# DYNAMICS OF SYMMETRIC HOLOMORPHIC MAPS ON PROJECTIVE SPACES 


#### Abstract

We consider complex dynamics of a critically finite holomorphic map from $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ to $\mathbf{P}^{k}$, which has symmetries associated with the symmetric group $S_{k+2}$ acting on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$, for each $k \geq 1$. The Fatou set of each map of this family consists of attractive basins of superattracting points. Each map of this family satisfies Axiom A.


Kohei Ueno

## 1. Introduction

For a finite group $G$ acting on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ as projective transformations, we say that a rational map $f$ on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ is $G$-equivariant if $f$ commutes with each element of $G$. That is, $f \circ r=r \circ f$ for any $r \in G$, where $\circ$ denotes the composition of maps. Doyle and McMullen [4] introduced the notion of equivariant functions on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ to solve quintic equations. See also $[\mathbf{1 1}]$ for equivariant functions on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. Crass [2] extended Doyle and McMullen's algorithm to higher dimensions to solve sextic equations. Crass [3] found a good family of finite groups and equivariant maps for which one may say something about global dynamics. Crass [3] conjectured that the Fatou set of each map of this family consists of attractive basins of superattracting points. Although I do not know whether this family has relation to solving equations or not, our results will give affirmative answers for the conjectures in [3].

In Section 2 we shall explain an action of the symmetric group $S_{k+2}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ and properties of our $S_{k+2}$-equivariant map. In Sections 3 and 4 we shall show our results about the Fatou sets and hyperbolicity of our maps by using properties of our maps and Kobayashi metrics.
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## 2. $S_{k+2}$-equivariant maps

Crass [3] selected the symmetric group $S_{k+2}$ as a finite group acting on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ and found an $S_{k+2^{-}}$equivariant map which is holomorphic and critically finite for each $k \geq 1$. We denote by $C=C(f)$ the critical set of $f$ and say that $f$ is critically finite if each irreducible component of $C(f)$ is periodic or preperiodic. More precisely, $S_{k+2^{-}}$equivariant map $g_{k+3}$ defined in Section 2.2 preserves each irreducible component of $C\left(g_{k+3}\right)$, which is a projective hyperplane. The complement of $C\left(g_{k+3}\right)$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic. Furthermore restrictions of $g_{k+3}$ to invariant projective subspaces have the same properties as above. See Section 2.3 for details.

## 2.1. $S_{k+2}$ acts on $\mathrm{P}^{k}$.

An action of the ( $k+2$ )-th symmetric group $S_{k+2}$ on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ is induced by the permutation action of $S_{k+2}$ on $\mathbf{C}^{k+2}$ for each $k \geq 1$. The transposition $(i, j)$ in $S_{k+2}$ corresponds with the transposition " $u_{i} \leftrightarrow u_{j}$ " on $\mathbf{C}_{u}^{k+2}$, which pointwise fixes the hyperplane $\left\{u_{i}=u_{j}\right\}=\left\{u \in \mathbf{C}_{u}^{k+2} \mid u_{i}=u_{j}\right\}$. Here $\mathbf{C}^{k+2}=\mathbf{C}_{u}^{k+2}=\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{k+2}\right) \mid u_{i} \in \mathbf{C}\right.$ for $i=1, \ldots, k+$ $2\}$.

The action of $S_{k+2}$ preserves a hyperplane $H$ in $\mathbf{C}_{u}^{k+2}$, which is identified with $\mathbf{C}_{x}^{k+1}$ by projection $A: \mathbf{C}_{u}^{k+2} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}_{x}^{k+1}$,

$$
H=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k+2} u_{i}=0\right\} \stackrel{\mathrm{A}}{\approx} \mathbf{C}_{x}^{k+1} \text { and } A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 & -1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here $\mathbf{C}^{k+1}=\mathbf{C}_{x}^{k+1}=\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k+1}\right) \mid x_{i} \in \mathbf{C}\right.$ for $\left.i=1, \ldots, k+1\right\}$.
Thus the permutation action of $S_{k+2}$ on $\mathbf{C}_{u}^{k+2}$ induces an action of " $S_{k+2}$ " on $\mathbf{C}_{x}^{k+1}$. Here " $S_{k+2}$ " is generated by the permutation action $S_{k+1}$ on $\mathbf{C}_{x}^{k+1}$ and a $(k+1, k+1)$-matrix $T$ which corresponds to the transposition $(1, k+2)$ in $S_{k+2}$,

