

## ON THE ANGULAR LIMITS OF BLOCH FUNCTIONS

J.J. CARMONA\*, J. CUFÍ\* AND CH. POMMERENKE\*\*

### Abstract

---

This paper contains a method to associate to each function  $f$  in the little Bloch space another function  $f^*$  in the Bloch space in such way that  $f$  has a finite angular limit where  $f^*$  is radially bounded. The idea of the method comes from the theory of the lacunary series. An application to conformal mapping from the unit disc to asymptotically Jordan domains is given.

---

### 1. Introduction and main results

Let  $\mathbb{D}$  denote the unit disk and  $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$ . A Bloch function [1] [8, p. 268] is a function  $f$  analytic in  $\mathbb{D}$  such that

$$(1) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = |f(0)| + \sup_{|z|<1} (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| < \infty.$$

With this norm, the Bloch functions form a Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$ . The closure in  $\mathcal{B}$  of the polynomials is a subspace  $\mathcal{B}_0$  that consists of all  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

$$(2) \quad (1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow 1.$$

For Bloch functions, radial and angular limits are identical [7] [8, p. 268], that is,

$$f(r\zeta) \rightarrow a \ (r \rightarrow 1) \Rightarrow f(z) \rightarrow a \ (z \rightarrow \zeta, \ z \in \Delta(\zeta))$$

holds for each  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  where  $\Delta(\zeta)$  is any triangle in  $\mathbb{D}$  with vertex  $\zeta$ . Furthermore [8, p. 269]

$$\sup_{0 < r < 1} |f(r\zeta)| < \infty \Rightarrow \sup_{z \in \Delta(\zeta)} |f(z)| < \infty.$$

---

\*Supported in part by the grant PB85-0374 of the CICYT, Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia, Spain.

\*\*Supported in part by the Institut d'Estudis Catalans and by the Goethe-Institut.

Each bounded analytic function belongs to  $\mathcal{B}$  but not always to  $\mathcal{B}_0$ . Things are very simple for the special case of Hadamard gap series

$$(3) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^{n_k}, \quad \frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k} \geq \lambda > 1 \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots).$$

In this case [1] [13, vol. I, p. 247]

$$\begin{aligned} f \in \mathcal{B} &\iff \sup |b_k| < \infty, \\ f \in \mathcal{B}_0 &\iff b_k \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty), \\ f \in H^\infty &\iff \sum_k |b_k| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

If a gap series has radial limits on a set of positive measure then  $\sum_k |b_k|^2 < \infty$  [13, vol. I, p. 203]. It follows that

$$(4) \quad f_0(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-1/2} z^{2^k} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_0$  but has angular limits almost nowhere on  $\mathbb{T}$ .

There is a close connection with conformal mappings [8, p. 269]. If  $g$  is an (injective) conformal mapping of  $\mathbb{D}$  then  $f = c \log g' \in \mathcal{B}$  holds for all  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Conversely if  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $|c| < 1/\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ , then the function  $g$  defined by  $f = c \log g'$  maps  $\mathbb{D}$  conformally onto a domain bounded by a Jordan curve  $J$ . Furthermore  $f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_0$  if and only if [9] the curve  $J$  is asymptotically conformal, i.e. if

$$\max_{w \in J(a,b)} \frac{|b-w| + |w-a|}{|b-a|} \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } |a-b| \rightarrow 0, \quad a, b \in J$$

where  $J(a, b)$  is the (smaller) arc of  $J$  between  $a$  and  $b$ .

We shall describe a method to reduce the existence problem of finite radial (=angular) limits for  $\mathcal{B}_0$  to the problem of radial boundedness for  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Theorem.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0$  then there is a function  $f^* \in \mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that, for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ ,*

$$\sup_r |f^*(r\zeta)| < \infty \Rightarrow \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(r\zeta) \text{ exists } \neq \infty.$$

This generalizes a result on Hadamard gap series by Gnuschke [5]. We shall develop every Bloch function into a series of polynomials that is analogous to a gap series.

