Publ. Mat. **51** (2007), 333–344

# DYNAMICS OF SYMMETRIC HOLOMORPHIC MAPS ON PROJECTIVE SPACES

### Kohei Ueno

Abstract \_

We consider complex dynamics of a *critically finite* holomorphic map from  $\mathbf{P}^k$  to  $\mathbf{P}^k$ , which has symmetries associated with the symmetric group  $S_{k+2}$  acting on  $\mathbf{P}^k$ , for each  $k \geq 1$ . The Fatou set of each map of this family consists of attractive basins of superattracting points. Each map of this family satisfies Axiom A.

# 1. Introduction

For a finite group G acting on  $\mathbf{P}^k$  as projective transformations, we say that a rational map f on  $\mathbf{P}^k$  is G-equivariant if f commutes with each element of G. That is,  $f \circ r = r \circ f$  for any  $r \in G$ , where  $\circ$  denotes the composition of maps. Doyle and McMullen [4] introduced the notion of equivariant functions on  $\mathbf{P}^1$  to solve quintic equations. See also [11] for equivariant functions on  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . Crass [2] extended Doyle and McMullen's algorithm to higher dimensions to solve sextic equations. Crass [3] found a good family of finite groups and equivariant maps for which one may say something about global dynamics. Crass [3] conjectured that the Fatou set of each map of this family consists of attractive basins of superattracting points. Although I do not know whether this family has relation to solving equations or not, our results will give affirmative answers for the conjectures in [3].

In Section 2 we shall explain an action of the symmetric group  $S_{k+2}$  on  $\mathbf{P}^k$  and properties of our  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant map. In Sections 3 and 4 we shall show our results about the Fatou sets and hyperbolicity of our maps by using properties of our maps and Kobayashi metrics.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 37F45; Secondary: 37C80. Key words. Complex dynamics, symmetry, equivariant map, hyperbolicity, Axiom A.

# 2. $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant maps

Crass [3] selected the symmetric group  $S_{k+2}$  as a finite group acting on  $\mathbf{P}^k$  and found an  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant map which is holomorphic and critically finite for each  $k \geq 1$ . We denote by C = C(f) the critical set of f and say that f is critically finite if each irreducible component of C(f) is periodic or preperiodic. More precisely,  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant map  $g_{k+3}$  defined in Section 2.2 preserves each irreducible component of  $C(g_{k+3})$ , which is a projective hyperplane. The complement of  $C(g_{k+3})$  is Kobayashi hyperbolic. Furthermore restrictions of  $g_{k+3}$  to invariant projective subspaces have the same properties as above. See Section 2.3 for details.

## 2.1. $S_{k+2}$ acts on $\mathbf{P}^k$ .

An action of the (k+2)-th symmetric group  $S_{k+2}$  on  $\mathbf{P}^k$  is induced by the permutation action of  $S_{k+2}$  on  $\mathbf{C}^{k+2}$  for each  $k \ge 1$ . The transposition (i, j) in  $S_{k+2}$  corresponds with the transposition " $u_i \leftrightarrow u_j$ " on  $\mathbf{C}_u^{k+2}$ , which pointwise fixes the hyperplane  $\{u_i = u_j\} = \{u \in \mathbf{C}_u^{k+2} \mid u_i = u_j\}$ . Here  $\mathbf{C}^{k+2} = \mathbf{C}_u^{k+2} = \{u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{k+2}) \mid u_i \in \mathbf{C} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k + 2\}$ .

The action of  $S_{k+2}$  preserves a hyperplane H in  $\mathbf{C}_{u}^{k+2}$ , which is identified with  $\mathbf{C}_{x}^{k+1}$  by projection  $A \colon \mathbf{C}_{u}^{k+2} \to \mathbf{C}_{x}^{k+1}$ ,

$$H = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k+2} u_i = 0 \right\} \stackrel{\text{A}}{\simeq} \mathbf{C}_x^{k+1} \text{ and } A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $\mathbf{C}^{k+1} = \mathbf{C}_x^{k+1} = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}) \mid x_i \in \mathbf{C} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k+1\}.$ 