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & \ldots & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence the hyperplane corresponding to $\left\{u_{i}=u_{j}\right\}$ is $\left\{x_{i}=x_{j}\right\}$ for $1 \leq$ $i<j \leq k+1$. The hyperplane corresponding to $\left\{u_{i}=u_{k+2}\right\}$ is $\left\{x_{i}=0\right\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k+1$. Each element in " $S_{k+2}$ " which corresponds to some transposition in $S_{k+2}$ pointwise fixes one of these hyperplanes in $\mathbf{C}_{x}^{k+1}$.

The action of " $S_{k+2}$ " on $\mathbf{C}^{k+1}$ projects naturally to the action of " $S_{k+2}$ " on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$. These hyperplanes on $\mathbf{C}^{k+1}$ projects naturally to projective hyperplanes on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$. Here $\mathbf{P}^{k}=\left\{x=\left[x_{1}: x_{2}: \cdots: x_{k+1}\right] \mid\right.$ $\left.\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k+1}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{k+1} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}\right\}$. Each element in the action of " $S_{k+2}$ " on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ which corresponds to some transposition in $S_{k+2}$ pointwise fixes one of these projective hyperplanes. We denote " $S_{k+2}$ " also by $S_{k+2}$ and call these projective hyperplanes transposition hyperplanes.

### 2.2. Existence of our maps.

One way to get $S_{k+2}$-equivariant maps on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ which are critically finite is to make $S_{k+2}$-equivariant maps whose critical sets coincide with the union of the transposition hyperplanes.
Theorem 1 ([3]). For each $k \geq 1, g_{k+3}$ defined below is the unique $S_{k+2}$-equivariant holomorphic map of degree $k+3$ which is doubly critical on each transposition hyperplane.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g=g_{k+3}=\left[g_{k+3,1}: g_{k+3,2}: \cdots: g_{k+3, k+1}\right]: \mathbf{P}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{k} \\
& \text { where } g_{k+3, l}(x)=x_{l}^{3} \sum_{s=0}^{k}(-1)^{s} \frac{s+1}{s+3} x_{l}^{s} A_{k-s}, \quad A_{0}=1,
\end{aligned}
$$ and $A_{k-s}$ is the elementary symmetric function

$$
\text { of degree } k-s \text { in } \mathbf{C}^{k+1} \text {. }
$$

Then the critical set of $g$ coincides with the union of the transposition hyperplanes. Since $g$ is $S_{k+2}$-equivariant and each transposition hyperplane is pointwise fixed by some element in $S_{k+2}, g$ preserves each transposition hyperplane. In particular $g$ is critically finite. Although Crass [3] used this explicit formula to prove Theorem 1, we shall only use properties of the $S_{k+2}$-equivariant maps described below.

### 2.3. Properties of our maps.

Let us look at properties of the $S_{k+2}$-equivariant map $g$ on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ for a fixed $k$, which is proved in [3] and shall be used to prove our results. Let $L^{k-1}$ denote one of the transposition hyperplanes, which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{k-1}$. Let $L^{m}$ denote one of the intersections of $(k-m)$ or more distinct transposition hyperplanes which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{P}^{m}$ for $m=$ $0,1, \ldots, k-1$.

First, let us look at properties of $g$ itself. The critical set of $g$ consists of the union of the transposition hyperplanes. By $S_{k+2}$-equivariance,
$g$ preserves each transposition hyperplane. Furthermore the complement of the critical set of $g$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Next, let us look at properties of $g$ restricted to $L^{m}$ for $m=1,2, \ldots$, $k-1$. Let us fix any $m$. Since $g$ preserves each $L^{m}$, we can also consider the dynamics of $g$ restricted to any $L^{m}$. Each restricted map has the same properties as above. Let us fix any $L^{m}$ and denote by $\left.g\right|_{L^{m}}$ the restricted map of $g$ to the $L^{m}$. The critical set of $\left.g\right|_{L^{m}}$ consists of the union of intersections of the $L^{m}$ and another $L^{k-1}$ which does not include the $L^{m}$. We denote it by $L^{m-1}$, which is an irreducible component of the critical set of $\left.g\right|_{L^{m}}$. By $S_{k+2^{-}}$equivariance, $\left.g\right|_{L^{m}}$ preserves each irreducible component of the critical set of $\left.g\right|_{L^{m}}$. Furthermore the complement of the critical set of $\left.g\right|_{L^{m}}$ in $L^{m}$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic.