Using a method of Noshiro and T. Wolff [11], it can be shown [3] that each Bloch function is radially bounded on a set that has positive capacity on every arc of  $\mathbb{T}$ . Hence we obtain from the theorem:

**Corollary 1.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0$  then there is a set  $E \subset \mathbb{T}$  with  $\text{cap}(E \cap I) > 0$  for every arc  $I$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  such that*

$$(5) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} f(r\zeta) \text{ exists } \neq \infty \text{ for } \zeta \in E.$$

Previously it was only known [6] that a function in  $\mathcal{B}_0$  has finite radial limits on an uncountably dense set. The present method, however, does not imply the fact [6] that the image set of angular limits has always positive linear measure.

It was asked in [4] whether all  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  satisfy

$$\dim \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{T} : \sup_r |f(r\zeta)| < \infty \} = 1,$$

where  $\dim$  denotes the Hausdorff dimension. If the answer turns out to be positive, then the theorem would imply that all  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0$  have finite angular limits on a set of Hausdorff dimension 1. This would be much stronger than our corollary because already  $\dim E > 0$  implies  $\text{cap} E > 0$ . Note that it is not possible to replace dimension 1 by positive (Lebesgue) measure as the function  $f_0$  defined by (4) shows.

Much more is known about infinite angular limits. Recently J.M. Anderson and L.D. Pitt [2] have proved that each Bloch function has either finite radial limits on a set of positive measure or satisfies

$$\dim \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{T} : \text{Re } f(r\zeta) \rightarrow +\infty \text{ as } r \rightarrow 1 \} = 1.$$

This implies that every conformal map has a finite angular derivative (possibly  $=0$ ) on a set of dimension 1.

Corollary 1 implies a result on the unrestricted boundary derivative for univalent functions; see [6] for the corresponding weaker result.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $g$  map  $\mathbb{D}$  conformally onto the inner domain of an asymptotically conformal Jordan curve. Then there is a set  $E \subset \mathbb{T}$  with  $\text{cap}(E \cap I) > 0$  for every arc  $I$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  such that*

$$g'(\zeta) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta, z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{g(z) - g(\zeta)}{z - \zeta} \text{ exists } \neq 0, \infty \text{ for } \zeta \in E.$$

## 2. A series expansion of Bloch functions

We consider an analytic function

$$(6) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}$$

and define polynomials  $p_0(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2$  and

$$(7) \quad p_k(z) = \sum_{n=2^{k-1}+2}^{2^k} \frac{2n-2^k-2}{n-1} a_n z^n + \sum_{n=2^{k+1}}^{2^{k+1}-n+1} \frac{2^{k+1}-n+1}{n-1} a_n z^n$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Induction shows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^m p_k(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{2^m} a_n z^n + \sum_{n=2^{m+1}}^{2^{m+1}-n+1} \frac{2^{m+1}-n+1}{n-1} a_n z^n,$$

and since  $\limsup |a|^{1/n} \leq 1$  it follows that

$$(8) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(z) \text{ for } z \in D.$$

This expansion shares many properties of lacunary power series; see for instance (16) and Proposition 3 below.

The next two results are essentially known. They are implicit in the work of Zygmund [12][13, vol. I, p. 115 ff] and actually hold in a slightly different form in the more general context of Besov spaces. For convenience we shall give proofs.

**Proposition 1.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  then*

$$(9) \quad \|p_k\|_{\infty} \equiv \sup_{|z| \leq 1} |p_k(z)| \leq 6\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and if  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0$  then

$$(10) \quad \|p_k\|_{\infty} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} = 1$ . It easily follows from (1) that  $|a_0| \leq 1$ ,  $|a_1| \leq 1$  and  $|a_2| < 2$  so that  $|p_0(\zeta)| \leq 4$  for  $|\zeta| \leq 1$ . For  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , we consider now the polynomial

$$(11) \quad q_m(z) = \left( \frac{1-z^m}{1-z} \right)^2 = \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\nu+1)z^{\nu} + \sum_{\nu=m}^{2m-2} (2m-\nu-1)z^{\nu}.$$

We see from (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|z|=r} f'(z) q_m(\bar{z}\zeta) z^{-2} dz &= \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} (\nu+1)(\nu+2) a_{\nu+2} r^{2\nu} \zeta^{\nu} + \\ &+ \sum_{\nu=m}^{2m-2} (2m-\nu-1)(\nu+2) a_{\nu+2} r^{2\nu} \zeta^{\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