Thus the permutation action of  $S_{k+2}$  on  $\mathbf{C}_{u}^{k+2}$  induces an action of " $S_{k+2}$ " on  $\mathbf{C}_{x}^{k+1}$ . Here " $S_{k+2}$ " is generated by the permutation action  $S_{k+1}$  on  $\mathbf{C}_{x}^{k+1}$  and a (k+1, k+1)-matrix T which corresponds to the transposition (1, k+2) in  $S_{k+2}$ ,

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence the hyperplane corresponding to  $\{u_i = u_j\}$  is  $\{x_i = x_j\}$  for  $1 \le i < j \le k+1$ . The hyperplane corresponding to  $\{u_i = u_{k+2}\}$  is  $\{x_i = 0\}$  for  $1 \le i \le k+1$ . Each element in " $S_{k+2}$ " which corresponds to some transposition in  $S_{k+2}$  pointwise fixes one of these hyperplanes in  $\mathbf{C}_x^{k+1}$ .

The action of " $S_{k+2}$ " on  $\mathbf{C}^{k+1}$  projects naturally to the action of " $S_{k+2}$ " on  $\mathbf{P}^k$ . These hyperplanes on  $\mathbf{C}^{k+1}$  projects naturally to projective hyperplanes on  $\mathbf{P}^k$ . Here  $\mathbf{P}^k = \{x = [x_1 : x_2 : \cdots : x_{k+1}] \mid (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{k+1}) \in \mathbf{C}^{k+1} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\}$ . Each element in the action of " $S_{k+2}$ " on  $\mathbf{P}^k$  which corresponds to some transposition in  $S_{k+2}$  pointwise fixes one of these projective hyperplanes. We denote " $S_{k+2}$ " also by  $S_{k+2}$  and call these projective hyperplanes transposition hyperplanes.

#### 2.2. Existence of our maps.

One way to get  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant maps on  $\mathbf{P}^k$  which are critically finite is to make  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant maps whose critical sets coincide with the union of the transposition hyperplanes.

**Theorem 1** ([3]). For each  $k \ge 1$ ,  $g_{k+3}$  defined below is the unique  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant holomorphic map of degree k+3 which is doubly critical on each transposition hyperplane.

$$g = g_{k+3} = [g_{k+3,1} : g_{k+3,2} : \dots : g_{k+3,k+1}] \colon \mathbf{P}^k \to \mathbf{P}^k,$$
  
where  $g_{k+3,l}(x) = x_l^3 \sum_{s=0}^k (-1)^s \frac{s+1}{s+3} x_l^s A_{k-s}, \quad A_0 = 1,$ 

and  $A_{k-s}$  is the elementary symmetric function

of degree k - s in  $\mathbf{C}^{k+1}$ .

Then the critical set of g coincides with the union of the transposition hyperplanes. Since g is  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant and each transposition hyperplane is pointwise fixed by some element in  $S_{k+2}$ , g preserves each transposition hyperplane. In particular g is critically finite. Although Crass [3] used this explicit formula to prove Theorem 1, we shall only use properties of the  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant maps described below.

### 2.3. Properties of our maps.

Let us look at properties of the  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant map g on  $\mathbf{P}^k$  for a fixed k, which is proved in [3] and shall be used to prove our results. Let  $L^{k-1}$  denote one of the transposition hyperplanes, which is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}^{k-1}$ . Let  $L^m$  denote one of the intersections of (k-m) or more distinct transposition hyperplanes which is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{P}^m$  for  $m = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$ .

First, let us look at properties of g itself. The critical set of g consists of the union of the transposition hyperplanes. By  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariance,

g preserves each transposition hyperplane. Furthermore the complement of the critical set of g is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Next, let us look at properties of g restricted to  $L^m$  for  $m = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1$ . Let us fix any m. Since g preserves each  $L^m$ , we can also consider the dynamics of g restricted to any  $L^m$ . Each restricted map has the same properties as above. Let us fix any  $L^m$  and denote by  $g|_{L^m}$  the restricted map of g to the  $L^m$ . The critical set of  $g|_{L^m}$  consists of the union of intersections of the  $L^m$  and another  $L^{k-1}$  which does not include the  $L^m$ . We denote it by  $L^{m-1}$ , which is an irreducible component of the critical set of  $g|_{L^m}$ . By  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariance,  $g|_{L^m}$  preserves each irreducible component of the critical set of  $g|_{L^m}$  in  $L^m$  is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Finally, let us look at a property of superattracting fixed points of g. The set of superattracting points, where the derivative of g vanishes for all directions, coincides with the set of  $L^{0}$ 's.

Remark 1. For every  $k \ge 1$  and every  $m, 1 \le m \le k$ , a restricted map of  $g_{k+3}$  to any  $L^m$  is not conjugate to  $g_{m+3}$ .

### 2.4. Examples for k = 1 and 2.

Let us see transposition hyperplanes of the  $S_3$ -equivariant function  $g_4$ and the  $S_4$ -equivariant map  $g_5$  to make clear what  $L^m$  is. In [3] one can find explicit formulas and figures of dynamics of  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant maps in low-dimensions.

### 2.4.1. $S_3$ -equivariant function $g_4$ in $P^1$ .

$$g_3([x_1:x_2]) = [x_1^3(-x_1+2x_2):x_2^3(2x_1-x_2)]: \mathbf{P}^1 \to \mathbf{P}^1,$$
  
$$C(g_3) = \{x_1 = 0\} \cup \{x_2 = 0\} \cup \{x_1 = x_2\} = \{0, 1, \infty\} \text{ in } \mathbf{P}^1.$$

In this case "transposition hyperplanes" are points in  $\mathbf{P}^1$  and  $L^0$  denotes one of three superattracting fixed points of  $g_3$ .

# 2.4.2. $S_4$ -equivariant map $g_5$ in $P^2$ .

$$C(g_5) = \{x_1 = 0\} \cup \{x_2 = 0\} \cup \{x_3 = 0\} \cup \{x_1 = x_2\}$$
$$\cup \{x_2 = x_3\} \cup \{x_3 = x_1\} \text{ in } \mathbf{P}^2.$$

In this case  $L^1$  denotes one of six transposition hyperplanes in  $\mathbf{P}^2$ , which is an irreducible component of  $C(g_5)$ . For example, let us fix a transposition hyperplane  $\{x_1 = 0\}$ . Since  $g_5$  preserves each transposition hyperplane, we can also consider the dynamics of  $g_5$  restricted to  $\{x_1 = 0\}$ . We denote by  $g_5|_{\{x_1=0\}}$  the restricted map of  $g_5$  to  $\{x_1=0\}$ . The critical set of  $g_5|_{\{x_1=0\}}$  in  $\{x_1=0\} \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$  is

$$C(g_5|_{\{x_1=0\}}) = \{[0:1:0], [0:0:1], [0:1:1]\}\}$$

When we use  $L^0$  after we fix  $\{x_1 = 0\}$ ,  $L^0$  denotes one of intersections of  $\{x_1 = 0\}$  and another transposition hyperplane, which is a superattracting fixed point of  $g_5|_{\{x_1=0\}}$  in  $\mathbf{P}^1$ . The set of superattracting fixed points of  $g_5$  in  $\mathbf{P}^2$  is

 $\{[1:0:0], [0:1:0], [0:0:1], [1:1:1], [1:1:0], [1:0:1], [0:1:1]\}.$ 

In general  $L^0$  denotes one of intersections of two or more transposition hyperplanes, which is a superattracting fixed point of  $g_5$  in  $\mathbf{P}^2$ .

# 3. The Fatou sets of the $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant maps

## 3.1. Definitions and preliminaries.

Let us recall theorems about critically finite holomorphic maps. Let f be a holomorphic map from  $\mathbf{P}^k$  to  $\mathbf{P}^k$ . The Fatou set of f is defined to be the maximal open subset where the iterates  $\{f^n\}_{n\geq 0}$  is a normal family. The Julia set of f is defined to be the complement of the Fatou set of f. Each connected component of the Fatou set is called a Fatou component. Let U be a Fatou component of f. A holomorphic map h is said to be a limit map on U if there is a subsequence  $\{f^{n_s}|_U\}_{s\geq 0}$  which locally converges to h on U. We say that a point q is a Fatou limit point if there is a limit map h on a Fatou component U such that  $q \in h(U)$ . The set of all Fatou limit points is called the Fatou limit set. We define the  $\omega$ -limit set E(f) of the critical points by

$$E(f) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{n=j}^{\infty} f^n(C)}.$$

**Theorem 2** ([10, Proposition 5.1]). If f is a critically finite holomorphic map from  $\mathbf{P}^k$  to  $\mathbf{P}^k$ , then the Fatou limit set is contained in the  $\omega$ -limit set E(f).