Finally, let us look at a property of superattracting fixed points of $g$. The set of superattracting points, where the derivative of $g$ vanishes for all directions, coincides with the set of $L^{0}$ 's.

Remark 1. For every $k \geq 1$ and every $m, 1 \leq m \leq k$, a restricted map of $g_{k+3}$ to any $L^{m}$ is not conjugate to $g_{m+3}$.

### 2.4. Examples for $k=1$ and 2.

Let us see transposition hyperplanes of the $S_{3}$-equivariant function $g_{4}$ and the $S_{4}$-equivariant map $g_{5}$ to make clear what $L^{m}$ is. In [3] one can find explicit formulas and figures of dynamics of $S_{k+2}$-equivariant maps in low-dimensions .

### 2.4.1. $S_{3}$-equivariant function $g_{4}$ in $\mathrm{P}^{1}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{3}\left(\left[x_{1}: x_{2}\right]\right)=\left[x_{1}^{3}\left(-x_{1}+2 x_{2}\right): x_{2}^{3}\left(2 x_{1}-x_{2}\right)\right]: \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1} \\
C\left(g_{3}\right)=\left\{x_{1}=0\right\} \cup\left\{x_{2}=0\right\} \cup\left\{x_{1}=x_{2}\right\}=\{0,1, \infty\} \text { in } \mathbf{P}^{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

In this case "transposition hyperplanes" are points in $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ and $L^{0}$ denotes one of three superattracting fixed points of $g_{3}$.

### 2.4.2. $S_{4}$-equivariant $\operatorname{map} g_{5}$ in $\mathrm{P}^{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C\left(g_{5}\right)=\left\{x_{1}=0\right\} \cup\left\{x_{2}=0\right\} \cup\left\{x_{3}\right. & =0\} \cup\left\{x_{1}=x_{2}\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{x_{2}=x_{3}\right\} \cup\left\{x_{3}=x_{1}\right\} \text { in } \mathbf{P}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case $L^{1}$ denotes one of six transposition hyperplanes in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$, which is an irreducible component of $C\left(g_{5}\right)$. For example, let us fix a transposition hyperplane $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$. Since $g_{5}$ preserves each transposition hyperplane, we can also consider the dynamics of $g_{5}$ restricted to $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$.

We denote by $\left.g_{5}\right|_{\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}}$ the restricted map of $g_{5}$ to $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$. The critical set of $\left.g_{5}\right|_{\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}}$ in $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\} \simeq \mathbf{P}^{1}$ is

$$
C\left(\left.g_{5}\right|_{\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}}\right)=\{[0: 1: 0],[0: 0: 1],[0: 1: 1]\} .
$$

When we use $L^{0}$ after we fix $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}, L^{0}$ denotes one of intersections of $\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$ and another transposition hyperplane, which is a superattracting fixed point of $\left.g_{5}\right|_{\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. The set of superattracting fixed points of $g_{5}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ is

$$
\{[1: 0: 0],[0: 1: 0],[0: 0: 1],[1: 1: 1],[1: 1: 0],[1: 0: 1],[0: 1: 1]\}
$$

In general $L^{0}$ denotes one of intersections of two or more transposition hyperplanes, which is a superattracting fixed point of $g_{5}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{2}$.

## 3. The Fatou sets of the $S_{k+2}$-equivariant maps

### 3.1. Definitions and preliminaries.

Let us recall theorems about critically finite holomorphic maps. Let $f$ be a holomorphic map from $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ to $\mathbf{P}^{k}$. The Fatou set of $f$ is defined to be the maximal open subset where the iterates $\left\{f^{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a normal family. The Julia set of $f$ is defined to be the complement of the Fatou set of $f$. Each connected component of the Fatou set is called a Fatou component. Let $U$ be a Fatou component of $f$. A holomorphic map $h$ is said to be a limit map on $U$ if there is a subsequence $\left\{\left.f^{n_{s}}\right|_{U}\right\}_{s \geq 0}$ which locally converges to $h$ on $U$. We say that a point $q$ is a Fatou limit point if there is a limit map $h$ on a Fatou component $U$ such that $q \in h(U)$. The set of all Fatou limit points is called the Fatou limit set. We define the $\omega$-limit set $E(f)$ of the critical points by

$$
E(f)=\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{\bigcup_{n=j}^{\infty} f^{n}(C)} .
$$

Theorem 2 ([10, Proposition 5.1]). If $f$ is a critically finite holomorphic map from $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ to $\mathbf{P}^{k}$, then the Fatou limit set is contained in the $\omega$-limit set $E(f)$.

