



STAKEHOLDERS' PARTICIPATION IN INNOVATION PLATFORM IN WEST AFRICA: IMPLICATIONS ON LIVELIHOOD OUTCOMES

Introduction

Agriculture has suffered a setback in Africa's economy due to lack of innovations. Humidtropics, an innovative research for development programme of the CGIAR led by IITA, seeks to help rural poor in humid and sub-humid tropical regions of the world to improve their livelihood using integrated systems intensification approach. This approach set up and empower multi-stakeholder platforms (MSP) at action site level called research for development (R4D) platforms and innovation platforms (IP) at field site level. These MSP gathered representatives from farmer organization, research and training institutions, private sector, NGOs and civil society and Government for interactions to foster technical, bio-physical and institutional innovations for the benefit of the participants. This study analysed the effects of the participation of farmers to IPs on their livelihood in Humidtropics West Africa Flagship.

Table 1: Descriptive Analysis of the Respondents (Participants and Nonparticipants)

Characteristic		Participants (n=93)	-	Non-participants (n=107)		T-test
Age (years)		48.2		44.2		2.017**
Male (%)		81.8		76.6		
Married (%)		92.5		84.1		
Education (years)		6.6		7.8		1.860*
Household Size (#)		8		7	3	8.647***
Farming Experience (years)		26.8		22	,	2.443**
Table 2:	ignificant at 1%, ** livelihood Asset		articipai	nt and I	Non-part	ticipants
Table 2:				nt and I		ticipants
Table 2: Capital	livelihood Asset Indicators		articipai	nt and Manuel	Non-part	ticipants ticipant
Table 2: Capital	livelihood Asset Indicators IP membership	capital for P	articipan Participan	nt and I	Non-part	ticipant
Table 2: Capital	livelihood Asset Indicators IP membership No of extension vi	capital for P	articipal Participal Weight 1 0.85	nt and Manuel	Non-part Non-part Weight 0 0.89	ticipants ticipant
Table 2: Capital Social	livelihood Asset Indicators IP membership No of extension vi Decision making i	capital for P isit	articipal Participal Weight 1 0.85 0.6	nt and I nt Value 0.82	Non-part Non- part Weight 0 0.89 0.09	ticipants ticipant Value 0.33
Table 2: Capital Social	Ivelihood Asset Indicators IP membership No of extension vi Decision making i Ownership of land	capital for P isit	articipal Participal Weight 1 0.85 0.6 0.84	nt and I nt Value	Non-part Non- part Weight 0 0.89 0.09 0.74	ticipants ticipant
	livelihood Asset Indicators IP membership No of extension vi Decision making i	capital for P capital for P isit n IP	articipal Participal Weight 1 0.85 0.6	nt and I nt Value 0.82	Non-part Non- part Weight 0 0.89 0.09	ticipants ticipant Value 0.33



Latifou Idrissou^{1*}, Hervé Bisseleua¹, Adebayo Ogunniyi³, Djana Mignouna¹, Peter Olurotimi², Simeon Bamire², David Obisesan¹ Cehinde Olagunju⁴



ternational Institute ropical Agriculture, dan, Nigeria.

partment of icultural Economics, afemi Awolowo versity Ile – Ife,

partment of ricultural Economics, versity of Ibadan, eria.

ent Istvan University, itute of Economics Regional Development

Materials and Methods

Multistage random sampling technique was used to select 200 responses (93 participants to IPs and 107 non-participants) from the Humidtropics Action site in Southwest Nigeria. Data were collected using questionnaire. Descriptive statistics and Sustainable Livelihood Model was used to analyzed the data.

Results and Discussion

The distribution of respondents according to their socio – economic characteristics were shown in Table 1 with means, percentages and the t-values of the distribution. There was statistically significance difference for age, education, household size and farming experience between the participants and non-participants.

Human	Acquired skills in IP activity	0.82	0.82 0.77		0		
	No of training attended	0.72		0			
Physical	Value of Motorcycle	0.68	0.75	0.63	0.71		
	Value of radio	0.72		0.7			
	Access to energy source	0.84		0.79			
LA			0.72		0.45		
Kruskal Wallis Test		$\chi^2 = 3.732, p < 0.10$					

0.73

0.68


0.75

0.66

Livelihood Asset Pentagon (Figure 1)

Production expenditure

Living expenditure



The results also revealed remarkable increase from 0 to 0.77 and 0.33 to 0.82 for human capital and social capital respectively, and slight increase from 0.52 to 0.57, 0.68 to 0.71 and 0.71 to 0.75 respectively for natural, financial and physical capitals as stakeholders participate in Innovation platforms for research and development.

Conclusions and Recommendations

This study shows that higher livelihood asset capital was found among the participants than non-participants showed by the livelihood asset pentagon. The study thus revealed that further investment should be made in the establishment and strengthening of innovation platforms that enable the development, effective dissemination and adoption of agricultural innovations, thus fostering improved livelihood, alleviate poverty and reduce food insecurity.

*Contact Address: L.Idrissou@cgiar.org

IITA is a member of the CGIAR Consortium