Let us recall the notion of Kobayashi metrics. Let M be a complex manifold and  $K_M(x, v)$  the Kobayashi quasimetric on M,

$$\inf\left\{|a| \mid \varphi \colon \mathbf{D} \to M \colon \text{holomorphic}, \, \varphi(0) = x, \, D\varphi\left(a\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)_0\right) = v, \, a \in \mathbf{C}\right\}$$

for  $x \in M$ ,  $v \in T_x M$ ,  $z \in \mathbf{D}$ , where **D** is the unit disk in **C**. We say that M is Kobayashi hyperbolic if  $K_M$  becomes a metric. Theorem 5 is a corollary of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 for k = 1 and 2.

**Theorem 3** (a basic result whose former statement can be found in [8, Corollary 14.5]). If f is a critically finite holomorphic function from  $\mathbf{P}^1$ to  $\mathbf{P}^1$ , then the only Fatou components of f are attractive components of superattracting points. Moreover if the Fatou set is not empty, then the Fatou set has full measure in  $\mathbf{P}^1$ .

**Theorem 4** ([5, theorem 7.7]). If f is a critically finite holomorphic map from  $\mathbf{P}^2$  to  $\mathbf{P}^2$  and the complement of C(f) is Kobayashi hyperbolic, then the only Fatou components of f are attractive components of superattracting points.

### 3.2. Our first result.

Let us fix any k and  $g = g_{k+3}$ . For every  $m, 2 \le m \le k$ , we can apply an argument in [5] to a restricted map of g to any  $L^m$  because every  $L^{m-1}$  is smooth and because every  $L^m \setminus C(g|_{L^m})$  is Kobayashi hyperbolic. We shall use this argument in Lemma 1, which is used to prove Proposition 1.

**Proposition 1.** For any Fatou component U which is disjoint from C(g), there exists an integer n such that  $g^n(U)$  intersects with C(g).

Proof: We suppose that  $g^n(U)$  is disjoint from C(g) for any n and derive a contradiction by using Lemma 1 and Remark 3 below. Take any point  $x_0 \in U$ . Since E(g) coincides with C(g),  $g^n(x_0)$  accumulates to C(g) as n tends to  $\infty$  from Theorem 2. Since C(g) is the union of the transposition hyperplanes, there exists a smallest integer  $m_1$  such that  $g^n(x_0)$  accumulates to some  $L^{m_1}$ . Let  $h_1$  be a limit map on U such that  $h_1(x_0)$  belongs to the  $L^{m_1}$ . From Lemma 1 below, the intersection of  $h_1(U)$  and the  $L^{m_1}$  is an open set in the  $L^{m_1}$  and is contained in the Fatou set of  $g|_{L^{m_1}}$ .

We next consider the dynamics of  $g|_{L^{m_1}}$ . If there exists an integer  $n_2$  such that  $g^{n_2}(h_1(U) \cap L^{m_1})$  intersects with  $C(g|_{L^{m_1}})$ , then  $g^{n_2}(h_1(U) \cap L^{m_1})$  intersects with some  $L^{m_1-1}$ . In this case we can consider the dynamics of  $g|_{L^{m_1-1}}$ . On the other hand, if there does not exist such  $n_2$ , then there exists an integer  $m_2$  and a limit map  $h_2$  on  $h_1(U) \cap L^{m_1}$  such that the intersection of  $h_2(h_1(U) \cap L^{m_1})$  and some  $L^{m_2}$  is an open set in the  $L^{m_2}$  from Remark 3 below. Thus it is contained in the Fatou set of  $g|_{L^{m_2}}$ . Here  $m_2$  is smaller than  $m_1$ . In this case we can consider the dynamics of  $g|_{L^{m_2}}$ .

We continue the same argument above. These reductions finally come to some  $L^1$  and we use Theorem 3. One can find a similar reduction argument in the proof of Theorem 5. Consequently  $g^n(x_0)$  accumulates to some superattracting point  $L^0$ . So there exists an integer s such that  $g^s$  sends U to the attractive Fatou component which contains the superattracting point  $L^0$ . Thus  $g^s(U)$  intersects with C(g), which is a contradiction.

