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#### Abstract

The title compound, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TeI}_{2}$ (or $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Te}$ ), crystallizes in space group $P \overline{1}$, either with $Z=8$, (Ia), or $Z=4$, ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ). The six independent molecules [four in ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) and two in ( $\mathrm{I} b$ )] have very similar structures. The geometry at the Te atoms is pseudo-trigonal bipyramidal, with the I atoms in the axial positions and the anisyl groups and the lone pair of electrons in the equatorial plane. The $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{Te}-$ I distances are in the ranges $2.107(4)-2.128$ (6) and 2.8549 (10)-3.0071 (10) $\AA$, respectively. In both polymorphs, the molecules are associated via $\mathrm{Te} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ secondary bonds $[3.6922(6)-3.9017$ (7) $\AA$ ] to form centrosymmetric tetramers in which the $\mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{8}$ cores display step-like geometries. Including the secondary interactions, the coordination about each Te atom is distorted octahedral.


## Comment

Within our program of synthetic and structural studies on organotellurium compounds (Farran et al., 1997, and references therein), we prepared several bis-aryltellurium(IV) dihalides, among them $\operatorname{bis}(p$-methoxyphenyl)tellurium(IV) diiodide, (I). During crystallization trials of this compound, we noticed that some solvents produced crystals of different colours and/or morphology. This observation prompted a more systematic study of these crystals, which included many solvents (and mixtures of them), unit-cell determinations of some 25 specimens and several structure analyses. These studies revealed that some solvents (e.g. acetone, ether, xylene) form red crystals, which are apparently quite stable and contain only the title compound. Other solvents (e.g. benzene, toluene, acetonitrile, DMSO), in addition to the red crystals, produce solvated crystals of different

[^0]appearances, which exhibit a slow decay because of solvent loss. Furthermore, if molecular iodine is present in the solution (from unreacted excess used in the synthesis), some solvents (e.g. benzene, chloroform) incorporate it as such into the crystal structure.

(I)

Lattice-parameter measurements revealed that among the red crystals there are two polymorphs, one belonging to space group $P \overline{1}$ with $Z=8$ [hereafter named (Ia)] and other also belonging to $P \overline{1}$, but with $Z=4$ [hereafter named ( $\mathrm{I} b$ )], isostructural with the dichloro (Chadha \& Drake, 1984) and dibromo (Farran et al., 1995) analogues of the title compound. We report here the structures of the polymorphs ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) and ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ), while those of the co-crystals (I). $0.5 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, (I). $0.5 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, (I). 0.5 DMSO and (I). $0.5 \mathrm{I}_{2}$, will be published elsewhere (Farran, Alvarez-Larena, Capparelli, Piniella, Germain \& TorresCastellanos, 1998).

The structure analyses showed that ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) and ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ) contain only molecules of $\left(p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{TeI}_{2}$ which, in both polymorphs, are associated into discrete tetramers by means of $\mathrm{Te} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ interactions. In the two crystal structures there are, in all, six independent molecules of compound (I), which have very similar geometries (Fig. 1). The Te atom displays the expected disphenoidal (i.e. pseudo-trigonal bipyramidal) coordination predicted by the valence-shell electron-pair repulsion (VSEPR) model for an $A X_{4} E$ molecule (Gillespie \& Hargittai, 1991, and references therein), in which the I atoms occupy the axial positions, while the organic ligands and the lone pair of electrons lie in the equatorial plane. The range of Te-C distances [2.107 (4)2.128 (6) $\AA$ ] is narrow (ca 4 s.u.'s) and their values are comparable to 2.116 (20) $\AA$, tabulated by Allen et al. (1987) for $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{C}$ (aryl). The range of $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{I}$ distances is much wider (ca 152 s.u.'s) due to the influence of the secondary $\mathrm{Te} \cdots$ I bonds. The shorter values [2.8549 (10)-2.8766(11) A] correspond to I atoms not involved in secondary bonding; bonds of intermediate length $[2.8894$ (10)-2.9661 (11) A] are formed by I atoms participating in one secondary bond; the longest Te-I bonds [2.9713(5)-3.0071 (10) $\AA$ ] are those in which the I atoms form two secondary bonds. The shorter distances are comparable to the sum of the covalent radii ( $2.85 \AA$, using octahedral radius for tellurium; Mangion et al., 1975, and references therein). The range of $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{I}$ distances is similar to those found in other organotellurium iodides with analogous $\mathrm{Te} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ interactions (Alcock \& Harrison, 1984: McCullough et al., 1985, and references therein). It has been suggested that while the individual $\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{I}$ bond lengths are greatly affected by secondary bonding, the average value in a
given I-Te-I system is not (McCullough et al., 1985). Indeed, the range of these averages [2.9167-2.9328 $\AA$ ] is ca 9.5 times smaller than that of the individual values, although in this case, it could simply be because in all six $\mathrm{TeI}_{2}$ groups of ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) and ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ), the halogens participate in two secondary bonds (either $1+1$ or $0+2$ bonds per iodine).


