# RISING

# Sustainable intensification indicator framework for Africa RISING

Philip Grabowski (Michigan State University); Mark Musumba (Columbia University); Cheryl Palm (University of Florida); Sieg Snapp (Michigan State University)

Africa RISING East and Southern Africa Phase II Planning Meeting, Lilongwe, Malawi, 5-8 October 2016







## Five domains of Sustainable Intensification

kica RISING



## Sustainable Intensification as described in the proposal documents

#### Purpose and theory of change

The purpose of Africa RISING is to provide pathways out of **hunger** and **poverty** for smallholder families through sustainably intensified farming systems that sufficiently improve **food**, **nutrition**, **and income security**, particularly for **women** and children, and **conserve or enhance the natural resource base**.

The core focus will continue to be on the sustainable intensification of production from households and systems, with integrated multi-disciplinary research on **food security, nutrition, crops, livestock, water, trees, natural resources and markets** at the heart.

interventions aim to improve **whole farm productivity**, **maintain important ecosystem services**, and enhance the **resilience** of farm households to shocks. (p. iii)







## Attributes of Sustainable Systems



```
maden and priving variable
```



Lopez-Ridaura et al 2005 Multiscale methodological framework to derive criteria and indicators for sustainability evaluation of peasant NRM systems. *Environment, Development and Sustainability* 7:51–69





Less ( reliable, resilient, adaptable) system

















### How do we know if we are achieving this?

- You can't know what you don't measure!
- SI indicator framework
  - List of indicators with various metrics organized by scale
  - Exercise for identifying tradeoffs and synergies
  - Guide for selecting indicators and metrics
  - Support for visualizing the results



Adaptation from -- Kline, K. 2014; Stoorvogel et al. 2004



### ESA Writeshop studies (29)





### Writeshop (29) vs. On-line survey (39)





### ESA Writeshop studies (29)





### On-line survey results (39 scientists)



# Challenges to reliably collecting data on all important SI indicators

\* CaRISING

Limitation	Percent mentioning
Data quality (accuracy and precision)	50%
High costs of data collection	45%
Lack of expertise training/collecting data	36%
Time required	32%
Other (e.g. scale aggregation)	23%
Lack of expertise training/collecting data	36%



# Primary uses of the SI indicator framework

- 1. Assessing technologies
- 2. Identifying tradeoffs and synergies
- 3. Monitoring and Evaluation of Community-wide impact

# Utilizing a framework of indicators to assess sustainable intensification

Sieglinde Snapp<sup>1,2</sup>, Philip Grabowski<sup>1</sup>, Regis Chikowo<sup>1,3,</sup> Erin Anders<sup>1</sup> and Mateete Bekunda<sup>2</sup> Contact: snapp@msu.edu

<sup>1</sup>Plant Soil and Microbial Sciences Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan - USA

<sup>2</sup> International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Arusha – Tanzania <sup>3</sup>Department of Crop Science, University of Zimbabwe, Harare – Zimbabwe

# Evaluating relative sustainability of legume systems in Malawi

Systems compared:

RISING

- Mz0 Continuous sole maize no fertilizer
- MzNPK Continuous sole maize with 69 kg N/ha fertilizer
- PpMz Maize-Pigeonpea intercrop with 35 kg N/ha fertilizer
- GnPp-Mz Groundnut-Pigeonpea intercrop rotated with maize (35 kg N/ha fertilizer in maize phase)

Data sources:

- 1) Mother trials yield and biomass (2-3 seasons)
- 2) APSIM modeling results yield variability, long-term soil changes
- Survey data (baseline for prices + hh composition; baby trials survey for pairwise ranking of technologies





#### Golomoti





## Conclusion

- The SI indicator framework facilitated holistic analysis of legume systems and the identification of important data gaps
- A transdisciplinary approach (interdisciplinary research collaboratively engaging with farmers) is needed to develop and assess management practices for sustainable intensification



Preliminary results from Mbola							
Table 1. Describes the indicators selected							
Indicators	Basic Indicator	Domain					
Maize yield in ton per ha	Crop yield	Productivity					
Chemical fertilizer use per ha	Input use intensity	Economic					
% total land allocated to maize	Crop diversification'	Economic					
% of household selling maize to the market	Market Participation	Economic					
% households with no incidence of water insecurity	Water Insecutiry	Environmental					
% households with no incidence of food insecurity	Food Insecurity	Human condition					