Remark 2. Even if a Fatou component U intersects with some  $L^m$  and is disjoint from any  $L^{m-1}$ , then the similar thing as above holds for the dynamics in the  $L^m$ . In this case  $U \cap L^m$  is contained in the Fatou set of  $g|_{L^m}$  and there exists an integer n such that  $g^n(U \cap L^m)$  intersects with  $C(g|_{L^m})$ .

**Lemma 1.** For any Fatou component U which is disjoint from C(g)and any point  $x_0 \in U$ , let h be a limit map on U such that  $h(x_0)$  belongs to some  $L^m$  and does not belong to any  $L^{m-1}$ . If  $g^n(U)$  is disjoint from C(g) for every  $n \ge 1$ , then the intersection of h(U) and the  $L^m$  is an open set in the  $L^m$ .

Proof: Let B be the complement of C(g). Since B is Kobayashi hyperbolic and B includes  $g^{-1}(B)$ ,  $g^{-1}(B)$  is Kobayashi hyperbolic, too. So we can use Kobayashi metrics  $K_B$  and  $K_{q^{-1}(B)}$ . Since B includes  $g^{-1}(B)$ ,

$$K_B(x,v) \le K_{g^{-1}(B)}(x,v)$$
 for all  $x \in g^{-1}(B), v \in T_x \mathbf{P}^k$ .

In addition, since g is an unbranched covering from  $g^{-1}(B)$  to B,

 $K_{q^{-1}(B)}(x,v) = K_B(q(x), Dq(v))$  for all  $x \in q^{-1}(B), v \in T_x \mathbf{P}^k$ .

From these two inequalities we have the following inequality

$$K_B(x,v) \leq K_B(g(x), Dg(v))$$
 for all  $x \in g^{-1}(B), v \in T_x \mathbf{P}^k$ .

Since the same argument holds for any  $g^n$  from  $g^{-n}(B)$  to B,

$$K_B(x,v) \leq K_B(g^n(x), Dg^n(v))$$
 for all  $x \in g^{-n}(B), v \in T_x \mathbf{P}^k$ .

Since  $g^n$  is an unbranched covering from U to  $g^n(U)$  and B includes  $g^n(U)$  for every n, a sequence  $\{K_B(g^n(x), Dg^n(v))\}_{n\geq 0}$  is bounded for all  $x \in U$ ,  $v \in T_x \mathbf{P}^k$ . Hence we have the following inequality for any unit vectors  $v_n$  in  $T_{x_0}U$  with respect to the Fubini-Study metric in  $\mathbf{P}^k$ ,

(1) 
$$0 < \inf_{|v|=1} K_B(x_0, v) \le K_B(x_0, v_n) \le K_B(g^n(x_0), Dg^n(x_0)v_n) < \infty.$$

That is, the sequence  $\{K_B(g^n(x_0), Dg^n(x_0)v_n)\}_{n\geq 0}$  is bounded away from 0 and  $\infty$  uniformly.

We shall choose  $v_n$  so that  $Dg^n(x_0)v_n$  keeps parallel to the  $L^m$  and claim that  $Dh(x_0)v \neq \mathbf{0}$  for any accumulation vector v of  $v_n$ . Let  $h = \lim_{n \to \infty} g^n$  for simplicity. Let V be a neighborhood of  $h(x_0)$  and  $\psi$  a local coordinate on V so that  $\psi(h(x_0)) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\psi(L^m \cap V) \subset \{y = (y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_k) \mid y_1 = \cdots = y_{k-m} = 0\}$ . In this chart there exists a constant r > 0 such that a polydisk  $P(\mathbf{0}, 2r)$  does not intersect with any images of transposition hyperplanes which do not include the  $L^m$ . Since  $\psi(g^n(x_0))$  converges to  $\mathbf{0}$  as n tends to  $\infty$ , we may assume that  $\psi(g^n(x_0))$  belongs to  $P(\mathbf{0}, r)$  for large n. Let  $\{v_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  be unit vectors in  $T_{x_0}\mathbf{P}^k$  and  $\{w_n\}_{n\geq 0}$  vectors in  $T_{\psi(g^n(x_0))}\mathbf{C}^k$  so that  $w_n$  keep parallel to  $\psi(L^m)$  with a same direction and

$$Dg^{n}(x_{0})v_{n} = |Dg^{n}(x_{0})v_{n}| D\psi^{-1}(w_{n})$$

So we may assume that the length of  $w_n$  is almost unit for large n. We define holomorphic maps  $\varphi_n$  from **D** to  $P(\mathbf{0}, 2r)$  as

$$\varphi_n(z) = \psi(g^n(x_0)) + rzw_n \text{ for } z \in \mathbf{D}$$

and consider holomorphic maps  $\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi_n$  from **D** to *B* for large *n*. Then

$$(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi_n)(0) = g^n(x_0),$$
$$D(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi_n) \left(\frac{|Dg^n(x_0)v_n|}{r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)_0\right) = Dg^n(x_0)v_n$$