Let us recall the notion of Kobayashi metrics. Let $M$ be a complex manifold and $K_{M}(x, v)$ the Kobayashi quasimetric on $M$,
$\inf \left\{|a| \mid \varphi: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow M:\right.$ holomorphic, $\left.\varphi(0)=x, D \varphi\left(a\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)_{0}\right)=v, a \in \mathbf{C}\right\}$ for $x \in M, v \in T_{x} M, z \in \mathbf{D}$, where $\mathbf{D}$ is the unit disk in $\mathbf{C}$. We say that $M$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic if $K_{M}$ becomes a metric. Theorem 5 is a corollary of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 for $k=1$ and 2 .

Theorem 3 (a basic result whose former statement can be found in $[\mathbf{8}$, Corollary 14.5]). If $f$ is a critically finite holomorphic function from $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ to $\mathbf{P}^{1}$, then the only Fatou components of $f$ are attractive components of superattracting points. Moreover if the Fatou set is not empty, then the Fatou set has full measure in $\mathbf{P}^{1}$.
Theorem 4 ([5, theorem 7.7]). If $f$ is a critically finite holomorphic map from $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ to $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ and the complement of $C(f)$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic, then the only Fatou components of $f$ are attractive components of superattracting points.

### 3.2. Our first result.

Let us fix any $k$ and $g=g_{k+3}$. For every $m, 2 \leq m \leq k$, we can apply an argument in [5] to a restricted map of $g$ to any $L^{m}$ because every $L^{m-1}$ is smooth and because every $L^{m} \backslash C\left(\left.g\right|_{L^{m}}\right)$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic. We shall use this argument in Lemma 1, which is used to prove Proposition 1.

Proposition 1. For any Fatou component $U$ which is disjoint from $C(g)$, there exists an integer $n$ such that $g^{n}(U)$ intersects with $C(g)$.
Proof: We suppose that $g^{n}(U)$ is disjoint from $C(g)$ for any $n$ and derive a contradiction by using Lemma 1 and Remark 3 below. Take any point $x_{0} \in U$. Since $E(g)$ coincides with $C(g), g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ accumulates to $C(g)$ as $n$ tends to $\infty$ from Theorem 2. Since $C(g)$ is the union of the transposition hyperplanes, there exists a smallest integer $m_{1}$ such that $g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ accumulates to some $L^{m_{1}}$. Let $h_{1}$ be a limit map on $U$ such that $h_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)$ belongs to the $L^{m_{1}}$. From Lemma 1 below, the intersection of $h_{1}(U)$ and the $L^{m_{1}}$ is an open set in the $L^{m_{1}}$ and is contained in the Fatou set of $\left.g\right|_{L^{m_{1}}}$.

We next consider the dynamics of $\left.g\right|_{L^{m_{1}}}$. If there exists an integer $n_{2}$ such that $g^{n_{2}}\left(h_{1}(U) \cap L^{m_{1}}\right)$ intersects with $C\left(\left.g\right|_{L^{m_{1}}}\right)$, then $g^{n_{2}}\left(h_{1}(U) \cap\right.$ $\left.L^{m_{1}}\right)$ intersects with some $L^{m_{1}-1}$. In this case we can consider the dynamics of $\left.g\right|_{L^{m_{1}-1}}$. On the other hand, if there does not exist such $n_{2}$, then there exists an integer $m_{2}$ and a limit map $h_{2}$ on $h_{1}(U) \cap L^{m_{1}}$ such that the intersection of $h_{2}\left(h_{1}(U) \cap L^{m_{1}}\right)$ and some $L^{m_{2}}$ is an open set in the $L^{m_{2}}$ from Remark 3 below. Thus it is contained in the Fatou set of $\left.g\right|_{L^{m_{2}}}$. Here $m_{2}$ is smaller than $m_{1}$. In this case we can consider the dynamics of $\left.g\right|_{L^{m_{2}}}$.