Fig. 1. View of one of the molecules in (I $a$ ). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.

The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Tc}-\mathrm{C}$ angles [range $95.3(3)-97.9(2)^{\circ}$ ] are substantially smaller than $120^{\circ}$, due to the repulsion of the non-bonded pair of electrons on the bonded ones. The I-Te-I groups deviate significantly from linearity [range of angles $174.63(3)-176.41(3)^{\circ}$ ], a feature observed in most disphenoidal $R_{2} \mathrm{Te}_{2}$ organotellurium halides. The sense and magnitude of this deviation depends on the balance between electronic and steric effects (Gillespie, 1961; Ziolo \& Troup, 1983). and the angle $\varphi(X-\mathrm{Te}-X)$ ranges from $\hat{\varphi}>180^{\circ}$ (towards the organic side) for fluorine to $\varphi<180^{\circ}$ (towards the lone pair) for iodine. For instance, in the series $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Te} X_{2}(X=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$, the $\varphi$ angles are 186.9 (1) (Berry \& Edwards, 1980), 184.46 (7) (Alcock \& Harrison, 1982), 178.0 (2) (Christofferson \& McCullough, 1958), 175.53 (5) ( $\alpha$-form) and $174.32^{\circ}$ ( $\beta$-form, average) (Alcock \& Harrison, 1984); while in the series $\left(p-\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Te}_{2}(X=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br})$, the values are 187.71 (7), 176.47 (4) and $174.28(3)^{\circ}$ (Farran, Alvarez-Larena, Capparelli, Piniella \& TorresCastellanos, 1998). In the series $\left(p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Te} X_{2}$ ( $X=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}$ ), the title compound follows the same trend: 181.7 (average) (Chadha \& Drake, 1984), 179.28 (average) (Farran et al., 1995), 175.26 [(Ia), average] and $175.21^{\circ}$ [(lb), average].

As expected, the $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{I}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{C}$ moieties are perpendicular to each other [range of dihedral angles $88.4(3)-91.1(3)^{\circ}$ ]. The aromatic rings are quite planar, but the Te atoms are non-coplanar with the rings [range of deviations $0.0109(3)-0.1362(8) \AA$ ]. In each molecule, the two rings display a propeller-like arrangement, with ranges of dihedral angles $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Te}-$ C/ring of $28.8(3)-49.8(3)^{\circ}$ and ring/ring of $50.2(3)-$
$61.8(3)^{\circ}$. The methoxy groups are nearly coplanar with the rings [range of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} /$ ring dihedral angles $\left.1.4(6)-12.2(7)^{\circ}\right]$.

In the solid state, organotellurium(IV) halides exhibit a strong tendency to form intermolecular associations, often leading to octahedral environments about the Te atoms (Mangion et al., 1975; McWhinnie \& MonsefMirzai, 1983). Accordingly, the crystal structures of both polymorphs contain discrete centrosymmetric tetramers (Fig. 2) in which the individual molecules are linked by secondary Te. . I bonds (Alcock, 1972, 1990). These tetramers consist of a step-like $\mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{8}$ core, with the I -$\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{I}$ lines perpendicular to the $\mathrm{Te} \cdots \mathrm{I} \cdots \mathrm{Te} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ middle plane and eight $p$-anisyl groups pointing outwards and perpendicularly to the $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{I}$ lines.
Tetramers of $\mathrm{Te}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ halides can display $\mathrm{Te}_{4} X_{4}$ cores with cubane- or step-like arrangements. Several compounds are known to adopt the former type of core geometry, for instance $\mathrm{TeCl}_{4}$ (Buss \& Krebs, 1971) [and probably $\mathrm{TeBr}_{4}$ (Shoemaker \& Abrahams, 1965)], $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{TeCl}$ (Chadha \& Drake, 1986) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{TeBr}$ (Chadha et al., 1984). Aside from the isostructural dichloro and dibromo analogues (Chadha \& Drake, 1984; Farran et al., 1995), two organotellurium dichlorides form steplike tetramers, $v i z\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(p-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{TeCl}_{2}$ (Chadha et al., 1983) and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{TeCl}_{2}$ (Korp et al., 1980), while a triiodide, $\left(p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{TeI}_{3}$ (Bird et al., 1980), also displays similar tetramers (not reported as such in the paper). Churchill et al. (1976) proposed that (in the case of Cu complexes), the step geometry is most favoured when large halogens or bulky organic ligands are present. Since $\left(p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Te} X_{2}(X=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ are isostructural, if that principle were applicable to these compounds it could be concluded that their core geometries are dictated by the size of the $p$-anisyl groups. However, it has been pointed out (Korp et al., 1980) that such an approach, based on size alone, may be too simplistic.