## Questions?



# Primary uses of the SI indicator framework

- 1. Assessing technologies
- 2. Identifying tradeoffs and synergies
- 3. Monitoring and Evaluation of Community-wide impact



Name:	
Focus:	

#### Social

Gender equity

Age equity

Equity of marginalized groups

Level of social cohesion

Level of collective action

Conflicts over resources

#### <u>Productivity</u>

Crop production

Fodder production

Animal production

Variability in production

Economic

Profitability

Variability of profitability

Returns to land, labor and capital

Income diversification

Input use efficiency

Market participation

Market orientation

Poverty rates

#### Environment

Vegetative cover

Plant biodiversity

Fuel availability

Water availability

Water quality

Soil erosion

Soil carbon

Soil acidity

Soil salinity

Nutrient partial balance

Greenhouse gas emissions

Pesticide use

#### Human condition

Nutritional status Nutrition awareness Food security Capacity to experiment

Human health

Draw arrows for connections ----->

Use +, ++, or +++ to show synergies

Use -, 🛶 or --- to show tradeoffs



### Malawi – Africa RISING example





### Summary of indicators and metrics

Domain		Indicators		Measurement methods		Proxies
				Popular measurement	Approximate measure	
1 Destructionites		Crop production kg/ha/yr.		Crop cuts	Farmer recall	NPP
<u>1. Productivity</u> –	grain, biomass and	Animal yield		Livestock surveys	Farmer recall	Regional sales
animai products j	per unit of fand per	Fodder producti	ivity	Survey	Crop cuts or measures	
unit ume		Variability of p	roduction	Data over time	Farmer ranking	Modeled data
		Profitability		Survey/diary of inputs + outputs	Gross margin	
		Variability of p	rofitability	Profits over time Modeled profits		
2		Poverty rates		Survey consumption, expenditure	and assets	
2. Economic - in	centives, constraints	Market particip:	ation	Survey		Regional sales
and efficiency		Income diversif	ication	Survey		
		Input use efficiency		Experiments	Recall	
		Input use intensity		Survey		
	<u>Part 1:</u> local		Carbon	Soil test	Biomass inputs	
	natural resource	Soil attributes	Water	Soil moisture	Visual estimate	
	base for		Nutrients	Soil nutrient tests	Crop performance	
3 Environment	agriculture		Erosion	Runoff measure	Visual estimates	Sediment load
5. Environment		Vegetative cover		Quadrats	Remote sensing	
	Part 2: impacts on	Habitat or biodi	versity loss	Transects	Remote sensing	
	ecosystem	Water quality		Various		
	services	Pesticide use		Observed application	Recall, sales	
		Greenhouse gas	emissions	Measured fluxes	Inputs and practices	
		Food security		Survey - production	Consumption	Production
4. Human condit	<u>ion</u> – impacts on			consumption and expenditure		
individuals		Nutrition		Anthropometric measures Dietary diversity		Prod. diversity
		Capacity to exp	eriment	Independent experiments	Testing out practices	
5 Social _ imma	ts on relationships	Gender equity		Gender equity impact analysis	Farmer ratings by gender	
<ol> <li>Social – impacts on relationships</li> </ol>		Social capital		Collective action	# conflicts	



### Example of -- Economic domain

Indicator	Field/plo t	Farm	Household	Landscape or Administrative Unit	Measurement method
Market participation	N/A	% of production sold (by crop, animal product) <sup>1</sup>	-see farm	% households selling an agricultural product <sup>1</sup>	<sup>1</sup> Household survey
Market orientation	N/A	% of land allocated to cash crops <sup>1</sup>	% of production sold (by crop, animal product) <sup>1</sup> % of land allocated to cash crops <sup>1</sup> ( <i>Market</i> orientation index)		<sup>1</sup> Household survey

# RISING

# Choose indicators for an intervention or technology

- 1. Be specific about the intervention
- 2. What are the potential direct and indirect effects?
- 3. How can those be feasibly measured?

