



Drip irrigation and service provision of irrigation water: New ways to step into affordable small scale irrigated agriculture

Fitsum Hagos, Prossie Nakawuka, Petra Schmitter, Desalegne Tegegne, Amare Hailelassie, Jennie Barron, Nicole Lefore and Walter T. Mupangwa

Workshop and Exhibition on Promoting Productivity and Market Access Technologies and Approaches to Improve Farm Income and Livelihoods in Ethiopia: Lessons from Action Research Projects, ILRI, Addis Ababa, 8-9 December 2016



FEED THE FUTURE
The U.S. Government's Global Hunger & Food Security Initiative



USAID
FROM THE AMERICAN PEOPLE



RESEARCH
PROGRAM ON
Water, Land and
Ecosystems



Outline of the presentation

1. Background
2. Interventions
3. Objectives
4. Study approaches
5. Results and discussions
6. Out scaling
7. Conclusion and implications



1. Background

Climate change induced water scarcity and the drive for agricultural transformation underpins irrigation expansion

Many evidences irrigation enhances food security and reduces poverty

Critical questions / challenges:

- Increasing efficiency – drip irrigation
- Increasing irrigation access – provision irrigation service delivery



AR/IWMI interventions in Lemo district, SNNP – irrigation technologies

Focus interventions: Water delivery (left) and drip irrigation (right)





2. Research objectives

- Compare crop and water productivity of high value crops under drip irrigation compared to farmers' practice,
- Conduct cost-benefit analysis to explore whether drip technology is economically feasible,
- Explore if drip irrigation is still viable when the cost of water delivery is included, and
- Explore if drip irrigation is still viable if cost of water delivery and value of water is included.



3. Study approaches

- Crop and water productivity
- Discounted measure of financial viability like Net Present Value (NPV), and Internal Rate of Return (IRR)
- Residual imputation method – estimate value of water



Key assumptions

| Type of assumptions | Description | Bench mark |
|---------------------------------|--|------------|
| Life span of the drip structure | Includes drip kit and tanker plus other accessories | 5 years |
| Investment cost of drip kits | Drip kits which cover 2500 m ² | 2400 ETB |
| Investment cost of Tanker | Tanker with water holding capacity of 1000 liters | 3500 ETB |
| Installment cost | Installing the drip kit with appropriate spacing and emitters 1000m ² per day | 500 ETB |



Key assumptions ...

| | | |
|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| Transport and logistics | Transporting the drip kits and tankers | 2000 ETB |
| O&M cost | Of the emitters of drip kits (starting the 2nd year) | 10 % |
| Discount rates | Opportunity cost of capital | 8 %, 12.25 % and 16.5 % |



Crop and water productivity

| Variable | Uper Gana | Control | Jawe | Control | Sig-test (p-value)* |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|---------------------|
| Cabbage crop productivity | 60 t/ha | 41 t/ha | 63 t/ha | 44 t/ha | 0.05 |
| Carrot crop productivity | 68t/ha | 38 t/ha | 65t/ha | 35 t/ha | 0.05 |
| Cabbage water Productivity | 15.5 kg /m3 | 8.4 kg/m3 | 13.3 kg/m3 | 8.7 kg/m3 | 0.05 |
| Carrot water productivity | 14.4 kg/m3 | 6.8 kg/ha | 11.8 kg/m3 | 7.2 kg/ha | 0.05 |

Source: farm book 2015/16

*Significance difference between drip and control



4. Results and discussions: Cost-benefit analysis of drip irrigation in Upper Gana

| | Drip | Control | Drip + Cost of water delivery | Drip + Cost of water delivery + Value of water |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------|--|---|
| Discount rates | Discounted benefits | | | |
| 8% | \$160,339.65 | \$120,152.79 | \$152,433.52 | (\$16,248.96) |
| 12.25% | \$137,584.38 | \$140,146.21 | \$130,758.99 | (\$14,865.13) |
| 16.6% | \$118,999.85 | \$120,023.83 | \$113,058.78 | (\$13,697.92) |
| IRR | 499% | 162% | 476% | #NUM! |

Source: farm book 2015/16



Cost-benefit analysis of drip irrigation in Jawe

| Drip | Control | Drip + Cost of water delivery | Drip + Cost of water delivery + Value of water |
|--------------|--------------|-------------------------------|--|
| \$42,912.14 | \$168,811.87 | \$121,810.42 | (\$10,142.08) |
| \$105,875.27 | \$182,316.82 | \$104,321.97 | (\$9,593.04) |
| \$91,399.05 | \$266,130.26 | \$90,047.00 | (\$9,108.89) |
| 390% | 165% | 385% | (#NUM!) |



Results & discussion ...

- Drip irrigation is feasible in both Upper Gana and Jawe, more feasible in Upper Gana than Jawe.
- Growing cabbage and carrot is even financially feasible under farmers practice – effect of supplementary irrigation.



Results and discussion ...

- Including the cost of water provision in the cost-benefit analysis doesn't decrease the financial feasibility of drip technology.
 - ➔ Thus, charging farmers for the costs (both labor and fuel cost) of water provision makes economically sense.
- Including the value of irrigation water makes drip irrigation infeasible.
 - ➔ Introducing some sort of water fee may not make economic sense.



5. Scaling up the technology

- Feasibility analysis results indicated that both drip irrigation and water delivery service is economically feasible
- Presence of local organization engaged in supply of irrigation technologies
- Linking with appropriate microfinance services is required



Scaling up the technology ...

- Irrigation water service delivery is new in Ethiopia; cooperative societies and private investors could be target stakeholders
- Feasibility of water provision as a business, from the supplier side, needs further inquiry.
- Development Bank of Ethiopia (DBE) could be another partner for vertical out scaling



6. Conclusion and implications

- Crop and water productivity was significantly higher under drip irrigation compared to farmers practices
- Feasibility studies indicated that drip irrigation and provision of water service is feasible.
 - Promote drip with water service delivery
or
 - Motorized filling of these tankers can really make a positive impact!
- Out scaling a tested technology may require the involvement of various stakeholders



Acknowledgements:

Africa RISING and WLE
Innovative Lab For Small Scale Irrigation
(ILSSI)