The $\mathrm{Te} \cdots$ I secondary bonds span a wide range of distances [ 3.6922 ( 6 )- 3.9017 (7) $\AA$ ], but even the longest values are significantly shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (4.03 A; Bondi, 1964). Both extreme distances involve the Te 2 atom of ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ), which displays the most irregular environment (see below). If these values are excluded, the range of intermolecular $\mathrm{Te} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ distances narrows down considerably ( ca 1.5 times). If the secondary interactions are taken into account, the environments about the Te atoms are distorted octahedra, with cis and trans angles in the ranges 75.77 (1)103.24 (13) and 158.09 (12)-176.2 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$, respectively. As indicated above, the octahedron about Te 2 of ( $\mathrm{l} b$ ) is the most distorted, particularly because of the coordination of $12^{i}$ [symmetry code: (i) $1-x, 2-y, 1-z$ ], which forms the extreme angles. Leaving aside these three values, the cis and trans angles are within $11^{\circ}$ of the nominal values. In each $\mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{8}$ core, the $\mathrm{I} \cdots \mathrm{Te} \cdots \mathrm{I}$ angle within the middle plane is at least $5^{\circ}$ larger than


Fig. 2. View of one of the tetramers in (lb). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level.
that formed by the upper/lower Te atoms, because of the non-linearity of the I-Te-I moieties. As expected, the least-squares lines along the two independent $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{Te}-\mathrm{I}$ groups of each $\mathrm{Te}_{4} \mathrm{I}_{8}$ core are nearly parallel [inter-line angles: $3.58(2)$ and $3.88(2)^{\circ}$ in (Ia), and $1.67(7)^{\circ}$ in (Ib)]. The angle between the two independent cores of (Ia) is $51.50(1)^{\circ}$, as measured by the dihedral angle between the middle planes.

Neither crystal structure displays significant I $\cdots \mathrm{I}$ interactions, a fact which is consistent with the red colour of the crystals (Dewan \& Silver, 1977; McCullough et al., 1985, and references therein).

Polymorphism appears to be a relatively frequent phenomenon (Gavezzotti \& Filippini, 1995, and references therein), not uncommon among organotellurium iodides, e.g. $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{TeI}_{2}$ (Einstein et al., 1967; Chan \& Einstein, 1972), $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{TeI}_{2}$ (Alcock \& Harrison, 1984), $\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right) \mathrm{TeI}_{2}$ (McCullough et al., 1985) and ( $o-\mathrm{PhC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ) $\mathrm{TeI}_{3}$ (McCullough \& Knobler, 1976; McCullough, 1977). In the present study, the absence of significant structural differences between the two polymorphs is remarkable, which suggests that they have similar stabilities. Since the specimens of ( $\mathrm{I} a$ ) and ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ) are indistinguishable in colour and morphology, it is difficult to isolate each crystalline phase and to assess the relative amounts of these phases in the different crystallizations. To address this point, we tried to match the calculated powder pat-
terns of (Ia) and (Ib) with experimental data from samples obtained from several solvents. The results were not totally conclusive, due to the complexity of the diffractograms, but seem to indicate that most solvents (e.g. acetone, acetonitrile, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, DMSO, benzene, toluene, xylene) produce a mixture of both polymorphs. However, depending on as yet undetermined factors, in a few cases, we obtained crops which appeared to consist of a single crystalline phase (probably not $100 \%$ pure). For instance, two crystallizations, from acetone and acetonitrile, produced the polymorph (Ia) [m.p.'s 447.1 (2) and 445.6 (2) K , respectively], while another one, from $\mathrm{AcOEt} / \mathrm{EtOH}$, gave ( $\mathrm{I} b$ ) [m.p. 443.1 (2) K]. The slightly higher values of $D_{x}$ and melting point of (Ia) suggest that it could be the more stable polymorph. Furthermore, the powder data seem to indicate that in most crystallizations, (Ia) is the the most abundant phase.