A simple calculation therefore shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbf{D}} (1 - |z|^2) f'(z) q_m(\zeta \bar{z}) z^{-2} dx dy = \\ & = \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} a_n \zeta^{n-2} + \sum_{n=m+2}^{2m} \frac{2m - n + 1}{n - 1} a_n \zeta^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows from (7) that, for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(12) \quad p_k(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathbf{D}} (1 - |z|^2) f'(z) \left(\frac{\zeta}{z}\right)^2 [q_{2^k}(\zeta \bar{z}) - q_{2^{k-1}}(\zeta \bar{z})] dx dy.$$

We write  $y(r) = \max_{|z|=r} (1 - |z|^2) |f'(z)|$ . Since

$$|q_{2^m}(z) - q_m(z)| = |2z^m + z^{2m}| \left| \frac{1 - z^{2m}}{1 - z} \right|^2$$

by (11), we see from (12) that

$$\begin{aligned} |p_k(\zeta)| & \leq \frac{3}{\pi} \int_0^1 y(r) r^{m-1} \left( \int_0^{2\pi} |1 + r e^{it} + \dots + r^{m-1} e^{i(m-1)t}|^2 dt \right) dr \leq \\ & \leq 6 \int_0^1 y(r) r^{m-1} m dr < 6, \end{aligned}$$

with  $m = 2^{k-1}$ , because  $y(r) \leq 1$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  then, by (2), there is  $\rho < 1$  such that  $y(r) < \varepsilon$  for  $\rho \leq r < 1$ . Hence the last integral is less than  $m\rho^{m+1} + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon$  for large  $m$  which implies (10). ■

**Proposition 2.** *If  $\|p_k\|_\infty$  is bounded then  $f \in \mathcal{B}$  and*

$$(13) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 16 \sup_{k \geq 0} \|p_k\|_\infty.$$

*If  $\|p_k\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  then  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0$ .*

Thus the Bloch norm is equivalent to the norm  $\sup_k \|p_k\|_\infty$  where the polynomials  $p_k$  are defined by (7). In the case of a lacunary series this norm is essentially the same as  $\sup |b_k|$ .

*Proof:* Let  $n_k = 2^{k-1}$ . If  $k > 0$  we can write

$$(14) \quad p_k(z) = z^{n_k+1} g_k(z), \quad \deg(g_k) \leq 3n_k - 1, \quad \|g_k\|_\infty = \|p_k\|_\infty.$$

Hence it follows from Bernstein's inequality [13, vol. II, p. 11] that, for  $|z| \leq r < 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad |p'_k(z)| & = |(n_k + 1)z^{n_k} g_k(z) + z^{n_k+1} g'_k(z)| \leq \\ & \leq [(n_k + 1)r^{n_k} + (3n_k - 1)r^{n_k+1}] \|g_k\|_\infty \leq 4n_k r^{n_k} \|p_k\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we deduce from (1) and (8) that

$$(16) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 2\|p_0\|_{\infty} + \sup_{0 \leq r < 1} (1-r^2) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4n_k r^{n_k} \|p_k\|_{\infty}.$$

Since (this is a standard estimate for gap series)

$$\frac{1}{1-r} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} n_k r^{n_k} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n_k \leq m} n_k \right) r^m \leq 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m r^m = \frac{2r}{(1-r)^2}$$

we conclude that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 2\|p_0\|_{\infty} + 16 \sup_{k \geq 1} \|p_k\|_{\infty}$$

which implies 813). The final assertion of Proposition 2 is deduced in a similar way from (14). ■

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $s_k = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_k$  and  $r_k = 1 - 2^{-k}$  then*

$$(17) \quad |f(r_k z) - s_k(z)| \leq 30\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \text{ for } |z| \leq 1.$$

*Proof:* We may assume that  $\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq 1$ . Then  $\|p_j\|_{\infty} \leq 6$  by Proposition 1. We see from (8) that, for  $|z| \leq 1$ ,

$$f(r_k z) - s_k(z) = \sum_{j=0}^k (p_j(r_k z) - p_j(z)) + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} p_j(r_k z).$$

The first sum is bounded by

$$\sum_{j=0}^k (1-r_k) \max_{|z| \leq 1} |p'_j(z)| \leq 2^{-k} \sum_{j=0}^k 4 \cdot 2^{j-1} \cdot 6 < 24$$

because of (15), and we see from (14) that the second sum is bounded by

$$6 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} r_k^{2^{j-1}} < 6 \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \exp(-2^{j-1-k}) = 6 \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \exp(-2^{\nu}) < 6. \quad \blacksquare$$

### 3. Proof of the main results

*Proof of the theorem:* Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_0$ . We obtain from Proposition 1 that there is a decreasing sequence  $(\varepsilon_k)$  such that

$$(18) \quad \|p_k\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon_k^2 \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots$$

where  $p_k$  is given by (7). We define

$$(19) \quad f^* = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k^*, \quad p_k^* = \varepsilon_k^{-1} p_k \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots).$$

This coincides with the expansion (8) of  $f^*$ .