We continue the same argument above. These reductions finally come to some $L^{1}$ and we use Theorem 3. One can find a similar reduction argument in the proof of Theorem 5. Consequently $g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ accumulates to some superattracting point $L^{0}$. So there exists an integer $s$ such
that $g^{s}$ sends $U$ to the attractive Fatou component which contains the superattracting point $L^{0}$. Thus $g^{s}(U)$ intersects with $C(g)$, which is a contradiction.

Remark 2. Even if a Fatou component $U$ intersects with some $L^{m}$ and is disjoint from any $L^{m-1}$, then the similar thing as above holds for the dynamics in the $L^{m}$. In this case $U \cap L^{m}$ is contained in the Fatou set of $\left.g\right|_{L^{m}}$ and there exists an integer $n$ such that $g^{n}\left(U \cap L^{m}\right)$ intersects with $C\left(\left.g\right|_{L^{m}}\right)$.

Lemma 1. For any Fatou component $U$ which is disjoint from $C(g)$ and any point $x_{0} \in U$, let $h$ be a limit map on $U$ such that $h\left(x_{0}\right)$ belongs to some $L^{m}$ and does not belong to any $L^{m-1}$. If $g^{n}(U)$ is disjoint from $C(g)$ for every $n \geq 1$, then the intersection of $h(U)$ and the $L^{m}$ is an open set in the $L^{m}$.

Proof: Let $B$ be the complement of $C(g)$. Since $B$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic and $B$ includes $g^{-1}(B), g^{-1}(B)$ is Kobayashi hyperbolic, too. So we can use Kobayashi metrics $K_{B}$ and $K_{g^{-1}(B)}$. Since $B$ includes $g^{-1}(B)$,

$$
K_{B}(x, v) \leq K_{g^{-1}(B)}(x, v) \text { for all } x \in g^{-1}(B), v \in T_{x} \mathbf{P}^{k}
$$

In addition, since $g$ is an unbranched covering from $g^{-1}(B)$ to $B$,

$$
K_{g^{-1}(B)}(x, v)=K_{B}(g(x), D g(v)) \text { for all } x \in g^{-1}(B), v \in T_{x} \mathbf{P}^{k}
$$

From these two inequalities we have the following inequality

$$
K_{B}(x, v) \leq K_{B}(g(x), D g(v)) \text { for all } x \in g^{-1}(B), v \in T_{x} \mathbf{P}^{k}
$$

Since the same argument holds for any $g^{n}$ from $g^{-n}(B)$ to $B$,

$$
K_{B}(x, v) \leq K_{B}\left(g^{n}(x), D g^{n}(v)\right) \text { for all } x \in g^{-n}(B), v \in T_{x} \mathbf{P}^{k}
$$

Since $g^{n}$ is an unbranched covering from $U$ to $g^{n}(U)$ and $B$ includes $g^{n}(U)$ for every $n$, a sequence $\left\{K_{B}\left(g^{n}(x), D g^{n}(v)\right)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded for all $x \in U$, $v \in T_{x} \mathbf{P}^{k}$. Hence we have the following inequality for any unit vectors $v_{n}$ in $T_{x_{0}} U$ with respect to the Fubini-Study metric in $\mathbf{P}^{k}$,
(1) $0<\inf _{|v|=1} K_{B}\left(x_{0}, v\right) \leq K_{B}\left(x_{0}, v_{n}\right) \leq K_{B}\left(g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right), D g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) v_{n}\right)<\infty$.

That is, the sequence $\left\{K_{B}\left(g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right), D g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) v_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ is bounded away from 0 and $\infty$ uniformly.

