

Publicacions Matemàtiques, Vol **42** (1998), 509–519.

ON RADIAL LIMIT FUNCTIONS FOR ENTIRE SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN \mathbf{R}^2

ANDRÉ BOIVIN* AND PETER V. PARAMONOV†

Abstract

Given a homogeneous elliptic partial differential operator L of order two with constant complex coefficients in \mathbf{R}^2 , we consider entire solutions of the equation $Lu = 0$ for which

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(re^{i\varphi}) =: U(e^{i\varphi})$$

exists for all $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$ as a finite limit in \mathbf{C} . We characterize the possible “radial limit functions” U . This is an analog of the work of A. Roth for entire holomorphic functions. The results seem new even for harmonic functions.

1. Introduction and Main Results

Let

$$Lv = c_{11}v_{x_1x_1} + 2c_{12}v_{x_1x_2} + c_{22}v_{x_2x_2}$$

be an homogeneous partial differential operator of order two with constant complex coefficients in \mathbf{R}^2 satisfying the ellipticity condition

$$c_{11}\xi_1^2 + 2c_{12}\xi_1\xi_2 + c_{22}\xi_2^2 \neq 0$$

for all $(\xi_1, \xi_2) \neq (0, 0)$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \mathbf{R}$.

Keywords. Elliptic operator, L -entire functions, radial limit functions.

*The first author was partially supported by NSERC (Canada).

†The second author was supported by RFBR (grants No 96-01-01240 & 96-15-96846).

Let λ_1, λ_2 be the (complex) roots of the characteristic equation $c_{11}\lambda^2 + 2c_{12}\lambda + c_{22} = 0$. It follows from the ellipticity condition that $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \notin \mathbf{R}$. We define

$$\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \quad \partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \quad \text{if } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2,$$

or

$$\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \quad \partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \quad \text{if } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2.$$

We then have the following decomposition of L :

$$Lv = \begin{cases} c_{11}\partial_1(\partial_2(v)), & \text{if } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2; \\ c_{11}\partial_1^2(v), & \text{if } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2. \end{cases}$$

We also introduce the following new coordinates:

$$z_1 = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1} \left(x_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} x_2 \right), \quad z_2 = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} \left(x_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} x_2 \right) \quad \text{if } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2;$$

or

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} x_2 \right), \quad z_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} x_2 \right) \quad \text{if } \lambda_1 = \lambda_2.$$

The following ‘‘orthogonality’’ relations then are easily obtained:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_1 z_1 &= 1 & \partial_1 z_2 &= 0 \\ \partial_2 z_1 &= 0 & \partial_2 z_2 &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we identify $z = x_1 + ix_2$ in \mathbf{C} and $x = (x_1, x_2)$ in \mathbf{R}^2 and, for $s = 1$ and 2 , we define $T_s(z) = z_s$ (which are linear nondegenerate transformations of \mathbf{R}^2).

For any set E in \mathbf{R}^2 , denote by $L(E)$ the family of all functions v , each defined on its own neighbourhood Ω_v of E , such that $Lv = 0$ in Ω_v in the classical sense. We note that for E open, one can take $\Omega_v = E$ for all v . Functions in $L(E)$ and $L(\mathbf{R}^2)$ are called *L-analytic* on E and *L-entire* respectively.

It is well known that (for E open) each function $v \in L(E)$ is real-analytic on E , and that each continuous function v satisfying $Lv = 0$ on E in the distributional sense is in $L(E)$. From these facts, using (1), one can prove the following well known result [1, Chapter IV, §6, (4.77)] (see also [5] for a simple direct proof).

Proposition 1. *Let D be any domain in \mathbf{C} and L be as above.*

1. *If D is simply connected and if $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, then*

1a) *$v \in L(D)$ if and only if there exist f_1 holomorphic in $T_1(D)$ and f_2 holomorphic in $T_2(D)$ such that*

$$v(z) = f_1(T_1(z)) + f_2(T_2(z)) = f_1(z_1) + f_2(z_2)$$

for all $z \in D$. In particular, L -entire functions u are of the form $u(z) = f_1(z_1) + f_2(z_2)$ where f_1, f_2 are entire holomorphic functions.

1b) *There exist in $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ a fixed analytic branch $\log(z_1 z_2^\nu)$ of the multivalued function $\text{Log}(z_1 z_2^\nu)$ and a nonzero complex constant C_L depending only on L such that*

$$\Phi_L(z) = C_L \log(z_1 z_2^\nu)$$

is a fundamental solution of L , where $\nu = 1$ if $\text{sgn}(\text{Im } \lambda_1) \neq \text{sgn}(\text{Im } \lambda_2)$, and $\nu = -1$ otherwise.

2. *If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, then*

2a) *$v \in L(D)$ if and only if there exist g_1 and g_2 holomorphic in $T_2(D)$ such that*

$$v(z) = T_1(z)g_1(T_2(z)) + g_2(T_2(z)) = z_1 g_1(z_2) + g_2(z_2)$$

for all $z \in D$. In particular, L -entire functions u are of the form $u(z) = z_1 g_1(z_2) + g_2(z_2)$ where g_1, g_2 are entire holomorphic functions.

2b) *$\Phi_L(z) = C_L \frac{z_1}{z_2}$ is a fundamental solution of L , where C_L is a nonzero complex constant depending only on L .*

3. *If $\{v_n\} \subset L(D)$ and $\{v_n\}$ converges uniformly to v on compact subsets of D as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $v \in L(D)$.*

We just note that 1b) and 2b) follow from 1a) and 2a) respectively, and from the definition of fundamental solution. It is not difficult to check that if $\text{sgn}(\text{Im } \lambda_1) \neq \text{sgn}(\text{Im } \lambda_2)$ (respectively $\text{sgn}(\text{Im } \lambda_1) = \text{sgn}(\text{Im } \lambda_2)$), then the increment of the polar argument of $(z_1 z_2)$ (respectively (z_1/z_2)) around the origin is zero, and thus some analytic branch of the function $\log(z_1 z_2)$ (respectively $\log(z_1/z_2)$) exists in $\mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

Example 1. For the Laplacian $L = \Delta$, one has $\lambda_1 = i$, $\lambda_2 = -i$, $z_1 = z/2$, $z_2 = \bar{z}/2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} =: 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \\ \partial_2 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} =: 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}, \\ \Phi_\Delta(z) &= \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \left(\frac{z\bar{z}}{4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For the Bitsadze operator

$$L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}^2} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + 2i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} \right),$$

one gets $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -i$, $z_1 = \bar{z}/2$, $z_2 = z/2$ and

$$\partial_1 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}, \quad \partial_2 = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad \Phi_L(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\bar{z}}{z}.$$

In order to formulate our main results (Theorems 1 and 2), we need the following characterization of radially constant solutions of the equation $Lv = 0$.

Proposition 2. *Let $J = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \varphi_1 < \arg z < \varphi_2\}$, $\varphi_1 < \varphi_2 \leq \varphi_1 + 2\pi$ denote an (infinite) open sector with vertex at 0. Let $v \in L(J)$ and assume that $v(z) = v(re^{i\varphi}) = v(e^{i\varphi})$ does not depend on r .*

1. *If $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, then there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$ and a fixed analytic branch $\log(z_1/z_2)$ of $\text{Log}(z_1/z_2)$ in J such that, for $z \in J$,*

$$\begin{aligned} v(z) &= \alpha \log \frac{z_1}{z_2} + \beta \\ (2) \quad &= \alpha \log \left(\frac{\cos \varphi + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} \sin \varphi}{\cos \varphi + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \sin \varphi} \right) + \beta =: v_{12}^*(e^{i\varphi}). \end{aligned}$$

2. *If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, then there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$ such that, for $z \in J$,*

$$\begin{aligned} v(z) &= \alpha \frac{z_1}{z_2} + \beta \\ (3) \quad &= \alpha \left(\frac{\cos \varphi - \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \sin \varphi}{\cos \varphi + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \sin \varphi} \right) + \beta =: v_1^*(e^{i\varphi}). \end{aligned}$$

(For this case, $J = \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is also allowed.)