## Experimental

Several synthetic routes to the title compound have been reported (Lederer, 1916; Petragnani \& de Moura Campos, 1961; Dorn et al., 1976: Sadekov et al., 1978, 1989; Sadekov \& Maksimenko, 1981). The sample used in this work was prepared by the reaction of $\left(p-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Te}$ (Farran et al., 1997) with iodine, as described by Lederer (1916). The specimens used in the X-ray analyses were obtained by slow
evaporation of solutions in DMSO/acetone for (Ia) and in xylene for ( $1 b$ ).

## Polymorph (Ia)

Crystal data
$\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Te}$
$M_{r}=595.65$
Triclinic
$P \overline{1}$
$a=11.822(4) \AA$
$b=14.812(5) \AA$
$c=22.612(3) \AA$
$\alpha=73.57(2)^{\circ}$
$\beta=75.40(2)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=67.38(3)^{\circ}$
$V=3459(2) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=2.287 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$D_{m}$ not measured

## Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer
$\omega-2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction:
empirical from $\psi$ scans
(North et al., 1968)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.073, T_{\text {max }}=0.134$
12133 measured reflections
12133 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.037$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.094$
$S=1.066$
12133 reflections
675 parameters
H atoms not refined
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.0376 P)^{2}\right.$
$+13.0277 P]$
where $P=\left(F_{o}^{2}+2 F_{c}^{2}\right) / 3$
Table 1. Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (la)

| Tel-Il | 2.8549 (10) | Te3-I5 | 2.8894 (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tel-12 | 3.0071 (10) | Te3-16 | 2.9661 (11) |
| Tel-Cll | 2.112 (7) | Te3-C51 | 2.119 (7) |
| Tel-C21 | 2.116 (7) | Te3-C61 | 2.123 (7) |
| Te2-13 | 2.8990 (10) | Te4-17 | 2.8766 (11) |
| Te2-I4 | 2.9344 (9) | Te4-18 | 2.9890 (10) |
| Te2-C31 | 2.115 (6) | Te4-C71 | 2.116 (7) |
| $\mathrm{Te} 2-\mathrm{C} 41$ | 2.118 (7) | Te4-C81 | 2.128 (6) |
| I1--Tel-I2 | 176.41 (3) | 15-Te3-16 | 174.99 (2) |
| II-Tel-CII | 91.7 (2) | 15-Te3-- 51 | 92.1 (2) |
| $\mathrm{II}-\mathrm{Tel}-\mathrm{C} 21$ | 92.2 (2) | 15-Te3-C61 | 90.5 (2) |
| $12-\mathrm{Tel}-\mathrm{Cll}$ | 91.3 (2) | $16-\mathrm{Tc} 3-\mathrm{C} 51$ | 92.9 (2) |
| $12-\mathrm{Tel}-\mathrm{C} 21$ | 89.4 (2) | $16-\mathrm{Te} 3-\mathrm{C} 61$ | 89.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{Tel}-\mathrm{C} 21$ | 96.4 (3) | C51-Te3-C61 | 95.7 (3) |
| 13-Te2-14 | 174.63 (2) | 17-Te4-I8 | 174.99 (2) |
| 13-Te2-C31 | 91.5 (2) | 17-Tct-C71 | 92.8 (2) |
| $13-\mathrm{Te} 2-\mathrm{C} 41$ | 89.8 (2) | $17-\mathrm{Te}+\mathrm{C} 81$ | 92.2 (2) |
| $14-\mathrm{Te} 2-\mathrm{C} 31$ | 93.8 (2) | 18-Tc4-C71 | 89.2 (2) |
| $14-\mathrm{Te} 2-\mathrm{C41}$ | 90.5 (2) | 18-Te4-C81 | 92.1 (2) |
| C31-Te2-C41 | 96.6 (3) | C7I-Te4-C81 | 95.3 (3) |

Table 2. Contact distances $(\AA)$ and contact angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for polymorph (Ia)

| Tel...I4 | 3.7353 (15) | Te.3. . 18 | 3.7962 (14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tel . . 13' | 3.8355 (17) | Te3 . . $18{ }^{11}$ | 3.7373 (16) |
| Te2...12 | 3.8127 (15) | Te4.. 16 | 3.7666 (15) |
| Te2...12' | 3.7520 (16) | Te4. . $15^{11}$ | 3.7915 (17) |
| I1-Tel . . 14 | 91.76 (3) | I5-Te3. . 18 | 93.33 (2) |
| I1-Tel $\cdot$ I $3^{1}$ | 93.73 (3) | I5-Te3. $18^{\prime \prime}$ | 85.61 (3) |
| 12-Tel...14 | 86.52 (3) | 16-Te3...18 | 86.99 (3) |
| I2-Tel . - $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ | 82.99 (3) | I6-Te3. . $188^{11}$ | 89.39 (3) |
| I4. . Tel. - [3' | 85.47 (3) | 18. . Te3. . $18{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 90.78 (3) |
| Cl1-Tel . . 14 | 85.1 (2) | C51-Te3...18 | 82.8 (2) |
| C11-Tel...13' | 169.27 (19) | C51-Te3. . $18{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 173.0 (2) |
| C21-Tel . . I4 | 175.69 (18) | C6I-Te3...18 | 175.95 (19) |
| C2I-Tel $\cdot \cdot \mathrm{I} 3^{1}$ | 92.6 (2) | C61-Te3. . -18 ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 90.91 (19) |
| I3-Te2 - 12 | 93.68 (3) | I7-Te4...16 | 88.18 (3) |
| $13-\mathrm{Te} 2 \cdots \cdot 12^{1}$ | 85.94 (3) | 17-Te4. . $15{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 94.39 (3) |
| $14-\mathrm{Tc} 2 \cdots \mathrm{l} 2$ | 86.12 (3) | I8-Te4...I6 | 87.21 (3) |
| $14-\mathrm{Te} 2 \cdots \cdot 12^{1}$ | 88.70 (3) | 18-Te4. . $15^{\prime \prime}$ | 83.29 (3) |
| $12 \cdots \mathrm{Te} 2 \cdots \cdot{ }^{1}$ | 90.31 (3) | I6. . Te4. . $15^{\prime \prime}$ | 85.39 (3) |
| C31-Te2. - 12 | 81.9(2) | C71-Te4. . I6 | 91.2 (2) |
| C31-Te2 - 121 | $171.7(2)$ | C71-Te4...15" | 171.92 (17) |
| C41-Te2...12 | 176.21(18) | C81-Te4...16 | 173.40 (18) |
| C41-Te2 $\cdot 12^{1}$ | 91.32 (19) | C81-Te $4 \cdot .15{ }^{12}$ | 88.02 (18) |

## Polymorph (lb)

Crystal data
$\mathrm{C}_{1+} \mathrm{H}_{1+4} \mathrm{I}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Te}$
$M_{r}=595.65$
Triclinic
$P \overline{1}$
$a=10.334$ (1) $\AA$
$b=13.091$ (1) $\AA$
$c=14.588$ (1) $\AA$
$\alpha=110.237$ (7) ${ }^{\circ}$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.002$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.79 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-1.38 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: none
Scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (Vol. C)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I>2 \sigma(I) \\
& \theta_{\max }=25.0^{\circ} \\
& h=-13 \rightarrow 14 \\
& k=-16 \rightarrow 17 \\
& l=0 \rightarrow 26 \\
& 3 \text { standard reflections } \\
& \text { frequency: } 120 \text { min } \\
& \text { intensity decay: none }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\beta=100.875(6)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=100.272(8)^{\circ}$
$V=1753.7(2) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=2.256 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$D_{m}$ not measured
Mo Ka radiation
$\lambda=0.71069 \AA$
Cell parameters from 25 reflections
$\theta=11.6-14.0^{\circ}$
$\mu=5.213 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=295$ (2) K
Red
$0.38 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \mathrm{~mm}$
Prism

