Sub-Saharan Africa Feed Composition Database



nutritive values

www.vslp.org/ssafe

Whv feed database?

Livestock often represent a major asset for smallholder farmers across the developing world. With an increasing demand for animal products led by growing populations, urbanisation and dietary changes, feed has become a constraint for farmers to improve livestock production. However, while feed quality often remains low, demand and prices of feed keep rising. This reinforces the need for more efficient feed production and use. The aim of this database is to enable extension, development and research agents to design scientifically-based and best-cost rations for meat, dairy and draught animals of small-scale African farmers. As their livestock assets are healthier and better nourished, these farmers become more food-secure and are able to increase their income from animal product:





Data sources

Concentrate feeds and agro-industrial by-products (49) Fodder trees and Food crops: cereals & Food crops: cereals & legumes, residues (39) Food crops: others (18 Food crops: roots & Herbaceous Mineral supplements (8

Figure 2: Feed types

The nutritional data on livestock feeds made available through 'SSA Feeds' was generated at the Animal Nutrition/Analytical Services Laboratories of the International Livestock Centre for Africa (ILCA) and the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI) in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. The laboratory analyses were performed as described by Osuji et al. (1993) and Ogubai and

The initial data set used in this software is the same as used by Anindo et al. (1994). However, this data set was modified extensively to exclude duplicate entries and extreme cases of outliers. All feeds were classified into nine 'Feed types' (Figure 2). Plant names were identified, whenever possible, using the checklist of names given by Terrell et al. (1986). The initial data set is frequently revised as new data is added. Therefore the nutritive values obtained through this software may differ substantially from those provided by Anindo et al. (1994).

Potential results

After selecting the type of feed (Figure 3), results are given either per sample of the same feed and crop type (Figure 4), as a summary of all the samples of the same plant part (Figure 5) or they can be exported as a csv table.

ST 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				b per page:
d Type: Food crops: cereals &				
Fred Name	Crop Name	Senn	Spesies	Seme
1- facicultus	Baley	Neder	Hulpery	384
2. Description	Sean.	Planete	ифия	39
3. Oxfore street	Ovdpea	Oper	aretour	13
4. Conceaher	Coupes	Hyrur	urguisséte	363
S. Oth nikt store	Ditch reliet	Papalan	acraticulature	- 4
6. Daunstestatus	Durum wheat.	Tream	idesim	4.
7. Esbabero	Fabe bean	ROP	fide	- 1
8. Enicosastras	Fieldpes	Paur	setion	1
9. Engermietation:	Finger milet	Disone	DESCRIP	24
III. Epotal reletatives	Foxtal milet	Seserie	daka	- 3
4. Freschibean street	French bean	Hercot	Farcan	- 1
2. Golden milet storer	Golden millet	Setoria	ancepte	- 1
d. Grandschade	Groundruit	Arachie	Луродиня	361
A. Harne beambrain	Horse bean	Victe	fide	3
S. Harne bear altern	Horse bean	Rox	fide	34

Feed Type: Food props preask & less Feed Name: Covers forso: (Npw.)	000, 0 100					
Plant Part: Sten						
Haturity: Florence						
Country: Ethiopia						
Total Records: 7					Show F	lan Date Cook
No Variable	Unit		Heimer	Haximum	Average	St. Deviatio
L. Dry Harner (DHI)	% Dried Basis	63	87.37	91.87	89.38	1.3
Organic Matter (DH)	% of DH	63	81.39	93.75	87.81	3.1
Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF)	% of DM	42	2433	45.65	37.80	4.2
4. Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF)	% of DH	38	37.28	61.52	50.68	4.8
L. Acid Detergent Lignin (ADL)	% of DH	42	2.81	7.20	5.17	6.0
	% of DR	63	14.00	22.75	17.60	2.0
L. Oude Protein (CP)						

Figure 5: Example of summary results sample of same crop type and plant $\boldsymbol{\rho}$	art

Feed Name	: Cowpea f	orage (Vij	(see			
Plant Part:	Stem					
Maturity:	lowering					
Country: El	thiopia					
Total Reco	rds: 63					
Sample	DM	OM	ADF	NDE	ADL	a
105853	88.45	90.29	33.43	53.70	5.30	18.69
105854	89.61	91.03	31.40	49.28	4.65	16.69
105855	89.04	89.46	34.36	54.53	5.23	19.38
105856	88.53	86.95	35.99	50.65	4.90	19.6
105857	88.75	91.50	33.04	48.17	4.64	15.25
105858	89.24	89.25	42.16	52.63	5.67	18.00
105859	89.80	85.80	38.07	49.16	5.11	19.9
105860	89.95	91.07	36.49	48.91	4.17	17.38
105861	89.47	87.51	43.25	55.17	6.34	18.31
105862	88.58	87.91	38.85	49.24	5.03	17.75
105863	88.69	91.02	43.50	52.78	7.20	19.9
105864	87.64	87.93	35.38	55.62	4.80	20.3
105865	88.14	88.61	36.93	47.55	5.22	20.75
105866	88.48	92.28	34.49	53.43	5.11	19.13
105867	88.27	93.75	25.46	37.28	3.70	16.4
105868	88.90	87.34	41.61	57.17	5.40	18.00
105869	88.50	84.48	40.49	48.64	4.84	19,63
105870	88.51	89.92	36.79	52.27	4.71	18.4
105871	88.79	93.07	31.26	45.95	4.24	17.9
105872	88.56	88.07	36.72	59.37	4.92	21.38

Figure 4: Example of results per sample of same feed and crop





Creating a database

In October 2011, SLP formally released the enhanced version of the sub-Saharan Africa Feeds database - a user friendly searchable database containing information on the nutritive values of 20,913 samples of 566 of the major feeds used in 15 countries in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). The database is freely available both on the web (Figure 1)-where it can be downloaded, or on CD (at ILRI -Ethiopia). This is the first time that such a large amount of data on common feeds for livestock in the tropics has been made publically available in this way.





This useful information tool was created as a joint effort of SLP, the International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), the Institute of Agricultural Research (EIAR), the Ethiopian Ministry of Agriculture (MoA), Texas A&M University the Ethiopian Sanitary Phytosanitary Standards and Livestock & Meat Marketing Program (SPS-LMM) with funding from USAID. The information can now be used to improve the feed formulation to support development in Ethiopia and throughout SSA. A poster has also been compiled on the nutritive values of the most commonly used feeds in Ethiopia to disseminate the information widely in Ethiopia.

Included variables

'SSA Feeds' provides data on the following nutritional values:

Variable	Variable name	Unit	Method
DM ¹	Dry Matter	% Dried Basis	105°C, 12 hours overnight
OM	Organic Matter	% of DM	500°C, 12 hours overnight
ADF	Add Detergent Fiber	% of DM	van Soest and Robertson (1985)
NDF	Neutral Detergent Fiber	% of DM	van Soest and Robertson (1985)
ADL.	Acid Detergent Lignin	% of DM	van Soest and Robertson (1985)
CP	Crude Protein	% of DM	Kjeldhal, N × 6.25
IVDMD	In Vitro Dry Matter Digestibility	% of DM	Tilley and Terry (1963)
ME	Metabolizable Energy	Mcal/kg DM	Modified NRC (1996, 2000, 2001)
NEm	Net Energy for maintenance	Mcal/kg DM	NRC (1996, 2000, 2001)
NEg	Net Energy for gain	Mcal/kg DM	NRC (1996, 2000, 2001)
NEI	Net Energy for lactation	Mcal/kg DM	NRC (1996, 2000, 2001)
Ca	Caldum	% of DM	AAS ² (Harris 1970)
Р	Phosphorus	% of DM	FIA3 (Harris 1970)
Cu	Copper	ppm ⁴ , DM	AAS (Harris 1970)
Fe	Iron	ppm, DM	AAS (Harris 1970)
K	Potassium	% of DM	AAS (Harris 1970)
Mg	Magnesium	% of DM	AAS (Harris 1970)
Mn	Manganese	ppm, DM	AAS (Harris 1970)
Na	Sodium	ppm, DM	AAS (Harris 1970)
Zn	Zinc	ppm, DM	AAS (Harris 1970)

- DM of the feeds as they are used in the farm.
 AAS = Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry

