Example 2. For $L = \Delta$, one has $v_{12}^*(e^{i\varphi}) = \alpha\varphi + \beta$, $\varphi_1 < \varphi < \varphi_2$, and for $L = \partial^2/\partial\bar{z}^2$, $v_1(e^{i\varphi}) = \alpha e^{-2i\varphi} + \beta$, where α and β are any complex constants.

Theorem 1. Let u be an entire solution of the equation $Lu = 0$ such that

$$(4) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} u(re^{i\varphi}) =: U(e^{i\varphi})$$

exists and is finite for all $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$. Then

- A) U is of Baire class 1 on $S = \{e^{i\varphi} : \varphi \in [0, 2\pi)\}$; that is, U is a pointwise limit on S of a sequence of continuous functions on S .
- B) There is an open set $I = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$, where the I_j are disjoint open arcs on S (and $I_j = \emptyset$ is possible for some j , but $I_j \neq S$) with the following properties:
 - B1) I is everywhere dense on S ;
 - B2) On each I_j , $U(e^{i\varphi})$ is of the form $v_{12}^*(e^{i\varphi})$ if $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ (respectively of the form $v_1^*(e^{i\varphi})$, if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$), (see (2) and (3));
 - B3) The limit (4) is uniform on each compact subset of each I_j .

Conversely, let U be a function defined on S and I be an open subset of S with $I = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$, where the I_j are disjoint open arcs. If (A), (B1) and (B2) above are satisfied, then there exists an L -entire function u with the properties:

- a) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} u(re^{i\varphi}) = U(e^{i\varphi})$ for each φ ;
- b) The limit in (a) holds uniformly on each compact subset of I_j for each j .

Moreover, if U_1 is of Baire class 1 on S and $U_1(e^{i\varphi}) = \partial U(e^{i\varphi})/\partial\varphi$ on I , then the function u can be chosen such that (a) and (b) are satisfied and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\partial u(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial r} = 0, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\partial u(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi} = U_1(e^{i\varphi})$$

for all $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Let K be a compact set in S . Let $RP(K)$ (respectively $RU(K)$) denote the set of all functions g on K for which there exists $u = u_g \in L(\mathbf{R}^2)$ such that $u(re^{i\varphi}) \rightarrow g(e^{i\varphi})$ for each $\varphi \in K$ (respectively $u(re^{i\varphi}) \rightarrow g(e^{i\varphi})$ uniformly on K) as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.

- a) For each compact set K in S , $g \in RP(K)$ if and only if g is of Baire class 1 on K and there exists a countable family of disjoint open arcs $\{I_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ in K such that $K \setminus \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$ is nowhere dense in S and on each I_j , g is of the form $v_{12}^*(e^{i\varphi})$ (when $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$) or $v_1^*(e^{i\varphi})$ (when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$) (see Proposition 2). In particular, $RP(K)$ consists of all Baire class 1 functions on K if and only if K has an empty interior on S .
- b) Let K be a compact set in S , $K \neq S$. Then $g \in RU(K)$ if and only if $g \in C(K)$ and g is of the form $v_{12}^*(e^{i\varphi})$ (when $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$) or $v_1^*(e^{i\varphi})$ (when $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$) in each connected component of the interior of K in S . In particular, $RU(K) = C(K)$ if and only if K is nowhere dense in S . If $K = S$, then $RU(K)$ contains only constant functions.

2. Proofs

We first establish the following uniqueness theorem for L -analytic functions.

Lemma 1. *Let D be any domain in \mathbf{C} and $v \in L(D)$. If the set $G_v = \{z = x_1 + ix_2 \in D \mid \nabla v(z) := (\partial v(z)/\partial x_1, \partial v(z)/\partial x_2) = (0, 0)\}$ has at least one accumulation point inside D , then v is constant in D .*