Since  $\|p_k^*\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon_k$  by (18), we conclude from Proposition 2 that  $f^* \in \mathcal{B}_0$ .

Writing  $s_k^* = p_0^* + p_1^* + \dots + p_k^*$ , a partial summation gives

$$\sum_{k=0}^N \varepsilon_k p_k^* = \varepsilon_N s_N^* + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}) s_k^*.$$

Let now  $|f^*(r\zeta)|$  be bounded in  $0 \leq r < 1$  for some  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ . Proposition 3 implies that  $|s_k^*(\zeta)|$  is also bounded in  $k$ . Since  $s_k^*(r\zeta)$  is continuous in  $0 \leq r < 1$  for each  $k$  and since  $\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1} \geq 0$ , we easily deduce that

$$f(r\zeta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_{k+1}) s_k^*(r\zeta)$$

is uniformly continuous in  $0 \leq r < 1$  and therefore has a finite limit as  $r \rightarrow 1$ . ■

*Proof of the Corollary:* The function  $f = \log g'$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_0$  and therefore has a finite radial limit on a set  $E \subset \mathbb{T}$  with  $\text{cap}(E \cap I) > 0$  for every arc  $I$  of  $\mathbb{T}$ .

Let now  $\zeta \in E$ . Then  $g'$  has a finite nonzero radial limit at  $\zeta$  and it follows [8, p. 305] that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \frac{g(r\zeta) - g(\zeta)}{(r-1)\zeta} \neq 0, \infty$$

exists. Since  $J$  is asymptotically conformal, we conclude from a theorem of Warschawski [10, Satz II] or from [9, Corollary 3] that the unrestricted derivative exists. ■

### References

1. J.M. ANDERSON, J. CLUNIE, CH. POMMERENKE, On Bloch functions and normal functions, *J. reine angew. Math.* **270** (1974), 12–37.
2. J.M. ANDERSON, L.D. PITT, The boundary behaviour of Bloch functions and univalent functions, *preprint 1987*.
3. J.J. CARMONA, J. CUFÍ, CH. POMMERENKE, On the angular boundedness of Bloch functions, *preprint 1988*.
4. J.L. FERNÁNDEZ, CH. POMMERENKE, On the boundary behavior of Bloch functions, *Proceedings of Berkeley conference* (1986), to appear.

5. D. GNUSCHKE, On power series with Hadamard gaps, *Analysis* 4 (1984), 61–72.
6. D. GNUSCHKE–HAUSCHILD, CH. POMMERENKE, On Bloch functions and gap series, *J. reine angew. Math.* 367 (1986), 172–186.
7. O. LEHTO, K.J. VIRTANEN, Boundary behaviour and normal meromorphic functions, *Acta Math.* 97 (1957), 47–65.
8. CH. POMMERENKE, “*Univalent functions*,” Vandenhoeck–Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
9. CH. POMMERENKE, On univalent functions, Bloch functions and *VMOA*, *Math. Ann.* 236 (1978), 199–208.
10. S.E. WARSCHAWSKI, Zur Randverzerrung bei konformer Abbildung, *Compos. Math.* 1 (1935), 314–330.
11. T. WOLFF, *written communication*.
12. A. ZYGMUND, Smooth functions, *Duke Math. J.* 12 (1945), 47–76.
13. A. ZYGMUND, “*Trigonometric series*,” Cambridge University Press, 1968.

J.J. Carmona: Departament de Matemàtiques  
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona  
08193 Bellaterra, SPAIN.

J. Cufí: Departament de Matemàtiques  
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona  
08193 Bellaterra, SPAIN.

Ch. Pommerenke: Fachbereich Mathematik  
Technische Universität  
D-1000 Berlin 12, WEST GERMANY.

Rebut el 12 de Març de 1988