remaining prime numbers. We can admit $s_{t}=q^{t+1}$ and $\mu_{t}=q_{t}^{J_{t}}$ for $t \geq 0$, where $I_{t}$ are positive integers. Here the group $C^{*}$ is isomorphic to $\oplus_{0}^{\infty} Z_{\mu_{t}}$ the direct sum of the cyclic groups $Z_{\mu_{1}}$. Thus the group $\oplus_{0} z_{\mu ;} \oplus Z$ can be the topological centralizer of a Toeplitz flow.
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