We shall choose $v_{n}$ so that $D g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) v_{n}$ keeps parallel to the $L^{m}$ and claim that $D h\left(x_{0}\right) v \neq \mathbf{0}$ for any accumulation vector $v$ of $v_{n}$. Let $h=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} g^{n}$ for simplicity. Let $V$ be a neighborhood of $h\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\psi$ a local coordinate on $V$ so that $\psi\left(h\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=\mathbf{0}$ and $\psi\left(L^{m} \cap V\right) \subset\{y=$ $\left.\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k}\right) \mid y_{1}=\cdots=y_{k-m}=0\right\}$. In this chart there exists a
constant $r>0$ such that a polydisk $P(\mathbf{0}, 2 r)$ does not intersect with any images of transposition hyperplanes which do not include the $L^{m}$. Since $\psi\left(g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ converges to $\mathbf{0}$ as $n$ tends to $\infty$, we may assume that $\psi\left(g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ belongs to $P(\mathbf{0}, r)$ for large $n$. Let $\left\{v_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ be unit vectors in $T_{x_{0}} \mathbf{P}^{k}$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 0}$ vectors in $T_{\psi\left(g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \mathbf{C}^{k}$ so that $w_{n}$ keep parallel to $\psi\left(L^{m}\right)$ with a same direction and

$$
D g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) v_{n}=\left|D g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) v_{n}\right| D \psi^{-1}\left(w_{n}\right)
$$

So we may assume that the length of $w_{n}$ is almost unit for large $n$. We define holomorphic maps $\varphi_{n}$ from $\mathbf{D}$ to $P(\mathbf{0}, 2 r)$ as

$$
\varphi_{n}(z)=\psi\left(g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+r z w_{n} \text { for } z \in \mathbf{D}
$$

and consider holomorphic maps $\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi_{n}$ from $\mathbf{D}$ to $B$ for large $n$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi_{n}\right)(0)=g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) \\
D\left(\psi^{-1} \circ \varphi_{n}\right)\left(\frac{\left|D g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) v_{n}\right|}{r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)_{0}\right)=D g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) v_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

Suppose $D h\left(x_{0}\right) v=\mathbf{0}$, then $D g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) v$ converges to $\mathbf{0}$ as $n$ tends to $\infty$ and so does $D g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) v_{n}$. By the definition of Kobayashi metric we have that

$$
K_{B}\left(g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right), D g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) v_{n}\right) \leq \frac{\left|D g^{n}\left(x_{0}\right) v_{n}\right|}{r} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Since this contradicts (1), we have $D h\left(x_{0}\right) v \neq \mathbf{0}$. This holds for all directions which are parallel to $\psi\left(L^{m}\right)$. Consequently the intersection of $h(U)$ and the $L^{m}$ is an open set in $L^{m}$.

Remark 3. The similar thing as above holds for the dynamics of any restricted map. Thus even if a Fatou component $g^{n}(U)$ intersects with $C(g)$ for some $n$, the same result as above holds. Because one can consider the dynamics in the $L^{m}$ when $g^{n}(U)$ intersects with some $L^{m}$.
Theorem 5. For each $k \geq 1$, the Fatou set of the $S_{k+2}$-equivariant map $g$ consists of attractive basins of superattracting fixed points which are intersections of $k$ or more distinct transposition hyperplanes.

Proof: This theorem follows from Proposition 1 and Remark 2 immediately. Let us describe details. Take any Fatou component $U$. From Proposition 1 there exists an integer $n_{k}$ such that $g^{n_{k}}(U)$ intersects with $C(g)$. Since $C(g)$ is the union of the transposition hyperplanes, $g^{n_{k}}(U)$ intersects with some $L^{k-1}$. By doing the same thing as above for the dynamics of $g$ restricted to the $L^{k-1}$, there exists an integer $n_{k-1}$ such that $g^{n_{k}+n_{k-1}}(U)$ intersects with some $L^{k-2}$ from Remark 2. We
again do the same thing as above for the dynamics of $g$ restricted to the $L^{k-2}$.

These reductions finally come to some $L^{1}$. That is, there exists integers $n_{k-2}, \ldots, n_{2}$ such that $g^{n_{k}+n_{k-1}+\cdots+n_{2}}(U)$ intersects with some $L^{1}$. From Theorem 3 there exists an integer $n_{1}$ such that $g^{n_{1}}\left(g^{n_{k}+n_{k-1}+\cdots+n_{2}}(U)\right)$ contains some $L^{0}$. Hence $g^{n_{k}+n_{k-1}+\cdots+n_{1}}$ sends $U$ to the attractive Fatou component which contains the superattracting fixed point $L^{0}$ in $\mathbf{P}^{k}$.

## 4. Axiom A and the $\boldsymbol{S}_{\boldsymbol{k + 2}}$-equivariant maps

### 4.1. Definitions and preliminaries.

Let us define hyperbolicity of non-invertible maps and the notion of Axiom A. See $[\mathbf{6}]$ for details. Let $f$ be a holomorphic map from $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ to $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ and $K$ a compact subset such that $f(K)=K$. Let $\widehat{K}$ be the set of histories in $K$ and $\widehat{f}$ the induced homeomorphism on $\widehat{K}$. We say that $f$ is hyperbolic on $K$ if there exists a continuous decomposition $T_{\widehat{K}}=E^{u}+E^{s}$ of the tangent bundle such that $D \widehat{f}\left(E_{\widehat{x}}^{u / s}\right) \subset E_{\widehat{f}(\widehat{x})}^{u / s}$ and if there exists constants $c>0$ and $\lambda>1$ such that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|D \widehat{f}^{n}(v)\right| \geq c \lambda^{n}|v| & \text { for all } v \in E^{u} \quad \text { and } \\
\left|D \widehat{f}^{n}(v)\right| \leq c^{-1} \lambda^{-n}|v| & \text { for all } v \in E^{s}
\end{array}
$$

Here $|\cdot|$ denotes the Fubini-Study metric on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$. If a decomposition and inequalities above hold for $f$ and $K$, then it also holds for $\widehat{f}$ and $\widehat{K}$. In particular we say that $f$ is expanding on $K$ if $f$ is hyperbolic on $K$ with unstable dimension $k$. Let $\Omega$ be the non-wandering set of $f$, i.e., the set of points for any neighborhood $U$ of which there exists an integer $n$ such that $f^{n}(U)$ intersects with $U$. By definition, $\Omega$ is compact and $f(\Omega)=\Omega$. We say that $f$ satisfies Axiom A if $f$ is hyperbolic on $\Omega$ and periodic points are dense in $\Omega$.

Let us introduce a theorem which deals with repelling part of dynamics. Let $f$ be a holomorphic map from $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ to $\mathbf{P}^{k}$. We define the $k$-th Julia set $J_{k}$ of $f$ to be the support of the measure with maximal entropy, in which repelling periodic points are dense. It is a fundamental fact that in dimension 1 the 1st Julia set $J_{1}$ coincides with the Julia set $J$. Let $K$ be a compact subset such that $f(K)=K$. We say that $K$ is a repeller if $f$ is expanding on $K$.

Theorem 6 ([7]). Let $f$ be a holomorphic map on $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ of degree at least 2 such that the $\omega$-limit set $E(f)$ is pluripolar. Then any repeller for $f$ intersects $J_{k}$. In particular,

$$
\left.J_{k}=\overline{\{r e p e l l i n g ~ p e r i o d i c ~ p o i n t s ~ o f ~} f\right\} .
$$

If $f$ is critically finite, then $E(f)$ is pluripolar. We need the following corollary to prove our second result.

Corollary 1 ([7]). Let $f$ be the same as above. Suppose that $J_{k}$ is a repeller. Then any repeller for $f$ is a subset of $J_{k}$.

### 4.2. Our second result.

Theorem 7. For each $k \geq 1$, the $S_{k+2}$-equivariant map $g$ satisfies $A x$ iom $A$.

Proof: We only need to consider the $S_{k+2}$-equivariant $\operatorname{map} g$ for a fixed $k$, because argument for any $k$ is similar as the following one. Let us show the statement above for a fixed $k$ by induction. A restricted map of $g$ to any $L^{1}$ satisfies Axiom A by using the theorem of critically finite functions (see [8, Theorem 19.1]). We only need to show that a restricted map of $g$ to a fixed $L^{2}$ satisfies Axiom A. Then a restricted map of $g$ to any $L^{2}$ satisfies Axiom A by symmetry. Argument for a restricted map of $g$ to any $L^{m}, 3 \leq m \leq k$, is similar as for a restricted map of $g$ to the $L^{2}$. Let us denote $\left.g\right|_{L^{2}}, \Omega\left(\left.g\right|_{L^{2}}\right)$, and $L^{2}$ by $g, \Omega$, and $\mathbf{P}^{2}$ for simplicity.