Data collection
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4
diffractometer
$\omega-2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction:
empirical from $\psi$ scans
(North et al., 1968)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.218, T_{\text {max }}=0.391$
6165 measured reflections
6165 independent reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.028$
$n \cdot R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.073$
$S=1.013$
6165 reflections
347 parameters
H atoms not refined
$u^{\prime}=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{(1}^{2}\right)+(0.0408 P)^{2}\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{0}^{2}+2 F_{i}^{2}\right) / 3$

4296 reflections with

$$
I>2 \sigma(I)
$$

$$
\theta_{\max }=25.0^{\circ}
$$

$$
h=-12 \rightarrow 11
$$

$$
k=-15 \rightarrow 14
$$

$$
I=0 \rightarrow 17
$$

3 standard reflections frequency: 120 min intensity decay: none
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {ma入 }}=0.002$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.68 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.68$ e $\AA^{-3}$
Extinction correction: none Scattering factors from International Tables for Cnistallography (Vol. C)

Table 3. Selected geometric parameters $\left({ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (Ib)

| Tel--II | 2.9063 (5) | Te2-13 | 2.8688 (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tel-I2 | 2.9232 (5) | Te2-I4 | 2.9713 (5) |
| Tel-Cll | 2.107 (4) | $\mathrm{Te} 2-\mathrm{C} 31$ | 2.114 (4) |
| Tel-C21 | 2.111 (4) | Te2-C4I | 2.119 (4) |
| $11-\mathrm{Tcl}-12$ | 174.967 (15) | I3-Te2-I4 | 175.460 (13) |
| $11-\mathrm{Tel}-\mathrm{Cll}$ | 91.95 (12) | $\mathrm{I} 3-\mathrm{Te} 2-\mathrm{C} 31$ | 90.37 (12) |
| $11-\mathrm{Tel}-\mathrm{C} 21$ | 91.15 (13) | 13-Te2-C41 | 92.6 (1) |
| 12-Tel-C11 | 90.81 (12) | C31-Te2--14 | 90.34 (12) |
| $12 \mathrm{Tel} \mathrm{C21}$ | 92.76 (13) | C41-Te2-14 | 91.72 (12) |
| $\mathrm{Cl1}-\mathrm{Te} 1-\mathrm{C} 21$ | 95.8 (2) | C31-Te2-C41 | 97.9 (2) |

Table 4. Contact distances ( $(\AA)$ and contact angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for polymorph (Ib)

| Tel. . I 4 | 3.6998 (5) | Te2...II | 3.6922 (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tel...14' | 3.7935 (6) | Te2...12 | 3.9017 (7) |
| II-Tel. . 14 | 84.42 (1) | I3-Te2 . . Il | 95.57 (1) |
| II-Tel $\cdots$. ${ }^{\text {l }}$ | 92.50 (1) | I3-Te2 . . $\mathrm{I}^{1}$ | 92.99 (1) |
| [2-Tel $\cdots$ I4 | 91.56 (1) | I4--Te2 . . Il | 83.68(1) |
| I2-Tel $\cdots \cdot 14^{\text {i }}$ | 85.04 (1) | 14- $\mathrm{Te} 2 \cdots \cdot \mathrm{I} 2^{1}$ | 82.48 (1) |
| 14...Tel $\cdot$. $14{ }^{1}$ | 98.02 (1) | $11 \cdots$. Te $2 \cdots \cdot 12^{1}$ | 75.77 (1) |
| C11-Tel...I4 | 86.3 (1) | C31-Te2...11 | 174.01 (10) |
| C11-Tel...I4 | 174.09 (12) | C31-Te2 $\cdots 12{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 103.24 (13) |
| C21-Tel...14 | 175.16 (11) | C41-Te2 $\cdot$ Il | 82.61 (11) |
| C21-Tel . . $\mathrm{I}^{1}$ | 80.19 (11) | C41-Te2 $\cdots$ - $2^{1}$ | 158.09 (12) |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1,-y+2,-z+1$.
The title structures were solved by direct methods. H atoms were placed in calculated positions using a riding model with fixed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ distances ( $0.93 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C}_{s p^{2}}$ and $0.96 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C}_{s p^{3}}$ ) and $U_{\text {iso }}=p U_{\text {eq }}$ (parent atom) ( $p=1.2$ for $\mathrm{C}_{s p^{2}}$ and 1.5 for $\mathrm{C}_{s p^{3}}$ ). A single orientation parameter was refined for each methyl group [except for C57 and C77 of (Ia)]. The methoxy groups attached to C54 and C74 of (Ia) were found to be disordered. Each one was split into two alternative positions, with complementary occupancies, and refined with $U_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{o}}(\mathrm{On})=$ $U_{\text {iov }}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\prime}\right)$ and $U_{\mathrm{ino}}(\mathrm{C} n 7)=U_{\mathrm{iso}}\left(\mathrm{C} n 7^{\prime}\right)(n=5,7)$, and with restrained $\mathrm{C} n 4-\mathrm{On}, \mathrm{On}-\mathrm{C} n 7$ and $\mathrm{C} n 4-\mathrm{C} n 7$ distances, to give similar geometries to both parts. The final occupancy factors for the unprimed atoms were 0.53 (1) in both cases. Powder data were measured using a Philips X'PERT-MPD System and $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation. Simulated diffractograms were calculated with the POWDER CELL program (Kraus \& Nolze, 1996). Melting points were measured by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), using a Perkin-Elmer DSC7 instrument in continuous heating rate mode ( $20 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$ ) under an argon atmosphere.
For both compounds, data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: CAD-4 Software; data reduction: CRYSTAN (Burziaff et al., 1977); program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structures: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993); molecular graphics: ZORTEP (Zsolnai \& Pritzkow, 1995) and PLUTON93 (Spek, 1993); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93. Geometric calculations were performed using PARST95 (Nardelli, 1995) and PLATON94 (Spek, 1994).
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#### Abstract