### Indicator selection guide

Indicators for Productivity Domain	Direct effect (X if yes)	Indirect effect (X if yes)	Likelihood of indirect effect <i>rate from 1</i> (very unlikely) to 5 (very likely)	Magnitude of effect (+ or - ) rate from 1 (weak) to 5 (very strong)	Justification if the indicator will not be measured
Yield					
Crop harvest 1:					
Crop residue 1:					
Fodder production considering quality					
Animal productivity					
Species 1:					
Species 2:					



## **Discussion questions**

- 1. What indicators have you measured in Africa RISING already?
- 2. What indicators are of interest for Phase II? Why?
- 3. What concerns do you have about measuring those indicators effectively?

## Presentation of results

- Radar charts allow for transparency
- Readers can value each indicator as they see fit
- A computed index (e.g. per domain) tends to hide too much and provides little benefit
- Developing targets and threshold values would be useful, but challenging

### Radar chart generator in excel

- Instructions for how to enter information
- All indicators must be stated positively!

Intro: Radar charts require all axes to have the same range. This worksheet enables you to graph data with different ranges by converting the highest value in each row to "1".

• For example – erosion reduced

	•		· · ·			·	· ·						
The axis labels will aut	omatically list the indicator,	units	, maximum and minimum values.										
								Catego	ory 1	Category 2		Category 3	Catego
Domain	Indicator	/	Metric				Units			/			
Productivity	Stop 1: List indicators	/	Stop 1b: List motrics	en 1c: Li	st units	-			Step 3: List	systems	٦		
Productivity	This will be part of your		Briefly describe the Thi	s will auto	omatically be				being compa	red			
Economic	axis label.		metric used to measure part	t of the a	axis label				Short labels fo	reach will annoar in			
Economic	Noto that all indicators		the indicator						the legend.		1		
Environmental	must be stated positively								_				
Environmental	(where higher is "better")				Step 2:					S	tep 4	I: Data entry	
Human Condition	for the radar chart to be			1	Add or delete rows a	s ne	eeded -			E	nter t	he data for each	
Human Condition	easy to interpret.	_			radar chart for each r	n c row	orthe			In	Idicato	or for each system	
Social	In the example notice												
Social	"Erosion reduced" is used												
	"Yield stability" is used												
	instead of "Yield variability"												



### Mock example provided

Domain	Indicator	Units	Conv.Mz no fert	CA Mz no fert	Conv.Mz fert	CA Mz fert
Productivity	Yield (maize)	kg/ha	1000	1200	1800	2020
Productivity	Yield stability (maize)	prob.	0.8	0.9	0.85	0.95
Economic	Profitability	\$/ha	\$100	\$120	\$120	\$142
Economic	Stability of profitability	prob.	0.95	0.9	0.8	0.85
Environmental	Soil Carbon	% change	-50.00%	0%	0%	50%
Environmental	Erosion reduced	tons/ha/yr	0	1.5	1	3
Human Condition	Nutrition	% protein	0.416666667	0.5	0.75	0.841666667
Human Condition	Food security	months	5	6	9	10.1
Social	Gender equity	% women	60%	50%	70%	80%
Social	Lack of conflict	prob.	100%	80%	100%	80%

## RISING Kite All Control of Contro

### Output generated by mock example





# Primary uses of the SI indicator framework

- 1. Assessing technologies
- 2. Identifying tradeoffs and synergies
- 3. Monitoring and Evaluation of Community-wide impact

## RISING CARLENS CONTRACTOR OF C

### Approach to refining indicator list

- Synthesis of literature and stakeholder expertise to obtain list of indicators, metrics and methods at the four scales and identify gaps.
- Engage scientists and project managers involved in SI to curate the list of indicators and methods.
  - Meeting and field visit in Mali (October 2015)
    - Discussion and meeting with steering committee and Africa RISING scientist.
    - Field visit to Africa RISING sites and MV site
  - Ethiopia visit in November 2015 (Africa RISING)
    - Visit to Africa RISING sites
    - Interaction with project partners and scientist
    - Update the framework indicators and protocol (metric methods) list
  - Rwanda (CIALCA) (February and March 2016)
  - Online survey of scientist working in sustainable intensification research projects (May – July 2016)



## Thank You

### Africa Research in Sustainable Intensification for the Next Generation **africa-rising.net**









This presentation is licensed for use under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence.