

International Center for Tropical Agriculture Since 1967 / Science to cultivate change

Enhancing CropSyst for intercropping modeling

iCropM, 15-17 March 2016, Berlin

Bryan Carlson, Rolf Sommer*, Birthe Paul, Mirriam Muli and Claudio Stöckle

r.sommer@cgiar.org

Intercropping

- **Definition** of intercropping: Growing two (or more) plant species simultaneously overlapping in space and time.
- Benefits:
 - better use of the acreage of land
 - better nutrient use (efficiency)
 - commensalism/allelopathy (e.g. Push-Pull systems)
 - diversification (improved diet, reduction of production risk, improved soil protection/health)
- Costs:
 - competition
 - increased complexity
 - allelopathy
- Some definitions:
 - (effective) land equivalent ratio (LER)
 - relative yield totals (RYT)
- "The whole is greater than the sum of its parts!"



The importance of intercropping in sub-Saharan Africa

• Intercropping dominates in smallholder farming systems of SSA!





Maize-Cowpea, Zambia

Maize-Pigeon pea, Tanzania



Napier-Desmodium, Tanzania

The importance of intercropping in sub-Saharan Africa



Push-Pull System, Kenya



Maize-Bean, Kenya

Intercropping in CropSyst

"As simple as possible, but not any simpler."

• 1D

- 2 crops with now distinct row arrangement (no alley cropping or wide bed&furrow systems with distinct 2D pattern)
- different planting dates possible (relay cropping)
- dominance of one species over the other may change over time (e.g. maize cow pea system)
- simulate the growth of these two species and the influence of competition
 - light,
 - water,
 - nitrogen



Light interception (*I*)

- I = f * PAR
- $f = 1 e^{-k * LAI}$
- Three cases to consider:
 - 1. Interception by the taller (T) species above the shorter species
 - 2. Interception by the taller species within/below the shorter species
 - 3. Interception of the shorter (S) species

Upper canopy (U) Lower canopy



Light interception (*I*)

The PAR fraction intercepted by the upper canopy is:

$$f_U = 1 - e^{-k_T * LAI_U}$$
eq. 3

The PAR fraction intercepted by the taller species at the lower canopy is:

$$f_{L_T} = \frac{LAI_{L_T} * k_T}{LAI_{L_T} * k_T + LAI_{L_S} * k_S} 1 - e^{\left[-LAI_{L_T} * k_T - LAI_{L_S} * k_S\right]} \text{ eq. 4}$$

and that of the shorter species:

$$f_{L_{S}} = \frac{LAI_{L_{S}} * k_{S}}{LAI_{L_{T}} * k_{T} + LAI_{L_{S}} * k_{S}} 1 - e^{\left[-LAI_{L_{T}} * k_{T} - LAI_{L_{S}} * k_{S}\right]} \text{ eq. 5}$$

Light interception (*I*)

The PAR intercepted at the upper canopy is:

 $I_U = f_U * PAR$ eq. 6

The available PAR reaching the lower canopy must be reduced by this intercepted radiation.

Thus, the radiation intercepted by the two species at the lower canopy is:

$$I_{L_T} = f_{L_T} * (PAR - I_U)$$
 eq. 7
 $I_{L_S} = f_{L_S} * (PAR - I_U)$ eq. 8

Transpiration and evaporative demand

 Partitioning of evaporative demand between the upper and lower canopy and between species done using actual radiation interceptions as scaling factors.

Water and N-uptake

- Non limiting conditions:
 - uptake is calculated for each species as if it was growing alone using either the evaporative demand or crop-specific N-uptake boundaries as "sink".
- Limited conditions:
 - demand/uptake of each species is reduced based on a user-defined "competiveness factor", so as to allow the sum of both demands to be equal to the available water or N.

Maize-Bean intercropping trial – Wote, Kenya



Maize-Bean intercropping trial – Wote, Kenya



Maize-Bean intercropping trial – Wote, Kenya

- Planting
 - 20 October 2015
 - 60 cm row spacing
- Fertilizer application
 - 1.5 t/ha manure (maize and beans), incorporated before 5 day before planting
 - 25 kg/ha DAP at planting (maize only)
 - 50 kg/ha CAN topdressing of maize (16 Dec.)
- Maize phenology
 - 50% tasseling: 14 Dec.
 - 50% silking: 25 Dec.
 - maturity: 5 Feb. 2016
 - harvest: 16 Feb.

- Bean phenology
 - start flowering:
 - start grain filling:
 - maturity:
 - harvest:

Maize-bean intercropping trial – Wote, Kenya



13 November

4 December

15 December



Results – leaf area index and aboveground biomass



dots = observed (± SD); lines = simulations

Results – Aboveground biomass inter- vs. mono-cropping



Results – Yield inter- vs. mono-cropping



Intercropping out-competes mono-cropping!

Outlooks

- some debugging
- implement simplified way of accommodating differences in plant density/spacing
- move from VBA to C++ version of CropSyst



Thank you!