The crystal structures of the title compounds, $3,4,4 \mathrm{a}, 5,6,-$ 7,8,8a-octahydro-8a-hydroxy-2-quinolone [ $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, (I)] and 3,4,4a,5,6,7,8,8a-octahydro-8a-hydroperoxy2 -quinolone $\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right.$, (II)], show a trans- and a cis-fused-ring configuration, respectively, with the cyclohexane rings in a chair conformation in both compounds. In (I), the molecules are linked about $2_{1}$


axes via intermolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, forming an infinite helix; further $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions result in a two-dimensional hydrogen-bond network. In (II), the molecules form centrosymmetric dimers via pairwise $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions, and these dimers are connected by $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds, giving infinite parallel chains.

## Comment

Recently, we reported an efficient new procedure for the synthesis of 6 -hydroxy-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2-pyridones and 6 -hydroperoxy-3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2-pyridones, by hydration-cyclization of $\delta$-ketonitriles with basic hydrogen peroxide (Citterio et al., 1997). The first class of compounds are useful intermediates in acyliminium chemistry (Murahashi et al., 1993), whereas the latter are quite stable hydroperoxides. Applied to 2-(2-cyanoethyl)cyclohexanone, this procedure affords compounds (I) and (II) as a mixture of the corresponding cis and trans isomers. The stereochemistry of (I) and (II) was

(I)

(II)
difficult to elucidate by NMR spectroscopy, and in order to confirm their identity and to determine the relative stereochemistry, an X-ray structural investigation was carried out on pure epimers obtained by selective crystallization. In both compounds, the cyclohexane ring adopts a chair conformation. The ring fusion in (I) is trans, with a torsion angle $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{H} 10$ of $176.9(10)^{\circ}$ and with the hydroxy substituent in an axial position, which is the only conformation possible for this compound (Fig. 1). In (II), the two rings have a cisfused stereochemistry, with a torsion angle $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 9-$ C10- H 10 of $54.9(12)^{\circ}$ and the hydroperoxy group in an equatorial position. Within the piperidone rings of both compounds, the carbonyl and the $\mathrm{N}_{s p^{2}}$ groups are nearly coplanar [r.m.s. deviations are 0.045 and $0.021 \AA$ for (I) and (II), respectively], with the C4 and C10 atoms lying below and above the least-squares mean planes, respectively. Bond distances and angles in both compounds are in agreement with the expected values, with the exception of the bond angles around atoms C9 and C10, where significant deviation from $\mathrm{C}_{s p^{3}}$ geometry is observed (Tables 1 and 3), probably due to the different ring junctions. The conformation around the C9-O2 bond is approximately gauche. The value of the torsion angles $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$ for (I) and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{O} 3$ for (II) are, respectively, $-46.0(13)$ and $-57.31(16)^{\circ}$, slightly smaller than those reported for a similar structural motif $\left(64-67^{\circ}\right)$ in the


[^0]:    $\dagger$ Alternative name: diiodobis(4-methoxyphenyl)tellurium(IV).
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