We want to show that $\left.g\right|_{L^{2}}$ is hyperbolic on $\Omega\left(\left.g\right|_{L^{2}}\right)$ by using Kobayashi metrics. If $g$ is hyperbolic on $\Omega$, then $\Omega$ has a decomposition to $S_{i}$,

$$
\Omega=S_{0} \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2}
$$

where $i=0,1,2$ indicate the unstable dimensions. Since $C(g)$ attracts all nearby points, $S_{0}$ includes all the $L^{0}$ s and $S_{1}$ includes all the Julia sets of $\left.g\right|_{L^{1}}$. We denote by $J\left(\left.g\right|_{L^{1}}\right)$ the Julia set of $\left.g\right|_{L^{1}}$. Then $g$ is contracting in all directions at $L^{0}$ and is contracting in the normal direction and expanding in an $L^{1}$-direction on $J\left(\left.g\right|_{L^{1}}\right)$. Let us consider a compact, completely invariant subset in $\mathbf{P}^{2} \backslash C$,

$$
S=\left\{x \in \mathbf{P}^{2} \mid \operatorname{dist}\left(g^{n}(x), C\right) \nrightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty\right\}
$$

By definition, we have $J_{2} \subset S_{2} \subset S$. If $g$ is expanding on $S$, then it follow that $S_{0}=\cup L^{0}, S_{1}=\cup J\left(\left.g\right|_{L^{1}}\right)$. Moreover $J_{2}=S_{2}=S$ holds from Corollary 1 (see Remark 4 below). Since periodic points are dense in $J\left(\left.g\right|_{L^{1}}\right)$ and $J_{2}$, expansion of $g$ on $S$ implies Axiom A of $g$.

Let us show that $g$ is expanding on $S$. Because $f$ is attracting on $C$ and preserves $C$, there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $C$ such that $V$ is relatively compact in $g^{-1}(V)$ and the complement of $V$ is connected. We assume one of $L^{1}$ 's to be the line at infinity of $\mathbf{P}^{2}$. By letting $B$ be $\mathbf{P}^{2} \backslash V$ and $U$ one of connected components of $g^{-1}\left(\mathbf{P}^{2} \backslash V\right)$, we have the following inclusion relations,

$$
U \subset g^{-1}(B) \Subset B \subset \mathbf{C}^{2}=\mathbf{P}^{2} \backslash L^{1}
$$

Because $B$ and $U$ are in a local chart, there exists a constant $\rho<1$ such that

$$
K_{B}(x, v) \leq \rho K_{U}(x, v) \text { for all } x \in U, v \in T_{x} \mathbf{C}^{2}
$$

In addition, since the map $g$ from $U$ to $B$ is an unbranched covering,

$$
K_{U}(x, v)=K_{B}(g(x), D g(v)) \text { for all } x \in U, v \in T_{x} \mathbf{C}^{2}
$$

From these two inequalities we have the following inequality

$$
K_{B}(x, v) \leq \rho K_{B}(g(x), D g(v)) \text { for all } x \in g^{-1}(B), v \in T_{x} \mathbf{C}^{2}
$$

Since $g$ preserves $S$, which is contained in $g^{-n}(B)$ for every $n \geq 1$,

$$
K_{B}(x, v) \leq \rho^{n} K_{B}\left(g^{n}(x), D g^{n}(v)\right) \text { for all } x \in S, v \in T_{x} \mathbf{C}^{2}
$$

Consequently we have the following inequality for $\lambda=\rho^{-1}>1$,

$$
K_{B}\left(g^{n}(x), D g^{n}(v)\right) \geq \lambda^{n} K_{B}(x, v) \text { for all } x \in S, v \in T_{x} \mathbf{C}^{2}
$$

Since $K_{B}(x, v)$ is upper semicontinuous and $|v|$ is continuous, $K_{B}(x, v)$ and $|v|$ may be different only by a constant factor. There exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\left|D g^{n}(x) v\right| \geq c \lambda^{n}|v| \text { for all } x \in S, v \in T_{x} \mathbf{C}^{2}
$$

Thus $g$ is expanding on $S$ and satisfies Axiom A.
Remark 4. Unlike the case when $k=1$, it does not seem obvious that $S$ being a repeller implies $J_{k}=S$ when $k \geq 2$.

Remark 5. From [1, Theorem 4.11] and [9], it follows that the Fatou set of the $S_{k+2}$-equivariant map $g$ has full measure in $\mathbf{P}^{k}$ for each $k \geq 1$.
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