Proof: From Proposition 1 and equations (1), one has $\partial_1 v = f_1'(z_1)$ for $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and $\partial_1 v = g_1(z_2)$ for $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$, where f_1' and g_1 are holomorphic on $T_1(D)$ and $T_2(D)$ respectively. By assumption, $f_1' = 0$ on $T_1(G_v)$ (respectively $g_1 = 0$ on $T_2(G_v)$). It thus follows from the uniqueness theorem for holomorphic functions that $f_1 \equiv \text{const}$ in $T_1(D)$ (respectively $g_1 \equiv 0$ in $T_2(D)$). An analogous study of $\partial_2 v$ completes the proof of Lemma 1. ■

Proof of Proposition 2: We shall consider only the case $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, the proof for the case $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ being similar. Let $v \in L(J)$, $v = v(e^{i\varphi})$. Let $v_0(z) = \log(z_1/z_2)$ be some fixed analytic branch of $\text{Log}(z_1/z_2)$ in J . Simple calculations show that $\partial v_0(z)/\partial \varphi \neq 0$ and $\partial v_0/\partial r \equiv 0$ in J . Fixing some $\varphi_0 \in (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$, we can thus find α and β in \mathbf{C} such that $v - \alpha v_0 - \beta = 0$ and $\partial(v - \alpha v_0 - \beta)/\partial \varphi = 0$ on the ray $\{\arg z = \varphi_0\}$. It thus follows that $\nabla(v - \alpha v_0 - \beta) = 0$ on the ray $\{\arg z = \varphi_0\}$. Lemma 1 now gives the desired result. ■

Proof of Theorem 1: The scheme of the proof is analogous to that of A. Roth [7] (see also [3, Chapter IV, § 5A]). The main new tools are some recent results in approximation theory ([6] and [2]).

Let $u \in L(\mathbf{R}^2)$ satisfy (4), then A) is a consequence of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(ne^{i\varphi}) = U(e^{i\varphi})$. Using a decreasing sequence of nested intervals and condition (4), one can prove that for each nonempty sector J'' with vertex at the origin, there exists a nonempty sector $J' = \{\varphi'_1 < \arg z < \varphi'_2\} \subset J''$ with $\varphi'_1 < \varphi'_2 \leq \varphi'_1 + 2\pi$ such that u is bounded on J' (see [3, p. 164]). Fix any φ_1 and φ_2 with $\varphi_1 < \varphi_2$ and $[\varphi_1, \varphi_2] \subset (\varphi'_1, \varphi'_2)$. Let $u_n(z) = u(2^n z)$. We claim that the sequence $\{u_n(z)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges uniformly on compact subsets of the “closed” sector $J = \{\varphi_1 \leq \arg z \leq \varphi_2\}$. From (4), it will follow that the limit function v does not depend on r . Since $v \in L(J)$ (see 3 of Proposition 1), Proposition 2 will give us B) in our theorem (see [3, p. 166] for more details). To prove the claim, it suffices to establish that $\{u_n\}$ converges uniformly on the compact set $K = \{\varphi_1 \leq \arg z \leq \varphi_2, 1 \leq |z| \leq 2\}$. In order to prove this last assertion, it is enough to check that $|\nabla u_n|$ is uniformly bounded on K and to use Ascoli-Arzelà’s theorem. Notice that $\sup\{|u_n(z)| \mid z \in J', n \geq 1\} < +\infty$, and $d := \text{dist}(K, \partial J') > 0$ (here and in the sequel, ∂E is the boundary of a set E). Denote by Φ the fundamental solution of L , which is found in Proposition 1, and set $B(a, \delta) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid |z - a| < \delta\}$, where $a \in \mathbf{C}$ and $\delta > 0$. Fix $\psi \in C_0^\infty(B(0, d))$ such that $\psi = 1$ in $B(0, d/2)$. Now fix $z_0 \in K$ and put $\psi_0(z) = \psi(z - z_0)$. Then $\psi_0 = 0$ outside the ball $B(z_0, d) \subset J'$ and $\psi = 1$ on $B(z_0, d/2)$. One has ([6, p. 255]) $u_n \psi = \Phi * L(u_n \psi)$, so that in $B(z_0, d/2)$, we can write (in the case $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$)

$$u_n(z) = \Phi * (Lu_n \psi + a_{11} \partial_1 u_n \partial_2 \psi + a_{11} \partial_2 u_n \partial_1 \psi + u_n L\psi)(z).$$