Suppose  $Dh(x_0)v = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $Dg^n(x_0)v$  converges to  $\mathbf{0}$  as n tends to  $\infty$  and so does  $Dg^n(x_0)v_n$ . By the definition of Kobayashi metric we have that

$$K_B(g^n(x_0), Dg^n(x_0)v_n) \le \frac{|Dg^n(x_0)v_n|}{r} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

Since this contradicts (1), we have  $Dh(x_0)v \neq 0$ . This holds for all directions which are parallel to  $\psi(L^m)$ . Consequently the intersection of h(U) and the  $L^m$  is an open set in  $L^m$ .

Remark 3. The similar thing as above holds for the dynamics of any restricted map. Thus even if a Fatou component  $g^n(U)$  intersects with C(g)for some n, the same result as above holds. Because one can consider the dynamics in the  $L^m$  when  $g^n(U)$  intersects with some  $L^m$ .

**Theorem 5.** For each  $k \ge 1$ , the Fatou set of the  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant map g consists of attractive basins of superattracting fixed points which are intersections of k or more distinct transposition hyperplanes.

**Proof:** This theorem follows from Proposition 1 and Remark 2 immediately. Let us describe details. Take any Fatou component U. From Proposition 1 there exists an integer  $n_k$  such that  $g^{n_k}(U)$  intersects with C(g). Since C(g) is the union of the transposition hyperplanes,  $g^{n_k}(U)$  intersects with some  $L^{k-1}$ . By doing the same thing as above for the dynamics of g restricted to the  $L^{k-1}$ , there exists an integer  $n_{k-1}$ such that  $g^{n_k+n_{k-1}}(U)$  intersects with some  $L^{k-2}$  from Remark 2. We

340

again do the same thing as above for the dynamics of g restricted to the  $L^{k-2}$ .

These reductions finally come to some  $L^1$ . That is, there exists integers  $n_{k-2}, \ldots, n_2$  such that  $g^{n_k+n_{k-1}+\cdots+n_2}(U)$  intersects with some  $L^1$ . From Theorem 3 there exists an integer  $n_1$  such that  $g^{n_1}(g^{n_k+n_{k-1}+\cdots+n_2}(U))$  contains some  $L^0$ . Hence  $g^{n_k+n_{k-1}+\cdots+n_1}$  sends U to the attractive Fatou component which contains the superattracting fixed point  $L^0$  in  $\mathbf{P}^k$ .  $\Box$ 

# 4. Axiom A and the $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant maps

## 4.1. Definitions and preliminaries.

Let us define hyperbolicity of non-invertible maps and the notion of Axiom A. See [6] for details. Let f be a holomorphic map from  $\mathbf{P}^k$ to  $\mathbf{P}^k$  and K a compact subset such that f(K) = K. Let  $\hat{K}$  be the set of histories in K and  $\hat{f}$  the induced homeomorphism on  $\hat{K}$ . We say that f is hyperbolic on K if there exists a continuous decomposition  $T_{\hat{K}} = E^u + E^s$ of the tangent bundle such that  $D\hat{f}(E_{\hat{x}}^{u/s}) \subset E_{\hat{f}(\hat{x})}^{u/s}$  and if there exists constants c > 0 and  $\lambda > 1$  such that for every  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$|D\widehat{f}^n(v)| \ge c\lambda^n |v| \quad \text{for all } v \in E^u \quad \text{and}$$
$$|D\widehat{f}^n(v)| \le c^{-1}\lambda^{-n} |v| \quad \text{for all } v \in E^s.$$

Here  $|\cdot|$  denotes the Fubini-Study metric on  $\mathbf{P}^k$ . If a decomposition and inequalities above hold for f and K, then it also holds for  $\hat{f}$  and  $\hat{K}$ . In particular we say that f is expanding on K if f is hyperbolic on K with unstable dimension k. Let  $\Omega$  be the non-wandering set of f, i.e., the set of points for any neighborhood U of which there exists an integer n such that  $f^n(U)$  intersects with U. By definition,  $\Omega$  is compact and  $f(\Omega) = \Omega$ . We say that f satisfies Axiom A if f is hyperbolic on  $\Omega$  and periodic points are dense in  $\Omega$ .