Since $\psi Lu_n \equiv 0$ and $a_{11} \partial_s u_n \partial_{3-s} \psi = a_{11} \partial_s (u_n \partial_{3-s} \psi) - u_n L\psi$ ($s = 1$ and 2), we obtain that, in $B(z_0, d/2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &= \Phi * (a_{11} \partial_1 (u_n \partial_2 \psi) + a_{11} \partial_2 (u_n \partial_1 \psi) - u_n L\psi) \\ &= a_{11} (\partial_1 \Phi) * (u_n \partial_2 \psi) + a_{11} (\partial_2 \Phi) * (u_n \partial_1 \psi) - \Phi * (u_n L\psi). \end{aligned}$$

Now the desired uniform estimate for $|\nabla u_n(z_0)|$ can be obtained by making trivial estimates in the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u_n(z_0) &= a_{11} [(\nabla \partial_1 \Phi) * (u_n \partial_2 \psi) + (\nabla \partial_2 \psi) * (u_n \partial_1 \psi)] \\ &\quad - (\nabla \Phi) * (u_n L\psi) \Big|_{z=z_0}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for the case $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ is similar.

Let us now prove the second part of Theorem 1. Let $I = \cup_{j=1}^\infty I_j$, U , U_1 be as in (the second part of) Theorem 1. Put $I_0 = S \setminus I$, and for $j = 0, 1, \dots$ let $J_j = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\} \mid e^{i \arg(z)} \in I_j\}$. Finally set $F_0 = \{z \in J_0 \mid |z| \geq 1\}$, $F_j = \{z \in J_j \mid \text{dist}(z, \partial J_j) \geq 1\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and $F = \cup_{j=0}^\infty F_j$. Notice that each F_j and F are closed subsets of \mathbf{C} and that the F_j ($j \geq 0$) are pairwise disjoint. We note that if they are infinitely many F_j , they are pushed to ∞ (i.e. they are eventually outside any fixed compact set). It follows that there exist pairwise disjoint neighbourhoods Ω_j of F_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots$, with $\Omega_j \subset J_j$ for $j \geq 1$.

We first want to show that there exists a neighbourhood Ω'_0 of F_0 , $\Omega'_0 \subset \Omega_0$, and a function $f \in C^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega'_0)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} f(re^{i\varphi}) = U(e^{i\varphi}), \\
 & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial f(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial \varphi} = U_1(e^{i\varphi}), \\
 & \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial f(re^{i\varphi})}{\partial r} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

for each $e^{i\varphi} \in I_0$. The proof of this elementary fact is included for completeness.

Let $A_0 = \{|z| < 2\}$, $A_s = \{2^{s-1} < |z| < 2^{s+1}\}$, $s = 1, 2, \dots$, and let $\{\chi_s\}_{s=0}^\infty$ be a partition of unity on \mathbf{C} subordinate to $\{A_s\}_{s=0}^\infty$ such that $\chi_s(z) = \chi_s(|z|)$ and $|\nabla \chi_s| \leq c/2^s$, where c is a constant independent of s . Since U and U_1 are of Baire class 1 on S , there exist sequences of continuous functions $\{V_s\}$, $\{W_s\}$ on S such that $V_s(e^{i\varphi}) \rightarrow U(e^{i\varphi})$ and $W_s(e^{i\varphi}) \rightarrow U_1(e^{i\varphi})$, for all $e^{i\varphi} \in S$ (and thus in particular for all $e^{i\varphi} \in I_0$). In addition we can choose the continuous functions V_s and W_s so that they are bounded by $2^{s/2}$.

Since V_s and W_s are uniformly continuous on S , there exists δ_s , $0 < \delta_s < 2^{-s}$, such that $|e^{i\varphi} - e^{i\varphi_0}| < \delta_s$ implies $|V_s(e^{i\varphi}) - V_s(e^{i\varphi_0})| < 1/2^s$ and $|W_s(e^{i\varphi}) - W_s(e^{i\varphi_0})| < 1/2^s$.

Since by assumption I_0 is nowhere dense in S , there exist open neighbourhoods N_s of I_0 , $s = 0, 1, \dots$, such that $N_s = \cup_{k \geq 1} I_{sk}$ is the union of finitely many open arcs I_{sk} whose closures are disjoint and each I_{sk} is of length less than δ_s .

Now for each $s \geq 0$, define $\Omega_0^s = N_s^{(\varphi)} \times (2^{s-1}, 2^{s+1})^{(r)}$ and $\Omega_0^{sk} = I_{sk}^{(\varphi)} \times (2^{s-1}, 2^{s+1})^{(r)}$ in the (φ, r) -plane. We further require that the N_s ($s \geq 0$) be chosen such that $\Omega_0^s \subset \Omega_0$.

We note that, by construction, V_s and W_s are almost constant on each of the sets I_{sk} . Fix $\varphi_{sk} \in I_0 \cap I_{sk}$. For $z = re^{i\varphi} \in \Omega_0^{sk}$, let $f_{sk}(z) :=$

$\alpha_{sk}\varphi + \beta_{sk}$, where $\alpha_{sk}, \beta_{sk} \in \mathbf{C}$, are chosen such that $f_{sk}(e^{i\varphi_{sk}}) = V_s(e^{i\varphi_{sk}})$ and $\partial f_{sk}/\partial\varphi = \alpha_{sk} = W_s(e^{i\varphi_{sk}})$, so that $|\alpha_{sk}| \leq 2^{s/2}$.

Let f_s be the function defined on Ω_0^s which is equal to f_{sk} on Ω_0^{sk} . And let $f = \sum_{s=0}^\infty f_s \chi_s$. Then f is well-defined on some neighbourhood Ω'_0 of F_0 . It is not too difficult to see that f satisfies (5). In the sequel, we identify Ω_0 and Ω'_0 .

Using the localization scheme of Vitushkin (similarly to [4, Lemma 2.2(8), Corollary 6.3]), one can prove that for each $R > 0$, there exists $\{f_n^R\} \subset L(F_0^R)$, where $F_0^R = F_0 \cap \{|z| \leq R\}$, such that $f_n^R \rightarrow f$ in $C^1_{\text{jet}}(F_0^R)$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ (see [4] and [2, section 2.1]; in our particular case, since the interior of F_0 is empty and the union of all the lines in $\mathbf{C} \setminus F_0$ is everywhere dense, we only need a very simple part of the localization scheme).

Let us now consider the Banach space

$$V = \left\{ g \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^2) \mid \|g\| := \sup_{z \in \mathbf{R}^2} \{ \max\{|g(z)|, |\nabla g(z)|\} (1 + |z|^2) \} < \infty \right\}$$

with norm $\|\cdot\|$. This space satisfies the conditions (1)-(4) of [2]. From the fact that V is locally equivalent to the space $C^1(\mathbf{R}^2)$ and from the approximation properties of f on F_0^R mentioned above, it follows also that there exists a locally finite family of balls covering F_0 such that for each ball B in this family and for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists g such that $Lg = 0$ on some neighbourhood of $F_0 \cap \overline{B}$ and $\|f - g\|_{F_0 \cap \overline{B}} < \varepsilon$ i.e. f is approximable locally on F_0 in the norm of V by (local) L -analytic functions. Theorem 2 in [2] now states that this is equivalent to global approximation, that is, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an L -analytic function g on (all of) F_0 such that $\|f - g\|_{F_0} < \varepsilon$.

Denote by $\mathbf{R}^2_\infty = \mathbf{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$ the one-point compactification of \mathbf{R}^2 . Since $\mathbf{R}^2_\infty \setminus F_0$ is connected and locally connected (that is, F_0 is a *RKL*-set in the terminology of [2] (the letters stand for Roth-Keldysh-Lavrentieff)), we can use an analog of Runge's theorem obtained in [2, Theorem 1] to approximate in the norm of V L -analytic functions on F_0 by L -entire functions. We thus conclude that we can find an L -entire function h such that $\|f - h\|_{F_0} \leq 1$. Using the estimate

$$(6) \quad |\partial\psi(z)/\partial\varphi| < |\nabla\psi(z)||z|,$$

this gives that (5) is satisfied when h is substituted for f .