Let us introduce a theorem which deals with repelling part of dynamics. Let f be a holomorphic map from  $\mathbf{P}^k$  to  $\mathbf{P}^k$ . We define the k-th Julia set  $J_k$  of f to be the support of the measure with maximal entropy, in which repelling periodic points are dense. It is a fundamental fact that in dimension 1 the 1st Julia set  $J_1$  coincides with the Julia set J. Let Kbe a compact subset such that f(K) = K. We say that K is a repeller if f is expanding on K. **Theorem 6** ([7]). Let f be a holomorphic map on  $\mathbf{P}^k$  of degree at least 2 such that the  $\omega$ -limit set E(f) is pluripolar. Then any repeller for f intersects  $J_k$ . In particular,

$$J_k = \overline{\{repelling \ periodic \ points \ of \ f\}}.$$

If f is critically finite, then E(f) is pluripolar. We need the following corollary to prove our second result.

**Corollary 1** ([7]). Let f be the same as above. Suppose that  $J_k$  is a repeller. Then any repeller for f is a subset of  $J_k$ .

### 4.2. Our second result.

**Theorem 7.** For each  $k \ge 1$ , the  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant map g satisfies Axiom A.

Proof: We only need to consider the  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant map g for a fixed k, because argument for any k is similar as the following one. Let us show the statement above for a fixed k by induction. A restricted map of g to any  $L^1$  satisfies Axiom A by using the theorem of critically finite functions (see [8, Theorem 19.1]). We only need to show that a restricted map of g to a fixed  $L^2$  satisfies Axiom A. Then a restricted map of g to any  $L^2$  satisfies Axiom A by symmetry. Argument for a restricted map of g to any  $L^m$ ,  $3 \le m \le k$ , is similar as for a restricted map of g to the  $L^2$ . Let us denote  $g|_{L^2}$ ,  $\Omega(g|_{L^2})$ , and  $L^2$  by g,  $\Omega$ , and  $\mathbf{P}^2$  for simplicity.

We want to show that  $g|_{L^2}$  is hyperbolic on  $\Omega(g|_{L^2})$  by using Kobayashi metrics. If g is hyperbolic on  $\Omega$ , then  $\Omega$  has a decomposition to  $S_i$ ,

$$\Omega = S_0 \cup S_1 \cup S_2$$

where i = 0, 1, 2 indicate the unstable dimensions. Since C(g) attracts all nearby points,  $S_0$  includes all the  $L^0$ 's and  $S_1$  includes all the Julia sets of  $g|_{L^1}$ . We denote by  $J(g|_{L^1})$  the Julia set of  $g|_{L^1}$ . Then g is contracting in all directions at  $L^0$  and is contracting in the normal direction and expanding in an  $L^1$ -direction on  $J(g|_{L^1})$ . Let us consider a compact, completely invariant subset in  $\mathbf{P}^2 \setminus C$ ,

$$S = \{ x \in \mathbf{P}^2 \mid \operatorname{dist}(g^n(x), C) \not\to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \}.$$

By definition, we have  $J_2 \subset S_2 \subset S$ . If g is expanding on S, then it follow that  $S_0 = \bigcup L^0$ ,  $S_1 = \bigcup J(g|_{L^1})$ . Moreover  $J_2 = S_2 = S$  holds from Corollary 1 (see Remark 4 below). Since periodic points are dense in  $J(g|_{L^1})$  and  $J_2$ , expansion of g on S implies Axiom A of g. Let us show that g is expanding on S. Because f is attracting on Cand preserves C, there exists a neighborhood V of C such that V is relatively compact in  $g^{-1}(V)$  and the complement of V is connected. We assume one of  $L^{1}$ 's to be the line at infinity of  $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ . By letting B be  $\mathbf{P}^{2} \setminus V$  and U one of connected components of  $g^{-1}(\mathbf{P}^{2} \setminus V)$ , we have the following inclusion relations,

$$U \subset g^{-1}(B) \Subset B \subset \mathbf{C}^2 = \mathbf{P}^2 \setminus L^1.$$

Because B and U are in a local chart, there exists a constant  $\rho < 1$  such that

$$K_B(x,v) \le \rho K_U(x,v)$$
 for all  $x \in U, v \in T_x \mathbb{C}^2$ .