Now define $v(z) = h(z)$ in Ω_0 and $v(z) = U(e^{i\arg(z)})$ in $\cup_{j=1}^\infty \Omega_j$. Then $v \in L(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \cup_{j=0}^\infty \Omega_j$ is a neighbourhood of F , and F is a *RKL*-set. Thus again by [2, Theorem 1], we can find $u \in L(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $\|v - u\|_F \leq 1$. It suffices to notice, using (6) with $\psi = u - v$, that u is the desired L -entire function. Theorem 1 is proved. ■

Proof of Theorem 2: Part (a) of Theorem 2 trivially follows from Theorem 1, since it suffices to extend g from K to S by setting $g = 0$ on $S \setminus K$.

Suppose that $K \neq S$. The necessity in (b) is also a simple consequence of the proof of Theorem 1. To obtain the sufficiency in (b), we consider the closed set $F = \{z = re^{i\varphi} \in \mathbf{C} \mid e^{i\varphi} \in K, r \geq 1\}$ and the function $f(z) = f(re^{i\varphi}) := g(e^{i\varphi})$ on the *RKL*-set F .

An elementary proof (using only well known facts from one-dimensional real analysis) shows that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a finite number of disjoint open arcs I_j , whose union $I = \cup I_j$ contains K , and a function h_ε on I such that h_ε has the form v_{12}^* (or v_1^*) (see Proposition 2) on each I_j , and

$$\sup\{|g(e^{i\varphi}) - h_\varepsilon(e^{i\varphi})| \mid e^{i\varphi} \in K\} < \varepsilon.$$

Thus $f(z)$ is approximable uniformly on F by functions $h_\varepsilon(z) = h_\varepsilon(e^{i \arg(z)}) \in L(F)$.

The end of the proof is now similar to that of Theorem 1. We just need to take the following new approximation space:

$$V = \{\psi \in C(\mathbf{R}^2) \mid \|\psi\| = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{C}} (|\psi(z)|(1 + |z|)) < \infty\}.$$

Finally, if $K = S$, then $u = u_g$ must be bounded in \mathbf{R}^2 , and hence $|\nabla u|$ is also bounded (see the beginning of the proof of Theorem 1). Then, considering $\partial_1 u$ and $\partial_2 u$ and using Proposition 1, we reduce the proof to an application of Liouville's Theorem for holomorphic functions. ■

References

1. A. V. BITSADZE, "Boundary-value problems for second order elliptic equations," North-Holland Series in Applied Mathematics and Mechanics **5**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
2. A. BOIVIN AND P. V. PARAMONOV, Approximation by meromorphic and entire solutions of elliptic equations in Banach spaces of distributions, *Sb. Math.* **189(4)** (1998), 481–502.
3. D. GAIER, "Lectures on Complex Approximation," Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Stuttgart, 1987.
4. P. V. PARAMONOV, On harmonic approximation in the C^1 -norm, *Math. USSR-Sb.* **71(1)** (1992), 183–207.
5. P. V. PARAMONOV AND K. YU. FEDOROVSKI, On C^1 -approximation of functions by polynomial solutions of homogeneous elliptic

equations of second order on compact sets in \mathbf{R}^2 , Dep. in VINITI 2965-B96 (1996), 1–15. (In Russian).

6. P. V. PARAMONOV AND J. VERDERA, Approximation by solutions of elliptic equations on closed subsets of Euclidean space, *Math. Scand.* **74** (1994), 249–259.
7. A. ROTH, Approximationseigenschaften und strahlengrenzwerte meromorpher und ganzer funktionen, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **11** (1938), 77–125.

André Boivin:
Department of Mathematics
University of Western Ontario
London (Ontario)
CANADA N6A 5B7

e-mail: boivin@uwo.ca

Peter V. Paramonov:
Mechanics and Mathematics Faculty
Moscow State (Lomonosov) University
119899 Moscow
RUSSIA

e-mail: petr@paramonov.msk.ru

Primera versió rebuda el 10 de març de 1998,
darrera versió rebuda el 23 de juny de 1998