In addition, since the map g from U to B is an unbranched covering,

$$K_U(x, v) = K_B(g(x), Dg(v))$$
 for all  $x \in U, v \in T_x \mathbb{C}^2$ .

From these two inequalities we have the following inequality

$$K_B(x,v) \le \rho K_B(g(x), Dg(v))$$
 for all  $x \in g^{-1}(B), v \in T_x \mathbb{C}^2$ .

Since g preserves S, which is contained in  $g^{-n}(B)$  for every  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$K_B(x,v) \leq \rho^n K_B(g^n(x), Dg^n(v))$$
 for all  $x \in S, v \in T_x \mathbb{C}^2$ .

Consequently we have the following inequality for  $\lambda = \rho^{-1} > 1$ ,

$$K_B(g^n(x), Dg^n(v)) \ge \lambda^n K_B(x, v)$$
 for all  $x \in S, v \in T_x \mathbb{C}^2$ .

Since  $K_B(x, v)$  is upper semicontinuous and |v| is continuous,  $K_B(x, v)$ and |v| may be different only by a constant factor. There exists c > 0such that

$$|Dg^n(x)v| \ge c\lambda^n |v|$$
 for all  $x \in S, v \in T_x \mathbb{C}^2$ .

Thus g is expanding on S and satisfies Axiom A.

Remark 4. Unlike the case when k = 1, it does not seem obvious that S being a repeller implies  $J_k = S$  when  $k \ge 2$ .

Remark 5. From [1, Theorem 4.11] and [9], it follows that the Fatou set of the  $S_{k+2}$ -equivariant map g has full measure in  $\mathbf{P}^k$  for each  $k \ge 1$ .

Acknowlegements. I would like to thank Professor S. Ushiki and Doctor K. Maegawa for their useful advice. Particularly in order to obtain our second result, Maegawa's suggestion to use Theorem 6 and Corollary 1 was helpful.

### References

- R. BOWEN, "Equilibrium states and the ergodic theory of Anosov diffeomorphisms", Lecture Notes in Mathematics 470, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [2] S. CRASS, Solving the sextic by iteration: a study in complex geometry and dynamics, *Experiment. Math.* 8(3) (1999), 209–240.
- [3] S. CRASS, A family of critically finite maps with symmetry, *Publ. Mat.* 49(1) (2005), 127–157.
- [4] P. DOYLE AND C. MCMULLEN, Solving the quintic by iteration, Acta Math. 163(3-4) (1989), 151–180.
- [5] J. E. FORNÆSS AND N. SIBONY, Complex dynamics in higher dimension. I. Complex analytic methods in dynamical systems (Rio de Janeiro, 1992), Astérisque 222(5) (1994), 201–231.
- [6] M. JONSSON, Hyperbolic dynamics of endomorphisms, preprint.
- [7] K. MAEGAWA, Hyperbolic sets and critical orbits of holomorphic maps on  $\mathbf{P}^k$ , in preparation.
- [8] J. MILNOR, "Dynamics in one complex variable", Introductory lectures, Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1999.
- [9] M. QIAN AND S. Z. ZHANG, Ergodic theory for Axiom A endomorphisms, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 15(1) (1995), 161–174.
- [10] T. UEDA, Critical orbits of holomorphic maps on projective spaces, J. Geom. Anal. 8(2) (1998), 319–334.
- [11] S. USHIKI, Julia sets with polyhedral symmetries, in: "Dynamical systems and related topics" (Nagoya, 1990), Adv. Ser. Dynam. Systems 9, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1991, pp. 515–538.

Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies Kyoto University Yoshida-Nihonmatsu-cho, Sakyo-ku Kyoto 606-8501 Japan *E-mail address*: ueno@math.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp

> Primera versió rebuda el 19 de juliol de 2006, darrera versió rebuda el 8 de gener de 2007.